

Treatment technologies and degradation pathways of glyphosate: A critical review

Dan Feng, Audrey Soric, Olivier Boutin

▶ To cite this version:

Dan Feng, Audrey Soric, Olivier Boutin. Treatment technologies and degradation pathways of glyphosate: A critical review. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 742, pp.140559. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140559. hal-02960128

HAL Id: hal-02960128 https://hal.science/hal-02960128v1

Submitted on 12 May 2021 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Treatment technologies and degradation pathways of glyphosate: A critical review

Dan Feng, Audrey Soric, Olivier Boutin *

Aix Marseille University, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, M2P2, Marseille, France

HIGHLIGHTS

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- Adsorption, biological and oxidation processes for glyphosate in wastewater are compared.
- Degradation pathways of glyphosate to AMPA and sarcosine are proposed and discussed.
- Combined processes are expected to be interesting technology for glyphosate treatment.
- Insights into future research for glyphosate treatment by different technologies are discussed.



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 April 2020 Received in revised form 25 June 2020 Accepted 25 June 2020 Available online 28 June 2020

Editor: Damia Barcelo

Keywords: Wastewater Glyphosate Adsorption Advanced oxidation processes Biological treatment Degradation pathways

ABSTRACT

Glyphosate is one of the most widely used post-emergence broad-spectrum herbicides in the world. This molecule has been frequently detected in aqueous environment and can cause adverse effects to plants, animals, microorganisms, and humans. This review offers a comparative assessment of current treatment methods (physical, biological, and advanced oxidation process) for glyphosate wastewaters, considering their advantages and drawbacks. As for other molecules, adsorption does not destroy glyphosate. It can be used before other processes, if glyphosate concentrations are very high, or after, to decrease the final concentration of glyphosate and its by-products. Most of biological and oxidation processes can destroy glyphosate molecules, leading to by-products (the main ones being AMAP and sarcosine) that can be or not affected by these processes. This point is of major importance to control process efficiency. That is the reason why a specific focus on glyphosate degradation pathways by biological treatment or different advanced oxidation processes is proposed. However, one process is usually not efficient enough to reach the required standards. Therefore, the combination of processes (for instance biological and oxidation ones) seems to be high-performance technologies for the treatment of glyphosate-containing wastewater, due to their potential to overcome some drawbacks of each individual process. Finally, this review provides indications for future work for different treatment processes to increase their performances and gives some insights into the treatment of glyphosate or other organic contaminants in wastewater.

Contents

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: dan.feng@centrale-marseille.fr (D. Feng), audrey.soric@centrale-marseille.fr (A. Soric), olivier.boutin@univ-amu.fr (O. Boutin).

2.	Treati	ment technologies for glyphosate-containing wastewater	2
	2.1.	Adsorption	2
	2.2.	Biological treatment	4
	2.3.	Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)	7
3.	Concl	usions and perspectives	9
Ack	nowled	gements \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 1°	1
Refe	rences.		1

1. Introduction

Glyphosate (N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine, C₃H₈NO₅P) is a postemergence and nonselective broad-spectrum herbicide to control many annual and perennial weeds. It is one of the most used herbicides for agricultural, forestry, and urban setting in the world, due to its low toxicity to non-target organisms. The total amount of glyphosate used for agricultural and non-agricultural applications reached 126 million kilograms in 2014 (Benbrook, 2016). Glyphosate stops aromatic amino acid biosynthesis in plants through inhibiting the enzymes 5enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase or 3-deoxy-D-arabinoheptulosonate-7-phosphate synthase, which is a precursor for aromatic amino acids, ultimately hormones, vitamins and other important metabolites for plants (Kataoka et al., 1996).

Due to intensive use and accumulation of glyphosate in the environment, some harmful effects have been reported for plants, animals, and human health, such as weakening plant systems, disrupting the metabolism of terrestrial and aquatic animals and causing endocrine disruption to human (Mesnage et al., 2015; Tarazona et al., 2017; Van Bruggen et al., 2018). In 2015, the International Agency for Research on Cancer classified glyphosate as "probably carcinogenic to humans", while authors disagree on this conclusion, which requires further research (Andreotti et al., 2018).

Furthermore, after spreading, glyphosate-containing herbicides may contaminate the environment. Through spraying, glyphosate can directly enter the atmosphere environment, with concentrations in rain waters up to 0.48 g, L^{-1} (Villamar-Ayala et al., 2019). Then, glyphosate reaches the target organisms by foliar contact. Without degradation in the plant, the large roots of some weeds transport glyphosate into deep soil layers. A part of glyphosate is adsorbed into organic matter and clay of soils, resulting in its accumulation in soils over time (Van Bruggen et al., 2018). Meanwhile, glyphosate can be transferred into water because of runoff. Therefore, due to the extensive use of glyphosate, it has been frequently detected in the aqueous environment. For instance, the concentration of glyphosate in surface or groundwater is in the range of 2–430 μ g,L⁻¹ in the USA (Mahler et al., 2017), higher than in Europe: $0.59-165 \ \mu g L^{-1}$ (Villeneuve et al., 2011). These values exceed the maximum contaminant level goal of 0.7 μ g,L⁻¹ for drinking water (Saunders and Pezeshki, 2015).

Glyphosate can also contaminate the aqueous environment from industrial effluents of glyphosate synthesis industries or some textile industries through using glyphosate as raw materials for textile additives (Baylis, 2000). Three major industrial synthesis methods are used for glyphosate production: hydrocyanic acid, diethanolamine, and glycine process. These processes reject a large amount of industrial wastewater and other environmental pollutions. To obtain 1 ton of glyphosate, about 5-6 tons of crystallised mother liquid is generated with ~1% glyphosate, 1–4% formaldehyde and other by-products (Xing et al., 2018). Most of glyphosate is recovered from the mother liquor through nanofiltration, while 200–3000 mg.L⁻¹ glyphosate remains in the nanofiltration permeate wastewater in China. It has also been reported that the glyphosate concentration in industrial effluents could achieve up to 2560 mg. L^{-1} (Xing et al., 2017). Therefore, it is necessary to develop processes capable of degrading glyphosate contained in urban and industrial wastewaters.

Aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) is the most important metabolite of glyphosate from microorganisms degradation. It shows similar characteristics to glyphosate (Jönsson et al., 2013). However, AMPA is more persistent than glyphosate with a half-life of 119–985 days (Assalin et al., 2009). In addition, except glyphosate, AMPA can be transferred from other organic phosphonates, used as adjuvants in detergents and as stabilisation agents in cooling waters. Sarcosine is another important intermediate for glyphosate degradation. It can be detected when glyphosate served as a source of phosphate for microorganisms during phosphate deprivation (Shushkova et al., 2016).

Several review papers have been published on the treatment technologies of glyphosate. Jönsson et al. (2013) and Villamar-Ayala et al. (2019) both reviewed the treatment of glyphosate through using physical treatment processes, biological treatment, and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) and briefly compared their advantages and disadvantages. However, these review papers not summarised glyphosate degradation pathways. Sviridov et al. (2015) only focused on some metabolic pathways of glyphosate in microorganisms. A comprehensive summary of degradation pathways of glyphosate contained in water by different treatments is not well-reviewed until now. Furthermore, almost no literature has reviewed these glyphosate treatment technologies against their disadvantages. Thus, this paper is expected to offer a comparative assessment of current treatment methods used to remove glyphosate from aqueous environment, considering benefits and limits, as well as degradation pathways and mechanisms. Meanwhile, this review will focus on the potential improvement of these technics.

2. Treatment technologies for glyphosate-containing wastewater

Conventional methods, such as adsorption or biological treatments, have been applied to treat glyphosate-containing wastewater. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have also been proposed as alternative treatment technologies for glyphosate-containing wastewater. All these treatment technologies are described below.

2.1. Adsorption

Adsorption is widely used in large-scale biochemical and purification for wastewater treatment due to simple design, non-toxic, low-cost adsorbents, and high efficiency. Removal of glyphosate from aqueous environment by adsorption has been studied for several decades. Table 1 summarised different studies on this subject. Several materials have been used as adsorbents to remove glyphosate from synthetic wastewater or simulated real wastewater under different conditions, such as clay substances, activated carbon, biochar, industrial residual, resins, etc.

Synthesised clay substances, such as hydrotalcites, have a low glyphosate maximum adsorption capacity of 0.004 $mg.g^{-1}$ at a concentration less than 25 $mg.L^{-1}$ (Villa et al., 1999). However, layered double hydroxides (LDH), known as hydrotalcite-like anionic clays substances, such as MgAl-layered double hydroxides, presented better performance for glyphosate adsorption than hydrotalcites with glyphosate maximum adsorption capacity up to 184.5 $mg.g^{-1}$, due to its relatively large surface areas and high charge density. However, this process is usually considered as ineffective in practice, due to the existence of competition for adsorption sites between glyphosate and the original anions of wastewater, such as Cl^- (Li et al., 2005).

Table 1

Removal of glyphosate from synthetic wastewater by adsorption.

Reference	Adsorbent	Conditions	Glyphosate (mg.L ⁻¹)	Adsorption capacity (mg.g ⁻¹)	Adsorption mechanisms
Clays (Villa et al., 1999) (Li et al., 2005)	Hydrotalcites MgAl-layered	20 °C 25 °C; 24 h; pH: 5.6–13.1	5–25 0–608	0.001-0.004 27.4-184.5	Coordination bonds External surface adsorption and interlayer anion exchange
Activated carbon (Nourouzi et al., 2010) (Salman et al., 2012)	From waste newspaper From palm oil fronds	28 °C; 3 d; pH:2.0–9.0 30 °C; 22 h; pH:2.0–12.0	5–100 25–250	48.4 104	– External surface adsorption and electrostatic attraction
Biochar (Herath et al., 2016) (Mayakaduwa et al., 2016) (Jia et al. 2020)	From rice husk From woody	20 °C; 3 h; pH:3.0–9.0 20 °C; 4 h; pH:3–8	0-100 20	123.03 44	Pore diffusion, π - π electron donor-acceptor interaction. π - π electron donor-acceptor interaction.
(Jia et al., 2020) (Hu et al., 2011)	Residual from industrial water	25 °C; pH:4.3–9.0	50-500	From 85.9 to 113.6	-
Resin (Chen et al., 2016) (Jia et al., 2017)	D301 Supported hydroxyl iron oxide	35–45 °C 20–40 °C; 24 h	400	400-833 396.8-401.1	- Electrostatic attractions
(Xiao and Meng, 2020) (Rissouli et al., 2017)	D151 preloaded with Fe ³⁺ Chitin and chitosan	10–40 °C; 24 h 18–38 °C; pH: 3.09–9.81	500–1100 1–30	481.85 Chitin: 14 Chitosan: 35.08	Coordination bonding Electrostatic interaction

Activated carbon is mainly used for wastewater purification due to its microporous structure, huge surface area, and high efficiency. However, a limited number of relevant research papers have been reported about activated carbon as an adsorbent to remove glyphosate (Table 1). The high cost of activated carbon materials is still an important problem that limits its practical industrial applications. Recently, waste-derived activated carbon appears as an effective method to decrease the production cost for contaminants adsorption, like glyphosate (Hadi et al., 2015). The adsorption capacity of glyphosate could be achieved up to 48 and 104 mg.g⁻¹ with activated carbon derived from waste newspaper and palm oil fronds, respectively (Nourouzi et al., 2010; Salman et al., 2012).

Biochar, a universal adsorbent like activated carbon, has drawn more attention because of its low cost and highly aromatic and porous structure, which contributes to the high removal efficiency of biochar. The maximum adsorption capacity of glyphosate of 44 mg.g⁻¹ was obtained by woody biochar (Mayakaduwa et al., 2016), comparable (48 mg.g⁻¹) to that reported by Nourouzi et al. (2010) for activated carbon, while lower than that for husk derived engineered biochar (123 mg.g⁻¹) (Herath et al., 2016). Furthermore, the chemical modification method can effectively modify biochar surface properties to obtain high adsorption performance. Jia et al. (2020) found a higher maximum adsorption capacity of glyphosate of 269 mg.g⁻¹ by nano-CuFe₂O₄ modified biochar prepared by a coprecipitation method.

Aluminium sludge, an inevitable residual from water treatment using aluminium sulphate as primary coagulant, presents the potential to be reused as an efficient and low-cost adsorbent. Dewatered and liquid aluminium sludges were reported to have a maximum glyphosate adsorption capacity of respectively 86 mg.g⁻¹ and 113 mg.g⁻¹, with the comparable capacity to LDH and activated carbon. Aluminium sludge is economic and available due to its local, easy, and large availability in global scale. However, LDH and activated carbon have to be artificially synthesised or produced (Hu et al., 2011).

Due to unique porous structure and surface chemistry, resin exhibits better adsorption affinity to glyphosate in aqueous solutions compared to other adsorbents, with a maximum adsorption capacity of 833 mg. g^{-1} (Chen et al., 2016). However, due to the low selectivity of the resin when inorganic salts coexist with glyphosate, its application in wastewater treatment is limited. Jia et al. (2017) developed a synthetic double valent iron composite resin to improve selectivity for glyphosate treatment. However, in the presence of phosphate or Cl⁻, a significant decrease of the adsorption capacity of glyphosate onto this adsorbent was also found. Cl⁻¹ could compete with glyphosate anion for the anion exchange sites of resins, which limits its application for real wastewater treatment. This is because, in the mother liquor of glyphosate, Na₂HPO₃ (1.2-2.6% wt) and NaCl (about 10-20 wt%) co-exist with glyphosate (Xie et al., 2010). In order to solve this disadvantage, Xiao and Meng (2020) applied D151 resin preloaded with Fe³⁺ as an adsorbent for glyphosate from synthetic wastewater, in the presence of 16% NaCl. They found that NaCl exhibited no significant effect on glyphosate adsorption with the maximum adsorption capacity of 481.8 mg.g⁻¹. It is much higher than that of other reported adsorbents in the presence of Cl^{-1} .

Biopolymers, such as chitin and chitosan, are cheap and eco-friendly adsorbents for glyphosate at low concentration with excellent regeneration performance (Rissouli et al., 2017). However, they have lower adsorption capacity compared to layered double hydroxides, activated carbons, biochars, and resins. It will be interesting to improve its performance in further works before applying it in practice.

The pH is one of the most important factors that affect glyphosate adsorption process, through modifications of the surface charge of the adsorbents and the degree of ionisation and speciation of the adsorbate. It can be observed that glyphosate presents amphoteric characteristics with its carboxyl, phosphonate, and amine groups and negatively charged at higher pH. Acidic condition was reported to be more favourable to glyphosate adsorption by different adsorbents. Furthermore, it is reported that when the pH value of solute is below the point of zero charge, the adsorbents become positively charged and glyphosate is negatively charged. Then the adsorption of glyphosate increases with the pH due to the enhancement of electrostatic forces between adsorbents and glyphosate. However, when the pH value of the solute is above the point of zero charge, the adsorption of glyphosate decreases with the pH of the solution due to the repulsive force between absorbents and glyphosate (Herath et al., 2016; Nourouzi et al., 2010). Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to control glyphosate adsorption by different adsorbents. It is suggested that coordination bonds, external surface adsorption, and interlayer anion exchange exist in clay substance. External surface adsorption and electrostatic attraction are involved in activated carbon. Electrostatic attractions and coordination bonding predominate in resins. Compared to other adsorbents, biochar has the most complex mechanisms for glyphosate adsorption, including pore diffusion, π - π electron donor-acceptor interaction, H-bonding, electrostatic attraction, and coordination bonding. Although glyphosate has been effectively removed by different adsorbents, the mechanism is still unclear, which needed to be further studied. Moreover, to quantify the individual contributions of these mechanisms is a challenging task (Li et al., 2005; Villa et al., 1999).

To conclude, adsorption is an efficient method for glyphosate treatment. However, some drawbacks exist, which limits its practical application for real wastewater. The requirement of the acidic condition is a major drawback as it is not recommended to change dramatically the pH of wastewater which is not compatible with release to the environment. The other point is that no adsorbent is selective with respect to glyphosate. This is also a major drawback, as wastewater contains many other pollutants, many of them at higher concentrations then glyphosate. Even if the affinity of glyphosate with some of the adsorbents is quite good, it seems difficult to use this technology as a primary treatment, except to decrease large initial concentrations of glyphosate if other pollutant concentrations are not very high. It is probably recommended to use adsorption as a possible secondary treatment when all pollutants concentration has decreased. Finally, the disposal of the residue after adsorption remains a problem which also needs further research.

2.2. Biological treatment

Biodegradation of organic compounds is known as an efficient and eco-friendly method to remove organic pollutants from the aqueous environment. In biodegradation process microorganisms metabolism results in the breaking down of glyphosate into smaller molecules. Enzymatic reactions involved in these processes generally lead to harmless molecules. Literature shows that high glyphosate removal could be obtained by biodegradation process under a wide range of glyphosate concentrations (Table 2). However, biological treatment could not achieve high mineralization efficiency because of the generation of byproducts such as AMPA or sarcosine. Furthermore, this process requires long residence time and suitable microorganisms' growth conditions to achieve high removal efficiency. The reported glyphosate-degrading strains are usually isolated from glyphosate-contaminated sources (soils, wastewater, etc) or selected from laboratory collections.

Considering glyphosate-degrading microorganisms, bacteria have been more studied than fungi. This can be explained by the fact that the C-P lyase enzyme systems for glyphosate biodegradation are widespread among bacteria (Hove-Jensen et al., 2014). The most commonly isolated bacteria for glyphosate biodegradation are Pseudomonas spp. (Manogaran et al., 2017). Most of reported species use glyphosate as the sole phosphorus source. Few exceptions use glyphosate as nitrogen or carbon source. In the common process, microorganisms are cultivated in carbon, nitrogen and phosphate free media containing glyphosate. The induction of glyphosate metabolic enzyme system increases their glyphosate utilization efficiency. It is reported that glyphosate serves as a better phosphorous source for microorganisms than as a carbon source (Moneke et al., 2010). However, during the growth and metabolism process of microorganisms, the demand for carbon source is much higher than that for nitrogen or phosphorus sources. Thus, microorganisms that can use glyphosate as carbon source could lead to removal processes with higher efficiency. However, improving this process needs to develop further research. Propositions, based on the current state of the art, are made in the following paragraphs.

To assess the glyphosate-degradation performance of microorganisms, it is necessary to optimise the culture conditions, including culture temperature, initial pH, glyphosate concentration, inoculation biomass and incubation time (Zhan et al., 2018). The most used culture conditions are a temperature of 25–37 °C, pH of 6–7.5 and aerobic medium, depending on different microorganisms. Only Obojska et al. (2002) observed a thermophilic bacteria, *Geobacillus caldoxylosilyticus* T20, which could achieve more than 65% of glyphosate removal at 60 °C with initial glyphosate concentration of 169 mg.L⁻¹. Kryuchkova et al. (2014) found a facultative anaerobic strain, Enterobacter cloacae K7, which could utilize glyphosate as sole phosphorus source and obtain 40% degradation with glyphosate initial concentration of 845 mg.L⁻¹. These two examples indicate that glyphosate degradation could be improved.

Two major degradation pathways exist for glyphosate-degrading microorganisms as proposed in Fig. 1 (Zhan et al., 2018). One pathway is the conversion of glyphosate to stoichiometric quantities of AMPA (aminomethylphosphonic acid) and glyoxylate through the cleavage of C-N bond by the glyphosate oxidoreductase, the product of the cloned gox gene (Hove-Jensen et al., 2014; Zhan et al., 2018). Glyoxylate usually enters the tricarboxylic acid cycle as a convenient energy substrate for most glyphosate-degrading bacteria (Sviridov et al., 2015). Three pathways are proposed for AMPA: (i) AMPA releases to the environment, and has potential toxicity to the environment (Jacob, 1988; Lerbs et al., 1990); (ii) AMPA is further metabolized to methylamine and phosphate, catalysed by C-P lyase (Jacob, 1988; Pipke et al., 1987; Pipke and Amrhein, 1988); (iii) a phosphatase pathway, i.e. AMPA is first metabolized to phosphonoformaldehyde by transaminase and then transformed to phosphate and formaldehyde for further metabolism by phosphatase (Sviridov et al., 2014). This last pathway is still a hypothesis as none of its enzymes have been isolated nor characterized (Hove-Jensen et al., 2014), which needs further research.

The second degradation pathway is the metabolization of glyphosate to phosphate and sarcosine through the direct cleavage of the C-P bond, catalysed by C-P lyase (Firdous et al., 2017). Phosphate can be further metabolized by other species of the microbial community unable to break down the C-P bond of glyphosate (Sviridov et al., 2012). Sarcosine can be used as growth nutrient (carbon and nitrogen source) for microorganisms and is further metabolized to glycine and formaldehyde by sarcosine-oxidase. Glycine is further metabolized by microorganism and formaldehyde enters the tetrahydrofolate-directed pathway of single-carbon transfers to generate CO_2 and NH_4^+ (Borggaard and Gimsing, 2008). In this case, final products are no more toxic to the environment.

It is reported that under natural conditions and in waste treatment facilities, the main biodegradation pathway is AMPA's one. Sarcosine pathway is the main route observed under isolated conditions (Villamar-Ayala et al., 2019). In the AMPA and sarcosine pathways, C-P lyases have an important role (Sviridov et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2019). C-P lyases commonly exist in microorganisms, however, not all such enzymes can attack glyphosate or AMPA, but specific C-P lyases induced by exposure to glyphosate. The frequency of these two pathways is quite similar when glyphosate is used as a phosphorus source. However, when glyphosate is used as carbon or nitrogen source, the information is limited. Compared to sarcosine, AMPA is less biodegradable. Thus, further efforts should focus on the improvement of AMPA degradation capability of microorganism and/or find new microorganisms which could simultaneously effectively degrade glyphosate and AMPA.

To continue in this research direction, some reports indicate that AMPA and sarcosine pathways simultaneously exist in some bacteria, such as *Bacillus cereus* CB4, *Ochrobacterium anthropic* GPK3, and *Pseudomonas* sp. LBr (Fan et al., 2012; Jacob, 1988; Sviridov et al., 2012). However, this type of research is still rare. Glyphosate biodegradation by microorganisms appears to be regulated by the inorganic phosphorus supply (Hove-Jensen et al., 2014). The AMPA pathways is not generally subjected to inorganic phosphorus concentration. However, glyphosate conversion to sarcosine strongly depends on the concentrations of

Table 2

Glyphosate-degrading microorganisms reported in the literature.

Microorganism	Origin	Source	Culture conditions	Degradation pathway	Comments	References
Bacteria						
Acetobacter sp., P.	Glyphosate-contaminated rice	Carbon or	30 °C; aerobic	Not shown	Bacteria could tolerate up to	(Moneke et al.,
fluorescens Bacillus subtilis Bs-15	field Rhizospheric soil of a pepper plant	phosphorus	35 °C; pH: 8;		250 mg.ml ⁻¹ glyphosate 65% glyphosate removal	2010) (Yu et al., 2015)
Comamonas	Clumbocato contaminated soil in		aerobic		Complete degradation (15 g I^{-1})	(Firdous of al
odontotermitis P2	Australia		aerobic		within 104 h	2017)
Achromobacter sp. Kg 16	Glyphosate-contaminated soil	Phosphorus	28–30 °C; pH:	Acetylglyph-osate	A new pathway of glyphosate	(Shushkova et al.,
Achromobacter sp. MPK			6.0–7.5; aerobic 28–30 °C; pH: 7; aerobic	Sarcosine	utilization: acetylation About 60% glyphosate (500 mg. I ⁻¹) removal	2016) (Ermakova et al., 2017)
Achromobacter sp. MPS	Alkylphosphonates-contaminated		28 °C; pH:	Sarcosine	Glyphosate consumption: $124 \text{ µmol } g^{-1} \text{ biomass}$	(Sviridov et al., 2012)
Agrobacterium	Sludge from waste treatment		30 °C; pH:7;	Sarcosine	No data for glyphosate removal	(Wackett et al.,
Alcaligenes sp. GL	Non-axenic cultures of Anacystis		28 °C; pH:7.5;	Sarcosine	50–80% glyphosate (5 mM) removal	(Lerbs et al., 1990)
Arthrobacter atrocyaneus ATCC 13752	Collection of microorganisms and cell cultures, Germany		Room temp.; pH: 7.2; aerobic	AMPA	Capable of degrading glyphosate without previous culture	(Pipke and Amrhein, 1988)
					selection	
Arthrobacter sp. GLP-1	Mixture culture with Klebsiella pneumoniae	Phosphorus	Room temp.; pH: 7; aerobic	Sarcosine	Capable of degrading glyphosate without previous culture selection	(Pipke et al., 1987)
Bacillus cereus CB4	Glyphosate-contaminated soil		35 °C; pH: 6;	AMPA and	94% degradation (6 g.L $^{-1}$) in	(Fan et al., 2012)
Desillus serens C D	Churchenete averaged arrange		aerobic	sarcosine	5 days	(Assats Cartía
Bacillus cereus 6 P	glyphosate-exposed orange plantation site		aerobic	NOT SHOWN	38% glypnosate (1 mivi) removal	(Acosta-Cortes et al., 2019)
Burkholderia vietnamiensis AO5–12 and Burkholderia sp. AO5–13	Glyphosate contaminated sites in Malaysia		30 °C; pH: 6; aerobic	Not shown	91% and 74% glyphosate (50 mg. L ⁻¹) degradation for AQ5-12 and AQ5-13, respectively	(Manogaran et al., 2017)
Enterobacter cloacae K7	Rhizoplane of various plants in Russia		30–37 °C; pH: 6.8–7; Facultative	Sarcosine	40% glyphosate (5 mM) degradation	(Kryuchkova et al., 2014)
Flavobacterium sp. GD1	Monsanto activated sludge		25 °C; pH: 6.8–7;	AMPA	Complete degradation of	(Balthazor and
Geobacillus caldoxylosilyticus T20	Central heating system water		aerobic 60 °C; pH: 7; aerobic	AMPA	>65% glyphosate (0.02%)>65% glyphosate (1 mM) removal	(Obojska et al., 2002)
Ochrobacterium anthronic GPK3	Glyphosate-contaminated soil		28 °C; pH: 6 5–7 5: aerobic	AMPA and sarcosine	Glyphosate consumption: $284 \text{ µmol } g^{-1}$ biomass	(Sviridov et al., 2012)
Ochrobactrum sp. GDOS	Soil		30 °C; pH: 7;	AMPA	Complete degradation (3 mM)	(Hadi et al., 2013)
Pseudomonas	Soil		28 °C; aerobic	AMPA (putative)	50% glyphosate (170 mg.L ⁻¹)	(Peñaloza-Vazquez
Pseudomonas sp. 4ASW	Glyphosate-contaminated soil		29 °C; pH: 7.2;	Sarcosine	100% glyphosate (0.25 mM)	(Dick and Quinn,
Pseudomonas sp. GLC11	Mutant of Pseudomonas sp. PAO1	Phosphorus	37 °C; pH: 7;	Sarcosine	Capable of tolerating up to	(Selvapandiyan and Bhatnagar, 1994)
Pseudomonas sp. LBr	A glyphosate process waste		Room tem.; pH:	AMPA and	Capable of eliminating 20 mM	(Jacob, 1988)
Pseudomonas sp. PG2982	Pseudomonas aeruginosa-ATCC		Room temp.;	Sarcosine	No data for glyphosate removal	(Kishore and Jacob,
Rhizobiaeae meliloti 1021	Spontaneous mutation of a		28–32 °C; pH: 7;	Sarcosine	50% glyphosate (0.5 mM)	(Liu et al., 1991)
Achromobacter sp. LW9	Activated sludge from glyphosate	Carbon	28 °C; pH: 7;	AMPA	100% glyphosate (0.1%, w/v)	(McAuliffe et al.,
Bacillus subtilis, Rhizobium leguminosarum, Strentomuceta sp	Soils		35 °C; pH: 6	AMPA and sarcosine	About 87% glyphosate (250 mg. L^{-1}) degradation	(Singh et al., 2019)
Ochrobactrum	Glyphosate-contaminated soil		Room temp.;	Sarcosine	Complete degradation (500 mg. I^{-1}) within 4 d	(Firdous et al.,
Pseudomonas spp. strains GA07, GA09 and GC04	Glyphosate-contaminated soil in China		рн 7; aerobic 33 °C; pH: 7; aerobic	AMPA and sarcosine	Glyphosate (500 mg. L^{-1}) removal: 54%–81%	(Zhao et al., 2015)
Salinicoccus spp.	Qom Hoze-Soltan Lake in Iran		Salt conc.: 5%–10%; 30 °C; pH: 6.5–8.2; aerobic	Not shown	The native halophilic isolates could biodegrade glyphosate	(Sharifi et al., 2015)
Streptomycete sp. StC	Activated sludge from a municipal sewage treatment plant	Phosphorus, and/or nitrogen	28 °C; pH: 7.2; aerobic	Sarcosine	60% degradation (10 mM) within 10 d	(Obojska et al., 1999)
Fungi						

Table 2 (continued)

Microorganism	Origin	Source	Culture conditions	Degradation pathway	Comments	References
Aspergillus niger, Scopulariopsis sp., Trichoderma harzianum	Soil	Phosphorus	28 °C; pH: 6; aerobic	AMPA	No data for removal efficiency	(Krzyśko-Lupicka et al., 1997)
Fusarium oxysporum	Sugar cane		30 °C; pH: 6; aerobic	Not shown	41% glyphosate (50 mg.L ⁻¹) removal	(Castro et al., 2007)
Penicillium notanum	Spontaneous growth on hydroxyfluorenyl-9-phosphate	Phosphorus	28 °C; pH: 7.2; aerobic	AMPA	Capable to degrade glyphosate at sublethal doses (<0.5 mM)	(Bujacz et al., 1995)
Aspergillus oryzae sp. A-F02	Sludge from a glyphosate manufacture	Carbon	30 °C; pH: 7.5; aerobic	Not shown	87% degradation (1000 mg.L ⁻¹) within 7 d	(Wu et al., 2010)
Penicillium chrysogenum	Soil	Nitrogen	Dark at 28 °C; pH:7.0; aerobic	AMPA (putatively)	40% degradation (5 mM) after 15 d	(Klimek et al., 2001)

exogenous and endogenous inorganic phosphorus, which rarely occurs in natural environments (Sviridov et al., 2015). Borggaard and Gimsing (2008) explained that it is possible because C-P lyase activity which is commonly encoded by the *phn* or *htx* operon, generally induced under phosphate starvation conditions. However, the mechanism for this phenomenon is still unclear.

Actually, another degradation pathway was observed in *Achromobacter* sp. Kg16 which utilized glyphosate as sole phosphorus source, resulting in the production of acetylglyphosate (Shushkova et al., 2016). The physiological role of this pathway remains unknown. Moreover, acetylglyphosate cannot be utilized by *Achromobacter* sp. Kg16 as a phosphorus source, resulting in its poor growth. Although glyphosate biodegradation has been extensively studied, the accurate degradation mechanism and pathways are still not known.

Most reported studies have focused on the glyphosate biodegradation by pure culture of bacteria. Little research on glyphosate biodegradation was carried on mixed culture. Hallas and Adams (1992) reported glyphosate removal from wastewater effluent discharged from an activated sludge process in lab columns and found that more than 90% of glyphosate degradation was achieved for an initial concentration of 50 mg.L⁻¹. Nourouzi et al. (2010) reported that 99.5% of glyphosate (300 mg.L⁻¹) was converted to AMPA and 2% of AMPA was degraded into further metabolites by mixed bacteria isolated from oil palm plantation soil. The mixed cultures are more likely able to completely degrade contaminants, compared to pure culture due to the various enzymes available in mixed culture (Barbeau et al., 1997; Nourouzi et al., 2012). Moreover, due to the high requirements of pure culture, mixed culture processes are more suitable for industrial applications. Thus, it is interesting for further research to find the mixed culture which is effective to remove glyphosate from aqueous effluents.

To provide practical information for the design of processes, it is necessary to study the microbial degradation kinetics. Monod model is widely used in case of pure cultures, limited substrate, and noninhibitory biomass growth (de Lucas et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2008; Tanyolaç and Beyenal, 1998). Due to substrate inhibition at high substrate concentrations, Monod model must be extended to Haldane model. Monod model could predict the kinetic of glyphosate consumption by mixed culture isolated from soils at high concentration (>500 mg.L⁻¹) with the maximum specific cell growth rate (μ_m) of 0.18–0.87 h⁻¹. Haldane model could predict the growth inhibition



Acetylglyphosate

Fig. 1. Biodegradation pathways of glyphosate in bacteria (Zhan et al., 2018).

kinetic of glyphosate with a low ratio of self-inhibition and half-saturation constants (<8) (Nourouzi et al., 2012). A low ratio of self-inhibition and half-saturation constants (1.21) was also obtained to predict glyphosate growth inhibition kinetic by unacclimated activated sludge (Tazdaït et al., 2018). Besides, a first-order kinetic model is also used to evaluate biodegradation process to obtain degradation rate constant (k) and half-life $(t_{1/2})$. k and $t_{1/2}$ with the range of $0.0018-0.0464 h^{-1}$ and 14.9-385.7 h, respectively, were found for glyphosate biodegradation by pure culture, such as *Pseudomonas* spp. GA07, GA09 and GC04 (Zhao et al., 2015), Ochrobactrum intermedium Sq20 (Firdous et al., 2018), and Bacillus cereus 6 P (Acosta-Cortés et al., 2019). In addition, la Cecilia et al. (2018) reported glyphosate biodegradation in a vineyard and a wheat field in the Po Valley (Italy) with $t_{1/2}$ of 84 and 157 d, respectively. However, almost no information is reported on the yield coefficient for glyphosate-degrading bacteria, which needs to be further studied. Although the biodegradation of glyphosate has been extensively studied, the information on glyphosate biodegradation kinetics, especially on the inhibitory effect, is still rarely studied. The inhibitory effect of herbicide on its own biodegradation is necessary to investigate, since the assessment of substrate inhibition to enzymatic reactions is becoming increasingly crucial in the treatment of general toxic compounds, particularly for pesticides degradation (Hao et al., 2002; Tazdaït et al., 2018).

Nevertheless, biological treatment is a promising method to treat glyphosate-containing wastewater, most research being conducted at lab-scale and focused on the isolation and identification of strains. The information to apply this technology to treat glyphosate-containing wastewater at an industrial scale is still rare. Furthermore, in order to know the precise pathways of glyphosate biodegradation, the research related to the structure of all of the intermediates and enzymes involved in glyphosate biodegradation, as well as procedures for chemical synthesis or isolation of these intermediates and enzymes should be investigated in the near future. This approach should be developed, as some bacteria can, specifically or not, use glyphosate as phosphorus or carbon source. It must be noticed that for bacteria degradation leading mainly to AMPA, this secondary compound is usually not well degraded. It seems probably necessary to achieve biological treatment in combination with another process treatment, to obtain a high degradation not only of glyphosate but also of its by-products.

2.3. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)

Table 3 summarizes AOPs tested for the treatment of glyphosatecontaining wastewater. First, this table shows that photolysis-based oxidation can lead to high glyphosate and TOC removal efficiency up to 99.8% and 92%, respectively, at low concentration (less than 50 mg. L^{-1}) from synthetic glyphosate wastewater. Moreover, the use of photocatalyst improves the photodegradation of glyphosate. Several parameters could affect the efficiency, such as illumination time, pH, type of photocatalyst. Chen and Liu (2007) found that the photodegradation efficiency of glyphosate increased with the increase of illumination time. Yang et al. (2018) reported that with the increase of pH from 3 to 9, the photo-degradation of glyphosate in goethite/UV and magnetite/UV systems both decreased, indicating that an acidic condition is favourable for glyphosate photo-degradation. TiO₂ is a heterogeneous photocatalyst commonly used for glyphosate removal because of its stability, non-toxicity, and low cost. It is generally governed by both adsorption and photocatalytic reactions (Echavia et al., 2009). However, due to the TiO_2 band-gap of 3.2ev, only 4% of the solar radiation can be utilized and the recombination of the photogenerated electronhole pairs takes place quickly on a time scale of 10^{-9} to 10^{-12} s (Lin et al., 2012). These drawbacks limited its practical application. To improve its photocatalytic activity and inhibit the recombination of the photogenerated electron and hole, several attempts have been reported, such as non-metal doping (Echavia et al., 2009), metal doping (Xue et al., 2011) and metal/non-metal co-doping (Lin et al., 2012). Although complete glyphosate removal has been achieved, mineralization efficiency is not high (less than 74%). Recently, bismuth tungstate (Bi₂WO₆) has attracted more and more attention for photodegradation of organic contaminants due to its stability, chemical inertness, and good photocatalytic activity. However, the combined probability of photogenerated electrons and holes limits its photocatalytic activity. Lv et al. (2020) synthesised a novel hierarchical CuS/ Bi₂WO₆ p-n junction photocatalyst to improve its photocatalytic activity and obtained the highest glyphosate degradation of 85% for 3 h under 44 W light-emitting diode (LED) light irradiation. However, its production is complex and costly. In order to overcome the cost of photocatalyst production, the combination of hydroperoxide and UV radiation (H₂O₂/UV) has been reported to treat glyphosate at higher concentrations (up to 90 mg.L⁻¹). This process is quite simple and convenient (López et al., 2018; Manassero et al., 2010; Vidal et al., 2015). During H₂O₂/UV process, the H₂O₂ concentration is an important parameter. When the H_2O_2 concentration is too small, the initial step of H_2O_2 decomposition is not fast due to its weak absorption coefficient. However, when the H₂O₂ concentration is too high, it becomes a scavenger of hydroxyl radicals competing with glyphosate degradation reaction, resulting in a decrease of glyphosate reaction rate (Junges et al., 2013). Therefore, the optimum concentration of H₂O₂ in the H₂O₂/UV process is necessary to be determined experimentally. H₂O₂/UV process induced a good degradation of glyphosate (>70%), but it requires a long treatment time (more than 5 h). Meanwhile, due to the high cost of electricity associated with using energy-consuming UV lamps (Echavia et al., 2009) and the low penetration of UV in the water body hamper the development of these photolysis-based processes at large scale application (Tran et al., 2017; Zhan et al., 2018). For the future work, the reuse of catalysts and the decrease of its cost production, the improvement of mineralization efficiency and the reduction of reaction time should be investigated.

Fenton oxidation has been reported to be a successful technology for glyphosate treatment. It has the advantages of simple operation, no mass transfer limitation, and easy implementation as a stand-alone or hybrid system (Bokare and Choi, 2014; Chen et al., 2007). 96% and 63% removal of total phosphate and chemical oxygen demand (COD), respectively, have been achieved by the conventional Fenton process (Liao et al., 2009). However, several drawbacks exist in the conventional Fenton process: the continuous loss of oxidants and iron ions, the formation of solid sludge and the high costs and risks associated with handling, transportation, and storage of reagents (Zhang et al., 2019). In order to overcome these shortcomings, combination coupling are proposed, i.e. electro-Fenton and photo-Fenton processes. They have both been reported to achieve complete glyphosate removal and good mineralization at low concentrations (Balci et al., 2009; Souza et al., 2013). Electro-Fenton process overcomes the limitations of the accumulation of iron sludge, the high costs and risks. It is reported that 91.9% glyphosate removal and 81.6% TOC removal have been achieved by electro-Fenton oxidation through using a carbon fibre cathode (Tran et al., 2019). However, this process consumes extensive anode. Photo-Fenton process can reduce iron sludge production. Souza et al. (2013) reported that under optimised conditions (pH 2.8, 0.27 mmol. L^{-1} Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} , 10.3 mmol.L⁻¹ H₂O₂ and 1.13 mmol.L⁻¹ oxalate), complete glyphosate removal and 74% TOC have been obtained by photo-Fenton process. But it faces several challenges, such as short working life span, high energy consumption and economic costs (Aramyan, 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). Moreover, Fenton based process needs an acidic reaction condition (pH at 2-4), which, as mentioned before, is not convenient to treat high quantities of wastewater. Thus, Fenton-based processes are generally used in a synthetic and low concentration glyphosate wastewater rather than real wastewater from the glyphosate production. Meanwhile, to obtain high mineralization efficiency, an excess of Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} is needed. Thus, for an actual application, a post-treatment of the effluent would be necessary to treat the excess iron. Furthermore, the following questions remain to be further

Table 3

AOPs reported to be used for glyphosate treatment.

Reference	AOPs type	Wastewater ^a	Conditions	Glyphosate conc. (mg.L ⁻¹)	Remarks ^b
(Chen et al.,	Photo degradation	S	T: 22 °C; pH: 3.5–6; illumination time: 3 h; presence of Fe ³⁺ and $C = 2^{2-}$	5.0	$\eta_G=63\%$
2007) (Chen and Liu,	Photocatalytic	S	C ₂ O ₄ T: 30 °C; pH: 2–12; illumination time: 0.5–3.5 h	0.042	$\eta_G=92\%$
(Assalin et al.,	Catalyst: TiO ₂		illumination time: 0.5 h	42	$\eta_{TOC}=92\%$
(Echavia et al.,			T: 22 °C; illumination time: 2 h	17	$\eta_{G}=$ 100%; $\eta_{TOC}=$ 74%
(Xue et al., 2011)			pH: 7; illumination time: 1 h	23	$\eta_G=76\%$
(Lin et al., 2012)	Dhataataktia	C	illumination time: 1.3 h	50	$\eta_{\rm G} = 99.8\%$
(Yang et al., 2018)	degradation	5	time: 2 h	10	$\eta_{\rm G} = $ from 92% to 99%
(Lv et al., 2020)			Catalyst: hierarchical CuS/ Bi_2WO_6 p-n junction photocatalyst; illumination time: 3 h	16.9	$\eta_G=85.9\%$
(Manassero et al., 2010)	H_2O_2/UV	S	T: 25 °C; pH: 3.5–10; illumination time: 5 h	27-91	$\eta_G=$ 70%; $\eta_{TOC}=$ 29%
(Junges et al., 2013)			T: 20 °C; pH: 5.2; illumination time: 2–6 h	50	$\eta_G=$ 90%; $\eta_{TOC}=$ 70%
(Vidal et al., 2015)			T: 25 °C; pH: 5.2; reaction time: 12 h	30-73	$\eta_G=$ 80%; $\eta_{TOC}=$ 70%
(López et al., 2018)			T: 25 °C; pH: 3–10; reaction time: 8 h	30	$\eta_G=71\%$
(Liao et al., 2009)	Fenton	R	T: 90 °C; pH: 3-4; reaction time: 2 h; $n(H_2O_2)/n(Fe^{2+}) = 4:1$	-	$\eta_{\text{G}}=$ 96%; $\eta_{\text{COD}}=$ 63%
(Zhang et al., 2011)	Adsorption-Fenton		Adsorbent: nano-tungsten/D201resin; pH: 2-4	258	$\eta_G=60\%$
(Balci et al., 2009)	Electro-Fenton	S	Mn ²⁺ as catalyst; T: 23; pH: 3; anode: Pt cylindrical mesh; cathode: carbon felt: electrolyte: 0.05 M Na ₂ SO.	17	$\eta_{TOC} = 82\%$
(Lan et al., 2016)			20 °C; pH 3–6; anode: RuO ₂ /Ti mesh; cathode: activated carbon fibres: electrolyte: 0.1 M Na-SO ₄	17–253	$\eta_G=85\%; \eta_{COD}=72\%$
(Tran et al., 2019)			room temperature; pH 2–6; anode: Pt gauze; cathode: carbon felt;	8.5–67.6	$\eta_{G}=$ 91.9%; $\eta_{TOC}=$ 81.6
(Huston and Pignatello, 1999)	Photo-Fenton	S	T: 25 °C; pH: 2.8; reaction time: 2 h; H_2O_2 : 0.01 M; Fe ³⁺ : 5.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ M; UV irradiation: 300–400 nm	0.034	$\eta_{TOC}=35\%$
(Souza et al., 2013)			T: 40 \pm 2 °C; pH 2.8 \pm 0.2; reaction time: 2 h; H ₂ O ₂ : 10.3 M; Fe ²⁺ /Fe ³⁺ : 0.27 M: UV irradiation: 320–400 nm	100	$\eta_{\text{G}}=$ 100%; $\eta_{\text{TOC}}=$ 74%
(Aquino Neto and de Andrade,	Electrochemical oxidation	S	Anode: RuO ₂ and IrO ₂ DSA®; T: 25 \pm 1 °C; pH: 3; current density: 50 mA cm ⁻² ; electrolyte: NaCl	1000	$\eta_{COD}=91\%$
(Lan et al., 2013)			Anode: RuO ₂ and IrO ₂ DSA®; room temperature; pH: 5.0; current density: 10 mA.cm ⁻² ; MnO ₂ dosage: 0.25 mM; reaction time: 2 h; electrolyte: Na ₂ SO ₄	17	$\eta_{\rm G} = 40\%$ and 80% for electrochemical and electro-MnO ₂ process
(Kukurina et al., 2014)			Anode: PbO_2 ; room temperature; current density: 0.12 A.cm ⁻² ; reaction time: 4 b: electrolyte: H ₂ SO.	17	$\eta_G = 100\%$
(Rubí-Juárez			Anode: Born doped diamond (BBD); 20 °C; current density: $10-100 \text{ mA cm}^{-21}$	100	$\eta_{\text{G}}=$ 100% in NaCl media
(Farinos and Ruotolo, 2017)			Anode: PbO ₂ and BBD; T: 30 °C; current density: 30 mA.cm ^{-2} ; rection time: 8 h	150	$\eta_{TOC} = 95\%$
(Tran et al., 2017)			Anode: Ti/PbO ₂ ; pH: 3–10; current intensity: 4.55–90.9 mA.cm ⁻² ; reaction time: 6 h: electrolyte: Na-SO ₄	4.3-33.8	$\eta_G=95.5\%$
(Speth, 1993)	03	S	Gas flowrate: 0.62 Lmin ⁻¹ ; O_3 : 1.0–2.9 mg.L ⁻¹ O_2 : 14 mg L ⁻¹ , pH: 65 and 10; rapation time: 0.5 b	0.8-1	$\eta_{\rm G} = 100\%$
(Assaint et al., 2009)	0 /11 0		σ_3 , τ_1 mg, L^- , pri, σ_2 and τ_2 , red tion time, σ_2 in T, 15 °C, Ω , σ_2 5, 10 mg L^{-1} ; $H = 0$, σ_2 5, and 10 mg L^{-1}	74.4	-90%
(Jonsson et al., 2013)	U ₃ /H ₂ U ₂		1. 15 C, O_3 : 0.5-1.0 IIIg.L $(\pi_2 O_2)$: 0.5 and 1.0 mg.L $(\pi_2 O_2)$	0.00259-0.00365	$T_{IG} = 99\%$
(Ian et al., 2019)			Koom temperature; O_3 : 48.72 mg,L ⁻⁺ ; pH: 9.0	100	$\eta_{TOC} = 68.3\%$

^a S means "Synthetic glyphosate wastewater" and R "Real wastewater containing glyphosate".

^b η_{G} : glyphosate conversion; η_{TOC} : TOC conversion; η_{COD} : COD conversion.

investigated: the regeneration and recycling of ${\rm Fe}^{2+}$ process and the reduction of the sludge.

Electrochemical oxidation is one of the cleanest technologies to effectively degrade glyphosate compared to other AOPs. It offers high energy efficiency without the addition of chemicals. It has been reported to treat effluents with glyphosate concentration ranging from 17 to 1000 mg.L⁻¹ and complete glyphosate mineralization has been

achieved at glyphosate concentration less than 100 mg.L⁻¹. High mineralization (91%) was also obtained with concentrations up to 1000 mg.L⁻¹ on PuO₂ and IrO₂ dimensionally stable anode. PbO₂, born doped diamond, and Ti/PbO₂ has been also used as anode for electrochemical oxidation of glyphosate (Farinos and Ruotolo, 2017; Kukurina et al., 2014; Rubí-Juárez et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2017). PuO₂ and IrO₂ offer a mechanical resistance and successful scale-up in the

electrochemical industry (Aguino Neto and de Andrade, 2009). Electrochemical degradation could be affected by several parameters: pH, glyphosate initial concentration, supporting electrolyte nature and concentration, electronic composition, electrolysis, and current density. It is reported that the acidic pH is generally more favoured for glyphosate oxidation due to the decrease of the oxygen evolution reaction at low pH values (Aquino Neto and de Andrade, 2009; Farinos and Ruotolo, 2017; Lan et al., 2013). NaCl is the most attractive supporting electrolyte for glyphosate electrochemical oxidation due to the formation of some powerful oxidising species, such as chlorine radical, hypochlorous acid and hypochlorite ion during electrolysis. An increase in current density generally leads to an increase in oxidation of glyphosate (Aquino Neto and de Andrade, 2009; Kukurina et al., 2014; Lan et al., 2013; Rubí-Juárez et al., 2016). However, some drawbacks exist during electrochemical oxidation process: the high costs related to the electrical supply, the addition of electrolytes required due to the low conductance of wastewaters, the loss of activity and the short lifetime of electrode by fouling due to the deposition of organic compounds on the surface of electrode (Sirés et al., 2014). Future research should focus on the reuse of these electrodes by understanding the passivation/reactivation mechanisms and incorporating strategies to apply this technology in water treatment. Furthermore, electrochemical reactions are limited by mass transfer of contaminants to the electrode surface, which could affect its performance (Chaplin, 2018). Thus, it is interesting to find a new device for electrochemical oxidation to reduce mass transfer limitation, such as microfluidic devices. Recently, they have drawn increasing attention for wastewater treatment due to their high mass transfer efficiency, high product yield selectivity, and quite easy to scale up (Pérez et al., 2017; Scialdone et al., 2010, 2011). However, they are currently too expensive for large scale applications. Thus, it is interesting to find a cheaper and effective alternative in the further research.

Compared to other AOPs, ozonation oxidation can effectively treat glyphosate-containing wastewater in the shortest time under low concentration. Two glyphosate oxidation mechanisms are involved in ozonation: direct oxidation by ozone or indirect oxidation by hydroxyl radicals. Complete glyphosate degradation has been obtained by ozonation oxidation (Assalin et al., 2009; Speth, 1993). Both high removal efficiencies of glyphosate (>99%) and AMPA (85%) were achieved with simultaneous use of O₃ and H₂O₂ under a short reaction time (Jönsson et al., 2013). Moreover, in order to reduce the capital and operation costs, Tan et al. (2019) studied the in situ generations of H₂O₂ using multi-walled carbon nanotube aluminium composite in O₃/H₂O₂ process for glyphosate degradation. They obtained a removal efficiency of TOC and total phosphorus of 68.35% and 73.27%, respectively. However, there are several drawbacks for ozonation which hinders its application into practice: (1) ozone is unstable under normal conditions; (2) due to its low solubility in water, special mixing techniques are needed; (3) ozone water treatment is much expensive due to the high service and maintenance; (4) the mass transfer of O_3 limits its performance.

In addition, Barrett and McBride (2005) obtained 71% and 47% of glyphosate and AMPA removal efficiency by manganese oxidation. Feng et al. (2020) applied an autoclave reactor for glyphosate degradation by wet air oxidation with a temperature of 423–523 K and under a total pressure of 15 MPa and obtained maximum glyphosate and TOC removal of 100% and 54%, respectively, at 523 K after 60 min from synthetic wastewater with glyphosate concentration of 1000 mg.L⁻¹. Recently, combined processes became potential technologies for organic contaminants treatment, including glyphosate. Several combined processes have been reported for glyphosate removal from wastewater. Zhang et al. (2011) combined adsorption treatment and Fenton oxidation using the nano-metal/resin complexes as the adsorbent to treat the industrial wastewater containing glyphosate. They found that the maximum degradation rate of glyphosate was enhanced by up to 60%. Xing et al. (2018) reported that 100% glyphosate removal and over 93% organic phosphorus removal for real glyphosate wastewater (containing 200–3000 mg.L⁻¹ glyphosate) was achieved by catalytic wet oxidation using modified activated carbon as a catalyst in a co-current up flow fixed bed reactor through combining AOPs and adsorption. Recently, among different combined process, combining AOPs and biological treatment is a very promising method for wastewater treatment contaminated by organic pollutants. AOPs as a pre-treatment can convert the initial persistent organic compounds into more biodegradable intermediates, which could subsequently be treated by biological treatment to increase performance and decrease cost (Oller et al., 2011). The combined AOPs-biotreatment technology has been used for the treatment of wastewater containing pesticides or herbicides, textile wastewater, paper mill wastewater, olive mill wastewater, etc., to obtain effectively treatment performance (Oller et al., 2011). Thus, it could be also a potential method for glyphosate-containing wastewater treatment. However, no literature has been reported for glyphosatecontaining wastewater treatment through combining AOPs and biological treatment, which can be further studied.

Fig. 2 summarizes the possible oxidation pathways for glyphosate under different AOPs. It shows that, as for biological mechanisms, glyphosate oxidation generally follows two mechanisms related to the cleavage of C-P and C-N bonds attributed to hydroxyl radicals. Glyphosate is attacked by hydroxyl radicals to yield sarcosine and PO_4^{3-} or AMPA and glycolic acid. The two mechanisms can exist alone or together during glyphosate oxidation process.

The glyphosate photo-degradation is often related to both AMPA and sarcosine pathways. However, only sarcosine pathway for photodegradation is presented by Yang et al. (2018). This is because the formation of Fe-O-P bond in the presence of iron oxide would change the electron density distribution around the phosphorus centre of glyphosate, and potentially induce the C-P bond more assailable to reactive oxygen species generated in goethite and magnetite suspension under UV irradiation. Furthermore, few studies have shown the direct formation of glycine at high pH without the formation of sarcosine in TiO₂/UV process (Manassero et al., 2010; Muneer and Boxall, 2008). The mechanism for this phenomenon is still unclear and further research is needed. The single sarcosine pathway is also been reported in the electrochemical and manganese oxidation of glyphosate (Barrett and McBride, 2005; Lan et al., 2013). AMPA pathway is found in electro-Fenton and catalytic wet air oxidation process of glyphosate (Balci et al., 2009; Xing et al., 2018). Assalin et al. (2009) have detected AMPA during glyphosate degradation by ozonation oxidation. Sarcosine could be further oxidized to glycine, formaldehyde, or formic acid. Glycine could be transferred to methylamine, formaldehyde, and NH_4^+ or oxidized to oxalic, glycolic acid and N-contained intermediates which can be further oxidized to acetic acid, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- . AMPA may be further converted to formaldehyde, NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and PO_4^{3-} through the cleavage of C-P bond. Other small organic compounds may also exist in the glyphosate oxidation processes, such as acetic acid and glycolic acid. Even though the possible oxidation pathways of glyphosate have been abundantly reported, the precise mechanisms are still unknown which is needed further studies due to the complex and various by-products formed during glyphosate oxidation by different AOPs.

Although glyphosate-containing wastewater has been reported to be effectively treated by these above technologies, which are mostly conducted at a lab-scale, detailed studies are necessary to scale-up to an industrial scale. An advantage of these processes is that they can oxidise glyphosate and most of its by-products like AMPA, sarcosine, etc., as well as other polluting molecules. To decrease its cost, it could be interesting to use these technologies after a first process that can decrease the pollution concentration.

3. Conclusions and perspectives

Glyphosate, a most extensively used herbicide in the world, could accumulate and transfer in the environment, which could cause potential threats to the environment and human health. This study reviews several treatment technologies for glyphosate in wastewater reported



Fig. 2. Potential oxidation pathways of glyphosate under different processes.

in the literature by evaluating their performances and highlighting their advantages, disadvantages, and limitations. A comparative discussion is summarised in Table 4.

Adsorption is a simple technology that could effectively remove glyphosate from wastewater with maximum adsorption capacity up to 833 mg.g⁻¹, but it requires post-treatment. Adsorption using biochar or resin is recommended for glyphosate wastewater treatment when just considering glyphosate removal efficiency and low cost, without considering the mineralization efficiency.

Although biological treatment is a low-cost and eco-friendly technology for glyphosate treatment, it is inefficient for real glyphosate wastewater due to the existence of other constituents which could be toxic to biological treatment. It requires long residence time for complete glyphosate degradation and needs pre-treatment steps to reduce the toxicity of the wastewater. For glyphosate biodegradation, two main degradation pathways exist, i.e. AMPA and sarcosine pathways. Biological treatment is recommended to be used as a post-treatment after other treatment technologies to obtain higher degradation performance.

AOPs techniques (e.g. photolysis-based, Fenton-based, electrochemical, and ozonation oxidation) are effective for glyphosate degradation. They could treat glyphosate with a short time compared to adsorption

Table	4
-------	---

Summary of main advantages and disadvantages of glyphosate removal techniques.

Removal techniques	Main advantages	Main disadvantages
Adsorption	Simple and easy to operate Cost-effective and low secondary pollution risk High efficiency at low concentration	Difficult to regenerate, recycle and reuse of adsorbents The existence of competition adsorption New solid residue produced for subsequent treatment
Biological treatment	Low cost and eco-friendly Excellent handle in high level of wastewater	Time-consuming Pre-treatment needed to reduce the toxicity of wastewater
Photolysis-based oxidation	Excellent handle in low level of wastewater	Low penetration of UV in the water body Difficult to be commercialised
Fenton-based oxidation	Simple operation No mass transfer limitation High efficiency at low concentration	Sludge produced An acidic pH needed Difficult to regenerate and recycle the ferrous ions Eurther treatment needed
Electrochemical oxidation	Cleanest Excellent handle in high level of wastewater	Relative high energy consumption Short lifetime of electrode Limited by mass transfer
Ozonation oxidation	Shortest reaction time High efficiency at low concentration	Difficult to maintenance Limited by mass transfer of O ₃
Combined process	Overcome intrinsic limitation associated with individual treatment techniques	Limited research

and biological treatment. However, their drawbacks limit their application. Photolysis-based oxidation seems to be effective for glyphosate degradation in wastewater at low concentrations below 50 mg. L^{-1} . However, the disposal of catalysts and difficulties to control the conditions hamper the application of photolysis-based oxidation. Fentonbased oxidation is an effective method to degrade glyphosate at low concentrations below 258 mg.L⁻¹ without mass transfer limitation, but it generates sludge and requires further treatment. In electrochemical oxidation, glyphosate degradation may be limited by the low mass transfer rate, resulting in low current efficiency. Ozonation oxidation could generate harmful disinfection by-products. Furthermore, complete mineralization of glyphosate could not be obtained by AOPs and various intermediates generate, which cannot achieve a safe discharge standard into the environment. Photolysis-based oxidation and ozonation oxidation is suitable to be carried out for glyphosate treatment under natural aqueous conditions at low glyphosate concentration. Fenton-based oxidation is interesting to treat urban wastewater containing glyphosate with relative low concentration under acidic conditions. Electrochemical oxidation is recommended for the treatment of urban and industrial wastewater with a wide range of glyphosate concentrations at relatively small quantities due to the limitation of cost. Wet air oxidation (catalytic or not) and the combined process is recommended to treat real glyphosate industrial wastewater at high concentrations.

Combined processes seem to be the most potential technology for the treatment of glyphosate in industrial wastewater containing 200–3000 mg.L⁻¹ glyphosate to obtain 100% glyphosate removal and over 93% organic phosphorus removal due to their benefits to overcome intrinsic limitations of individual processes, which should be further studied. Especially, combined AOPs with biological treatment will be a very promising technology for glyphosate treatment, which have been successfully used for the treatment of other contaminants in wastewater (Azabou et al., 2010; Minière et al., 2017; Pariente et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2010; Yongrui et al., 2015). The main idea of this coupling is that glyphosate is first treated by AOPs to generate small molecular intermediates to increase the biodegradability of effluent, thus achieving the possibility of subsequent biological treatment for the complete degradation of glyphosate. The research for this coupling is worth to be investigated. One can also propose to first make a biological treatment to decrease the glyphosate concentration, followed by an oxidation process to destroy remaining glyphosate and non-biodegradable byproducts formed during the biological treatment. In all cases, it could be interesting to finish with an adsorption process, as a tertiary treatment able to stop toxic molecules to reach rejection standards. Obviously, to conclude on the best treatment, an energetic and economical study is necessary, which must also consider the local treatment possibilities as well as energy costs.

Most of the processes were conducted at the lab scale. Further researches are still needed to study the practical application of these technologies to real glyphosate wastewater through considering how to overcome the drawbacks of each technology. The energy consumption and cost of these technologies also need to be systematically analysed. Meanwhile, the biodegradation pathway of glyphosate needs deeper investigation, through studying the structure of all of the intermediates and enzymes involved in glyphosate biodegradation, as well as procedures for chemical synthesis or isolation of these intermediates and enzymes. For glyphosate oxidation pathway, the analysis of more intermediates and the extent of each step is still needed further research to know more precise mechanisms during glyphosate oxidation process.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the China Scholarship Council (File No. 201604490033).

References

- Acosta-Cortés, A.G., Martinez-Ledezma, C., López-Chuken, U.J., Kaushik, G., Nimesh, S., Villarreal-Chiu, J.F., 2019. Polyphosphate recovery by a native Bacillus cereus strain as a direct effect of glyphosate uptake. ISME J https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0366-3.
- Andreotti, G., Koutros, S., Hofmann, J.N., Sandler, D.P., Lubin, J.H., Lynch, C.F., Lerro, C.C., Roos, D., J. A., Parks, C.G., Alavanja, M.C., Silverman, D.T., Freeman, B., E, L., 2018. Glyphosate use and cancer incidence in the agricultural health study. JNCI J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 110. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djx233.
- Aquino Neto, S., de Andrade, A.R., 2009. Electrooxidation of glyphosate herbicide at different DSA® compositions: pH, concentration and supporting electrolyte effect. Electrochim. Acta 54, 2039–2045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2008.07.019.
- Aramyan, S.M., 2017. Advances in Fenton and Fenton based oxidation processes for industrial effluent contaminants control-a review. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Nat. Resour. 2, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.19080/IJESNR.2017.02.555594.
- Assalin, M.R., Moraes, S.G.D., Queiroz, S.C.N., Ferracini, V.L., Duran, N., 2009. Studies on degradation of glyphosate by several oxidative chemical processes: ozonation, photolysis and heterogeneous photocatalysis. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B 45, 89–94. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601230903404598.
- Azabou, S., Najjar, W., Bouaziz, M., Ghorbel, A., Sayadi, S., 2010. A compact process for the treatment of olive mill wastewater by combining wet hydrogen peroxide catalytic oxidation and biological techniques. J. Hazard. Mater. 183, 62–69. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.06.104.
- Balci, B., Oturan, M.A., Oturan, N., Sirés, I., 2009. Decontamination of aqueous glyphosate, (aminomethyl)phosphonic acid, and glufosinate solutions by electro-Fenton-like process with Mn2+ as the catalyst. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 4888–4894. https://doi. org/10.1021/jf900876x.
- Balthazor, T.M., Hallas, L.E., 1986. Glyphosate-degrading microorganisms from industrial activated sludge. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 51, 432–434.
- Barbeau, C., Deschênes, L., Karamanev, D., Comeau, Y., Samson, R., 1997. Bioremediation of pentachlorophenol-contaminated soil by bioaugmentation using activated soil. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 48, 745–752.

- Barrett, K.A., McBride, M.B., 2005. Oxidative degradation of glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonate by manganese oxide. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 9223–9228. https://doi.org/10.1021/es051342d.
- Baylis, A.D., 2000. Why glyphosate is a global herbicide: strengths, weaknesses and prospects. Pest Manag. Sci. 56, 299–308. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1526-4998 (200004)56:4<299::AID-PS144>3.0.CO;2-K.
- Benbrook, C.M., 2016. Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. Environ. Sci. Eur. 28, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-016-0070-0.
- Bokare, A.D., Choi, W., 2014. Review of iron-free Fenton-like systems for activating H2O2 in advanced oxidation processes. J. Hazard. Mater. 275, 121–135. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.04.054.

Borggaard, O.K., Gimsing, A.L., 2008. Fate of glyphosate in soil and the possibility of leaching to ground and surface waters: a review. Pest Manag. Sci. 64, 441–456.

- Bujacz, B., Wieczorek, P., Krzysko-Lupicka, T., Golab, Z., Lejczak, B., Kavfarski, P., 1995. Organophosphonate utilization by the wild-type strain of Penicillium notatum. Appl Env. Microbiol 61, 2905–2910.
- Castro, J.V., Peralba, M.C.R., Ayub, M.A.Z., 2007. Biodegradation of the herbicide glyphosate by filamentous fungi in platform shaker and batch bioreactor. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B 42, 883–886. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601230701623290.
- Chaplin, B.P., 2018. Chapter 17 advantages, disadvantages, and future challenges of the use of electrochemical technologies for water and wastewater treatment. In: Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Scialdone, O. (Eds.), Electrochemical Water and Wastewater Treatment. Butterworth-Heinemann, pp. 451–494 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/B978-0-12-813160-2.00017-1.

Chen, S., Liu, Y., 2007. Study on the photocatalytic degradation of glyphosate by TiO2 photocatalyst. Chemosphere 67, 1010–1017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2006.10.054.

- Chen, Y., Wu, F., Lin, Y., Deng, N., Bazhin, N., Glebov, E., 2007. Photodegradation of glyphosate in the ferrioxalate system. J. Hazard. Mater. 148, 360–365. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.02.044.
- Chen, F., Zhou, C., Li, G., Peng, F., 2016. Thermodynamics and kinetics of glyphosate adsorption on resin D301. Arab. J. Chem. 9, S1665–S1669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. arabjc.2012.04.014.
- de Lucas, A., Rodríguez, L., Villaseñor, J., Fernández, F.J., 2005. Biodegradation kinetics of stored wastewater substrates by a mixed microbial culture. Biochem. Eng. J., Engineering Bioreaction Systems: A Spanish Perspective 26, 191–197. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bej.2005.04.015.
- Dick, R.E., Quinn, J.P., 1995. Control of glyphosate uptake and metabolism in Pseudomonas sp. 4ASW. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 134, 177–182.
- Echavia, G.R.M., Matzusawa, F., Negishi, N., 2009. Photocatalytic degradation of organophosphate and phosphonoglycine pesticides using TiO2 immobilized on silica gel. Chemosphere 76, 595–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.04.055.
- Ermakova, I.T., Shushkova, T.V., Sviridov, A.V., Zelenkova, N.F., Vinokurova, N.G., Baskunov, B.P., Leontievsky, A.A., 2017. Organophosphonates utilization by soil strains of Ochrobactrum anthropi and Achromobacter sp. Arch. Microbiol. 199, 665–675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-017-1343-8.
- Fan, J., Yang, G., Zhao, H., Shi, G., Geng, Y., Hou, T., Tao, K., 2012. Isolation, identification and characterization of a glyphosate-degrading bacterium, Bacillus cereus CB4, from soil. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 58, 263–271.
- Farinos, R.M., Ruotolo, L.A.M., 2017. Comparison of the electrooxidation performance of three-dimensional RVC/PbO2 and boron-doped diamond electrodes. Electrochim. Acta 224, 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2016.12.025.
- Feng, D., Malleret, L., Soric, A., Boutin, O., 2020. Kinetic study of glyphosate degradation in wet air oxidation conditions. Chemosphere 247, 125930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2020.125930.
- Firdous, S., Iqbal, S., Anwar, S., 2017. Optimization and modeling of glyphosate biodegradation by a novel Comamonas odontotermitis P2 through response surface methodology. Pedosphere https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(17)60381-3.
- Firdous, S., Iqbal, S., Anwar, S., Jabeen, H., 2018. Identification and analysis of 5enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) gene from glyphosateresistant Ochrobactrum intermedium Sq20. Pest Manag. Sci. 74, 1184–1196. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4624.
- Hadi, F., Mousavi, A., Noghabi, K.A., Tabar, H.G., Salmanian, A.H., 2013. New bacterial strain of the genus *Ochrobactrum* with glyphosate-degrading activity. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B 48, 208–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2013.730319.
- Hadi, P., To, M.-H., Hui, C.-W., Lin, C.S.K., McKay, G., 2015. Aqueous mercury adsorption by activated carbons. Water Res. 73, 37–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2015.01.018.
- Hallas, LE., Adams, W.J., 1992. Glyphosate degradation by immobilized bacteria: field studies with industrial wastewater effluent. APPL Env. MICROBIOL 58, 5.
- Hao, O.J., Kim, M.H., Seagren, E.A., Kim, H., 2002. Kinetics of phenol and chlorophenol utilization by Acinetobacter species. Chemosphere 46, 797–807. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0045-6535(01)00182-5.
- Herath, I., Kumarathilaka, P., Al-Wabel, M.I., Abduljabbar, A., Ahmad, M., Usman, A.R.A., Vithanage, M., 2016. Mechanistic modeling of glyphosate interaction with rice husk derived engineered biochar. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 225, 280–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2016.01.017.
- Hove-Jensen, B., Zechel, D.L., Jochimsen, B., 2014. Utilization of glyphosate as phosphate source: biochemistry and genetics of bacterial carbon-phosphorus lyase. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 78, 176–197. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00040-13.
- Hu, Y.S., Zhao, Y.Q., Sorohan, B., 2011. Removal of glyphosate from aqueous environment by adsorption using water industrial residual. Desalination 271, 150–156. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.12.014.
- Huston, P.L., Pignatello, J.J., 1999. Degradation of selected pesticide active ingredients and commercial formulations in water by the photo-assisted Fenton reaction. Water Res. 33, 1238–1246. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(98)00330-3.

- Jacob, G.S., 1988. Metabolism of glyphosate in Pseudomonas sp. strain LBr. Appl Env. Microbiol 54, 2953–2958.
- Jia, D.M., Li, C.H., Li, A.M., 2017. Effective removal of glyphosate from water by resinsupported double valent nano-sized hydroxyl iron oxide. RSC Adv. 7, 24430–24437. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA03418K.
- Jia, D., Liu, M., Xia, J., Li, C., 2020. Effective removal of aqueous glyphosate using CuFe₂O₄@ biochar derived from phragmites. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 95, 196–204. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6221.
- Jönsson, J., Camm, R., Hall, T., 2013. Removal and degradation of glyphosate in water treatment: a review. J. Water Supply Res. Technol.-Aqua 62, 395–408. https://doi.org/ 10.2166/aqua.2013.080.
- Junges, C.M., Vidal, E.E., Attademo, A.M., Mariani, M.L., Cardell, L., Negro, A.C., Cassano, A., Peltzer, P.M., Lajmanovich, R.C., Zalazar, C.S., 2013. Effectiveness evaluation of glyphosate oxidation employing the H2O2/UVC process: toxicity assays with Vibrio fischeri and Rhinella arenarum tadpoles. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B 48, 163–170. https:// doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2013.730011.
- Kataoka, H., Ryu, S., Sakiyama, N., Makita, M., 1996. Simple and rapid determination of the herbicides glyphosate and glufosinate in river water, soil and carrot samples by gas chromatography with flame photometric detection. J. Chromatogr. A 726, 253–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9673(95)01071-8.
- Kishore, G.M., Jacob, G.S., 1987. Degradation of glyphosate by Pseudomonas sp. PG2982 via a sarcosine intermediate. J. Biol. Chem. 262, 12164–12168.
- Klimek, M., Lejczak, B., Kafarski, P., Forlani, G., 2001. Metabolism of the phosphonate herbicide glyphosate by a non-nitrate-utilizing strain of Penicillium chrysogenum. Pest Manag. Sci. 57, 815–821. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.366.
- Kryuchkova, Y.V., Burygin, G.L., Gogoleva, N.E., Gogolev, Y.V., Chernyshova, M.P., Makarov, O.E., Fedorov, E.E., Turkovskaya, O.V., 2014. Isolation and characterization of a glyphosate-degrading rhizosphere strain, Enterobacter cloacae K7. Microbiol. Res. 169, 99–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.03.002.
- Krzyśko-Lupicka, T., Strof, W., Kubś, K., Skorupa, M., Wieczorek, P., Lejczak, B., Kafarski, P., 1997. The ability of soil-borne fungi to degrade organophosphonate carbon-tophosphorus bonds. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 48, 549–552. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s002530051095.
- Kukurina, O., Elemesova, Z., Syskina, A., 2014. Mineralization of organophosphorous pesticides by electro-generated oxidants. Procedia Chem., XV International Scientific Conference "Chemistry and Chemical Engineering in XXI century" dedicated to Professor L.P. Kulyov 10, 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2014.10.036.
- Ia Cecilia, D., Tang, F.H.M., Coleman, N.V., Conoley, C., Vervoort, R.W., Maggi, F., 2018. Glyphosate dispersion, degradation, and aquifer contamination in vineyards and wheat fields in the Po Valley, Italy. Water Res. 146, 37–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.watres.2018.09.008.
- Lan, H., Jiao, Z., Zhao, X., He, W., Wang, A., Liu, H., Liu, R., Qu, J., 2013. Removal of glyphosate from water by electrochemically assisted MnO2 oxidation process. Sep. Purif. Technol. 117, 30–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2013.04.012.
- Lan, H., He, W., Wang, A., Liu, R., Liu, H., Qu, J., Huang, C.P., 2016. An activated carbon fiber cathode for the degradation of glyphosate in aqueous solutions by the electro-Fenton mode: optimal operational conditions and the deposition of iron on cathode on electrode reusability. Water Res. 105, 575–582. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.036.
- Lerbs, W., Stock, M., Parthier, B., 1990. Physiological aspects of glyphosate degradation in Alcaligenes spec. strain GL. Arch. Microbiol. 153, 146–150. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00247812.
- Li, F., Wang, Y., Yang, Q., Evans, D.G., Forano, C., Duan, X., 2005. Study on adsorption of glyphosate (N-phosphonomethyl glycine) pesticide on MgAI-layered double hydroxides in aqueous solution. J. Hazard. Mater. 125, 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhazmat.2005.04.037.
- Liao, H., Tan, B., Ke, M., Li, Z., Lu, J., 2009. Pretreatment of glyphosate wastewater with Fenton reagent. Technol. Dev. Chem. Ind. 38.
- Lin, L., Zhang, X.J., Xiao, G.Q., Xiong, X., 2012. Photocatalytic degradation glyphosate with cerium and nitrogen co-doped TiO2 under visible irradiation. Adv. Mater. Res. 430–432, 1048–1051. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/ AMR.430-432.1048.
- Liu, C.M., McLean, P.A., Sookdeo, C.C., Cannon, F.C., 1991. Degradation of the herbicide glyphosate by members of the family Rhizobiaceae. Appl Env. Microbiol 57, 1799–1804.
- López, A., Coll, A., Lescano, M., Zalazar, C., 2018. Advanced oxidation of commercial herbicides mixture: experimental design and phytotoxicity evaluation. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 21393–21402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9041-2.
- Lv, Y.-R., He, R.-K., Chen, Z.-Y., Li, X., Xu, Y.-H., 2020. Fabrication of hierarchical copper sulfide/bismuth tungstate p-n heterojunction with two-dimensional (2D) interfacial coupling for enhanced visible-light photocatalytic degradation of glyphosate. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 560, 293–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2019.10.064.
- Mahler, B.J., Van Metre, P.C., Burley, T.E., Loftin, K.A., Meyer, M.T., Nowell, L.H., 2017. Similarities and differences in occurrence and temporal fluctuations in glyphosate and atrazine in small Midwestern streams (USA) during the 2013 growing season. Sci. Total Environ. 579, 149–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.236.
- Manassero, A., Passalia, C., Negro, A.C., Cassano, A.E., Zalazar, C.S., 2010. Glyphosate degradation in water employing the H2O2/UVC process. Water Res. 44, 3875–3882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.05.004.
- Manogaran, M., Shukor, M.Y., Yasid, N.A., Johari, W.L.W., Ahmad, S.A., 2017. Isolation and characterisation of glyphosate-degrading bacteria isolated from local soils in Malaysia. Rendiconti Lincei 28, 471–479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-017-0620-4.
- Mayakaduwa, S.S., Kumarathilaka, P., Herath, I., Ahmad, M., Al-Wabel, M., Ok, Y.S., Usman, A., Abduljabbar, A., Vithanage, M., 2016. Equilibrium and kinetic mechanisms of

woody biochar on aqueous glyphosate removal. Chemosphere 144, 2516–2521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.07.080.

- McAuliffe, K.S., Hallas, L.E., Kulpa, C.F., 1990. Glyphosate degradation by Agrobacterium radiobacter isolated from activated sludge. J. Ind. Microbiol. 6, 219–221. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF01577700.
- Mesnage, R., Defarge, N., Spiroux de Vendômois, J., Séralini, G.E., 2015. Potential toxic effects of glyphosate and its commercial formulations below regulatory limits. Food Chem. Toxicol. 84, 133–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2015.08.012.
- Minière, M., Boutin, O., Soric, A., 2017. Experimental coupling and modelling of wet air oxidation and packed-bed biofilm reactor as an enhanced phenol removal technology. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. 24, 7693–7704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8435-5.
- Moneke, A.N., Okpala, G.N., Anyanwu, C.U., 2010. Biodegradation of glyphosate herbicide in vitro using bacterial isolates from four rice fields. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 9, 4067–4074.
- Muneer, M., Boxall, C., 2008. Photocatalyzed degradation of a pesticide derivative glyphosate in aqueous suspensions of titanium dioxide. Int. J. Photoenergy. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2008/197346.
- Nourouzi, M.M., Chuah, T.G., Choong, T.S.Y., 2010. Adsorption of glyphosate onto activated carbon derived from waste newspaper. Desalination Water Treat 24, 321–326. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2010.1461.
- Nourouzi, M.M., Chuau, G.T., Choong, T.S.Y., Rabier, F., 2012. Modeling biodegradation and kinetics of glyphosate by artificial neural network. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B 47, 455–465. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2012.663603.
- Obojska, A., Lejczak, B., Kubrak, M., 1999. Degradation of phosphonates by streptomycete isolates. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 51, 872–876. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s002530051476.
- Obojska, A., Ternan, N.G., Lejczak, B., Kafarski, P., McMullan, G., 2002. Organophosphonate utilization by the thermophile Geobacillus caldoxylosilyticus T20. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 2081–2084. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.4.2081-2084.2002.
- Oller, I., Malato, S., Sánchez-Pérez, J.A., 2011. Combination of advanced oxidation processes and biological treatments for wastewater decontamination—a review. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 4141–4166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.08.061.
- Pariente, M.I., Martínez, F., Melero, J.A., Botas, J.A., Velegraki, T., Xekoukoulotakis, N.P., Mantzavinos, D., 2008. Heterogeneous photo-Fenton oxidation of benzoic acid in water: effect of operating conditions, reaction by-products and coupling with biological treatment. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 85, 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apcatb.2008.06.019.
- Peñaloza-Vazquez, A., Mena, G.L., Herrera-Estrella, L., Bailey, A.M., 1995. Cloning and sequencing of the genes involved in glyphosate utilization by Pseudomonas pseudomallei. Appl Env. Microbiol 61, 6.
- Pérez, J.F., Llanos, J., Sáez, C., López, C., Cañizares, P., Rodrigo, M.A., 2017. A microfluidic flow-through electrochemical reactor for wastewater treatment: a proof-ofconcept. Electrochem. Commun. 82, 85–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. elecom.2017.07.026.
- Pipke, R., Amrhein, N., 1988. Degradation of the phosphonate herbicide glyphosate by Arthrobacter atrocyaneus ATCC 13752. APPL Env. MICROBIOL 54, 1293–1296.
- Pipke, R., Amrhein, N., Jacob, G.S., Schaefer, J., Kishore, G.M., 1987. Metabolism of glyphosate in an Arthrobacter sp. GLP-1. Eur. J. Biochem. 165, 267–273. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1987.tb11437.x.
- Rissouli, L., Benicha, M., Chafik, T., Chabbi, M., 2017. Decontamination of water polluted with pesticide using biopolymers: adsorption of glyphosate by chitin and chitosan. J. Mater. Environ. Sci. 8, 4544–4549. https://doi.org/10.26872/jmes.2017.8.12.479.
- Rubí-Juárez, H., Cotillas, S., Sáez, C., Cañizares, P., Barrera-Díaz, C., Rodrigo, M.A., 2016. Removal of herbicide glyphosate by conductive-diamond electrochemical oxidation. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 188, 305–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.02.006.
- Salman, J.M., Abid, F.M., Muhammed, A.A., 2012. Batch study for pesticide glyphosate adsorption onto palm oil fronds activated carbon. Asian J. Chem. 24, 5646–5648.
- Saunders, L.E., Pezeshki, R., 2015. Glyphosate in runoff waters and in the root-zone: a review. Toxics 3, 462–480. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics3040462.
- Scialdone, O., Guarisco, C., Galia, A., Filardo, G., Silvestri, G., Amatore, C., Sella, C., Thouin, L., 2010. Anodic abatement of organic pollutants in water in micro reactors. J. Electroanal. Chem. 638, 293–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2009.10.031.
- Scialdone, O., Guarisco, C., Galia, A., 2011. Oxidation of organics in water in microfluidic electrochemical reactors: theoretical model and experiments. Electrochim. Acta 58, 463–473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2011.09.073.
- Selvapandiyan, A., Bhatnagar, R.K., 1994. Isolation of a glyphosate-metabolising Pseudomonas: detection, partial purification and localisation of carbon-phosphorus lyase. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 40, 876–882.
- Sharifi, Y., Pourbabaei, A.A., Javadi, A., Abdolmohammadi, M.H., Saffari, M., Morovvati, A., 2015. Biodegradation of glyphosate herbicide by Salinicoccus spp isolated from Qom Hoze-soltan lake, Iran. Environ. Health Eng. Manag. J. 2, 31–36.
- Shushkova, T.V., Vinokurova, N.G., Baskunov, B.P., Zelenkova, N.F., Sviridov, A.V., Ermakova, I.T., Leontievsky, A.A., 2016. Glyphosate acetylation as a specific trait of Achromobacter sp. Kg 16 physiology. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 100, 847–855. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-7084-1.
- Singh, R.K., Shashi, Kumar, Surendra, Kumar, Kumar, A., 2008. Biodegradation kinetic studies for the removal of p-cresol from wastewater using Gliomastix indicus MTCC 3869. Biochem. Eng. J. 40, 293–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2007.12.015.
- Singh, S., Kumar, V., Singh, J., 2019. Kinetic study of the biodegradation of glyphosate by indigenous soil bacterial isolates in presence of humic acid, Fe(III) and Cu(II) ions. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 7, 103098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2019.103098.
- Sirés, I., Brillas, E., Oturan, M.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Panizza, M., 2014. Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: today and tomorrow. A review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21, 8336–8367. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2783-1.
- Souza, D.R. de, Trovó, A.G., Filho, A., R, N., Silva, M.A.A., Machado, A.E.H., 2013. Degradation of the commercial herbicide glyphosate by photo-Fenton process: evaluation of

kinetic parameters and toxicity. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 24, 1451–1460. https://doi.org/10.5935/0103-5053.20130185.

- Speth, T.F., 1993. Glyphosate removal from drinking water. J. Environ. Eng. 119, 1139–1157. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(1993)119:6(1139).
- Sviridov, A.V., Shushkova, T.V., Zelenkova, N.F., Vinokurova, N.G., Morgunov, I.G., Ermakova, I.T., Leontievsky, A.A., 2012. Distribution of glyphosate and methylphosphonate catabolism systems in soil bacteria Ochrobactrum anthropi and Achromobacter sp. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 93, 787–796. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00253-011-3485-y.
- Sviridov, A.V., Shushkova, T.V., Ermakova, I.T., Ivanova, E.V., Leontievsky, A.A., 2014. Glyphosate: safety risks, biodegradation, and bioremediation. In: Cao, G., Orrù, R. (Eds.), Current Environmental Issues and Challenges. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 183–195 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8777-2_11.
- Sviridov, A.V., Shushkova, T.V., Ermakova, I.T., Ivanova, E.V., Epiktetov, D.O., Leontievsky, A.A., 2015. Microbial degradation of glyphosate herbicides (review). Appl. Biochem. Microbiol. 51, 188–195. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683815020209.
- Tan, N., Yang, Z., Gong, X., Wang, Z., Fu, T., Liu, Y., 2019. In situ generation of H2O2 using MWCNT-AI/O2 system and possible application for glyphosate degradation. Sci. Total Environ. 650, 2567–2576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.353.
- Tang, F.H.M., Jeffries, T.C., Vervoort, R.W., Conoley, C., Coleman, N.V., Maggi, F., 2019. Microcosm experiments and kinetic modeling of glyphosate biodegradation in soils and sediments. Sci. Total Environ. 658, 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2018.12.179.
- Tanyolaç, A., Beyenal, H., 1998. Prediction of substrate consumption rate, average biofilm density and active thickness for a thin spherical biofilm at pseudo-steady state. Biochem. Eng. J. 2, 207–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-703X(98)00035-7.
- Tarazona, J.V., Court-Marques, D., Tiramani, M., Reich, H., Pfeil, R., Istace, F., Crivellente, F., 2017. Glyphosate toxicity and carcinogenicity: a review of the scientific basis of the European Union assessment and its differences with IARC. Arch. Toxicol. 91, 2723–2743. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-017-1962-5.
- Tazdaït, D., Salah, R., Grib, H., Abdi, N., Mameri, N., 2018. Kinetic study on biodegradation of glyphosate with unacclimated activated sludge. Int. J. Environ. Health Res. 28, 448–459. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2018.1487043.
- Tran, N., Drogui, P., Doan, T.L., Le, T.S., Nguyen, H.C., 2017. Electrochemical degradation and mineralization of glyphosate herbicide. Environ. Technol. 38, 2939–2948. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2017.1284268.
- Tran, M.H., Nguyen, H.C., Le, T.S., Dang, V.A.D., Cao, T.H., Le, C.K., Dang, T.-D., 2019. Degradation of glyphosate herbicide by an electro-Fenton process using carbon felt cathode. Environ. Technol., 1–10 https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2019.1660411.
- Van Bruggen, A.H.C., He, M.M., Shin, K., Mai, V., Jeong, K.C., Finckh, M.R., Morris, J.G., 2018. Environmental and health effects of the herbicide glyphosate. Sci. Total Environ. 616–617, 255–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.309.
- Vidal, E., Negro, A., Cassano, A., Zalazar, C., 2015. Simplified reaction kinetics, models and experiments for glyphosate degradation in water by the UV/H2O2 process. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. Off. J. Eur. Photochem. Assoc. Eur. Soc. Photobiol. 14, 366–377. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4pp00248b.
- Villa, M.V., Sánchez-Martín, M.J., Sánchez-Camazano, M., 1999. Hydrotalcites and organohydrotalcites as sorbents for removing pesticides from water. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B 34, 509–525. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601239909373211.
- Villamar-Ayala, C.A., Carrera-Cevallos, J.V., Vasquez-Medrano, R., Espinoza-Montero, P.J., 2019. Fate, eco-toxicological characteristics, and treatment processes applied to water polluted with glyphosate: a critical review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 1476–1514. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2019.1579627.
- Villeneuve, A., Larroudé, S., Humbert, J.F., 2011. Herbicide contamination of freshwater ecosystems: impact on microbial communities. Pestic. - Formul. Eff. Fate, 285–312 https://doi.org/10.5772/13515.
- Wackett, L.P., Shames, S.L., Venditti, C.P., Walsh, C.T., 1987. Bacterial carbon-phosphorus lyase: products, rates, and regulation of phosphonic and phosphinic acid metabolism. J. Bacteriol. 169, 710–717.
- Wu, X.H., Fu, G.M., Wan, Y., Guo, D., Chen, Y.H., Luo, Y.F., Wu, X.F., 2010. Isolation and identification of glyphosate-degraded strain Aspergillus oryzae sp. A-F02 and its degradation characteristics. Plant Dis. Pests 1, 54–57.
- Xiao, G., Meng, Q., 2020. D151 resin preloaded with Fe3+ as a salt resistant adsorbent for glyphosate from water in the presence 16% NaCl. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 190, 110140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.110140.
- Xie, M., Liu, Z., Xu, Y., 2010. Removal of glyphosate in neutralization liquor from the glycine-dimethylphosphit process by nanofiltration. J. Hazard. Mater. 181, 975–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.05.109.
- Xing, B., Chen, H., Zhang, X., 2017. Removal of organic phosphorus and formaldehyde in glyphosate wastewater by CWO and the lime-catalyzed formose reaction. Water Sci. Technol. 75, 1390–1398. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.006.
- Xing, B., Chen, H., Zhang, X., 2018. Efficient degradation of organic phosphorus in glyphosate wastewater by catalytic wet oxidation using modified activated carbon as a catalyst. Environ. Technol. 39, 749–758. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09593330.2017.1310935.
- Xue, W., Zhang, G., Xu, X., Yang, X., Liu, C., Xu, Y., 2011. Preparation of titania nanotubes doped with cerium and their photocatalytic activity for glyphosate. Chem. Eng. J. 167, 397–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.01.007.
- Yan, M., Wang, D., Ma, X., Ni, J., Zhang, H., 2010. THMs precursor removal by an integrated process of ozonation and biological granular activated carbon for typical Northern China water. Sep. Purif. Technol. 72, 263–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. seppur.2010.02.015.
- Yang, Y., Deng, Q., Yan, W., Jing, C., Zhang, Y., 2018. Comparative study of glyphosate removal on goethite and magnetite: adsorption and photo-degradation. Chem. Eng. J. 352, 581–589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.07.058.

- Yongrui, P., Zheng, Z., Bao, M., Li, Y., Zhou, Y., Sang, G., 2015. Treatment of partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide wastewater by combined Fenton oxidation and anaerobic biological processes. Chem. Eng. J. 273, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.01.034.
- Ivzed polyactylamide wastewater by combined renton oxidation and anaerobic biological processes. Chem. Eng. J. 273, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.01.034.
 Yu, X.M., Yu, T., Yin, G.H., Dong, Q.L., An, M., Wang, H.R., Ai, C.X., 2015. Glyphosate biodegradation and potential soil bioremediation by Bacillus subtilis strain Bs-15. Genet. Mol. Res. 14, 14717–14730. https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.November.18.37.
- Zhan, H., Feng, Y., Fan, X., Chen, S., 2018. Recent advances in glyphosate biodegradation. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 102, 5033–5043. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9035-0.
- Zhang, M.D., Wei, Y.F., Zhao, K., Mei, R.W., Huang, M., 2011. Glyphosate degradation with industrial wastewater effluent by combined adsorption treatment and advanced

oxidation processes. Adv. Mater. Res. 233-235, 369-372. https://doi.org/10.4028/ www.scientific.net/AMR.233-235.369.

- WWW.SCIENTINC.NEt/ANNK.233-253-359.
 Zhang, M.H., Dong, H., Zhao, L., Wang, D.X., Meng, D., 2019. A review on Fenton process for organic wastewater treatment based on optimization perspective. Sci. Total Environ. 670, 110–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.180.
 Zhao, H., Tao, K., Zhu, J., Liu, S., Gao, H., Zhou, X., 2015. Bioremediation potential of
- Zhao, H., Tao, K., Zhu, J., Liu, S., Gao, H., Zhou, X., 2015. Bioremediation potential of glyphosate-degrading Pseudomonas spp. strains isolated from contaminated soil. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 61, 165–170. https://doi.org/10.2323/jgam.61.165.