



HAL
open science

An insight into the charge carriers transport properties and electric field distribution of $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbBr}_3$ thick single crystals

Oriane Baussens, Loli Maturana, Smaïl Amari, Julien Zaccaro, Jean-Marie Verilhac, Lionel Hirsch, Eric Gros-Daillon

► To cite this version:

Oriane Baussens, Loli Maturana, Smaïl Amari, Julien Zaccaro, Jean-Marie Verilhac, et al.. An insight into the charge carriers transport properties and electric field distribution of $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbBr}_3$ thick single crystals. Applied Physics Letters, 2020, 117 (4), pp.041904. 10.1063/5.0011713 . hal-02947932

HAL Id: hal-02947932


<https://hal.science/hal-02947932>

Submitted on 30 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

	<p>Journal: Appl. Phys. Lett.</p> <p>Article Number: APL20-AR-03618</p>	<p>Please provide your responses and any corrections by annotating this PDF and uploading it to AIP's eProof website as detailed in the Welcome email.</p>
---	--	--

Dear Author,

Below are the queries associated with your article; please answer all of these queries before sending the proof back to AIP.

Article checklist: In order to ensure greater accuracy, please check the following and make all necessary corrections before returning your proof.

1. Is the title of your article accurate and spelled correctly?
2. Please check affiliations including spelling, completeness, and correct linking to authors.
3. Did you remember to include acknowledgment of funding, if required, and is it accurate?

Location in article	Query / Remark: click on the Q link to navigate to the appropriate spot in the proof. There, insert your comments as a PDF annotation.
<p>AQ1</p> <p>AQ2</p> <p>AQ3</p> <p>AQ4</p> <p>AQ5</p> <p>AQ6</p>	<p>Please check that the author names are in the proper order and spelled correctly. Also, please ensure that each author's given and surnames have been correctly identified (given names are highlighted in red and surnames appear in blue).</p> <p>Please check and confirm presentation of affiliation 3.</p> <p>Please provide complete details for Ref. 2.</p> <p>Please provide volume and page number for Ref. 4.</p> <p>Please provide publisher name for Ref. 13.</p> <p>Please provide book title for Ref. 14.</p> <p>Please confirm ORCID's are accurate. If you wish to add an ORCID for any author that does not have one, you may do so now. For more information on ORCID, see https://orcid.org/.</p> <p>Oriane Baussens - 0000-0003-0042-2855</p> <p>Loli Maturana - 0000-0002-4324-123X</p> <p>Smail Amari - 0000-0002-5565-9481</p> <p>Julien Zaccaro-</p> <p>Jean-Marie Verilhac-</p> <p>Lionel Hirsch - 0000-0003-2325-5535</p> <p>Eric Gros-DAillon - 0000-0002-4196-7854</p> <hr/> <p>Please check and confirm the Funder(s) and Grant Reference Number(s) provided with your submission:</p> <p>Horizon 2020 Framework Programme, Award/Contract Number 777222</p> <p>Please add any additional funding sources not stated above:</p>

Thank you for your assistance.

An insight into the charge carriers transport properties and electric field distribution of $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbBr}_3$ thick single crystals

Cite as: Appl. Phys. Lett. **117**, 000000 (2020); doi: [10.1063/5.0011713](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0011713)

Submitted: 30 April 2020 · Accepted: 30 June 2020 ·

Published Online: 0 Month 0000



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

Oriane Baussens,^{1,a)}  Loli Maturana,¹  Smail Amari,²  Julien Zaccaro,³ Jean-Marie Verilhac,² Lionel Hirsch,⁴  and Eric Gros-Daillon^{1,a)} 

AFFILIATIONS

¹University Grenoble Alpes, CEA, LETI, F38000 Grenoble, France

²University Grenoble Alpes, CEA, LITEN, F38000 Grenoble, France

³University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, Institut Néel, BP166, 38042 Grenoble, Cedex 09, France

⁴University Bordeaux, IMS-CNRS, UMR 5218, Bordeaux INP, ENSCBP, F33405 Talence, France

^{a)} Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: oriane.baussens@cea.fr and eric.grosdailion@cea.fr

ABSTRACT

Thanks to their unique combination of semiconducting properties and a large cross section for energetic photons, metal halide perovskites could theoretically achieve high x-ray to charge carriers conversion rates, making them materials of high interest for the direct x-ray detection. In this work, we focus on the transport properties of methylammonium lead tribromide (MAPbBr_3) single crystals. Time of Flight measurements and x-ray focused experiments along the edge of the samples were carried out. We report homogenous holes transit throughout the thickness of the samples as well as poor electrons transit. We also report the continuity of the electric field throughout the thickness of the MAPbBr_3 samples, and we present preliminary fitting results to discuss its nature.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0011713>

Semiconducting metal halide perovskites have gained a lot of interest for solar cells applications. In the last decade, the reported efficiency perovskite based solar cells has increased from 3.81% to 25.2%.^{1,2} However, the main concern for such mainstream application, as mentioned by Li *et al.*, is the presence of heavy metals in the ionic crystal.³ On the other hand, heavy atoms like lead have large x-rays cross sections. Therefore, heavy metal halide perovskites could be perfectly suited for confined applications such as direct x-ray detectors for medical imaging. In addition to the cross section, efficient x-ray detection also requires good charge carriers' transport properties in order to optimize charge collection and reduce ghosting effect.

In this work, we investigated the photocarriers' transport properties of thick methylammonium lead tribromide (MAPbBr_3) single crystals. We studied the electron and hole mobilities with laser Time of Flight. The continuity of the electric field throughout the thickness of the samples was then tested by x-ray focused experiments, the first to be reported to our knowledge.

Several MAPbBr_3 single crystals of controlled quality were grown individually by a seeded Inverse Temperature Crystallization method. The growth procedure and crystal quality are detailed in a previous

publication by Amari *et al.*⁴ The obtained single crystals had a typical size of $4\text{ mm} \times 4\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$. Their top and bottom (100) faces were optically polished (roughness below 30 nm r.m.s), and chromium electrodes were thermally evaporated on both sides (100 nm on one side, 30 nm on the other to ensure semi-transparency). Six samples were prepared in that way, and their individual properties and I - V_{dark} curves can be found in Figs. S1 and S2 of the [supplementary material](#).

Time of Flight (ToF) is widely used to measure the mobility of carriers in semiconductors. Published ToF mobility values of MAPbBr_3 single crystals range from 14 to 217 $\text{cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ for holes and 25–190 $\text{cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ for electrons.^{5–12} When conducting ToF experiments (Fig. 1), the mobility is deduced from the transit time (t_t) of the charge carriers. In laser ToF, the carriers are photogenerated by a laser pulse in the immediate vicinity of a semi-transparent electrode: the incident wavelength is selected so as to be absorbed at depths negligible when compared to the thickness of the sample. That way, only one type of charge carriers contributes to the photocurrent by transiting through the thickness (L) of the sample to reach the opposite electrode. For a sample biased at voltage V with no trapping mechanism and a homogenous electric field, the drift mobility μ is defined as




FIG. 1. Laser ToF experiment in a hole measurement configuration.

$$\mu = L^2 / (V \times t_t) \text{ (cm}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\text{)}. \quad (1)$$

In ToF experiments, because a large number of charge carriers are created in a narrow volume ($\approx 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$) near the irradiated electrode, the concentration of charges can lead to a drastic drop of the internal electric field that would reduce the transit time measured. To probe this point, the ToF photocurrent for holes for different amounts of photocarriers generated (estimation of which can be found in page 2 of the supplementary material) was measured. To that end, the incident laser intensity was varied using optical filters with transmittance (T) between 0.1 and 0.5. From the resulting photocurrent transit curves presented in Fig. 2, three observations can be made. First, the transit time of holes in sample 1 ($t_{h+} \sim 7.5 \mu\text{s}$) does not depend on the light intensity for the range of fluxes used. This ensures that under our experimental conditions the photocarriers created by the laser do not perturb the internal electric field. Second, the photocurrent increases from anode to cathode independently of the transmittance (see normalized Fig. S3). Knowing that the instantaneous mobility establishes a link between the photocurrent and the position of the photocarriers at every moment in time, we can attribute the increase in the photocurrent to an increase in the internal electric field from anode to cathode. A more detailed discussion on the nature of the electric field is presented in the x-ray focused experimental section and in the supplementary material. Third, there is a sharp peak at the beginning of the photocurrent. It was attributed it to fast trapping mechanisms in a previous publication.¹³

So, in agreement with these first observations, to characterize the charge carriers mobility, we illuminated our samples with 800 ps




FIG. 2. Hole transit photocurrent of sample 1 biased at -100 V as a function of the transmittance of the optical filter.

pulses from a nitrogen laser (337 nm), using the optical filter with a transmittance of 0.16. Results for our six samples are detailed in the supplementary material (Fig. S4 for holes and Fig. S5 for electrons). Figure 3(a) shows the hole transit photocurrent of sample 1 polarized between -60 V and -140 V . The inflection indicating hole collection at the cathode is clearly visible, and the longest transit time measured is $13 \mu\text{s}$. This value corresponds to a minimum value of the holes' lifetime in our experimental conditions. Holes' mobility measurements were reproducible among our six samples of the same crystal quality with an average of $13 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Figure 3(b) shows the electron transit photocurrent of sample 1 for bias voltages between $+100 \text{ V}$ and $+140 \text{ V}$. Electrons' mobility could not be measured satisfactorily, because the inflection of the transit photocurrent was not visible even in a log-log representation (Fig. S6). This indicates that the mobility lifetime product of electrons ($\mu_e \tau_e$) is not large enough to allow all the electrons to fully transit even at polarization fields as high as 1500 V cm^{-1} . To estimate a maximal value for the electrons' lifetime, a Ramo's model was used [Eq. (2)]:

$$i(t) = n e \mu V \times \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) / L^2. \quad (2)$$

In this equation, n is the number of photocarriers created, e is the elementary charge, μ is the charge carrier mobility, V is the bias voltage, L is the thickness of the sample, and τ is the charge carrier lifetime. Using Eq. (2) and our experimental conditions, we tried to fit the measured photocurrent for different electron lifetimes. For lifetimes above $1.25 \mu\text{s}$, it was impossible to model the experimental data regardless of the mobility and the bias voltage used. Therefore, we hypothesize that in our experimental conditions the electron lifetime is smaller than $1.25 \mu\text{s}$. The inset of Fig. 3(b) displays the electron photocurrent at $+140 \text{ V}$ and the corresponding Ramo model for a lifetime of $1.25 \mu\text{s}$.

For the case at hand, we conclude that $\mu_e \tau_e \ll \mu_{h+} \tau_{h+}$. This conclusion as implications on the commonly used Hecht fittings, which we discuss in the supplementary material (Fig. S7).

Using ToF experiments, a non-homogenous, anode to cathode increasing electric field was hypothesized. However, because ToF measurements are not spatially resolved, the continuity of the electric field in the volume and the position of the cathode are not assured. Therefore, additional x-ray focused experiments were conducted (Fig. 4) to verify the continuity and homogeneity of the electric field. They consist in scanning the thickness of a sample with an x-ray beam limited by $100 \mu\text{m}$ slit. The irradiation starts at one edge of the




FIG. 3. (a) ToF hole photocurrent of a MAPbBr3 sample (inset: mobility calculation). (b) ToF electron photocurrent of a MAPbBr3 sample (inset: photocurrent at $+140 \text{ V}$ and Ramo model for $\tau_e = 1.25 \mu\text{s}$).




FIG. 4. X-ray focused experiment setup.




FIG. 5. Photocurrent density as a function of the position of the irradiation.

128 sample and moves along the thickness by 100 μm steps increments
 129 until it reaches the opposite edge. Because of the slit's width, only the
 130 samples thicker than 0.8 mm were tested. At each step, the sample is
 131 irradiated by ten pulses of x-rays generated by a varian tube using the
 132 characteristic medical radiography setups (Table I) as described in IEC
 133 62220 International Standard.¹⁴ The x-ray pulses were recorded via a
 134 Keithley 428 picoammeter. The experiment was reproduced using differ-
 135 ent bias voltages. For each sample and each bias voltage, the average
 136 photocurrent density was plotted as a function of the position of the
 137 irradiation along the thickness of the sample.

138 It is worth noting that the measurement duration (100 ms) is five
 139 orders of magnitude higher than the characteristic ToF measurement
 140 duration. This allows us to probe both the photocarriers transit and
 141 the effect of long irradiation times used in medical imaging applica-
 142 tions. It can also be noted that the number photocarriers generated
 143 ($\approx 10^{10}$, supplementary material page 5) is smaller, and the volume in
 144 which they are generated is larger ($\approx 10^{-1}$ cm³) than in the ToF
 145 experiments. This justifies the non-perturbation of the electric field by
 146 the photocarriers generated in the x-ray focused experiments.

147 Figure 5 shows the results for sample 1, those for all the other
 148 samples tested are available in the supplementary material (Fig. S8).

149 The photocurrent density of sample 1 is linear for all voltages and
 150 decreases as the charges are generated closer the cathode.

151 The experimental results may be modeled by expressing the drift
 152 photocurrent measured in x-ray focused experiment for our cuboid
 153 sample with planar electrodes [Eq. (3)]. The photocurrent is then
 154 defined as the sum of the charges induced on the electrodes by the
 155 Ramo intensity of each type of photocarriers over the duration of the
 156 measurement

$$I_{mes}(X) = \frac{1}{t_{mes}} \times \left[\int n_{e^-}(x(t)) \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_{e^-}}\right) \times \frac{e\mu_{e^-}E(x(t))}{L} dt + \int n_{h^+}(x(t)) \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_{h^+}}\right) \times \frac{e\mu_{h^+}E(x(t))}{L} dt \right], \quad (3)$$

157 where X is the irradiation position, t_{mes} is the measurement time, n is
 158 the number of charge carriers created, $x(t)$ is the instantaneous posi-
 159 tion of the charge carriers, τ is the charge carrier lifetimes, e is the ele-
 160 mentary charge, μ is the charge carrier mobilities, E is the electric field,
 161 and L is the thickness of the sample.

162 Equation (3) shows that the photocurrent depends on both the
 163 photocarriers' transport properties and the electric field distribution
 164 along the thickness of the sample. With the ToF measurements, we
 165 have established that the charge carriers' transport properties are dis-
 166 symmetric ($\mu_{e^-}\tau_{e^-} \ll \mu_{h^+}\tau_{h^+}$). If we hypothesize a constant electric
 167 field, we expect to see a maximum of the photocurrent when the materi-
 168 al is irradiated near the anode and a minimum when it is irradiated
 169 near the cathode. This is indeed what is observed on the photocurrent
 170 profiles displayed in Figs. 5 and S8.

171 Here, electrode 1 is grounded while electrode 2 is biased. In the
 172 case of charge creation near the anode (positive bias), the electrons are
 173 collected almost immediately while the holes transit through the entire
 174 thickness of the sample and account for most of the photocurrent
 175 measured. In the case of charge creation near the cathode (negative
 176 bias), the holes are collected almost immediately and, since the elec-
 177 trons cannot transit through the entire thickness of the sample (as
 178 demonstrated by the ToF experiments), the charge induced at the elec-
 179 trode is lower than in the previous case.

180 In Fig. 5, it can also be observed that the photocurrent has non-
 181 zero continuous values throughout the sample indicating a continuous
 182 and non-null electric field.

183 In the discussion above, a constant electric field was hypothesized
 184 to explain the shape of the photocurrent. However, the ToF data in
 185 Fig. 2 have shown that a linear field, increasing from anode to cathode
 186 is more likely. To get a better idea of the shape of the electric field,
 187 Eq. (3) was used to model the x-ray focused and ToF experimental
 188 results of sample 1 in the case of a constant electric field and a linear
 189 electric field, increasing from anode to cathode (Figs. S9 and S10).

TABLE I. X-ray tube setups.

Tube voltage	70 kVp
X-ray minimum energy	50 keV
Tube current	100 mA
Filtration	0.8 mm Be, 23 mm Al
Work distance	1 m
Pulse width	100 ms
Pulse frequency	4 Hz

190 We found that the x-ray focused experimental data could be modeled
191 by either of those fields. However, only the linear electric field allowed
192 modeling the ToF experimental data. This is in contradiction with the
193 homogenous electric field hypothesis of Eq. (1). Nonetheless, the use
194 of a constant electric field to model our data only leads to a 5% under-
195 estimation of the mobility, which is negligible compared to the 15%
196 measurement uncertainty. More in depth modeling is under progress
197 to achieve better fitting for both types of experimental data.

198 In conclusion, we have measured reproducible hole mobility of
199 MAPbBr₃ single crystals of controlled quality with laser ToF at 13 cm²
200 V⁻¹ s⁻¹ ± 2 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. We have also seen that both ToF and x-ray
201 focused results are consistent with poor electrons' transport properties
202 in MAPbBr₃ single crystals. We can only hypothesize that the lack of
203 electrons transit is due to either deep trapping levels or recombination
204 centers, as shown by Musiienko *et al.*⁹ In the case of a deep trapping
205 level, the detrapping constant would be higher than 100 ms, our typical
206 measurement duration. Finally, we have shown that the internal elec-
207 tric field exists throughout the thickness of the samples, and our pre-
208 liminary modeling results point toward it being linear and increasing
209 from anode to cathode. Knowing the nature of the electric field is
210 essential and will therefore be the topic of follow-up studies.

211 See the [supplementary material](#) for detailed samples properties,
212 ToF and x-ray focused measurements of samples 1–6, discussion about
213 Hecht fitting, and discussion about the constant electric field
214 hypothesis.
215

216 The authors thank Trixell and the ATTRACT project, from
217 the European Horizon H2020 (Grant No. 777222, PerXi project),
218 for the financial support.
219

220 DATA AVAILABILITY

221 The data that support the finding of this study are available from
222 the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

223 REFERENCES

224 ¹A. Kojima, K. Teshima, Y. Shirai, and T. Miyasaka, "Organometal halide perov-
225 skites as visible-light sensitizers for photovoltaic cells," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
226 **131**(17), 6050–6051 (2009).

- ²NREL. Best Research Cell Efficiency ■. 227 AQ3
228
³J. Li, H.-L. Cao, W.-B. Jiao, Q. Wang, M. Wei, I. Cantone, J. Lü, and A. Abate,
229 "Biological impact of lead from halide perovskites reveals the risk of introduc-
230 ing a safe threshold," *Nat. Commun.* **11**(1), 310 (2020). 230
⁴Amari, S., Verilhac, J.-M., Gros D'Aillon, E., Ibanez, A., and Zaccaro, J.,
231 "Optimization of the growth conditions for high quality
232 CH₃NH₃PbBr₃ hybrid perovskite single crystals," *Cryst. Growth Des.* ■, ■
233 (2020). 234 AQ4
⁵D. Shi, V. Adinolfi, R. Comin, M. Yuan, E. Alarousu, A. Buin, Y. Chen, S.
235 Hoogland, A. Rothenberger, K. Katsiev *et al.*, "Low trap-state density and long
236 carrier diffusion in organolead trihalide perovskite single crystals," *Science*
237 **347**(6221), 519–522 (2015). 238
⁶W. Wei, Y. Zhang, Q. Xu, H. Wei, Y. Fang, Q. Wang, Y. Deng, T. Li, A.
239 Gruverman, L. Cao *et al.*, "Monolithic integration of hybrid perovskite single
240 crystals with heterogeneous substrate for highly sensitive x-ray imaging," *Nat.*
241 *Photonics* **11**(5), 315–321 (2017). 242
⁷H. Wei, Y. Fang, P. Mulligan, W. Chuirazzi, H.-H. Fang, C. Wang, B. R. Ecker,
243 Y. Gao, M. A. Loi, L. Cao *et al.*, "Sensitive x-ray detectors made of methylam-
244 monium lead tribromide perovskite single crystals," *Nat. Photonics* **10**(5),
245 333–339 (2016). 246
⁸H. Wei, D. DeSantis, W. Wei, Y. Deng, D. Guo, T. J. Savenije, L. Cao, and J.
247 Huang, "Dopant compensation in alloyed CH₃NH₃PbBr_{3-x}Cl_x perovskite
248 single crystals for gamma-ray spectroscopy," *Nat. Mater.* **16**(8), 826–833
249 (2017). 250
⁹A. Musiienko, P. Moravec, R. Grill, P. Praus, I. Vasylichenko, J. Pekarek, J.
251 Tisdale, K. Ridzonova, E. Belas, L. Landová *et al.*, "Deep levels, charge trans-
252 port and mixed conductivity in organometallic halide perovskites," *Energy*
253 *Environ. Sci.* **12**(4), 1413–1425 (2019). 254
¹⁰X. Wang, D. Zhao, Y. Qiu, Y. Huang, Y. Wu, G. Li, Q. Huang, Q.
255 Khan, A. Nathan, W. Lei *et al.*, "PIN diodes array made of perovskite
256 single crystal for x-ray imaging," *Phys. Status Solidi RRL* **12**(10),
257 1800380 (2018). 258
¹¹E. Lukosi, T. Smith, J. Tisdale, D. Hamm, C. Seal, B. Hu, and M. Ahmadi,
259 "Methylammonium lead tribromide semiconductors: Ionizing radiation detec-
260 tion and electronic properties," *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **927**,
261 401–406 (2019). 262
¹²X. Liu, H. Zhang, B. Zhang, J. Dong, W. Jie, and Y. Xu, "Charge transport
263 behavior in solution-grown methylammonium lead tribromide perovskite
264 single crystal using α particles," *J. Phys. Chem. C* **122**(26), 14355–14361
265 (2018). 266
¹³A. Musiienko, J. Pipek, P. Praus, M. Brynza, E. Belas, B. Dryzhakov, M.-H. Du,
267 M. Ahmadi, and R. Grill, *Deciphering the Effect of Traps on the Electronic*
268 *Charge Transport Properties of Methylammonium Lead Tribromide Perovskites*
269 (■, 2019). 270 AQ5
¹⁴International Standard, ■ (International Electrotechnical Commission,
271 2003). 272 AQ6