



**HAL**  
open science

## Study of the physiological parameters of a regulated oxygen mask

Geoffray Battiston, Dominique Beauvois, Gilles Duc, Emmanuel Godoy

► **To cite this version:**

Geoffray Battiston, Dominique Beauvois, Gilles Duc, Emmanuel Godoy. Study of the physiological parameters of a regulated oxygen mask. Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics 15th International Conference, ICINCO 2018, Porto, Portugal, July 29-31, 2018, Revised Selected Papers, Vol 613, 2019, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering,, 10.1007/978-3-030-31993-9\_22 . hal-02963604v1

**HAL Id: hal-02963604**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02963604v1>**

Submitted on 10 Oct 2020 (v1), last revised 7 Dec 2022 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Study of the physiological parameters of a regulated oxygen mask

Geoffray Battiston<sup>1</sup>, Dominique Beauvois<sup>1</sup> Gilles Duc, and Emmanuel Godoy<sup>1</sup>

Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes (L2S), Centrale-Supélec, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 3 rue Joliot Curie, 91192 Gif sur Yvette cedex, France

**Abstract.** This paper is an extension to a previous work concerning the study of a regulated oxygen mask which distributes oxygen in response to an inhalation demand and shows a vibratory behaviour after a certain constant flow demand value. It was shown that it was a chattering effect due to the appearance of an instability of the model equilibrium trajectory. After a brief summary of the previous results, a focus is made on the physiological parameters of the regulated mask which limit any modification of the mask.

**Keywords:** stability analysis, pneumatic systems

## 1 Introduction

The regulated oxygen mask is a purely mechanical device which distributes oxygen in response to an inhalation demand. During an inhalation, the mask volume is depleted, its pressure decreases and an oxygen distribution circuit is activated to compensate this demand. Over a certain demand flow value, the system starts chattering.

There is a classical methodology for studying pneumatic chattering systems. It consists of performing a linear stability analysis to infer the stability of the system equilibrium trajectories and a nonlinear stability analysis (with the use of normal form reductions, center manifold reductions and Lyapunov exponents) to study the trajectories of the system before a collision happens. This event is called a grazing bifurcation. Examples for classical pneumatic components like pressure-relief valves can be found in [1][2][3][4].

In [5], only the linear stability analysis was performed. First, a physical model for the regulated oxygen mask was proposed. Then, the equilibria of this nonlinear model were found. Since the mask vibratory behaviour appears after a certain demand flow value, it was chosen to analyse the evolution of the eigenvalues of the linearized varying model to check if some of them were positive after this threshold. It was indeed the case. Simulation showed a valve diverging and striking its seat, which is the chattering effect. The application of the Routh criterion allowed to find inequalities on physical parameters to ensure the stability of the regulated oxygen mask. Among various strategies, it appeared

that the increase of the value of a spring stiffness was sufficient to satisfy the Routh criterion. This increase was tested experimentally and it appeared that the trajectory of the regulator was sufficiently stabilized to avoid the chattering. Consequences of this increase like the decrease in the mask pressure, the increase of the amplitude of transient oscillations were observed and partly explained.

One conclusion of the previous work showed the improvement of the mask had to be made with attention to the respect of the physiological confort of the inhaling person. In this paper, we start by quoting the useful results from [5] in section 2, and study this confort matter in section 3, by analysing the important physiological parameters of the mask. The principles of an optimisation programming to improve the stability of the mask and its physiological performances are quickly presented in section 4.

## 2 Conclusions from previous work

This section quickly summarizes the results from [5]. It first focuses on the physical model of the mask in subsection 2.1. Then, it deals with the equilibrium trajectory of the mask in subsection 2.2 and the Routh conditions to have it stable in subsection 2.3.

### 2.1 Physical model of the mask




Fig. 1: Definition sketch of the mathematical model (taken from [5]). Mass flows are drawn in red, the future state variables are written in green. The dashed line represents a pressure measurement.

Fig.1 represents a simplified diagram of the regulated oxygen mask to construct its mathematical model. The oxygen supply is made at the opening with pressure  $P_s$ . The flow demand from the inhaling person is  $W_p$ . The flow distributed by

the mask is  $W_i$ . The pressure in the mask is  $P_m$ . The mass conservation in the mask volume  $V_m$  is:

$$\dot{P}_m = \frac{rT}{V_m}(W_i + W_p) \quad (1)$$

where  $T$  is the temperature of the oxygen, which is considered constant in every subsequent volume, and  $r$  is the oxygen gas constant (for simplicity, it is supposed that there is only oxygen in the mask volume, which is not true in practice because of the exhalation of carbon dioxide from the equipped person).

The comparator is a rigid disk of section  $S_m$ , mass  $m$ , tied to a  $k$ -stiffness spring and a viscous damper  $f$ . It can close a tube of internal section  $S_s$  from which oxygen can evacuate. The distance between the orifice of this tube and the comparator is  $x$ , and equals zero when the tube is closed.  $\dot{x}$  is the comparator speed. Exterior pressure  $P_e$  and pressure  $P_m$  apply on the surface  $S_m$ . On surface  $S_s$  are applied pressure  $P_p$  and pressure  $P_m$ . It can be shown (see [5] for the details) that the equation of the comparator can be written as:

$$m\ddot{x} + f\dot{x} + kx = S_m(P_e - P_m - dP_0) + S_s(P_p - P_s) \quad (2)$$

where  $dP_0$  is the comparator opening threshold. Its role will be studied later.

The evolution of the pilot chamber pressure  $P_p$  depends on the mass conservation equation:

$$\dot{P}_p = \frac{rT}{V_p}(W_c - W_s) \quad (3)$$

where  $V_p$  is the pilot chamber volume.

$W_s$  is the mass flow exiting this chamber through the comparator orifice:

$$W_s = k_s x P_p \quad (4)$$

where  $k_s$  is the tube flow constant for a critical compressible flow.

$W_c$  is the mass flow going through an isothermal Hagen-Poiseuille restriction of coefficient  $k_c$ :

$$W_c = k_c(P_s^2 - P_p^2) \quad (5)$$

The regulating actuator takes a measurement of  $P_p$  and sends a mass flow  $W_i$  proportionnal to it:

$$W_i = \begin{cases} k_i(P_s - P_t - P_p), & P_p \leq P_s - P_t \\ 0, & P_p > P_s - P_t \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $P_t$  is the threshold for  $P_s - P_p$  to start delivering a mass flow.

## 2.2 Equilibrium trajectory of the mask

We use equations (1-6) to write the state equations:

$$\dot{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ -\frac{k}{m}x - \frac{f}{m}\dot{x} - \frac{S_m}{m}P_m + \frac{S_s}{m}P_p + H \\ -k_i\frac{rT}{V_m}P_p + \frac{rT}{V_m}W_p + \frac{rT}{V_m}k_i(P_s - P_t) \\ \frac{rT}{V_p}(-k_cP_p^2 - k_sxP_p) + \frac{rT}{V_p}k_cP_s^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

in which the state vector is defined by:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ P_m \\ \bar{P}_p \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and  $H$  is given by:

$$S_m(P_e - dP_0)/m - S_s P_s/m \quad (9)$$

Equilibrium state variables are written with a bar:

$$\bar{P}_m = (S_m(P_e - dP_0) + S_s(\bar{P}_p - P_s) - k\bar{x})/S_m \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{P}_p = W_p/k_i + P_s - P_t \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{x} = k_c/k_s(P_s^2/\bar{P}_p - \bar{P}_p) \quad (12)$$

It was shown in [5] that two eigenvalues of the 4-dimension evolution matrix of the model linearized around equilibrium state variables were having a positive real part value, which was coincident with the diverging position of the comparator. This one was then colliding with its seat. The time of the first strike was the same as the time of the beginning of chattering. An example is shown in Fig.2-4.




Fig. 2:  $W_p$  as a ramp demand.




Fig. 3: Opening  $x$  of the comparator vs time. Near 17s, it goes back to zero. This is the start of chattering.




Fig. 4: Mask pressure  $P_m$  vs time. Near 17s, after a flat transition, it starts chattering.

### 2.3 Results from the application of the Routh criterion

After linearizing the state equations around the equilibrium trajectory and considering the characteristic polynomial of the obtained evolution matrix, it is possible to apply the Routh criterion to find inequalities on the physical parameters to make the mask stable during an inhalation. The first inequality was:

$$\frac{kf}{m^2} - \frac{rTk_s S_s}{V_p m} \bar{P}_p > 0 \quad (13)$$

The second inequality was obtained after some complementary assumptions which will not be described here:

$$\frac{rTk_i S_m}{V_p m^2} \left( \frac{f}{m} + \frac{10rTk_c P_s}{3V_p} \right)^2 < R \quad (14)$$

with:

$$R = \left( \frac{rTP_s(4k_c + k_s S_p)}{V_p m} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{rTP_s(2k_c + k_s S_p)}{V_p m} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{k}{m} + \frac{rTf}{V_p m} k_c 2P_s \right) \cdot \left( \frac{f}{m} + \frac{rT}{V_p} k_c 2P_s \right) \quad (15)$$

A sufficient condition to ensure these inequalities was to increase the spring stiffness  $k$ . This suggestion was tested experimentally, and made the mask stable in simulations and less vibrating in experiments, at the cost of degraded physiological performances [5].

## 3 Study of the mask physiological parameters

Paper [5] was more focused on the linear stability analysis of the regulated oxygen mask. Presently, we will study the mask physiological parameters, a short name to call physical quantities of the mask which have a physiological influence on a breathing person. There are 4 in total: the opening time  $t_{op}$  (section 3.1), the closing time  $t_{cl}$  (section 3.2), the equilibrium mask pressure values  $\bar{P}_m$  (section 3.3), the mask pressure overshoot  $P_m^*$  in response to a step-demand (section 3.4).

### 3.1 Opening time

The opening time  $t_{op}$  is defined as the time between the beginning of an inhalation and the beginning of the oxygen distribution. It must not be too large for the inhaling person to receive oxygen as soon as possible. This value is difficult to obtain analytically. It is estimated through some assumptions which will be presented later.

At  $t = 0$ ,  $P_m = P_e$ , and for the very beginning of an inhalation, the demand is approximated with a ramp function:

$$W_p = -wt \quad (16)$$

with  $w$  the positive slope coefficient of the ramp. The comparator is closed as long as  $P_m > P_e - dP_0$ . The only working equation is (1). Its solution is:

$$P_m(t) = P_e - \frac{wrT}{2V_m}t^2 \quad (17)$$

The comparator opens at time  $t_{co}$ :

$$t_{co} = \sqrt{\frac{2V_m dP_0}{wrT}} \quad (18)$$

The next time that is needed is the time  $t_{ac}$  between the opening of the comparator and the one of the actuator activation. It is needed to solve (2) and (3) at the same time, which is difficult and may lead to a transcendental equation in  $t_{ac}$ . Simulations of  $P_m$ ,  $x$  and  $P_p$  are shown in Fig.5-7.




Fig. 5:  $P_m$  at the beginning of a ramp demand. At  $t_{co}$ ,  $P_m = P_e - dP_0$ .

It can be observed in Fig.8 that for the actual mask parameter settings, the dynamics of the comparator can be neglected. Then, equation (2) can be simplified:

$$x = \frac{S_m}{k}(P_e - dP_0 - P_m) + \frac{S_s}{k}(P_p - P_s) \quad (19)$$

$$\dot{P}_p = \frac{rT}{V_p}(k_c(P_s^2 - P_p^2) - k_s x P_p) \quad (20)$$




Fig. 6:  $x$  at the beginning of a ramp demand. At  $t_{co}$ , the comparator opens.




Fig. 7:  $P_p$  at the beginning of a ramp demand. At  $t_{co}$ , the pressure starts decreasing and at  $t_{ac}$  it reaches  $P_s - P_t$  which is the time at which the actuator is activated.




Fig. 8: Comparison between  $kx$ ,  $fv = f\dot{x}$  and  $ma = m\ddot{x}$  at the beginning of a ramp demand.

Since the actuator is still not sending oxygen, equation (17) is used in (19), yielding to:

$$\dot{P}_p = \frac{rT}{V_p} \left( k_c(P_s^2 - P_p^2) - k_s P_p \left( \frac{S_m}{k} \left( \frac{wrT}{V_m} t^2 - dP_0 \right) + \frac{S_s}{k} (P_p - P_s) \right) \right) \quad (21)$$

We change the time origin by writing  $t = t' + t_{co}$ . The mask pressure can be rewritten:

$$P_m = P_e - \frac{wrT}{2V_m} (t' + t_{co})^2 \quad (22)$$

This is reinserted in (21):

$$\dot{P}_p = \frac{rT}{V_p} \left( k_c(P_s^2 - P_p^2) - k_s P_p \left( \frac{S_m}{k} \left( \frac{wrT}{V_m} (t' + t_{co})^2 - dP_0 \right) + \frac{S_s}{k} (P_p - P_s) \right) \right) \quad (23)$$

Which we write for simplicity:

$$\dot{P}_p = -bP_p^2 + cP_p + d - e(t' + t_{co})^2 \quad (24)$$

with  $b = \frac{rT}{V_p} (k_c + \frac{k_s S_s}{k})$ ,  $c = \frac{rT k_s}{k V_p} (S_m d P_0 + S_s P_s)$ ,  $d = \frac{rT}{V_p} k_c P_s^2$ ,  $e = -\frac{rT}{V_p} \frac{k_s P_s S_m}{k} \frac{wrT}{2V_m} (t' + t_{co})^2$  and where in  $e$  the factor  $P_p$  has been approximated with  $P_s$ . This approximation is linked to the fact that in practice  $P_s - P_p < 0.1 P_s$ .

Solving this Riccati equation is very difficult. This difficulty can be overcome with numerical approximations. The pilot pressure  $P_p$  will be visible on Fig.9.  $P_p$  seems to be quadratic from  $t_{co}$ . It is approximated with:

$$P_p = P_s - at'^2 \quad (25)$$

This approximation is inserted in the differential equation (24).

$$-2at' \simeq -bP_s^2 + cP_s + d - et_{comp}^2 - 2et_{co}t' + (2bP_s a - ca - e)t'^2 \quad (26)$$

where  $t'^4$  has been neglected. After a short calculus, it can be shown that the constant term of the polynomial in  $t'$  in the right-hand side is equal to 0. From here, in order to simplify the resolution of the equation, we can see if considering that the term in  $t'$  or the term in  $t'^2$  being 0 is a valid approximation. In the first case:

$$a_1 = et_{co} \quad (27)$$

In the second one:

$$a_2 = \frac{e}{2bP_s - c} \quad (28)$$

These two approximations of  $P_p$  are plotted in Fig.9: It appears the first approx-




Fig. 9: Comparison of  $P_p$  and its two approximations 1 and 2 with respective coefficients  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

imation is better than the second one.

From there, we seek for the time  $t_{ac}$  at which the actuator opens, that is when  $P_p = P_s - P_t$ :

$$P_s - P_t = P_s - a_1 t_{ac}^2 \quad (29)$$

which gives:

$$t_{ac} = \sqrt{\frac{P_t}{a_1}} \quad (30)$$

ie:

$$t_{ac} = \sqrt{\frac{2kP_tV_p\sqrt{V_m}}{k_sS_mP_s rT\sqrt{rTwdP_0}}} \quad (31)$$

It appears that  $t_{ac}$  doesn't take into account  $S_s$  and  $k_c$ . In fact, it can be checked that their influence is indeed not very remarkable during the fall of  $P_p$ , see Fig.10. Simulation also shows that multiplying or dividing  $S_s$  by 5 leaves  $P_p$  almost




Fig. 10: Fall of  $P_p$  for different  $k_c$ .

unchanged. In order to be able to use  $t_{ac}$  for an eventual optimisation of the mask parameters, we use a rule of thumb by rewriting it:

$$t_{ac} = \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2kP_tV_p\sqrt{V_m}}{k_sS_mP_s rT\sqrt{rTwdP_0}}} \quad (32)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a correcting factor to make correspond the real  $t_{ac}$  and the approximated one in the case of the original parameter settings. This incidently supposes that the variations of the real  $t_{ac}$  are followed by its approximating formula.

**Deduction of the opening time:** The opening time can finally be written:

$$t_{op} = t_{co} + t_{ac} \quad (33)$$

In order to decrease  $t_{co}$ , one can decrease  $V_m$  and  $dP_0$ . To decrease  $t_{ac}$ , one can decrease  $k$  and  $P_t$  for instance, and increase  $dP_0$  and  $S_m$ .

### 3.2 Closing time

The closing time  $t_{cl}$  is the duration between the closing of the comparator and the end of oxygen distribution. If it is too high, oxygen still flows after the end of an inhalation and the beginning of the exhalation, which is disturbing for one who breathes in the mask. If the comparator closes its seat, the working equations for the mask are:

$$\dot{P}_p = \frac{rT}{V_p} W_c = \frac{rTk_c}{V_p} (P_s^2 - P_p^2) \quad (34)$$

The analytical solution of this differential equation exists. It can be found using the separation of variables:

$$2P_s \frac{rTk_c}{V_p} dt = \frac{2P_s dP_p}{(P_s - P_p)(P_s + P_p)} = \frac{dP_p}{P_s - P_p} + \frac{dP_p}{P_s + P_p} \quad (35)$$

This equation is then integrated between  $t = 0$  and  $t$ ,  $P_{p0}$  and  $P_p$ :

$$P_p = P_s \frac{\exp\left(2P_s \frac{rTk_c}{V_p} t\right) - \frac{P_s - P_{p0}}{P_s + P_{p0}}}{\exp\left(2P_s \frac{rTk_c}{V_p} t\right) + \frac{P_s - P_{p0}}{P_s + P_{p0}}} \quad (36)$$

for a starting pilot pressure  $P_p(t = 0) = P_{p0}$ . The mask stop sending oxygen at the moment the actuator is deactivated, that is to say for  $P_p = P_s - P_t$ . We write  $t_{cl} = \{t, P_p(t) = P_s - P_t\}$ , the closing time. Solving (36) for  $t_{cl}$  yields:

$$t_{cl} = \frac{V_p}{2P_s rTk_c} \ln \left( \frac{2P_s - P_t}{P_s + P_{p0}} \frac{P_s - P_{p0}}{P_t} \right) \quad (37)$$

The closing time increases with  $V_p$  and  $P_t$  and decreases with  $k_c$ . However, one must not conclude that making  $k_c$  very large is a good idea: if the restriction is small, the opening of the comparator may not be sufficient enough to empty the pilot volume and then send oxygen: someone inhaling could suffocate. A compromise shall be found.

### 3.3 Mask pressure value

For the mask to be easy to breathe in, the equilibrium mask pressure  $\bar{P}_m$ , needs to be as high as possible (high pressure gas flow more easily in lower pressure areas such as the lungs during inhalation). Using (10), (11) and (12), the expression of  $\bar{P}_m$  is:

$$\bar{P}_m = (P_e - dP_0) + \frac{S_s}{S_m} \left( \frac{W_p}{k_i} - P_t \right) - \frac{kk_c}{k_s S_m} \left( \frac{P_s^2}{\frac{W_p}{k_i} + P_s - P_t} - \left( \frac{W_p}{k_i} + P_s - P_t \right) \right) \quad (38)$$

It obviously depends of the demand flow  $W_p$ . The derivative along  $W_p$  is:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{P}_m}{\partial W_p} = \frac{S_s}{S_m k_i} + \frac{kk_c}{k_s S_m} \left( \frac{P_s^2}{k_i \left( \frac{W_p}{k_i} + P_s - P_t \right)^2} + \frac{1}{k_i} \right) \quad (39)$$

It is always positive. Nevertheless, one must remember that the convention for  $W_p$  is a flow entering the mask. Then, it is negative for an inhalation, which also means that  $\bar{P}_m$  decreases with the increase of the demand. If  $\bar{P}_m$  must not decrease too much with  $W_p$ , one can decrease as much as possible this derivative, that is to say increase  $k_i$ ,  $S_m$ , or decrease  $S_s$ ,  $k$  or  $k_c$ .

### 3.4 Mask pressure overshoot

The last physiological parameter is the mask pressure overshoot  $P_m^*$ . In this case, the demand is not set as a ramp, but a step  $W_{p,0}$ . In Fig.11, we plot  $W_i$  and  $-W_p$  to see at which moment they cross each other, and observe this time  $t^*$  coincides with the one at which  $P_m$  reaches its overshoot.




Fig. 11: Plot of normalized  $P_m$  and  $W_i$  in response to a step demand  $-W_p$ .

In fact,  $P_m$  reaches its overshoot value  $P_m^*$  when  $\dot{P}_m = 0$ , that is to say  $W_i = -W_{p,0}$  (if the demand was not a ramp, we would not know exactly at which flow value the derivative of  $P_m$  would be zero). According to (11), we can write:

$$P_p = P_s - P_t + \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i} \quad (40)$$

At this point, the mask dynamics is (stars refer to the value at  $t^*$ ):

$$\dot{P}_p^* = \frac{rT}{V_p} (k_c(P_s^2 - P_p^{*2}) - k_s x^* P_p^*) \quad (41)$$

$$m\ddot{x}^* + f\dot{x}^* + kx^* = S_m(P_e - dP_0 - P_m^*) + S_s\left(-P_t + \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i}\right) \quad (42)$$

That is:

$$P_m^* = P_e - dP_0 + \frac{S_s}{S_m} \left( -P_t + \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i} \right) - \frac{1}{S_m} (m\ddot{x}^* + f\dot{x}^* + kx^*) \quad (43)$$

In order to get  $P_m^*$ , the values of  $x^*$ ,  $\dot{x}^*$  and  $\ddot{x}^*$  are needed. It seems difficult to get a sufficiently satisfactory analytical approximation for these derivatives. Nevertheless, the same approximation as (19) can be made. We finally get an approximation for the overshoot  $P_m^*$ :

$$P_m^* = P_e - dP_0 + \frac{S_s}{S_m} \left( -P_t + \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i} \right) - \frac{k}{k_s S_m P_p^*} (k_c (P_s^2 - P_p^2) - \frac{V_p \dot{P}_p^*}{rT}) \quad (44)$$

We suppose  $rTk_c(P_s^2 - P_p^2) \ll -V_p \dot{P}_p^*$ , which comes from a simulation observation, see Fig.12.




Fig. 12: Comparison between the first and second term of the last parenthesis of (44).

The last remaining problem is to get the value of  $\dot{P}_p^*$ . The same approximation as in (25) for  $P_p$  is made:

$$P_p = P_s - at'^2 \quad (45)$$

where  $t' + t_1 = t$  and  $t_1$  is the time at which the comparator opens. This time, the coefficient  $a$  is directly set with the activation time  $t_{ac}$ :

$$P_p(t' = t_{ac}) = P_s - P_t = P_s - at_{ac}^2 \quad (46)$$

ie:

$$a = \frac{P_t}{t_{ac}^2} \quad (47)$$

The time at which  $P_p = P_p^*$  is defined by:

$$P_s - at^{*2} = P_s - P_t + \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i} \quad (48)$$

so:

$$t^* = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a} \left( P_t - \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i} \right)} \quad (49)$$

This gives the last wanted term:

$$\dot{P}_p^* = -2 \frac{P_t}{t_{ac}^2} t^* \quad (50)$$

Equation (44) can finally be fully written:

$$P_m^* = P_e - dP_0 + \frac{S_s}{S_m} \left( -P_t + \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i} \right) - 2 \frac{k}{k_s S_m P_p^*} \frac{V_p}{rT} \frac{1}{t_{ac}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{W_{p,0}}{k_i P_t}} \quad (51)$$

Obviously, the time  $t_{ac}$  is not the same for a step demand flow as the one for a ramp demand flow. Nevertheless, it is possible to get an approximation of it in the same way as for the previous case. One would have to use (24) and replace  $t_{co}$  and  $e$  with their new values.

In order to decrease the overshoot, ie increase  $P_m^*$  (because  $P_m$  decreases with a inhalation and the overshoot is bottom-oriented), one must decrease  $dP_0$ ,  $S_s$ ,  $P_t$ ,  $k$  or increase  $S_m$ ,  $k_i$ ,  $k_s$ . These tendencies were confirmed with multiple simulations for different parameter settings.

## 4 Improvement of the mask behaviour

As a summary of this work, in order to improve the mask behaviour, it is needed to satisfy conditions (13) and (14) while decreasing the opening time (33), the closing time (37), the mask pressure overshoot (51) and increasing the equilibrium mask pressure (38). As it was already stated in a different way, modifying one parameter may not be sufficient and it is needed to solve an optimisation problem.

This matter was not yet tackled with. Nevertheless, it is possible to precise how it is going to be dealt with. Obviously, one point will concern the choose of the cost function which will at least take into the physiological parameters. Another element must be taken into consideration even if it is not obvious: the cost function must also integrate the size of the region of stability around the position of the minimum. As a matter of fact, the physical values of the mask components are not mastered with full accuracy. If the stability-physiologically optimized region is narrow, we may end up with leaving it easily due to experimental settings inaccuracy. There also are uncertainties in the model (one about

$S_s$  was explained in [5]). We may find a less physiologically performant region but with more room for parameters settings. At last, one point concerns the geometry limitations for the mask which must be added as additional constraints to the stability conditions.

## 5 Conclusion

The stability analysis of the studied regulated oxygen mask performed in [5] gave stability regions which needed to be refined to take into account physiological performance. The physiological parameters of the mask were given thanks to multiple assumptions in this paper. Future works will be devoted to an optimisation programming in order to find parameters configurations for which both performance and stability are reached.

## References

1. MacLeod, G (1985) Safety valve dynamic instability: an analysis of chatter. *J. Pressure Vessel Technol* 107(2), pp 172-177 .
2. Maeda, T (1970) Studies on the dynamic characteristic of a poppet valve: 1st report, theoretical analysis. *Bulletin of JSME*, 13(56), pp 281-289.
3. Hayashi, S, Hayase, T, Kurahashi, T (1997) Chaos in a hydraulic control valve. *Journal of fluids and structures*, 11(6), pp 693-716.
4. Licsko, G, Champneys, A, Hos, C (2009) Nonlinear Analysis of a Single Stage Pressure Relief Valve. *International journal of applied mathematics*, 39(4).
5. Battiston, G, Beauvois, D, Duc, G, Godoy, E (2018) Stability analysis of a regulated oxygen mask. *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics - Volume 1: ICINCO (2018)*. doi:10.5220/0006848203270334