



**HAL**  
open science

# Charge Conjugation Symmetry in the Finite Basis Approximation of the Dirac Equation

Maen Salman, Trond Saue

► **To cite this version:**

Maen Salman, Trond Saue. Charge Conjugation Symmetry in the Finite Basis Approximation of the Dirac Equation. *Symmetry*, 2020, 12 (7), pp.1121. 10.3390/sym12071121 . hal-02957385

**HAL Id: hal-02957385**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02957385>**

Submitted on 5 Oct 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Article

# Charge Conjugation Symmetry in the Finite Basis Approximation of the Dirac Equation

Maen Salman  and Trond Saue \* 

Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantique, UMR 5626 CNRS,  
Université Toulouse III-Paul Sabatier, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France;  
msalman@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

\* Correspondence: trond.saue@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

Received: 30 May 2020; Accepted: 21 June 2020; Published: 6 July 2020




**Abstract:** Four-component relativistic atomic and molecular calculations are typically performed within the no-pair approximation where negative-energy solutions are discarded. These states are, however, needed in QED calculations, wherein, furthermore, charge conjugation symmetry, which connects electronic and positronic solutions, becomes an issue. In this work, we shall discuss the realization of charge conjugation symmetry of the Dirac equation in a central field within the finite basis approximation. Three schemes for basis set construction are considered: restricted, inverse, and dual kinetic balance. We find that charge conjugation symmetry can be realized within the restricted and inverse kinetic balance prescriptions, but only with a special form of basis functions that does not obey the right boundary conditions of the radial wavefunctions. The dual kinetic balance prescription is, on the other hand, compatible with charge conjugation symmetry without restricting the form of the radial basis functions. However, since charge conjugation relates solutions of opposite value of the quantum number  $\kappa$ , this requires the use of basis sets chosen according to total angular momentum  $j$  rather than orbital angular momentum  $\ell$ . As a special case, we consider the free-particle Dirac equation, where opposite energy solutions are related by charge conjugation symmetry. We show that there is additional symmetry in that solutions of the *same* value of  $\kappa$  come in pairs of opposite energy.

**Keywords:** Dirac equation; finite basis approximation; charge conjugation symmetry; kinetic balance

## 1. Introduction

Consider an electron of charge  $q = -e$  and mass  $m_e$ , placed in an attractive Coulomb potential  $\phi(r)$ . Upon solving the time-independent Dirac equation, one gets a set of solutions  $\psi_i$  associated with energy levels  $E_i$  which forms the spectrum that is shown in a pictorial way in Figure 1a. The charge conjugation operation [1] ( $C$ -operation) relates a particle to its anti-particle. The  $C$ -conjugated solution  $C\psi_i$ , describes the solution of the same equation but with opposite charge (a positron), flipping the spectrum, as shown in Figure 1c. In the free-particle case,  $\phi(r) = 0$ , the two spectra, left and right, coalesce into the spectrum in Figure 1b, that contains no bound solutions, and where the  $C$ -operation relates positive and negative-energy solutions of the same equation; i.e.,  $C\psi_{\pm E_i} = \psi_{\mp E_i}$ . Note that since the free-particle equation does not require the specification of the charge, it describes, equally well, electrons and positrons.



**Figure 1.** Schematic spectrum of the Dirac equation for (a) an electron in an attractive potential  $\phi(r)$ , (c) a positron in the same potential and (b) a free particle,  $\phi(r) = 0$ .

The Dirac equation is the starting point for four-component relativistic atomic and molecular calculations. In the former case, the high symmetry of the problem allows the use of finite difference methods, whereas molecular applications generally call for the use of finite-basis expansions. Early calculations using finite bases were flawed, since the coupling of the large and small components was not respected. Spurious solutions appeared, and the calculations were converging to energy levels lower than they were meant to. It was observed by Schwarz and Wallmeier [2] and Grant [3] that in such calculations, the matrix representation of the kinetic energy operator obtained in the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation did not match the Schrödinger one. It was realized that if the small components' basis functions are generated from the large component ones by  $\varphi_i^S \propto \sigma \cdot \mathbf{p} \varphi_i^L$ , where  $\sigma$  are the Pauli spin matrices, then the non-relativistic limit of the kinetic energy operator goes directly into the Schrödinger one, and the spurious states disappear. This was further analyzed and formalized under the name of kinetic balance by Stanton and Havriliak [4] (see also [5]). Calculations using this prescription were first done by Lee and McLean [6] (using unrestricted kinetic balance; see Section 2.3.3) and Ishikawa et al. [7].

Present-day four-component relativistic atomic and molecular calculations are typically carried out within the no-pair approximation [8,9], where the electronic Hamiltonian is embedded by operators projecting out negative-energy orbitals, hence treating them as an orthogonal complement. However, going beyond the no-pair approximation and considering the effects of quantum electrodynamics (QED)—notably, vacuum polarization and the self-energy of the electron—the negative-energy solutions take on physical reality and require a proper description. Charge conjugation symmetry also becomes an issue [10,11] and has to be considered when designing basis sets.

In the present work, we investigate the realization of charge conjugation symmetry, in short, C-symmetry, of the one-electron Dirac equation within the finite basis approximation. Since basis functions are typically located at nuclear positions, we limit attention to the central field (spherically symmetric) problem. We shall consider three schemes for basis set construction: *restricted kinetic balance* [4,12], *inverse kinetic balance* [13], and *dual kinetic balance* [14]. As such, our work bears some resemblance to the study of Sun et al. [13], who carried out a formal comparison and numerical assessment of these schemes in the framework of conventional relativistic electronic structure calculations. Our focus will be on whether these schemes allow the realization of charge conjugation symmetry for possible use beyond the no-pair approximation. We shall also analyze more specifically the basis set requirements, with particular attention paid to Gaussian-type functions.

## 2. Theory

### 2.1. The Dirac Equation and C-Symmetry

The relativistic behavior of an electron placed in an electromagnetic potential  $(\phi, A)$  is described by the Dirac equation:

$$(\hat{h}_{-e} - i\hbar\partial_t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0; \quad \hat{h}_q = \beta m_e c^2 + c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot [\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}] + q\phi(r) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

are the Dirac matrices, anti-commuting amongst themselves.

Dirac himself noted that if matrices  $\alpha_y$  and  $\beta$  are swapped, then the complex conjugate of a solution to Equation (1) will be the solution of the same equation, but with opposite charge [15]. Kramers coined the term charge conjugation for this symmetry linking particles and their anti-particles [1], and it has later been elevated to one of the three fundamental symmetries of nature through the CPT theorem [10,16–18].

In the Dirac representation, the  $\mathcal{C}$ -operator is given by

$$\mathcal{C} = i\beta\alpha_y\mathcal{K}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{K}_0, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_0$  is the complex conjugation operator. The general form was investigated by Pauli [19]. For static potentials, the solution of Equation (1) has the form  $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \psi(\mathbf{r}) \exp[-iEt/\hbar]$ , where  $\psi(\mathbf{r})$  satisfies the time-independent Dirac equation

$$\hat{h}_{-e}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (4)$$

Since the action of the charge conjugation operation is  $\mathcal{C}\hat{h}_{-e}\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\hat{h}_{+e}$ , we get the time-independent positronic equation as

$$\hat{h}_{+e}\mathcal{C}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = -E\mathcal{C}\psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5)$$

with the opposite sign of the energy. In passing, we note that the charge conjugation operator can be expressed as  $\mathcal{C} = \gamma_5\beta\mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the time-reversal operator.

### 2.2. Radial Problem

We shall limit attention to the central-field case, with the vector potential  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ , and a radial scalar potential  $\phi(r)$ . Solutions then have the general form

$$\psi_{\kappa, m_j}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{r} \begin{bmatrix} P_{\kappa}(r)\Omega_{\kappa, m_j}(\theta, \phi) \\ iQ_{\kappa}(r)\Omega_{-\kappa, m_j}(\theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where the imaginary number  $i$  is introduced to make both radial functions  $P_{\kappa}$  and  $Q_{\kappa}$  real. The  $\Omega_{\kappa, m}$  are two-component complex eigenfunctions of the  $\hat{\kappa} = -\hbar - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$  operator [20], and  $\kappa$  represents the corresponding eigenvalue. After separation of radial and angular variables, we obtain the radial Dirac equation

$$\hat{h}_{-e,\kappa}\varphi_\kappa(r) = E\varphi_\kappa(r); \quad \hat{h}_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} m_e c^2 - e\phi(r) & -c\hbar\left[\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r}\right] \\ c\hbar\left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r}\right] & -m_e c^2 - e\phi(r) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_\kappa(r) = \begin{bmatrix} P_\kappa \\ Q_\kappa \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

The  $\mathcal{C}$ -operation shown in Equation (3), when applied to the spherical solution, Equation (6), gives [21]

$$\mathcal{C}\psi_{\kappa,m_j} = iS_\kappa(-1)^{m_j+\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{r} \begin{bmatrix} Q_\kappa\Omega_{-\kappa,-m_j} \\ iP_\kappa\Omega_{\kappa,-m_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with } S_\kappa = \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|}. \quad (8)$$

We observe that the  $\kappa$  and  $m_j$  quantum numbers in the angular parts have switched sign, and that the radial components are swapped; that is,  $P_\kappa \rightleftharpoons Q_\kappa$ .

### Free-Particle Radial Problem

Usually, the free-particle Dirac equation solutions are presented in the form of plane waves. However, we are interested in atomic (and molecular) calculations where we use spherical basis functions centered at the nuclear positions. It is, therefore, more appropriate to consider the free-particle solutions in spherical symmetry. By setting  $\phi(r) = 0$  in the radial Dirac equation (Equation (7)), the solution of this problem is found to be [21,22]

$$\psi_{\kappa m_j}(r, E) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^3\hbar^3\pi}} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\kappa m_j}^L(r, E) \\ \psi_{\kappa m_j}^S(r, E) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where the large and the small components (upper and lower) are respectively given by

$$\psi_{\kappa m_j}^L(r, E) = |E - m_e c^2|^{\frac{1}{4}} |E + m_e c^2|^{\frac{3}{4}} j_{|\kappa+\frac{1}{2}|-\frac{1}{2}}(kr)\Omega_{\kappa,m_j}, \quad (10)$$

$$\psi_{\kappa m_j}^S(r, E) = iS_\kappa S_E |E + m_e c^2|^{\frac{1}{4}} |E - m_e c^2|^{\frac{3}{4}} j_{|\kappa-\frac{1}{2}|-\frac{1}{2}}(kr)\Omega_{-\kappa,m_j}, \quad (11)$$

where  $j_\ell$  are the spherical Bessel functions of the first kind,  $S_x = \frac{x}{|x|}$  is the sign function, and  $k(E) = \sqrt{E^2 - m_e^2 c^4} / c\hbar$  represents the wavenumber. These solutions are normalized to the delta function

$$\int \psi_{\kappa,m_j}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, E)\psi_{\kappa',m_j'}(\mathbf{r}, E')d^3\mathbf{r} = \delta_{\kappa\kappa'}\delta_{m_j m_j'}\delta(E - E') \quad (12)$$

as they describe a continuum of solutions.

By next applying the  $\mathcal{C}$ -operator to the free-particle solution, we get the  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugated partner

$$\mathcal{C}\psi_{\kappa m_j}(r, E) = i(-1)^{m_j+\frac{1}{2}}S_E\sqrt{\frac{1}{c^3\hbar^3\pi}} \begin{bmatrix} |E + m_e c^2|^{\frac{1}{4}} |E - m_e c^2|^{\frac{3}{4}} j_{|\kappa-\frac{1}{2}|-\frac{1}{2}}(kr)\Omega_{-\kappa,-m_j} \\ S_E S_\kappa i |E - m_e c^2|^{\frac{1}{4}} |E + m_e c^2|^{\frac{3}{4}} j_{|\kappa+\frac{1}{2}|-\frac{1}{2}}(kr)\Omega_{\kappa,-m_j} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

We thereby see that it is possible to connect opposite energy solutions, in spherical symmetry, by the  $\mathcal{C}$ -operation (as we expect from the trivial Dirac plane wave case)

$$\mathcal{C}\psi_{\kappa,m_j}(r, E) = i(-1)^{m_j+\frac{1}{2}}S_E\psi_{-\kappa,-m_j}(r, -E). \quad (14)$$

Details about the  $\mathcal{C}$ -symmetry and the free electron in the spherical case can be found in [21–23].

### 2.3. Finite Basis Approximation

Generally, the plan is to specify a finite number of basis functions, construct the matrix representation of the Dirac equation, and then diagonalize it to get the set of eigenfunctions and

eigenvectors. We start by introducing radial basis sets for the large and the small components,  $\{\pi_i^{L_\kappa}\}_{i=1}^{n_L}$  and  $\{\pi_i^{S_\kappa}\}_{i=1}^{n_S}$  (for each  $\kappa$ ), which means that the radial functions  $P_\kappa$  and  $Q_\kappa$  are expanded as

$$P_\kappa(r) = \sum_i^{n_L} c_{\kappa,i}^L \pi_i^{L_\kappa}(r); \quad Q_\kappa(r) = \sum_i^{n_S} c_{\kappa,i}^S \pi_i^{S_\kappa}(r), \quad (15)$$

giving the matrix representation of the Dirac equation as

$$H_{-e,\kappa} c_\kappa = S_\kappa c_{-e,\kappa} \epsilon_{-e,\kappa}, \quad (16)$$

with

$$H_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} m_e c^2 S^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} - e\phi^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & c\hbar \Pi_{-\kappa}^{L_\kappa S_\kappa} \\ -c\hbar \Pi_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa L_\kappa} & -m_e c^2 S^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} - e\phi^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

$$S_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} S^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ c^S \end{bmatrix}_{-e,\kappa}.$$

The matrix elements of the above submatrices are given by

$$[S^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa}(r) \pi_j^{X_\kappa}(r) dr, \quad (18)$$

$$[\phi^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa}(r) \phi(r) \pi_j^{X_\kappa}(r) dr, \quad (19)$$

$$[\Pi_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa Y_\kappa}]_{ij} = - \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa}(r) \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa'}{r} \right] \pi_j^{Y_\kappa}(r) dr. \quad (20)$$

We note that the  $\kappa$  appearing in superscripts refers to the radial basis functions, whereas the  $\kappa'$  appearing as a subscript is associated with the operator. We also note that the  $-e$  term appearing in the subscripts denotes the charge that appears in front of the scalar potential. Since we expect a (real) Hermitian matrix representation, the off-diagonal matrices should be related by the transpose operation;  $\Pi_{\kappa}^{L_\kappa S_\kappa} = -[\Pi_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa L_\kappa}]^T$ . Using integration by parts, this implies that the basis functions should vanish at the boundaries;  $r = 0$  and  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 2.3.1. Gaussian-Type Functions

We shall work with Gaussian-type functions, since they play a central role in quantum chemical calculations. The large and the small component radial Gaussian functions are given by

$$\pi_i^{L_\kappa} = \mathcal{N}_i^{L_\kappa} r^{\gamma_p} e^{-\zeta_i^\kappa r^2} \quad (21)$$

$$\pi_i^{S_\kappa} = \mathcal{N}_i^{S_\kappa} r^{\gamma_q} e^{-\zeta_i^\kappa r^2}, \quad (22)$$

with  $\mathcal{N}_i^{X_\kappa}$  the normalization constants. We choose the exponents  $\gamma_p$  and  $\gamma_q$  to reproduce the small  $r$  behavior of the radial functions in the case of a finite nucleus [21]; that is,

$$\gamma_p = \left| \kappa + \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2}; \quad \gamma_q = \left| \kappa - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (23)$$

This also corresponds to the small  $r$  behavior of the free-particle radial solutions (Equations (10) and (11)). Furthermore, Sun et al., in calculations on  $Rn^{85+}$  using the dual kinetic balance prescription (discussed later in Section 2.3.4), investigated the use of different integer powers  $\gamma_p$  and  $\gamma_q$  of  $r$  for large and small Gaussian-type functions [13] and concluded that optimal results, in particular, avoiding variational collapse and divergent integrals, were obtained using the powers given in Equation (23).

### 2.3.2. C-Symmetry in The Finite Basis Approximation

We say that a basis set respects C-symmetry, thereby leading to a C-symmetric matrix representation, if the C-conjugation of all the elements of the basis set belongs to the basis set itself

$$\forall \varphi \in \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n, \quad \mathcal{C}\varphi \in \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n. \quad (24)$$

For simplicity's sake, we shall set the phase factor in Equation (8) to 1, since it does not contribute to expectation values.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a map of  $\mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ ; the last condition is equivalent to say that the subspace  $\Phi$  of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  consisting of basis functions  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ , is preserved by the  $\mathcal{C}$ -map. We have seen before that in the spherically symmetric case, the C-operation replaces  $\kappa \rightarrow -\kappa$ ,  $\pi^L \Leftrightarrow \pi^S$  and  $m_j \rightarrow -m_j$ , which means that the realization of the C-symmetry at the basis set level implies

$$\pi_i^{S-\kappa} = \pi_i^{L\kappa} \text{ and } \pi_i^{L-\kappa} = \pi_i^{S\kappa}. \quad (25)$$

Under these conditions, we find that

$$H_{+e,-\kappa} = -\sigma_x H_{-e,+\kappa} \sigma_x \quad (26)$$

$$S_{-\kappa} = +\sigma_x S_{+\kappa} \sigma_x, \quad (27)$$

and using the last equations, we get the connection between eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\epsilon_{+e,-\kappa} = -\epsilon_{-e,+\kappa}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^L \\ \mathbf{c}^S \end{bmatrix}_{+e,-\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^S \\ \mathbf{c}^L \end{bmatrix}_{-e,+\kappa}. \quad (28)$$

### 2.3.3. Kinetic Balance

Starting from the radial equation (Equation (7)), we get two coupled equations that relate the large and small radial components of the Dirac equation.

$$Q_\kappa = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \left[ 1 + \frac{E + e\phi(r)}{m_e c^2} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] P_\kappa \quad (29)$$

$$P_\kappa = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \left[ 1 - \frac{E + e\phi(r)}{m_e c^2} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] Q_\kappa. \quad (30)$$

The exact couplings are energy and potential-dependent, and therefore not appropriate for the construction of basis sets prior to the calculation of the energy. The energy-dependence can be eliminated by taking non-relativistic limit  $c \rightarrow \infty$ . It is sometimes stated that the expressions in square brackets go to one provided  $E \pm e\phi(r) \ll m_e c^2$ . However, the correct statement is rather that  $E \pm e\phi(r)$  should have a finite value as the limit is taken. For a point nucleus, the scalar potential  $\phi(r)$  is singular at  $r = 0$ , and so this condition is not satisfied. It can be restored by rather considering nuclei of finite charge distributions [24,25]. As it stands, the energy depends quadratically on the speed of light. This dependence can be eliminated by constant shifts, but implies taking different limits for the positive and negative energy branches.

For the positive energy branch, we introduce the shifted energy  $E_+ = E - m_e c^2$ , and from Equation (29) obtain

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} c Q_\kappa = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hbar}{2m_e} \left[ 1 + \frac{E_+ + e\phi(r)}{2m_e c^2} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] P_\kappa = \frac{\hbar}{2m_e} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] P_\kappa. \quad (31)$$

For the negative energy branch, we introduce the shifted energy  $E_- = E + m_e c^2$ , and from Equation (30) obtain

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} cP_\kappa = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hbar}{2m_e} \left[ 1 - \frac{E_- + e\phi(r)}{2m_e c^2} \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] Q_\kappa = \frac{\hbar}{2m_e} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] Q_\kappa. \quad (32)$$

Conventional atomic and molecular relativistic calculations in a finite basis focus on positive-energy solutions, and so bases are constructed according to the prescription of kinetic balance which imposes the non-relativistic coupling Equation (31) at the level of individual basis functions; that is,

$$\pi_i^{S_\kappa} = a_i^{S_\kappa} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] \pi_i^{L_\kappa}, \quad \text{with} \quad a_i^{S_\kappa} = \frac{\hbar}{2m_e c}. \quad (33)$$

The numerical factor  $a_i^{S_\kappa}$ , here set to half the reduced Compton wavelength in accordance with Equation (31), is arbitrary. For instance, if one did not introduce an imaginary  $i$  in the atomic spinor, Equation (6), our present choice would be multiplied with this factor. This particular choice of basis functions provides a proper representation of the kinetic energy operator in the non-relativistic limit [4], and therefore prevents the appearance of spurious states in the calculation. For calculations at finite values of the speed of light, it is necessary that the basis is sufficiently flexible so that the relativistic coupling can be realized through adjustment of basis expansion coefficients [26].

The one-to-one correspondence between large and small component basis functions can only be realized in a two-component basis and is denoted *restricted kinetic balance* (RKB). The terminology was introduced by Dyall and Fægri [12] to contrast with the use of scalar basis functions, where the small component basis functions are taken as derivatives of the large component ones, in no particular linear combinations. This latter scheme is denoted *unrestricted kinetic balance* (UKB).

Restricted kinetic balance (Equation (33)) leads to the matrix eigenvalue equation (Equation (16)) with elements given in (Equations (A1) and (A2)) of the Appendix A. The matrix representation of the Dirac equation in an RKB basis is that of the modified Dirac equation [27] (see also [28]). From this, Sun et al. [13] conclude that there is no "modified" Dirac equation. However, this is formally incorrect, since the modified Dirac equation has an independent existence at the operator level.

A corresponding prescription

$$\pi_i^{L_\kappa} = a_i^{L_\kappa} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] \pi_i^{S_\kappa}, \quad \text{with} \quad a_i^{L_\kappa} = \frac{\hbar}{2m_e c}. \quad (34)$$

that favors negative-energy solutions has been termed *inverse kinetic balance* [13] (IKB) and is based on Equation (32). In this prescription, the small component basis functions are introduced first; then, the large ones are generated using the last equation. This prescription leads to the eigenvalue equation, whose elements are given in the Appendix A (Equations (A3) and (A4)).

In order to respect the C-symmetry, we impose the conditions of Equation (25) on the RKB prescription, Equation (33), which leads the following equation for large component basis functions

$$\left[ r^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \kappa(1 + \kappa) - \frac{1}{a_i^{S_\kappa} a_i^{S_\kappa}} r^2 \right] \pi_i^{L_\kappa}(r) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Its general solution is

$$\pi_i^{L_\kappa}(r) = c_1 r j_\kappa(kr) + c_2 r y_\kappa(kr), \quad \text{with} \quad k^2 = - \left( a_i^{S_\kappa} a_i^{S_\kappa} \right)^{-1}, \quad (36)$$

and the small components are then  $\pi_i^{S_\kappa} = \pi_i^{L_\kappa}$ . The  $c_i$  are arbitrary coefficients;  $j_\alpha(z)$  and  $y_\alpha(z)$  are spherical Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively. If, on the other hand, we impose C-symmetry on the IKB prescription, Equation (34), we obtain the same general solution, now with



$k^2 = -\left(a_i^{L-\kappa} a_i^{L\kappa}\right)^{-1}$ . We also note that if we combine the RKB and IKB prescriptions, to describe both positive and negative energy solutions on the same footing, we again get the same general solution, with  $k^2 = -\left(a_i^{L-\kappa} a_i^{S\kappa}\right)^{-1}$ . The problem with this specific choice of functions is that the boundary conditions  $\pi_i^{L\kappa/S\kappa}(0) = 0$  and  $\pi_i^{L\kappa/S\kappa}(\infty) = 0$ , are not obeyed simultaneously. Therefore, they are not useful for atomic and molecular calculations.

### 2.3.4. Dual Kinetic Balance

The kinetic balance prescription is widely employed in atomic and molecular calculations, but favors the positive-energy solutions. The *dual kinetic balance* prescription (DKB) ensures the right coupling between the large and the small components (in the non-relativistic limit) for both positive and negative energy solutions. It was introduced by Shabaev et al. [14] with the use of B-splines and tested by calculating the one-loop self-energy correction for a hydrogen-like ion. The radial function is expanded as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_\kappa \\ Q_\kappa \end{bmatrix} = \sum_i^{n_L} c_{\kappa,i}^{[+]} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_i^{L\kappa} \\ \frac{\hbar}{2m_e c} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] \pi_i^{L\kappa} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_i^{n_S} c_{\kappa,i}^{[-]} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2m_e c} \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\kappa}{r} \right] \pi_i^{S\kappa} \\ \pi_i^{S\kappa} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where the first and second set of basis functions have the non-relativistic coupling of positive and negative-energy solutions, respectively, as indicated by the  $[\pm]$  symbol on the coefficients. This particular expansion leads to a generalized eigenvalue problem whose elements are defined in Equations (A9)–(A11) of the Appendix A. Contrary to the case of RKB/IKB the conditions for C-symmetry, Equation (25) can now be imposed without putting constraints on the choice of basis functions. The two matrix representations associated with  $(+e, -\kappa)$  and  $(-e, +\kappa)$ , become related by

$$H_{+e,-\kappa} = -\sigma_x H_{-e,\kappa} \sigma_x, \quad S_{-\kappa} = \sigma_x S_\kappa \sigma_x, \quad (38)$$

leading to the C-connection between the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors

$$\epsilon_{+e,-\kappa} = -\epsilon_{-e,+ \kappa}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^{[+]} \\ \mathbf{c}^{[-]} \end{bmatrix}_{+e,-\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^{[-]} \\ \mathbf{c}^{[+]} \end{bmatrix}_{-e,+ \kappa}. \quad (39)$$

Note, however, that the condition in Equation (25) that ensures the C-symmetry, implies that one has to use the same exponents for both  $\pm\kappa$  Gaussian type functions, as has also been pointed out by Dyall [29]. This corresponds, in the terminology of Dyall to  $j$  bases, where exponents are optimized for the total angular momentum  $j$  quantum number [30], contrary to conventional basis sets where functions are optimized for orbital angular momentum  $\ell$ .

## 3. Computational Details

To illustrate our findings, we have written numerical codes using the Wolfram Mathematica program [31]. We built the matrix representations of the Dirac equation in the RKB, IKB, and DKB schemes, using spherical Gaussian functions—Equations (21) and (22)—and a point nucleus.

## 4. Results and Discussion

### 4.1. Kinetic Balance

We started by doing a simple free-particle calculation,  $\phi(r) = 0$ , within the RKB scheme. Using spherical Gaussian functions, we specify  $\zeta^\kappa = \{1, 2\}$ , with  $\kappa = \pm 1$  ( $s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ - and  $p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -type functions). By solving the generalized eigenvalue problem for each  $\kappa$ -block, we get the eigenvalues  $\epsilon_\kappa$  reported in Table 1. At first glance, one gets the impression that C-symmetry is respected, since eigenvalues come in pairs of opposite signs. However, the pairs occur for the *same*  $\kappa$  and not *opposite*  $\kappa$ , as predicted by

the C-symmetry. This is confirmed by inspection of the eigenvectors, as exemplified by showing the first two normalized eigenvectors of each  $\kappa$  block in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Eigenvalues of the free-particle restricted kinetic balance (RKB) calculation (in  $E_h$ ).

Eigenvalue No.	1	2	3	4	
$\kappa$	−1	18,784.744	−18,784.744	18,780.067	−18,780.067
	+1	18,786.676	−18,786.676	18,780.981	18,780.981

**Table 2.** Eigenvectors of the free-particle RKB calculation.

$\kappa$	No.	$\epsilon(E_h)$	Coefficients			
			$c_1^L$	$c_2^L$	$c_1^S$	$c_2^S$
−1	1	+18,784.744	4.9279	−10.2190	4.9271	−10.2174
	2	−18,784.744	0.0616	−0.1278	−393.7590	816.5380
+1	1	+18,786.676	−4.0603	13.2692	−4.0594	13.2665
	2	−18,786.676	0.0585	−0.1913	−281.4726	919.8612

We see clearly that the expected connection (C-conjugation) between positive and negative energy solutions does not hold here.

In order to understand the reason, we set  $\phi(r)$  to zero in the RKB matrix equation, whose elements are given Equations (A1)–(A2) of the Appendix A. We then get the following equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_e c^2 S_\kappa & T_\kappa^\kappa \\ T_\kappa^\kappa & -\frac{1}{2} T_\kappa^\kappa \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ c^S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} T_\kappa^\kappa \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ c^S \end{bmatrix} \epsilon_\kappa. \tag{40}$$

The first and second lines of the last equation give

$$T_\kappa^\kappa c^S = [\epsilon_\kappa - m_e c^2] S_\kappa c^L \tag{41}$$

$$T_\kappa^\kappa c^L = \frac{[\epsilon_\kappa + m_e c^2]}{2m_e c^2} T_\kappa^\kappa c^S, \tag{42}$$

and by combining these equations, we get

$$T_\kappa^\kappa c^L = \lambda_\kappa S_\kappa c^L, \quad \text{with } \lambda_\kappa = \frac{\epsilon_\kappa^2 - m_e^2 c^4}{2m_e c^2}. \tag{43}$$

We see that each eigenvalue  $\lambda_\kappa$  corresponds to two values  $\epsilon_\kappa = \pm \sqrt{2m_e c^2 \lambda_\kappa + m_e^2 c^4}$ . The corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\psi(\pm \epsilon_\kappa) = \mathcal{N}_\pm \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ \frac{2m_e c^2}{\pm \epsilon_\kappa + m_e c^2} c^L \end{bmatrix} \tag{44}$$

Although the eigenvalues exist in pairs, it is clear that the upper and lower components of two opposite energy solutions are not related by C-symmetry. In fact, as shown in Section 2.3.3, the RKB prescription does not generally respect C-symmetry.

Note that this pairing of energies can already be seen from the exact spherical free-particle solutions in Equation (9). Upon substitution  $E \rightarrow -E$  and keeping in mind that  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-m_e c^2, +m_e c^2]$  we see that the solution of flipped energy sign can be expressed in terms of the original one

$$\psi_{\kappa m_j}(r, -E) \propto \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\kappa m_j}^L(r, E) \\ \frac{E + m_e c^2}{-E + m_e c^2} \psi_{\kappa m_j}^S(r, E) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{45}$$

Doing the same calculation using the IBK prescription, we get the sets of eigenvalues shown in Table 3. The first two eigenvectors of each spectrum are shown in Table 4.

**Table 3.** Eigenvalues of the free-particle IKB calculation (in  $E_h$ ).

Eigenvalue No.	1	2	3	4
$\kappa$ -1	-18,786.676	18,786.676	-18,780.981	18,780.981
+1	-18,784.744	18,784.744	-18,780.067	18,780.067

**Table 4.** Eigenvectors of the free-particle IKB calculation.

$\kappa$	No.	$\epsilon(E_h)$	Coefficients			
			$c_1^L$	$c_2^L$	$c_1^S$	$c_2^S$
-1	1	-18,786.676	-4.0594	13.2665	-4.0603	13.2692
	2	18,786.676	-281.4726	919.8612	0.0585	-0.1913
+1	1	-18,784.744	4.9271	-10.2174	4.9279	-10.2190
	2	18,784.744	-393.7590	816.5380	0.0616	-0.1278

By comparing the eight eigenvectors we have chosen in Tables 2 and 4, we see that positive and negative-energy solutions that belong to opposite  $\kappa$ -sign blocks are related by  $C$ -symmetry. Indeed, taking into account the condition in Equation (25), we see that RKB and IKB matrices (Equations (A1)–(A4) in the Appendix A) are connected by  $C$ -symmetry; that is,

$$\sigma_x H_{-e,+ \kappa}^{RKB} \sigma_x = -H_{+e,- \kappa}^{IKB} \quad (46)$$

$$\sigma_x S_{+ \kappa}^{RKB} \sigma_x = S_{- \kappa}^{IKB}, \quad (47)$$

leading to the symmetry between RKB and IKB eigensystems

$$\epsilon_{+e,- \kappa}^{IKB} = -\epsilon_{-e,+ \kappa}^{RKB} \quad \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ c^S \end{bmatrix}_{+e,- \kappa}^{IKB} = \begin{bmatrix} c^S \\ c^L \end{bmatrix}_{-e,+ \kappa}^{RKB}. \quad (48)$$

This is in line with the observation by Sun et al. [13]. Since RKB and IKB are related by  $C$ -symmetry, a combination of the two prescriptions would conserve the  $C$ -symmetry. And this is exactly what the DKB is about (Equation (37)).

#### 4.2. Dual Kinetic Balance

We present two simple atomic calculations within the DKB prescription, where we used  $s_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -type spherical Gaussian functions (Equations (21) and (22)) with the same exponents  $\zeta = \{1, 2\}$ , and a point nucleus of charge  $Z = 1$ :

- Calculation 1: with  $-e$ ; electronic charge.
- Calculation 2: with  $+e$ ; positronic charge.

For each calculation, we get two sets of eigenvalues coming from each  $\kappa$ -block, shown in Table 5. We then pick the first eigenvalues of each set and show their corresponding eigenvectors in Table 6.

We see that the spectra in Table 5 do respect the  $C$ -symmetry; i.e.,  $\epsilon_{-e,+ \kappa} = -\epsilon_{+e,- \kappa}$ . Furthermore, we see that the eigenvectors associated with  $(-e, + \kappa)$  and  $(+e, - \kappa)$  are related according to Equation (39).

**Table 5.** Eigenvalues of the DKB calculation (in  $E_h$ ).

Charge	$\kappa$	Eigenvalues			
		1	2	3	4
$-e$	$-1$	$-18,788.264$	$18,782.511$	$-18,781.851$	$18,778.739$
	$+1$	$-18,787.149$	$18,785.113$	$-18,781.223$	$18,780.084$
$+e$	$-1$	$18,787.149$	$-18,785.113$	$18,781.223$	$-18,780.084$
	$+1$	$18,788.264$	$-18,782.511$	$18,781.851$	$-18,778.739$

**Table 6.** Eigenvectors of the DKB calculation.

Charge	$\kappa$	$\epsilon(E_h)$	Coefficients			
			$c_1^{[+]}$	$c_2^{[+]}$	$c_1^{[-]}$	$c_2^{[-]}$
$-e$	$-1$	$-18,788.264$	$1.235 \times 10^{-5}$	$-2.295 \times 10^{-5}$	$-3.882$	$13.106$
	$+1$	$-18,787.149$	$1.069 \times 10^{-5}$	$-3.745 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.489$	$-9.881$
$+e$	$-1$	$18,787.149$	$4.489$	$-9.881$	$1.069 \times 10^{-5}$	$-3.745 \times 10^{-5}$
	$+1$	$18,788.264$	$-3.882$	$13.106$	$1.235 \times 10^{-5}$	$-2.295 \times 10^{-5}$

## 5. Conclusions

We have investigated three basis set schemes for solving the Dirac equation in a central field—restricted, inverse, and dual kinetic balance—and their compatibility with charge conjugation symmetry, which connects solutions of opposite  $\kappa$  of the electronic and positronic problem. An interesting observation is that in the free-particle case, where the electronic and positronic problems coalesce, there is further symmetry such that pairs of eigenvalues of opposite sign also occur for the *same*  $\kappa$ . We are not aware of any discussion of this feature in the literature.

Charge conjugation symmetry can be realized within restricted and inverse kinetic balance, but only using special functions which do not respect the boundary conditions of the radial Dirac solutions and which are not useful for atomic and molecular calculations. Dual kinetic balance, on the other hand, is compatible with charge conjugation symmetry for any type of radial basis function, provided  $j$  bases are used.

An alternative to dual kinetic balance, denoted *dual atomic balance*, has been proposed by Dyal [29]: In this scheme restricted and inverse kinetic balance is used separately for positive and negative-energy solutions. This requires in principle two separate diagonalizations, followed by a final diagonalization in the dual basis. If one seeks to generate orbitals for use in QED calculations, then a possible simple alternative to the latter scheme is to first carry out a standard four-component relativistic calculation within restricted kinetic balance and electronic charge  $q = -e$  and only retain the positive-energy solutions. Then a second calculation is carried out, again within restricted kinetic balance, retaining only positive-energy solutions and with the same potential, but now using the positronic charge  $q = +e$ . This scheme then has the intriguing property that the final set of orbitals is restricted to observable, positive-energy solutions only. We plan to study these schemes in future work.

**Author Contributions:** Formal analysis, M.S. and T.S.; investigation, M.S.; project administration, T.S.; software, M.S.; writing—original draft, M.S.; writing—review and editing, T.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work is part of the project molQED funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, France).

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Alexander Efremov (Toulouse) and Mathieu Lewin (Paris) for critical reading of the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Appendix A. Matrix Eigenvalue Equations

### Appendix A.1. Kinetic Balance

The elements of the matrix representation of the radial Dirac equation in the RKB prescription are given by

$$H_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} m_e c^2 S^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} - e\phi^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & T_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} \\ T_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & -\frac{1}{2} T_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{4m_e^2 c^2} W_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} \end{bmatrix} \quad (A1)$$

$$S_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} S^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} T_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ c^S \end{bmatrix}_{-e,\kappa}, \quad (A2)$$

while in the IKB prescription the corresponding elements are

$$H_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} T_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{4m_e^2 c^2} W_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} & -T_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \\ -T_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} & -m_e c^2 S^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} - e\phi^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \end{bmatrix} \quad (A3)$$

$$S_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} T_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} c^L \\ c^S \end{bmatrix}_{-e,\kappa}. \quad (A4)$$

The matrix elements of the submatrices of both schemes are given by

$$[S^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} dr \quad (A5)$$

$$[\phi^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \phi(r) \pi_j^{X_\kappa} dr \quad (A6)$$

$$[T_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m_e} \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} - \frac{\kappa'(1+\kappa')}{r^2} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} \right] dr \quad (A7)$$

$$[W_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \right] \phi(r) \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} \right] dr. \quad (A8)$$

### Appendix A.2. Dual Kinetic Balance

The elements of the matrix representation of the radial Dirac equation in the DKB prescription are given by

$$H_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} m_e c^2 S^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} + \frac{3}{2} T_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} - e\phi^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{4m_e^2 c^2} W_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & \frac{\hbar}{2m_e c} [-eA_\kappa^{L_\kappa S_\kappa} + B_\kappa^{L_\kappa S_\kappa}] \\ \frac{\hbar}{2m_e c} [-eA_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa L_\kappa} - B_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa L_\kappa}] & -m_e c^2 S^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} - \frac{3}{2} T_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} - e\phi^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{4m_e^2 c^2} W_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \end{bmatrix} \quad (A9)$$

$$S_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} S^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} + \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} T_\kappa^{L_\kappa L_\kappa} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} + \frac{1}{2m_e c^2} T_{-\kappa}^{S_\kappa S_\kappa} \end{bmatrix} \quad (A10)$$

$$c_{-e,\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} c^{(+)} \\ c^{(-)} \end{bmatrix}_{-e,\kappa}, \quad (A11)$$

where the matrix elements of the submatrices are given by

$$[S^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} dr \quad (A12)$$

$$[\phi^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \phi(r) \pi_j^{X_\kappa} dr \quad (A13)$$

$$[T_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m_e} \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} - \frac{\kappa'(1+\kappa')}{r^2} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} \right] dr \quad (A14)$$

$$(A15)$$

$$[W_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa X_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \right] \phi(r) \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_j^{X_\kappa} \right] dr \quad (\text{A16})$$

$$[A_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa Y_\kappa}]_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \phi(r) \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_j^{Y_\kappa} - \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_j^{Y_\kappa} \right] dr + \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \right] \phi(r) \pi_j^{Y_\kappa} dr \quad (\text{A17})$$

$$[B_{\kappa'}^{X_\kappa Y_\kappa}]_{ij} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{dr} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'}{r} \pi_i^{X_\kappa} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \pi_j^{Y_\kappa} + \frac{\kappa'(1-\kappa')}{r^2} \pi_j^{Y_\kappa} \right] dr \quad (\text{A18})$$

## References

1. Kramers, H.A. The use of charge-conjugated wave-functions in the hole-theory of the electron. *Proc. R. Acad. Amst.* **1937**, *40*, 814–823. Available online: <https://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00017118.pdf> (accessed on 22 June 2020).
2. Schwarz, W.; Wallmeier, H. Basis set expansions of relativistic molecular wave equations. *Mol. Phys.* **1982**, *46*, 1045–1061. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Grant, I.P. Conditions for convergence of variational solutions of Dirac's equation in a finite basis. *Phys. Rev. A* **1982**, *25*, 1230–1232. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Stanton, R.E.; Havriliak, S. Kinetic balance: A partial solution to the problem of variational safety in Dirac calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 1910–1918. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Dyall, K.G.; Grant, I.P.; Wilson, S. Matrix representation of operator products. *J. Phys. B* **1984**, *17*, 493. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Lee, Y.S.; McLean, A.D. Relativistic effects on  $R_e$  and  $D_e$  in AgH and AuH from all-electron Dirac-Hartree-Fock calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 735–736. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Ishikawa, Y.; Binning, R.; Sando, K. Features of the energy surface in Dirac-Fock discrete basis description as applied to the Be atom. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *105*, 189–193. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Sucher, J. Foundations of the relativistic theory of many-electron atoms. *Phys. Rev. A* **1980**, *22*, 348–362. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Almoukhalalati, A.; Knecht, S.; Jensen, H.J.A.; Dyall, K.G.; Saue, T. Electron correlation within the relativistic no-pair approximation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *145*, 074104. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Schwinger, J. The Theory of Quantized Fields. I. *Phys. Rev.* **1951**, *82*, 914–927. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Hainzl, C.; Lewin, M.; Solovej, J.P. The mean-field approximation in quantum electrodynamics: The no-photon case. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **2007**, *60*, 546–596. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Dyall, K.G.; Fægri, K. Kinetic balance and variational bounds failure in the solution of the Dirac equation in a finite Gaussian basis set. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1990**, *174*, 25–32. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Sun, Q.; Liu, W.; Kutzelnigg, W. Comparison of restricted, unrestricted, inverse, and dual kinetic balances for four-component relativistic calculations. *Theor. Chem. Accounts* **2011**, *129*, 423–436. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Shabaev, V.M.; Tupitsyn, I.I.; Yerokhin, V.A.; Plunien, G.; Soff, G. Dual Kinetic Balance Approach to Basis-Set Expansions for the Dirac Equation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *93*, 130405. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Dirac, P.A.M. *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*; International Series of Monographs on Physics; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1930; p. 255.
16. Lüders, G. On the Equivalence of Invariance under Time Reversal and under Particle-Antiparticle Conjugation for Relativistic Field Theories. *Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk.* **1954**, *28*, 1–17.
17. Bell, J.S. Time reversal in field theory. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **1955**, *231*, 479–495. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Pauli, W. (Ed.) *Niels Bohr and the Development of Physics*; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1955; p. 30.
19. Pauli, W. Contributions mathématiques à la théorie des matrices de Dirac. *Ann. De L'institut Henri Poincaré* **1936**, *6*, 109–136. (In French)
20. Rose, M.E. Relativistic wave functions in the Continuous spectrum for the Coulomb field. *Phys. Rev.* **1937**, *51*, 484–485. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Grant, I.P. *Relativistic Quantum Theory of Atoms and Molecules: Theory and Computation*; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin, Germany, 2007; Volume 40. [[CrossRef](#)]

22. Messiah, A. *Quantum Mechanics; Dover Books on Physics*; Dover Publications: New York, NY, USA, 1999; Volume 2.
23. Rose, M.E. *Relativistic Electron Theory*; John Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1961.
24. Ishikawa, Y.; Quiney, H.M. On the use of an extended nucleus in Dirac–Fock Gaussian basis set calculations. *Int. J. Quant. Chem. Quant. Chem. Symp.* **1987**, *21*, 523–532. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Visscher, L.; Dyall, K.G. Dirac–Fock atomic electronic structure calculations using different nuclear charge distributions. *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **1997**, *67*, 207. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Visscher, L.; Aerts, P.J.C.; Visser, O.; Nieuwpoort, W.C. Kinetic balance in contracted basis sets for relativistic calculations. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1991**, *40*, 131–139. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Dyall, K.G. An exact separation of the spin-free and spin-dependent terms of the Dirac–Coulomb–Breit Hamiltonian. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100*, 2118–2127. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Kutzelnigg, W. Basis set expansion of the Dirac operator without variational collapse. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1984**, *25*, 107–129. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Dyall, K.G. A question of balance: Kinetic balance for electrons and positrons. *Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *395*, 35–43. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Dyall, K.G.; Fægri, K. Optimization of Gaussian basis sets for Dirac–Hartree–Fock calculations. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1996**, *94*, 39–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Wolfram Research, Inc. *Mathematica, Version 12.0*; Wolfram Research, Inc.: Champaign, IL, USA, 2019.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).