

Does monopedal postural balance differ between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg? A review

Thierry Paillard, Frédéric Noé

▶ To cite this version:

Thierry Paillard, Frédéric Noé. Does monopedal postural balance differ between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg? A review. Human Movement Science, 2020, 74, pp.102686. 10.1016/j.humov.2020.102686 . hal-02965036

HAL Id: hal-02965036 https://hal.science/hal-02965036

Submitted on 17 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167945720305509 Manuscript_765c59c1ca3b07c1fd4d4a798dcc911a

Does monopedal postural balance differ between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg? A review

Thierry Paillard, Frédéric Noé

University of Pau & Pays de l'Adour-E2S, Laboratoire Mouvement, Equilibre, Performance et Santé

(UPRES EA 4445), ZA Bastillac Sud, 11 rue Morane Saulnier, 65000 Tarbes, France

Correspondence:

Thierry Paillard

Département STAPS

Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour

ZA Bastillac Sud, 11 rue Morane Saulnier

65000 Tarbes

France

Tel: +33(0)5 62566100

Fax: +33(0)5 62566110

E-mail: thierry.paillard@univ-pau.fr

Abstract

The interlimb postural comparison i.e., between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg has been studied by numerous authors but their results are contradictory and do not lead to a consensus. Some studies showed no difference of postural balance between the dominant and the non-dominant leg whereas other studies concluded that the dominant and non-dominant leg exhibit different postural balance in healthy subjects and athletes. The aim was to analyse all these studies in order to identify the different factors that could facilitate or prevent the appearance of a postural difference between the dominant and non-dominant leg by means of a narrative review. Environmental and experimental conditions (e.g., difficulty and specificity of postural tasks; physiological state, expertise level and moment of season/period over career of subjects/athletes evaluated and nature of sport/physical activity practiced; techniques and methods used for measuring postural balance) in which postural balance is evaluated and intrinsic/individual factors (e.g., morphology, strength/power muscle, proprioception, hemispheric laterality) could influence the results. Thus, the influence of limb dominance on monopedal postural balance would probably be context-dependent. Mechanistic explanations are proposed to explain how each factor could act on the relationship between limb dominance and postural balance. However many mechanisms have not yet been explained and all the factors have not been identified, which suggests that further exploratory research is needed in order to understand this relationship.

Key Words Balance; Posture; Postural control; Postural balance; Physical activity; Sport; Dominant leg; Non-Dominant leg

1. Introduction

Motor experience generates structural and functional adaptations of the postural function (Paillard, 2017a). However, the postural adaptations linked to regularly repeated motor tasks are specific to the context in which they are carried out (Paillard, 2014). The repetition of decontextualized balance motor tasks aimed at improving postural balance would, in general, be of little interest since it would engender no, or only a very slight, transfer to non-trained balance motor tasks (Paillard, 2017a). In fact, the improvement of postural balance is based more on the sum of specifically learned skills than on a general development of postural balance which can be enhanced irrespective of the balance motor tasks that were trained in the past (Kümmel et al., 2016). Specific motor tasks involving particular postures and a single environmental condition develop specific postural skills (Paillard, 2014). Hence, the postural adaptations are so specific to motor experience that one can question if there is any difference between the two legs in terms of postural balance since they often perform different motor tasks. For example, one leg achieves a motor skill while the other supports body mass. This is worth being analyzed because a significant postural difference between the two legs is likely to negatively affect motor performance (e.g., power, agility) and sports performance (e.g., sprinting, swimming, basketball, rowing), which might increase the risk of injury (lowerextremity injury), and provoke falls in sport and frail/older individuals (Bishop et al., 2018; Brown et al., 2018; Laroche et al., 2012).

The interlimb analysis is carried out by comparing the postural balance of the dominant leg (the dominant leg is classically defined by asking an individual to kick a ball) with that of the non-dominant leg (Figure 1). Other means can be also employed to define the dominant leg on the basis of strength tests (e.g., single leg jumping), functional tests (stepping up, hopping, picking up, regaining balance after postural perturbation) and footedness questionnaires (participant's self-reporting leg preference) (Huurnink et al., 2014; Muehlbauer et al., 2014;

Hoffman et al., 1998). Caution is required when differentiating the dominant and nondominant leg since few authors define the dominant leg as the stance limb initially selected when standing on one leg (e.g. Beretta et al., 2015). The dominant leg (also named the preference leg), which is mainly used to perform movement or motor tasks requiring force and/or accuracy (e.g., stepping up, hopping, ball kicking, and picking up), should not be confused with the non-dominant leg (stance leg), which supports the body (Wang and Newell, 2013; Huurnink et al., 2014). In this context, the ball kick test is a simple and reliable test which avoids this confusion, thus explaining why it has been used in the vast majority of research studies about limb dominance in postural balance (e.,g., Schneiders et al., 2010).

The interlimb postural comparison (i.e., dominant leg versus non-dominant leg) has been studied by numerous authors but their results differ and do not lead to a consensus in healthy adult subjects (Paillard, 2017a). Based on monopodal postural differences between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg, it is possible that several factors differently affect the monopedal postural balance of each leg, either accentuating or reducing these differences irrespective of any pathology (e.g., Parkinson's disease and anterior cruciate ligament injury provoke postural asymmetries between the two legs -Beretta et al., 2015; Mohammadi et al., 2012). These factors could be related to a number individual and environmental characteristics. However, they remain to be identified on the basis of plausible mechanistic explanations.

Hence, a better knowledge of the impact of leg dominance on the monopedal postural balance could help sports trainers to optimize motor performance and to prevent injuries among athletes, and could help physicians to improve the effects of their therapeutic programs and their preventive measures against falls for frail or older subjects. Therefore, the present work aims to identify the factors that are likely to accentuate or reduce the effects of leg dominance on monopedal postural balance by developing new insights about their impact.

2. Methodology

An exhaustive search for articles dealing with the influence of leg dominance on the monopodal postural balance was carried out on the basis of keywords ("Postural balance", "Posture", "Monopedal stance", "Leg dominance", "Leg preference", "Inter-limb asymmetry", "Laterality", "Footedness") using the electronic databases PubMed, ScienceDirect and Google Scholar. The difficulty of analyzing and quantifying the highly divergent results related to the complexity and wide variety of experimental protocols (the lack of standardization of protocols) led us to carry out a descriptive and theoretical analysis (i.e., a narrative review) of the studies available in the scientific literature.

3. Postural comparison between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg

Most studies which have compared the monopedal postural balance of the dominant and the non-dominant leg were cross-sectional (see tables 1 and 2) and only very few experimental protocols were based on intervention studies (e.g., Slomka et al., 2018; Karakaya et al., 2015). Hence, the evaluation of the effects of motor experience (sports training or physical activity regularly practiced) on the monopedal postural balance comparing the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg could be insufficiently discriminating if not supplemented with an evaluation of the environmental and experimental conditions (e.g., Promsri et al., 2020, 2019).

3.1. Is there any tangible postural difference between the dominant and non-dominant leg?

Certain studies showed no difference in terms of postural balance between the dominant and the non-dominant leg in athletes (basketball, field hockey, futsal and soccer players, gymnasts, swimmers) and healthy active or sedentary adults (Hoffman et al., 1998; Bressel et al., 2007; Thorpe and Ebersole, 2008; Matsuda et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2009; Gstöttner et al., 2009; Sabin et al., 2010; Matsuda et al., 2010a; Matsuda et al., 2010b; Alonso et al., 2011;

Teixeira et al., 2011; Overmoyer and Reiser, 2013; Muehlbauer et al., 2014; Huurnink et al., 2014; Pau et al., 2014; Cug et al. 2014; Karakaya et al., 2015; Kim and Yoo, 2015; Cug et al., 2016b; Debski et al., 2017; Mala et al., 2017; Muehlbauer et al., 2019; Lopez-Fernadez et al., 2020), whereas other studies concluded that the dominant and non-dominant leg exhibited different postural balance in athletes (dancers, skiers and basketball, handball, rugby, soccer, tennis, and volleyball players) and in healthy active or sedentary adults (Clifford and Holder-Powell, 2010; Ricotti and Ravaschio, 2011; Barone et al., 2011; Brito et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013; Ricotti et al., 2013; Kartal, 2014; Vieira et al., 2014; Marchetti et al., 2014; Kiyota and Fujiwara, 2014; Kovacikova et al., 2015; Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe et al., 2015; Dabadghav et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2017; Brown et al., 2018; Jadczak et al., 2019; Promsri et al., 2019). These different results could stem from a multitude of factors that could be identified on the basis of the available data in the literature and also through theoretical considerations likely to enhance understanding of the topic tackled.

3.2. Influencing factors related to environmental and experimental conditions

Most studies that showed no difference between the two legs were carried out predominantly with populations who did not exhibit marked differences in terms of motor tasks between the two legs (no leg executed highly specialized motor tasks in comparison to the other leg), i.e. in sedentary subjects, students, undergraduate and graduate students studying exercise and health sciences (Hoffman et al., 1998; Alonso et al., 2011; Karakaya et al., 2015). When the population does show differences between the two legs in terms of respective motor tasks during sport practice one can often (but not always) observe postural differences between the dominant and the non-dominant leg (Paillard, 2017a).

According to the sport practised and the specificity of postures involved, the leg showing better postural performance can be either the dominant leg or the non-dominant leg. For example, soccer requires a monopedal posture to perform different technical movements (e.g. shooting, passing) with the dominant leg, while the stability of the supporting leg, i.e. the nondominant leg, turns out to be essential in order to shoot as accurately as possible (Paillard et al., 2006). While reporting that the non-dominant leg balance was more highly correlated than the dominant leg balance with the dominant leg kicking accuracy in soccer players, Chew-Bullock et al. (2012) stated that monopodal postural balance on the non-dominant leg could predict kicking performance on the dominant leg. The regular practice of soccer or other asymmetric sports such as volleyball, handball, and tennis would induce specific postural adaptations which could explain why the non-dominant leg showed better postural balance than the dominant leg (Ricotti and Ravaschio, 2011; Barone et al., 2011; Ricotti et al., 2013; Kartal, 2014; Marchetti et al., 2014). By contrast, ballet dancers, who spend more time training on the right leg (the dominant leg for most of the subjects), because of the normal instructional methods of ballet training, develop better postural performance on the dominant leg than on the non-dominant leg (Lin et al., 2013). The possible influence of the leg dominance on the monopedal postural balance (if it exists) could be sport-dependent - i.e. induced posture-dependent.

The expertise level of subjects (athletes) is also likely to accentuate the difference between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg (Kilroy et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2013; Brito et al., 2012) especially when they are evaluated in postural conditions close to those encountered in sports practice i.e., in dynamic condition (Jadczak et al., 2019; Ricotti et al., 2013). For example, professional soccer players and expert dancers exhibited differences between their two legs, whereas non-professional soccer players and novice dancers did not show any difference (Ricotti et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013; Kilroy et al., 2016). Indeed, a high level of motor skills associated with a high level of training (i.e. the number of hours of training per week) could contribute to a high specialization of athletes' motor skills and to a differentiation of motor or postural skills between each leg (Paillard, 2017a). However, Debski et al. (2017)

and Pau et al. (2014) did not report any postural difference between the dominant leg and nondominant leg in professional soccer players. This means either that the expertise level does not constitute a determining factor or the difference between the two legs remains relatively subtle and involves other factors.

Since training generates postural adaptations, it was suggested that, logically, the greatest amount of training (activity volume) engenders the greatest and most specialized postural adaptations (Paillard, 2017a). If the hypothesis is that the postural difference between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg occurs under specialized training conditions, it could be deduced that this postural difference would increase progressively and simultaneously with motor experience in athletes. One can therefore postulate that postural asymmetry between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg would appear or increase as the sport season progresses. This postulate was emphasized by Marchetti et al. (2014) and Ricotti and Ravaschio (2011) who observed that a longitudinal follow-up throughout a sport season refines the observation of measures in handball and soccer athletes respectively. However, the acquisition or learning of motor skills through training in the dominant leg could be also transferred to the non-dominant leg which would limit possible postural differences between the two legs. Unilateral training with a large motor learning component, particularly as part of complex or novel motor tasks, would seem to favor the acquisition by the dominant limb and the transfer to the non-dominant limb (Farthing et al., 2009). These authors asserted that optimal information regarding the goals of the movement and precise patterns of muscle activation can be transferred to the non-dominant limb to enhance motor planning and motor command through the phenomenon of cross-education. Hence, after training of the dominant limb, the two limbs are virtually equivalent at the motor planning level (Farthing et al., 2009). An initial postural asymmetry between the dominant and non-dominant leg could even be reduced after training programs (e.g., jumps, balance exercises) in young soccer players and

skiers (Pardos-Mainer et al., 2019; Slomka et al., 2018). Learning in the trained limb would engender cortical adaptations which would improve the pattern of muscle activation in the untrained limb (e.g., coordination of agonists and antagonists, synergist muscle activity related to postural balance) (Frazer et al., 2018). This model suggests that unilateral training leads to cortical adaptations that are shared by both limbs and that optimal information for producing motor performance is stored when the more proficient limb (i.e., the dominant or the non-dominant leg) is used for training (Farthing et al., 2009). In this context, postural balance would be similar between the dominant and the non-dominant leg.

It is known that fatigue disturbs postural balance and implies an increased contribution of cognitive resources and/or provokes the triggering of different motor strategies to limit or compensate the degrading effects on postural control (Paillard, 2012). From a strictly motor point of view (motor function), it was reported that there were different impairments in isometric force between the musculature of the dominant leg and that of the non-dominant leg during early recovery from fatiguing tasks in active males (Willems and Ponte, 2013). Hence, in a state of fatigue, there would be two possible scenarios for active subjects/athletes. The first being that, if there is any postural difference between the dominant leg and the nondominant leg, it would be amplified by fatigue. The second being that if there is initially no postural difference between the two legs, it could appear only in a state of fatigue (Kim and Yoo, 2015). Therefore, fatiguing tasks could be undertaken to distinguish more accurately the possible differences between the dominant and the non-dominant leg. Studies about the effects of fatigue induced by general exercises such as treadmill running, a Wingate anaerobic test, one half of a soccer match or a netball game match, as well as local exercise such as a knee-extension exercise (leg press), have shown that fatigue could accentuate the difference in terms postural balance between the two legs in soccer, basketball, and netball players and healthy sedentary young subjects (Waterman et al., 2004; Erkmen et al., 2012; Yamada et al., 2012).

Moreover, the absence of difference between the two legs observed by some authors could also result from the nature of the monopedal postural tests completed. Static (Hoffman et al., 1998; Huurnink et al., 2014; Muehlbauer et al., 2014; Karakaya et al., 2015) or dynamic (Bressel et al., 2007; Thorpe and Ebersole, 2008; Sabin et al., 2010; Cug et al., 2016a) balance tests have been used but these tests were different from the specific postural conditions related to the motor experience of the participants. Only a few studies tested dynamic balance tasks which were close to the specific conditions through surface tilt or repetitive hip flexionextension and adduction-abduction movements of the swing leg (Gstöttner et al., 2009; Teixeira et al., 2011). Gstöttner et al. (2009) reported a tendency toward better balance in the non-dominant leg than in the dominant leg, whereas Teixeira et al. (2011) did not observe any difference between the two legs. Gstöttner et al. (2009) suggested that the postural difference between the dominant and non-dominant leg could be more obvious in a test that is more difficult/demanding for the locomotor system. In any case, potential postural differences between the dominant and non-dominant leg would be more likely to occur in dynamic than in static conditions (King and Wang, 2017; Promsri et al., 2018) especially in athletes who practice a dynamic sport such as soccer (Jadczak et al., 2019). When no distinction can be made between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg through classic analyses of spatiotemporal parameters of centre of foot pressure displacements, one could refine the methods of analysis in order to observe this possible distinction. A greater subtlety in the measure of balance through frequency analysis of the displacement of centre of foot of pressure, time analysis of postural re-stabilization after postural perturbation and biomechanical analysis of segmental organization can contribute to refining the differences in postural balance between

the dominant and the non-dominant leg (Clifford and Holder-Powell, 2010; Kiyota and Fujiwara, 2014; Kovacikova et al., 2015; Promsri et al., 2018, 2020).

On the basis of data mentioned above, it can be suggested that many environmental and experimental parameters (e.g., techniques and methods used for measuring postural balance, difficulty and specificity of postural tasks, physiological state, expertise level and moment of season/period over career of subjects/athletes evaluated, nature of sport/physical activity practiced -i.e. symmetric or asymmetric technical movements-, specificity of posture/position involved in physical practice -i.e., motor skills performed on mono or bipedal stance) are likely to influence the relationship between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg in terms postural balance. Moreover, intrinsic factors could also influence this relationship between the dominant leg in terms of monopedal postural balance.

3.3. Intrinsic influencing factors

It has been shown that the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg can exhibit differences in terms of morphology, musculo-tendinous mechanical properties, muscle strength/power, joint mobility, and sensorimotor function (Ludwig et al., 2017; Bishop et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2013; Lanshammar and Ribom, 2011). Since the biological and physiological characteristics mentioned above are likely to impact postural balance in healthy and pathological subjects (Paillard, 2017a; Paillard, 2017b; Chung et al., 2008), one can hypothesize that they differently influence the monopedal postural balance of the dominant and the non-dominant leg.

From a morphological viewpoint, Ludwig et al. (2017) showed that the dominant leg presented a larger knee valgus angle than the non-dominant leg, which could produce differences in terms of postural attitude (static leg axes) and thus postural balance between the two legs. Muscle mass can also differ between the dominant and the non-dominant leg which

11

logically entails differences in the subjects' motor function (i.e., a component of postural function) between each leg (Bishop et al., 2018). Even without bilateral asymmetry in muscle mass, muscle contractility can differ between the dominant and the non-dominant leg in subelite futsal players (Lopez-Fernandez et al., 2020). Achilles tendon mechanical properties can also differ between the dominant and the non-dominant leg in physically active healthy adults who practiced no asymmetrical activity in terms of leg workload (Bohm et al., 2015). From a muscle strength/power viewpoint, it was observed that ground reaction forces were higher for the non-dominant leg than for the dominant leg in young and adult athletes (Bishop et al., 2018; Atkins et al., 2016) which could cause differences between the monopedal postural balance of the dominant leg and that of the non-dominant leg (Bishop et al., 2018; Kilroy et al., 2016) since muscle strength/power can affect postural balance (Paillard, 2017b). From the viewpoint of segmental or joint mobility, Lin et al. (2013) reported that the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg exhibit different amplitudes during the execution of pirouettes in dancers. These authors suggested that this difference of joint mobility could affect the monopedal postural balance of the two legs. From a sensorimotor viewpoint, it was reported that as part of an asymmetrical motricity related to motor and postural tasks, the volume of physical load on monopedal stance (i.e., one-leg standing) differ between the dominant leg and the non-dominant (Mala et al., 2017) which can generate differences in terms of proprioception between the two legs since the motor experience/sports training refines proprioception (Paillard, 2017a). Knowing that proprioception influences postural balance, the difference of proprioception between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg would be likely to affect their postural balance differently. Other sensorimotor functions (in particular visual and vestibular) would be also likely to generate inter-limb asymmetries with regard to the monopedal postural balance. Visual dominance (the directing eye i.e., hemispheric visual asymmetry) as well as lateral vestibular preference (i.e., vestibulo-cortical hemispheric

lateralization) would be likely to influence the monopedal postural balance between the two legs (Previc, 1991; Golomer and Mbongo, 2004).

Moreover, as part of the study of the postural relationship between the dominant and the nondominant leg, it seems useful to integrate data about motor command (central command, i.e., neurophysiological considerations) between the two legs. As part of the activities of daily living, the least of motor actions is always (or most of the time) performed with the dominant limb which can be considered as a lateral motor specificity (Steinbach, 1964). Cantrell et al. (2020) reported a significant negative correlation between an asymmetry score of the H-reflex (soleus Hoffmann reflex ratio between the two legs) and postural balance in healthy and pathological subjects. Their results suggest that at the spinal level, an asymmetry in motor neuron excitability between the two legs is likely to impact monopedal postural balance. At the cerebral level, this motor specificity can also be characterized by the fact that the lower limb laterality is associated with different activation characteristics in the primary motor cortex and the basal ganglia (Kapreli et al., 2006). During the preparatory process of a motor action with the non-dominant hand, the excitability increased in the non-dominant hemisphere and decreased in the dominant hemisphere while with the dominant hand, the only change was a decrease in the non-dominant hemisphere (Poole et al., 2018). In fact, the upper limb dominance is often attributed to cerebral hemispheric asymmetry (Promsri et al., 2018). Each hemisphere/limb is specialized for different functions, i.e., the dominant limb for controlling trajectory dynamics and the non-dominant limb for controlling limb positioning. According to Promsri et al. (2018), it is highly plausible that cerebral hemispheric asymmetry causes process differences in the control of movements not only in the upper limb but also in the lower limb and that such differences should be detectable. These authors examined the control of kinematic movement synergies in one-leg balancing and suggested different control characteristics between dominant an non-dominant leg. The effect of limb dominance was not uniform, but synergy-dependent - an observation that agrees with predictions from motor control theories and that relates to the task-specificity of balancing skills.

The finding above suggest that postural regulation could be based on a lateralized hemispheric brain function (Cioncoloni et al., 2016). These authors inferred that the functional asymmetry between the two homologous primary motor areas would imply that the dominant hemisphere plays a critical role in the selection of the appropriate postural strategy. The fact that stroke damage to the right as compared to the left cerebral hemisphere leads to poorer postural responses both in quiet and perturbed balance would reinforce this assumption and would suggest that the right cerebral hemisphere plays a more prominent role in efferent process responsible for postural balance (Fernandes et al., 2018). These authors proposed that cerebral hemisphere specialization should not be understood as an absolute dominance of the right over the left cerebral hemisphere for balance control, but as a functional superiority of the right hemisphere at processing functions relevant for balance control leading to its greater proportional influence on stabilization and recovery of upright balance. This is why the dominant foot would affect the postural balance mechanism (Yoshida et al., 2014).

4. Conclusion

A thorough examination of the current literature does not allow one to conclude whether limb dominance does or does not influence the monopedal postural balance. Indeed, the studies published to date have shown that the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg can display different postural balance but they can also exhibit similar postural balance. In fact, there are multiple factors that could induce postural difference between the two legs but also there are multiple factors that could prevent any appearance of postural difference. The overall picture shows that factors can counteract in certain contexts which induce no postural difference while postural differences arise in other contexts in the presence of additional factors that generates postural difference. Thus, the influence of limb dominance on the monopedal postural balance would be context-dependent. The combined presence of certain factors is likely to accentuate or reduce the effects of leg dominance on the monopedal postural balance. A single factor is probably not enough to impact postural balance of the two legs significantly and differently. For instance, fatigue should be associated at least with another environmental or experimental favorable condition (e.g., expertise, asymmetric activity, specific postural test) to generate postural difference between the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg. In order to advance our knowledge of the influence of limb dominance on the monopedal postural balance, the different contexts under which they are studied (e.g., symmetric versus asymmetric physical activity, specific versus not specific postural test, expert versus not expert subjects, fatigue versus non-fatigue state, basic versus subtle/elaborated analysis technique and method; high versus low training -or physical load- level; extensive versus little experience) should be identified and specifically tested in future research. At last, intrinsic factors of subjects should not be obscured in next experimental protocols since their impact is likely to be significant.

Acknowledgments

This research has not received funding. The authors has not any conflicts of interest

References

Alonso, A.C., Brech, G.C., Bourquin, A.M., & Greve, J.M. (2011). The influence of lowerlimb dominance on postural balance. *Sao Paulo Medicine Journal*, 129, 410-413.

Atkins, S.J., Bentley, I., Hurst, H.T., Sinclair, J.K., & Hesketh, C. (2016). The Presence of Bilateral Imbalance of the Lower Limbs in Elite Youth Soccer Players of Different Ages. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 30, 1007-1013.

Barbieri, F.A., Polastri, P.F., Baptista, A.M., Lirani-Silva, E., Simieli, L., Orcioli-Silva, D., Beretta, V.S., & Gobbi, L.T. (2016). Effects of disease severity and medication state on postural control asymmetry during challenging postural tasks in individuals with Parkinson's disease. *Human Movement Science*, 46, 96-103.

Barone, R., Macaluso, F., Traina, M., Leonardi, V., Farina, F., & Di Felice, V. (2011). Soccer players have a better standing balance in nondominant one-legged stance. *Open Access Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2, 1-6. doi: 10.2147/OAJSM.S12593. eCollection 2010.

Beretta VS, Gobbi LT, Lirani-Silva E, Simieli L, Orcioli-Silva D, & Barbieri FA. (2015). Challenging postural tasks increase asymmetry in patients with Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One*, *10*(9):e0137722. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137722. eCollection 2015.

Bishop, C., Turner, A., & Read, P. (2018). Effects of inter-limb asymmetries on physical and sports performance: a systematic review. *Journal of Sports Science*, *36*, 1135-1144.

Bohm, S., Mersmann, F., Marzilger, R., Schroll, A., & Arampatzis, A. (2015). Asymmetry of Achilles tendon mechanical and morphological properties between both legs. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 25, 124-132.

Bressel, E., Yonker, J.C., Kras, J., & Heath, E.M. (2007). Comparison of static and dynamic balance in female collegiate soccer, basketball, and gymnastics athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 42, 42-46.

Brito, J., Fontes, I., Ribeiro, F., Raposo, A., Krustrup, P., & Rebelo, A. (2012). Postural stability decreases in elite young soccer players after a competitive soccer match. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 13, 175-179.

Brown, S.R., Brughelli, M., & Lenetsky, S. (2018). Profiling Single-Leg Balance by Leg Preference and Position in Rugby Union Athletes. *Motor Control*, 22, 183-198.

Cantrell, G.S., Lantis, D.J., Bemben, M.G., Black, C.D., Larson, D.J., Pardo, G., Fjeldstad-Pardo, C., & Larson, R.D. (2020). Relationship between soleus H-reflex asymmetry and postural control in multiple sclerosis. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 11:1-7 doi: 10.1080/09638288.2020.1771779. Online ahead of print. PMID: 32525405

Chew-Bullock, T.S., Anderson, D.I, Hamel, K.A., Gorelick, M.L., Wallace, S.A., & Sidaway, B. (2012). Kicking performance in relation to balance ability over the support leg. *Human Movement Science*, 31, 1615-1623.

Chung, L.H., Remelius, J.G., & Van Emmerik, R.E.A., & Kent-Braun, J.A. (2008). Leg power asymmetry and postural control in women with multiple sclerosis. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40, 1717-1724.

Cioncoloni, D., Rosignoli, D., Feurra, M., Rossi, S., Bonifazi, M., Rossi, A., & Mazzocchio, R. (2016). Role of brain hemispheric dominance in anticipatory postural control strategies. *Experimental Brain Research*, 234, 1997-2005.

Clifford, A.M., & Holder-Powell, H. (2010). Postural control in healthy individuals. *Clinical Biomechanics (Bristol, Avon)*, 25, 546-551.

Cug, M., Duncan, A., & Wikstrom, E. (2016a). Comparative effects of different balance-training-progression styles on postural control and ankle force production: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Athletic Train*ing, 51, 101-110.

Cug, M., Wikstrom, E.A., Golshaei, B., & Kirazci, S. (2016b). The effects of sex, limb dominance, and soccer participation on knee proprioception and dynamic postural control. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 25, 31-39.

Cug, M., Özdemir, R.A., & Ak, E. (2014). Influence of leg dominanceof leg dominance on single-leg stance performance during dynamic conditions: an investigation into the validity of symmetry hypothesis for dynamic postural control in healthy individuals. *Turkisk Journal of Physical Medical and Rehabilitation*, 60, 22-26.

Dabadghav, R. (2016). Correlation of ankle eversion to inversion strength ratio and static balance in dominant and non-dominant limbs of basketball players. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 56, 422-427.

Debski, P., Mielanczyk, J., & Gnat, R. (2017). Postural stability in one-leg standing on dominant and non-dominant leg in professional soccer players. *Journal of Kinesiology and Exercise Sciences*, 77: 53-63.

Erkmen, N., Suveren, S., & Göktepe, A.S. (2012). Effects of exercise continued until anaerobic threshold on balance performance in male basketball players. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 33, 73-79.

Farthing, J.P. (2009). Cross-education of strength depends on limb dominance: implications for theory and application. *Exercise and Sport Science Reviews*. 37, 179-187.

Fernandes, C.A., Coelho, D.B., Martinelli, A.R., & Teixeira, L.A. (2018). Right cerebral hemisphere specialization for quiet and perturbed body balance control: Evidence from unilateral stroke. *Human Movement Science*, 57, 374-387.

Fort-Vanmeerhaeghe A, Montalvo AM, Sitjà-Rabert M, Kiefer AW, & Myer GD. (2015). Neuromuscular asymmetries in the lower limbs of elite female youth basketball players and the application of the skillful limb model of comparison. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 16, 317-23.

Frazer, A.K., Pearce, A.J., Howatson, G., Thomas, K., Goodall, S., & Kidgell, D.J. (2018). Determining the potential sites of neural adaptation to cross-education: implications for the cross-education of muscle strength. *European Journal of Applied. Physiology*, 118, 1751-1772.

Golomer, E., & Mbongo, F. (2004). Does footedness or hemispheric visual asymmetry influence centre of pressure displacements? *Neuroscience Letters*, 367, 148-151.

Gstöttner, M., Neher, A., Scholtz, A., Millonig, M., Lembert, S., & Raschner, C. (2009). Balance ability and muscle response of the preferred and nonpreferred leg in soccer players. *Motor Control*, 13, 218-231.

Hoffman, M., Schrader, J., Applegate, T., & Koceja, D. (1998). Unilateral postural control of the functionally dominant and nondominant extremities of healthy subjects. *Journal of Athletic Train*ing, 33, 319-322.

Huurnink, A., Fransz, D.P., Kingma, I., Hupperets, M.D., & van Dieën, J.H. (2014). The effect of leg preference on postural stability in healthy athletes. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 47, 308-312.

Jadczak, L., Grygorowicz, M., Dzudziński, W., & Śliwowski, R. (2019). Comparison of static and dynamic balance at different levels of sport competition in professional and junior elite soccer players. *Journal of Strength Conditioning Research*, 33, 3384-3391.

Kapreli, E., Athanasopoulos, S., Papathanasiou, M., Van Hecke, P., Strimpakos, N., Gouliamos, A., Peeters, R., & Sunaert, S. (2006). Lateralization of brain activity during lower limb joints movement. An fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 32, 1709-1721.

Karakaya, M.G., Rutbİl, H., Akpinar, E., Yildirim, A., & Karakaya, İ.Ç. (2015). Effect of ankle proprioceptive training on static body balance. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 27, 3299-3302.

Kartal, A. (2014). Comparison of static balance in different athletes. *Anthropologist*, 18, 811-815.

Kilroy, E.A., Crabtree, O.M., Crosby, B., Parker, A., & Barfield, W.R. (2016). The Effect of Single-Leg Stance on Dancer and Control Group Static Balance. *International Journal of Exercise Sciences*, 9, 110-120.

Kim, M.K., & Yoo, K.T. (2015). Effect of isotonic and isokinetic exercise on muscle activity and balance of the ankle joint. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science* 27; 415-420.

King AC, & Zheng Wang Z. (2017). Asymmetrical stabilization and mobilization exploited during static single leg stance and goal directed kicking. *Human Movement Science*, 54, 182-190.

Kiyota, T., & Fujiwara, K. (2014). Dominant side in single-leg stance stability during floor oscillations at various frequencies. *Journal of Physiological Anthropology*, 33:25. doi: 10.1186/1880-6805-33-25.

Kovacikova, Z., Zemkova, E., Neumannova, K., Jelen, M., Jelen, K., & Janura, M. (2015). The role of lateral preference of lower limbs in a postural stabilization task. *Neuro Endocrinology Letters*, 36, 91-95.

Kümmel, J., Kramer, A., Giboin, L.S., & Gruber, M. (2016). Specificity of Balance Training in Healthy Individuals: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 46, 1261-1271.

Lanshammar, K., & Ribom, E.L. (2011). Differences in muscle strength in dominant and nondominant leg in females aged 20-39 years--a population-based study. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, 12, 76-79.

Laroche, D.P., Cook, S.B., & Mackala, K. (2012). Strength asymmetry increases gait asymmetry and variability in older women. *Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise*, 44, 2172-2181.

Lin, C.W., Su, F.C., Wu, H.W., & Lin, C.F. (2013). Effects of leg dominance on performance of ballet turns (pirouettes) by experienced and novice dancers. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 31, 1781-1788.

Lin WH, Liu YF, Hsieh CCC, & Lee AJY. (2009). Ankle eversion to inversion strength ratio and static balance control in the dominant and non-dominant limbs of young adults. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 12, 42-49.

López-Fernández J, García-Unanue J, Sánchez-Sánchez J, Colino E, Hernando E, & Gallardo L. (2020). Bilateral asymmetries assessment in elite and sub-elite male futsal players. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17, 3169

Ludwig, O., Simon, S., Piret, J., Becker, S., & Marschall, F. (2017). Differences in the dominant and non-dominant knee valgus angle in junior elite and amateur soccer players after unilateral landing. *Sports (Basel)*, 5(1). pii: E14. doi: 10.3390/sports5010014

Mala, L., Maly, T., & Zahalkab, F. (2017). Postural performance in the bipedal and unipedal stance of elite soccer players in different age categories. *Acta Kinesiologica*, 11: 101-105.

Marchetti, P.H., Orselli, M.I., Martins, L.M.S., & Duarte, M. (2014). Effects of a full season on stabilometric Parameters of team handball elite athletes. *Motriz (Rio Claro)* 20, 71-77.

Matsuda, S., Demura, S., & Demura, T. (2010b). Examining differences between center of pressure sway in one-legged and two-legged stances for soccer players and typical adults. *Perceptual Motor Skills*, 110, 751-760.

Matsuda, S., Demura, S., & Nagasawa, Y. (2010a). Static one-legged balance in soccer players during use of a lifted leg. *Perceptual Motor Skills*, 111, 167-177.

Matsuda, S., Demura, S., & Uchiyama, M. (2008). Centre of pressure sway characteristics during static one-legged stance of athletes from different sports. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 26, 775-779.

McGregor, S.J., Armstrong, W.J., Yaggie, J.A., Bollt, E.M., Parshad, R., Bailey, J.J., Johnson, S.M., Goin, A.M., & Kelly, S.R. (2011). Lower extremity fatigue increases complexity of postural control during a single-legged stance. *Journal Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*, 8:43. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-8-43.

Miller MM, Trapp JL, Post EG, Trigsted SM, McGuine TA, Brooks MA, & Bell DR. (2017). The Effects of Specialization and Sex on Anterior Y-Balance Performance in High School Athletes. *Sports Health*, 9, 375-382.

Mohammadi F, Salavati M, Akhbari B, Mazaheri M, Khorrami M, & Negahban H. (2012). Static and dynamic postural control in competitive athletes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and controls. *Knee Surgery Sports Traumatology Arthroscopy*, 20, 1603-1610.

Muehlbauer, T., Schwiertz, G., Brueckner, D., Kiss, R., & Panzer, S. (2019). Limb differences in unipedal balance performance in young male soccer players with different ages. *Sports* (*Basel*) Jan 11;7(1). pii: E20. doi: 10.3390/sports7010020.

Muehlbauer, T., Mettler, C., Roth, R., & Granacher, U. (2014). One-leg standing performance and muscle activity: are there limb differences? *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 30, 407-414.

Overmoyer, G.V., & Reiser, R.F. (2013). Relationships between asymmetries in functional movements and the star excursion balance test. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 27, 2013-2024.

Paillard, T. (2017a). Plasticity of the postural function to sport and/or motor experience. *Neuroscience Biobehavioral Reviews*, 72: 129-152.

Paillard, T. (2017b). Relationship between muscle function, muscle typology and postural performance according to different postural conditions in young and older adults. *Frontiers Physiology*, 8:585. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00585. eCollection 2017

Paillard, T. (2012). Effects of general and local fatigue on postural control: a review. *Neuroscience Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36, 162-176.

Paillard, T. (2014). Sport-specific balance develops specific postural skills. *Sports Medicine*, 44, 1019-1020.

Paillard, T., Noé, F., Rivière, T., Marion, V., Montoya, R., & Dupui, P. (2006). Postural performance and strategy in the unipedal stance of soccer players at different levels of competition. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 41,172-176.

Pardos-Mainer E, Casajus JA, & Gonzalo-Skok O (2019). Adolescent female soccer players' soccer-specific warm-up effects on performance and inter-limb asymmetries. *Biology Sport*, 36, 199-207

Pau, M., Ibba, G., Leban, B., & Scorcu M. (2014). Characterization of static balance abilities in elite soccer players by playing position and age. *Research Sports Medicine*, 22, 355-367.

Poole BJ, Mather M, Livesey EJ, Harris IM, & Harris JA. (2018). Motor-evoked Potentials Reveal Functional Differences Between Dominant and Non-Dominant Motor Cortices During Response Preparation *Cortex*, 103, 1-12.

Previc, F.H. (1991). A general theory concerning the prenatal origins of cerebral lateralization in humans. *Psychological Review*, 98, 299-334.

Promsri, A., Haid, T., & Federolf, P. (2018). How does lower limb dominance influence postural control movements during single leg stance? *Human Movement Science*, 58:165-174.

Promsri A, Longo A, Haid T, Doix AM, & Federolf P. (2019). Leg dominance as a risk factor for lower-limb injuries in downhill skiers-a pilot study into possible mechanisms. International *Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16, 3399. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16183399.

Promsri A, Haid T, Werner I, & Federolf P. (2020). Leg dominance effects on postural control when performing challenging balance exercises. *Brain Science*, 10, 128. doi: 10.3390/brainsci10030128.

Ricotti, L., & Ravaschio, A. (2011). Break dance significantly increases static balance in 9 years-old soccer players. *Gait Posture*, 33, 462-465.

Ricotti, L., Rigosa, J., Niosi, A., Menciassi, A. (2013). Analysis of balance, rapidity, force and reaction times of soccer players at different levels of competition. *PLoS One.*, 8(10):e77264. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077264. eCollection 2013.

Sabin, M.J., Ebersole, K.T., Martindale, A.R., Price, J.W., & Broglio, S.P. (2010). Balance performance in male and female collegiate basketball athletes: influence of testing surface. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 24, 2073-2078.

Schneiders, A.G., Sullivan, S.J., O'Malley, K.J., Clarke, S.V., Knappstein, S.A., & Taylor, L.J. (2010). A valid and reliable clinical determination of footedness. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 2, 835-841.

Slomka KJ, Pawlowski M, Michalska J, Kamieniarz A, Brachman A, & Juras G (2018). Effects of 8-week complex balance training in young alpine skiers: a pilot study. *Biomedical Research International*, doi.org/10.1155/2018/6904534

Steinbach, M. (1964). Handedness and leg dominance. a contribution to the problem of dominance of a hemisphere. *Nervenarzt*, 35:299-303.

Teixeira, L.A., de Oliveira, D.L., Romano, R.G., & Correa, S.C. (2011). Leg preference and interlateral asymmetry of balance stability in soccer players. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 82, 21-27.

Thorpe, J.L., & Ebersole, K.T. (2008) Unilateral balance performance in female collegiate soccer athletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 22, 1429-1433.

Vieira, O. Jr., Coelho, D.B., & Teixeira, L.A. (2014). Asymmetric balance control between legs for quiet but not for perturbed stance. *Experimental Brain Research*, 232, 3269-3276.

Wang, Z., & Newell, K.M. (2013). Footedness exploited as a function of postural task asymmetry. *Laterality*, 18, 303-318.

Waterman N, Sole G, & Hale L. (2004). The effect of a netall game on parameters of balance. *Physical Therapy in Sports*, 5: 200-207

Willems, M.E., & Ponte, J.P. (2013). Divergent muscle fatigue during unilateral isometric contractions of dominant and non-dominant quadriceps. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 16, 240-244.

Yamada, R.K., Arliani, G.G, Almeida, G.P., Venturine, A.M., Santos, C.V., Astur, D.C., & Cohen, M. (2012). The effects of one-half of a soccer match on the postural stability and functional capacity of the lower limbs in young soccer players. *Clinics*, 67, 1361-1364.

Yoshida, T., Ikemiyagi, F., Ikemiyagi, Y., Tanaka, T., Yamamoto, M., & Suzuki, M. (2014). The dominant foot affects the postural control mechanism: examination by body tracking test. *Acta Otolaryngol*ogy, 134, 1146-1150.

A - Identification of the dominant leg

B – Evaluation of the monopedal postural balance





The dominant leg is classically defined by asking an individual to kick a ball (grey circle)

Evaluation of the monopedal postural balance of the dominant leg (black color) and the non-dominant leg (white color) on a force platform (grey rectangle)

Figure 1 : (A) Illustration of the identification of the dominant leg i.e., the black leg and (B) illustration of the monopedal postural tests on the dominant leg and non-dominant leg.

	Evaluation technique and	Test duration	Definition of the	Number and types of	Comparison
	measured parameters		dominant leg	subjects	NDL/DL
Mala et al. (2017)	Force platform; COP length	30 s in static condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	64 elite soccer players - 24 seniors - 20 U-21 - 16 (or 20 ?) U-16	NDL = DL
Debski et al. (2017)	Balance SD System; stability index scores	20 s in dynamic (least stable i.e., level 1) condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	27 (or 31?) soccer players evolving from the I-IV leagues	NDL = DL
Pau et al. (2014)	Pressure platform; COP surface area, length, amplitude and velocity	20 s in static condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	71 soccer players - 19 professional (serie A) - 17 U-19 - 20 U-17 - 15 U-15	NDL = DL
Huurnink et al. (2014)	Force platform; COP velocity and Motion analysis, 3-dimension trajectories of markers; angular velocity of the ankle and hip	20 s in static condition (as well as 5 motor tasks such as step-up, hop, ball kick, dynamic balance during 10 s, pick-up)	Preferred leg for stepping up, hopping, kicking a ball, balancing and picking up	16 field hockey athletes (inter-district or national level)	NDL = DL
Muehlbauer et al. (2014)	Force platform; COP surface area and COP length	30 s in static condition (eyes open or closed, firm or foam ground)	Defined through 4 questions: ball kick, pick- up, step-up on a bug, step-up onto a chair	30 healthy young adults	NDL = DL
Cug et al (2014)	Balance SD System; stability index scores	20 s in dynamic (least stable i.e., level 1) condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	45 healthy no active (for 6 months prior the protocol) subjects	NDL = DL
Teixeira et al. (2011)	Force platform; COP surface area	6 s in static and dynamic condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	 - 11 experienced male soccer players - 11 non-soccer players 	NDL = DL
Alonso et al. (2011)	Balance SD System; stability index scores	20 s in static (more stable i.e., level 8) and dynamic (less stable i.e., level 2) conditions	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	40 healthy sedentary males (between 20 and 40 years old)	NDL = DL
Matsuda et al. (2010b)	Force platform; COP velocity and COP length	60 s in static condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	 - 25 non-soccer players - 25 soccer players 	NDL = DL

Matsuda et al. (2010a)	Force platform; COP velocity and COP length	30 s when standing on stable ground with the free leg rotated around a ball (as page as possible	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	- 17 soccer players - 17 students (6 with basket- ball experience, 5 with	NDL = DL
		without touching it)		with no technical exercise experience	
Matsuda et al. (2008)	Force platform; COP velocity and COP length	60 s in static condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	 - 10 swimmers - 10 soccer players - 10 basket-ball players - 10 non athletes 	NDL = DL
Hoffman et al. (1998)	Force platform; COP surface area and COP length	15 s in static condition	Defined through 3 functional tests: ball kick, step-up and balance recovery after postural perturbation	10 healthy subjects	NDL = DL

COP: Centre of foot of pressure; DL: dominant leg; NDL: non dominant leg

Table 1: Cross-sectional studies showing no differences between the monopedal postural balance of the dominant leg and that of the nondominant leg in healthy adults. This table relates only results from quantitative analyses of postural balance (centre of pressure parameters, stability indexes, limbs kinematics). No study was listed in the following cases: qualitative analyses, imprecise or very sophisticated methodology, longitudinal follow-ups, fatigue protocol and asymmetry indexes without distinction between the dominant and the non-dominant leg.

	Evaluation technique and	Test duration	Definition of the	Number and types of	Comparison NDL/DL
	measured parameters		dominant leg	subjects	
Jadczak et al (2019)	Accelerometers; inclination angles	20 s in static condition 30 s in dynamic condition	Self-report measure and a kicking ball test	154 soccer players - 52 professionals - 55 U-21 - 47 U-19	- Static: NDL = DL - Dynamic: NDL >DL
Brown et al. (2018)	Balance SD System; stability index scores	20 s in static (more stable i.e., level 8) and dynamic (less stable i.e., level 2) conditions	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	30 healthy male academy rugby union athletes - 15 forwards - 15 backs	 Static (more stable) Forwards: NDL = DL Backwards: NDL < DL Dynamic (less stable) No difference
Kovacikova et al. (2015)	Force platform; COP excursion as a function of time	5 s after unexpected postural perturbations	Preferred leg for 1. Kicking a ball, 2. Step onto a 20-cm high step, 3. Balance recovery after disturbance	14 physically active young subjects	Re-stabilization time NDL > DL
Kiyota and Fujiwara (2014)	Force platform; COP velocity	20 s in static and dynamic condition	Determined through the Waterloo Footedness Questionnaire revised	30 healthy young adults	- Static: NDL = DL - Dynamic: NDL < DL
Vieira et al. (2014)	Force platform; COP amplitude	30 s in quiet stance and with unexpected postural perturbations	Leg preference for performing motor skills	12 physically active male university students	 Quiet stance NDL > DL Perturbed posture NDL = DL
Kartal et al. (2014)	Force platform; COP length	60 s in static condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	 20 tennis players 20 soccer players 20 basketball players 20 volleyball players 	Basketball, soccer and volleyball players: NDL > DL
Ricotti et al. (2013)	Force platform; COP length, COP surface area	20 s in static and dynamic condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	185 sportsmen including 170 soccer players (15 control subjects)	- Static: NDL > DL - Dynamic : NDL >DL
Lin et al. (2013)	Motion analysis, 3- dimension trajectories of markers; inclination angle of rotation axis	10 trials (pirouettes), 5 trials on the dominant leg and 5 trials on the non-dominant leg	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	 - 13 experienced dancers - 13 novice dancers 	 Experienced dancers NDL < DL Novice dancers DL = NDL
Baron et al. (2011)	Force platform; COP surface area	5 s in static condition	Preferred leg for kicking a ball	 20 sedentary subjects 20 soccer players 20 basketball players 20 windsurfer 	Only soccer players NDL > DL

COP: Centre of foot of pressure; DL: dominant leg; NDL: non dominant leg

Table 2: Cross-sectional studies showing differences between the monopedal postural balance of the dominant leg and that of the non-dominant leg in healthy adults. This table relates only results from quantitative analyses of postural balance (centre of pressure parameters, stability indexes, limbs kinematics). No study was listed in the following cases: qualitative analyses, imprecise or very sophisticated methodology, longitudinal follow-ups, fatigue protocol and asymmetry indexes without distinction between the dominant and the non-dominant leg.