



HAL
open science

Preface-evaluating the response of critical zone processes to human impacts with sediment source fingerprinting

J. Patrick Laceby, Allen C. Gellis, Alexander J. Koiter, Will H. Blake, O. Evrard

► **To cite this version:**

J. Patrick Laceby, Allen C. Gellis, Alexander J. Koiter, Will H. Blake, O. Evrard. Preface-evaluating the response of critical zone processes to human impacts with sediment source fingerprinting. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 2019, 19 (9), pp.3245-3254. 10.1007/s11368-019-02409-0 . hal-02973993

HAL Id: hal-02973993

<https://hal.science/hal-02973993>

Submitted on 16 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Preface - Evaluating the response of Critical Zone processes to human impacts with sediment source fingerprinting

J. Patrick Laceby^{1*}, Allen C. Gellis², Alexander J.Koiter³, Will H. Blake⁴, Olivier Evrard⁵

¹ Environmental Monitoring and Science Division, Alberta Environment and Parks, 3115 – 12 Street NE Calgary, Alberta, Canada

² U.S. Geological Survey, 5522 Research Park Drive, Baltimore, MD 21228, USA

³ Department of Geography and Environment, Brandon University, 270 18th St, Brandon, MB R7A 6A9, Canada

⁴ School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK

⁵ Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, UMR 8212 (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ), Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

*Corresponding author: J. Patrick Laceby; Phone: 587-586-5817; E-mail: patrick.laceby@gov.ab.ca

Key Words: CZ processes, fine-grained sediment, sediment tracing, sediment fingerprinting, Anthropocene

Note: Several figures follow the manuscript text towards the end of the word file

1) Background: Critical Zone Processes in the Anthropocene

The Earth's Critical Zone encompasses a suite of interconnected processes in the near-surface lithosphere, pedosphere, biosphere, atmosphere, and hydrosphere (Brantley et al., 2007; Lin, 2010) (Fig. 1). Processes and interactions both within and between these various Critical Zone components support life-sustaining ecosystem services and resources that establish the foundation for humanity (NRC, 2001). This includes the production of fertile soils; flourishing vegetation; productive rivers, lakes and oceans; and our life-sustaining atmosphere (Gaillardet, 2014; Guo and Lin, 2016).

Rapid population growth, land-use intensification, and global environmental change are disturbing many of these fundamental Critical Zone processes. More than half of the Earth's terrestrial surface is now impacted by anthropogenic activities (e.g., clearing, grazing, plowing, mining, and logging) (Hooke et al., 2012; Richter and Mobley, 2009). These changes are so widespread and pervasive that the great acceleration of socioeconomic development that occurred around 1950 (Fig. 2) has been identified as the dawn of the Anthropocene (Waters et al., 2016). Although the utility of adopting and delineating the Anthropocene as the current epoch is subject to debate (Crutzen, 2002; Ruddiman et al., 2015; Smith and Zeder, 2013), the concept effectively highlights both the nature and the extent of our global impact on Earth's Critical Zone.

Soil-forming processes and ecosystem services provided by the pedosphere are central to the Critical Zone (Banwart et al., 2011; Lin, 2010). Many of these processes have been disturbed by the agricultural intensification that coincided with the great acceleration resulting in unsustainable land-use practices now outpacing soil formation processes (Brantley et al., 2007). As agricultural landscapes now cover an area equivalent to what was scoured during the last glacial maximum (Amundson et al., 2007), the broad-scale intensification of anthropogenic activities has resulted in significant on- and off-site impacts. On-site, soil loss has resulted in decreases in soil fertility and agricultural yields (Ladha et al., 2009) threatening the ability to feed the world's growing population (Brantley et al., 2007). Off-site, the excess delivery of particulate matter downstream is degrading riverine, lacustrine, and estuarine ecosystems (Bilotta and Brazier, 2008; Clark, 1985; Owens et al., 2005, Smith et al., 2018).

The challenge, as noted by Brantley et al. (2007), is that despite our society having over 10,000 years of experience working with soils, our conceptual and quantitative models remain inadequate at predicting Critical Zone dynamics under current conditions. Notwithstanding growing pressure for improved environmental management, we still have a limited capacity to predict changes in the Critical Zone in response to anthropogenic activities owing to the multiple spatial and temporal scales at which these

complex processes and feedbacks are manifest. As river basin systems are impacted by many of these processes, a deeper understanding of the dynamics of the soil-sediment continuum may provide a valuable framework for evaluating the disturbance response of Critical Zone processes. Understanding these processes may also provide land and resource managers with the information necessary to manage both the on-site and off-site effects of accelerated soil erosion.

2) Sediment Source Fingerprinting

The sediment source fingerprinting technique is well suited to investigate Critical Zone processes within river basins. The dynamics of material transported from source to sink through river networks reflect physical and biogeochemical processes occurring in the Critical Zone (Amundson et al., 2007). Accordingly, the sediment fingerprinting technique is uniquely situated to investigate these processes across a range of spatial and temporal scales, from small fields to regional river basins and from individual rainfall events to decadal timeframes.

The sediment source fingerprinting technique uses a variety of physical and biogeochemical parameters, or fingerprints, to trace sediments back to their sources (Fig. 3). For parameters to be effective fingerprints, they need to discriminate between sediment sources while behaving conservatively (Collins et al., 1996; Walling et al., 1993). Conservative fingerprints remain constant during the erosion cycle (sediment detachment, entrainment, transportation, deposition, and delivery), or vary in a predictable way (Belmont et al., 2014; Davis and Fox, 2009; Koiter et al., 2013b; Laceby et al., 2017). A variety of fingerprints have been used to investigate sediment dynamics within river basins, such as mineral magnetic properties, fallout radionuclides, colour properties, major and trace element geochemistry, carbon and nitrogen isotopes, and compound specific stable isotopes (Blake et al., 2012; Caitcheon, 1993; Evrard et al., 2011; Hancock and Revill, 2013; Laceby et al., 2016; Martínez-Carreras et al., 2010; Murray et al., 1993; Walling and Kane, 1984). Fingerprints that discriminate between the sources of interest (e.g., land use, soil types, geology, surface versus subsoils, etc.) are used to estimate relative source contributions to target sediment with end-member mixing models that are generally solved stochastically in frequentist (Collins et al., 2012; Tiecher et al., 2019; Walling et al., 1993) or Bayesian frameworks (Cooper and Krueger, 2017; Davies et al., 2018; Small et al., 2002). The sources discriminated in sediment fingerprinting are typically related to the scale of the study catchment, the complexity of land use, land cover, soil types, and geology, along with the fundamental objectives of the research and/or management program.

Sediment source fingerprinting research originally had a strong focus on understanding erosion dynamics (Longmore et al., 1983; Oldfield et al., 1979; Stott, 1986; Wallbrink and Murray, 1993; Wallbrink et al., 1998; Wood, 1978). Thereafter, this research started to increasingly focus on identifying sediment sources in the context of developing effective sediment management strategies (Davis and Fox, 2009; Gellis and Walling, 2011; Mukundan et al., 2012; Porto et al., 2010; Wallbrink, 2004; Walling, 2005). This emphasis on developing a sediment fingerprinting management tool coincided with a significant research focus on advancing end-member mixing modelling techniques and reducing mixing model uncertainty (Collins et al., 2012; Cooper et al., 2015; Laceby and Olley, 2015; Pulley et al., 2015; Sherriff et al., 2015).

Over the last several decades there has been significant progress in the application and development of the sediment source fingerprinting technique to contribute to the improved management of water bodies around the world. There have been multiple comprehensive review papers on fingerprinting techniques (Collins and Walling, 2002; D'Haen et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2018; Davis and Fox, 2009; Guzmán et al., 2013; Haddadchi et al., 2013; Koiter et al., 2013b; Owens et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2013) and several Special Issues dedicated to advancing the sediment source fingerprinting technique and facilitating targeted sediment management strategies (Collins et al., 2017; Gellis and Mukundan, 2013; Smith et al., 2015; Walling et al., 2013). Although the sediment source fingerprinting technique has advanced significantly, it has simultaneously drifted away from one of its original foci, researching fundamental Earth System science processes.

Error analysis and uncertainty associated with sediment source fingerprinting has also significantly improved using methods such as Monte Carlo substitutions (Collins et al., 2019; Gellis et al., 2018; Kraushaar et al., 2015), the use of virtual mixtures (Collins et al., 2019; Laceby and Olley, 2015; Palazón et al., 2015), synthetic mixtures (Sherriff et al., 2015), and Bayesian uncertainty estimations (i.e. Markov Chain Monte Carlo framework) (Small et al., 2002; Stewart et al., 2014).

Limitations still exist within the sediment source fingerprinting framework including spatial and temporal challenges that may affect the interpretation of individual studies. Temporal limitations include the time period of source assessment where longer time periods may be required to characterize seasonal sources (i.e. during cultivation), the general hydrology of the study area, and sample large storm events. The main challenge is that longer study periods involve significant additional costs. Sediment sources may also change over the storm hydrograph and integration of sediment for an entire storm based on individual samples may not reflect the true source contributions (Carter et al., 2003; Nosrati et al., 2018). Separating target samples into rising and falling stages may allow for the interpretation of sediment sources over the

hydrograph (Carter et al., 2003; Belmont et al., 2014) but require proper instrumentation and collection of enough sediment mass for analysis. Spatial limitations in sediment source fingerprinting include the catchment area, where as size increases the number of samples collected to characterize sources increases, along with additional costs (Nosrati and Collins, 2019). Parts of the watershed that are difficult to access because of landowner permission, or are remote, may also present a challenge for acquiring representative data sets (Nosrati and Collins, 2019). Finally, while sediment fingerprinting quantifies the general sources of delivered sediment to the point of interest, it does not highlight specific locations or 'hot spots' of erosion within a watershed, which often need to be identified with sediment budgets or other approaches (Gellis and Walling, 2011).

3) Sediment Source Fingerprinting in the Critical Zone

This special issue presents a series of research articles demonstrating how sediment source fingerprinting research can be used to investigate a variety of Critical Zone processes. Understanding Critical Zone processes and their response to human impact is imperative for adapting to global change and meeting United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (Griggs et al., 2013). Therefore, we hope to demonstrate how the sediment source fingerprinting technique offers potential to further our understanding of Critical Zone processes in river basin systems around the world. Accordingly, in this section we highlight the key contributions from the research papers in this Special Issue from multiple researchers applying the sediment source fingerprinting technique in Asia, Europe, North America, and South America.

Uber et al. (2019) investigate the spatial origin of suspended sediment in two nested catchments (i.e., Claduègne and Gazel Basins, France) from a French Critical Zone observatory network: the Cevennes-Vivarais Mediterranean Hydro-meteorological Observatory. Critical Zone Observatories are important collaborative platforms for research that often operate at the catchment/watershed scale and focus on the interconnected chemical, physical, and biological processes shaping Earth's surface. At this particular Hydro-meteorological Observatory, these authors incorporate multiple suites of fingerprints (i.e., color, X-ray fluorescence, and magnetic susceptibility) to investigate whether sediment is derived from erosion processes on badlands, sedimentary top soils, or basaltic top soils. Uber et al. (2019) found that erosion processes on the badlands contributed between 74-84% of the suspended sediment, followed by erosion processes on sedimentary (12-29%) and basaltic (1-8%) surface soils. Importantly, these authors demonstrated that the choice of the fingerprints included in the mixing model had a larger impact on the model results than the actual model used to apportion sediment sources. One of Uber et al. (2019)'s key findings is the importance of using multi-fingerprint and multi-model techniques to detect and quantify

potential biases (e.g., source variability, particle-size selectivity, etc.) in order to obtain reliable and robust estimates of source contributions to target sediment.

Batista et al. (2019) examine how pedogenetic processes in soils help influence the development of the geochemical signals that are used in sediment source fingerprinting research. Their research in the Ingaí River Basin (Brazil) incorporated a tributary fingerprinting technique, multiple particle-size fractions, and artificial mixtures to understand erosion dynamics in three areas of this basin: the upper, middle, and lower regions. In particular, Batista et al. (2019) found that erosion processes on Ustorthrent soils from the lower catchment were dominating the supply of sediment at the basin outlet. In particular, these authors reported that using different techniques to select elements for inclusion in mixing models (e.g., knowledge and statistical based), along with artificial mixtures, helped provide multiple lines of evidence necessary to produce robust estimates of source contributions to target sediments. Batista et al. (2019) illustrate the importance of understanding how pedogenetic processes drive source signal (i.e., fingerprint) development. In closing, Batista et al. (2019) argue that the use of knowledge-based techniques to select fingerprints for modelling will encourage researchers to further develop their understanding of processes that drive erosion and sediment geochemistry across multiple spatial and temporal scales.

Evrard et al. (2019a) use colorimetric fingerprints to investigate the contribution of different erosion processes to material transiting the Mano and Niida catchments in the Fukushima Prefecture, Japan. The authors demonstrated that erosion processes on cultivated landscapes supplied the majority of sediment (56%) to the river networks followed by subsoil sources (including decontaminated materials – 26%) and forest sources (21%). The relative contribution of these sources changed over time owing to the implementation of decontamination activities in the region and also to the occurrence of major rainfall events, including typhoons. Importantly, the authors concluded that the relatively inexpensive, rapid, and non-destructive colorimetric measurements have significant potential to provide comprehensive information on erosion processes occurring in the Critical Zone.

Boudreault et al. (2019) combine the use of colorimetric fingerprints with fallout radionuclides to compare different sampling designs for sediment source fingerprinting in an agricultural catchment in Atlantic Canada. Specifically, the authors investigated whether suspended sediments were derived from streambanks, agricultural topsoil, or forested areas. Boudreault et al. (2019) used a novel nested approach in their sample design, including five sites with drainage basins ranging from 3.0 to 13.4 km². These authors determined that sediment sampled in the headwaters was predominantly derived from erosion

processes in forested areas. Progressing downstream, the authors illustrated that erosion processes on agricultural landscapes started to dominate the supply of sediment in the Black Brook Watershed. Boudreault et al. (2019) reported that sediment was mainly derived from local sources rather than upstream sediment entering an individual subcatchment, highlighting the importance of assessing sediment sources over a range of spatial scales to understand geomorphic connectivity.

Kitch et al. (2019) use elemental geochemistry to investigate the sediment source contributions to both suspended sediment and channel bed sediment in the Merriott Stream catchment in rural Somerset, UK. In particular, the authors investigate how upstream agricultural land-management practices impact overland flow generation and affect downstream fluvial processes. Kitch et al. (2019) found that while cultivated landscapes were the dominant source of suspended sediment, channel bank erosion was the main source of channel bed sediment. The authors attributed differences in the suspended versus channel bed sources to in-channel incision and bank failure. Importantly, Kitch et al. (2019) highlight how there are likely different processes driving the source dynamics for suspended sediment and sediment deposited on the channel bed. These differences provide not only useful comparisons to help understand sediment source and storage dynamics, they also provide fundamental information for targeted management strategies focussing on upstream processes that may be responsible for deleterious particulate material migrating downstream.

Mahoney et al. (2019) use a sediment fingerprinting technique to investigate the equilibrium sediment exchange processes in the Upper South Elkhorn Basin in the USA. In particular, these authors use carbon stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) to help understand the instantaneous deposition and erosion of suspended sediment on, and from, the streambed. Mahoney et al. (2019) found that streambed sediments were an important source of suspended sediment and dominated the supply of sediment in the fluvial load for low and moderate flow events. In contrast, during high and extreme flow events, upland sources became increasingly important. These authors demonstrated that the equilibrium sediment exchange is a potentially important Critical Zone process in many riverine systems. Researchers should therefore be cognizant of streambeds that may behave as a potential source. This may be particularly important when using stable isotope signatures or other fingerprints that may potentially undergo biotransformation processes (e.g., diagenesis) when deposited in the riverbed. Furthermore, Mahoney et al. (2019) highlight the importance of coupling sediment source fingerprinting techniques with watershed modelling research to help develop a potentially new class of sediment transport studies with combined fingerprinting/watershed modelling research designs. Importantly, Mahoney et al. (2019) provide six

important conclusions for helping develop these new coupled models that will help drive future research programs.

Gateuille et al. (2019) combine fallout radionuclides (^{137}Cs and $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{xs}}$) with elemental geochemistry to investigate the main sediment sources (i.e., stream bank, agricultural, and forest) in the Nechako River Basin in British Columbia, Canada. Not only did these authors investigate how these erosion sources vary spatially across this catchment, they also investigated how these sources changed over time based on the analyses of a sediment core from an island on the main stem of the Nechako River. For the main-stem sites, channel bank erosion processes dominated the supply of sediment, particularly downstream of active cut banks or areas where the floodplains are actively eroding. Progressing downstream, there was an increase in sediment derived from erosion processes on agriculture and forested landscapes consistent with the changing land use in the catchment. Gateuille et al. (2019) also found that the construction of a dam in the 1950s resulted in a significant alteration of the sediment transport capacity in the Nechako River Basin, resulting in a change in sediment source dynamics. Overall, Gateuille et al. (2019) demonstrated that the sediment source fingerprinting technique can be utilized to investigate how the cumulative effects of anthropogenic and natural disturbances affect sediment source dynamics in a large river basin over short (i.e., annual) and longer (i.e., decadal) temporal scales.

Gellis et al. (2019) apportion sediment using elemental analysis and the sediment fingerprinting approach and age-date sediment with fallout radionuclides in the agricultural Walnut Creek Basin (Iowa, USA). In particular, the age-dating of sediment provides an important temporal context for understanding sediment source dynamics. In this study, the authors determine that erosion processes on agricultural cropland supplies the majority of suspended sediment (62%) followed by streambank erosion processes (36%). Thereafter, the authors applied an age-date model with $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$ and ^7Be to illustrate that sediments typically reside in three different storage age boxes: a rapid box (<1 year); a decadal box (10-100 years); and a geologic box (100-1000 years). This research highlights the potential of combining multi-fingerprint suites to simultaneously examine temporal and spatial erosion processes occurring in the Critical Zone.

Pawlowski and Karwan (2019) examine Pb and Be sorption dynamics to understand the potential limitations surrounding the use of these fingerprints. The authors use batch experiments with in-stream sediment deposits from two systems and varying solutions to replicate both background and elevated levels of iron oxide along with different dissolved organic carbon and sediment solution ratios. Pawlowski and Karwan (2019) found that the sorption of Pb and Be increased over time for all substrates and treatments. These authors demonstrated that sediment mineralogy, organic matter, and biogeochemical

cycling processes may all affect the mobilization or retention of Pb and Be, potentially impacting their conservative behaviour and thus their utility in sediment source fingerprinting research. Pawlowski and Karwan (2019) highlight that there may be a significant export of both $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{xs}}$ and ^7Be in the solution phase along with other cations that may be exposed to the redox chemistry of a variety of oxides and hydroxides. These authors clearly illustrate how a variety of processes influence the development of fingerprint signals and that it is important to strive to understand how these processes may affect sediment source fingerprints and source apportionment modelling.

Reiffarth et al. (2019) investigate the potential of compound-specific stable isotopes (CSSIs) to trace soils derived from different cultivated fields. In particular, the authors examine the spatial variability of carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) from very long-chain fatty acids at the point, transect, and field scales in an agricultural watershed in Manitoba, Canada. Reiffarth et al. (2019) found that very long-chain fatty acids do have the potential to trace particulate matter derived from fields cultivated with different cropping species. Although this novel approach to targeting different species or fields could provide significant sediment source information, the authors demonstrate that more research is required into the weighting of subsamples of the source fingerprint, sample design (i.e. targeting flow paths and number of subsamples per field), tracer selection (i.e. which fatty acids to include in mixing models), and intra and inter-annual tracer isotope variation (i.e. tillage effects and seasonality). The micro-targeting of individual fields with CSSIs and other targeted tracing techniques may help directly identify field and plot scale erosion processes that are disproportionately contributing sediment and sediment-bound contaminants to downstream river networks.

4) Perspectives for Sediment Source Fingerprinting in the Critical Zone

Sediment source fingerprinting research directly or indirectly investigates multiple processes occurring in the Critical Zone. One sediment source fingerprinting technique uses different fingerprints to determine whether sediments are derived from surface soil erosion (e.g., agricultural top soil) or subsoil erosion processes (e.g., channel banks, landslides, or gully erosion processes) (Ben Slimane et al., 2016; Jalowska et al., 2017; Olley et al., 2013). A second technique examines how erosion processes on different land uses, soil types, or geologies result in varying source contributions to sediment transiting river systems (Fox, 2009; Le Gall et al., 2017; Tiecher et al., 2017). A third technique uses chronological fingerprints to investigate the temporal dynamics of erosion processes, providing information on whether sediment may have been eroded in the last year, the last several decades, or even potentially the last hundreds or thousands of years (Evrard et al., 2016; Matisoff, 2014; Smith et al., 2014, Taylor et al., 2013) As material

being transported through river networks reflect physical and biogeochemical processes occurring in the Critical Zone (Amundson et al., 2007), the sediment source fingerprinting technique is uniquely situated to investigate and provide further understanding regarding these critical and life sustaining processes.

To further advance our understanding of the Critical Zone, we believe it is important for sediment source fingerprinting research to capitalize on combining multiple sediment source fingerprints to explicitly investigate earth surface processes. In particular, the multi-fingerprint research in this Special Issue that simultaneously incorporates temporal fingerprints (e.g., ^7Be , $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{xs}}$) with erosion process and spatial fingerprints (Gateuille et al., 2019; Gellis et al., 2019) outlines a potentially effective technique to investigate the response of Critical Zone processes to anthropogenic activities over multiple temporal scales. For example, short-term fingerprints such as ^7Be may provide information on erosion processes occurring on intra-annual temporal scales or even the individual rainfall event scale. Medium-term fingerprints, such as ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs provide erosion process information on decadal time scales (Douglas et al., 2009; Gartner et al., 2012). Long-term fingerprints, such as ^{14}C , ^{10}Be , and other properties (e.g., Optically Stimulated Luminescence) may provide erosion process information over longer temporal scales (Fig. 4)(Bartley et al., 2018; Belmont et al., 2014; Wittmann et al., 2011).

Coupling temporal tracers with other fingerprints analyzed on sediment cores in riverine, estuarine, or lacustrine environments holds significant potential to provide additional information on processes occurring in the Critical Zone (e.g., Douglas et al., 2010; Foster et al., 2007; Olley and Caitcheon, 2000). The analysis of fingerprints in lacustrine or riverine sediment cores and their comparison to source sample parameters allows for the investigation of particulate matter source dynamics through time; such as changes in geological sources (e.g., increased alluvial/sedimentary source contributions), soil type sources (e.g., Ustorthrent soils), and erosion processes (e.g., increased surface source contributions). Combining temporal tracers and other fingerprints may provide fundamental information on dynamics of multiple processes occurring in the Critical Zone before and after the great acceleration that has been recommended to mark the dawn of the Anthropocene.

Combining spatial and temporal fingerprints also holds potential to increase our understanding of how the cumulative effects of anthropogenic and natural disturbances affect erosion process dynamics (Gateuille et al., 2019). In particular, it may be possible to investigate how natural disturbances (e.g., a major flooding event) or anthropogenic activities (e.g., agriculture, forestry, and mining) have affected erosion processes over the last century. Coupling sediment source fingerprinting and other watershed sediment modelling research (Mahoney et al., 2019) holds significant potential to improve our

understanding of the cumulative effects of multiple disturbances on our increasingly degraded landscapes. Indeed, it will be beneficial to develop fingerprinting research designs that are fully integrated with watershed sediment modelling (Boudreault et al., 2019; Mahoney et al., 2019).

Advances with novel fingerprints such as CSSIs (Blake et al., 2012; Reiffarth et al., 2016) and environmental DNA (Evrard et al., 2019b) may provide direct information regarding changes in cropping or forestry species in a river basin. These next generation fingerprints may help the sediment source fingerprinting technique move beyond focussing on estimating source contributions to developing a deeper understanding of how multiple processes in the Critical Zone have been affected by anthropogenic and natural disturbances during the great acceleration.

There are indeed other ways to capitalise on the sediment source fingerprinting framework to understand Critical Zone processes. For example, Mahoney et al. (2019) utilize the sediment source fingerprinting technique to investigate equilibrium sediment exchange processes. Other techniques may be able to investigate the sources and dynamics of nutrients (e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus) (Garzon-Garcia et al., 2017; Tiecher et al., 2019). Furthermore, sediment source fingerprinting may help investigate sediment connectivity (Chartin et al., 2017; Koiter et al., 2013a) and help validate watershed sediment models. Even striving to understand the behaviour of sediment source fingerprints and whether or not they are conservative (Pawlowski and Karwan, 2019) and how pedogenetic and/or geologic processes drive source signal (i.e., fingerprint) development (Batista et al., 2019) will provide more information on a variety of different processes occurring in the Critical Zone. Indeed, more research is required to investigate the multitude of processes that establish the fingerprint source signals and drive their behaviour during sediment generation, transportation, and deposition processes. Understanding fingerprint signal development and its behaviour during these processes will go a long way to improving the reliability and robustness of sediment source fingerprinting research in addition to furthering our understanding Critical Zone processes.

5) Conclusions

There has been considerable advancement in the sediment source fingerprinting technique over the last several decades. In particular, the research focus has somewhat shifted away from understanding geomorphic processes towards highlighting the main sources (e.g., land use) contributing deleterious sediment loads in order to help guide management interventions. Along with a management focus, there

has been a considerable drive in the literature to advance modelling techniques and reduce model uncertainty.

As the sediment source fingerprinting technique has advanced considerably, we believe it is time to return to one of the early foci of the technique: researching erosion and sediment delivery processes. As Uber et al. (2019) demonstrated, the model applied was not as important as the different fingerprints used in the model. As such, we believe it is time for sediment source fingerprinting research to move away from model-centric research programs to focus more on understanding the key processes driving the source contributions to sediment. Indeed, this may create a unique and yet a difficult balance for researchers to strive for. A balance that contributes to advancing some of the modelling nuances, sampling techniques, tracer selection approaches, and source apportionment strategies, while also including a direct objective to advance our understanding of dynamic processes in the Critical Zone.

Accordingly, we believe it would be beneficial for researchers to continue combining multiple sets of fingerprints together to help move sediment fingerprinting research forward. In particular, it will be important for researchers to use multiple sets of fingerprints in research projects and publications to capitalize on the power of simultaneously examining temporal, spatial, and process dynamics responsible for the relative source contributions of sediment transiting river networks. Furthermore, research with multiple sets of fingerprints may also help researchers investigate the cumulative effects of anthropogenic or natural disturbances with next generation fingerprints. The more we research and understand the unique processes that establish the source fingerprint signals and influence the conservative behaviour of fingerprints, the more we may begin to understand the key processes driving the mobilization, generation, and deposition of sediment, particulate matter, and even their bound contaminants, in the Critical Zone.

Acknowledgements

We would like to extend our sincere gratitude to Moira Ledger and Phil Owens who helped make this Special Issue possible. We would also like to thank the authors that contributed to this special issue along with the multiple reviewers who provided timely and constructive feedback on the submitted manuscripts. Indeed, the effort and time provided by reviewers has significantly helped advance the sediment source fingerprinting technique. Finally, we would like to kindly thank Pedro Batista and Hugh Smith for their comments and feedback on this Preface along with John Clune and John Hammond for internal U.S. Geological Survey reviews.

JSS SI Preface – Figures and Tables




Figure 1: Conceptual illustration of the Critical Zone from NSF-CZO (2019).




Figure 2: The dawn of the Anthropocene, delineated by the great acceleration in 1950, which is highlighted in this figure by an exponential increase in the global population and primary energy use (after Steffen et al., 2015).




Figure 3: A simplified two source conceptual model of the sediment source fingerprinting technique where end-member mixing models solve equations (i.e., $Ax + B(1-x) = C$) to determine the relative contributions (x) of Source A and Source B to the target sediment (i.e., C in the equation) obtained from the sampling site.




Figure 4: Approximate dating ranges for a selection of chronological tracers.

References

- Amundson, R., Richter, D.D., Humphreys, G.S., Jobbágy, E.G. and Gaillardet, J., 2007. Coupling between biota and earth materials in the critical zone. *Elements*, 3(5): 327-332.
- Banwart, S., Bernasconi, S.M., Bloem, J., Blum, W., Brandao, M., Brantley, S., Chabaux, F., Duffy, C., Kram, P. and Lair, G., 2011. Soil processes and functions in critical zone observatories: hypotheses and experimental design. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 10(3): 974-987.
- Bartley, R., Thompson, C., Croke, J., Pietsch, T., Baker, B., Hughes, K. and Kinsey-Henderson, A., 2018. Insights into the history and timing of post-European land use disturbance on sedimentation rates in catchments draining to the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 131: 530-546.
- Batista, P., Laceby, J.P., Silva, M., Tassinari, D., Bispo, D., Curi, N., Davies, J. and Quinton, J.N., 2019. Using pedological knowledge to improve sediment source apportionment in tropical environments. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.
- Belmont, P., Willenbring, J., Schottler, S., Marquard, J., Kumarasamy, K. and Hemmis, J., 2014. Toward generalizable sediment fingerprinting with tracers that are conservative and nonconservative over sediment routing timescales. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 14(8): 1479-1492.
- Ben Slimane, A., Raclot, D., Evrard, O., Sanaa, M., Lefevre, I. and Le Bissonnais, Y., 2016. Relative Contribution of Rill/Interrill and Gully/Channel Erosion to Small Reservoir Siltation in Mediterranean Environments. *Land Degradation & Development*, 27(3): 785-797.
- Bilotta, G.S. and Brazier, R.E., 2008. Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. *Water Research*, 42(12): 2849-2861.
- Blake, W.H., Ficken, K.J., Taylor, P., Russell, M.A. and Walling, D.E., 2012. Tracing crop-specific sediment sources in agricultural catchments. *Geomorphology*, 139–140: 322-329.
- Boudreault, M., Koiter, A.J., Lobb, D.A., Liu, K., Benoy, G., Owens, P.N. and Li, S., 2019. Comparison of sampling designs for sediment source fingerprinting in an agricultural watershed in Atlantic Canada. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.
- Brantley, S.L., Goldhaber, M.B. and Ragnarsdottir, K.V., 2007. Crossing disciplines and scales to understand the critical zone. *Elements*, 3(5): 307-314.
- Caitcheon, G.G., 1993. Sediment source tracing using environmental magnetism - A new approach with examples from Australia. *Hydrological Processes*, 7(4): 349-358.
- Carter, J., Owens, P. N., Walling, D. E., & Leeks, G. J. L. 2003. Fingerprinting suspended sediment sources in a large urban river. *Science of the Total Environment*, 314–316, 513–534.
- Chartin, C., Evrard, O., Laceby, J.P., Onda, Y., Ottlé, C., Lefèvre, I. and Cerdan, O., 2017. The impact of typhoons on sediment connectivity: lessons learnt from contaminated coastal catchments of the Fukushima Prefecture (Japan). *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 42: 306-317.
- Chorover, J., Kretschmar, R., Garcia-Pichel, F. and Sparks, D.L., 2007. Soil biogeochemical processes within the critical zone. *Elements*, 3(5): 321-326.

- Clark, E.H., 1985. The off-site costs of soil erosion. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 40(1): 19-22.
- Collins, A. L., Burak, E., Harris, P., Pulley, S., Cardenas, L., & Tang, Q. 2019. Field scale temporal and spatial variability of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, TC and TN soil properties: Implications for sediment source tracing. *Geoderma*, 333, 108-122
- Collins, A.L., Foster, I.D.L., Gellis, A.C., Porto, P. and Horowitz, A.J., 2017. Sediment source fingerprinting for informing catchment management: Methodological approaches, problems and uncertainty. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 194: 1-3.
- Collins, A.L. and Walling, D.E., 2002. Selecting fingerprint properties for discriminating potential suspended sediment sources in river basins. *Journal of Hydrology*, 261(1-4): 218-244.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E. and Leeks, G.J.L., 1996. Composite fingerprinting of the spatial source of fluvial suspended sediment: a case study of the Exe and Severn river basins, United Kingdom. *Géomorphologie: Relief, Processus, Environnement*, 2(2): 41-53.
- Collins, A.L., Zhang, Y., McChesney, D., Walling, D.E., Haley, S.M. and Smith, P., 2012. Sediment source tracing in a lowland agricultural catchment in southern England using a modified procedure combining statistical analysis and numerical modelling. *Science of The Total Environment*, 414: 301-317.
- Cooper, R.J. and Krueger, T., 2017. An extended Bayesian sediment fingerprinting mixing model for the full Bayes treatment of geochemical uncertainties. *Hydrological Processes*, 31(10): 1900-1912.
- Cooper, R.J., Krueger, T., Hiscock, K.M. and Rawlins, B.G., 2015. High-temporal resolution fluvial sediment source fingerprinting with uncertainty: a Bayesian approach. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 40(1): 78-92.
- Crutzen, P.J., 2002. Geology of mankind. *Nature*, 415(6867): 23-23.
- D'Haen, K., Verstraeten, G. and Degryse, P., 2012. Fingerprinting historical fluvial sediment fluxes. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 36(2): 154-186.
- Davies, J., Olley, J., Hawker, D. and McBroom, J., 2018. Application of the Bayesian approach to sediment fingerprinting and source attribution. *Hydrological processes*, 32(26): 3978-3995.
- Davis, C.M. and Fox, J.F., 2009. Sediment fingerprinting: Review of the method and future improvements for allocating nonpoint source pollution. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 135(7): 490-504.
- Douglas, G., Caitcheon, G. and Palmer, M., 2009. Sediment source identification and residence times in the Maroochy River estuary, southeast Queensland, Australia. *Environmental Geology*, 57(3): 629-639.
- Douglas, G., Kuhnen, M., Radke, L.C., Hancock, G., Brooke, B., Palmer, M.J., Pietsch, T., Ford, P.W., Trefry, M.G. and Packett, R., 2010. Delineation of sediment sources to a coastal wetland in the Great Barrier Reef catchment: influence of climate variability and land clearing since European arrival. *Environmental Chemistry*, 7(2): 190-206.
- Evrard, O., Durand, R., Foucher, A., Tiecher, T., Sellier, V., Onda, Y., Lefèvre, I., Cerdan, O. and Lacey, J.P., 2019a. Using spectrophotometry to trace sediment source dynamics in coastal catchments draining the main Fukushima radioactive pollution plume (2011–2017). *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.

- Evrard, O., Laceby, J.P., Ficetola, G.F., Gielly, L., Huon, S., Lefèvre, I., Onda, Y. and Poulenard, J., 2019b. Environmental DNA provides information on sediment sources: A study in catchments affected by Fukushima radioactive fallout. *Science of The Total Environment*, 665: 873-881.
- Evrard, O., Laceby, J.P., Huon, S., Lefèvre, I., Sengtaheuanghoung, O. and Ribolzi, O., 2016. Combining multiple fallout radionuclides (^{137}Cs , ^7Be , $^{210}\text{Pbxs}$) to investigate temporal sediment source dynamics in tropical, ephemeral riverine systems. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 16(3): 1130-1144.
- Evrard, O., Navratil, O., Ayrault, S., Ahmadi, M., Némery, J., Legout, C., Lefèvre, I., Poirel, A., Bonté, P. and Esteves, M., 2011. Combining suspended sediment monitoring and fingerprinting to determine the spatial origin of fine sediment in a mountainous river catchment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 36(8): 1072-1089.
- Foster, I.D.L., Boardman, J. and Keay-Bright, J., 2007. Sediment tracing and environmental history for two small catchments, Karoo Uplands, South Africa. *Geomorphology*, 90(1-2): 126-143.
- Fox, J.F., 2009. Identification of sediment sources in forested watersheds with surface coal mining disturbance using carbon and nitrogen isotopes. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 45(5): 1273-1289.
- Gaillardet, J., 2014. Editorial Preface: The Earth's Critical Zone. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 10: 1-2.
- Gartner, J., Renshaw, C., Dade, W. and Magilligan, F., 2012. Time and depth scales of fine sediment delivery into gravel stream beds: Constraints from fallout radionuclides on fine sediment residence time and delivery. *Geomorphology*, 151: 39-49.
- Garzon-Garcia, A., Laceby, J.P., Olley, J.M. and Bunn, S.E., 2017. Differentiating the sources of fine sediment, organic matter and nitrogen in a subtropical Australian catchment. *Science of The Total Environment*, 575: 1384–1394.
- Gateuille, D., Owens, P.N., Petticrew, E.L., Booth, B.P., French, T.D. and Déry, S.J., 2019. Determining contemporary and historical sediment sources in a large drainage basin impacted by cumulative effects: the regulated Nechako River, British Columbia, Canada. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.
- Gellis, A.C., Fuller, C.C., Van Metre, P., Filstrup, C.T., Tomer, M.D., Cole, K.J. and Sabitov, T.Y., 2019. Combining sediment fingerprinting with age-dating sediment using fallout radionuclides for an agricultural stream, Walnut Creek, Iowa, USA. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2168-z>
- Gellis, A.C., and Gorman Sanisaca, L., 2018, Sediment Fingerprinting to Delineate Sources of Sediment in the Agricultural and Forested Smith Creek Watershed, Virginia, USA: *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, p. 1-25 Published online; <https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12680>.
- Gellis, A.C. and Mukundan, R., 2013. Watershed sediment source identification: tools, approaches, and case studies. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 13(10): 1655-1657.
- Gellis, A.C. and Walling, D.E., 2011. Sediment Source Fingerprinting (Tracing) and Sediment Budgets as Tools in Targeting River and Watershed Restoration Programs, *Stream Restoration in Dynamic Fluvial*

Systems: Scientific Approaches, Analyses, and Tools. Geophys. Monogr. Ser. AGU, Washington, DC, pp. 263-291.

Griggs, D., Stafford-Smith, M., Gaffney, O., Rockström, J., Öhman, M.C., Shyamsundar, P., Steffen, W., Glaser, G., Kanie, N. and Noble, I., 2013. Policy: Sustainable development goals for people and planet. *Nature*, 495(7441): 305.

Guo, L. and Lin, H., 2016. Critical Zone Research and Observatories: Current Status and Future Perspectives. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 15(9): 1-14.

Guzmán, G., Quinton, J., Nearing, M., Mabit, L. and Gómez, J., 2013. Sediment tracers in water erosion studies: current approaches and challenges. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 13(4): 816-833.

Haddadchi, A., Ryder, D.S., Evrard, O. and Olley, J., 2013. Sediment fingerprinting in fluvial systems: review of tracers, sediment sources and mixing models. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 28(4): 560-578.

Hancock, G.J. and Revill, A.T., 2013. Erosion source discrimination in a rural Australian catchment using compound-specific isotope analysis (CSIA). *Hydrological Processes*, 27(6): 923-932.

Hooke, R.L., Martín-Duque, J.F. and Pedraza, J., 2012. Land transformation by humans: a review. *GSA today*, 22(12): 4-10.

Jalowska, A.M., McKee, B.A., Laceby, J.P. and Rodriguez, A.B., 2017. Sources and Fate of Suspended Sediment in the Lower Roanoke River, North Carolina. *Catena*, 154: 95-106.

Kitch, J.L., Phillips, J.M., Peukert, S., Taylor, A. and Blake, W., 2019. Understanding the geomorphic consequences of enhanced overland flow in mixed agricultural systems: sediment fingerprinting demonstrates the need for integrated upstream and downstream thinking. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.

Koiter, A., Lobb, D., Owens, P., Petticrew, E., Tiessen, K.D. and Li, S., 2013a. Investigating the role of connectivity and scale in assessing the sources of sediment in an agricultural watershed in the Canadian prairies using sediment source fingerprinting. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 13(10): 1676-1691.

Koiter, A.J., Owens, P.N., Petticrew, E.L. and Lobb, D.A., 2013b. The behavioural characteristics of sediment properties and their implications for sediment fingerprinting as an approach for identifying sediment sources in river basins. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 125: 24-42.

Kraushaar, S., Schumann, T., Ollesch, G., Schubert, M., Vogel, H-J, Siebert, C., 2015. Sediment fingerprinting in northern Jordan: element specific correction factors in a carbonatic setting. *J Soils Sediments*. doi:10.1007/s11368-015-1179-2

Laceby, J.P., Evrard, O., Smith, H., Blake, W., Olley, J., Minella, J.P.G. and Owens, P.N., 2017. The challenges and opportunities of addressing particle size effects in sediment source fingerprinting: a review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 169: 85–103.

Laceby, J.P., Huon, S., Onda, Y. and Evrard, O., 2016. Do forests represent a long-term source of contaminated particulate matter in the Fukushima Prefecture? *Journal of Environmental Management*, 183: 742–753.

Lacey, J.P. and Olley, J., 2015. An examination of geochemical modelling approaches to tracing sediment sources incorporating distribution mixing and elemental correlations. *Hydrological Processes*, 29: 1669-1685

Ladha, J.K., Singh, Y., Erenstein, O. and Hardy, B. (Editors), 2009. Integrated crop and resource management in the rice-wheat system of South Asia. International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) Philippines, 395 pp.

Le Gall, M., Evrard, O., Thila, F., Foucher, A., Lacey, J.P., Salvador-Blanes, S. and Ayrault, S., 2017. Examining suspended sediment sources and dynamics during flood events in a drained catchment using stable strontium isotopes. *Chemical Geology*, 449: 147-157.

Lin, H., 2010. Earth's Critical Zone and hydrogeology: concepts, characteristics, and advances. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 14(1): 25.

Longmore, M., O'Leary, B., Rose, C. and Chandica, A., 1983. Mapping soil erosion and accumulation with the fallout isotope caesium-137. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 21(4): 373-385.

Mahoney, D.T., Al Aamery, N., Fox, J.F., Riddle, B., Ford, W. and Wang, Y., 2019. Equilibrium sediment exchange in the earth's critical zone: evidence from sediment fingerprinting with stable isotopes and watershed modeling. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.

Martínez-Carreras, N., Udelhoven, T., Krein, A., Gallart, F., Iffly, J.F., Ziebel, J., Hoffmann, L., Pfister, L. and Walling, D.E., 2010. The use of sediment colour measured by diffuse reflectance spectrometry to determine sediment sources: Application to the Attert River catchment (Luxembourg). *Journal of Hydrology*, 382(1-4): 49-63.

Matisoff, G., 2014. ²¹⁰Pb as a tracer of soil erosion, sediment source area identification and particle transport in the terrestrial environment. *J Environ Radioact*, 138: 343-54.

Mukundan, R., Walling, D.E., Gellis, A.C., Slattery, M.C. and Radcliffe, D.E., 2012. Sediment Source Fingerprinting: Transforming From a Research Tool to a Management Tool. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 48(6): 1241–1257.

Murray, A.S., Olive, L.J., Olley, J.M., Caitcheon, G.G., Wasson, R.J. and Wallbrink, P.J., 1993. Tracing the Source of Suspended Sediment in the Murrumbidgee River, Australia. In: N.E. Peters, E. Hoehn, C. Leibundgut, N. Tase and D.E. Walling (Editors), *Tracers in Hydrology*, IAHS Publication No. 215, IAHS Press, Wallingford, pp. 293-302.

Nosrati, K., and Collins, A.L., 2019, Investigating the importance of recreational roads as a sediment source in a mountainous catchment using a fingerprinting procedure with different multivariate statistical techniques and a Bayesian un-mixing model. *Journal of Hydrology* 569:506-518. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.019.

Nosrati K., Collins A. L., and Madankan M., 2018. Fingerprinting sub-basin spatial sediment sources using different multivariate statistical techniques and the Modified MixSIR model. *Catena*, 164: 32–43

NRC, 2001. Basic Research Opportunities in Earth Science. National Research Council (NRC), National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

NSF-CZO (2019): Earth's critical zone extends from above the tree canopy to bedrock. National Science Foundation, Critical Zone Observatory (NSF-CZO), from:

https://www.nsf.gov/news/mmg/mmg_disp.jsp?med_id=184231&from (retrieved May 31, 2019)

Oldfield, F., Rummery, T.A., Thompson, R. and Walling, D.E., 1979. Identification of suspended sediment sources by means of magnetic measurements: Some preliminary results. *Water Resources Research*, 15(2): 211-218.

Olley, J., Brooks, A., Spencer, J., Pietsch, T. and Borombovits, D., 2013. Subsoil erosion dominates the supply of fine sediment to rivers draining into Princess Charlotte Bay, Australia. *J Environ Radioact*, 124: 121-9.

Olley, J. and Caitcheon, G., 2000. Major element chemistry of sediments from the Darling-Barwon river and its tributaries: implications for sediment and phosphorus sources. *Hydrological Processes*, 14(7): 1159-1175.

Owens, P., Batalla, R., Collins, A., Gomez, B., Hicks, D., Horowitz, A., Kondolf, G., Marden, M., Page, M. and Peacock, D., 2005. Fine-grained sediment in river systems: environmental significance and management issues. *River Research and Applications*, 21(7): 693-717.

Owens, P.N., Blake, W.H., Gaspar, L., Gateuille, D., Koiter, A.J., Lobb, D.A., Petticrew, E.L., Reiffarth, D., Smith, H.G. and Woodward, J.C., 2016. Fingerprinting and tracing the sources of soils and sediments: earth and ocean science, geoarchaeological, forensic, and human health applications. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 162: 1-23.

Palazón L., Latorre B., Gaspar L., Blake W.H., Smith H.G., Navas A., 2015. Comparing catchment sediment fingerprinting procedures using an auto-evaluation approach with virtual sample mixtures. *Science of the Total Environment* 532: 456-466.

Pawlowski, E.D. and Karwan, D.L., 2019. Assessment of lead and beryllium sorption to exposed stream channel sediment under varying freshwater channel conditions. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*: 1-14.

Porto, P., Walling, D.E. and Callegari, G., 2010. Using ¹³⁷Cs measurements to establish catchment sediment budgets and explore scale effects. *Hydrological processes*, 25(6): 886-900.

Pulley, S., Foster, I. and Antunes, P., 2015. The application of sediment fingerprinting to floodplain and lake sediment cores: assumptions and uncertainties evaluated through case studies in the Nene Basin, UK. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*: 1-23.

Reiffarth, D.G., Petticrew, E.L., Owens, P.N. and Lobb, D.A., 2016. Sources of variability in fatty acid (FA) biomarkers in the application of compound-specific stable isotopes (CSSIs) to soil and sediment fingerprinting and tracing: A review. *Sci Total Environ*, 565: 8-27.

Reiffarth, D.G., Petticrew, E.L., Owens, P.N. and Lobb, D.A., 2019. Spatial differentiation of cultivated soils using compound-specific stable isotopes (CSSIs) in an agricultural watershed in Manitoba, Canada. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.

Richter, D. and Mobley, M.L., 2009. Monitoring Earth's critical zone. *Science*, 326(5956): 1067-1068.

Ruddiman, W.F., Ellis, E.C., Kaplan, J.O. and Fuller, D.Q., 2015. Defining the epoch we live in. *Science*, 348(6230): 38-39.

Sherriff, S.C., Franks, S.W., Rowan, J.S., Fenton, O. and Ó'hUallacháin, D., 2015. Uncertainty-based assessment of tracer selection, tracer non-conservativeness and multiple solutions in sediment fingerprinting using synthetic and field data. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 10: 2101-2116.

Small, I.F., Rowan, J.S. and Franks, S.W., 2002. Quantitative sediment fingerprinting using a Bayesian uncertainty estimation framework. *International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Publication*, 276: 443-450.

Smith HG, Peñuela A, Sangster H, Sellami H, Boyle J, Chiverrell R, Schillereff D, Riley M (2018): Simulating a century of soil erosion for agricultural catchment management. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 43, 2089-2105

Smith, B.D. and Zeder, M.A., 2013. The onset of the Anthropocene. *Anthropocene*, 4: 8-13.

Smith, H., Evrard, O., Blake, W. and Owens, P., 2015. Preface—Addressing challenges to advance sediment fingerprinting research. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*: 1-5.

Smith, H.G., Blake, W.H. and Owens, P.N., 2013. Discriminating fine sediment sources and the application of sediment tracers in burned catchments: a review. *Hydrological Processes*, 27(6): 943-958.

Smith, H.G., Blake, W.H. and Taylor, A., 2014. Modelling particle residence times in agricultural river basins using a sediment budget model and fallout radionuclide tracers. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(14): 1944-1959.

Steffen, W., Broadgate, W., Deutsch, L., Gaffney, O. and Ludwig, C., 2015. The trajectory of the Anthropocene: the great acceleration. *The Anthropocene Review*, 2(1): 81-98.

Stewart, H.A., Massoudieh, A., Gellis, A., 2014. Sediment source apportionment in Laurel Hill Creek, PA, using Bayesian chemical mass balance and isotope fingerprinting. *Hydrol. Process.*
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10364>.

Stott, A.P., 1986. Sediment tracing in a reservoir-catchment system using a magnetic mixing model. *Physics of The Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 42(1-2): 105-112.

Taylor, A., Blake, W.H., Smith, H.G., Mabit, L. and Keith-Roach, M.J., 2013. Assumptions and challenges in the use of fallout beryllium-7 as a soil and sediment tracer in river basins. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 126: 85-95.

Tiecher, T., Minella, J.P.G., Caner, L., Evrard, O., Zafar, M., Capoane, V., Le Gall, M. and Santos, D.R.d., 2017. Quantifying land use contributions to suspended sediment in a large cultivated catchment of Southern Brazil (Guaporé River, Rio Grande do Sul). *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 237: 95-108.

Tiecher, T., Ramon, R., Lacey, J.P., Evrard, O. and Minella, J.P.G., 2019. Potential of phosphorus fractions to trace sediment sources in a rural catchment of Southern Brazil: comparison with the conventional approach based on elemental geochemistry. *Geoderma*, 337: 1067-1076.

- Uber, M., Legout, C., Nord, G., Crouzet, C., Demory, F. and Poulenard, J., 2019. Comparing alternative tracing measurements and mixing models to fingerprint suspended sediment sources in a mesoscale Mediterranean catchment. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*.
- Wallbrink, P.J., 2004. Quantifying the erosion processes and land-uses which dominate fine sediment supply to Moreton Bay, Southeast Queensland, Australia. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 76.
- Wallbrink, P.J. and Murray, A.S., 1993. Use of fallout radionuclides as indicators of erosion processes. *Hydrological Processes*, 7(3): 297-304.
- Wallbrink, P.J., Murray, A.S., Olley, J.M. and Olive, L.J., 1998. Determining sources and transit times of suspended sediment in the Murrumbidgee River, New South Wales, Australia, using fallout ¹³⁷Cs and ²¹⁰Pb. *Water Resources Research*, 34(4): 879-887.
- Walling, D.E., 2005. Tracing suspended sediment sources in catchments and river systems. *Science of The Total Environment*, 344(1-3): 159-184.
- Walling, D.E., Golosov, V. and Olley, J., 2013. Introduction to the special issue 'Tracer Applications in Sediment Research'. *Hydrological processes*, 27(6): 775-780.
- Walling, D.E. and Kane, P., 1984. Suspended sediment properties and their geomorphological significance. In: T.P. Burt and D.E. Walling (Editors), *Catchment Experiments in Fluvial Geomorphology*, Geo Books, Norwich, pp. 311-334.
- Walling, D.E., Woodward, J.C. and Nicholas, A.P., 1993. A multi-parameter approach to fingerprinting suspended-sediment sources. In: N.E. Peters, E. Hoehn, C. Leibundgut, N. Tase and D.E. Walling (Editors), *Tracers in Hydrology*, IAHS Publication No. 215, IAHS Press, Wallingford, pp. 329-338.
- Waters, C.N., Zalasiewicz, J., Summerhayes, C., Barnosky, A.D., Poirier, C., Gałuszka, A., Cearreta, A., Edgeworth, M., Ellis, E.C. and Ellis, M., 2016. The Anthropocene is functionally and stratigraphically distinct from the Holocene. *Science*, 351(6269): 137-147.
- Wittmann, H., von Blanckenburg, F., Guyot, J.L., Laraque, A., Bernal, C. and Kubik, P.W., 2011. Sediment production and transport from in situ-produced cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and river loads in the Napo River basin, an upper Amazon tributary of Ecuador and Peru. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 31(1): 45-53.
- Wood, P.A., 1978. Fine-sediment mineralogy of source rocks and suspended sediment, rother catchment, West Sussex. *Earth Surface Processes*, 3(3): 255-263.
-