

# **Modelling the effect of demographic traits and connectivity on the genetic structuration of marine metapopulations of sedentary benthic invertebrates**

Mariana Padrón, Katell Guizien

### **To cite this version:**

Mariana Padrón, Katell Guizien. Modelling the effect of demographic traits and connectivity on the genetic structuration of marine metapopulations of sedentary benthic invertebrates. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 2016, 73 (7), pp.1935-1945.  $10.1093/icesjms/fsv158$ . hal-02955365

## **HAL Id: hal-02955365 <https://hal.science/hal-02955365v1>**

Submitted on 8 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



The genetic structure of populations is commonly used to infer connectivity among distant populations. Accounting for connectivity is essential in marine spatial planning and the proper design and management of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs), given that their effectiveness depends on the patterns of dispersal and colonisation between protected and non-protected areas. Here, we present a spatially explicit coupled metapopulation gene flow model that simulates the effect of demographic fluctuations on the allele frequencies of a set of populations. We show that in closed populations, regardless of population growth rate, the maintenance of genetic diversity at the initial saturating population density increases with species life expectancy as a result of densitydependent recruitment control. Correlatively, at low initial population density, the time at which a population begins to loose its genetic diversity is driven by recruitment success – the larger the recruitment success, the quicker the genetic drift. Different spatial structures of connectivity established for soft bottom benthic invertebrates in the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean, France) lead to very different results in the spatial patterns of genetic structuration of the metapopulation, with quick genetic drift in sites where the local retention rate was larger than 2%. The effect of recruitment failure and the loss of key source populations on heterozygosity confirm that transient 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

demographic fluctuations have a major effect on the maintenance of genetic diversity in a metapopulation. This study highlights the role of intra-specific settlement limitations due to lack of space when the effective number of breeders approaches saturating capacity, causing a strong reduction of effective reproduction. The present model allows to: 1) disentangle the relative contribution of local demography and environmental connectivity in shaping seascape genetics, and 2) perform *in silico* evaluations of different scenarios for marine spatial planning. 26 27 28 29 30 31

32

**Keywords:** connectivity, metapopulation, seascape genetics, spatially explicit model, sedentary benthic invertebrates, Gulf of Lions. 33 34

35

#### **Introduction** 36

Connectivity strongly impacts the dynamics and persistence of marine populations because it influences key processes, from short-term demography to long-term evolution, including resistance to disturbances and environmental changes. Therefore, understanding the development and maintenance of connectivity patterns is essential in marine spatial planning and the proper design and management of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) (Palumbi 2004; Botsford *et al.,* 2009). However, the quantitative study of connectivity and the identification of connectivity bottlenecks that could compromise the ability for populations to recover after disturbances are current scientific challenges (Pineda 2000; Burgess *et al.,* 2014). 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44

For sedentary marine benthic species, understanding connectivity translates into understanding larval dispersal, given that it is during the pelagic larval stage that the exchange of individuals and genes among populations takes place (Pineda *et al.,* 2007; Cowen and Sponaugle 2009). Hence, to analyse the patterns of connectivity among populations and study, in more detail, the mechanisms that shape and maintain connectivity patterns through time, the dispersal of organisms should be taken into account (Hastings and Botsford 2006; Aiken and Navarrete 2011). 45 46 47 48 49 50

Different approaches have been undertaken to try to quantify dispersal and connectivity in marine ecosystems. Population genetics has been one of the most frequently used tools to provide direct and indirect measures of connectivity in several marine species (Hellberg *et al.,* 2002; Saenz-Agudelo *et al.,* 2009; Lowe and Allendorf 2010). The dispersal potential of the larvae produced by sedentary benthic species has usually correlated with gene flow and was even used as a predictor of population genetic structure (Siegel *et al.,* 2003). 51 52 53 54 55 56

Using estimates of Pelagic Larval Duration (PLD) as a proxy to measure realised dispersal distance, several studies showed that the correlation between PLD and genetic Isolation by Distance (IBD) was consistent with the expectation that migration was the key factor driving the genetic structuration of marine populations (Hellberg 1996; Gilg and Hilbish 2003). However, recent data set reviews (Riginos *et al.,* 2011; Selkoe and Toonen 2011; Faurby and Barber 2012) have now shown that the relationship between PLD and genetic structuration might be weaker than previously thought. 57 58 59 60 61 62 63

The influence of several processes (e.g. larval behaviour, availability of suitable habitat, and biotic interactions) can cause the patterns of population connectivity to be different from what would be expected from flow integration along PLD alone (Pineda 2000; Guizien *et al.,* 2006). Among these processes, settlement or recruitment regulation of dispersal alters gene flow (Pineda *et al.,* 2007), in addition to the local demography. This temporal and spatial variability in the strength of gene and demographic flow due to bottlenecks during larval settlement and recruitment implies that there is a set of interacting processes operating across various scales, which introduces complexity into the system. Therefore, inferring connectivity by only one disciplinary approach (either genetic or bio-physic) does not provide the necessary information to properly describe population persistence in a network of MPAs (Hastings and Harrison 1994; Marko and Hart 2011). It is therefore necessary, in order to efficiently inform conservation management decisions, to use tools that integrate bio-physical models with information regarding local 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75

demographic traits driving genetic linkages that result from larval exchange among populations (Werner *et al.,* 2007; Cowen and Sponaugle 2009). However, detecting the genetic signatures caused by the transient dynamics of demographic changes remains a challenge (Alcala *et al.,* 2013). 76 77 78 79

Although genetic models incorporating larval dispersal and demographic parameters have recently been developed to quantify connectivity among marine populations (Galindo *et al.,* 2006; Kool *et al.,* 2009; Munroe *et al.,* 2012), they have some limitations when attempting to understand connectivity patterns among non-equilibrium populations. The model Galindo *et al.* (2006) developed, for example, does not take into account demographic variability, as population sizes were kept constant over time. The approach Kool (2009) developed, although allowing changes in population size, does not account for overlapping generations but, more importantly, being an Individual-Based Model (IBM), population sizes were limited to 10 individuals. Recently, Munroe *et al.* (2012), presented a modelling case study for Eastern oysters in Delaware Bay (USA) in which they relaxed population size limitations and assigned each population its own demographic dynamics. 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90

In an attempt to generalise Munroe *et al*.*'s* (2012) approach, we present a spatially explicit coupled demographic gene flow model that assesses the effect of demography variability on allele frequencies in a marine metapopulation of sedentary benthic species with realistic population densities. The seascape model was used to examine how: 1) demographic traits influence the development of genetic structure in closed populations over time, 2) different patterns of connectivity affect the loss or maintenance of genetic diversity in a metapopulation, 3) fluctuations in local population density help maintain genetic diversity over time and 4) local population stability impacts genetic diversity to provide relevant information for conservation decisions in the Gulf of Lions, France. 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99

#### **Methods** 101

*A seascape genetic model for benthic invertebrates with a pelagic larval phase*  102

To simulate the transient demographic effect on local allele frequencies arising from environmental variability modulating connectivity through time, a seascape genetic model was developed. The seascape model relies on the forcing of a spatially explicit dynamical model of allele probability by a spatially explicit metapopulation model (Guizien *et al.,* 2014, adapted from Hastings and Botsford 2006) (Fig. 1). The combination of these models allows us to project the development of a population genetic structure through time, resulting from demography dynamics driven by local survival and larval transfer on a regional scale. 103 104 105 106 107 108 109

The spatially explicit metapopulation model simulates the population density *Ni(t)* at each site *i* at any time *t* resulting from the balance of adult survival and input of new recruits resulting from reproduction of adults present in the previous time step. Therefore,  $N_i$  represents the adult census size per unit surface, and it is equal to the effective number of breeders per unit surface for the next reproductive event (as defined by Waples *et al*., 2013). It is important to note that such formulation implicitly hypothesized that age at first reproduction and the time lapse between reproductive events are both equal to the model time step, ensuring that effective number of breeders does not vary with population age structure. Larval transfer rate among sites is estimated from a set of realistic connectivity matrices obtained using the larval dispersal model (Guizien *et al.,* 2012), and recruitment success (inter-specific competition or predation) is limited by saturating population density (intra-specific competition) (Eq. 1 and 2) (Guizien *et al.,* 2014). 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120

121

122 
$$
N_i(t+1) = min(G(t) N_i(t), N_{max})
$$
 (1)

123

 $N_i(t+1)$  = Vector of spatial density of individuals at each site at time  $t+1$  [individual per  $\mathrm{m}^2$ ] 124 125



*T*=

 $\ln\left( \boldsymbol{P}_{i}\right)$ 

147

In the seascape genetics model, the frequency of each allele *k* is calculated at any given time in every site *i*, as a result of mixing between the surviving individuals with allele *k* in site *i* and the input of new recruits with allele *k*, coming from any other site (Eq. 5). Given the large number of larvae produced by each adult and the high larval survival values assigned (10%) compared to estimated regional retention rates (3%), genetic drift due to larval mortality is neglected and, thus, larval mortality is simulated as a proportional and uniform loss that is applied to the larval pool with allele *k* produced at each site. The effect of genetic drift is therefore simulated by the random sampling of the alleles lost during two different periods in the life cycle: larval dispersal and recruitment. Simplifying assumptions were that: 1) mating takes place randomly every year among diploid organisms, 2) allele frequencies are identical among sexes, 3) generations are discrete but overlapping, 4) populations are not age-structured, 5) given the relatively short period of time considered in the simulations (100 years), mutation is assumed to be negligible compared to genetic drift and migration, and thus set to zero and, 6) selection can be neglected. 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161

During larval dispersal, the pool of larvae with allele *k* produced by each site, remaining in the region (accounting for regional retention rate), is randomly sampled before being re-distributed among the destination sites according to the connectivity matrix (Eq. 6 and 7). The number of larvae with allele *k* that will survive dispersal is computed by sorting out the rank of the allele carried by the surviving larvae. During recruitment, saturating density limits the number of arriving larvae that can be recruited at each site, therefore, a random subset of alleles carried by the pool of larvae arriving at each site is actually recruited. 162 163 164 165 166 167 168

170  

$$
\left(S_{ii}N_{i(t)}p_{ik(t)}\right)+b_j\sum_j\frac{C_{ij}}{\sum_l C_{lj}\frac{S_l}{S_j}}n'_{jk}^i
$$

$$
p_{ik(t+1)}=-\frac{N_{i(t+1)}}{N_{i(t+1)}}
$$
(5)

where *n'*<sub>*jk*</sub> is a random number between 0 and  $n_{jk}$ , with the constraint that  $\sum_{k=1}^{k}$  $n'$ <sub>*jk*</sub><sup>*i*</sup>= $n'$ <sub>*j*</sub> *i*  $n'$ <sup>*i*</sup>= $A_j$  $a_j$  $\Biggl\{ \sum_l C_{lj}$ *Sl Sj*  $\Big|$  (6)  $n_{jk} = p_{jk} A_j a_j$  (7)  $P_{ik|t+1}$ = Frequency of allele *k* at site *i* at time *t*+1 [no units]  $P_{ik|t}$  = Frequency of allele *k* at site *i* at time *t* [no units]  $p_{ik}(t)$ = Frequency of allele *k* at site *j* at time *t* [no units]  $S_i$  = Surface area of site *i* [m<sup>2</sup>]  $A_j$  = Density of individuals in site *j* [individual per m<sup>2</sup>] *n ' jk*  $\mu$ <sup>i</sup> = Number of larvae produced per unit surface in site *j* having allele *k* that remain in the region and will survive until sexual maturity in site *i*  $n'$ <sub>*j*</sub>  $\mathbf{f}^i$  = Number of larvae produced per unit surface in site *j* that remain in the region and survive until sexual maturity in site *i*  $n_{jk}$ = Number of larvae with allele *k* produced in site *j* per unit surface *Test cases* The simulations were designed to evaluate the changes in allele frequencies due to variations in species demographic parameters and connectivity structure. Although this modelling approach applies to any sedentary species with a reproductive dispersive stage, to test the 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192

171

sensitivity of the model, we focused on marine benthic species with four different life expectancies (2, 5, 10 and 20 years) that reproduce each year. The values of minimum recruitment success were varied in order to test the effect of maximum population growth in the maintenance of genetic diversity over time. Minimum recruitment success was also the same in all populations (10%), although it was limited by saturating spatial density, which was set to Nmax=6250 individuals/ $m<sup>2</sup>$ per population. 193 194 195 196 197 198

Six groups of simulations were performed (Table 1). All simulations were run for 100 iterations, one iteration being the time lapse between two reproductive events. The initial genetic structure was described by ten different alleles present simultaneously in every site. In order to remove the potential bias due a specific initial condition for the genetic structure, simulations were repeated at least 100 times with different and random initial conditions of allele frequencies. 199 200 201 202 203

The first group consisted of simulations of a closed population (no larval inputs) in which life expectancy was raised from two to 20 years. Groups 2, 3A, 3B, 4A and 4B, consisted of simulations of a metapopulation of a species with a life expectancy of 10 years, distributed among 32 sites along the Gulf of Lions (northwestern Mediterranean) (Fig. 2), in which spatial structure was driven by connectivity only. These groups of simulations correspond to the test case of dominating species of the soft-bottom benthic communities in the Gulf of Lions (polychaetes). The continuous sandy-bottom habitat was described by sites of approximately the same width ( $\sim$  20 km) contiguously distributed along the coast spanning the 10 to 30 meters isobaths. Based on these criteria, the areas of the 32 sites ranged from  $6.2$  to  $121 \text{ km}^2$ . Survival and fecundity rates were the same among populations within the metapopulation and were kept constant over time in all of the simulations. 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214

Larval transfer between the 32 sites was computed from Lagrangian larval dispersal simulations based on regional hydrodynamic simulations performed with the coastal circulation model SYMPHONIE (Marsaleix *et al.,* 2008). Larval dispersal was simulated by releasing larvae 215 216 217

at the average site depth (20 m). Connectivity matrices containing larval transfer probabilities were produced for 20 sets of 10-day long spawning periods in 2004 and 2006 with an average PLD of four weeks (Guizien *et al.,* 2014). A total of 20 different connectivity matrices were used for this analysis. 218 219 220 221

Simulations of Group 2 were performed to evaluate the effect of the distinct connectivity structure on heterozygosity. In this case, connectivity was set deterministically using the same connectivity matrix every year (either one of the 20 variants) and, thus, simulations were only run 100 times. 222 223 224 225

Groups 3A, 3B, 4A and 4B were performed in order to test the effect of recruitment (3A and 3B) and habitat loss (4A and 4B) on metapopulation size and the maintenance of heterozygosity within a metapopulation over time. Metapopulation size was represented as average regional coverage, defined as the ratio between regional average population density and saturating density. Connectivity was set stochastically using a different connectivity matrix among the 20 variants every year and, thus, simulations were run 500 times to reach the convergence of the mean when combining stochasticity in connectivity and initial condition. Group 3A simulated gene flow among all populations, and recruitment success was constant every year. Group 3B explored a scenario where recruitment failure (no recruitment) occurred every three years. Group 4A simulated the loss of four populations (sites 17, 18, 19 and 20). These populations were identified as being essential for the regional persistence of species at minimum recruitment success in metapopulation modelling, comparing the vulnerability to habitat loss of four ports in the Gulf of Lions (Guizien *et al.,* 2014). Nonetheless, it is important to mention that for the present simulations, the values of minimum recruitment success and fecundity were set to ensure longterm persistence of the metapopulation after removing those four sites, unlike in Guizien *et al*. (2014). Group 4B evaluated a scenario where only one population (site 21) was lost from the metapopulation. This site was characterised by consistently showing the highest values of 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242

betweenness in the metapopulation when applying graph theory analysis to connectivity matrices (Costa*, pers. comm.*). 243 244

245

*Metrics and statistics* 246

Heterozygosity and allelic richness are two measures of genetic diversity commonly used in the population genetics literature, and in the present study both were used. Heterozygosity (Hei), was estimated taking into account all individuals at each site *i* over time (Eq. 7). 247 248 249

250

$$
\Box_{\Box} \left( \right) \sum_{\Box} (\Box_{\Box} \left( \right))^{\Box} \tag{7}
$$

252

where  $p_{ik}(t)$  = frequency of the *k*th allele of *n* alleles in site *i* at time *t* [no units]. 253

254

However, heterozygosity and allelic richness describe the state of genetic diversity of a population at a particular time and not its dynamics. In order to measure the speed at which genetic diversity varied as a function of demographic parameters (regulating genetic drift) and connectivity structure, new metrics describing the initial and average slope of the evolution of genetic diversity over time are introduced: 1) Allele Drifting Time (Td), which is the moment at which allelic diversity starts decreasing, meaning the time when the first allele is lost from the population or the metapopulation. 2) Allele Fixation Time (Tf), which is the time lapse between the first loss of an allele (Td) and the fixation of any allele (Fig. 3). 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262

These metrics were defined on allelic richness rather than heterozygosity to avoid ambiguity in defining genetic diversity reference points and given the known sensitivity of allelic richness to the loss of rare alleles (Allendorf 1986). 263 264 265

 $\square_{\square}() \sum_{\square}$ ❑  $\left(\square_{\square}(\cdot)\right]^\square p_{ik}(t)$ 266

#### **Results** 267

*Do differences in demographic parameters affect genetic diversity in closed populations?* 268

Figures 4A and 4B display the loss of genetic diversity in closed populations at initial saturating capacity as a function of the ratio between mortality (being complementary to unity of survival) and recruitment success. This ratio, having the dimensions of larvae/adult/year, depicts the origin of recruitment regulation (*sensu* by Hixon *et al.,* 2002) and informs on the relative contribution of intrinsic vs. extrinsic drivers: high values indicate recruitment regulation due to low recruitment success driven by inter-specific competition or predation, while low ratio values indicate recruitment regulation due to space limitation at a high population density (intra-specific competition). The observed relationship between the loss of genetic diversity (measured as Td) and recruitment success in these simulations, as it is defined in Equation 2, also reflects the relationship between Td and local retention in the case of an isolated population. 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278

Fig. 4A shows that the number of reproductive events after which the population begins to loose its genetic diversity (Td/Re) increases with the ratio between mortality and recruitment success. This demonstrates a reduction of genetic drift when recruitment success decreases for any given life expectancy. However, genetic drift is inhibited at high levels of recruitment success, as depicted by low and almost constant Td/Re for the lowest values of the ratio between mortality and recruitment success. Such minimum Td/Re is of the order of magnitude of the number of reproductive events in a life expectancy. For a recruitment frequency of 0.18, short-lived species (two years) could start loosing their genetic diversity after three years, while it would take around 14 years for a long-lived species (20 years). This reflects the effect of genetic drift inhibition by the reduction of reproductive events due to the lack of space caused by the presence of adults as life expectancy increases. 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289

Similarly, the maintenance of genetic diversity, measured by the number of reproductive events before allele fixation (Tf/Re), in closed populations at an initial saturating density increases 290 291

with life expectancy, regardless of whether the population growth rate is low  $(\leq 2.5)$  or high ( $\geq 2.5$ ) (Fig. 5). This confirms that genetic drift is limited by population inertia due to recruitment inhibition as a result of space limitation, as neither fecundity nor recruitment success affects the relationship. 292 293 294 295

 However, when dealing with overlapping generations, it must be taken into account that the reduction of genetic drift by space limitation during the first population turnover decreases with species longevity. This is exhibited by the different hierarchies between life expectancy curves when representing the time at which the population starts to loose its genetic diversity in terms of population turnover (adimensionalising Td by the life expectancy of the species) (Fig. 4B). Species with short life expectancies begin to experience a reduction of their genetic diversity after a higher number of population turnovers than do long-lived species, as fewer reproductive events occur during a short life expectancy compared to during a long one. This result confirms that genetic drift can be controlled by effective reproduction (which may be limited by long life expectancy or low recruitment success) and its frequency along the species lifespan in a context of finite population size. 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306

Different initial population densities also affect the time at which a population starts loosing genetic diversity (Fig. 6A) – for any value of the ratio between mortality and recruitment success, the lower the initial density of the population, the slower the loss of genetic diversity. This result points out that genetic drift is slower when considerable space is available for recruitment compared to at saturating density where space availability is regulated by mortality. Quantifying space availability by the time it will take a population to reach saturating density (6250 individuals/ $m<sup>2</sup>$ ) shows that it would take twice as long for a population with an initial density of 50 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> compared to a population with an initial density of 1000 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 6B). Allele drifting time increases linearly with the time necessary to reach saturating density. 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315

The population growth rate triggers the effect of initial population density when it comes 316

to the maintenance of genetic diversity, measured as Tf (Fig. 5). While in fast growing populations, the maintenance of genetic diversity is not sensitive to initial density, in slow growing populations, the maintenance of genetic diversity lasts longer and is more variable when the initial population size is small (50 individuals/ $m<sup>2</sup>$ ) compared to when it is at saturating density. Such sensitivity to initial population size is amplified at small life expectancies. 317 318 319 320 321

Overall, in closed populations, genetic drift is the quickest when the population is at saturating density with high recruitment success, slowing down at low recruitment success or when population size is lower than saturating density. This result demonstrates the importance of transient demography in regulating the speed of genetic drift. 322 323 324 325

326

*Do different patterns of connectivity affect the maintenance of genetic diversity in a metapopulation?* 327 328

Simulated heterozygosity patterns among populations forming a metapopulation varied according to each connectivity matrix. The two most contrasting spatial patterns with low heterozygosity values either in the west (A) or in the east (B) of the Gulf of Lions are shown in Fig. 7. A plausible explanation is the different spatial patterns of local retention (defined as the proportion of larvae produced locally that remain in the same spatial unit) vs. import (estimated as the proportion of all larvae produced among all sites that settled within the focal site). For connectivity matrix 1, the ratio between local retention and import was higher in the west than in the east (0.074 and 0.027, respectively). On the contrary, for connectivity matrix 2 the values of the same ratio were higher in the east compared to the west (0.069 and 0.0085, respectively). However, simulations demonstrated that the relationship between Td and import do not exhibit any trend with no linear correlation ( $R^2 = 0.011$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) (Figure S1). Similarly, Td was not linearly correlated to local retention rate values obtained from the analysis of the 20 connectivity matrices  $(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.031; P > 0.05)$ . However, Td was delimited by a piecewise function enabling the 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341

discrimination between two groups (Fig. 8) – populations with low levels of local retention  $($  < 2% $)$ that show high variability in the time they begin to loose their genetic diversity (Td/Le ranging from 0.2 to 50) and populations with high local retention  $(> 2\%)$  where genetic drift is much quicker and less variable (Td/Le ranging from 0.2 to 12). This indicates that the speed of genetic drift is regulated locally only at a high local retention rate (larger than 2%) while, for local retention rates lower than 2%, the strength of genetic drift depends on the regional structure of connectivity. According to the direction of the transfer and the genetic characterisation of the contributing populations, genetic drift will then be accelerated or slowed down in the focal population. 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350

351

*How does local population stability affect heterozygosity in a metapopulation?* 352

When looking into the variation of the average heterozygosity in the metapopulation through time for a species with a life expectancy of 10 years, it becomes clear that changes in population density have a major effect on the loss of genetic diversity in a metapopulation (Fig. 9). The rate at which a metapopulation reaches a stable regional coverage influences its possibility to maintain genetic diversity over time, even in the presence of migration. 353 354 355 356 357

 Overall, we observe that as soon as regional coverage reaches 80%, heterozygosity values decrease rapidly and genetic diversity is lost. Scenario A shows how, with constant recruitment success, the effect of migration among populations homogenises allele frequencies over time and leads to the fixation of the same allele in all populations over a relatively short period of time. Most interestingly, in Scenario B, we find that high variability in metapopulation regional coverage due to recurrent failures in recruitment actually helps maintain genetic diversity over time. Stochastic connectivity leading to demographical fluctuations in populations enables the maintenance of genetic diversity. 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365

366

On the other hand, Scenario C exemplifies how, in the Gulf of Lions, removing

populations (sites 17–20) responsible for bringing demographic persistence to the metapopulation at minimum recruitment success divides it into an eastern and western group, causing a slightly faster decrease of genetic diversity in the latter group than in the former. However, Scenario D shows how the loss of only one site, identified as essential for maintaining the integrity of the connectivity graph, indeed disrupts the genetic connectivity of the metapopulation by augmenting the differentiation between the eastern and western groups in terms of the loss of heterozygosity, with drifting starting earlier in the eastern part. In Scenarios C and B, recruitment success was set in order to ensure that the loss of sites within the metapopulation would still allow for species persistence. It should be noticed that in both scenarios, a similar constant metapopulation size was maintained, even if not at saturating density. The effect of migration in this case will also lead to the loss of genetic diversity in the metapopulation over time, although at a slower rate. 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377

378

#### **Discussion**  379

The present study highlights that genetic drift increases considerably with settlement and post-settlement limitations due to space availability when the effective number of breeders is approaches saturating capacity, and causes a strong reduction of effective population size. 380 381 382

Early authors had already shown how changes in genetic diversity are related to effective population size (Wright 1931; Kimura 1955). Nonetheless, it has been demonstrated theoretically that life cycle processes, like overlapping generations (Jorde and Ryman 1995), and demographic parameters can also have an effect on the amount of temporal allele frequency change and should be taken into account (Ryman 1997). Our results highlight the role of life cycle processes, other than age at first reproduction (Lee *et al*., 2011), in reducing effective population size and increasing the effect of genetic drift. In closed populations, recruitment intensity regulates the speed of genetic drift on genetic diversity, demonstrating the relevance of transient demography in shaping the genetic structuration of marine species. In open populations, genetic drift is slowed 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391

down as a result of larval exchange. The effect of recruitment failure and the loss of key source populations on heterozygosity confirm that transient demographic fluctuations, remaining above the risk of regional extirpation, actually help maintain genetic diversity over time. 392 393 394

Recently, several meta-analyses and empirical studies evaluating the relationship between PLD and population genetic differentiation across different marine species indicated a weak correlation between the two (Selkoe and Toonen 2011; Faurby and Barber 2012). In some cases, the high uncertainty in the estimates of larval dispersal and measures of population differentiation were suggested as the main causes for the unexpected results, while other studies suggested that differential mortalities and levels of abundance among populations were more relevant (Munroe *et al.,* 2012). The results from our simulations support these findings, while further demonstrating that considering other biological parameters and the inclusion of transient dynamics caused by other demographic parameters, such as life expectancy, fecundity, local retention and recruitment success, would help explain better the variability of genetic connectivity in a metapopulation. 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404

Dawson *et al. (*2014), found that differences in fecundity, population size and PLD could account for the differences in population genetic structure between eight co-distributed rocky intertidal invertebrate species in the eastern North Pacific. They found that population size was positively related to population genetic structure and they attributed the variability among species to differences in recruitment and demography. Furthermore, they highlighted that the differences in migration potential found between theoretical and empirical data could be attributed to the role of genetic drift and natural selection. Here, we corroborate their hypotheses by demonstrating how the strength of genetic drift is influenced by recruitment success, local retention and fluctuations in population density due to habitat fragmentation and recruitment. 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413

Our results confirm that genetic drift is controlled by effective reproduction, as it is observed when genetic diversity decreases due to a reduction of recruitment success caused by space limitation, rather than changes in the number of reproductive adults in the population. This is 414 415 416

particularly the case when the filtering during reproduction applies to the fate of the larvae and not on the adults contributing to reproduction. We demonstrate that a long life expectancy and low recruitment success regulate the temporal variation of allele frequencies and reduce the loss of genetic diversity over time. This provides an alternative explanation to empirical evidence of strong genetic structure in long-lived species, when recruitment success is limited by space availability. In fact, it has already been shown how a long-lived species, such as the red coral *Corallium rubrum*, can exhibit patterns of strong genetic differentiation at small spatial scales (tens of metres) in the Mediterranean Sea, suggesting that this could result from recruitment regulation by low population turnover (Costantini *et al.,* 2007). 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425

Population regulation occurs when at least one demographic rate is density-dependent (Hixon *et al.,* 2002). Clear evidence of genetic drift accelerating due to recruitment regulation is observed in our simulations for closed populations at the initial saturating density. Furthermore, the evaluation of the ratio between mortality and recruitment success allowed for disentangling the relative contribution of intrinsic (intra-specific competition) vs. extrinsic drivers (inter-specific competition or predation). 426 427 428 429 430 431

In open systems, it was already suggested that the amount of local retention could have a strong influence on the relative importance of demographic vs. connectivity parameters in the dynamics of metapopulations (Figueira 2009). Our results confirm those findings and indicate that local retention rate values larger than 2% diminish the influence of the connectivity structure over genetic drift, as genetic drift accelerates in those populations close to saturating capacity. This is particularly relevant for the spatial planning of MPAs. Whether an MPA is created for the conservation of biodiversity or for fishery management, its main purpose is to maintain the persistence of its populations. In the case of marine metapopulations, local retention is considered the currency of persistence: the higher the local retention, the closer the population is to its saturating capacity (Burgess *et al.,* 2014). Nonetheless, it is important to note that, as Figueira 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441

(2009) suggested, under the same flow structure, differences in PLD among species could cause distinct patterns of local retention for different species in the same place, making biodiversity conservation efforts even more difficult. In any case, the loss of genetic variability through genetic drift at a high local retention rate can diminish future adaptability to a changing environment, which might be detrimental to local conservation efforts, strongly advocating for a network of MPAs formed by sites with lower local retention rate. 442 443 444 445 446 447

The maintenance of genetic diversity in the metapopulation over at least 100 years in the case of regular recruitment failure, creating regional demographic fluctuations, was not unexpected. These results, incorporating realistic spatially explicit connectivity matrices, extend previous theoretical studies that found that demographic instability and fluctuations in migration produce major changes in the evolution of genetic variation and population differentiation (Whitlock 1992). Demographic disturbances due to population bottlenecks have been shown to induce strong genetic drift. In the present study, demographic disturbances around saturating capacity can reversely reduce the effect of genetic drift. Furthermore, our results suggests that there is a potential for opposing effects to population disturbances depending on the condition of the population prior to the disturbance: 1) accelerating genetic drift when population census size is high with recruitment limitation, or 2) decelerating genetic drift when population census size is low with no recruitment limitation. 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459

Given that disturbances can cause variations in key demographic and biological processes, disturbance history may be one of the major drivers shaping the patterns of genetic diversity in many natural populations (Banks *et al.,* 2013). The pattern of genetic diversity maintenance over time observed in our simulations has been reported in an empirical study on natural populations of frogs, where no losses of genetic diversity after a disturbance were found when survival was high or when recovering populations recruited many individuals from multiple sources (Spear *et al.,* 2012). In addition, Larson *et al.* (1984) suggested, based on a survey of 22 460 461 462 463 464 465 466

species of salamanders, that historical influences are much more important in describing genetic variation patterns than is recent migration. 467 468

Previous studies in the region identified the spatial structuration of population vulnerability to habitat loss driven by hydrodynamical connectivity. Four sites essential for species regional persistence at minimum recruitment success were identified from metapopulation modelling around the port of Sète (sites 17–20, Guizien *et al.,* 2014). However, analysis of the same connectivity graph used in the metapopulation model depicted those four sites as high bridging centrality, spanning across the two subclusters of the region. Another site (site 21) was instead identified for maintaining network integrity, as depicted by the highest betweeness (Costa, *pers. comm*). Here, we show that both the loss of four essential populations and the loss of the highest betweenness site divide the metapopulation into two genetically distinct subpopulations (eastern and western). Nevertheless, the loss of only site 21 leads to a higher level of genetic differentiation in the metapopulation. This result suggests that the highest betweeness of hydrodynamical connectivity graph could be interpreted for relaying gene flow and maintaining genetic connectivity, similar to how Rozenfeld *et al*. (2008) interpreted the highest betweenness of genetic distance. This example encourages further investigation of the potential of graph analysis of hydrodynamical connectivity matrices to identify sites important for conservation of genetic diversity. 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484

On a local scale, high local retention, which helps maintain a species in isolated protected marine areas, accelerates genetic drift and as a result, accelerates the reduction of genetic diversity. At the network level, the site having the most important role in heterozygosity maintenance (highest betweeness) did not correspond to those sites identified as essential for regional persistence in the metapopulation. The combination of these findings poses a question for management: in order to protect species persistence and genetic diversity, should we be seeking a compromise between preserving populations that are low in terms of diversity but are also 485 486 487 488 489 490 491

demographically stable vs. highly diverse but unstable populations? The present model, by combining demography and gene flow in realistic conditions allows to 1) disentangle the relative contribution of local demography and environmental connectivity in shaping seascape genetics, and 2) perform *in silico* evaluations of different scenarios for marine spatial planning. 492 493 494 495

496

#### **Acknowledgments** 497

This work was (co-)funded through a MARES Grant. MARES is a Joint Doctorate programme selected under Erasmus Mundus coordinated by Ghent University (FPA 2011-0016). Check [www.mares-eu.org](http://www.mares-eu.org/) for extra information. This work was also partly funded by the French National Program LITEAU IV of the Ministère de l'Ecologie et de l'Environnement Durable under project RocConnect—Connectivité des habitats rocheux fragmentés du Golfe du Lion (PI, K. Guizien, Project Number 12-MUTS-LITEAU-1-CDS-013). We would like to thank [Proof-reading](http://Proof-reading-service.com/)[service.com](http://Proof-reading-service.com/) for English edition and corrections of the manuscript, and Dr. Lorenzo Bramanti for an early critical review of the manuscript. 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505

506

#### **References** 507

- Aiken, C., and Navarrete, S. 2011. Environmental fluctuations and asymmetrical dispersal: 508
- Generalized stability theory for studying metapopulation persistence and marine protected areas. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 428: 77–88. 509 510
- Alcala, N., Streit, D., Goudet, J., and Vuilleumier, S. 2013. Peak and persistent excess of genetic diversity following an abrupt migration increase. Genetics, 193: 953–971. 511 512
- Banks, S. C., Cary, G. J., Smith, A. L., Davies, I. D., Driscoll, D. A., Gill, A. M., Lindenmayer, D. 513
- B., *et al.* 2013. How does ecological disturbance influence genetic diversity? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 28: 670–679. 514 515
- Botsford, L., White, J., Coffroth, M., Paris, C., Planes, S., Shearer, T., Thorrold, S., *et al.* 2009. 516
- Connectivity and resilience of coral reef metapopulations in marine protected areas: Matching empirical efforts to predictive needs. Coral Reefs, 28: 327–337. 517 518
- Burgess, S., Nickols, K., Griesemer, C., Barnett, L., Dedrick, A., Satterthwaite, E., Yamane, L., *et* 519
- *al.* 2014. Beyond connectivity: How empirical methods can quantify population persistence to 520
- improve marine protected-area design. Ecological Applications, 24: 257–270. 521
- Costantini, F., Fauvelot, C., and Abbiati, M. 2007. Genetic structuring of the temperate gorgonian 522
- coral (*Corallium rubrum*) across the western Mediterranean Sea revealed by microsatellites 523
- and nuclear sequences. Molecular Ecology, 16: 5168–5182. 524
- Cowen, R., and Sponaugle, S. 2009. Larval dispersal and marine population connectivity. Annual Review of Marine Science, 1: 443–466. 525 526
- Dawson, M., Hays, C., Grosberg, R., and Raimondi, P. 2014. Dispersal potential and population 527
- genetic structure in the marine intertidal of the eastern North Pacific. Ecological Monographs, 84: 435–456. 528 529
- Faurby, S., and Barber, P. 2012. Theoretical limits to the correlation between pelagic larval duration and population genetic structure. Molecular Ecology, 21: 3419–3432. 530 531
- Figueira, W. 2009. Connectivity or demography: Defining sources and sinks in coral reef fish metapopulations. Ecological Modelling, 220: 1126–1137. 532 533
- Galindo, H., Olson, D., and Palumbi, S. 2006. Seascape genetics: A coupled oceanographicgenetic model predicts population structure of Caribbean corals. Current Biology, 1622–1626. 534 535
- Gilg, M., and Hilbish, T. 2003. The geography of marine larval dispersal-coupling genetics with fine-scale physical oceanography. Ecology, 1–11. 536 537
- Guizien, K., Belharet, M., Marsaleix, P., and Guarini, J. M. 2012. Using larval dispersal 538
- simulations for marine protected area design: Application to the Gulf of Lions (northwest 539
- Mediterranean). Limnology and Oceanography, 57: 1099–1112. 540
- Guizien, K., Belharet, M., Moritz, C., and Guarini, J. M. 2014. Vulnerability of marine benthic 541
- metapopulations: Implications of spatially structured connectivity for conservation practice in 542
- the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean Sea). Diversity and Distributions, 1–11. 543
- Guizien, K., Brochier, T., Duchêne, J. C., Koh, B. S., and Marsaleix, P. 2006. Dispersal of *Owenia* 544
- *fusiformis* larvae by wind-driven currents: Turbulence, swimming behaviour and mortality in 545
- a three-dimensional stochastic model. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 311: 47–66. 546
- Hastings, A., and Botsford, L. 2006. Persistence of spatial populations depends on returning home. 547
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103: 6067–6072. 548
- Hastings, A., and Harrison, S. 1994. Metapopulation dynamics and genetics. Annual Review of 549
- Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 25: 167–188. 550
- Hellberg, M. 1996. Dependence of gene flow on geographic distance in two solitary corals with 551
- different larval dispersal capabilities. Evolution, 50: 1167–1175. 552
- Hellberg, M., Burton, R., Neigel, J., and Palumbi, S. 2002. Genetic assessment of connectivity among marine populations. Bulletin of Marine Science, 70: 273–290. 553 554
- Hixon, M., Pacala, S., and Sandin, S. 2002. Population regulation: Historical context and contemporary challenges of open vs. closed systems. Ecology, 83: 1490–1508. 555 556
- Jorde, P., and Ryman, N. 1995. Temporal allele frequency change and estimation of effective size 557
- in populations with overlapping generations. Genetics, 139: 1077–1090. 558
- Kimura, M. 1955. Random genetic drift in multi-allelic locus. Evolution, 9: 419–435. 559
- Kool, J. 2009. An object-oriented, individual-based approach for simulating the dynamics of genes 560
- in subdivided populations. Ecological Informatics, 4: 136–146. 561
- Larson, A., Wake, D., and Yanev, K. 1984. Measuring gene flow among populations having high 562
- levels of genetic fragmentation. Genetics, 106: 293–308. 563
- Lee, A., Engen, S., and Saether, B. 2011. The influence of persistent individual differences and age 564
- at maturity on effective population size. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sci-565
- ences, 278: 3303–3312. 566
- Lowe, W., and Allendorf, F. 2010. What can genetics tell us about population connectivity? Molecular Ecology, 19: 3038–3051. 567 568
- Marko, P., and Hart, M. 2011. The complex analytical landscape of gene flow inference. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 26: 448–456. 569 570
- Marsaleix, P., Auclair, F., Floor, J., Herrmann, M., Estournel, C., Pairaud, I., and Ulses, C. 2008. Energy conservation issues in sigma-coordinate free-surface ocean models. Ocean Modelling, 20: 61–89. 571 572 573
- Munroe, D., Klinck, J., Hofman, E., and Powell, E. 2012. The role of larval dispersal in metapopulation gene flow: Local population dynamics matter. Journal of Marine Research, 1– 2441–4677. 574 575 576
- Palumbi, S. 2004. Marine reserves and ocean neighborhoods: The spatial scale of marine populations and their management. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 29: 31– 68. 577 578 579
- Pineda, J. 2000. Linking larval settlement to larval transport: assumptions, potentials and pitfalls. Oceanography of the Eastern Pacific, 84–105. 580 581
- Pineda, J., Hare, J., and Sponaugle, S. 2007. Larval transport and dispersal in the coastal ocean and consequences for population connectivity. Oceanography, 20: 22–39. 582 583
- Riginos, C., Douglas, K., Jin, Y., Shanahan, D., and Treml, E. 2011. Effects of geography and life history traits on genetic differentiation in benthic marine fishes. Ecography, 34: 566–575. 584 585
- Rozenfeld, A., Arnaud-Haond, S., Hernández-García, E., Eguíluz, V., Serrão, E., and Duarte, C. 586
- 2008. Network analysis identifies weak and strong links in a metapopulation system. 587
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105: 18824–18829. 588
- Ryman, N. 1997. Minimizing adverse effects of fish culture: Understanding the genetics of 589
- populations with overlapping generations. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 54: 1149–1159. 590
- Saenz-Agudelo, P., Jones, G., Thorrold, S., and Planes, S. 2009. Estimating connectivity in marine 591
- populations: an empirical evaluation of assignment tests and parentage analysis under different gene flow scenarios. Molecular Ecology, 18: 1765–1776. 592 593
- Selkoe, K., and Toonen, R. 2011. Marine connectivity: A new look at pelagic larval duration and genetic metrics of dispersal. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 436: 291–305. 594 595
- Siegel, D., Kinlan, B., Gaylord, B., and Gaines, S. 2003. Lagrangian descriptions of marine larval dispersion. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 260: 83–96. 596 597
- Spear, S., Crisafulli, C., and Storfer, A. 2012. Genetic structure among coastal tailed frog 598
- populations at Mount St. Helens is moderated by post-disturbance management. Ecological Applications, 22: 856–869. 599 600
- Waples, R., Luikart, G., Faulkner, J., and Tallmon, D. 2013. Simple life-history traits explain key 601
- effective population size ratios across diverse taxa. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Bio-602
- logical Sciences, 280: 20131339. 603
- Werner, F., Cowen, R., and Paris, C. 2007. Coupled biological and physical models. Oceanography, 1–16. 604 605
- Whitlock, M. 1992. Temporal fluctuations in demographic parameters and the genetic variance 606
- among populations. Evolution, 46: 608–615. 607
- Wright, S. 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics, 16: 97–159. 608

**Table 1.** Settings of six simulation groups. Connectivity, when present, was set either 610

deterministically (D) using the same matrix every year (either one of the 20 variants) or 611

stochastically (S) picking a different matrix among the 20 variants every year. Disturbance 612

scenarios were only applied to the groups with stochastic connectivity. 613

614





620 621



623

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of seascape genetic model. The frequency of each allele k is calculated at any given time in every site i, as a result of mixing between the 624 625

surviving individuals with allele k in site I and to the input of new recruits with allele k, coming from any other site. 626 627

628

- 630
- 631



Figure 2. Effect of different life expectancies on the loss of genetic diversity, measure as allele drifting time (T d ), for an isolated population at initial saturating capacity (6250 ind. m 22 ). Adimentionalizing allele drifting time by the number of reproductive events (T d /Re) (a) represents the differences in the number of generations it takes for a species with different life expectancies to start loosing its genetic diversity as a function of the ratio between mortality and recruitment success. In contrast, adimentionalizing allele drifting time by the life expectancy  $(T d / Le)$  of a species (b) provides a different vision corresponding to population turnover. 



Effect of population growth rate over allele fixation time  $(T f)$  for three different initial conditions of population abundance (50, 1000, and 6250 ind.  $m<sup>2</sup>$ ) as a function of species life expectancy (Le) in a closed population. 

- 
- 



**Figure 4.** Effect of different initial population abundances (50, 1000, and 6250 ind. m<sup>-2</sup>) on the loss of genetic diversity, measured as allele drifting time  $(T d)$ , as a function of (a) the ratio between mortality and recruitment success  $[(1-s)/b]$ , and (b) the time to reach saturating capacity (T). T d is adimensionalized by the life expectancy (T d /Le) of a species that lives 10 years, thus representing the number of population turnovers to start loosing genetic diversity in an isolated population. 



**Figure 5.** Spatial pattern of genetic diversity caused by distinct connectivity structures. Variability of heterozygosity for 32 sites forming a metapopulation for a species with a life expectancy of 5 years. 

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 



**Figure 6.** Relationship between local retention (LR; defined as the proportion of larvae produced in one population that remain in the same population) and the number of population turnovers to start loosing genetic diversity (T d /Le), in a metapopulation over time. Values correspond to 20 connectivity matrices, and species with three different life expectancies (2, 5, and 20 years). Dashed arrow indicates the threshold at which the effect of LR on T d /Le begins to decrease. 

 

 

- 
- 

- 
- 



Figure 7. Effect of fluctuations in local population stability on heterozygosity over time. Scenarios: (a) Simulates gene flow among all of the populations forming a metapopulation with constant recruitment success every year. (b) Simulates recruitment failure (no recruitment) every 3 years. (c) Simulates the loss of four source sites (sites 17– 20) in the metapopulation, while keeping recruitment success constant every year. (d) Simulates the loss of one key population (site 21) for maintaining genetic connectivity in the metapopulation. 

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-