

Technical Note: GATE-RTion: a GATE/Geant4 release for clinical applications in scanned ion beam therapy

L. Grevillot, D.J. Boersma, H. Fuchs, A. Aitkenhead, A. Elia, M. Bolsa, C.

Winterhalter, M. Vidal, S. Jan, U. Pietrzyk, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

L. Grevillot, D.J. Boersma, H. Fuchs, A. Aitkenhead, A. Elia, et al.. Technical Note: GATE-RTion: a GATE/Geant4 release for clinical applications in scanned ion beam therapy. Med.Phys., 2020, 47 (8), pp.3675-3681. 10.1002/mp.14242 . hal-02966287

HAL Id: hal-02966287 https://hal.science/hal-02966287v1

Submitted on 4 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Technical Note: GATE-RTion: a GATE/Geant4 release for clinical applications in Scanned Ion Beam Therapy.				
2	Authors:				
4 5 6	L Grevillot ¹ , D J Boersma ^{1,2} , H Fuchs ^{1,3} , A Aitkenhead ⁴ , A Elia ¹ , M Bolsa ¹ , C Winterhalter ⁵ , M Vidal ⁶ , S Jan ⁷ , U Pietrzyk ⁸ , L Maigne ⁹ , D Sarrut ¹⁰				
7	Institutes:				
8	¹ MedAustron Ion Therapy Center, Marie Curie-Straße 5, A-2700 Wiener Neustadt				
9	² ACMIT Gmbh, Viktor-Kaplan-Straße 2/1, A-2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria				
10	³ Medical University of Vienna, Austria. Department of Radiation Therapy, Medical University of				
11 12	Vienna/AKH Vienna, Austria ⁴ Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester Cancer Pessereh Centre, The				
13	Christie NHS Foundation Trust Manchester UK				
14	⁵ Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust,				
15	Manchester, UK				
16	⁶ Centre Antoine LACASSAGNE, Université Côte d'Azur – Fédération Claude Lalanne, Nice (France)				
17	⁷ UMR BioMaps, CEA, CNRS, Inserm, Université Paris-Saclay, 4 place du Général Leclerc 91401				
18	Orsay France				
19	^o University of Wuppertal, Germany				
20	avenue Blaise Pascal TSA 60026 CS 60026 63178 Aubière cedex France				
22	¹⁰ Université de Lyon, CREATIS, CNRS UMR5220, Inserm U1044, INSA-Lyon, Université Lyon 1.				
23	France.				
24					
25	Abstract:				
26					
27 20	GATE-RITION is a validated version of GATE for clinical use in the field of Light ion Beam Therapy. This paper describes the GATE RTion project and illustrates its potential through clinical applications developed				
20	in three European centers delivering scanned proton and carbon ion treatments				
30	Methods:				
31	GATE-RTion is a collaborative framework provided by the OpenGATE collaboration. It contains a validated				
32	GATE release based on a specific Geant4 version, a set of tools to integrate GATE into a clinical environment				
33	and a network for clinical users.				
34	Results:				
35	Inree applications are presented: Proton radiography applications at the Centre Antoine Lacassagne (Nice,				
30 27	France); Independent dose calculation for proton therapy at the Unristic NHS Foundation Trust (Manchester,				
38	(Wiener Neustadt Austria)				
39	Conclusions:				
40	GATE-RTion builds the bridge between researchers and clinical users from the OpenGATE collaboration in the				
41	field of Light Ion Beam Therapy. The applications presented in three European facilities using three completely				
42	different machines (three different vendors, cyclotron and synchrotron-based systems, protons and carbon ions)				
43 44	demonstrate the relevance and versatility of this project.				
44 45	1 Introduction				
46	The OpenGATE collaboration has been created in 2002 with the initial purpose to provide a Geant4-based Monte				
47	Carlo (MC) research toolkit for PET and SPECT simulations ¹ . In the very first paper ¹ , the extension of GATE for				
48	dosimetry application was discussed, together with the potential of GATE to simulate in-line tomography in				
49	hadrontherapy. A few years later, the GATE toolkit was indeed extended to CT and radiotherapy modeling ^{2,3} . The				
50	advelopments provided new features, such as the modeling of moving sources and motion, thus allowing for livik i				
52	tomography was presented as a proof of concept of the combined imaging and dosimetric time-resolved				
53	capabilities of the GATE platform ^{2,4} . In this paper, the terminology Light Ion Beam Therapy (LIBT) is used ⁵ . Light				
54	ions are defined as those nuclei with an atomic number lower or equal to 10, i.e. including all ions from protons to				
55	neons ⁶ . The terminology Scanned Ion Beam Delivery (SIBD, often called pencil beam scanning) ⁷ is used in				
56	contrast with passive beam delivery techniques ⁶ . More detailed PET-based dose delivery verification for LIBT				
52	were reviewed ¹⁰ In parallel of the GATE developments, the Geant4 MC toolkit was extensively used for passive				
59	scattering proton delivery system and TPS evaluation ^{11,12} and the FLUKA MC code was proven to be a useful tool				
60	for in-vivo beam delivery and range verification ^{13,14} These pioneer works demonstrated the usefulness of				

for in-vivo beam delivery and range verification^{13,14}. These pioneer works demonstrated the usefulness of
 integrating general purpose MC codes into LIBT clinics to support medical physics activities¹⁵. Several other

general purpose Monte Carlo codes are used in the field of medical physics and LIBT, such as MCNP¹⁶, Shield-62 HIT¹⁷ and PHITS¹⁸. Due to the complexity of Geant4, several Geant4 applications have been developed over the 63 years to simplify the user interactions with Geant4, such as GATE¹⁰, GAMOS¹⁹, PTSim²⁰ and TOPAS²¹. To our 64 65 best knowledge, GATE is historically the first Geant4-based application developed for medical physics purposes 66 and it is currently the reference platform for imaging in nuclear medicine. In addition, following the clinical trend towards SIBD systems, the first modeling of a commercial IBA dedicated nozzle for scanned proton beams was 67 68 also developed in GATE^{22,23} and used as Independent Dose Calculation (IDC) system for validating the XiO TPS 69 from Elekta (Stockholm, Sweden)²⁴. These results demonstrated the potential of the GATE platform and generated 70 logically a lot of interest from other LIBT Facilities and TPS vendors. In particular, GATE was used to model complex beam optics variations from the Skandion proton beam lines²⁵, to support the MedAustron facility start-71 up and beam line design for proton and carbon ion beams²⁶⁻²⁸ and to evaluate the RayStation TPS proton pencil 72 beam algorithm (RaySearch Americas Inc. (NY))²⁹. In addition, extensive validation tests were performed at 73 MedAustron for scanned proton beams ³⁰⁻³² and carbon ion beams (not yet published). Off-line PET-based 74 75 treatment monitoring was also considered³³. With the increased interest of GATE for clinical purposes, a GATE 76 satellite workshop was organized in March 2017 by David Sarrut, David Boersma and Loïc Grevillot at the 77 Skandion proton therapy center during the Swedish DOTSKAN meeting. The purpose was to define actions to 78 ease the implementation of GATE in clinical centers. The GATE-RTion project has been developed specifically 79 for this reason. It is a project of the OpenGATE collaboration aiming at building the bridge between researchers 80 and clinical users. The GATE-RTion project has been officially approved by the OpenGATE collaboration in May 81 2017 and presented at the first ESTRO physics for health workshop in November 2017 (Glasgow, UK). GATE is 82 free, open-source and benefits of a collaborative development model. Indeed, users do not need C++ to run 83 simulations as GATE can be fully configured and controlled via simple macros. However, experienced users can 84 access the code and, therefore, participate in the development of GATE. In the following sections, the GATE-85 RTion concept is presented together with some validation tests. The result section focuses on clinical applications 86 performed in three different European LIBT facilities.

2. Materials and Methods

87 88

89

104

a. The GATE-RTion concept

90 The GATE releases follow every new Geant4 release in order to stay compatible with the latest Geant4 versions 91 and to provide additional features specific to GATE. In contrast, the implementation of a general-purpose MC 92 code in clinical centers requires extensive validation before clinical use, which is not compatible with an annual 93 release cycle. To support the implementation of GATE in clinical centers, three key milestones have been 94 identified: 1) Providing a stable and "long-term" GATE release (See Discussion section 4 for more details), called 95 GATE-RTion, having all necessary features for dosimetric applications in LIBT facilities equipped with SIBD 96 systems. 2) Providing a collection of tools to the clinical users for integrating GATE into the clinics. 3) Developing 97 a clinical user network and establish guidelines. GATE-RTionV1.0* based on GATE version 8.1 and Geant4.10.03p03 was released in May 2018. A collection of open source python tools is available in the GateTools 98 99 repository released since December 2019 and contains in particular the functionalities related to beam modeling, DICOM (image, structure, plan, dose, 3D gamma index computation) management and cluster management. 100 Meanwhile, several clinical centers started collaborating on the use of GATE-RTion, thus fostering the 101 102 development of clinical applications and guidelines for the use of GATE-RTion in LIBT facilities. 103

b. GATE-RTion key features and validation tests

An overview of the GATE features are presented in references^{2,10}. The GATE-RTion clinical users share validation 105 106 tests under the GATE-RTion folder from the *GateContrib* repository. These validation tests focus on the key 107 features of GATE-RTion necessary for dosimetric applications in LIBT facilities equipped with SIBD systems. 108 Currently two validation tests are available. The first one focuses on the features of the source used for simulating 109 SIBD systems (TPS Pencil Beam Source). The second one focuses on the features allowing scoring energy and 110 dose distributions (*Dose Actor*^{\dagger}). From a medical physics view point, these validation tests can be considered as 111 acceptance testing. When performing these tests, the user verifies that GATE-RTion delivers scanned beams according to the treatment plan, multiple gantry angles and particle types can be delivered according to the 112 113 prescription, the nozzle geometry can be explicitly simulated or not, the user can change the beam model and 114 source properties, the dose can be computed in voxelized geometries (such as CT) or non-voxelized phantoms. We rely on Geant4 for the validation of physics processes (Geant4 Medical Physics Benchmarking group[‡] and 115 116 validation testing §). In addition, a list of GATE publications have been presented in Introduction to validate the 117 accuracy of the Geant4 proton physics based on independent data sets and medical physics commissioning data³⁰⁻

^{*} http://www.opengatecollaboration.org/GateRTion

⁺ In GATE terminology, an "Actor" is a kind of scorer that will output simulation results.

[‡] https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/Geant4/G4MSBG

[§] https://geant4.web.cern.ch/publications validations/testing and validation

Similar work will be performed with carbon ions (and other light ions) in the future. Once accepted at the user facility, the level of accuracy of GATE-RTion must be evaluated as part of the medical commissioning process for each user, as it will depend on user specific input parameters (e.g. the beam model, the CT calibration curves, physics models and settings used, etc.). The definition of tolerances and actions levels used for clinical application must be defined during the medical commissioning process of GATE-RTion for each facility. Of course, establishing GATE-RTion in several clinics will support the users in sharing experience and establishing commissioning guidelines.

125 126

c. Applications of GATE-RTion in clinical centers

Usually the first step of the clinical implementation consists in developing and validating a beam model, which is
 out of scope of this note. Instead, this technical note focuses on the clinical applications performed once the beam
 model is available. An overview of the three different LIBT centers and SIBD systems available is provided in
 Table 1.

131

132 Table 1: SIBD system properties

LIBT facility	Manufacturer	Machine type	Ion Species	SIBD technique ⁶	Gantry angles	Energy range
Centre Antoine	IBA PT	Synchro-				
Lacassagne (Nice,	(Louvain-la-	Cyclotron		Discrete	from 320°	
France)	Neuve, Belgium)	(S2C2) ^{34,35}	Protons	scanning	to 180°	70-230 MeV
Christie NHS Foundation Trust	Varian (Palo Alto, California,	ProBeam		Discrete	from 0° to	
(Manchester, UK)	US)	(Cylcotron)	Protons	scanning	360°	70-245 MeV
MedAustron	MedAustron	MAPTA ⁷ (Synchrotron)	Protons	Quasi-	0° and 90°	60-250 MeV
(Wiener Neustadt,			Carbon	discrete		120-400
Austria)			ions	scanning	0° and 90°	MeV/n

133

144

145

134 The different centers agreed in using similar physics settings. Physics settings were selected using Geant4 135 recommendations for medical physics applications**, personal communications with Geant4 developers and 136 independent validation tests using GATE. For protons, the physics-builder QGSP BIC (containing BInary Cascade for nuclear processes) is used³¹, while for carbon ions the SHIELDING physics-builder (containing the 137 Quantum Molecular Dynamics model for nuclear processes) is used ^{36,37}. For both particle types, the 138 electromagnetic option EMZ (also called electromagnetic option 4, which is the most accurate) is selected³⁰. For 139 more details, the reader is referred to the Physics Reference Manual^{††} of Geant4. Additional parameters may be 140 141 set, such as the maximum step size for ions, a range cut and a tracking cut for secondary electrons, positrons and 142 photons, which are usually comprised between 0.1 and 1 mm (compromise between speed and accuracy)³⁸. 143

3. Results

i. Proton Radiography at Centre Antoine Lacassagne

146 The goal of the project consists in evaluating the potential of proton radiography images for patient positioning using GATE-RTion. An anthropomorphic human head phantom (PBU-50, Supertech, USA) was scanned in a CT. 147 Treatment plans used to generate the proton radiography images of the anthropomorphic phantom were prepared 148 149 with the RayStation 6.0 TPS, using beam ranges larger than the phantom largest dimensions and a Monte Carlo 150 algorithm. Dose distributions were scored downstream the phantom in a plan perpendicular to the beam direction, 151 resulting in 2D proton radiography images. Proton radiography images were then simulated using GATE-RTion 152 at the same positions. In addition, proton radiography images were acquired using the Lynx 2D scintillator (IBA Dosimetry, Schwarzenbrück, Germany) having a spatial resolution of 0.5 mm. The images were all imported into 153 the MyQA software (IBA Dosimetry, Schwarzenbrück, Germany) for comparisons. Isodoses contours were first 154 155 compared qualitatively, and then γ -index analyses were performed. A good qualitative agreement was found for 156 patient contours between Monte Carlo simulations and measurements (Figure 1). Using a γ -index analysis (2%, 157 2mm) between GATE-RTion simulations and TPS, more than 95% of the pixels are passing the test. This study 158 demonstrates the feasibility of using GATE-RTion to predict proton radiography images.

159 160

^{**} https://geant4.web.cern.ch/support/user_documentation

⁺⁺ http://geant4-userdoc.web.cern.ch/geant4-

userdoc/UsersGuides/PhysicsReferenceManual/fo/PhysicsReferenceManual.pdf



161

Figure 1: Relative comparison of a GATE dose simulation (a) and a 2D Lynx measurement (b) acquired at the same downstream
 position for an anthropomorphic phantom.



165

ii. Independent Dose Calculation of proton beam therapy plans at The Christie

166 Treatment planning is done using the Varian Eclipse (version 13.7) TPS, with Varian's proton-convolution-167 superposition (PCS version 13.7.16) analytical dose calculation algorithm. Prior to treatment, measurement-based 168 Patient Specific Quality Assurance (PSQA) is performed on each plan. In addition, Independent Dose Calculation 169 (IDC) using an in-house system called AutoMC and based on GATE-RTion is performed. AutoMC acts as a wrapper around GATE-RTion to fully automate IDCwith the aim to ease the use and minimize the risk of user 170 error when configuring simulations. It is implemented within an Octave ³⁹ environment, and uses modular beam-171 models and CT calibrations. An example MC re-calculation in patient CT of the first phase of a 2-phase plan 172 treating a craniospinal axis is presented in Figure 2. The prescription for this phase was 23.4 Gy in 13 fractions, 173 174 delivered using 5 fields: a pair of left/right fields to the brain, and 3 fields to the spine (superior, mid and 175 inferior). A 5 cm WET range-shifter was used for all 5 fields. The percentage of voxels in the patient having $\gamma \leq$ 176 1 was between 92.4% and 95.8% for all fields, and the GATE-RTion simulation was between 1.6% and 2.4% 177 hotter than the TPS in terms of the median dose to the patient. Dose differences occurring outside the patient 178 surface were excluded from the analyses.



179

Figure 2: Comparison of TPS (Varian Eclipse) and MC (AutoMC / GATE-RTionV1.0) calculations of a 5-field craniospinal axis
 pencil beam scanning proton plan, planned at the Christie for delivery on a Varian ProBeam system. Top row: TPS; Middle

- 182 row: GATE-RTion; Bottom row: Gamma at 3% (local), 3 mm using a 10% lower dose threshold. Voxels in green have $\gamma \le 1$, 183 while voxels in (red/blue) have $\gamma > 1$ and are (hotter/colder) than the TPS respectively.
- 184
- 185

iii. Independent Dose Calculation with Scanned Ion Beams at MedAustron

186 Treatment planning is performed using the RayStation version 8B from RaySearch Laboratories (Stockholm, 187 Sweden). For protons, the Monte Carlo algorithm version 4.2 is used. For carbon ions, the pencil beam algorithm 188 version 3.0 and the Local Effect Model (LEM) I⁴⁰ for Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE) modeling are used. 189 The measurement-based PSQA process was set-up since the beginning of clinical operation²⁸. It is performed in water only and for a limited number of measurements points²⁸ (using the 3D-block/24 PinPoint ionization 190 chambers type 31015, PTW, Freiburg). In contrary, an IDC has the advantage to evaluate patient treatments in CT 191 geometry and for the entire 3D dose distribution. A key advantage of IDC-based PSQA is to reduce beam time 192 requirements for QA and thus increase the facility treatment capacity. The Independent DosE cAlculation for LIBT 193 (IDEAL) project, including GATE-RTion as dose engine, started in 2017, in a collaboration between the 194 195 MedAustron ion therapy center, the Medical University of Vienna (MUW) and the Austrian Center for Medical 196 Innovation and Technology (ACMIT). A first prototype has been developed in 2018 in a research network and 197 was transferred into the clinical environment of MedAustron in October 2019. TPS plans are exported to a QA 198 database and IDEAL is run on a cluster of modular capacity (currently featured with 48 cores). A carbon ion 199 treatment recomputed using the IDEAL prototype and including a comparison to the TPS dose distribution is 200 illustrated in Figure 3. This is a curative carbon ion treatment up to 65.6 Gy RBE in 16 fractions of 4.1 Gy RBE 201 (4 fractions per week). The PTV1 is treated with 9 fractions up to 36.9 Gy RBE, using 4 beams with a horizontal 202 beam line and table rotations of 315°, 355°, 320° and 360°.

203



204 205 206

207

208

Figure 3: Comparison of the physical dose distribution for a carbon ion beam having an oblique incidence in the head region of a patient. IDEAL/GATE-RTion dose distribution (Top left) is compared to the TPS (bottom left) in terms of DVH (bottom right) and dose profiles (top right). For DVH and dose profiles, solid lines correspond to IDEAL/GATE-RTion and dotted lines to the TPS. The positions of the two orthogonal dose profiles in the patient are visible in the patient images on the left side (orange and green lines).

209 210

211

4. Discussion

212 The validated GATE-RTion release 1.0 allows clinical users to build confidence in a specific GATE/Geant4 version for clinical applications and share validation results. In parallel, GATE and Geant4 are evolving and may 213 214 provide new relevant features and improved physics models to the users in the future. For example, with respect to the physics processes, uncertainties of nuclear cross-sections and models are known to be substantial, especially 215 for carbon ions⁴¹. This is where most improvements could be achieved in future. In addition, every new GATE 216 217 release provides new features which may be relevant for certain clinical applications, for example code 218 optimization allowing to perform simulations more efficiently or the scoring of new quantities of clinical interest. 219 Also deep learning methods started to be included during Monte Carlo simulations. In its current state, we believe 220 that the proposed GATE features and Geant4 physics models available in GATE-RTion V1.0 are sufficiently

221 accurate for most dosimetric applications in LIBT facilities. Every new GATE-RTion release will need to be 222 thoroughly re-validated and re-commissioned by each user before clinical use, which is a major effort. This can 223 only be justified by substantial improvements in the physics models or by the introduction of new features clinically relevant to the users. The release cycle of GATE-RTion is therefore not planned and will depend on user 224 225 needs and request. However, bug fixes to GATE-RTion can be ported to the current GATE-RTion version via patch mechanism. This presents the advantage of fixing software bugs (if needed), without modifying the 226 227 underlying Geant4 physics. GATE-RTion specific validation/acceptance could subsequently be re-run, in order to 228 validate that the patch did not affect the rest of GATE-RTion functionalities except fixing the bug. It is therefore important to develop all necessary validation tests with the users, as described in section 2.b. The results provided 229 230 in Centre Antoine Lacassagne for proton radiography-based patient-positioning are preliminary but very promising. A dedicated application wrapper would certainly help in future to integrate this innovative GATE-231 232 RTion-based application into clinical environments. The IDC applications implemented at The Christie (AutoMC) and MedAustron (IDEAL) are serving both the purpose of IDC, with a key difference that MedAustron extends 233 234 the application to carbon ions. The Christie is using GATE-RTion clinically since the start of the treatment end of 235 2018, while MedAustron is still in development and commissioning phase. The commissioning methodology and dosimetric perfromances of GATE-RTion as implemented at The Christie and MedAustron facilities will be 236 237 published in order to provide reference commissioning reports to support the clinical community.

238 239 240

252

5. Conclusions

241 The GATE-RTion project paves the way towards the use of the GATE simulation tool in Light Ion Beam Therapy 242 facilities. GATE-RTion version 1.0 was released in May 2018 and the framework includes a validated GATE 243 release based on a specific Geant4 version, a set of tools to integrate GATE into a clinical environment and 244 a network for clinical users. Three completely different machines were modeled (three different vendors, 245 cyclotron and synchrotron-based systems, protons and carbon ions). Applications such as proton radiography and Independent Dose Calculation (IDC) for scanned proton and carbon ion beam therapy were presented. This project 246 247 builds the bridge between clinical users and researchers using GATE, fostering the transfer of clinically relevant research applications into the end-user's clinics. While applications at Centre Antoine Lacassagne and 248 249 MedAustron are still under development, The Christie is running GATE-RTion clinically for IDC since the start 250 of the clinical treatments end of 2018. The results presented within the first two years after the first release of 251 GATE-RTion demonstrate the versatility and relevance of this project.

253 Acknowledgments

254 The financial support from ACMIT Gmbh, Medical University of Vienna and MedAustron is gratefully 255 acknowledged. The competence center ACMIT is funded within the scope of the COMET program by Austrian ministries BMVIT and BMWFW, and by the governments of Lower Austria and Tyrol. The competence center 256 program COMET is managed by the Austrian Funding Agency FFG. Part of this work was performed within the 257 258 framework of the SIRIC LYriCAN Grant INCa-INSERM-DGOS-12563, and the LABEX PRIMES (ANR-11-LABX-0063) of Université de Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) 259 operated by the ANR. This work was supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council Advanced 260 261 Radiotherapy Network [grant number ST/N002423/1].

The authors would like to thank Priv. Doz. Dipl. Ing. Markus Stock for careful review and advices on the
manuscript.

265 References

- Jan S, Santin G, Strul D, et al. GATE: a simulation toolkit for PET and SPECT. *Phys Med Biol.* 2004;49(19):4543. http://stacks.iop.org/0031-9155/49/i=19/a=007.
- Jan S, Benoit D, Becheva E, et al. GATE V6: a major enhancement of the GATE simulation platform enabling modelling of CT and radiotherapy. *Phys Med Biol.* 2011;56(4):881-901. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/56/4/001
- Grevillot L, Frisson T, Maneval D, Zahra N, Badel J-N, Sarrut D. Simulation of a 6 MV Elekta Precise
 Linac photon beam using GATE/GEANT4. *Phys Med Biol*. 2011;56(4):903-918.
- Jan S, Frisson T, Sarrut D. GATE simulation of 12C hadrontherapy treatment combined with a PET imaging system for dose monitoring: A feasibility study. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.* 2013;60(1):423-429. doi:10.1109/TNS.2012.2233496
- 5. Moyers MF, Vatnitsky SM. *Practical Implementation of Light Ion BeamTreatments*. Medical PhysicsPublishing; 2012.
- 278 6. ICRU. International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements report 78: Prescribing and

- 279 Recording and And Reporting Proton-Beam Therapy: Contents. *J ICRU*. 2007;7.
 280 doi:10.1093/jicru/ndm021
- Grevillot L, Osorio Moreno J, Letellier V, et al. Clinical implementation and commissioning of the
 MedAustron Particle Therapy Accelerator for non-isocentric scanned proton beam treatments. *Med Phys.* 2019:1-13. doi:10.1002/mp.13928
- Robert C, Fourrier N, Sarrut D, et al. PET-based dose delivery verification in proton therapy: a GATE
 based simulation study of five PET system designs in clinical conditions. *Phys Med Biol.* 2013;58(19):6867-6885. http://stacks.iop.org/0031 9155/58/i=19/a=6867?key=crossref.5c9d5c8b062bf80543bccc0cd3d280e1.
- Robert C, Dedes G, Battistoni G, et al. Distributions of secondary particles in proton and carbon-ion therapy: a comparison between GATE/Geant4 and FLUKA Monte Carlo codes. *Phys Med Biol.* 2013;58(9):2879-2899. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/58/9/2879
- Sarrut D, Bardiès M, Boussion N, et al. A review of the use and potential of the GATE Monte Carlo simulation code for radiation therapy and dosimetry applications. *Med Phys.* 2014;41(6):064301.
 doi:10.1118/1.4871617
- Paganetti H, Jiang H, Lee SY, Kooy HM. Accurate Monte Carlo simulations for nozzle design and commissioning and quality assurance for a proton radiation therapy facility. *Med Phys.* 2004;31(7):2107-2118.
- Paganetti H, Jiang H, Parodi K, Slopsema R, Engelsman M. Clinical implementation of full Monte Carlo dose calculation in proton beam therapy. *Phys Med Biol.* 2008;53(17):4825-4853. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/53/17/023
- 300 13. Parodi K, Paganetti H, Cascio E, et al. PET/CT imaging for treatment verification after proton therapy: a study with plastic phantoms and metallic implants. *Med Phys.* 2007;34(2):419-435.
- Parodi K, Ferrari A, Sommerer F, Paganetti H. Clinical CT-based calculations of dose and positron emitter distributions in proton therapy using the FLUKA Monte Carlo code. *Phys Med Biol.* 2007;52(12):3369-3387. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/52/12/004
- Parodi K, Mairani A, Brons S, et al. Monte Carlo simulations to support start-up and treatment planning
 of scanned proton and carbon ion therapy at a synchrotron-based facility. *Phys Med Biol.* 2012;57:3759 3784.
- 308 16. Ardenfors O, Dasu A, Kopeć M, Gudowska I. Modelling of a proton spot scanning system using 309 MCNP6. J Phys Conf Ser. 2017;860(1). doi:10.1088/1742-6596/860/1/012025
- Bassler N, Hansen DC, Lühr A, Thomsen B, Petersen JB, Sobolevsky N. SHIELD-HIT12A A Monte
 Carlo particle transport program for ion therapy research. *J Phys Conf Ser*. 2014;489(1):8-13.
 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/489/1/012004
- 313 18. Sato T, Niita K, Matsuda N, et al. Overview of the PHITS code and its application to medical physics.
 314 Prog Nucl Sci Technol. 2014;4:879-882. doi:10.15669/pnst.4.879
- 315 19. Goma C, Safai S, Voros S. Reference dosimetry of proton pencil beams based on dose-area product: a
 316 proof of concept. *Phys Med Biol.* 2017;62(12):4991. doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aa7008
- Akagi T, Aso T, Iwai G, et al. Geant4-based particle therapy simulation framework for verification of dose distributions in proton therapy facilities. *Prog Nucl Sci Technol.* 2014;4:896-900.
- Perl J, Shin J, Schümann J, Faddegon B, Paganetti H. TOPAS: An innovative proton Monte Carlo
 platform for research and clinical applications. *Med Phys.* 2012;39(11):6818-6837.
 doi:10.1118/1.4758060
- 322 22. Grevillot L, Bertrand D, Dessy F, Freud N, Sarrut D. A Monte Carlo pencil beam scanning model for
 323 proton treatment plan simulation using GATE/GEANT4. *Phys Med Biol.* 2011;56:5203-5219.
- 324 23. Grevillot L, Frisson T, Zahra N, et al. Optimization of GATE/Geant4 settings for Proton Pencil Beam
 325 Scanning simulations towards TPS Quality Assurance. In: 49th Meeting of the Particle Therapy Co 326 Operative Group (PTCOG).; 2010.
- 327 24. Grevillot L, Bertrand D, Dessy F, Freud N, Sarrut D. GATE as a GEANT4-based Monte Carlo platform

328		for the evaluation of proton pencil beam scanning treatment plans. <i>Phys Med Biol</i> . 2012;57:4223-4244.
329 330	25.	Almhagen E, Boersma DJ, Nyström H, Ahnesjö A. A beam model for focused proton pencil beams. <i>Phys Medica</i> . 2018;52:27-32. doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2018.06.007
331 332 333	26.	Fuchs H, Grevillot L, Carlino A, et al. Optimizing the MedAustron proton gantry beam delivery: Providing nozzle design recommendations based on Gate/Geant4 Monte Carlo simulation. In: <i>PTCOG</i> 55. ; 2016.
334 335 336	27.	Grevillot L, Stock M, Vatnitsky S. Evaluation of beam delivery and ripple filter design for non-isocentric proton and carbon ion therapy. <i>Phys Med Biol</i> . 2015;60(20):7985-8005. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26418366.
337 338	28.	Carlino A. Implementation of advanced methodologies in the commissioning of a Light Ion Beam Therapy facility (PhD thesis, Department of Physics and Chemistry, University of Palermo, Italy). 2017.
339 340 341	29.	Saini J, Maes D, Egan A, et al. Dosimetric evaluation of a commercial proton spot scanning Monte-Carlo dose algorithm: Comparisons against measurements and simulations. <i>Phys Med Biol</i> . 2017;62(19):7659-7681. doi:10.1088/1361-6560/aa82a5
342 343 344	30.	Fuchs H, Vatnitsky S, Stock M, Georg D, Grevillot L. Evaluation of GATE/Geant4 multiple Coulomb scattering algorithms for a 160 MeV proton beam. <i>Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect B Beam Interact with Mater Atoms</i> . 2017;410. doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2017.08.006
345 346	31.	Resch AF, Elia A, Fuchs H, et al. Evaluation of electromagnetic and nuclear scattering models in GATE /Geant4 for proton therapy. <i>Med Phys.</i> 2019;46(5):2444-2456. doi:10.1002/mp.13472
347 348	32.	Elia A. Characterization of the GATE Monte Carlo platform for nonisocentric treatments and patient specific treatment plan verification at MedAustron (PhD thesis, INSA Lyon, 2019LYSE002). 2019.
349 350	33.	Meißner H, Fuchs H, Hirtl A, Reschl C, Stock M. Towards offline PET monitoring of proton therapy at MedAustron. <i>Zeitschrift fßr Medizinische Phys.</i> 2019;29(1):59-65. doi:10.1016/j.zemedi.2018.05.003
351 352 353	34.	Kleeven W, Abs M, Forton E, et al. The IBA Superconducting Synchrocyclotron Project S2C2. <i>Proc Cyclotrons2013</i> . 2013:115-119. https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/CYCLOTRONS2013/papers/mo4pb02.pdf.
354 355	35.	Van de Walle J, Abs M, Conjat M, et al. The S2C2: From Source to Extraction. <i>Proc Cyclotrons 2016</i> . 2017;THB01:285-289. http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/cyclotrons2016/papers/thb01.pdf.
356 357 358	36.	Bolst D, Cirrone GAP, Cuttone G, et al. Validation of Geant4 fragmentation for Heavy Ion Therapy. <i>Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect A Accel Spectrometers, Detect Assoc Equip.</i> 2017;869:68-75. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2017.06.046
359 360	37.	Böhlen TT, Cerutti F, Dosanjh M, et al. Benchmarking nuclear models of FLUKA and GEANT4 for carbon ion therapy. <i>Phys Med Biol.</i> 2010;55(19):5833-5847. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/55/19/014
361 362 363	38.	Grevillot L, Frisson T, Zahra N, et al. Optimization of GEANT4 settings for Proton Pencil Beam Scanning simulations using GATE. <i>Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect B Beam Interact with Mater Atoms</i> . 2010;268(20):3295-3305.
364 365	39.	Eaton JW, Bateman D, Hauberg S WR. GNU Octave version 5.1.0 manual: a high-level interactive language for numerical computations. 2019. https://www.gnu.org/software/octave/doc/v5.1.0/.
366 367 368	40.	Scholz M, Kellerer AM, Kraft-Weyrather W, Kraft G. Computation of cell survival in heavy ion beams for therapy: The model and its approximation. <i>Radiat Environ Biophys</i> . 1997;36(1):59-66. doi:10.1007/s004110050055
369 370	41.	Dedes G, Parodi K. Monte Carlo Simulations of Particle Interactions with Tissue in Carbon Ion Therapy. <i>Int J Part Ther.</i> 2015;2(3):447-458. doi:10.14338/IJPT-15-00021
371		