

Unimolecular decomposition of methyl ketene and its dimer in the gas phase: theory and experiment

Imene Derbali, Helgi Rafn Hrodmarsson, Martin Schwell, Y Benilan, Lionel Poisson, Majdi Hochlaf, Mohammad Esmaïl Alikhani, J.-C. Guillemin, Emilie-Laure Zins

► To cite this version:

Imene Derbali, Helgi Rafn Hrodmarsson, Martin Schwell, Y Benilan, Lionel Poisson, et al.. Unimolecular decomposition of methyl ketene and its dimer in the gas phase: theory and experiment. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2020, 22 (36), pp.20394-20408. 10.1039/d0cp03921g. hal-02959792

HAL Id: hal-02959792 https://hal.science/hal-02959792

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

 Dimer in the Gas Phase: Theory and Experiment Imene Derbali¹, Helgi Rafn Hrodmarsson*^{2,3}, Martin Schwell⁴, Yves Bénilan⁴, Lionel Poisson⁵, Majdi Hochlaf*⁶, Mohammad Esmaïl Alikhani¹, Jean-Claude Guillemin⁷, Emilie-Laure Zins¹ <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France</i> <i>³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230,</i> <i>RA Leiden, the Netherlands</i> <i>⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr</i>
 Imene Derbali¹, Helgi Rafn Hrodmarsson*^{2,3}, Martin Schwell⁴, Yves Bénilan⁴, Lionel Poisson⁵, Majdi Hochlaf*⁶, Mohammad Esmaïl Alikhani¹, Jean-Claude Guillemin⁷, Emilie-Laure Zins¹ <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230,</i> <i>¹Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230,</i> <i>¹LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr</i> <i>¹LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr</i>
 Imene Derbali¹, Helgi Rafn Hrodmarsson*^{2,3}, Martin Schwell⁴, Yves Bénilan⁴, Lionel Poisson⁵, Majdi Hochlaf*⁶, Mohammad Esmaïl Alikhani¹, Jean-Claude Guillemin⁷, Emilie-Laure Zins¹ <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5,</i> <i>¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 RA Leiden, the Netherlands</i> <i>¹LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr</i> <i>¹MOR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr</i>
 Poisson⁵, Majdi Hochlaf*⁶, Mohammad Esmaïl Alikhani¹, Jean-Claude Guillemin⁷, Emilie-Laure Zins¹ ¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5, France ²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France ³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 RA Leiden, the Netherlands ⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr
6 Emilie-Laure Zins ¹ 7 8 ¹ MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5, 9 France 10 ² Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France 11 ³ Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 12 RA Leiden, the Netherlands 13 ⁴ LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr 14 Simon Laplace, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 04010 Créteil, Ergnes
 ¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5, ⁹France ²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France ³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 RA Leiden, the Netherlands ⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr Simon Laplace, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 04010 Créteil, France
 ¹MONARIS UMR 8233 CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5, France ²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France ³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 RA Leiden, the Netherlands ⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr
 France ²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France ³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 RA Leiden, the Netherlands ⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr Simon Laplace, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 04010 Créteil, France
 ²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, St Aubin, BP 48, Gif sur Yvette, France ³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-230 RA Leiden, the Netherlands ⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr Simon Laplace, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 04010 Créteil, France
 ³Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-23(RA Leiden, the Netherlands ⁴LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr Simon Laplace, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 04010 Créteil, Ergnee
12 <i>RA Leiden, the Netherlands</i> 13 ⁴ LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr
⁴ LISA UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil and Université Diderot, Institut Pierr
Simon Lanlace, 61 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 04010 Crétail France
14 Simon Lupiace, 01 Avenue au General de Gaulle, 94010 Creleil, 17ance
⁵ Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, LIDYL, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
⁶ Université Gustave Eiffel, COSYS/LISIS, 5 Bd Descartes 77454, Champs sur Marne, Franc
⁷ Univ Rennes, École Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR-UMR6226, F
18 35000 Rennes, France
19
Total number of pages in manuscript: 33
Total number of pages in supporting information: 13
22 Tables: 5+6
23 Figures: 11+5
24
25
26
27
28
* Authors for correspondence:
Helgi Rath Hrodmarsson: Phone: +31 629 413 569; E-mail 1: <u>hr.hrodmarsson@gmail.com</u> ; E-mail .
Maidi Hochlaf: Emai: hochlaf@uniy-mly fr

34 Abstract

We present a combined theoretical and experimental investigation on the single photoionization 35 and unimolecular photodissociation of gas-phase methyl ketene (MKE) and its neutral dimer 36 (MKE₂). The performed experiments entail the recording of photoelectron photoion 37 coincidence (PEPICO) spectra and slow photoelectron spectra (SPES) in the energy regime 38 8.7–15.5 eV using linearly polarized synchrotron radiation. We observe both dimerization and 39 trimerization of the monomer which brings about significantly complex and abstruse 40 dissociative ionization patterns. These require the implementation of theoretical calculations 41 to explore the potential energy surfaces of the monomer and dimer's neutral and ionized 42 geometries. To this end, explicitly correlated quantum chemical methodologies involving the 43 coupled cluster with single, double and perturbative triple excitations (R)CCSD(T)-F12 44 45 method, are utilized. An improvement in the adiabatic ionization energy of MKE is presented $(AIE = 8.937 \pm 0.020 \text{ eV})$ as well as appearance energies for multiple fragments formed 46 through dissociative ionization of either the MKE monomer or dimer. In this regard, the synergy 47 of experiment and theory is crucial to interpreting the obtained results. We discuss the potential 48 49 astrochemical implications of this work in context of recent advances in the field of astrochemistry and speculate on the potential presence and eventual fate of interstellar MKE 50

51 *molecules*.

52 **1. INTRODUCTION**

A key question in astrophysics, astrochemistry, and astrobiology concerns the origins of 53 molecular complexity in space.¹⁻³ At the time of writing, well over 200 molecules have been 54 detected in the interstellar medium (ISM); approximately one third of them being complex 55 organics molecules (COMs) containing six atoms or more.⁴ COMs have been shown to 56 effectively form upon VUV photoprocessing of simple interstellar ice analogs^{5–8} but to explain 57 their abundance in the gas phase in cold molecular clouds requires a VUV- (or cosmic ray) 58 driven photodesorption paradigm to complement thermal desorption.¹ Ultracold gas phase 59 reaction kinetics measurements have, however, shown that chemical kinetics display 60 significantly anti-Arrhenius kinetics at temperatures approaching that of the interstellar medium 61 (ISM).^{9,10} The recent detection of cyanobenzene in the TMC-1 molecular cloud,¹¹ and the 62 assignment of several of the diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) to transitions within the C_{60}^+ 63 Buckyball cation,¹² have now challenged our basic notions of molecular complexity in space 64 and the upper limits to interstellar molecular complexity are quickly extending. 65

A significant portion of the COMs that have been detected in space are linear rigid carbon chains. These may include nitrogen (such as cyanopolyynes¹³) and oxygen (such as the HC₇O radical¹⁴ and ketene; H₂C=C=O¹⁵). A clear understanding of the VUV photodynamics (including that of photoionization and photofragmentation) of these species and their derivatives is crucial for further interpretation of astronomical data and the modelling of the chemistry of interstellar media.

Methyl ketene (H₃C-CH=C=O; 1-Propen-1-one), called MKE in the following, is a 72 carbon chain molecule comprising a rigid CCO frame which is of astronomical interest, and 73 whose VUV laboratory data is scarce.¹⁶ Other prior work pertaining to MKE include e.g. 74 potential energy surface calculations¹⁷ and characterization of its infrared bands.¹⁸ Recently, 75 Bermúdez et al.¹⁹ recorded its mm-wave spectrum in the 50-330 GHz range, and those spectra 76 77 were used to search for MKE in various interstellar molecular inventories such as Sagittarius B2 and TMC-1 in the Taurus Molecular Cloud, but unsuccessfully. Astronomically, MKE is 78 79 still expected to play a role as its isomer, propenal ($H_2C=CH-CHO$), which is merely 2.8 kJ/mol more stable than MKE according to theory,¹⁹ has been tentatively detected in Sagittarius B2.²⁰ 80 In order to further contextualize this current non-detection of MKE, particularly in the light of 81 its homolog ketene (H₂C=C=O) having been detected in the 1970's,¹⁵ its VUV photodynamics 82 are of great relevance. 83

Further indications of the astronomical relevance of MKE have been found in laboratory ice chemistry. MKE has been formed in certain interstellar ice analogues which were inspected

in order to find a potential link to the formation of propylene oxide, the first chiral molecule to 86 be detected in the ISM.²⁰ A potential paradigm of MKE's chemical evolution could involve 87 formation on icy grains and subsequently undergo further chemical reactions, and/or thermal, 88 chemical, or photo-induced desorption. The recent detection of propylene oxide makes a strong 89 case for the detection of MKE as both species can form in such icy grains. A problem with the 90 determination of MKE formation in ices is that the v_4 fundamental band of MKE overlaps with 91 the infrared stretching vibration of CO. Hence, threshold photoelectron spectroscopy has been 92 suggested as a desirable method to determine the formation of MKE in ices.²¹ A similar 93 methodology has been applied to infer the formation of MKE in the reaction between the 94 methylidene radical and acetaldehyde using a multiplexed photoionization mass spectrometer²² 95 but using a previously recorded photoelectron spectrum of MKE¹⁶ to identify it as a product in 96 the reaction. 97

Here we present a combined experimental and theoretical study of the photoionization and photofragmentation dynamics of MKE and its dimer, including their threshold photoionization spectra. This continues ongoing work of dedicated and synergistic experimental and theoretical characterizations of astrochemically / astrobiologically relevant molecules.^{23–29} Our results are discussed in context of modern advances in experimental astrochemistry and the potential presence of gaseous MKE in space.

104

105 **2. METHODS**

106 **2.1. Experimental**

107 Experiments were performed at the DESIRS VUV beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron in Saint-Aubin, France.³⁰ Horizontally polarized radiation in the 8.7-15.5 eV range was generated 108 by an undulator³¹ and passed through a gas filter filled with Argon to suppress higher harmonics 109 from the undulator by four to five orders of magnitude. The photon beam was dispersed by a 110 6.65 m normal incidence monochromator with 200 grooves/mm grating. The photon flux of the 111 resulting beam was between 10¹² and 10¹³ photons/sec and was directed into the beamline 112 endstation where the SAPHIRS chamber is located.³² It contains the DELICIOUS III double-113 imaging photoion photoelectron coincidence (i²PEPICO) spectrometer³³ in which the 114 synchrotron radiation was interfaced with a molecular beam under a right angle. The generated 115 photoelectrons and photoions are accelerated in opposite directions with a DC electric field of 116 1000 V. The electron side contains a velocity map imaging spectrometer and the ion side a 117 modified Wiley McLaren time-of-flight 3D momentum imaging spectrometer. This setup 118

allows a PEPICO scheme to be employed, mass-tagging the recorded electron images andeliminating any spurious background compounds.

MKE was produced by pyrolysis at 600°C under 0.1 mbar of the commercially available propionic anhydride. The by-product propanoic acid was removed by selective condensation in a first U-tube immersed in a cold bath at -70 ° C while the formed MKE was trapped in a second U-tube immersed in a 77 K bath. This trap was then fitted on the spectrometer and allowed to warm to -120°C by immersion in a cooled isopentane bath.

126 It is thus easily vaporized into a He gas stream that flows through the first gas nozzle inside the differentially pumped SAPHIRS jet chamber, using a backing pressure of 127 approximately 500 mbar. This gas flow is then streamed through a second 50 µm pinhole nozzle 128 leading to the ionization chamber. The applied electric field in the ionization source of 129 DELICIOUS III induces a Stark effect which effectively decreases the ionization energies of 130 the compounds entering the chamber. The Stark effect is approximately 23.5 meV and is 131 corrected for in the energy calibration which uses the signals of ionized background O_2 . 132 Intensity calibrations were performed using the recorded flux over the appropriate energy range 133 134 which has been measured with an AXUV100, IRD Si photodiode. Image inversions are performed with the pBASEX algorithm.³⁴ 135

136 To obtain the threshold photoelectron spectrum we employed the slow photoelectron spectroscopic method (SPES) which has been detailed previously.³⁵ In brief, following the 137 inversion of mass-tagged images recorded with DELICIOUS III, the ionization intensities can 138 be plotted in matrix form as a function of the electron kinetic energy (eKE) and the photon 139 energy. This two-dimension photoelectron spectral matrix (2D-PES matrix) contains a wealth 140 of spectroscopic information and by investigating different "cuts" through the matrix, 141 properties such as photon-dependent electron kinetic energy distributions, partial 142 photoionization cross sections, etc. can be obtained.³⁶ The recorded 2D-PES matrix of MKE 143 (m/z 56) is presented in Figure S1 in the SI. 144

To extract the SPES from the 2D-PES matrix, the pixel intensities are diagonally integrated along the electron signals from eKE = 0 up to an arbitrary value, eKE_{max} , carefully chosen to find a compromise between signal intensity and energy resolution. The total energy resolution is a convolution of the photon resolution and the electron bandwidth. Typical energy resolutions are approximately 20 meV for eKE_{max} ranging between 50 and 100 meV.³⁷

150

151 **2.2. Theoretical**

5

We performed the geometry optimizations (opt), in the C1 point group, of neutral and cationic 152 MKE and of its neutral and ionized dimer using the PBE0 density functional,³⁸ where the atoms 153 are described by the augmented correlation-consistent aug-cc-pVTZ (aVTZ) basis sets of 154 Dunning and co-workers.^{39,40} Subsequently, we computed the harmonic to assess the minimal 155 nature of the optimized stationary point (all positive frequencies) and on top of that, we deduced 156 their anharmonic frequencies using the second-order vibrational perturbation theory (VPT2) 157 approach.41,42 These electronic structure computations were done using GAUSSIAN 09 158 Revision E.⁴³ The standard options as implemented in this suite of programs were adopted. The 159 160 GAUSSIAN package was also used to generate the photoelectron spectrum of MKE via the derivation of the Franck Condon (FC) factors for the MKE (X) \rightarrow MKE⁺ (X) ionization 161 transition.^{44,45} Indeed, the FC analysis was done by means of the time-independent adiabatic 162 Hessian Franck-Condon (TI-AH|FC) model.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ The stick simulated vibrationally resolved 163 electronic spectrum was later convolved with a 20 meV bandwidth Gaussian profile, 164 corresponding to the present experimental resolution. 165

166 These density functional theory results were used as starting points for full reoptimization of the neutral and cationic MKE and of the cationic fragments using the explicitly 167 168 correlated coupled cluster with single, double and perturbative triple excitations (R)CCSD(T)-F12 method.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ and implemented in the MOLPRO package.⁵⁵ The atoms were described by 169 the augmented correlation-consistent cc-pVTZ-F12 basis set.⁵⁶ Then, single point (SP) 170 computations were done for the inclusion of core-valence (CV),⁵⁷ and scalar relativistic⁵⁸ 171 effects at the (R)CCSD(T)/ cc-pwCVTZ⁵⁹ and (R)CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ-DK,^{58,60,61} respectively, 172 using the composite scheme detailed in our previous works.²⁷ The accuracy of this composite 173 theoretical approach was estimated to be $\pm 10-20$ meV.²⁵ For the MKE dimer, the CV and SR 174 corrections are done on top of the PBE0/aVTZ computations. Although less accurate than the 175 (R)CCSD(T)-F12 based composite scheme computations, the PBE0/aVTZ//+CV+SR 176 methodology is accurate enough for the interpretation of the experimental features measured 177 here.⁶² Furthermore, it was checked that the wB97XD functional leads to similar results from a 178 179 geometrical and energetical point of view. Table S1 and S2 allow comparison between the geometry and energetics obtained using these two functionals in combination with the aug-cc-180 pVTZ basis set. For the derivation of the adiabatic ionization energies (AIE) and appearance 181 energies (AE), we refer to our recent results pertaining to propynal.²⁷ It is worth mentioning 182 that a barrier could be associated to some of the considered fragmentations channels. However, 183 the agreement between the experimental appearance energies and the calculated ones without 184

considering any barrier suggest that all the considered mechanisms are barrierless, concertedmechanisms.

187

188 **3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

Here, we present the combined experimental and theoretical results pertaining to the single photon ionization of MKE and its dimer in the gas-phase from the ionization threshold to 15.5 eV. It is well documented that organic molecules can easily form clusters in supersonic expansions,⁶³ and this is indeed the case here for MKE. As will be shown, the experiment and theory complement one another well to shed light on the complications associated with the effects of dissociative ionization following the clustering of MKE monomers.

195

196 **3.1 Time-of-flight mass spectra (TOF-MS)**

197 Time-of-flight mass spectra (TOF-MS) recorded at photon energies of 12.5 and 15.5 eV are presented in Figure 1. At 12.5 eV the monomer, the dimer, and the trimer are clearly visible in 198 199 descending order of intensity at m/z 56, m/z 112, and m/z 168, respectively (Fig. 1a). Larger clusters are not observed. A signal at m/z 70 is visible as well, corresponding to residual signals 200 201 of 2-aminoproprionitrile that were used in a prior experiment and whose results are subject to another publication. A weaker residual signal at m/z 76 is observed as well but the identity of 202 its carrier is unclear. It is possible that it is an impurity from the thermal degradation of 203 propionic anhydride, with the elemental formula C₃O₂H₈ (in which case it could be an isomer 204 of propanediol) or $C_2O_3H_2$ (in which case it could be 1,2,4-trioxolane or hydroxyacetic acid). 205

206

207



208

Figure 1. Time-of-flight mass spectrum (TOF-MS): (a) of MKE, its dimer, and its trimer, photoionized at hv = 12.5 eV and (b) of MKE and the different fragments formed by photoionization at hv = 15.5 eV.

At 15.5 eV, the dimer and trimer signals are not visible but several masses lighter than 213 the monomer appear in the TOF-MS (Fig. 1b). Here, the parent ion is still the most intense mass 214 peak. The ligher masses include m/z 55 and 54 with low intensity and correspond to the 215 fragment ions resulting from the loss of atomic and molecular hydrogen to yield $C_3H_3O^+$ and 216 $C_3H_2O^+$, respectively. Other notable fragment signals include $C_2H_4^+$ (*m/z* 28), $C_2H_3^+$ (*m/z* 27), 217 and $C_2H_2^+$ (*m/z* 26). The *m/z* 57 mass peak corresponds to a mixture of the monomer's ¹³C 218 isotopomer and the protonated monomer (to be discussed below). Finally, background signals 219 are observed due to ionized residual water (m/z 18) and molecular oxygen (m/z 32) in the 220 ionization chamber. We note that autoionization bands of O₂ were used to calibrate the energy 221 scale of the scan used to record the SPES between 7.8 and 12.5 eV. 222

223

3.2 SPES of the MKE monomer

225 **3.2.1 Ground state**

Figure 2 presents the slow photoelectron spectrum (SPES) of the parent ion (m/z 56). The SPES includes a vibrational progression corresponding to the population of the ground electronic state of MKE. The full assignment of the ground state vibrational progression is discussed here below.

The adiabatic ionization energy (AIE) of MKE can be measured from the SPES as the 230 center of the first and most intense band. Here, we deduce a value of $AIE_{exp} = 8.937 \pm 0.020$ eV. 231 To verify this value, we also performed a theoretical calculation of AIE at the (R)CCSD(T)-232 F12/cc-pVTZ-F12(opt) (+CV+SR+ZPVE) (SP) level and obtained a value of $AIE_{calc} =$ 233 8.917 eV, in good agreement with the experiment. Further details of the calculation, notably 234 geometries of involved neutrals and cations, are presented in Tables S3, S4 and S5 of the SI. 235 The calculated AIE of MKE is also in agreement with prior VUV photoionization experiments 236 by Bock et al. who found a value of 8.95 eV for the adiabatic ionization energy (no precision 237 is given in this earlier work).¹⁶ In this regard, our measurements present an improvement in 238 accuracy. 239



240

Figure 2. Experimental (red) and theoretical (black) SPES of the MKE parent ion (m/z 56). The SPES is obtained by using $eKE_{max} = 100$ meV when diagonally projecting the 2D-PES matrix. The experimental adiabatic ionization energy (AIE) is taken as the median of the 0⁰₀ band which is located at 8.937 eV. The assignment of the vibrational progression is discussed in the text. The calculated curve has been shifted upwards in energy by 20 meV to coincide with the experimental results.

In their electronic ground states, both neutral MKE and its cation (Figure 3) belong to 247 the C_s symmetry point group. For neutral MKE, our computed geometry is close to the one 248 calculated earlier by Bak et al.⁶⁴ Closer inspection of the ionic and neutral equilibrium 249 geometries reveals a subtle geometrical deformation upon ionization, where the C=O double 250 251 bond and the C-C single bond slightly contract while the C=C double bond extends. Furthermore, the HCC bond angle of the methyl group changes from 110.91° to 113.26°. These 252 small changes are at the origin of the short vibrational progression in the ground state of MKE⁺. 253 Therefore, the general appearance of the SPES spectrum of Figure 2 can be attributed to the 254 similarities of the equilibrium geometries of the neutral and cationic forms of MKE. Indeed, a 255 short vibrational progression is expected upon ionization of the monomer, in accordance with 256 the FC principle. The recorded SPES typifies this expectation. 257



Figure 3. Main geometrical parameters of the ground of neutral MKE and its cation. Distances
are given in Å (right panels) and angles are given in degrees (left panels). These geometries are
computed at the (R)CCSD(T)-F12 / cc-pVTZ-F12 level.

261

The assignment of the monomer's SPES is made possible with quantum chemical 262 calculations. It is guided by the anharmonic wavenumbers calculated for the MKE⁺ ground state 263 264 at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. Table 1 presents the anharmonic wavenumbers of the eighteen normal modes of vibration arranged in descending order under a' and a'' symmetry 265 species. The energies of the observed band maxima in our experiment and comparison with 266 theory are presented in Table 2. Experimental transition energies are also marked by vertical 267 bars in Figure 2. Below 10 eV these bands are assigned to the photoionization transitions 268 populating the MKE⁺ ground state vibrational levels. 269

The first and most intense band is assigned to the transition of neutral ground state MKE to the ground state of the MKE⁺ cation. For the lower intensity band at 9.083 eV ($v_{exp}^+ = 1177.6$ cm⁻¹) we propose that it corresponds to the C-C stretching mode between the methyl C-atom and the terminal ketene C-atom. This value is in excellent agreement with the computed value ($v_8^+ = 1159.3$ cm⁻¹).

- **Table 1.** Anharmonic wavenumbers (E_{anharm} , cm⁻¹ and eV) of the fundamental bands of the
- ground state of MKE⁺ as computed at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory. We also give
- their assignment.

Vibrational Mode	Sym.	Eanharm		Assignment
		cm ⁻¹	eV	
v_1^+	<i>a</i> ′	3181.4	0.394	CH (sp ³) stretching
v_2^+	<i>a</i> ′	3155.9	0.391	CH (sp ²) stretching
v_3^+	<i>a</i> ′	3039.3	0.377	CH (sp ³) stretching
v_4^+	<i>a</i> ′	2996.6	0.372	CH ₃ sym. stretching
v_5^+	<i>a</i> ′	2298.1	0.285	C=O stretching
v_6^+	<i>a</i> ′	1470.7	0.182	CH (sp ³) in-plane-bending
v_7^+	<i>a</i> ′	1376.3	0.171	C-C stretching
v_8^+	<i>a</i> ′	1159.3	0.144	C-C stretching
v_9^+	<i>a</i> ′	1097.6	0.136	CH ₃ (sp ³) asym. stretching
v_{10}^{+}	<i>a</i> ′	856.1	0.106	CH (sp ³) bending
v_{11}^+	<i>a</i> ′	621.6	0.077	C-C=C in-plane-bending
v_{12}^{+}	<i>a</i> ′	206.7	0.026	C=C=O in-plane-bending
v_{13}^{+}	<i>a</i> "	1408.9	0.175	CH (sp ³) rocking
v_{14}^+	a"	1309.8	0.162	CH (sp ²) in-plane-bending
v_{15}^{+}	a"	931.9	0.116	CH ₃ wagging
v_{16}^{+}	a"	667.8	0.083	CH (sp ²) out-of-plane-bending
v_{17}^{+}	a"	451.4	0.056	C=C=O out-of-plane-bending
v_{18}^+	a ["]	119.3	0.015	CH ₃ torsion

278

- **Table 2.** Tentative assignment of the observed vibrational progression resolved in the SPES of
- the monomer in the 8.7-9.5 eV photon energy range (Figure 2).

Band position [eV]	Experiment [cm ⁻¹]	Theory $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$	Assignment
8.937	0.0 ^a	0.0ª	Adiabatic IE, 0^0_0
9.083	1177.6	1159.3	v_8^+
9.214	2234.1	2298.1	v_5^+
9.360	3411.7	3457.4	$v_8^+ + v_5^+$
9 484	4411.8	4616.7 / 4596.2 /	$2v_8^+ + v_5^+ / 2v_5^+ /$
2.101		4555.0	$v_9^+ + v_8^+ + v_5^+$

^aUsed as reference.

We propose that the next band in the SPES (v_{exp}^+ = 2234.1 cm⁻¹) corresponds to the 282 v_5^+ stretching mode of C=O. The other bands in the SPES can then be tentatively assigned to 283 combination modes of these two $(v_8^+ + v_5^+)$ stretching modes. Comparison between 284 experiment and theory is quite satisfactory (differences are within 50 cm⁻¹) except for the last 285 band observed at 9.484 eV where it exceeds 200 cm⁻¹ (or 25 meV). Therefore, we cannot 286 exclude the possibility of either an overtone of the v_5^+ stretching mode or a contribution from 287 the v_9 band (corresponding to the asymmetric C-H stretching vibration in the methyl group), 288 resulting in the assignment, i.e. $v_9^+ + v_8^+ + v_5^+$. 289

290

3.2.2 Dissociative ionization of MKE

Figure 4 presents the SPES associated with the formation of two cations, namely $C_3H_3O^+$ (*m/z* 55) and $C_2H_4^+$ (*m/z* 28) which start to appear at around 10 eV. The two fragment ions are also visible in the 15.5 eV TOF-MS presented in Figure 1b. Both signals are fairly weak and diffuse. Nevertheless, they allow us to measure dissociative ionization thresholds of MKE forming these ionic fragments, which are indicated in Fig. 4.



297

Figure 4. SPES of two fragments formed by dissociative photoionization of MKE. The m/z 55 fragment ion is shown in black and corresponds to H-loss from the parent cation. The m/z 28 fragment ion is shown in red and corresponds to CO loss from the parent cation. In both the m/zsolution m/z 28 SPES we observed respectively two appearance energies, AE_a and AE_b, for two fragments, a and b, having the same mass. The ranges of the four AEs are indicated as estimated by the experimental accuracy. See text for further details.

The *m/z* 55 SPES corresponds to the loss of an H-atom. From the theoretical calculations on ionization and fragmentation thresholds (see Table 3), it can be inferred that the H loss is from the methyl group since the experimental onset at $AE_b = 11.00 \pm 0.05$ eV corresponds fairly well to the calculated value of $AE_{calc} = 10.78$ eV. In the SPES of *m/z* 55 there seems to be some weak structure between 10.2 eV and 11.0 eV, with an onset energy of approximately 10.2 eV (AE_a). As will be discussed below, this structure may arise from the dissociation of the ionized MKE dimer.

The m/z 28 SPES corresponds to the loss of neutral CO, as is inferred from the quantum 311 chemical calculations (cf. Table 3). It shows two bands with origins at AE $a = 10.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$ 312 and AE b = 11.70 ± 0.10 eV (see Fig. 4). In this context, there are two isomers of C₂H₄⁺ ions 313 that can potentially be formed. The optimized structures of these two considered $C_2H_4^+$ ions are 314 shown in Figure 5. The two experimental values of the fragment AEs we deduced from the 315 SPES, AE a and AE b, are in excellent agreement with the theoretically calculated appearance 316 energies of the two isomers shown in Figure 5 ($AE_{calc1} = 10.508 \text{ eV}$ and $AE_{calc2} = 11.585 \text{ eV}$; 317 318 cf. Table 3). We can therefore assign the observed m/z 28 thresholds to the formation of two different isomers of $C_2H_4^+$ by dissociative photoionization of MKE. 319

 AE_a=10.508 eV
 AE_b=11.58 eV

Figure 5. Optimized structures of two isomers of $C_2H_4^+$ calculated at the (R)CCSD(T)-F12 / cc-pVTZ-F12 level. Theoretical AEs are indicated. See text for more details.

328

320

321

322

323

324

325

In order to cover the entire dissociative photoionization potential energy surface of MKE⁺, several other fragmentation pathways were considered in the quantum-chemical calculations. They are presented in Figure 6. The respective calculated AEs are summarized in Table 3 together with some of the results of the calculations and the experimental AEs determined in this work.

Other weaker dissociation channels that may be relevant include the loss of molecular hydrogen, H₂, to form the m/z 54 propadienone isomer (H₂C=C=C=O⁺). While the m/z 54, 27, and 26 mass signals are too weak to obtain a viable SPES, we can attain an experimental value of the appearance energy by using the point where the ion signals exceed the background signals in their total ion yield curves obtained by accumulating signal at 15.5 eV (Figure S2 in the SI).
This method is less accurate than using the SPES but is nonetheless an acceptable form of
obtaining approximate fragment appearance energies.²⁵

For the m/z 54 signal, there is a discrepancy between theory and experiment which lies in the AE values. Indeed, the experimental AE value of this fragment from the monomer is 0.4 eV lower than the theoretically derived one. Since the accuracy of the theoretical methodologies is better than 0.4 eV as established in our previous works, the question is raised whether the fragment originates from the monomer at all or whether it originates from dissociative photoionization of the MKE dimer or higher multimers. This is further detailed below.

For the m/z 27 and m/z 26 fragments, the agreement between experiment and theory is worth mentioning though these discrepancies are somewhat smaller than that for the m/z 54 signal (0.1 eV and 0.25 eV, respectively). This could suggest a second mechanism in play in

350 which these fragments are formed by dissociative ionization of the dimer or higher multimers.

As with the m/z 54 signal, this is discussed below.

352 **Table 3.** Calculated and measured adiabatic ionization energies (AIEs) of MKE and the appearance energies (AEs) of the different fragmentation

353 pathways of the resulting cation (in eV). The most stable structures which correspond to our experimental results are presented. Details of the

354 computational results are given in Table S6 in the SI.

355

	Ionization		Fragments									
Formula	$C_3H_4O^+$	C ₃ H ₃ O ⁺	$C_3H_2O^+$	C ₂ HO ⁺	CH ₂ O ⁺	HCO ⁺	$C_{2}H_{4}^{+}$	$C_{2}H_{4}^{+}_{-}1$	\mathbf{CO}^+	$C_2H_3^+$	$C_2H_2^{+\circ}$	CH ₃ ⁺
	2-6-	×	૾૱૱ૡૼ	~~~	2-	6-6-6	$> \langle$	300°	•	6- 6 5 6 -6	6-0-0-6	
Molecular structures		+ H	H ₂	CH ₃	C ₂ H ₂	C ₂ H ₃	+ CO	+ CO	C ₂ H ₄	H+CO	H ₂ +CO	C ₂ HO
		0	6-6		6-0-0-0	૾ૡૼ	- -0	- •	\geq	6+	6-6+ 6-6	a po
m/z	56	55	54	41	30	29	28	28	28	27	26	15
AIE or AE Calc.	8.917	10.780	12.702	14.756	12.763	12.261	10.508	11.585	14.034	13.205	13.154	13.790
AIE or AE Exptl.	8.937 ± 0.020	11.00 ± 0.05	12.3 ± 0.1				10.50 ± 0.05	11.60 ± 0.05		13.1 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 0.1	

356



Figure 6. Dissociative ionziation fragmentation pathways of the MKE cation considered in the quantum-chemical calculations and relative energies

358 (ordinate) compared to the neutral MKE. The abscissa corresponds to descending m/z values of the formed cationic fragments.

359 **3.3 Slow Photoelectron Spectrum (SPES) of the MKE dimer**

360 3.3.1 Ground state

At higher m/z values in the 12.5 eV TOF-MS presented in Figure 1a, the MKE dimer and trimer (abbreviated MKE₂ and MKE₃) are observed. Here we present the recorded SPES of MKE₂ and discuss its dissociative ionization which affects the monomer signals at energies above the ground state as mentioned above.

To further understand the formation and structure of MKE₂, its structure was theoretically optimized at the PBE0/aVTZ level of theory (see Table S4 of the SI). Appearance energies of the fragments (C_3H_4O)·H⁺ (m/z 57) and (C_3H_5O)·H⁺ (m/z 58) are obtained indicating intramolecular H transfer. Indeed, the ionization process of the dimer is accompanied by such intramolecular isomerization and subsequent unimolecular fragmentation processes, as already demonstrated for other organic dimers.^{65–67}

Figure 7 displays the SPES corresponding to the photoionization of MKE₂ (m/z 112). 371 The ionization processes remain mainly direct since the energy of the electron distribution rises 372 373 correspondingly to the photon energy. The SPES comprises a single broad band extending from 8.75 to 9.60 eV where its intensity abruptly drops. The shape of the SPES curve reveals that the 374 photoionization of the dimer results in a broad distribution due to unfavorable FC factors during 375 the $(C_3H_4O)_2 + hv \rightarrow (C_3H_4O)_2^+ + e^-$ photoionization transition. This strongly suggests that the 376 structure of the dimer is non-covalent in nature. We also note here that possible covalent dimers 377 378 (for example 4-ethylidene-3-methyl-2-oxetanone) or other multimers, eventually present in the sample, would certainly possess a saturation vapor pressure that is too low at room temperature 379 380 in order to be vaporized in our experimental conditions.

381



382

Figure 7. The SPES corresponding to MKE₂ (m/z 112).



- **Figure 8.** Optimized geometries of MKE₂ (a) and its cation (b).
- **Table 4.** Bond distances defining the equilibrium molecular structure of MKE₂ and of its cation
- in their electronic ground states. These parameters are computed at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ
- level of theory. Distances are presented in Å. See Figure 8 for the numbering of the atoms.

	$(C_{3}H_{4}O)_{2}$	$(C_{3}H_{4}O)_{2}^{+}$
O ₁ -C ₁	1.162	1.140
C ₁ -C ₂	1.307	1.342
C ₂ -C ₃	1.502	1.497
C_2 - H_1	1.084	1.087
C_3 - H_2	1.093	1.091
C ₃ -H ₃	1.092	1.094
C ₃ -H ₄	1.089	1.088
O ₂ -C ₄	1.162	1.140
C ₄ -C ₅	1.306	1.342
C ₅ -C ₆	1.502	1.496
C ₆ -H ₅	1.083	1.086
C ₆ -H ₆	1.093	1.092
C ₆ -H ₇	1.090	1.088
C ₆ -H ₈	1.092	1.094
C ₂ -C ₅		2.746
O ₁ -H ₆		2.716
C ₄ -H ₄	2.926	
C ₂ -H ₆	3.036	
C ₁ -C ₅	3.383	

388

To gain a complete understanding of the dimer's VUV photostability, its dissociative ionization 389 requires the implementation of theoretical calculations. The optimized equilibrium molecular 390 structures of the neutral dimer, MKE₂, and its cation, MKE₂⁺, are shown in Figure 8 as 391 computed at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ level. All bond distances are summarized in the Table 4. 392 As can be seen, the structure of MKE₂ undergoes a significant geometry change upon ionization. 393 These changes are at the origin of the unfavorable FC factors causing the shape of the broad 394 unstructured band in the dimer's SPES. This is perfectly consistent with our expectations from 395 the FC principle.⁴⁵ 396

397

398 **3.3.2** Dissociative photoionization of MKE₂ - formation of m/z 58, 57, 55, 54, 27, 26

The abrupt decrease in the SPES signal of the dimer combined with the optimized geometries of its neutral and cationic forms are an indication of a dissociative ionization process. To gain a clearer understanding of the potential products formed through dissociative ionization of the MKE dimer, various fragmentation channels, presented as a scheme in Figure 9, were quantumchemically investigated. Our theoretical and experimental results are presented in Table 5 where values of the respective AEs together with the AIE of MKE₂ are tabulated. Further computational details are given in Table S7 of the SI.

There is a large discrepancy between the measured and the calculated AIE of MKE₂ 406 407 (about 0.9 eV), where the theoretical value is lower than the experimental one by ~0.9 eV. This comes from the large changes, at least, on the intermonomer distances which shrink upon 408 409 ionization. Consequently, we expect unfavorable FC factors for population of the low vibrational bands of the dimer cation. Indeed, upon ionization of neutral van der Waals clusters, 410 the ground state vibrational levels of the ionic cluster are commonly located outside the FC-411 region accessible via direct photoionization of the neutral dimer.⁶² The Ar₂⁺ dimer has been 412 resolved with the SPES method⁶⁸ but a prerequisite is a clear trend in the vibrational envelope 413 which we do not observe in MKE₂ which precludes an experimental determination of the true 414 AIE. 415

The calculations on the MKE₂ fragmentation show that a few different products can be obtained from dissociative ionization of the dimer. One product, corresponding formally to the addition of two H-atoms to the MKE monomer (m/z 58) is expected to form around 9.62 eV. Its elemental formula is C₃H₆O⁺ and the calculated structure is given in Table 5. Another product is m/z 57 and three different isomers corresponding formally to protonated forms of MKE are expected theoretically at 8.78 eV, 10.11 eV, and 11.47 eV. Also m/z 55 can be formed

- 422 from the dissociative photoionization of MKE_2 . In the following we discuss the formation of
- 423 these three ions.

424 **Table 5.** Calculated and measured AIEs of MKE₂ and the AEs of the different fragmentation pathways of the resulting cation (in eV). The * labels

425 correspond to fragments that were also reported in Table 4 as potential fragments from dissociative ionization of the MKE monomer. However,

- 426 our computational results shown here indicate that these fragments are more likely formed from dissociative ionization of the MKE₂ dimer. See
- 427 text for details.
- 428

	Neutral	Ionization		Fragments										
Formula	(C ₃ H ₄ O) ₂	$(C_{3}H_{4}O)_{2}^{+}$	C ₃ H ₆ O ⁺	$C_3H_5O^+$	C ₃ H ₅ O ⁺ _1	C ₃ H ₅ O ⁺ _2	$C_3H_4O^+$	C ₃ H ₄ O ⁺ _1	C ₃ H ₃ O ⁺	$C_3H_2O^+$	$C_2H_3^+$	$C_2H_2^+$		
	••••	- S	and the	2 -4		and the	80-42	yoh	J-{		6- 6 6 0 -6	6-0-0-6		
structure			C ₃ H ₂ O	C ₃ H ₃ O	C ₃ H ₃ O	C ₃ H ₃ O	C ₃ H ₄ O	C ₃ H ₄ O	C ₃ H ₅ O	C ₃ H ₆ O	C ₃ H ₆ O	C ₃ H ₄ O		
	6	3	g	je	••••€	J- Co	م م	J-co	j-fo	à-f ^e	id goo	gige	+C0	+HCO
m/z		112	58	57	57	57	56	56	55	54*	27*	26*		
AIE or														
AE		7.87	9.62	8.78	10.11	11.47	10.20	11.41	10.28	12.18	13.05	13.01		
Calc.														
AIE or AE Exptl.		8.75 ± 0.05	9.718 ± 0.05	<9.0	10.10 ± 0.05	11.35 ± 0.05	10.20± 0.05	11.35 ± 0.05	10.30 ± 0.05	12.3 ± 0.1	13.1 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 0.1		



430

Figure 9. Fragmentation pathways of the dimer cation MKE_2^+ considered for the quantumchemical calculations.

433

The SPES associated with m/z 57 and m/z 58 ions are displayed in Figure 10. As 434 expected, a slight contribution of the ¹³C isotopomer signal of the MKE monomer is observed 435 in the m/z 57 SPES which is presented as a dotted black line in Figure 10a. With step sizes of 436 10 meV in the energy scan, we can safely make the assumption that any decipherable isotopic 437 shift due to ¹³C is minimal and we can use the SPES of the monomer at m/z 56 to subtract the 438 ¹³C signal from the total m/z 57 signal, yielding the filtered signal corresponding to the 439 protonated parent only. This is presented as a solid red line in Figure 10a. This filtered signal 440 441 still exhibits some weak signals around and below 9.0 eV which may be an indicator of the formation of the m/z 57 isomer predicted with AE = 8.78 eV, namely, the propencyl cation (see 442 Table 5). 443

In the SPES of the m/z 57 ion three bands are observed peaking at approximately 9.7, 10.6, and 11.9 eV. The calculations predict cyclopropanol-1-yl to appear at AE = 10.11 eV which agrees with the SPES since this is the onset of the second broad band that extends up to

approximately 11.35 eV. Furthermore, the calculations predict the appearance of propanal-2-yl 447 at AE = 11.47 eV, which is also in good agreement with the recorded SPES since this is the 448 origin of the third broad band observed in the SPES. The first broad band, occurring between 449 9.5 eV and 10.0 eV, can be explained by the following considerations: Its onset is unlikely to 450 belong to the propanoyl radical (AE = 8.78 eV), but we note that the first band in the filtered 451 m/z 57 SPES is quite similarly shaped as the second one that had been assigned to the formation 452 of cyclopropanol-1-yl cation above, only less intense. It is therefore quite likely that first band 453 peaking at 9.7 eV corresponds to the same isomeric cation, only originating from a larger cluster 454 455 than the dimer. It is quite possible that this band corresponds to the formation of ionized cyclopropanol-1-yl from the dissociative ionization of the trimer, whose signal abruptly cuts 456 457 off at 9.5 eV (see Figure S3 in the SI). No quantum calculations were carried out for the trimer, so a computational verification of this awaits later work. 458 459



460

Figure 10. SPES of the (a) m/z 57 and (b) m/z 58 fragments formed by dissociation photoionization of the MKE dimer are presented in red. In panel (a), the m/z 57 signal which includes both the ¹³C isotopomer signal of the MKE parent and the protonated MKE parent is displayed with the dotted black line. See text for details.

465

Figure 10b presents the SPES of m/z 58. There is a sharp band peaking at 9.72 ± 0.05 eV. With the help of the calculations it can be assigned nicely to the formation of ionized (E)-1-propenol, C₃H₆O⁺ predicted to appear at AE = 9.62 eV from the dissociative photoionization of MKE₂.

From the optimized structure of the neutral dimer and the fragments formed by dissociative ionization we can infer a few potential mechanisms: The optimized geometry of the m/z 58 fragment implies a mechanism akin to H₂ addition over the C=O double bond. The structure corresponds to ionized (E)-1-propenol and a likely formation mechanism might involve the H2 and H4 atoms in Figure 8a dissociating from C3 in the upper neutral monomer and form covalent bonds with the O2 and C4 atoms in the monomer below. We suggest this 476 mechanism because the location of the carbonyl group in the upper monomer is too far apart
477 from the closest-located H-atoms in the monomer below, to allow for a facile double H-atom
478 addition.

For the three m/z 57 isomers predicted by the calculations we observe two of them 479 definitively in the recorded SPES. The strongest feature in the SPES has been assigned to the 480 formation of the cyclopropanol-1-yl cation. From the optimized geometries in Figure 8a, the 481 likeliest formation mechanism would involve a ring-closing between C4 and C6 in the lower 482 monomer while the O2 atom abstracts the H2 atom from the upper monomer. The other m/z 57 483 isomer we observe in the SPES, namely propanal-2-yl cation, requires the abstraction of an H-484 atom by the carbonyl C-atom. This is most likely easily facilitated in the bottom monomer of 485 486 the neutral where the C4 atom abstracts the H4 atom from the upper monomer.

The ion observed at m/z 55 that is formed from the monomer MKE⁺ by H loss reaction 487 (see above) can also be formed by dissociative photoionization of MKE₂. Contrary to the AE 488 calculation for the MKE + $h\nu \rightarrow H + C_3H_3O^+$ reaction (see Table 3) in the calculations for the 489 $MKE_2 + h\nu \rightarrow C_3H_5O + C_3H_3O^+$ reaction, two isomers for the neutral fragment C_3H_3O have to 490 be considered giving rise to two different AE values, at 9.06 eV and 10.28 eV respectively (see 491 Table 5). As mentioned above and shown in Figure 4, there was some weak structure observed 492 in the SPES of m/z 55, with an experimentally observed onset found at AE $a = 10.30 \pm 0.05$ eV. 493 This threshold could not be explained by dissociative ionization of the MKE monomer. Our 494 calculations, however, provide us with an explanation of this spectral feature since AE a (m/z495 496 55) coincides nicely with the formation of the ionized propenoyl radical according to MKE_2 + $h\nu \rightarrow C_3H_5O + C_3H_3O^+$, calculated to appear at $AE_{calc} = 10.28 \text{ eV}$. 497

Finally, the m/z 54, 27 and 26 experimental appearance energies match the theoretical values for the dissociative ionization and subsequent fragmentation of the MKE dimer rather than the monomer.

501

502 **3.2.3 Dissociative photoionization of the MKE dimer** - *m/z* **56**

503 Unimolecular dissociation of the MKE dimer is also expected to result in fragments with 504 the same elemental formula as the monomer, i.e. $C_3H_4O^+$. Indeed, when the entire *m/z* 56 peak 505 from Figure 1 was treated, some curious broad unresolved signals appeared at energies above 506 the ground state, between 10 and 12.5 eV (labelled AE_A and AE_B, respectively in Figure 507 11). Prior PES measurements show that the first excited state of the cation does not appear until 508 13.3 eV.¹⁶ Our computations at the MRCI/aVTZ level verify this as they find that the first excited state for the MKE⁺ ion is located 4.6 eV above neutral MKE ground state (See Table
S8). This means that other ways had to be found to successfully disentangle the SPES of the
MKE parent ion for photon energies in the 9.5-13.3 eV Franck-Condon gap.

The m/z 56 peak undergoes a significant broadening at higher energies (see Figure S4) and hence we derived a SPES analyzing just the center of the mass peak as well as the total mass peak. The results of this treatment are presented in Figure 11. We find that the difference between the two treatments left the broad signals (AE_A and AE_B) untouched while the SPES of the monomer ground state was successfully filtered away (cf. black line in the upper panel of Fig. 11). The same treatment was applied to the total ion yield (TIY) of the m/z 56 signal to obtain the TIY curves of MKE individually and the other C₃H₄O fragments (Figure S5).





Figure 11. Lower panel: SPES of the entire m/z 56 mass peak (cf. Figure 1a; blue line). Upper panel: SPES of the center of the m/z 56 mass peak (red line). Difference spectrum of both treatments (black line). For further explanations, see text. The pattern observed in the lower pattern could correspond to the formation of isomers of the parent ions from the noncovalent dimer (See Table 5). Two onsets (AE_A and AE_B) are indicated. For further explanations, see text.

527

520

To assign the broad bands of the difference spectrum we performed additional quantum calculations to account for the dissociation of the dimer back into other isomers of $C_3H_4O^+$. The results of these calculations are presented in Table S5. The calculations predict AEs of two isomers, namely the ionized CH_2CH_2CO biradical, at AE = 10.20 eV, and ionized hydroxypropadiene ($CH_2=C=CHOH$), at AE = 11.41 eV. The appearance energies calculated for the formation of these two $C_3H_4O^+$ isomers coincide very well with the origin of the broad bands of the difference spectrum presented in Figure 11, at approximately 10.20 eV and 11.35 eV. Again, the calculations complement the experiment very well to account for the broad signals observed in the *m/z* 56 SPES.

537

538 4. ASTROCHEMICAL IMPLICATIONS

The simplest molecule to contain a CCO frame, namely ketene, was detected in Sgr B2 with the NRAO 11-m telescope in a dense region within the Sgr B2 cloud but with relatively low column densities, i.e. around 10^{14} cm⁻².¹⁵ Ketene is the most stable molecular form of C₂H₂O isomers and the only such isomer to have been detected in the interstellar medium.⁶⁹ In terms of such thermochemistry considerations, recent results may provide some insight which is complemented here by our results. Indeed, our results may hold some significant astrochemical implications regarding the potential presence and fate of MKE in the ISM.

As mentioned in the introduction, MKE's isomer propenal has been detected in Sagittarius B2 and TMC-1 along with propanal (C_3H_6O) .⁷⁰ In the case of propenal, the observations implied a very low temperature (a few K) which precluded an estimate for column densities. Later observations of propenal in the galactic center, however, found abundances around 10⁻⁹ with respect to H₂.⁷¹

The most stable form of propenal is 2.8 kJ/mol more stable than MKE and based on this reasoning, MKE was a viable candidate for detection in space.¹⁹ However, MKE could not be definitely detected in various interstellar regions, but upper limits to its column densities were obtained for dark clouds in different evolutionary stages such as high-mass star-forming regions or cold dark clouds. The largest value of the upper limit of MKE abundance was found in Sgr B2 where values of $(100 \pm 50) \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻² were inferred. This upper limit is two orders of magnitude larger than the column density of ketene in Sgr B2.

This line of thinking, i.e. letting thermodynamic principles guide the search for interstellar molecules has had some successful precedence recently with C_3H_2O structural isomers, however.⁷² Thermodynamically, the most stable form of C_3H_2O is found to be propadienone (CH₂CCO – also including the same CCO frame as MKE) but it has continued to elude observations.^{73,74} By utilizing quantum chemical calculations it was found that its reaction with a H-atom is barrierless and thus it is now predicted that propadienone undergoes subsequent H-atom additions on grain surfaces to give rise to propenal.⁷² whose presence in Sgr
B2 is well established.

In comparison with the non-detection of MKE, it is possible that if H-atom addition to MKE is barrierless as well, then this could be a contributing factor for why MKE has eluded detection so far. Thus prior to desorption from the grain mantle, MKE may react without barriers with two H atoms to form a C_3H_6O isomer - either propanal or 1-propenol (CH₃CHCHOH). 1-propenol exists in two different conformers, namely (E)- and (Z)-1propenol, neither of which has been detected in space, while the presence of propanal, however, is well documented in Sgr B2.⁷⁰ For absolute confirmation, this awaits future work.

Our results, however, give way to another potential scenario for why MKE has eluded 573 detection so far. The ionization energy of MKE is below the Lyman- α limit and hence it is 574 possible that ionization by interstellar VUV radiation depletes the column densities of neutral 575 MKE molecules in these molecular clouds to an extent that they are too small for a definitive 576 577 detection. We find that the lowest threshold for dissociative ionization is 10.5 eV which gives rise to $C_2H_4^+$ and CO fragments. However, this fragmentation channel does not seem to be very 578 579 intense and other fragmentation channels (e.g. H-loss) could only be reached at higher photon energies. Above 12 eV a wealth of smaller organics can be formed; many of which have already 580 581 been detected in molecular clouds, but for that reason, their detections cannot be used as any kinds of benchmarks to infer the presence of MKE. 582

In summary, we present two potentially contributing scenarios for why MKE has not been successfully detected in the ISM. (i) It is hydrogenated prior to desorption and accounting for an increase in the abundance of either propanal or the potential existence of interstellar 1propenol. Alternatively, (ii) it is ionized by cosmic ray induced photons to such an extent that its (perhaps already small) abundance is further depleted.

Regarding the potential dust-grain formation of MKE, it is widely regarded that formation of COMs in Sgr B2 mostly takes place on dust grains and that two-body gas phase reactions are of limited importance.⁷⁰ MKE has already been detected as the product of ice mixtures such as C_3H_6 and CO_2 which have undergone VUV irradiation to induce ice chemistry²⁰ but definitive formation mechanisms still remain elusive. If solid-state MKE formation is sufficiently efficient on dust grains in Sgr B2 (or other interstellar regions), then one remaining question is whether its desorption mechanism is efficient.

In the laboratory ice study where the formation of MKE was tentatively observed, Hudson *et al.*²⁰ based their assignments on prior experience with ketene formation in similar ice experiments.⁷⁵ Therein, a weak IR feature at 2113 cm⁻¹ was observed to stay in the spectra upon heating the ices up to 150 K. With the spectral feature remaining during this warm-up
phase might indicate that MKE may not desorb as efficiently from interstellar ices than other
organic species in star-forming regions such as Sgr B2.

The question then remains whether the formation yields are high enough that photodesorption of interstellar ice grains containing MKE is sufficient to seed interstellar space with enough MKE for a positive detection. In any case, an active search for the MKE⁺ cation, which is reasonably stable according to our study, and the (E)- and (Z)-isomers of 1-propenol, could be a worthwhile future mission to further understand the interstellar chemistry of C_3H_4O isomers.

607

608 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we studied the single-photon ionization of gas-phase MKE and its dimer by means 609 of VUV synchrotron radiation from 8.7 up to 15.5 eV. In terms of the recorded SPES of MKE, 610 our results here present a significant improvement from previous photoelectron spectra of 611 MKE¹⁶ and can be used for purposes of isomeric disentanglement of the products of interstellar 612 ice analogs.²¹ Furthermore, the FC calculated spectrum of MKE reproduced the recorded SPES 613 very well. Measured ionization and dissociative ionization thresholds have been theoretically 614 reproduced using CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVTZ-F12 calculations in combination with the CV, SR 615 and ZPE corrections, as suggested in the literature. This methodology has been used earlier with 616 some significant success in recent years.^{23–25} This allowed the assignment of experimentally 617 observed masses to the formation of several products of dissociative photoionization of the 618 MKE monomer and dimer. Moreover, we show that photoionization of the van-der Waals dimer 619 MKE₂ gives rise to intramolecular isomerization, H transfer and subsequent unimolecular 620 fragmentation processes, as evidenced by the SPES of fragments corresponding to the addition 621 622 of one and two H-atoms to the MKE monomer preceding dissociation of dimer. More specifically, the non-covalent character of the dimer is confirmed as its contribution to the 623 formation of MKE fragments could not be explained by solely investigating the dissociative 624 ionization of the monomer. This was demonstrated by theoretical calculations and was key in 625 unravelling the fragmentation patterns observed in our experiment. 626

In summary, our work showcases the synergy of theoretical and experimental work required to elucidate the photo-induced behavior of MKE and particularly the complications wrought by the dissociative ionization of its dimer. The measured mass spectra, SPES and appearance energies of the products were interpreted with the help of *ab initio* and DFT

28

quantum chemical calculations which highlight the important role theory can play wheninterpreting experimental data.

Finally, we discuss the potential formation and eventual fates of neutral and ionized MKE in interstellar media and provide suggestions for future molecular targets of observation campaigns to gain a deeper understanding of the chemistry of C_3H_4O isomers in space.

636

637 **Conflicts of interest**

- 638 There are no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 639

640 Acknowledgements

641 This work was supported by the French Programme National Physique et Chimie du Milieu

642 *Interstellaire* (PCMI) of CNRS/INSU with INC/INP co-funded by CEA and CNES. We are

643 grateful to the whole SOLEIL staff for provisions of synchrotron radiation under proposal

number 20170203, as well to J.-F. Gil for his technical assistance on the SAPHIRS endstation.

645 We thank Gustavo Garcia for precious help during the measurement campaigns. H.R.H. is

grateful for support from the Marie Sklodowska Curie actions, proposal ID: 838372. J.-C. G.

thanks the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) for financial support.

648	Ref	erences:
649	(1)	Öherg K I Photochemistry and Astrochemistry: Photochemical Pathways to Interstellar
650	(±)	Complex Organic Molecules Chem Rev 2016 116 (17) 9631–9663
651		https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrey.5b00694
652	(2)	Linnartz, H.: Jonnolo, S.: Fedoseev, G. Atom Addition Reactions in Interstellar Ice Analogues
653	(2)	International Reviews in Physical Chemistry 2015 34 (2) 205–237
654		https://doi.org/10.1090/01//225X.2015.10/6670
655	(2)	Herbst E : van Disboeck E E Compley Organic Interstellar Molecules. In Annual Peview of
656	(3)	Astronomy and Astronousics: Plandford, P., Kormondy, J. van Disboosk, E., Eds.: Annual
657		Reviews: Palo Alto, 2009; Vol. 47, pp 427–480.
658	(4)	McGuire, B. A. 2018 Census of Interstellar, Circumstellar, Extragalactic, Protoplanetary Disk, and
659	. ,	Exoplanetary Molecules. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 2018, 239 (2), 17.
660		https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aae5d2.
661	(5)	Paardekooper, D. M.: Bossa, JB.: Linnartz, H. Laser Desorption Time-of-Flight Mass
662	(0)	Spectrometry of Vacuum UV Photo-Processed Methanol Ice. A&A 2016 , 592, A67.
663		https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527937.
664	(6)	Paardekooper, D. M.: Bossa, JB.: Isokoski, K.: Linnartz, H. Laser Desorption Time-of-Flight Mass
665	(-)	Spectrometry of Ultraviolet Photo-Processed Ices. <i>Review of Scientific Instruments</i> 2014 . 85
666		(10), 104501, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4896754.
667	(7)	Bertin, M.: Favolle, F. C.: Romanzin, C.: Poderoso, H. A. M.: Michaut, X.: Philippe, L.: Jeseck, P.:
668	(1)	Oeberg, K. L.: Linnartz, H.: Fillion, LH. Indirect Ultraviolet Photodesorption from CO:N-2 Binary
669		Ices - An Efficient Grain-Gas Process. Astrophysical Journal 2013 , 779 (2).
670		https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/120.
671	(8)	Öberg, K. I.: Garrod, R. T.: van Dishoeck, E. F.: Linnartz, H. Formation Rates of Complex Organics
672	(-)	in UV Irradiated CH3OH-Rich Ices I. Experiments. Astronomy & Astrophysics 2009, 504 (3), 891-
673		U28. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912559.
674	(9)	Potapov, A.; Canosa, A.; Jimenez, E.; Rowe, B. Uniform Supersonic Chemical Reactors: 30 Years
675		of Astrochemical History and Future Challenges. Angew. ChemInt. Edit. 2017, 56 (30), 8618-
676		8640. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201611240.
677	(10)	Cooke, I. R.; Sims, I. R. Experimental Studies of Gas-Phase Reactivity in Relation to Complex
678		Organic Molecules in Star-Forming Regions. ACS Earth Space Chem. 2019, 3 (7), 1109–1134.
679		https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00064.
680	(11)	McGuire, B. A.; Burkhardt, A. M.; Kalenskii, S.; Shingledecker, C. N.; Remijan, A. J.; Herbst, E.;
681		McCarthy, M. C. Detection of the Aromatic Molecule Benzonitrile (c-C ₆ H ₅ CN) in the Interstellar
682		Medium. Science 2018, 359 (6372), 202–205. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4890.
683	(12)	Linnartz, H.; Cami, J.; Cordiner, M.; Cox, N. L. J.; Ehrenfreund, P.; Foing, B.; Gatchell, M.; Scheier,
684		P. C ₆₀ ⁺ as a Diffuse Interstellar Band Carrier; a Spectroscopic Story in 6 Acts. Journal of
685		Molecular Spectroscopy 2020 , 367, 111243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2019.111243.
686	(13)	Taniguchi, K.; Miyamoto, Y.; Saito, M.; Sanhueza, P.; Shimoikura, T.; Dobashi, K.; Nakamura, F.;
687		Ozeki, H. Interferometric Observations of Cyanopolyynes toward the G28.28–0.36 High-Mass
688		Star-Forming Region. <i>ApJ</i> 2018 , <i>866</i> (1), 32. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aadd0c.
689	(14)	Cordiner, M. A.; Charnley, S. B.; Kisiel, Z.; McGuire, B. A.; Kuan, YJ. Deep K-Band Observations
690		of TMC-1 with the Green Bank Telescope: Detection of HC_7O , Non-Detection of $HC_{11}N$, and a
691		Search for New Organic Molecules. ApJ 2017, 850 (2), 187. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-
692		4357/aa970c.
693	(15)	Turner, B. E. Microwave Detection of Interstellar Ketene. ApJ 1977, 213, L75.
694		https://doi.org/10.1086/182413.
695	(16)	Bock, H.; Hirabayashi, T.; Mohmand, S. Gas-Phase Reactions .21. Thermal Generation of
696		Alkylketenes and Halogenketenes. Chem. BerRecl. 1981, 114 (7), 2595–2608.
697		https://doi.org/10.1002/cber.19811140722.

- (17) Mckee, M.; Radom, L. Structures and Stabilities of C₃H₄O⁺. Isomers a G2 Theoretical-Study.
 Org. Mass Spectrom. **1993**, *28* (10), 1238–1244. https://doi.org/10.1002/oms.1210281040.
- (18) Winther, F.; Meyer, S.; Nicolaisen, F. M. The Infrared Spectrum of Methylketene. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2002**, *611* (1–3), 9–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2860(02)00006-6.
- 702 (19) Bermúdez, C.; Tercero, B.; Motiyenko, R. A.; Margulès, L.; Cernicharo, J.; Ellinger, Y.; Guillemin,
 703 J.-C. The Millimeter-Wave Spectrum of Methyl Ketene and the Astronomical Search for It. *A&A*704 **2018**, *619*, A92. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833267.
- (20) Hudson, R. L.; Loeffler, M. J.; Yocum, K. M. Laboratory Investigations into the Spectra and Origin
 of Propylene Oxide: A Chiral Interstellar Molecule. *ApJ* 2017, *835* (2), 225.
 https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/2/225.
- Abplanalp, M. J.; Foerstel, M.; Kaiser, R. I. Exploiting Single Photon Vacuum Ultraviolet
 Photoionization to Unravel the Synthesis of Complex Organic Molecules in Interstellar Ices.
 Chem. Phys. Lett. 2016, 644, 79–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2015.11.029.
- (22) Goulay, F.; Trevitt, A. J.; Savee, J. D.; Bouwman, J.; Osborn, D. L.; Taatjes, C. A.; Wilson, K. R.;
 Leone, S. R. Product Detection of the CH Radical Reaction with Acetaldehyde. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116* (24), 6091–6106. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp2113126.
- (23) Bellili, A.; Schwell, M.; Bénilan, Y.; Fray, N.; Gazeau, M.-C.; Mogren Al-Mogren, M.; Guillemin, J.C.; Poisson, L.; Hochlaf, M. VUV Photoionization and Dissociative Photoionization Spectroscopy
 of the Interstellar Molecule Aminoacetonitrile: Theory and Experiment. *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* 2015, *315*, 196–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2015.05.008.
- (24) Bellili, A.; Schwell, M.; Bénilan, Y.; Fray, N.; Gazeau, M.-C.; Mogren Al-Mogren, M.; Guillemin, J.C.; Poisson, L.; Hochlaf, M. VUV Photoionization and Dissociative Photoionization of the
 Prebiotic Molecule Acetyl Cyanide: Theory and Experiment. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *141* (13),
 134311. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4896987.
- (25) Bellili, A.; Gouid, Z.; Gazeau, M. C.; Bénilan, Y.; Fray, N.; Guillemin, J. C.; Hochlaf, M.; Schwell, M.
 Single Photon Ionization of Methyl Isocyanide and the Subsequent Unimolecular
 Decomposition of Its Cation: Experiment and Theory. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *21* (47),
 26017–26026. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP04310A.
- (26) Chen, Z.; Lau, K.-C.; Garcia, G. A.; Nahon, L.; Božanić, D. K.; Poisson, L.; Al-Mogren, M. M.;
 Schwell, M.; Francisco, J. S.; Bellili, A.; Hochlaf, M. Identifying Cytosine-Specific Isomers via
 High-Accuracy Single Photon Ionization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138* (51), 16596–16599.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b10413.
- (27) Derbali, I.; Hrodmarsson, H. R.; Gouid, Z.; Schwell, M.; Gazeau, M.-C.; Guillemin, J.-C.; Hochlaf,
 M.; Alikhani, M. E.; Zins, E.-L. Photoionization and Dissociative Photoionization of Propynal in
 the Gas Phase: Theory and Experiment. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 2019, *21* (26),
 14053–14062. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cp06751a.
- Gouid, Z.; Röder, A.; Cunha de Miranda, B. K.; Gaveau, M.-A.; Briant, M.; Soep, B.; Mestdagh, J.M.; Hochlaf, M.; Poisson, L. Energetics and Ionization Dynamics of Two Diarylketone Molecules:
 Benzophenone and Fluorenone. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *21* (26), 14453–14464.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP02385B.
- Majdi, Y.; Hochlaf, M.; Pan, Y.; Lau, K.-C.; Poisson, L.; Garcia, G. A.; Nahon, L.; Al-Mogren, M. M.;
 Schwell, M. Theoretical and Experimental Photoelectron Spectroscopy Characterization of the
 Ground State of Thymine Cation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2015, *119* (23), 5951–5958.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jp510716c.
- (30) Nahon, L.; de Oliveira, N.; Garcia, G. A.; Gil, J.-F.; Pilette, B.; Marcouille, O.; Lagarde, B.; Polack,
 F. DESIRS: A State-of-the-Art VUV Beamline Featuring High Resolution and Variable Polarization
 for Spectroscopy and Dichroism at SOLEIL. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 2012, *19* (4), 508–
 520. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0909049512010588.
- (31) Marcouille, O.; Brunelle, P.; Chubar, O.; Marteau, F.; Massal, M.; Nahon, L.; Tavakoli, K.;
 Veteran, J.; Filhol, J.-M. Design, Construction and Magnetic Measurements of the HU640
 (OPHELIE2) Undulator Dedicated to the DESIRS VUV Beamline at SOLEIL. In Synchrotron
- 749 Radiation Instrumentation Pts 1 & 2; Choi, JY and Rah, S, Ed.; AIP Conference Proceedings;

750 American Institute of Physics: 2 Huntington Quadrangle, Ste 1No1, Melville, NY 11747-4501 751 USA, 2007; Vol. 879, p 311+. (32) Tang, X.; Garcia, G. A.; Gil, J.-F.; Nahon, L. Vacuum Upgrade and Enhanced Performances of the 752 753 Double Imaging Electron/Ion Coincidence End-Station at the Vacuum Ultraviolet Beamline 754 DESIRS. Review of Scientific Instruments 2015, 86 (12). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4937624. 755 (33) Garcia, G. A.; de Miranda, B. K. C.; Tia, M.; Daly, S.; Nahon, L. DELICIOUS III: A Multipurpose Double Imaging Particle Coincidence Spectrometer for Gas Phase Vacuum Ultraviolet 756 757 Photodynamics Studies. Review of Scientific Instruments 2013, 84 (5). 758 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4807751. 759 (34) Garcia, G.; Nahon, L.; Powis, I. Two-Dimensional Charged Particle Image Inversion Using a Polar 760 Basis Function Expansion. Review of Scientific Instruments 2004, 75 (11), 4989–4996. 761 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1807578. (35) Poully, J. C.; Schermann, J. P.; Nieuwjaer, N.; Lecomte, F.; Gregoire, G.; Desfrancois, C.; Garcia, 762 763 G. A.; Nahon, L.; Nandi, D.; Poisson, L.; Hochlaf, M. Photoionization of 2-Pyridone and 2-764 Hydroxypyridine. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2010, 12 (14), 3566-3572. 765 https://doi.org/10.1039/b923630a. 766 (36) Hrodmarsson, H. R.; Garcia, G. A.; Linnartz, H.; Nahon, L. VUV Photoionization Dynamics of the 767 C 60 Buckminsterfullerene: 2D-Matrix Photoelectron Spectroscopy in an Astrophysical Context. 768 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2020, 22, 13880-13892. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP01210F. (37) Hrodmarsson, H. R.; Garcia, G. A.; Nahon, L.; Loison, J.-C.; Gans, B. Threshold Photoelectron 769 770 Spectrum of the Anilino Radical. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2019, 123 (42), 9193–9198. 771 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.9b07273. 772 (38) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward Reliable Density Functional Methods without Adjustable 773 Parameters: The PBE0 Model. J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 110 (13), 6158–6170. 774 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.478522. 775 (39) Dunning, T. Gaussian-Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations .1. the Atoms 776 Boron Through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90 (2), 1007–1023. 777 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.456153. 778 (40) Kendall, R.; Dunning, T.; Harrison, R. Electron-Affinities of the 1st-Row Atoms Revisited -Systematic Basis-Sets and Wave-Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96 (9), 6796–6806. 779 780 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.462569. 781 (41) Mills, I. M. Potential Energy Surfaces and Vibrational Anharmonicity. In Recent Experimental 782 and Computational Advances in Molecular Spectroscopy; Fausto, R., Ed.; NATO ASI Series; 783 Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 1993; pp 79–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1974-784 0 5. (42) Aliev, M. R.; Watson, J. K. G. Chapter 1 - Higher-Order Effects in the Vibration-Rotation Spectra 785 786 of Semirigid Molecules. In Molecular Spectroscopy: Modern Research; Rao, K. N., Ed.; Academic 787 Press, 1985; pp 1–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-580643-5.50006-3. (43) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; 788 789 Nakatsuji, H. Gaussian 09, Revision E.01; Gaussian Inc. Wallingford, 2009. 790 (44) Lax, M. The Franck-Condon Principle and Its Application to Crystals. J. Chem. Phys. 1952, 20 791 (11), 1752–1760. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1700283. 792 (45) Barone, V.; Bloino, J.; Biczysko, M. Vibrationally-Resolved Electronic Spectra in GAUSSIAN 09. 793 20. 794 (46) Bloino, J.; Biczysko, M.; Santoro, F.; Barone, V. General Approach to Compute Vibrationally 795 Resolved One-Photon Electronic Spectra. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2010, 6 (4), 1256–1274. 796 https://doi.org/10.1021/ct9006772. 797 (47) Barone, V.; Bloino, J.; Biczysko, M.; Santoro, F. Fully Integrated Approach to Compute 798 Vibrationally Resolved Optical Spectra: From Small Molecules to Macrosystems. J. Chem. 799 Theory Comput. 2009, 5 (3), 540–554. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct8004744.

- 800 (48) Bloino, J.; Biczysko, M.; Crescenzi, O.; Barone, V. Integrated Computational Approach to
 801 Vibrationally Resolved Electronic Spectra: Anisole as a Test Case. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128 (24),
 802 244105. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2943140.
- 803 (49) Bloino, J.; Baiardi, A.; Biczysko, M. Aiming at an Accurate Prediction of Vibrational and
 804 Electronic Spectra for Medium-to-Large Molecules: An Overview. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 2016,
 805 *116* (21), 1543–1574. https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.25188.
- 806 (50) Adler, T. B.; Knizia, G.; Werner, H.-J. A Simple and Efficient CCSD(T)-F12 Approximation. *J. Chem.* 807 *Phys.* 2007, *127* (22), 221106. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2817618.
- Knizia, G.; Adler, T. B.; Werner, H.-J. Simplified CCSD(T)-F12 Methods: Theory and Benchmarks.
 J. Chem. Phys. 2009, *130* (5), 054104. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3054300.
- (52) Adler, T. B.; Werner, H.-J.; Manby, F. R. Local Explicitly Correlated Second-Order Perturbation
 Theory for the Accurate Treatment of Large Molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 130 (5), 054106.
 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3040174.
- (53) Adler, T. B.; Werner, H.-J. Local Explicitly Correlated Coupled-Cluster Methods: Efficient
 Removal of the Basis Set Incompleteness and Domain Errors. J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 130 (24),
 241101. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3160675.
- Koehn, A.; Tew, D. P. Explicitly Correlated Coupled-Cluster Theory Using Cusp Conditions. I.
 Perturbation Analysis of Coupled-Cluster Singles and Doubles (CCSD-F12). J. Chem. Phys. 2010,
 133 (17), 174117. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3496372.
- 819 (55) Werner, H.-J.; Knowles, P. J.; Knizia, G.; Manby, F. R.; Schütz, M.; Celani, P.; Györffy, W.; Kats, 820 D.; Korona, T.; Lindh, R.; Mitrushenkov, A.; Rauhut, G.; Shamasundar, K. R.; Adler, T. B.; Amos, 821 R. D.; Bennie, S. J.; Bernhardsson, A.; Berning, A.; Cooper, D. L.; Deegan, M. J. O.; Dobbyn, A. J.; 822 Eckert, F.; Goll, E.; Hampel, C.; Hesselmann, A.; Hetzer, G.; Hrenar, T.; Jansen, G.; Köppl, C.; Lee, 823 S. J. R.; Liu, Y.; Lloyd, A. W.; Ma, Q.; Mata, R. A.; May, A. J.; McNicholas, S. J.; Meyer, W.; III, T. F. 824 M.; Mura, M. E.; Nicklass, A.; O'Neill, D. P.; Palmieri, P.; Peng, D.; Pflüger, K.; Pitzer, R.; Reiher, 825 M.; Shiozaki, T.; Stoll, H.; Stone, A. J.; Tarroni, R.; Thorsteinsson, T.; Wang, M.; Welborn, M. 826 MOLPRO, Version 2019.2, a Package of Ab Initio Programs; Cardiff, UK, 2019.
- 827 (56) Peterson, K. A.; Adler, T. B.; Werner, H.-J. Systematically Convergent Basis Sets for Explicitly
 828 Correlated Wavefunctions: The Atoms H, He, B–Ne, and Al–Ar. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128 (8),
 829 084102. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2831537.
- (57) Peterson, K. A.; Dunning, T. H. Accurate Correlation Consistent Basis Sets for Molecular CoreValence Correlation Effects: The Second Row Atoms Al-Ar, and the First Row Atoms B-Ne
 Revisited. J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 117 (23), 10548–10560. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1520138.
- (58) de Jong, W. A.; Harrison, R. J.; Dixon, D. A. Parallel Douglas-Kroll Energy and Gradients in
 NWChem: Estimating Scalar Relativistic Effects Using Douglas-Kroll Contracted Basis Sets. J. *Chem. Phys.* 2001, 114 (1), 48–53. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1329891.
- (59) Watts, J. D.; Gauss, J.; Bartlett, R. J. Coupled-cluster Methods with Noniterative Triple
 Excitations for Restricted Open-shell Hartree–Fock and Other General Single Determinant
 Reference Functions. Energies and Analytical Gradients. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, *98* (11), 8718–
 8733. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464480.
- (60) Douglas, M.; Kroll, N. M. Quantum Electrodynamical Corrections to the Fine Structure of
 Helium. Annals of Physics 1974, 82 (1), 89–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(74)903339.
- 843 (61) Jansen, G.; Hess, B. A. Revision of the Douglas-Kroll Transformation. *Phys. Rev. A* 1989, *39* (11),
 844 6016–6017. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.39.6016.
- (62) Hochlaf, M. Advances in Spectroscopy and Dynamics of Small and Medium Sized Molecules and
 Clusters. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, *19* (32), 21236–21261.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP01980G.
- (63) Jonkman, H. T.; Even, U.; Kommandeur, J. Clusters of Organic Molecules in a Supersonic Jet
 Expansion. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89 (20), 4240–4243. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100266a018.

850	(64)	Bak, B.; Christiansen, J. J.; Kunstmann, K.; Nygaard, L.; Rastrup-Andersen, J. Microwave
851		Spectrum, Molecular Structure, Barrier to Internal Rotation, and Dipole Moment of
852		Methylketene. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1966 , <i>45</i> (3), 883–887. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1727700.
853	(65)	Mahjoub, A.; Hochlaf, M.; Poisson, L.; Nieuwjaer, N.; Lecomte, F.; Schermann, JP.; Grégoire,
854		G.; Manil, B.; Garcia, G. A.; Nahon, L. Slow Photoelectron Spectroscopy of δ -Valerolactam and
855		Its Dimer. ChemPhysChem 2011, 12 (10), 1822–1832. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201100090.
856	(66)	Mahjoub, A.; Hochlaf, M.; Garcia, G. A.; Nahon, L.; Poisson, L. State-Selected Unimolecular
857		Decomposition of δ -Valerolactam ⁺ and δ -Valerolactam ₂ ⁺ Cations: Theory and Experiment. J.
858		Phys. Chem. A 2012, 116 (34), 8706–8712. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp3056976.
859	(67)	Guan, J.; Hu, Y.; Zou, H.; Cao, L.; Liu, F.; Shan, X.; Sheng, L. Competitive Fragmentation Pathways
860		of Acetic Acid Dimer Explored by Synchrotron VUV Photoionization Mass Spectrometry and
861		Electronic Structure Calculations. J. Chem. Phys. 2012, 137 (12), 124308.
862		https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4754273.
863	(68)	Briant, M.; Poisson, L.; Hochlaf, M.; de Pujo, P.; Gaveau, MA.; Soep, B. Ar 2 Photoelectron
864		Spectroscopy Mediated by Autoionizing States. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2012, 109 (19), 193401.
865		https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.193401.
866	(69)	Etim, E. E.; Gorai, P.; Das, A.; Arunan, E. Theoretical Investigation of Interstellar C–C–O and C–
867		O–C Bonding Backbone Molecules. Astrophys Space Sci 2018, 363 (1), 6.
868		https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-017-3226-5.
869	(70)	Hollis, J. M.; Jewell, P. R.; Lovas, F. J.; Remijan, A.; Møllendal, H. Green Bank Telescope
870		Detection of New Interstellar Aldehydes: Propenal and Propanal. ApJ 2004, 610 (1), L21–L24.
871		https://doi.org/10.1086/423200.
872	(71)	Requena-Torres, M. A.; Martin-Pintado, J.; Martin, S.; Morris, M. R. The Galactic Center: The
873		Largest Oxygen-bearing Organic Molecule Repository. ApJ 2008, 672 (1), 352–360.
874		https://doi.org/10.1086/523627.
875	(72)	Shingledecker, C. N.; Álvarez-Barcia, S.; Korn, V. H.; Kästner, J. The Case of H₂C₃O Isomers,
876		Revisited: Solving the Mystery of the Missing Propadienone. ApJ 2019, 878 (2), 80.
877		https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1d4a.
878	(73)	Loomis, R. A.; McGuire, B. A.; Shingledecker, C.; Johnson, C. H.; Blair, S.; Robertson, A.; Remijan,
879		A. J. Investigating the Minimum Energy Principle in Searches for New Molecular Species—The
880		Case of H ₂ C ₃ O Isomers. <i>ApJ</i> 2015 , <i>799</i> (1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/799/1/34.
881	(74)	Loison, JC.; Agúndez, M.; Marcelino, N.; Wakelam, V.; Hickson, K. M.; Cernicharo, J.; Gerin, M.;
882		Roueff, E.; Guélin, M. The Interstellar Chemistry of H ₂ C ₃ O Isomers. <i>Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.</i>
883		2016 , 456 (4), 4101–4110. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2866.
884	(75)	Hudson, R. L.; Loeffler, M. J. Ketene Formation in Interstellar Ices: A Laboratory Study. ApJ
885		2013 , 773 (2), 109. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/109.
886		



Time-of-flight mass spectrum (TOF-MS) of MKE, its dimer, and its trimer, photoionized at hv = 12.5 eV.

336x199mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Time-of-flight mass spectrum (TOF-MS) of MKE and the different fragments formed by photoionization at hv = 15.5 eV.





Experimental (red) and theoretical (black) SPES of the MKE parent ion (m/z 56). The SPES is obtained by using $eKE_{max} = 100$ meV when diagonally projecting the 2D-PES matrix. The experimental adiabatic ionization energy (AIE) is taken as the median of the 0_0^0 band which is located at 8.937 eV. The assignment of the vibrational progression is discussed in the text. The calculated curve has been shifted upwards in energy by 20 meV to coincide with the experimental results.



Main geometrical parameters of the ground of neutral MKE and its cation. Distances are given in Å (right panels) and angles are given in degrees (left panels). These geometries are computed at the (R)CCSD(T)- F12 / cc-pVTZ-F12 level.



SPES of two fragments formed by dissociative photoionization of MKE. The m/z 55 fragment ion is shown in black and corresponds to H-loss from the parent cation. The m/z 28 fragment ion is shown in red and corresponds to CO loss from the parent cation. In both the m/z 55 and m/z 28 SPES we observed respectively two appearance energies, AE_a and AE_b, for two fragments, a and b, having the same mass. The ranges of the four AEs are indicated as estimated by the experimental accuracy. See text for further details.

363x188mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Optimized structures of two isomers of $C_2H_2^+$ calculated at the (R)CCSD(T)-F12 / cc-pVTZ-F12 level. Theoretical AEs are indicated. See text for more details.



Dissociative ionziation fragmentation pathways of the MKE cation considered in the quantum-chemical calculations and relative energies (ordinate) compared to the neutral MKE. The abscissa corresponds to descending m/z values of the formed cationic fragments.



363x187mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Optimized geometries of MKE_2 (a) and its cation (b).



Fragmentation pathways of the dimer cation MKE_2^+ considered for the quantum-chemical calculations.



SPES of the m/z 57 fragment formed by dissociation photoionization of the MKE dimer is presented in red. The m/z 57 signal which includes both the ¹³C isotopomer signal of the MKE parent and the protonated MKE parent is displayed with the dotted black line.



SPES of the m/z 58 fragment formed by dissociation photoionization of the MKE dimer is presented in red.



Lower panel: SPES of the entire m/z 56 mass peak (cf. Figure 1a; blue line). Upper panel: SPES of the center of the m/z 56 mass peak (red line). Difference spectrum of both treatments (black line). For further explanations, see text. The pattern observed in the lower pattern could correspond to the formation of isomers of the parent ions from the noncovalent dimer (See Table 5). Two onsets (AE_A and AE_B) are indicated.

Supporting Information:

Unimolecular Decomposition of Methylketene and its Dimer in the Gas Phase: Theory and Experiment

Imene Derbali, Helgi Rafn Hrodmarsson, Martin Schwell, Yves Bénilan, Lionel Poisson, Majdi Hochlaf, Mohammad Esmaïl Alikhani, Jean-Claude Guillemin, Emilie-Laure Zins **Table S1:** Optimized geometries of MKE₂ and its cation MKE₂⁺ and there calculated adiabatic ionization energies obtained at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ and at the wB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ levels of theory.

	MKE2	MKE2 ⁺	Adiabatic ionization
			energies
		- S	7.87
PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ		See	
wB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ		John Contraction of the second	7.99

Table S2: Cartesian coordinates of neutral MKE2, ionized MKE2 as obtained after geometryoptimizations at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ and wB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ levels of theory.

			MKE ₂			MKE2 ⁺
	С	1.226164	-1.021245	1.541321	С	0.977419 2.077796 -0.086779
	С	1.379187	-0.885781	0.053309	С	1.021153 0.724703 0.550486
	С	1.956057	0.136636	-0.519617	С	1.821348 -0.254862 0.100618
	0	2.461352	1.052823	-1.025248	0	2.451172 -1.113366 -0.305871
	н	1.690279	-1.942428	1.899641	С	-1.787814 0.252600 -0.214863
	н	0.169945	-1.041700	1.820752	С	-1.009754 -0.806921 -0.483437
	н	1.695335	-0.188396	2.064910	С	-1.047450 -2.059986 0.335140
PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ	н	0.988196	-1.640896	-0.617997	0	-2.405943 1.177502 0.034405
	С	-1.942512	-0.441413	-0.411432	н	1.635218 2.759719 0.460718
	С	-2.060343	0.514606	0.472061	н	-0.030338 2.493163 -0.023109
	С	-1.183228	1.732987	0.504541	н	1.294302 2.057840 -1.127879
	0	-1.846071	-1.294921	-1.193611	н	0.665659 0.575230 1.566211
	н	-2.852930	0.377684	1.198056	н	-1.713330 -2.786879 -0.139565
	н	-1.782238	2.644235	0.447799	н	-0.056538 -2.515626 0.371130
	н	-0.598577	1.773249	1.426966	н	-1.400381 -1.883355 1.349477
	н	-0.484213	1.740302	-0.330349	н	-0.605834 -0.813156 -1.492253
	С	1.135395	-0.548302	1.749262	С	0.810539 2.101735 0.004879
	С	1.349763	-0.838812	0.286575	С	0.955940 0.715924 0.566040
	С	2.003009	-0.041472	-0.515089	С	1.841254 -0.163186 0.065375
	0	2.577788	0.674189	-1.225050	0	2.538547 -0.938106 -0.388817
	н	1.524292	-1.357987	2.366655	С	-1.801915 0.155262 -0.255232
	н	0.071651	-0.435004	1.963943	С	-0.919245 -0.836496 -0.457950
	н	1.638947	0.369426	2.048213	С	-0.900762 -2.069501 0.401943
	н	0.943189	-1.732277	-0.166549	0	-2.500392 1.029989 -0.052866
wB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ	С	-1.965914	-0.501773	-0.353814	н	1.462064 2.786882 0.549741
	С	-2.071985	0.610651	0.323039	н	-0.211168 2.451937 0.144753
	С	-1.144098	1.784326	0.150224	н	1.064251 2.148081 -1.051178
	0	-1.880192	-1.492262	-0.951858	н	0.639196 0.493495 1.578466
	н	-2.882798	0.644186	1.037588	н	-1.493299 -2.854702 -0.069664
	Н	-1.702808	2.685449	-0.102847	н	0.117371 -2.445929 0.492121
	н	-0.589054	1.979377	1.069117	Н	-1.301515 -1.883443 1.395056
	Н	-0.421209	1.603702	-0.642029	н	-0.497017 -0.853808 -1.456159



Figure S1. 2D-PES matrix of the m/z 56 mass signals.



Figure S2. Mass selected PEPICO spectra obtained at 15.5 eV for m/z 54 (red), m/z 27 (black) and m/z 26 (blue). The appearance energies are derived as the first point where the signal increases above the background. This method is less accurate than using recorded SPES and hence we give an estimated error of 0.1 eV for the derived appearance energies.

Table S3: Cartesian coordinates of neutral MKE, ionized MKE and its fragments as obtained after geometry optimizations at the CCSD (T)-F12/cc-pVTZ-F12 level of theory.

		C ₃ H ₄ O				$C_3H_4O^+$	
C	-1 6835996785	-0 3306593240	-0.0000180628	C	-1 6771932832	-0 3442653468	0 0001182243
	-0.4813060320	0 5822873294	0.0000257105	C	-0.5220531179	0 5837508947	0.0001132243
	0 7526353148	0.1262125525	-0.0000257105	C	0 7844428304	0.1168639687	-0.00001138047
0	1 8448718328	-0 2845982987	-0.0001070977	0	1 8422077592	-0 2765816933	-0.0002865560
Н	-2 3008893352	-0 1618850329	-0.8831006750	Н	-2 2949103857	-0.1216438613	-0 8769343890
Н	-2 3007023705	-0 1622351975	0 8832630473	Н	-2 2939505177	-0 1227565128	0.8781521156
Н	-1.3780280750	-1.3763389699	-0.0002606010	Н	-1.3866719594	-1.3908983926	-0.0006637606
		$C_3H_3O^+$					
C	-1.3415905194	-0.4160344059	0.4546761816			$C_2H_3^+$	
C	-0.3239588476	0.4688524157	0.4505025777	С	-0.6125918687	-0.0772930734	-0.0000449781
C	0.9879869372	-0.0417745839	0.4446716469	C	0.6126722375	-0.0773587446	-0.0001313718
0	2.0333603206	-0.4481189207	0.4390378199	Η	-1.6903309849	-0.0709653605	-0.0005232490
Н	-2.3608732403	-0.0518705411	0.4591216137	Н	1.6904116286	-0.0706727247	0.0002868030
Н	-1.1778296628	-1.4865868159	0.4529499665	Н	-0.0001340124	1.0473079032	-0.0000032041
Н	-0.4130949877	1.5485328517	0.4520401936				
						$C_2H_4^+_1$	
		$C_3H_2O^+$		C	0 7252572054	0 1220026002	0.0042607421
C	0.5117570972	-0.6259750498	0.0000000000	C	0.7233372034	-0.1328830903	-0.0942007431
C	0.0021893679	0.6681399976	0.0000000000	с и	-0.0133327500	0.0422801720	-0.0389134432
0	-0.2023064021	-1.6349797642	0.0000000000	н Н	-1 2888589146	-0.8072373213	-0.1677649898
C	-0.3875368200	1.8169295191	0.0000000000	H	0.0587060314	-0.2295186937	1 0330641262
Н	1.5977951174	-0.8459258166	0.0000000000	н	-1 0435072340	1 0435123799	0.0504473426
Н	-0.7387433603	2.8298761139	0.0000000000		1.0155072510	1.0155125777	0.0301175120
		C ₂ OH				C_2OH^+	
C	-0.9908396727	-0.0548506181	0.0000000000	C	-1.1153716462	-0.1226308642	0.0000000000
C	0.2402905378	-0.4825619496	0.0000000000	С	0.2673755009	-0.4811108247	0.0000000000
0	1.2518511619	-1.0721840225	0.0000000000	0	1.2539508361	-1.0307821896	0.0000000000
Н	-1.4113020268	0.9325965901	0.0000000000	Н	-1.3159546907	0.9575238785	0.0000000000
~		HCO ⁺		~		CH ₂ O ⁺	
C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-0.5172798898	C	-0.0000035186	-0.5313459258	-0.0000000002
0	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.5905155470	0	0.0000075170	0.6626776664	-0.0000000004
H	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-1.6108956572	H	-0.0000319992	-1.0779518700	-0.9705085941
		СЦ		Н	-0.0000319992	-1.07/9518705	0.9705085942
	0 6660200247	U_2H_4	0.0002704620		0 7012072277	$C_2H_4^{-1}$	0 0001142041
	0.0008209247	-0.0000184102	0.0002/94630		0./0129/22//	-0.0000206895	0.0001142941

C	-0.6668205986	0.0000274047	0.0002816600	C	-0.7012969173	0.0000258878	0.0001102854
Н	1.2309854972	-0.9236495911	0.0005519684	Н	1.2540868174	-0.9208237665	-0.1608712191
Н	1.2310486376	0.9235742781	0.0005441274	Н	1.2540228220	0.9206519565	0.1621335332
H	-1.2309851471	0.9236585929	0.0005803085	Н	-1.2540869497	0.9208345838	-0.1608408218
Н	-1.2310483137	-0.9235652744	0.0005734728	Н	-1.2540220000	-0.9206409720	0.1621649282
		СО				CO^+	
C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-0.6465754168	C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-0.6380070863
0	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.4840774168	0	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.4788530863
		C ₂ H ₂				$C_2H_2^+$	
C	-0 7105978953	-0 1520528045	-0 0001448734	C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.6250554878
C	0 5897028040	0.0273704924	-0.0000676627	C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-0.6250554878
н	-1 5921428740	0 4693229862	0.0000263161	Н	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	1.7037339807
H	1.0214515636	1.0279432494	0.0000225666	Н	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-1.7037339807
Н	1.2842164018	-0.8052729235	0.0000456534				
		$C_2H_2^+$					
C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.6027520933				
C	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-0.6027520933				
Н	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	1.6658833002				
Н	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	-1.6658833002				

(C ₃ H ₄ O) ₂	$(C_3H_4O)_2^+$
C 1.226164 -1.021245 1.541321	C 0.977419 2.077796 -0.086779
C 1.379187 -0.885781 0.053309	C 1.021153 0.724703 0.550486
C 1.956057 0.136636 -0.519617	C 1.821348 -0.254862 0.100618
O 2.461352 1.052823 -1.025248	O 2.451172 -1.113366 -0.305871
Н 1.690279 -1.942428 1.899641	C -1.787814 0.252600 -0.214863
Н 0.169945 -1.041700 1.820752	C -1.009754 -0.806921 -0.483437
Н 1.695335 -0.188396 2.064910	C -1.047450 -2.059986 0.335140
Н 0.988196 -1.640896 -0.617997	O -2.405943 1.177502 0.034405
C -1.942512 -0.441413 -0.411432	Н 1.635218 2.759719 0.460718
C -2.060343 0.514606 0.472061	Н -0.030338 2.493163 -0.023109
C -1.183228 1.732987 0.504541	Н 1.294302 2.057840 -1.127879
O -1.846071 -1.294921 -1.193611	Н 0.665659 0.575230 1.566211
Н -2.852930 0.377684 1.198056	Н -1.713330 -2.786879 -0.139565
Н -1.782238 2.644235 0.447799	Н -0.056538 -2.515626 0.371130
Н -0.598577 1.773249 1.426966	Н -1.400381 -1.883355 1.349477
Н -0.484213 1.740302 -0.330349	Н -0.605834 -0.813156 -1.492253
$C_3H_5O^+$	$C_3H_5O^+_1$
C 0.797992 0.133284 -0.000011	C -0.898812 0.798235 0.000000
O 1.817881 -0.313257 0.000005	C -0.934549 -0.761771 0.000000
C -0.521914 0.663523 -0.000002	C 0.271325 -0.016567 0.000000
C -1.581030 -0.460909 -0.000001	O 1.516343 -0.119357 0.000000
Н -0.583664 1.308666 0.888099	Н -1.155901 1.326192 0.916000
Н -0.583680 1.308672 -0.888074	Н -1.155901 1.326192 -0.916000
Н -1.496720 -1.078661 0.891699	Н -1.215462 -1.277818 -0.916000
Н -1.496770 -1.078613 -0.891737	Н -1.216462 -1.277818 0.916000
Н -2.552506 0.030602 0.000058	Н 1.985198 0.738722 0.000000
$C_3H_5O^+_2$	C ₃ H ₅ O
C -1.778340 0.135117 -0.000212	C -1.867472 -0.161956 0.015726
C -0.443592 -0.440572 0.000258	C -0.486950 0.400861 -0.040666
C 0.729027 0.348201 0.000652	C 0.613046 -0.318578 -0.015841
O 1.879659 -0.113156 -0.000377	O 1.887098 0.043628 0.100548
Н -2.333126 -0.255026 -0.866791	Н -2.410638 0.218223 0.885335
Н -1.803254 1.222195 -0.000359	Н -1.844363 -1.249705 0.078969
Н -2.333521 -0.254754 0.866249	Н -2.445245 0.117654 -0.869391
Н -0.301151 -1.517590 0.000132	Н -0.372638 1.483622 -0.121101
Н 0.691216 1.453947 -0.000402	Н 2.424361 -0.440783 -0.533509
$C_3H_3O^+$	C ₃ H ₃ O
C 1.606482 -0.382833 0.000008	C 1.699290 -0.348240 0.000002
C 0.629816 0.540848 -0.000003	C 0.578726 0.498553 0.000004
C -0.693217 0.114470 0.000049	C -0.696475 0.106171 0.000001

Table S4: Cartesian coordinates of neutral MKE₂, ionized MKE₂ and its fragments as obtained after geometry optimizations at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory.

0	-1.760653 -0.217468 -0.000025	O -1.808365 -0.222249 -0.000004
Н	2.643132 -0.064385 -0.000057	Н 2.687230 0.083594 -0.000060
Н	1.403453 -1.448006 -0.000018	Н 1.596732 -1.422646 0.000031
Н	0.780158 1.617230 -0.000049	Н 0.693708 1.578147 0.000021
	C ₃ H ₆ O	$C_3H_6O^+$
C	-1.881030 0.113698 0.000050	C 1.858067 0.123779 0.000119
C	-0.491300 -0.425176 -0.000070	C 0.509909 -0.415674 -0.000099
C	0.589636 0.341352 -0.000087	C -0.639603 0.382096 0.000085
0	1.851384 -0.175527 0.000065	O -1.790249 -0.198645 0.000092
Н	-2.440841 -0.220867 0.878470	Н 2.408912 -0.279268 0.862976
Н	-2.441267 -0.221703 -0.877771	Н 1.906747 1.210432 0.000741
Н	-1.884942 1.205699 -0.000469	Н 2.408484 -0.277785 -0.863805
Н	-0.355899 -1.502765 -0.000088	Н 0.355103 -1.492168 -0.000463
Н	0.518926 1.426584 -0.000087	Н -0.587208 1.469293 -0.000550
Н	2.489115 0.538024 0.000068	Н -2.540281 0.417441 -0.000269
	C ₃ H ₂ O	$C_3H_2O^+$
C	0.910473 1.581763 0.000000	C 0.498476 -0.621087 0.000000
C	0.000000 0.629043 0.000000	C 0.000000 0.665929 0.000000
C	-0.313538 -0.622530 0.000000	O -0.195748 -1.640484 0.000000
0	-0.774037 -1.691621 0.000000	C -0.381378 1.810136 0.000000
Η	0.631735 2.632816 0.000000	Н 1.590817 -0.832481 0.000000
Н	1.978947 1.370499 0.000000	Н -0.727424 2.826482 0.000000
	$C_2H_3^+$	$C_2H_2^+$
C	-0.609103 -0.075078 -0.000001	C 0.000000 0.000000 0.627339
C	0.609114 -0.075097 0.000005	C 0.000000 0.000000 -0.627339
H	-1.688902 -0.075838 -0.000007	H 0.000000 0.000000 1.714910
H	1.688914 -0.075745 -0.000020	Н 0.000000 0.000000 -1.714910
ΙH	-0.000078 1.052636 0.000000	

Table S5. Cartesian coordinates of the $C_3H_4O^+$ isomers, other than MKE as obtained after geometry optimizations at the PBE0/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory.



Table S6. Details on the calculations from Table 3 (energies in Hartree). Δ ZPE is evaluated at the PBE0/aVTZ level using the corresponding anharmonic frequencies.

	Neutral	Ionization		Fragments									
	C ₃ H ₄ O	$C_{3}H_{4}O^{+^{\circ}}$	$C_3H_3O^+$	$C_3H_2O^{+^{\circ}}$	C ₂ HO ⁺	$CH_2O^{+^{\circ}}$	HCO ⁺	$C_2H_4^{+\circ}$	$C_2 H_4^{+^{\circ}} 1$	CO ^{+°}	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	$C_2 H_2^{+^{\circ}}$	CH ₃ ⁺
	}~	and the second s	×	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~ [©]	}	0- 0-0	\mathbf{H}		-	0 -0₀0- 0	0 -0-0- 0	
			+ H	H ₂	CH ₃	C ₂ H ₂	C ₂ H ₃	+ CO	+ CO	C ₂ H ₄	H+CO	H ₂ +CO	C ₂ HO
			6	6-0		0 -0-0 -0	ŝ		-	\mathbf{H}	••• • •	••• •••	~~~~
	MKE	m/z = 56	m/z = 55	m/z = 54	m/z = 41	m/z = 30	m/z = 29	m/z = 28	m/z = 28	m/z = 28	m/z = 27	m/z = 26	m/z = 15
E(R) _{CCSD(T)} - F12/cc-pVTZ-F12	-191.6727871	-191.3444769	-190.76708	-190.0170729	-151.34361	-113.978169	-113.434236	-78.08131501	-78.0383262	-112.684811	-77.46773556	-76.7976175	-39.4166771
ΔZPVE	0.061127	0.060027	0.05086	0.035518	0.018075	0.024017	0.016532	0.048681	0.045396	0.005307	0.034511	0.026117	0.031245
ΔCV	-0.19848764	-0.1980106	-0.19794229	-0.19788533	-0.14831673	-0.10090312	-0.1009479	-0.09619585	-0.09632495	-0.10023646	-0.09667361	-0.09612749	-0.04761424
ΔSR	0.00689882	0.00689129	0.00689727	0.00696941	0.00612191	0.0053068	0.00530276	0.0016243	0.00162836	0.00538693	0.00164178	0.0016518	0.00081066
$E_{corr} = E_{(R)CCSD(T)}.$ F12+ ΔCV + ΔSR + ΔZPE	-191.8032489	-191.4755692	-190.907265	-190.1724708	-151.4677298	-114.049748	-113.513349	-78.12720556	-78.0876268	-112.774353	-77.52825639	-76.8659762	-39.4322357

	Neutral	Ionization	Fragments									
	(C ₃ H ₄ O) ₂	(C ₃ H ₄ O) ₂ ^{+°}	C ₃ H ₆ O⁺°	C ₃ H ₅ O ⁺	C ₃ H ₅ O ⁺ _1	C ₃ H ₅ O ⁺ _2	C ₃ H ₄ O ^{+°}	C ₃ H ₄ O ^{+°} _1	C ₃ H ₃ O ⁺	C ₃ H ₂ O ⁺	C ₂ H ₃ +	$C_2H_2^+$
	~~~	مموهر	eg de g			-	50-62	yoh.		~~~~{	e- 0 0 0 -e	0- 0-0 -0
			C ₃ H ₂ O	C ₃ H ₃ O	C ₃ H ₃ O	C ₃ H ₃ O	C ₃ H ₄ O	C ₃ H ₄ O	C ₃ H ₅ O	C ₃ H ₆ O	C ₃ H ₆ O	C ₃ H ₄ O
		- E		Jan Con	and a second	Jan Contraction	2-co	م م م	the second	and the second sec	and the second	and the second s
											+CO	+HCO
	MKE ₂	m/Z =112	m/Z =58	m/Z =57	m/Z =57	m/Z =57	m/Z =56	m/Z =56	m/Z =55	m/Z =54	m/Z =27	m/Z =26
PBE0/aug- cc-pVTZ	-383.41329	-383.124181	-192.590662	-192.021935	-191.973235	-191.923076	-191.362476	-191.31812	-190.805736	-190.075952	-77.477487	-76.818604

Table S7. Details on the calculations from Table 4 (energies in Hartree). Δ ZPE is evaluated at the PBE0/aVTZ level.



Figure S3. The SPES corresponding to the MKE trimer (m/z 168).



Figure S4. Peak evolution of the m/z 56 signal with increasing photon energy.

Table S8. Attribution of excited states of the MKE cation (m/z 56) calculated at the MRCI/aVTZ level of theory (in eV).

Ground State	8.917
1 st excited state	13.51
2 nd excited state	14.21



Figure S5. Total ion yield (TIY) of the m/z 56 signal (black). By employing the same filtering as was done on the m/z 56 SPES the signal can be disentangled into the contribution of the ionized MKE monomer (red) and that of the C₃H₄O⁺ fragments formed by dissociative ionization of the MKE dimer.





2D-PES matrix of the m/z 56 mass signals.



Mass selected PEPICO spectra obtained at 15.5 eV for m/z 54 (red), m/z 27 (black) and m/z 26 (blue). The appearance energies are derived as the first point where the signal increases above the background. This method is less accurate than using recorded SPES and hence we give an estimated error of 0.1 eV for the derived appearance energies.





363x187mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Peak evolution of the m/z 56 signal with increasing photon energy.



Total ion yield (TIY) of the m/z 56 signal (black). By employing the same filtering as was done on the m/z 56 SPES the signal can be disentangled into the contribution of the ionized MKE monomer (red) and that of the C₃H₃O⁺ fragments formed by dissociative ionization of the MKE dimer.

406x226mm (72 x 72 DPI)