

Drying-induced bending deformation of cellulose nanocrystals studied by molecular dynamics simulations

Yu Ogawa, Yoshiharu Nishiyama, Karim Mazeau

▶ To cite this version:

Yu Ogawa, Yoshiharu Nishiyama, Karim Mazeau. Drying-induced bending deformation of cellulose nanocrystals studied by molecular dynamics simulations. Cellulose, 2020, 27 (17), pp.9779-9786. 10.1007/s10570-020-03451-9 . hal-02951214

HAL Id: hal-02951214 https://hal.science/hal-02951214

Submitted on 1 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Drying-induced bending deformation of cellulose nanocrystals studied by

2 molecular dynamics simulations

- 3 Yu Ogawa,* Yoshiharu Nishiyama,* Karim Mazeau
- 4 Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CERMAV, 38000, Grenoble, France
- 5 *Corresponding authors: (Y.O.) yu.ogawa@cermav.cnrs.fr

6 (Y.N.) yoshiharu.nishiyama@cermav.cnrs.fr

7 ORCID: (Y.O.) 0000-0003-0677-7913 (Y.N.) 0000-0003-4069-2307

8

9 ABSTRACT

10 Drying cellulosic materials from their water-swollen state can collapse their ultrastructure 11 and alter their macroscopic material properties such as mechanical strength and water-12 retention ability. However, at the single-crystal or molecular level, little is known about the 13 deformation of cellulose upon drying. We thus investigate herein the drying-induced 14 deformation of a cellulose crystal by using an atomistic molecular dynamics simulation that 15 considers a hydrated system composed of two short cellulose crystals, a lower one fixed to a 16 flat substrate and an upper one free to deform. To mimic vacuum drying, the water is 17 gradually removed from the system. As the drying proceeds, the upper cellulose crystal 18 bends and forms a tight contact with the lower cellulose crystal. This result underlines the 19 importance of lateral deformation of cellulose crystals in the collapse of the cellulose 20 ultrastructure and provides insights into the molecular mechanisms responsible for 21 modifying the properties of cellulose materials.

22

23 Keywords: cellulose; drying; lateral deformation; molecular dynamics

24 INTRODUCTION

25 Cellulose is extracted and processed in the aqueous condition in many industrial processes, from chemical pulping to fiber and textile production to nanocellulose 26 27 production. As a result, producing cellulosic materials often requires drying or water 28 removal. Drying cellulosic materials modifies its physical properties; for instance, in the 29 process of paper manufacturing, pulp fibers stiffen and shrink laterally upon drying (Minor, 30 1994; Giacomozzi and Joutsimo, 2017). In addition, once dried, the pulp does not fully 31 recover to the original state upon rewetting, and repeated drying and wetting produces a 32 more rigid and brittle material. This phenomenon, called "hornification," poses a challenge to paper recycling because hornified fibers do not reswell to their initial hydrated state 33 34 when resuspended in water, which weakens recycled paper. Such degradation of the 35 material properties upon conventional drying (air, oven, contact, etc.) occurs not only with 36 pulp fibers but also with different cellulosic materials such as hydrogels and nanocellulose 37 materials (Peng et al., 2012; Rämänen et al., 2012). Retaining the fine structure of cellulosic 38 materials and minimizing the impact of drying often requires more gentle and costly drying 39 methods such as freeze-drying or critical-point drying (Jin et al., 2004; Heath and 40 Thielemans, 2010; Hoepfner et al., 2008; Beaumont et al., 2017). Conversely, simple air 41 drying also produces some exceptional material properties in cellulosic materials. For 42 example, a cast film of cellulose nanofiber dried from an aqueous suspension forms an 43 exceptionally high barrier against oxygen gas (Fukuzumi et al., 2009), which means that this 44 simple drying method packs the cellulose nanofibers tightly enough to prevent gas 45 molecules from permeating through the film.

46 These drying-induced modifications in the mechanical properties are due to
47 microscopic, irreversible structural changes within cellulosic materials and at various length

scales (Hult et al., 2003; Newman, 2004; Oksanen et al., 1997). Given that, compared with 48 49 the rigidity of cellulose the surface tension of water is very significant at the nanometric 50 scale, the capillary force can collapse the ultrastructures such as micropores and nanofiber 51 networks, as has been observed by using various analytical techniques (e.g., microscopy, 52 nitrogen adsorption, solid-state NMR spectroscopy, differential scanning calorimetry) (Häggkvist et al., 1998; Lovikka et al., 2016; Park et al., 2006). Although this interpretation of 53 the drying effect is well accepted, what remains unclear is how cellulose deforms at the 54 55 single-crystal and molecular levels in these collapsed structures. Recent reports based on 56 transmission electron microscopy and scanning probe microscopy testify to the increasing interest in the nanoscale deformation of cellulose crystals (Mattos et al., 2019; Ogawa, 57 58 2019; Smith et al., 2019). Unfortunately, limitations in characterization methods (e.g., 59 spatial resolution) and the intrinsic heterogeneity of cellulose morphology hinder the 60 understanding of such deformations on a molecular level. More detailed molecular-level 61 descriptions are thus needed to fully understand the deformation mechanism of cellulose 62 crystals and how it affects material properties.

63 We recently demonstrated that, for cellulose crystals, force-field-based atomistic 64 simulations provide structural insights into various deformation modes such as shear and 65 bending deformations (Chen et al., 2016; 2018; Molnár et al., 2018). These computational 66 studies indicate that crystalline cellulose is highly pliant in its lateral plane, contrary to the general assumption that crystalline cellulose forms rigid rods. In the present study, we use 67 68 atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) to simulate a simplified system to determine the 69 deformation of cellulose crystals upon drying. The results indicate that crystalline cellulose 70 undergoes a bending deformation upon gradual removal of water from a hydrated system 71 composed of two short cellulose crystals.

73 COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

74 Simulation setup

75 All simulations were done with GROMACS (Abraham et al., 2015) using the GROMOS 76 56Acarbo force field (Hansen and Huenenberger, 2011), including the optimized Lennard-77 Jones repulsive parameter for the united CH1 atom type (Chen et al., 2014). This optimized 78 GROMOS force field has already been used to investigate the lateral deformation of 79 cellulose crystals in vacuum, which is similar to the simulation presented herein (Chen et al., 80 2016; Molnár et al., 2018). Although not designed specifically to model such a solid-vacuum interface, the deformation of cellulose crystals given by the force field is quantitatively 81 82 consistent with the results of finite-element analysis and qualitatively consistent with 83 transmission electron microscopy observations of kinked cellulose crystals (Chen et al., 84 2016). Thus, we believe that it can reproduce the deformation of cellulose crystals induced 85 by dehydration. MD simulations were done in the *NVT* ensemble with a 2 fs integration time step. The 86 stochastic velocity-scaling algorithm of Bussi et al. (2007) was used to maintain the 87 88 temperature at 300 K, and O-H bonds were constrained by using the LINCS algorithm (Hess 89 et al., 1997). The long-range electrostatic interactions and Lennard-Jones interactions were 90 both calculated by using the cutoff method with a cutoff distance of 0.9 nm. The analyses were done by using GROMACS and in-house scripts. The MD structures were visualized by 91 92 using PyMOL (PyMOL ver. 2.1.0).

93

94 Model construction

95 The cellulose microfibril model of the major native allomorph IB was built from crystal 96 structures (Nishiyama et al., 2002) by considering the dominant hydrogen-bond network, 97 pattern A. The crystal had dimensions of approximately 3.5 nm × 3.5 nm × 20 nm and 98 consisted of 36 chains (6 x 6), each 40 residues long, exposing the $(1 \ 1 \ 0)$ and $(1 \ -1 \ 0)$ 99 surfaces. Note that the crystal width is similar to that of higher plant cellulose microfibrils 100 (\approx 3 nm), whereas the crystals are much shorter than natural cellulose microfibrils. This crystal model was duplicated and two crystals were positioned parallel to each 101 other, separated by 1.8 nm, in a small simulation box $(4.5 \times 9.5 \times 22 \text{ nm}^3)$, as shown in Fig. 102 103 1a. The system was then solvated with simple-point-charge water (Berendsen et al., 1981), 104 and the energy was minimized by using the steepest descent method followed by the 105 conjugate gradient method, with the convergence criterion being a maximum force of 10 kJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻¹. The positions of the selected atoms were then constrained as shown in Fig. 106 107 1b to maintain the separation between the central sections of the crystals, and this position 108 constraint was retained in the following MD simulations. The system was then stepwise 109 heated in the NPT ensemble from 0 to 300 K in 20 ns. The pressure was regulated by using a 110 Berendsen barostat with a relaxation time of 2.0 ps (Berendsen et al., 1984).

111

112 General strategy for modeling the drying process

To demonstrate the drying-induced deformation of cellulose, we studied the simple model shown in Figure 1. As illustrated in Fig. 1a, we considered a geometry where an upper cellulose crystal is positioned parallel to and above another crystal laid on a flat substrate, and a crystal is sandwiched crossways between the two parallel crystals to maintain a constant separation between them. We used this crossed-fibril geometry to mimic the random orientation of cellulose crystals in a film drop-casted from aqueous suspensions

(Fukuzumi et al., 2009). In the model studied, all atomic positions in the bottom crystal were constrained except those at the upper surface that faced the upper crystal. The third crystal was treated implicitly to reduce the system size. The inter-crystal spacing was maintained by constraining the atomic positions of four residues at the center of each molecular chain on the bottom layer of the upper crystal. The side surfaces of the cellulose crystals were hydrophilic (1 –1 0) and (1 1 0), and the surfaces facing the opposing crystal were both (1 1 0) surfaces.

126 Water evaporation was modeled by stepwise removal of water molecules in the gas 127 phase. First, the hydrated system was heated to 300 K, and then the volume of the simulation box was expanded fourfold (10 × 15 × 25 nm) to generate vacuum space. The MD 128 129 simulation was then executed at a constant volume at 300 K but was stopped every 100 ps 130 to remove isolated water molecules ("isolated" water molecules were defined as those with 131 no neighbor molecule within 1 nm). Upon restarting the simulation, the atomic velocities 132 from the previous MD trajectory were retained, so the system temperature remained 133 essentially constant at 300 K.



135 Figure 1. Model used to simulate drying of cellulose crystals: (a) Schematic drawing showing 136 geometry of simulation. (b) Initial dimensions of simulation box containing two crystals and water molecules. For clarity, only the C1 atoms of cellulose (green) and the oxygen atoms of 137 138 water (red) appear in these snapshots. (c) Constraints on atomic positions within cellulose 139 crystals. The positions of the magenta glucose residues were constrained in the x, y, and z 140 directions. For simplicity, only C1 atoms (green) are shown for each residue, but the 141 constraints were applied to all atoms in the residues. The left and right extremities of the 142 upper (lower) surface of the lower (upper) cellulose crystal are called the reducing and nonreducing ends, respectively. The cellulose crystals are maintained in this orientation in all 143 144 figures presented herein.

145

146 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 2 summarizes the general features of the drying process. As shown in Fig. 2a, the number of water molecules decreases monotonically in time, indicating that the strategy adopted is suitable for simulating the gradual removal of water on the nanometer scale. Almost all water molecules are removed after 340 ns (32 and 8 water molecules remain at 340 and 350 ns, respectively). Figure 2b shows snapshots of the simulated system during the drying process and reveals a bending deformation of the upper cellulose crystal and the heterogeneous removal of water.





¹⁵⁵

156 **Figure 2.** Result of drying simulation: (a) Number of water molecules as a function of time.

- 157 (b) Snapshots of simulated cellulose-crystal system during drying.
- 158

159 Deformation of cellulose crystal

- 160 At 10 ns, the upper cellulose crystal deforms from its initial linear form into a
- 161 continuous curve (Fig. 3a). This deformation occurs immediately upon starting the
- simulation as can be seen in the bending angle evolution in Fig. 3b. The curved morphology
- 163 is imposed by the water droplet to minimize the area of the liquid surface. This result

indicates that the surface tension of water suffices to cause a lateral deformation of very
short cellulose crystals. At this point, the upper cellulose crystal becomes convex with
respect to the lower cellulose crystal and roughly symmetric with respect to the center of
the crystal (Fig. 3b).

168 The curved morphology persists until about 150 ns, at which point most water molecules have been removed from the upper and side faces of the upper crystal. After 169 170 ns the crystal becomes asymmetrically bent about its center. The lower crystal surface 170 171 at the non-reducing end (right-hand end) approaches to within significantly less than 0.9 nm 172 of the upper surface of the bottom crystal, which is the cutoff distance for non-bonding interactions in this simulation. At 220 ns (Fig. 3), the edge of the non-reducing end of the 173 174 upper crystal makes contact with the bottom crystal. The bending angle of the upper crystal 175 increases from 4° in the initial curved state (i.e., at 10 ns) to 10°. The reducing end of the 176 upper crystal has moved upward, away from the bottom crystal. This displacement of the 177 reducing end is initiated by the rupture of the continuous water layer that previously forced the curved morphology of the upper crystal. As the drying proceeds further, the crystal-178 179 contact area expands and produces surface-to-surface contact (Figs. 3a and 4b). After 240 180 ns, this contact area remains constant because the bending angle for the non-reducing end 181 remains constant once the contact area is well established. In contrast, the bending angle of 182 the free reducing end fluctuates (Fig. 3b). The non-reducing end of the upper crystal forms a 183 concave curvature. As detailed below, the water molecules between the two crystals are 184 expelled from the contact area.

185



Figure 3. (a) Deformation of upper cellulose crystal in drying simulation. The color code indicates the atomic displacement in the *z* direction (vertical direction in the figure). (b) Bending angle plotted as a function of time during drying for upper cellulose crystal at reducing end (green) and non-reducing end (purple). The bending angles are the angles between the tangent to the central section and the tangents to the ends of the crystal, as schematized in the inset.

194 Water removal

195 Immediately after the simulation box expands fourfold in volume, the surface tension of 196 water causes the water molecules to form an elongated droplet surrounding the two 197 cellulose crystals (Fig. 2). The water molecules gradually evaporate from the outer side of 198 the droplet, whereas the number of water molecules trapped between the cellulose crystals 199 remains roughly constant. As mentioned above, most of the water molecules around the 200 crystals have evaporated after 170 ns, leaving only a monolayer of water molecules directly 201 adsorbed on the cellulose-crystal surfaces. As seen in Fig. 2a, the evaporation rate increases 202 at this stage. Such evaporative behavior is evocative of a type-II isotherm, implying that a

strong interaction exists between the cellulose-crystal surface and the water. The position
constraints that lead to a temperature of 0 K for the constrained part of the crystal means
that water adsorbed on the bottom crystal evaporates much more slowly than water
adsorbed on the upper crystal.

207 Once the contact between the cellulose crystals occurs at 220 ns, a water meniscus 208 forms on the reducing end where the two crystals are widely separated (Fig. 4a). The 209 meniscus is concave, which is indicative of strong adhesion between water and the 210 cellulose-crystal surfaces. As the drying proceeds further, the water molecules evaporated 211 from the reducing end (i.e., the left side where the crystals are separated), and the meniscus surface gradually moves toward the non-reducing end where the cellulose crystals 212 213 are in contact. The water molecules are retained in this opening until the end of the drying 214 process, as indicated by the few molecules still apparent at 340 ns in Fig. 3.

215 Conversely, the water molecules near the contact area between the two crystals are 216 expelled independently of the drying within the open space between the reducing ends of 217 the cellulose crystals. Figure 4b shows a series of close-up snapshots of the contact area. As 218 mentioned above, the crystals make contact after about 210 ns, at which point only the 219 edge of the upper crystal touches the upper surface of the bottom crystal. In the following 220 20 ns, the water molecules move away from this contact line, inducing direct contact 221 between the two cellulose-crystal surfaces. This direct contact leads to the formation of hydrogen bonds between the two cellulose-crystal surfaces, as shown in Fig. 4c. From 210 222 223 to 240 ns, the number of hydrogen bonds rapidly increases as water is removed from the 224 contact area; beyond 240 ns, this number increases slowly, indicating a further extension of 225 the contact area. These hydrogen bonds likely contribute to further stabilizing the contact 226 between the two cellulose crystals.

227 Water is removed from the contact area much faster than in the adjacent open space 228 between the two cellulose crystals, despite the two surfaces in the contact being hydrophilic. 229 Previous atomistic MD simulations of similar duration indicate that water molecules are 230 often incorporated into aggregates of cellulose crystals in the aqueous condition (Chen et al., 231 2019; Paajanen et al., 2019). The asymmetric geometry at the drying front induces 232 compressive stress at the extremity where the crystals touch each other, which would also 233 contribute to squeezing out water rather than evaporative drying. This removal of water 234 molecules from between the cellulose crystals and the subsequent tight adhesion of the 235 cellulose surfaces is presumably the main factor on the nanometric scale that causes the 236 collapse of the cellulose structure upon drying.



Figure 4. (a) Formation of water meniscus between two cellulose crystals. (b) Removal of

water from the contact area between the two crystals. The upper crystal is translucent to

- 240 reveal the water molecules between the crystals. (c) Number of hydrogen bonds between
- the two cellulose-crystal surfaces as a function of time.
- 242

243 CONCLUSIONS

By using atomistic MD simulations of a simplified cellulose-crystal system in water, 244 245 we show that drying may cause a significant bending deformation of a cellulose crystal and 246 a tight adhesion between cellulose-crystal surfaces, even without external forces. The 247 simulation shows that the crystal bending occurs not only because of the adhesion between 248 cellulose crystals but also because of the surface tension of the surrounding water. The 249 formation of a concave water meniscus in the opening between the two crystals is indicative 250 of strong interactions between cellulose and water, and the water molecules are quickly 251 excluded from the contact area between the two crystals. Such preferential drying between 252 two crystals leads to adhesion between the cellulose surfaces.

The deformation of the upper cellulose crystal constitutes a complex phenomenon 253 254 induced by the interplay between the cellulose crystals and water molecules, and the details 255 of the simulated deformation may depend not only on the force-field parameters but also 256 on the simulation conditions. Thus, the evolution of the deformation should be compared 257 and verified with experimental observations such as atomic force microscopy images of 258 deformed cellulose crystals. Such a comparison should allow a more complete 259 understanding of the nanoscale structural modifications that are at the origin of the 260 property changes of cellulosic materials.

261

262 Acknowledgment

263 We acknowledge the computational resources of the Centre d'Expérimentaion et de 264 Calcul Intensif (CECIC) at the Institut de Chimie Moléculaire de Grenoble (ICMG) of the 265 University Grenoble Alpes.

266

267 References

268	Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Shulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindahl E (2015) GROMACS: high
269	performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to
270	supercomputers. SoftwareX 1-2:19-25
271	Beaumont M, König J, Opietnik M, Potthast A, Rosenau T (2017) Drying of a cellulose II gel:
272	effect of physical modification and redispersibility in water. Cellulose 24:1199-1209
273	Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, Hermans J (1981) Interaction models for
274	water in relation to protein hydration. In Intermolecular Forces; Pullman, B., Ed.;
275	Springer: Dordrecht, pp 331-342.
276	Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, DiNola A, Haak JR (1984) Molecular
277	dynamics with coupling to an external bath. J Chem Phys 81:3684-3690.
278	Bussi G, Donadio D, Parrinello M (2007) Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. J
279	Chem Phys 126:014101/1-014101/7
280	Chen P, Nishiyama Y, Mazeau K (2014) Atomic partial charges and one Lennard-Jones
281	parameter crucial to model cellulose allomorphs. Cellulose 21:2207-2217
282	Chen P, Ogawa Y, Nishiyama Y, Ismail AE, Mazeau K (2016) Linear, non-linear and plastic
283	bending deformation of cellulose nanocrystals. Phys Chem Chem Phys 18: 19880-
284	19887
285	Chen P, Ogawa Y, Nishiyama Y, Ismail AE, Mazeau K (2018) I $lpha$ to I eta mechano-conversion and
286	amorphization in native cellulose simulated by crystal bending. Cellulose 25:4345-
287	4355
288	Chen P, Terrenzi C, Furó I, Berglund LA, Wohlert J (2019) Quantifying localized
289	macromolecular dynamics within hydrated cellulose fibril aggregates. Macromolecules
290	52: 7278-7288

- 291 Fukuzumi H, Saito T, Iwata T, Kumamoto Y, Isogai A (2009) Transparent and high gas barrier
- films of cellulose nanofibers prepared by TEMPO mediated oxidation.
- 293 Biomacromolecules 10:162-165
- 294 Giacomozzi DE, Joutsimo O (2017) Drying temperature and hornification of industrial never-
- dried *Pinus radiata* Pulps. 2. Voith Sulzer Refining. BioResources 12:1532-1547
- Häggkvist M, Li TQ, Ödberg, L (1998) Effects of drying and pressing on the pore structure in
- the cellulose fibre wall studied by ¹H and ²H NMR relaxation. Cellulose 5:33-49
- Hansen HS, Huenenberger PH (2011) A re-optimized GROMOS force field for hexopyranose-
- 299 based carbohydrates accounting for the relative free energies of ring conformers,
- 300 anomers, epimers, hydroxymethyl rotamers, and glycosidic linkage conformers. J
- 301 Comput Chem 32:998-1032
- Heath L, Thielemans W (2010) Cellulose nanowhisker aerogels. Green Chem 12:1448-1453
- 303 Hess B, Bekker H, Berendsen HJC, Fraaije JGEM (1997) LINCS: a linear constraint solver for
- 304 molecular simulations. J Comput Chem 18:1463-1472
- Hoepfner S, Ratka L, Milow B (2008) Synthesis and characterization of nanofibrillar cellulose
 aerogels. Cellulose 15:121-129
- Hult EL, Larsson PT, Iversen T (2001) Cellulose fibril aggregation an inherent property of
 kraft pulps. Polymer 42: 3309-3314
- Jin H, Nishiyama Y, Wada M, Kuga S (2004) Nanofibrillar cellulose aerogels. Colloids Surf A
 240:63-67
- Lovikka VA, Khanjani P, Väisänen S, Vuorinen T, Maloney TC (2016). Porosity of wood pulp
- fibers in the wet and highly open dry state. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 234:326-
- 313 335.

314	Mattos BD, Tardy BL, Rojas OJ (2019) Accounting for substrate interactions in the
315	measurement of the dimensions of cellulose nanofibrils. Biomacromolecules 20:2657-
316	2665
317	Minor JL (1994) Hornification – Its origin and meaning. Progress in paper recycling 3:93-95
318	Molnár G, Rodney D, Martoïa F, Dumont PJJ, Nishiyama Y, Mazeau K, Orgéas L (2018)
319	Cellulose crystals plastify by localized shear. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. 115:7260-7265
320	Newman RH (2004) Carbon-13 NMR evidence for cocrystallization of cellulose as a
321	mechanism for hornification of bleached kraft pulp. Cellulose 11: 45-52
322	Nishiyama Y, Langan P, Chanzy H (2002) Crystal structure and hydrogen-bonding system in
323	cellulose I β from synchrotron X-ray and neutron fiber diffraction. J Am Chem Soc
324	124:9074-9082
325	Ogawa, Y (2019) Electron microdiffraction reveals the nanoscale twist geometry of cellulose
326	nanocrystals. Nanoscale 11:21767-21774
327	Oksanen T, Buchert J, Viikari L (1997) The role of hemicelluloses in the hornification of
328	bleached kraft pulps. Holzforschung 51:355-360
329	Paajanen A, Ceccherini S, Maloney T, Ketoja JA (2019) Chirality and bound water in the
330	hierarchical cellulose structure. Cellulose 26:5877-5892
331	Park S, Venditti RA, Jameel H, Pawlak JJ (2006) Changes in pore size distribution during the
332	drying of cellulose fibers as measured by differential scanning calorimetry. Carbohydr
333	Polym 66:97-103.
334	Peng Y, Gardner DJ, Han Y (2012) Dryomg cellulose nanofibrils: in search of a suitable
335	method. Cellulose 19:91-102
336	PyMOL, The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.1.0 Schrödinger, LCC.

- 337 Rämänen P, Penttilä P, Svedström K, Maunu SL, Serimaa R (2012) The effect of drying
- method on the properties and nanoscale structure of cellulose whiskers. Cellulose
- 339 19:901-912
- 340 Smitåh KB, Tisserant J-N, Assenza S, Arcari M, Nyström G, Mezzenga R (2019) Confinement-
- induced ordering and self-folding of cellulose nanofibrils. Adv Sci 6:1801540.
- 342 DOI:10.1002/advs.201801540