

Systematic utilization of layered double hydroxide nanosheets for effective removal of methyl orange from an aqueous system by π - π stacking-induced nanoconfinement

Su-Joung Ko, Tetsuo Yamaguchi, Fabrice Salles, Jae-Min Oh

▶ To cite this version:

Su-Joung Ko, Tetsuo Yamaguchi, Fabrice Salles, Jae-Min Oh. Systematic utilization of layered double hydroxide nanosheets for effective removal of methyl orange from an aqueous system by π - π stacking-induced nanoconfinement. Journal of Environmental Management, 2021, 277, pp.111455. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111455. hal-02970787

HAL Id: hal-02970787 https://hal.science/hal-02970787

Submitted on 18 Nov 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Title: Systematic utilization of layered double hydroxide nanosheets for effective removal of methyl
2	orange from aqueous system by π - π stacking induced nanoconfinement
3	
4	Su-Joung Ko ^{1§} , Tetsuo Yamaguchi ^{1§} , Fabrice Salles ^{2*} , Jae-Min Oh ^{1*}
5	
6	¹ Department of Energy and Materials Engineering, Dongguk University-Seoul, Seoul 04620, Korea
7	² ICGM, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France
8	e-mail: JM. Oh jaemin.oh@dongguk.edu
9	F. Salles fabrice.salles@umontpellier.fr
10	[§] These authors equally contributed to the work.
11	

12Abstract

13 Systematic utilization of carbonated Mg-Al layered double hydroxide (LDH) nanosheets on 14methyl orange removal was investigated with a respect to particle dimension. LDHs with smallest 15dimension were synthesized carefully to have a small lateral size as well as high dispersibility. The 16other particles with medium and large sizes were prepared by hydrothermal treatment and urea 17hydrolysis to have larger size and higher crystallinity. According to kinetics and isotherm analyses, 18 the smallest sized LDH showed effective adsorption of methyl orange (1250 mg/g-LDH) which was 19remarkable higher than the other LDHs with the larger lateral sizes. Compared with the larger lateral 20sized LDHs, the small sized ones were verified to utilize all the accessible adsorption sites of the 21nanosheets generating nanoconfinement of the methyl orange molecules. Transmission electron 22microscopy (TEM) and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns definitely indicated that the LDHs 23with ~40 nm of the lateral dimension could fully utilize the interlayer nanospace of the LDH. Monte-24Carlo simulation suggested the intercalated methyl orange could be stabilized not only through 25electrostatic interaction with the LDH layer but also by π - π stacking between the methyl orange 26molecules, which is thought to be the driving force to replace carbonate anion.

27

28Keywords

29Cationic dye removal, LDH, Monte-Carlo simulation, size effect, intercalation

30

311. Introduction

32Water pollution is one of most serious global problems. In order to address the problem, 33 removal of the pollutant is a secure way and thus environmental friendly adsorbent is important. 34Various adsorbents have been developed to specifically remove cations (Delkash et al., 2015; 35Leodopoulos et al., 2014; Liu and Zhang, 2007; Malamis and Katsou, 2013; Mohanty et al., 2006b, 36 2006a; Srividya and Mohanty, 2009; Teepakakorn et al., 2019; Yamaguchi and Ogawa, 2020), anions

1 (Khan et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014; Teepakakorn et al., 2019), neutral molecules(Aznar et al., 2016; $\mathbf{2}$ Gomez et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2013; Malamis and Katsou, 2013; Phuekphong et al., 2020; 3 Teepakakorn et al., 2019; Yamaguchi and Ogawa, 2020; Zhang et al., 2017), polymers (Pilavtepe et 4 al., 2018; Sun et al., 2011) and etc. Among them, layered double hydroxide (LDH), also known as $\mathbf{5}$ anionic clay, has attracted special interests as anion scavenger (Choy et al., 2007; Goh et al., 2008; 6 Kim et al., 2014; Leroux and Taviot-Gueho, 2005; Miyata, 1983; Omwoma et al., 2014). Generally, 7 LDHs are composed of positively charged nanolayers $(M(II)_{1-x}M(III)_x(OH)_2^{x+}; M(II), M(III): divalent$ 8 and trivalent metal cations, 0.2 < x < 0.4) and charge-compensating interlayer anions. Various types 9 of divalent (Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn) and trivalent (Al, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cr and Ga) metal 10 cations are accepted for the nanosheets (Rives, 2001). The interlayer anions, for example carbonate, 11 halogens, sulfate, nitrate and so on can be directly accommodated (Goh et al., 2008) by using 12corresponding metal salts during synthesis. The LDHs have strong points as anionic scavenger. 13 First, the LDHs can be synthesized in relatively mild conditions (e.g. at room temperature in water) 14with biocompatible metal cations (Wijitwongwan et al., 2019). Second, various kinds of organic 15anions can be incorporated between the nanosheets through ion-exchange reaction (Leroux and Taviot-16 Gueho, 2005; Wang and Hare, 2012); and high theoretical specific surface area of single LDH 17nanosheet (~2,000 m² g⁻¹) calculated from unit cell of the LDH layer enables accommodation of a 18 large amount of the anions. Third, the LDHs can be collected from aqueous systems by simple 19filtration or sedimentation. Fourth, dye selectivity based on molecular configuration and size is 20expected from the report of clays (Atun et al., 2009; Bée et al., 2017; Smith and Jaffé, 1994), although 21the anion selectivity for LDH is almost limited in inorganic cations so far (He et al., 2010; Li et al., 222017).

23In spite of above-mentioned advantages, the LDHs have some drawbacks as practical 24anionic adsorbents. Carbonate anion is extremely stable between the LDH layers. Thus, it is easy to 25prepare carbonated LDHs but their interlayer space cannot be fully exploited as adsorbent site due to 26the low ion-exchange susceptibility (Choy et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2014; Oh et al., 2009), which is a 27reason of the smaller empirical surface area than the theoretical one. On the other hand, exchanged 28LDHs, such as nitrated one, can effectively adsorb anions through ion-exchange, but the production 29of the nitrated LDHs requires much energy and the release nitrate after anion removal can induce 30 secondary pollution by eutrophication. In this regard, it is strongly required to find a way to utilize 31as many adsorption sites of the carbonated LDHs as possible in order to obtain environmental-friendly 32and economical adsorbent.

There have been many reports on LDH based anion adsorbent. As it is thought that the LDHs form laminated particles in aqueous systems, maximum utilization of the laminated structure expects effective removal of the anionic pollutants (Cheng et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2014). In previous study, the adsorption of methyl orange (MO) onto carboxylate-CuZn-LDH (476 mg/g-LDH) (Zhu et al., 1 2014), Fe₂O₃ doped nitrate-MgAl-LDH (577 mg/g-LDH) (Cheng et al., 2019) and Cl-Co₄Al-LDH 2 (801 mg/g-LDH) (Chen et al., 2019) was reported. In these cases, the adsorption followed the 3 Langmuir isotherm, indicating that the intercalation of MO into the interlayer nanospace did not occur 4 effectively despite of exchangeable carboxylate, nitrate and chloride. In order to solve the problem, 5 ion-exchange behavior of the LDHs with respect to their physicochemical properties should be 6 understood before adsorbent design. Sufficient comprehension would even enable the utility of the 7 carbonated LDHs as an efficient adsorbent.

8 Although there have been extensive researches on the anion scavenging LDHs, to the best 9 of our knowledge, there have been less interests in how the anions are removed by the LDHs and how 10 the removal efficiency would be maximized. In addition, the elucidation of the adsorption or 11 intercalation mechanisms by Monte Carlo simulations are scarce. Some examples for intercalation of 12antibiotics (cite here Zaher et al., 2020; 10.1007/s11356-020-07750-3 or Younes et al., 2019; 13 10.1016/j.jtice.2019.06.018) can be found in literature but only few articles deal with the use of 14simulations for dyes (cite Meng et al., 2019; 10.1016/j.clay.2019.01.012 and Aguiar et al., 2013; 1510.1080/01496395.2013.804837). Meng et al., 2018; 10.1016/j.clay.2018.04.008 have already studied 16by Monte Carlo the methyl orange adsorption in Zn-Al samples but in order to extract the energy of 17interaction.

18 We chose MO due as a model adsorbate due to the following reasons: i) MO is the representative azo-19based dyes which are widely utilized in industry, ii) chemistry of MO is well comprehended so that 20the mechanistic study of MO adsorption could be effectively carried out, and iii) there exist many 21references to compare adsorption. In this regard, our final goal is to elucidate MO adsorption on the 22LDHs with respect to physicochemical properties and to find a way to exploit all the possible 23adsorption sites of the carbonated LDHs. In this study, we hypothesized that effective usage of the 24interlayer nanospace is possible when a LDH with a smaller lateral size (small aspect ratio) is dispersed 25in a pollutant solution thanks to the accessibility to the interlayer nanospace. In order to achieve the 26efficient adsorption of MO, the carbonated LDH with the lateral diameter of 40 nm was synthesized 27by two titration steps to regulate a number of crystal nuclei and a nucleation rate. Other LDHs with 28different lateral sizes were also carefully synthesized to have well-defined physicochemical properties. 29Time dependent MO adsorption and isotherms with respect to particle dimension were obtained and 30 analyzed by mathematical modelling to show the effective adsorption of MO onto the smaller sized 31LDHs. In addition, the Monte-Carlo simulation was carried out, to investigate the plausible 32adsorption sites upon MO intercalation and therefore to elucidate the conformation of the guest 33 molecules in the LDH structure. The microscopic simulations indicate that π - π stacking stabilized MO 34in the interlayer nanospace and thus the stabilization is thought to be driving force of the MO 35adsorption through replacing even the carbonate anions.

1 **2. Experimental**

2 2.1. Materials

Aluminum nitrate nonahydrate (Al(NO₃)₂·9H₂O; 98%), urea (CH₄N₂O; 99.5%), methyl
orange (C₁₄H₁₄N₃NaO₃S; 85%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC, St. Louis., USA.
Sodium hydroxide pellets (NaOH; 97.0%) and sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃; 99.7%) were obtained
from Daejung Chemicals & Metals Co., Ltd., Siheung, Korea. Magnesium nitrate hexahydrate
(Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O; 99.0%) was purchased from Junsei Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan. The
deionized water was prepared from water purification system of Human power II+ (Human
Corporation, Korea).

10

11 **2.2. Syntheses of size-controlled LDHs**

12In order to obtain carbonated MgAl-LDHs with different lateral sizes, customized synthetic routes were applied. In order to obtain small size, a mixed metal solution (0.3 mol L^{-1} Mg²⁺ and 0.15 1314mol L^{-1} Al³⁺) was titrated with an alkaline solution (0.12 mol L^{-1} NaOH and 0.9 mol L^{-1} NaHCO₃) 15until pH 9.5 for coprecipitation of both metal hydroxides. Especially, this process was carried out in 16 two steps to produce small particles while preventing agglomeration among particles. The first step 17was fast titration until pH 7.0 to form as many nuclei as possible; through this step, the nucleation 18 could be limited and the size of LDH would be small. The second step was slow titration until pH 199.5 and following aging at room temperature for 24 h. This process was expected to make particle 20surface smooth to reduce unintentional particle agglomeration. For the medium sized LDH, the metal 21solution was titrated by the alkaline solution until pH 9.5 and was hydrothermally treated at 150 °C 22for 24 h. For the largest LDH, urea hydrolysis method was applied; an aqueous solution (0.375 mol L^{-1} Mg²⁺, 0.075 mol L^{-1} Al³⁺ and 1.5 mol L^{-1} urea) was mixed at 90 °C for 48 h with vigorous stirring. 2324All the white suspensions obtained from each reaction were centrifuged, thoroughly washed with 25deionized and lyophilized. Taking into account the lateral sizes of the LDHs, small, medium and 26large, the LDHs were designated as LDH-S, LDH-M and LDH-L, respectively.

27

28 **2.3. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD)**

The crystallinity and crystal structure of the synthesized LDHs were evaluated by powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD) with a Bruker AXS D2 phaser (LYNXEYETM detector; Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) using Ni-filtered Cu-K α radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) with 1 mm of air-scattering slit and 0.1 mm of equatorial slit. XRD patterns were measured in a 2 θ range from 5° to 80° with the time step increments of 0.02° and a scanning rate of 0.5 s/step. Crystallite sizes of samples were calculated by Scherrer's equation as follows; t= (0.9 λ)/(B·cos θ) where λ : X-ray wavelength, B: fullwidth-at-half-maximum, θ : Bragg angle).

1 2.4. Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission (ICP-OES)

Compositions of metallic elements in the LDHs were analyzed by inductively coupled plasmaoptical emission spectrometer using plasma and spectrometer (ICP-OES; OPTIMA 7300DV, PerkinElmer). The powdered samples were thoroughly dissolved in hydrochloric acid and subsequently the solvent was evaporated on a hot plate. The remnants were added to 100 mL of deionized water and filtered with syringe filter (PVDF, 0.45 μm, 13 mm, Jaema Trade Inc.) for ICP measurement.

8

9 2.5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Morphologies and particle sizes of the LDHs were confirmed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Quanta 250 FEG (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA). For the SEM measurement, the samples were suspended in deionized water and then, the suspensions were dropped on a silicon wafer and dried in ambient conditions. The surface of the specimen was sputtered with Pt/Pd plasma for 60 s, and the images were obtained using a 30 kV accelerated electron beam.

15

16 **2.6.** N₂ gas adsorption-desorption isotherm

N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained by Belsorp-mini (BEL, Japan, Inc, Osaka,
Japan) after pre-treatment in vacuum for 12 h at 150 °C using BELPREP-vacII (BEL, Japan, Inc,
Osaka, Japan). Specific surface areas of the LDHs were calculated according to the BrunauerEmmett-Teller (BET) theory and the porosities of the samples were estimated by Barret-JoynerHalenda (BJH) analysis method.

22

23 **2.7. Dynamic light scattering (DLS)**

Zeta potential values and hydrodynamic radii were obtained by electrophoretic light scattering and dynamic light scattering (DLS) using an ELS-Z1000 (Otsuka Electronics, Osaka, Japan). For electrophoretic light scattering measurement, the LDHs were dispersed in deionized water, where the pH value was adjusted to ~8.4 with 0.1 mol L^{-1} HCl or NaOH. The DLS measurement was done with the suspension without pH adjustment.

29

30 **2.8. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)**

Cross-sectional morphologies and interlayer distances of the LDHs before and after adsorption of
 MO were determined by Field Emission Transmission Electron Microscope (FE-TEM; Titan G2
 ChemiSTEM Cs probe, FEI company) operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. TEM
 specimens for cross-sectional observation were prepared using Cryo Ultramicrotome (CUMT; PT PC
 Ultramicrotome & Photographic).

1 **2.9.** Adsorption of methyl orange

 $\mathbf{2}$ Time-dependent MO adsorption was observed at 7.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ MO solution. The LDHs 3 were suspended in deionized water (143 mg 10 mL⁻¹) and added to 100 mL of the MO solution. Aliquots (1 mL) were collected at each time point until 48 h under stirring at room temperature, and 4 $\mathbf{5}$ then centrifuged and filtered by a syringe filter (PVDF, 0.45 µm, 13 mm, Jaema Trade Inc.). The 6 adsorbed amount of MO was determined by quantifying un-adsorbed moiety in the supernatants and 7 analyzed by using visible light spectroscopy (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The absorbance was measured at the wavelength of 464 nm which was an absorption peak of MO. The adsorbed 8 9 amount, Q_t (mg/g-LDH) was calculated as

$$Q_t = \frac{V(C_0 - C_t)}{W}$$
 (eq. 1)

11 C_0 and C_t were concentrations at time 0 and *t* of the MO solution (mol L⁻¹), *V* was solution volume 12 (mL) and *W* was mass of the absorbent (g). The time dependent adsorption was fitted by pseudo-first 13 order, pseudo-second order and Elovich equations.

14The concentration dependent of MO adsorption on each LDH was carried out using the batch 15method. The LDHs were dispersed in deionized water (10 mg mL⁻¹) and 1.5 mL of each suspension 16was mixed with 10 mL MO solution with the concentration range of MO from 1.0×10^{-5} to 1.5×10^{-2} 17mol L^{-1} . The suspensions were shaken for 24 h with an orbital shaker and centrifuged at 3500 rpm 18 for 5 min. The supernatant filtered with the syringe filter were analyzed by using UV-vis 19spectroscopy. The adsorption amount was calculated similarly. Obtained adsorption isotherms were 20analyzed by three models of the Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips isotherms. The procedure was 21repeated three times.

22

23 **2.10. Monte-Carlo simulations**

In order to estimate the molecular configuration of MO in LDH interlayer space, Monte-Carlo simulations were performed by using a home-made code. The molecular structure of MO was built, and the partial charges were calculated by density functional theory (DFT) calculation with DMol³. In this case, the geometry optimization was performed using GGA/PW91 functional, DNP basis set and all electrons are considered for the core treatment. The convergence tolerances for Energy, maximum force and maximum force variation were the following 10⁻⁵ Ha, 0.02 Ha/Å, 0.005 Å respectively (see Computational details in the Supporting Information).

Regarding LDH, the structure was taken from the methodology described the literature (Newman et al., 1998) and by adapting the chemical composition and the unit cell parameters to the ones obtained experimentally. Then the partial charges of the LDH structure were calculated by a electronegativity equalization method. The Lennard-Jones parameters were issued from universal force field (UFF). A multi-cell formed by 3x3x1 unit cells for LDH was used for the Monte Carlo calculations in agreement with a cut-off for Lennard-Jones contributions fixed to 12.5 Å. The final parameters corresponded thus at a=b=27.414 Å, c=57 Å, $\alpha=\beta=90^{\circ}$ and $\chi=120^{\circ}$. The parameters of the adsorbate/adsorbent Lonnard-Jones interatomic potential were then calculated using the Lorentz-Berthelot combining rule. Concerning the long-range electrostatic interactions, the summation Ewald technique was applied.

6 The simulations were performed in order to minimize the system energy by introducing a 7 fixed number of anions in the structure. During these calculations, the motion of the anions included 8 translation and rotation randomly considered during the equilibration steps. For that purpose, 10^7 9 Monte Carlo production steps following 2×10^7 equilibration steps were performed at 300 K to extract 10 the distribution of interlayer anions.

11

12 **3. Result and discussion**

13 **3.1. Characterization**

14For systematic optimization, we would like to fix all the other parameters same except the 15Taking of of **LDHs** size. into account general chemical equation а $([M^{2+}_{1-x}M^{3+}_{x}(OH)_{2}]^{x}(A^{n-}_{x/p})^{X-} mH_{2}O)$, chemical formulas were determined almost same for the three 16LDHs by ICP measurement: LDH-S ([Mg_{0.66}Al_{0.34}(OH)₂]^{0.34+}(CO₃²⁻_{0.17})^{0.34-}·mH₂O), LDH-M 17 $([Mg_{0.67}Al_{0.33}(OH)_2]^{0.33+}(CO_3^{2-}_{0.16})^{0.33-} \cdot mH_2O)$ 18 LDH-L and $([Mg_{0.66}Al_{0.34}(OH)_2]^{0.34+}(CO_3^{2-}_{0.17})^{0.34-} \cdot mH_2O).$ 19Furthermore, we characterized the structural 20features such as phase, crystallinity and crystallite size of the LDHs. As shown in Fig. 1(a), all 21diffractograms had well-developed (003) and (006) peaks at the same position 11.7° and 23.6° along 22with several characteristic lattices peaks corresponding to hydrotalcite (JCPDS 14-0191) (San Román 23et al., 2008). The basal spacings of the LDHs were estimated to be 0.76 nm, suggesting existence of 24interlayer carbonate anions. The lower intensity of the diffraction was observed in the smaller particle 25size. It indicates that the crystallinity of the LDHs decreased with the reduction in the particle size. 26We could also calculate the crystallite size along (003) plane to estimate the order of stacking along 27crystallographic c-axis. As summarized in Table 1, the crystallite sizes were 5.3, 42 and 36 nm for 28LDH-S, LDH-M, and LDH-L, respectively, showing that smaller particles tended to have less-ordered 29layer stacking. The XRD results confirmed that the prepared LDHs were same in crystal phase but 30 different in crystallinity and crystallite size.



1

Fig. 1 (A) Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns and (B) scanning electron microscopic
(SEM) images of (a) LDH-S, (b) LDH-M and (c) LDH-L. The XRD intensity for (a) was multiplied
by 10 for peak comparison. The vertical lines in the bottom of XRD patterns are the reference peak
from JCPDS 14-0191 (hydrotalcite) (San Román et al., 2008).

7 Table 1. Physicochemical properties of LDHs

	XRD		SEM		N ₂ adso desor	orption- rption	Colloidal analysis	
Sample	Crystalline phase	Crystallite size ^a (nm)	Lateral dimension/thickness (nm/nm)	S _{THE} (m²/g) ^b	S _{BET} (m²/g)	Total pore volume (cm ³ /g)	Zeta potential	Hydrodynamic radius
LDH-S		5.3	40/40	72.8	53.2	0.121	27.9	127.5
LDH-M	hydrotalcite	42	190/68	24.5	20.9	0.245	31.8	189.7
LDH-L		36	3000/100	10.4	22.8	0.151	30.6	2863

8 ^aCrystallite sizes were calculated with Scherrer's equation utilizing (003) peak from XRD.

⁹ ^bTheoretical specific surface areas were determined by calculating surface area of one particle. 10 Particle dimensions obtained from SEM were utilized to compute particle volume and area. Particle 11 density 2.06 g/cm³ of hydrotalcite (Mg₃Al(OH)₆(CO₃)_{0.5}·0.1H₂O) was utilized to calculate particle 12 mass.

13

14 **3.2. SEM**

The SEM images showed that the three LDHs were successfully synthesized to have different particle dimensions as intended (Fig. 1). As summarized in Table 1, the lateral size and thickness were clearly different in each other, especially the degree of the difference was more significant for lateral size showing 40, 190, and 3000 nm for LDH-S, LDH-M and LDH-L, respectively. Although the particles of LDH-S seemed to form agglomerates, we could distinguish the primary particle size of 40 nm. As described in the experimental section, we especially made efforts in 1 preparing LDH-S by controlling the nucleation rate and the edge smoothness. As reported previously, $\mathbf{2}$ controlling nucleus number and nucleation rate is critical in particle size control (Nývlt et al., 1985). 3 In addition, the edge of the particle become ordered through aging while the particle dimension was 4 generally preserved (Nývlt et al., 1985). Due to the customized synthesis control, LDH-S could avoid $\mathbf{5}$ agglomeration among the particles, which were commonly found in small sized LDHs through edge-6 to-face interaction.(Clark et al., 2019; Jose et al., 2018) In order to estimate the homogeneity in the 7 particle size, we obtained size distribution from randomly obtained 200 particles from the SEM images 8 (Fig. S1 in supporting information). The results revealed that the particles were fairly homogeneous 9 in the size, which was further verified in suspension states through dynamic light scattering (DLS).

10

11 **3.3.** N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm

12 As the surface area and pore structure are the determining parameters for adsorbent, we then 13 carried out N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms onto the LDHs (Fig. S2 in supporting information). 14Both LDH-L and LDH-M showed Type III adsorption (weak adsorptive interaction), while LDH-S 15had some feature of Type IV adsorption (capillary condensation by mesopores) (Sing, 1985; Thommes 16 et al., 2015). As it is obvious that the interlayer space of carbonated LDH does not serve as adsorption 17site for gases (Olfs et al., 2009), we could expect that the Type IV in LDH-S might be attributed to 18 interparticle pores. As it was confirmed from the SEM that the primary particle diameter was ~40 19 nm, we could geometrically expect the interparticle cavity of ~10 nm which lays within the 20mesoporous range to induce the capillary condensation. In terms of the desorption branch, LDH-S 21showed the H2 type hysteresis (IUPAC classification) while LDH-M and LDH-L did not show it; the 22difference, similar to the adsorption pattern, was attributed to the different particle size. Nevertheless, 23we did not seriously consider the difference in the N_2 adsorption-desorption patterns, as the adsorption 24of MO in aqueous suspensions would be more governed by specific surface area. The calculated S_{BFT} 25from the early stage of adsorption was 53.2, 20.9 and 22.8 m² g⁻¹ for LDH-S, LDH-M and LDH-L, 26respectively (Table 1). As the particle had only external surface as gas adsorption site, the difference 27in the particle size did not strikingly affect the specific surface area. As expected, the specific surface 28area was not significantly different in each other despite of the different N_2 adsorption-desorption 29patterns. Furthermore, we calculated theoretical surface area (S_{THE}) of the particles based on the size 30 and density of the LDHs (Table 1). The theoretical value was fairly comparable with the experimental 31values, showing that most of the surface sites were exposed to adsorb molecules. From the N_2 32adsorption-desorption study, we could expect that LDH-S had slight advantage compared with the 33 other two samples in terms of surface adsorption.

- 34
- 35 3.4. DLS
- 36

Although we confirmed the different primary particle sizes among the LDHs, we further

1 characterized them in the aqueous state utilizing DLS (Fig. S3 in supporting information, Table 1) as $\mathbf{2}$ our practical adsorption occurs in the water. The hydrodynamic size in the aqueous suspension 3 showed average value of 128, 190 and 2863 nm. It was worthy to note here that the hydrodynamic 4 radius from DLS had comparable tendency with primary particle sizes from SEM. Moreover, taking $\mathbf{5}$ into account the peak shape and width, the hydrodynamic radius was considered fairly homogeneous. 6 We also checked the surface charge of the LDHs through zeta potential measurement, as the MO 7 adsorption could be strongly affected by the charge-charge interactions between a sulfonate group of 8 MO and positive LDH layer. We controlled the synthetic condition for the LDHs to have same 9 composition and not to have any impurities; therefore the surface charge was successfully controlled 10 same within 27.9 - 30.6 mV in the same pH region.

According to the physicochemical characterizations, it was clearly confirmed that the three carbonated LDHs were prepared to have different properties in the particle size (both in powder and suspension) and crystallinity but comparable properties like crystalline phase, surface chemistry, pore structure and etc. Therefore, we proceeded the MO adsorption for the three LDHs in order to monitor the particle size effect on aqueous MO removal.

16

17 **3.5. Methyl orange (MO) adsorption**

For the first step, we investigated time-dependent MO adsorption at 7.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ of an initial concentration. It was clearly shown that the adsorption of MO onto LDH-S continued to 50 h while the adsorption onto LDH-M and LDH-L reached equilibrium within 4 h shown in Fig. 2. The MO adsorption kinetic was fitted to well-established model like pseudo-first order (eq. 2), pseudosecond order (eq. 3) and the Elovich equation (eq. 4) (Table 2).

$$\ln(Q_e - Q_t) = \ln Q_e - k_1 t \tag{eq. 2}$$

24
$$\frac{t}{Q_t} = \left(\frac{t}{Q_e}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{k_2 Q_e^2}\right)$$
 (eq. 3)

25
$$Q_t = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \ln(\alpha\beta) + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \ln t \qquad (eq. 4)$$

26 (Q_e : equilibrium adsorption amount of MO (mg/g-LDH), Q_i : adsorbed amount (mg/g-LDH) at time *t* 27 (min), k_1 : pseudo-first order rate constant (min⁻¹), k_2 : pseudo-second order rate constant (g (mg min)⁻¹), 28 α : initial adsorption rate (g (mg min)⁻¹) and β : Elovich constant (g mg⁻¹).

As shown in Fig. S4 in supporting information, the kinetics were not sufficiently explained by pseudo-first order but explained well by pseudo-second order kinetics. We could compare the adsorption kinetics of the three LDHs utilizing pseudo-second order (Table 2). It was clearly shown that Q_e of LDH-S was much larger (more than twice) than that of LDH-L, suggesting its excellent adsorption ability. The adsorption rate k_2 was following order: LDH-L > LDH-M > LDH-S. The calculated k_2 value of LDH-S was about 1/4 for LDH-M and 1/20 for LDH-L. The difference in

1 kinetic constants represented that smaller particles required more time to be equilibrated, suggesting $\mathbf{2}$ that additional adsorption sites compared with LDH-M and LDH-L were activated in LDH-S. The 3 Elovich model showed anomalous fitting results with respect to the dimension of the LDHs. The R^2 4 values obtained from the Elovich equation decreased with increase of the lateral dimension of the $\mathbf{5}$ LDHs. Although the Elovich equation is empirical and it was hard to conclude the meaning of the 6 fitting constants, the major hypothesis of this model - chemisorption and adsorption onto $\overline{7}$ heterogeneous surface (Ramachandran et al., 2011; Turner, 1975) - implied distinguishable adsorption 8 behavior of the small sized LDH compared with larger ones. In other words, the adsorption onto 9 LDH-S could include the chemisorption or adsorption onto heterogeneous surface while that onto 10 LDH-L preferred to the physisorption onto smooth surface. Possible explanation for the irreversible 11 adsorption onto LDH-S is the electrostatic affinity of MO towards LDH layer and additional molecular 12attraction at the adsorbed state. Furthermore it can also be hypothesized that the global charge 13delocalization due to the substitution in the layer is weaker in the case of LDH-S than in LDH-L. 14This should lead to enhance the electrostatic effect towards the larger MO anions.

1516



18Fig. 2Time-dependent MO adsorption onto the LDHs (-O-: LDH-S, - \Box -: LDH-M, - \diamond -: LDH-L)19under ambient conditions with the initial concentration of MO of 7.0×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹.

20

17

Table 2. Kinetic parameters obtained from fitting with pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order andthe Elovich equations.

	Pseud	lo-first ord	ler	Pseudo-	second or	der	Elovich equation		
Adsorbant	e	quation		eq	uation				
Ausoideni	k_1	Q_e	D 2	<i>k</i> ₂ (g (mg	Q_e	D ²	α (g (mg	β (g	D 2
	(\min^{-1})	(mg/g)	К	$min)^{-1}$)	(mg/g)	К	min) ⁻¹)	$(mg)^{-1})$	л
LDH-S	1.4×10^{-3}	368.5	0.94	2.06×10^{-5}	1250	0.99	3.84×10 ⁴	0.0105	0.99

LDH-M	1.0×10^{-3}	74.2	0.95	9.08×10 ⁻⁵	555.6	0.99	7.38×10 ¹²	0.062	0.96
LDH-L	N.A.	N.A.	0.007	4.37×10^{-4}	476.2	0.99	N.A.	N.A.	0.5

 $\mathbf{2}$ As summarized in Table 2, the Q_e of LDH-S (1250 mg/g-LDH) was larger than double of those of 3 LDH-M and LDH-L (555 and 476 mg/g-LDH, respectively). Despite of comparable hydrodynamic 4 radius of LDH-S with LDH-M, it showed significantly increase in adsorption. This implied that the agglomeration of LDH-S was fairly negligible in terms of the MO adsorption and that LDH-S would 5 6 have different MO adsorption mechanism compared with larger LDHs. The adsorbed amount at 7 150 min was converted to % removal of MO showing 65, 31, and 30% for LDH-S, LDH-M and LDH-8 L, respectively. As shown in Fig. S3 in supporting information, the zeta potential of the LDHs was 9 same. It indicated that the charge-charge interactions between MO and the LDHs were not the only 10 reason of the larger adsorbed amount of MO onto LDH-S than the others. The adsorption amount of 11 MO onto LDH-S was higher than that onto other materials (Table S1 in supporting information), 12expecting that LDH with small particle size is an excellent adsorbent of water pollution. Even the 13previously reported hybrid LDH adsorbent such as graphene-LDH (Zheng et al., 2019), carboxylate-14LDH (Zhu et al., 2014), Fe₂O₃-doped LDH (Cheng et al., 2019) and Cl-Co₄Al-LDH (Chen et al., 2019) exhibited less adsorption amount (438, 476, 577 and 801 mg/g-LDH, respectively) than the 1516 LDH-S in current study.

17

18 **3.6. Adsorption isotherm**

Adsorption isotherms of MO onto the LDHs are shown in Fig. 3. The adsorbed amount (mg/g-LDH) of MO onto LDH-S was higher than those onto LDH-M and LDH-L in the equilibrium concentration from 0 to 0.010 mol L^{-1} . Similarly, the adsorbed amount based on the surface area of sample (mg m⁻²) was higher in LDH-S than the others. The adsorption isotherms were analyzed by the Langmuir (eq. 5), Freundlich (eq. 6) equations and the Sips isotherm (eq. 7).

24

25

$$\frac{1}{Q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_{max}KC_e} + \frac{1}{Q_{max}}$$
(eq. 5)

$$\log Q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \tag{eq. 6}$$

$$Q_e = \frac{Q_{max}K_S C_e^n}{1 + K_S C_e^n} \tag{eq. 7}$$

28

27

29 (Q_{max} : maximum amount of adsorbed MO (mg/g-LDH), Q_e : adsorbed MO at equilibrium (mg/g-LDH), 30 C_e : concentration of MO at equilibrium (mol L⁻¹), *K*: adsorption equilibrium constant (L mol⁻¹), *K_F*: 31 Freundlich adsorption capacity constant (mg g⁻¹ Lⁿ mol⁻ⁿ), *K_S*: Sips equilibrium constant (Lⁿ mol⁻ⁿ) 32 and *n*: related value to the adsorption intensity with heterogeneity of the LDHs) 1 The R^2 values obtained from the fittings with the Freundlich equation were higher than those $\mathbf{2}$ with the Langmuir and Sips equations in the three LDHs as shown in Fig. S5 in supporting information and as summarized in Table 3. The Freundlich model allows the multilayer adsorption, whereas the 3 Langmuir and Sips equations are based on monolayer adsorption (Tzabar and ter Brake, 2016). The 4 $\mathbf{5}$ molecular dimension of MO estimated from single crystal X-ray crystallography is a cylinder with 1.5 6 nm length and 0.5 nm diameter (Burke et al., 2004). The molecular density of the adsorbed MO on $\overline{7}$ the LDH-S was estimated to be larger than 40 molecules nm^{-2} from S_{BET}. Taking into account the 8 two aspects, it is strongly suggested that the MO molecules existed in aggregates (Grégoire et al., 9 2019) or they were intercalated into the interlayer space (Neumann et al., 2002).

10





12Fig. 3Methyl orange adsorption isotherms (a) adsorbed amount was determined based on mg-13MO/g-LDH (b) adsorbed amount was determined based on mg-MO per surface area (m^2) of LDH. (-14O-: LDH-S, - \Box -: LDH-M, - \diamond -: LDH-L) For conversion of mg g⁻¹ to mg m⁻², specific surface area15values obtained from N2 adsorption-desorption isotherm were utilized.

Table 3. Isotherm parameters of MO adsorption on LDH adsorbent based on various adsorptionmodels

	Langmuir			Freundlich			Sips		
Q_{max}	K(L	D ²	K_F	10	\mathbf{D}^2	Q_{max}		Ks	\mathbf{p}^2
(mg/	mol^{-1}	К	(mg	п	К	(mg/g)	п	(L ⁿ	К

	g))		$g^{-1} L^n$					mol^{-n}	
				mol^{-n}))	
LDH-S	1250	1143	0.98	24272	1.84	0.99	5817	0.61	7.58	0.99
LDH-M	625	320	0.97	9786	1.63	0.99	788	0.98	143.5	0.98
LDH-L	455	733	0.97	2045	2.95	0.99	673	0.64	30.5	0.98

2 **3.7. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

3 The adsorption kinetics and isotherms suggested the possibility of the MO intercalation into 4 LDH-S replacing pre-existing carbonate anion. In order to detect the intercalation directly, highresolution cross-section TEM was carried out (Fig. 4). The TEM image of LDH-S showed assembly $\mathbf{5}$ 6 of lamellar structure of which interlayer distance was estimated to be 0.76 nm (Fig. 4a), which was 7comparable to the PXRD pattern (Fig. 1). Although the morphology of LDH-S was not largely 8 changed as shown in Fig. S9 in supporting information (El Hassani et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2014), the 9 adsorption of MO increased the interlayer distance to 1.9 nm (Fig. 4a), strongly indicating the 10 intercalation of MO into the interlayer space despite of the stability of the interlayer carbonate anions 11 (Miyata, 1983). New diffraction peak at 4.6° after the MO adsorption on LDH-S corresponded to the 12basal spacing of 1.92 nm in PXRD, corroborating the adsorption of MO even in the interlayer space 13of LDH-S (Fig. 5a). In case of LDH-M, three interlayer distances of 0.76, 0.93 and 1.9 nm were 14 observed in the TEM image (Fig. 5b), suggesting that the MO was partially intercalated into the interlayer space. The PXRD pattern of LDH-M adsorbed MO showed both diffraction peaks at 4.6° 1516and 11.7°, which supported the observation of TEM. The change of the interlayer distance of LDH-17L was not observed by TEM after the adsorption of MO, while the relatively small but definite 18 diffraction peak attributed to the LDH intercalated MO was observed at 4.6° in PXRD (Fig. 5c).





- 2 Fig. 4 Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images for (a) LDH-S, (b) LDH-M and (c) LDH-
- 3 L before (left panels) and after (right panels) MO adsorption. The interlayer distance was visualized
- 4 by the inset histograms obtained in the white rectangle region.
- $\mathbf{5}$



Fig. 5 X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) LDH-S, (b) LDH-M and (c) LDH-L before and after MO
adsorption at various MO concentration. The concentration indicates the initial concentration of MO
before adsorption.

 $\mathbf{5}$

6 **3.8. Monte-Carlo simulation**

 $\overline{7}$ In order to speculate the geometry of MO in the LDHs and to address distinct MO 8 intercalation behavior in a small sized carbonated LDH, the Monte-Carlo simulation was performed 9 (see experimental section in the detail). The first step consisted to estimate the maximum MO amount 10 that the interlayer space can accommodate by imposing the layer-layer distance obtained 11 experimentally (d₀₀₃). It followed from the calculations that 41 MO anions could enter in the interlayer space due to the steric repulsion and 20 CO_3^{2-} were required to obtain a neutral charge in 12the multi-cell considered in our calculations. This theoretical maximum loading corresponded to a 13MO mass of around 1000 mg/g dry LDH. In comparison with the experimental results (see Fig. 3), 14in LDH-M and LDH-L, CO₃²⁻ were not totally exchanged by MO while LDH-S was completely 1516 exchanged in the interlayer space and probably a non-negligible part of MO was also adsorbed on the

external surface. As shown in Fig. 6a and 6b, CO_3^{2-} were in strong interactions with the LDH layer 1 $\mathbf{2}$ through the three O but without interaction with other ions (MO or other CO_3^{2-}), while MO anions 3 could be closely packed in the interlayer space of the LDH taking advantage of nanoconfinement. If MO anions are located in the interlayer space of the LDH, its sulfonate group heads for the LDH layers 4 $\mathbf{5}$ and strongly interacts with the OH groups closed to substitutions. Various arrangements of the anions 6 are obtained from the calculations illustrating that the anions are not strictly perpendicular to the layers 7 but are tilted as observed in the case of amines in layered solids (Alby et al., 2018). Different 8 configurations are reported in Fig. 6b. Such configurations are mainly due to the size of the MO ion 9 (14.5 Å) compared to the interlayer space (19.2 Å) and taking into account of the size of the LDH 10 layer (~4 Å) and to the intermolecular interactions. Fig. 6c and 6d clearly describe that the strong π -11 π stacking between MO molecules adsorbed in the interlayer space was possible when the 12intermolecular distance is ~3.4- 3.7 Å, following a sandwich configuration, where the benzene rings 13were parallel and could interact directly together.

14It is generally accepted in clay intercalation chemistry that ionic moiety first interact with 15the surface of clay layers (Neumann et al., 2002; Valandro et al., 2015), and then the ions at the edge 16of the clay particle gradually migrate into the interlayer space through concentration gradient. 17Similarly, the MO molecules first attached on the surface, and the molecules at the periphery of the particle would have a chance to move into gallery (Fig. 7 top sides). At this stage, usual carbonated 1819LDH overcome the invasion of MO as the adsorption enthalpy calculated at the anhydrous state for 20carbonate (284 kcal/mol) is much larger than that determined for MO (122 kcal/mol). However, the 21impact of hydration shows clearly that the CO_3^{2-} can interact with water while MO acts as an inhibitor 22of the hydration due to the strong hydrophobic character of this anion. Furthermore, LDH-S with the 23small lateral dimension is expected to provide short edge circumference for the MO moiety to easily 24get π - π stabilization (110 kcal/mol), giving rise to nanoconfinement (Fig. 7a). In large particles, due 25to the long circumference, there may not be appropriate formation of a band of MO at the edge to get 26efficient π - π interaction (Fig. 7b). In this way, small particles would provide a more chance for MO 27to get additional stabilization; as a consequence, electrostatic interaction and π - π attraction of MO 28overcome the stability of carbonate to be intercalated in LDH-S. On the other hand, due to the 29stability of the adsorbed MO, the regeneration of LDH-S might be lower than the other LDH-based 30 adsorbent like previous report (Gwak et al., 2016).



Fig. 6 (a) Global snapshot of the structure of MO containing LDH, (b) snapshot of the interactions for both MO CO_3^{2-} and LDH layer (also showing the orientation of the intercalated MO), and (c and d) π - π stacking of MO in LDH simulated by Monte-Carlo method. The details of the simulation were mentioned in experimental section.

- 6
- 7



Fig. 7 Schematic diagram to explain different nanoconfinement effect of methyl orange upon
 adsorption on (a) small and (b) large LDH particles

4

1

5 4. Conclusion

6 Efficient removal of MO was achieved in a small-sized and non-agglomerated LDH (LDH- $\overline{7}$ S) The adsorption amount of LDH-S was as high as 1250 mg/g-LDH, which was much larger than 8 other reported adsorbent as well as larger sized LDH. The analyses of the adsorption kinetics 9 suggested that MO was removed mainly by the anion exchange mechanism; especially, LDH-S filled 10 its interlayer space with MO moiety through effective π - π stacking which was revealed by TEM, XRD 11 and Monte-Carlo simulation. We could conclude that this unusual interlayer utility of the carbonated 12LDH was possible with small lateral sizes ~ 40 nm. At the best of our knowledge, carbonated LDH 13 with ~ 40 nm of lateral dimension is one of the best candidates to remove the water pollutant with 14environmentally, and economically friendly characters. As future perspective, easy removal of dyes 15by LDHs with magnetic field and effective photocatalytic reactions are expected by hybridization with 16metal nanoparticles.

17

18 CRediT authorship contribution statement

19	Su-Joung Ko: Methodology, Validation, Tetsuo Yamaguchi : Writing-Original Draft, Fabrice Salles :
20	Software, Writing - Review & Editing, Jae-Min Oh : Conceptuation, Supervision

- 21
- 22

23 Conflicts of interest

- 24 There are no conflicts to declare.
- 25

26 Acknowledgement

27 This work was supported by Radiation Technology R&D program through the National Research

1	Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT(2017M2A2A6A05016600,
2	2017M2A2A6A05093711)
3	
4	References
5	Alby, D., Jeaidi, N. El, Salles, F., Zajac, J., Charnay, C., 2018. In fluence of the templating amine on the
6	nanostructure and charge of layered vanadates for radioactive wastewater treatment. ACS Appl.
7	Nano Mater. 2, 497-504. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.8b02058
8	Atun, G., Tunçay, M., Hisarli, G., Talman, R.Y., Hoşgörmez, H., 2009. Adsorption equilibria between
9	dye and surfactant in single and binary systems onto geological materials. Appl. Clay Sci. 45, 254-
10	261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2009.06.003
11	Aznar, E., Oroval, M., Pascual, L., Murguía, J.R., Martínez-Mánez, R., Sancenón, F., 2016. Gated
12	Materials for On-Command Release of Guest Molecules. Chem. Rev. 116, 561-718.
13	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00456
14	Bée, A., Obeid, L., Mbolantenaina, R., Welschbillig, M., Talbot, D., 2017. Magnetic chitosan/clay beads:
15	A magsorbent for the removal of cationic dye from water. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 421, 59-64.
16	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2016.07.022
17	Burke, N.J., Burrows, A.D., Mahon, M.F., Teat, S.J., 2004. Incorporation of sulfonate dyes into
18	hydrogen-bonded networks. CrystEngComm 6, 429-436. https://doi.org/10.1039/b406614f
19	Chen, Y., Jing, C., Zhang, X., Jiang, D., Liu, X., Dong, B., Feng, L., Li, S., Zhang, Y., 2019. Acid-salt
20	treated CoAl layered double hydroxide nanosheets with enhanced adsorption capacity of methyl
21	orange dye. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 548, 100-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2019.03.107
22	Cheng, S., Shao, L., Ma, J., Xia, X., Liu, Y., Yang, Z., Yang, C., Li, S., 2019. Simultaneous removal of
23	phosphates and dyes by double hydroxide nanoflakes. Environ. Sci. Nano 6, 2615–2625.
24	https://doi.org/10.1039/c9en00520j
25	Choy, J.H., Choi, S.J., Oh, J.M., Park, T., 2007. Clay minerals and layered double hydroxides for novel
26	biological applications. Appl. Clay Sci. 36, 122-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2006.07.007
27	Clark, I., Gomes, R.L., Crawshaw, C., Neve, L., Lodge, R., Fay, M., Winkler, C., Hulle, M., Lester, E.,
28	2019. Continuous synthesis of Zn2Al-CO3 layered double hydroxides: a comparison of bench,
29	pilot and industrial scale syntheses. React. Chem. Eng. 663-666.
30	https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RE00241J
31	Delkash, M., Ebrazi Bakhshayesh, B., Kazemian, H., 2015. Using zeolitic adsorbents to cleanup special
32	wastewater streams: A review. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 214, 224-241.
33	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2015.04.039
34	El Hassani, K., Beakou, B.H., Kalnina, D., Oukani, E., Anouar, A., 2017. Effect of morphological
35	properties of layered double hydroxides on adsorption of azo dye Methyl Orange: A comparative
36	study. Appl. Clay Sci. 140, 124–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2017.02.010

1	Goh, K., Lim, T., Dong, Z., 2008. Application of layered double hydroxides for removal of oxyanions : A
2	review. Water Res. 42, 1343-1368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.10.043
3	Gomez, I.J., Arnaiz, B., Cacioppo, M., Arcudi, F., Prato, M., 2018. Nitrogen-doped Carbon Nanodots for
4	bioimaging and delivery of paclitaxel. J. Mater. Chem. B 6. https://doi.org/10.1039/x0xx00000x
5	Grégoire, B., Bantignies, J.L., Le-Parc, R., Prélot, B., Zajac, J., Layrac, G., Tichit, D., Martin-Gassin, G.,
6	2019. Multiscale mechanistic study of the adsorption of methyl orange on the external surface of
7	layered double hydroxide. J. Phys. Chem. C 123, 22212-22220.
8	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b04705
9	Gwak, GH., Kim, MK., Oh, JM., 2016. Nanocomposites of magnetite and layered double hydroxide
10	for recyclable chromate removal. J. Nanomater. 2016, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8032615
11	He, H., Kang, H., Ma, S., Bai, Y., Yang, X., 2010. High adsorption selectivity of ZnAl layered double
12	hydroxides and the calcined materials toward phosphate. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 343, 225-231.
13	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2009.11.004
14	Jose, N.A., Zeng, H.C., Lapkin, A.A., 2018. Hydrodynamic assembly of two-dimensional layered double
15	hydroxide nanostructures. Nat. Commun. 4913. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07395-4
16	Khan, N.A., Hasan, Z., Jhung, S.H., 2013. Adsorptive removal of hazardous materials using metal-
17	organic frameworks (MOFs): A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 244-245, 444-456.
18	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.11.011
19	Kim, TH., Hong, IT., Lee, JY., Kang, JH., Oh, JM., 2014. Layered nanomaterials for
20	environmental remediation applications. Energy Environ. Focus 3, 23–36.
21	https://doi.org/10.1166/eef.2014.1087
22	Leodopoulos, C., Doulia, D., Gimouhopoulos, K., 2014. Adsorption of Cationic Dyes onto Bentonite.
23	Sep. Purif. Rev. 44, 74–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/15422119.2013.823622
24	Leroux, F., Taviot-Gueho, C., 2005. Fine tuning between organic and inorganic host structure: new trends
25	in layered double hydroxide hybrid assemblies. J. Mater. Chem. 15, 3628-3642.
26	https://doi.org/10.1039/b505014f
27	Li, S.S., Jiang, M., Jiang, T.J., Liu, J.H., Guo, Z., Huang, X.J., 2017. Competitive adsorption behavior
28	toward metal ions on nano-Fe/Mg/Ni ternary layered double hydroxide proved by XPS: Evidence
29	of selective and sensitive detection of Pb(II). J. Hazard. Mater. 338, 1-10.
30	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.05.017
31	Liu, P., Zhang, L., 2007. Adsorption of Dyes from Aqueous Solutions or Suspensions with Clay Nano-
32	Adsorbents. Sep. Purif. Technol. 58, 32-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2007.07.007
33	Malamis, S., Katsou, E., 2013. A review on zinc and nickel adsorption on natural and modified zeolite,
34	bentonite and vermiculite: Examination of process parameters, kinetics and isotherms. J. Hazard.
35	Mater. 252-253, 428-461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.03.024
36	Miyata, S., 1983. Anion-exchange properties of hydrotalcite-like compounds. Clays Clay Miner. 31, 305-

311. https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.1983.0310409

2	Mohanty, K., Jha, M., Meikap, B.C., Biswas, M.N., 2006a. Biosorption of Cr(VI) from aqueous solutions
3	by Eichhornia crassipes. Chem. Eng. J. 117, 71–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2005.11.018
4	Mohanty, K., Naidu, J.T., Meikap, B.C., Biswas, M.N., 2006b. Removal of crystal violet from wastewater
5	by activated carbons prepared from rice husk. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 45, 5165–5171.
6	https://doi.org/10.1021/ie060257r
7	Neumann, M.G., Gessner, F., Schmitt, C.C., Sartori, R., 2002. Influence of the layer charge and clay
8	particle size on the interactions between the cationic dye methylene blue and clays in an aqueous
9	suspension. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 255, 254–259. https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.2002.8654
10	Newman, S.P., Williams, S.J., Coveney, P. V., Jones, W., 1998. Interlayer arrangement of hydrated MgAl
11	layered double hydroxides containing guest terephthalate anions: Comparison of simulation and
12	measurement. J. Phys. Chem. B 102, 6710-6719.
13	Nývlt, J., Söhnel, O., Matuchová, M., Broul, M., 1985. The Kinetics of Industrial Crystallization.
14	Elsevier, Amsterdam.
15	Oh, J.M., Biswick, T.T., Choy, J.H., 2009. Layered nanomaterials for green materials. J. Mater. Chem.
16	19, 2553–2563. https://doi.org/10.1039/b819094a
17	Olfs, H.W., Torres-Dorante, L.O., Eckelt, R., Kosslick, H., 2009. Comparison of different synthesis
18	routes for Mg-Al layered double hydroxides (LDH): Characterization of the structural phases and
19	anion exchange properties. Appl. Clay Sci. 43, 459-464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2008.10.009
20	Omwoma, S., Chen, W., Tsunashima, R., Song, Y.F., 2014. Recent advances on polyoxometalates
21	intercalated layered double hydroxides: From synthetic approaches to functional material
22	applications. Coord. Chem. Rev. 258-259, 58-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2013.08.039
23	Phuekphong, A. (Fern), Imwiset, K. (Jaa), Ogawa, M., 2020. Designing nanoarchitecture for
24	environmental remediation based on the clay minerals as building block. J. Hazard. Mater. 399,
25	122888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122888
26	Pilavtepe, M., Dela Vernhe, L., Steudel, A., Schuhmann, R., Willenbacher, N., Emmerich, K., 2018.
27	Formation of arrested states in natural di-and trioctahedral smectite dispersions compared to those
28	in synthetic hectorite – A macro-and microrheological study. Clays Clay Miner. 66, 339-352.
29	https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.2018.064102
30	Ramachandran, P., Vairamuthu, R., Ponnusamy, S., 2011. Adsorption isotherms, kinetics,
31	thermodynamics and desorption studies of reactive orange16 on activated carbon derived from
32	Ananas comosus (L.) carbon. J. Eng. Appl. Sci. 6, 15-26.
33	Rives, V. (Ed.), 2001. Layered double hydroxides: present and future. Nova Science Publishers, Inc.,
34	New York.
35	San Román, M.S., Holgado, M.J., Jaubertie, C., Rives, V., 2008. Synthesis, characterisation and
36	delamination behaviour of lactate-intercalated Mg,Al-hydrotalcite-like compounds. Solid State Sci.

1 10, 1333-1341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2008.01.026 $\mathbf{2}$ Sing, K.S.W., 1985. Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems with special reference to the 3 determination of surface area and porosity. Pure Appl. Chem. 57, 603-619. 4 https://doi.org/10.1351/pac198557040603 $\mathbf{5}$ Smith, J.A., Jaffé, P.R., 1994. Adsorptive selectivity of organic-cation-modified bentonite for nonionic 6 organic contaminants. Water, Air, Soil Pollut. Vol. 72, 205-211. 7 Srividya, K., Mohanty, K., 2009. Biosorption of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solutions by Catla 8 catla scales: Equilibrium and kinetics studies. Chem. Eng. J. 155, 666-673. 9 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.08.024 10 Sun, Z., Deng, Y., Wei, J., Gu, D., Tu, B., Zhao, D., 2011. Hierarchically ordered macro-/mesoporous 11 silica monolith: Tuning macropore entrance size for size-selective adsorption of proteins. Chem. 12Mater. 23, 2176–2184. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm103704s 13Teepakakorn, A.P., Yamaguchi, T., Ogawa, M., 2019. The Improved Stability of Molecular Guests by the 14Confinement into Nanospaces. Chem. Lett. 48, 398-409. https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.181026 15Thommes, M., Kaneko, K., Neimark, A. V., Olivier, J.P., Rodriguez-Reinoso, F., Rouquerol, J., Sing, 16 K.S.W., 2015. Physisorption of gases, with special reference to the evaluation of surface area and 17pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 87, 1051-1069. 18 https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2014-1117 19Turner, N.H., 1975. Kinetics of chemisorption: An examination of the Elovich equation. J. Catal. 36, 20262-265. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(75)90035-4 21Tzabar, N., ter Brake, H.J.M., 2016. Adsorption isotherms and Sips models of nitrogen, methane, ethane, 22and propane on commercial activated carbons and polyvinylidene chloride. Adsorption 22, 901-23914. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-016-9794-9 24Valandro, S.R., Poli, A.L., Neumann, M.G., Schmitt, C.C., 2015. Photophysics of auramine O adsorbed 25on solid clays. J. Lumin. 161, 209–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2015.01.023 26Wang, Q., Hare, D.O., 2012. Recent advances in the synthesis and application of layered double 27hydroxide (LDH) nanosheets. Chem. Rev. 112, 4124-4155. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200434v 28Wijitwongwan, R.P., Intasa-ard, S.G., Ogawa, M., 2019. Preparation of layered double hydroxides toward 29precisely designed hierarchical organization. Chem. Eng. 3, 68. 30 https://doi.org/10.3390/chemengineering3030068 31Yamaguchi, T., Ogawa, M., 2020. Photochromic Reactions in Nanospace; Host-Guest Interactions and 32Opportunity, in: Douhal, A., Anpo, M. (Eds.), Dyes and Photoactive Molecules in Microporous 33 Systems. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 163–177. 34Zhang, X., Gao, B., Creamer, A.E., Cao, C., Li, Y., 2017. Adsorption of VOCs onto engineered carbon 35materials: A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 338, 102-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.05.013 36 Zheng, Y., Cheng, B., You, W., Yu, J., Ho, W., 2019. 3D hierarchical graphene oxide-NiFe LDH

- 1 composite with enhanced adsorption affinity to Congo red, methyl orange and Cr(VI) ions. J.
- 2 Hazard. Mater. 369, 214–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.02.013
- 3 Zhu, S., Jiao, S., Liu, Z., Pang, G., Feng, S., 2014. High adsorption capacity for dye removal by CuZn
- 4 hydroxyl double salts. Environ. Sci. Nano 1, 172–180. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EN00078H
- $\mathbf{5}$