



**HAL**  
open science

# Multiscale empirical mode decomposition of density fluctuation images very near above and below the critical point of SF6

Ana Oprisan, Yves Garrabos, Carole Lecoutre-Chabot, Daniel Beysens

► **To cite this version:**

Ana Oprisan, Yves Garrabos, Carole Lecoutre-Chabot, Daniel Beysens. Multiscale empirical mode decomposition of density fluctuation images very near above and below the critical point of SF6. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 2021, 561, 125293 (18 p.). 10.1016/j.physa.2020.125293 . hal-02947750

**HAL Id: hal-02947750**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02947750v1>**

Submitted on 5 Oct 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Multiscale empirical mode decomposition of density fluctuation images very near above and below the critical point of SF<sub>6</sub>

Ana Oprisan\*

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, College of Charleston, 66 George Street,  
Charleston, SC 29424*

Yves Garrabos, Carole Lecoutre-Chabot

*CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP, ICMCB, UMR 5026, F-33600 Pessac,  
France*

Daniel Beysens

*Physique et Mécanique des Milieux Hétérogènes, CNRS, ESPCI, PSL Research University,  
Sorbonne Université, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France*

---

## Abstract

We use a multiscale approach to investigate the dynamics of fluctuations near the critical point of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) in microgravity. Rather than increasing the fitting model's complexity during the critical temperature crossing, we used a different approach to finding the thermal diffusivity coefficient (above critical temperature), which can then be distinguished from an effective diffusion coefficient (below critical temperature). We first separate different spatial scales from the original images using the Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition (BEMD) technique. The spatial scale represented by an Intrinsic Mode Function (IMF) image was analyzed using the Dynamic Differential Method (DDM). The Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF) of each IMF was used for computing the structure factor and the relaxation time of fluctuations. We found that the first IMF returns over 90% of the spatial and temporal knowledge contained in the original image, providing thus thermal diffusivity

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* oprisana@cofc.edu (Ana Oprisan)

coefficient above the critical temperature and effective diffusion coefficients below the critical temperature very close in magnitude. The relaxation time associated with the distinguishable structures observed in the second IMF could be attributed to the fractal nature of fluctuations. and to light scattering at low wavenumber during the stationary behavior and the transient evolution of the critical fluid cell, which are not easy to detect in the original image. The third order IMF presents no noticeable structure, and the associated relaxation time is not physically significant.

*Keywords:* sulfur hexafluoride, microgravity, critical fluctuations, relaxation time, diffusivity, effective diffusion coefficient

*2010 MSC:* 00-01, 99-00

---

## 1. Introduction

Dynamic Differential Microscopy (DDM) is an appealing experimental technique to extract the relaxation time of dynamical phenomena [1, 2, 3, 4]. It has recently been applied to critical density fluctuations from light scattering images of systems approaching the liquid-gas critical point of pure fluids from the homogeneous domain [5]. In such experiments, the image processing performed with the dynamic structure factor (DSF) algorithm produces results consistent with the modern theory of critical phenomena. One prediction is that such systems are characterized by only one spatial scale of critical density fluctuations related to their characteristic size, the critical correlation length, and a single critical relaxation time of density fluctuations [6, 7].

The recent extension of the classical theory of fluctuations to nonequilibrium processes [8, 9] showed that the temporal relaxation of fluctuations could be directly obtained from fluctuation images. Such an approach led to experimental advances in measuring thermal diffusivity coefficient and viscosity coefficient. The recent generalization of DDM to investigating the dynamics of nonequilibrium fluctuations was introduced by Cerbino and Vailati [1, 2, 3, 4]. DDM has also been applied to investigating equilibrium fluctuations close to critical con-

ditions in binary mixtures [10] and under nonequilibrium conditions in dense  
20 colloids [11]. DDM method allows quantitative investigation of fluctuations  
in the fluid outside thermodynamic equilibrium, e.g., thermal, concentration,  
or density gradients. DDM also allowed low wavenumber range investigation  
where gravity dominates the dynamics of fluctuations, and new propagation  
modes influenced by viscosity and gravity were observed [7, 12]. The informa-  
25 tion regarding the evolution and the scaling of the fluctuation relaxation time  
is contained in the Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF). For a pure fluid in  
thermal equilibrium, the ISF is a Gaussian with width proportional to the dif-  
fusion time. There are cases when ISF contains multiple time scales, and one  
approach has been the fitting of ISF with multiscale exponentials to capture  
30 each characteristic time separately [13, 14]. This approach allowed, for exam-  
ple, the separation of the thermal diffusivity coefficient from the mass diffusivity  
[13].

However, when the experiments are performed within  $\mu\text{K}$  macroscopic fi-  
nite time, and the finite size of the fluid observations can affect wavenumbers  
35 whose time and length characteristics are substantially different. The effect of  
multiple temporal and spatial scales that governed the energy transfer between  
probing photons and probed molecular systems is reflected in the existence of  
multiple decay exponentials in the Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF) [13].  
Therefore, the traditional approach to the multiscale analysis of the images is  
40 by fitting the ISF with exponential functions with multiple characteristic times  
[13, 14].

Although there is always a tradeoff between parsimony and the goodness  
of fit, here our goal was to both achieve low parsimony and good accurate  
description of experimental data by separating the dominant spatial scale related  
45 to critical density fluctuations with the characteristic size of the order of the  
correlation length from any other significantly different spatial scales. To achieve  
this goal, we used the Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition (BEMD)  
algorithm for the multiscale separation of the original image in multiple Intrinsic  
Mode Functions (IMFs) images. After obtaining the IMF images, we applied

50 the DDM method to each IMF image set, as described in [5].

In this paper, after the selected recalling of the main experimental setup features in sections 2 and a brief description of optical features in section 3.1, we focus the IMFs results in section 4. The first IMF for the shortest spatial scale is related directly to the critical density fluctuations above  $T_c$  (section 4.1).  
 55 The second IMF with coarser structures can be linked (section 4.2) to the initial stage of cluster formation and phase separation process (below  $T_c$ ). The relaxation time of fluctuations in the third-order IMF presents no noticeable structure. Section 5 focuses on the possible mechanisms that could explain the multiscale results. The concluding remarks in section 6 compare the results  
 60 of this multiscale analysis and the existing theoretical predictions for critical fluctuations. The two subsections of the Appendix briefly recall the main characteristic features of the DDM technique (section 7.1) and the BEMD method (section 7.2), with related references.

## 2. Experiments: setup aspects

65 Direct imaging of large density fluctuations near the liquid-gas critical point of  $\text{SF}_6$  in microgravity environment was performed with ALICE 2 facility [15] on-board the MIR space station [16]. A cylindrical sample with an inner diameter of 12 mm and a thickness of 4.34 mm was filled with electronic quality  $\text{SF}_6$  corresponding to 99.98% purity (from Alphagaz-Air Liquide). The fluid is  
 70 sandwiched between two sapphire windows with a 10 mm thickness each. The cell was housed inside a large sample cell unit made of electronic copper, the latter itself housed inside a removable thermostat device. The thermal controller resolution was  $\pm 10 \mu\text{K}$ , and the stability was better than  $40 \mu\text{K h}^{-1}$  [16]. The fluid inside the cell was initially filled at the critical density with the scalar order  
 75 parameter  $M = (\rho - \rho_c)/\rho = (0.00 \pm 0.02) \%$ . For a complete description of the ALICE 2 facility and these microgravity experiments, see [15].

In this automated pioneering microgravity experiment, the  $\text{SF}_6$  sample was initially homogenized at 1 K above its critical temperature. The temperature

was steeply and slowly decreased in a series of successive, fast temperature  
80 quenches with logarithmically decaying amplitudes. Each thermal quench was  
followed by long temperature relaxation periods with logarithmically increasing  
durations, until crossing the critical temperature. The final decreasing tem-  
perature timeline consisted of a series of 0.3 mK thermal quenches, with two  
85 hours relaxation period, performed from a few milliKevins in the one-phase re-  
gion above the critical temperature (see Fig. 1A). In addition to this automated  
temperature monitoring of the SCU, the fluid temperature was also measured  
with three small thermistors placed inside the fluid sample volume cell, which  
gave local fluid temperature status at two acquisition rates: 25 Hz and 2 kHz.

Hereafter we are only interested in the sample observation recorded during  
90 the last, fast 0.3 mK thermal quench, which starts from the homogeneous domain  
above the critical temperature to finish into the two-phase region below critical  
temperature [5, 17], as shown in the inset of Fig. 1A. The full description of  
this last temperature quench was already presented elsewhere [5, 17]. The fluid  
volume observation during the two hours of the relaxation period following the  
95 final 0.3 mK temperature quench revealed unambiguous nonhomogeneous liquid  
and gas domains within the sample fluid image, which were due to the SF<sub>6</sub>  
phase separation. This two-phase state was evidenced by comparing against  
the homogeneous full image of the cell after the temperature relaxation period  
of the previous 0.3 mK temperature quench. The critical temperature resulted  
100 somewhere between the upper (UP, i.e.,  $T > T_c$ ) plateau and the lower (DOWN,  
i.e.,  $T < T_c$ ) one (Fig. 1), and its exact location was previously determined with  
the histogram method in [17] and by using DDM in [5].



632.8 nm He-Ne laser with about 1 mW maximum power is also used for other  
 120 purposes (turbidity measurements). Laser stability after 1 h was estimated to  
 be better than 0.3%. ALICE 2 instrumentation works with a wide field of  
 view ( $10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$  object image) at  $30 \mu\text{m}$  resolution and an additional mi-  
 croscope objective at  $3.5 \mu\text{m}$  resolution. All images analyzed in this study were  
 obtained with the optical microscope,  $3.5 \mu\text{m}$  resolution, that visualized a small  
 125  $0.9 \text{ mm} \times 0.9 \text{ mm}$  object image in the medium focal plane of the fluid layer,  
 centered on the optical axis of the fluid sample and recorded at 25 frames per  
 second. Each image contained the selected recording time marker, e.g., 80 h  
 15 min 53 s and frame 20 of 25 (288953.80 s) for the image illustrated in Fig. 1B  
 corresponds to an image frame in the middle of the UP region. Instead of carry-  
 130 ing over all the time digits, the original images used in this study were ordered  
 in two disconnected sets where time origin corresponds to the first image of the  
 first set. The UP image set contains 160 images recorded during the stationary  
 temperature plateau above the critical temperature ( $T > T_c$ ). That corresponds  
 to a period covering the range 0-6.4 s, before the beginning of the temperature  
 135 quench (see Fig. 2). For the first 87 frames, the microscope focused on the  
 sapphire windows (Fig. 2B). For the rest of the images, the focus plane was in  
 the middle of the cell (Fig. 2C). The second set, named DOWN, contains 500  
 images recorded in the final transient period of the temperature quench, where  
 the fluid temperature is just below the critical temperature ( $T < T_c$ ). That  
 140 corresponds to a period covering the range 25.5 s to 44.6 s, which consists of the  
 very early stage of the expected phase separation process (see Fig. 2). For all  
 DOWN images, the microscope focused in the middle of the cell.

Fig. 2 shows two original images (B, C), selected from the UP set ( $T > T_c$ )  
 and two original images (D, E) selected from the DOWN set ( $T < T_c$ ), with  
 145 their corresponding position along the temperature timeline marked with B, C,  
 D, and E in Fig. 2A. This figure illustrates the noticeable optical similarity of  
 the grey level structures both for UP and DOWN regions. The first two images  
 (panels B and C) reflect the contribution of the critical density fluctuations  
 above  $T_c$ , where the fluid is in its homogeneous, one-phase equilibrium state.






Figure 2: An enlarged version of the thermal quench of 0.3 mK that steps through the critical temperature (A). The first image on the UP plateau (B) is the time reference (the horizontal axis in panel A). Fluctuation images taken on UP thermal plateau (B and C) show no phase separation. Fluctuating images at the beginning (D) and end (E) of the region called DOWN show that clusters start forming in this early stage of phase separation.

150 The other two images (panels D and E) are recorded during the early stage of the expected nucleation and growth of the two-phase domains below  $T_c$  (see also [5]). The distinction is thus essential because the physics behind these two phenomena is very different: one is stationary, and the other is transient.

For the subsequent image analysis, the recording time marker shown in  
 155 Fig. 1B, and all other tags, were eliminated by cropping the most extensive possible rectangular area of the image. Here, we have maximized the useable image size cropped from the original image of  $352 \times 240$  pixels<sup>2</sup> by using  $188 \times 238$  pixels<sup>2</sup> (total 44744 pixels) instead of the  $192 \times 192$  pixels<sup>2</sup> (total 36864 pixels) square images cropped out the original image in [5]. As a result, the image  
 160 analysis focused on a  $0.66 \times 0.83$  mm<sup>2</sup> central region of the fluid sample.

For the Fourier spectra calculations, we should also distinguish between the number of pixels of the CCD sensor  $N_{pixel}$  and the number  $N$  of points of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). The  $N$ -point FFT has a frequency domain resolution of  $\frac{2\pi}{N\Delta x}$  and covers the wavenumbers uniformly from the shortest  $q_{min}$ ,  
 165 which corresponds to the largest spatial size of the image  $l_{CCD} = N_{pixel} \times \Delta x$ , to the largest possible wavenumber that corresponds to the smallest possible resolved distance in the image, i.e.,  $\Delta x$ . The minimum possible wavenumber

in a Fourier transform corresponds to the largest possible spatial dimension of the image, i.e.,  $q_{min} = 2\pi/w$ , where  $w$  is the smallest side of the image. In our experiments,  $w = magnification \times l_{CCD}$ , where *magnification* is the magnification factor of the microscope (in our case, 0.882), and  $l_{CCD}$  represents the size of the image formed on the CCD sensor. With  $l_{CCD} = N_{pixel} \times 3.5 \mu\text{m} = 188 \text{ pixels} \times 3.5 \mu\text{m} = 0.658 \text{ mm}$ , and *magnification* = 0.882 one obtains  $q_{min} \approx 108 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In the following, the dimensionless wavenumber is simply  $q^* = q/q_{min}$ .

In this study, we increased the resolution of FFT from 256 points in [5] to 1024 points in this study. Since the linear size of images is over 128 but less than 256 pixels, we could use again (as in [5]) the 265 point FFT. Here, we used a 1024-point FFT to add more details to our construction of the structure factor and its relaxation time. The reason is that we wanted a better, more accurate, estimate of the thermal diffusivity coefficient above  $T_c$ , before applying the same approach in the case of an effective diffusion coefficient below  $T_c$ . The distinction is essential because very near, but above  $T_c$ , there is a single component system where thermal diffusion of the stationary density fluctuations is the dominant phenomenon. Very close, but below  $T_c$ , the system is the heterogeneous site of nonequilibrium relaxation processes for which an effective diffusion coefficient can be defined.

Due to its radial symmetry in the wavenumber space  $(q_x, q_y)$ , only the radial average of the power spectrum versus the magnitude of the wavenumber  $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$  was considered. The results obtained for small wavenumbers are affected by significant errors because of the small azimuthal radius, which determines a poor ensemble statistical average. Due to the larger cropped area and the increased FFT resolution, the number of statistically independent samples at the maximum wavenumber increased from 400 in Ref. [5] to over 2000 in this study. Indeed, at large wavenumbers, the number of pixels that act as independent samples in a single ring of the azimuthal average of the power spectrum increases as  $\pi n$ , in which  $n$  is the number of the channel varying from 1 to  $N/\sqrt{2}$ .

### 3.2. Image processing using Dynamic Differential Microscopy (DDM) technique

200 This section reviews the main points about the DDM method, especially its application in the image processing above  $T_c$ , as used in our previous work [5]. From the Fourier spectra of successive differences between images taken at a fixed delay time  $\delta t$ , it was possible to determine the structure factor of critical density fluctuations and their relaxation time [7, 12, 26], which allowed  
 210 us to determine the thermal diffusivity coefficient [5, 17]. Theoretically, the structure factor  $S(q)$  of the critical density fluctuations in a homogeneous single-component fluid near its critical temperature is expected to be a Lorentzian:

$$S(q) = \frac{1}{(1 + q^2 \xi_+^2)},$$

where  $\xi_+$  is the correlation length of the fluctuations above the critical temperature ( $T > T_c$ ), and  $q = 2\pi/l$  is the wavenumber with  $l$  being the linear  
 210 dimension of the fluctuating domains [27]. The typical relaxation time  $\tau$  of critical fluctuations above  $T_c$  should obey Kawasaki [28] formula:

$$\tau^{-1} \approx Dq^2, \quad (1)$$

when  $\xi_+ q \ll 1$  (hydrodynamic regime), where  $D$  is the thermal diffusivity coefficient. On the other hand, for  $\xi_+ q \gg 1$  (critical regime), this relaxation time should scale as:

$$\tau^{-1} \approx Aq^3,$$

215 with  $A = \text{constant}$  [28, 29, 30].

To confirm that the experiments were performed in the hydrodynamic regime, we estimated the correlation length for each experimental temperature. The correlation length is given by  $\xi = \xi_+ ((T - T_c)/T_c)^{-\nu}$ , with  $\xi_+ = 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$  m,  $T_c = 318.733$  K, and  $\nu = 0.6304$  [16, 31]. For the stationary temperature plateau  
 220 above  $T_c$  before the 0.3 mK temperature quench, the temperature distance to  $T_c$  was previously estimated to be 270  $\mu$ K [5, 17]. The correlation length is then  $\xi \approx 10^{-4}$  cm.

The following step needs to recall our previous estimation [5, 17] of the characteristic size  $l$  of the observed fluctuating density domains, precisely based on the relaxation time obtained from the DDM method and shown in Fig. 3. This experimental result was similar to part (b) of the theoretical Fig. 3 of Ref. [5], which is described by the above theoretical Eq. 1. We also must notice that the measured decreasing rate of the relaxation time at large values of  $q$  was slightly greater than the theoretical slope of -2 suggested by Eq. 1, as shown by the dashed line of slope -2.3 in Fig.4b of Ref. [5]. The location of the relaxation time peak defines the critical wavenumber  $q_c$ . In this experiment,  $\xi_+ q \ll 1$  [5, 17], which means that the fluid was in the hydrodynamic regime. Therefore, the relaxation time of the density fluctuations should obey Eq. 1 for wavenumbers  $q > q_c$  [28].

To capture the behavior of the relaxation time over a broader range of wavenumbers, on both sides of the critical wavenumber  $q_c$ , we fitted the relaxation time of fluctuations with [6, 7]:

$$\tau^{-1} = Dq^2 \left( 1 + \left( \frac{q_c}{q} \right)^4 \right), \quad (2)$$

which reduces to Eq. 1 for large wavenumbers. When using Eq. 2, one can extract both the diffusion coefficient  $D$  and the critical wavenumber  $q_c$ . Such results are exemplified in Fig. 3, where the continuous green curve corresponds to fitting the solid black square (image set B from Fig. 2), and the solid blue line corresponds to fitting the solid red circles (image set C from Fig. 2). As noted in Fig. 3,  $q_c$  remains constant, within the limits of the experimental errors, which means that the characteristic size of fluctuations is constant during the UP plateau. The caveat of fitting the data with Eq. 2 over the entire range of wavenumbers is that at low wavenumbers, the values of  $\tau(q)$  are scattered due to poor data statistics (see Fig. 3). One could avoid such issues by only fitting the data at large wavenumbers with the reduced formula given by Eq. 1. In this case, the straight line with a slope of -2 (see the continuous white line in Fig. 3) gives the diffusion coefficient  $D$ . In addition to the above direct fitting of the relaxation time data to Eq. 2, or the reduced Eq. 1, a quick estimate of

the thermal diffusivity coefficient can also be obtained from the peak value of the relaxation time shown in Fig. 3. Indeed, at the peak of the relaxation time curve, ( $\tau = \tau_{max}$ ,  $q = q_c$ ) and Eq. 2 reduces to:

$$\tau_{max} = \frac{1}{Dq_c^2},$$

255 with  $D = \frac{1}{\tau_{max}q_c^2}$  as a resulting value.

As shown in [5], it was also possible to repeat a similar fitting procedure of the relaxation time obtained from the DDM method applied to the DOWN set of original images recorded below  $T_c$ , where the approximated correlation length is  $\xi_- = \xi_+/2$ . However, it was essential to note that the resulting fitted values  
260 of  $D$  correspond then to the ones of an effective diffusion coefficient related to the fluid physical behaviors that are undoubtedly nonstationary.




Figure 3: Correlation time of fluctuations for the two representative images from the UP region, i.e., images B (solid black squares) and C (solid red circles) from Fig. 2. The peak of the correlation time  $\tau$  determines the critical wavenumber  $q_c$ . At low wavenumbers, the data are significantly scattered due to poor statistics. The fitting curve from Eq. 2 is shown with a continuous green line overlapped with the image set B (solid black squares). For wavenumbers larger than  $q_c$ , the log-log plot can be approximated with a straight line (see the white line overlapped with the image set B) with a slope of -2 as predicted by Eq. 1.

#### 4. Results

##### 4.1. Main features of the image processing using the DDM method applied to the UP set

265 The above applications of the DDM method were mainly based on the final determination of the relaxation time versus the scattered light's wavenumber. We note, however, that the experimental decay of the relaxation time is not precisely matching the expected theoretical one, as shown in Eq. 2.

Our experimental determination of time-dependent structure functions  $C_m(q, \delta t)$  clearly shows that it saturates for a delay time  $\delta t$  below one second (see Fig. 4A). The time-dependent structure functions  $C_m(q, \delta t)$  shown in Fig. 4A described how the spectral power changes with the delay time  $\delta t$  between images for a fixed wavenumber  $q$ , and it is given by:

$$C_m(q, \delta t) = 2(S(q) * T(q) * (1 - G(q, \delta t)) + B(q)), \quad (3)$$

where  $S(q)$  is the structure factor of the fluctuations,  $T(q)$  is the transfer function of the optical setup,  $B(q)$  is a background contribution to structure factor, and  $G(q, \delta t)$  is the Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF). Unless the optical transfer function  $T(q)$  is explicitly given, the DDM method can only determine the product  $T(q) * S(q)$ , which we called  $ST(q)$ . The corresponding Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF) of the image set C, shown in Fig. 4A has an apparent linear decay (in linear-log axis) for the small delay time (below one second) and a slightly different decay exponent afterward (see Fig. 4B). The experimental structure factor  $ST(q)$  from the UP set of original images (Fig. 4C) appears similar to the theoretical prediction of Fig. 3a in Ref. [5]. Similarly, the experimental relaxation time of fluctuations  $\tau$  shown in Fig. 4D follows the theoretical prediction from [5]. As predicted theoretically, at large wavenumbers ( $200 \leq q^* \leq 400$ ), the relaxation time follows a power law, which is suggested in the log-log plot shown in Fig. 4D by the straight lines with slopes of  $-2.15 \pm 0.04$  (continuous white line in Fig. 4D) and  $-1.91 \pm 0.04$  (black dashed line in Fig. 4D) with adjusted  $R^2 = 0.999$  for each fitting. Based on the above theoretical description [5], it is also noticeable that the wavenumber dependence of  $ST(q)$  does not match the expected theoretical function over the entire range. For example, at low wavenumbers, the experimental structure factor starts diverging, while the theoretical prediction shows an almost constant  $ST(q)$ . As a direct consequence, it seems possible to break this theoretical modeling introducing (at least) one more different temporal or spatial scale exponents.




Figure 4: The time-dependent structure functions  $C_m(q, \delta t)$  saturates after a relatively short delay time  $\delta t$  between successive images (A). The saturation delay time is determined by the relaxation time of fluctuations and depends on the wavenumber. The two representative examples of the UP region correspond to images B and C shown in Fig. 2. (B) The linear-log plot of the Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF)  $G(q, \delta t)$  of the image set C from panel A shows that it may be possible to capture its shape with two decaying exponentials. The dashed lines suggest the best fits with exponential functions across different regions of the delay time  $\delta t$ . The inflection point, marked by the vertical dashed lines, depends on the wavenumber. (C) The log-log plot of the structure factor  $ST(q)$  shows a power-law dependence with a slope of approximately -2 for large wavenumbers, i.e.,  $q^* > 250$ , which corresponds to  $q = q^* \times q_{min} = 250 \text{ cm}^{-1} \times 108 \text{ cm}^{-1} = 27000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . At intermediate wavenumbers,  $ST(q)$  has no structure, whereas it diverges at low wavenumbers due to poor statistics. (D) The relaxation time of fluctuations  $\tau$  shows a clear power-law dependence at large wavenumbers ( $200 \leq q^* \leq 400$ ) with the slopes close to the theoretically predicted value of -2.

As we showed in [5], the theoretical structure factor is given by

$$S(q) = \frac{S_0}{1 + \left(\frac{q}{q_c}\right)^2}, \quad (4)$$



where  $S_0$  is the structure factor value at very low ( $q \rightarrow 0$ ) wavenumbers, and  $q_c$  is the critical wavenumber. In the log-log plot, the theoretical  $S(q)$  looks almost constant at low and intermediate wavenumbers and decays as a power-law with a -2 exponent for large wavenumbers (see Fig.3a in [5]). The experimental data in Fig. 4C is similar to theoretical predictions from [5], i.e., at large wavenumbers, the power-law exponent is  $-2.45 \pm 0.02$  for image set B (continuous white line overlapped with solid black squares in Fig. 4C) and  $-2.34 \pm 0.02$  for image set C (black dashed line overlapping with the solid red circles). At intermediate wavenumbers, the structure factor  $ST(q)$  is almost constant as predicted theoretically, whereas, at very low wavenumbers, the experimental structure factor diverges due to poor data statistics.

The saturation of time-dependent structure functions  $C_m(q, \delta t)$  shown in Fig. 4A and the dependence of the structure factor on the wavenumber  $q$  provide additional insight into the characteristic relaxation time of the critical density fluctuations in the homogeneous domain (also compare with Fig. 3 of [5]). In such a theoretical framework of the critical phenomena, only a single characteristic time can govern the exponentially critical decaying of the ISF  $G(\vec{q}, \delta t)$  from Eq. 3, as follows:

$$G(\vec{q}, \delta t) = \exp\left(\frac{-\delta t}{\tau(q)}\right), \quad (5)$$

When plotted in linear-log coordinates, the slope of Eq. 5 equals the inverse of the characteristic relaxation time of critical density fluctuations in the homogeneous domain (see Fig.4C). The experimental data show that the linear-log plots of the ISFs appear to have two different linear regions, which suggest that there may be two different relaxation times involved in the critical density fluctuations. To elucidate this aspect, we used the BEMD decomposition to understand the shape of the ISF better.

#### 4.2. Comparison with the main features of image processing using the BEMD algorithm applied to the UP set

The above observations reported in Figs. 3 and 4 suggest that fluid physics  
 325 could be better described by adding another time scale, which is different from  
 the characteristic time of the critical density fluctuations. We now use the  
 BEMD algorithm to compute the new IMF image sets from the UP set of original  
 images. We only considered three IMFs plus the residual image, accounting  
 then for three spatial scales, i.e., two IMFs to capture the two times scales of  
 330 the ISF, plus one more IMF to make sure that the third IMF contains no useful  
 information regarding the relaxation time of the critical density fluctuations.  
 An example of the first three images of the IMFs plus the image of the residue  
 is shown in Fig. 5. They contain all the local fluid structures from the finest  
 to coarsest since BEMD is a data-driven model algorithm that adjusts itself to  
 335 the characteristic spatial scale for each image. A brief review of the (B)EMD  
 image decomposition method is presented in subsection 7.2 of the Appendix.




Figure 5: The first three IMFs for the 100<sup>th</sup> image of the UP region are IMF1 (A), IMF2 (B), and IMF3 (C) with the residual image shown in panel D. Examples of original images are in Fig. 2B and C. Above the critical temperature, the finest spatial scale IMF1 (A) shows relatively small size fluctuations that correspond to the finest spatial scale of the fluctuations. The coarse spatial scales (B and C) show long-range correlation patterns of fluctuations. Usually, the residue (D) shows the amount of nonuniform illumination in the original image.

Therefore, we expect that the first mode associated with Fig. 5A corresponds to the dominant critical correlation length scale of the critical density fluctuations very close to the critical point. Conversely, any other unknown modes

340 can be contained in the second IMF2 (Fig. 5b) and the third IMF3 (Fig. 5c).  
 The residue shows the effect of the remaining nonuniform illumination of the  
 original image (Fig. 5d).

We have shown in subsection 4.1 that the time scale separation suggested by  
 the shape of the ISF of Fig. 4 results naturally from the data-driven multiscale  
 345 separation of the original images produced by the BEMD algorithm. Therefore,  
 applying the DDM method to each IMF, a corresponding ISF is obtained, which  
 can then be described by a single exponential, as shown in Fig. 6 from the ISF  
 versus the lag time  $\delta t$  in linear-log diagrams. In Fig. 6, the first IMF contains  
 similar information regarding the single characteristic time ( $\tau$ ) of the critical  
 350 density fluctuations. Each ISF of Fig. 6 was fitted to a single exponential decay,  
 and the resulting decay times are shown in Fig. 7 in a  $\tau$  versus  $q$  log-log diagram  
 together with the relaxation time of fluctuations in the original image before  
 BEMD decomposition (solid squares). The four panels in Fig. 6 correspond to  
 the normalized wavenumbers shown in Fig. 4C, i.e.  $q^* = 128, q^* = 256, q^* =$   
 355  $384$ , and  $q^* = 512$ . While most ISFs can be fitted with a single exponent,  
 for some wavenumbers, the original ISF seems to be better captured by two  
 exponents, such as in Fig. 6A and B. We also notice in Fig. 6 that the exponents  
 (slopes of log-linear straight lines) for the original images (solid squares) and  
 IMF1 (solid circles) are significantly closer to each other than to higher-order  
 360 IMFs. The slope of IMF3 (solid inverted triangles) and additionally, the spread  
 of values for IMF3 (see Fig. 6) is significantly different from the rest of the  
 ISFs, which lead us to ignore it at this step of the analysis.




Figure 6: For each ISF of the original images shown in Fig. 4C, the corresponding ISF of the BEMD decomposition are given for different wavenumbers in  $q^* = 128$  (A),  $q^* = 256$  (B),  $q^* = 384$  (C), and  $q^* = 512$  (D). The linear-log plot of the Intermediary Scattering Function (ISF) versus the delay time  $\delta t$  shows that it could be fitted with two different exponents for the original images, i.e., one for low delay times  $\delta t$  and a larger exponent for longer delays. At large  $\delta t$ , the ISFs become scattered due to image de-correlation. This effect is exacerbated as the wavenumber increases, i.e., as the spatial scale of fluctuations decreases, suggesting that small fluctuations de-correlate over a smaller delay window.

In Fig. 7, we notice that the relaxation time of fluctuations in the original images (solid squares in Fig. 7) overlaps quite well with the relaxation time of fluctuations in the first IMF (solid circles in Fig. 7), at least for large wavenumbers (over  $6000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Their apparent decay slopes at large wavenumbers are also similar and slightly larger than -2. Noticeable differences between the original data and IMF1 occur at low wavenumbers where the IMF1 is below the values of the original data's relaxation time but without distinction in the peak value and its related  $q_c$  value. IMF2 (solid upside triangles in Fig. 7), as IMF3 (solid upside triangles in Fig. 7), reveal any characteristic dynamics associated with noticeable larger spatial structures, except that IMF2 presents a possible “bump” at low wavenumbers (below  $5000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). We notice from Fig. 7 of the UP region that the correlation time of fluctuations in IMF3 is about  $(0.09 \pm 0.02) \text{ s}$ , representing two video frames. This means that the large-scale structures seen in Fig. 5C are short-leaved, and they dissipate quickly. The structures corresponding to IMF2 (see Fig. 5B) have a longer life span of  $(0.15 \pm 0.03) \text{ s}$ , representing four video frames. Finally, the shot-scale structures seen in IMF1 (Fig. 5A) have the longest life span of  $(0.23 \pm 0.07) \text{ s}$ , representing six video frames on average. These values represent the “background” correlation time of fluctuations for the respective IMFs. Close to the critical wavenumber  $q_c \approx 8000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,

the correlation time of fluctuations in IMF1 is longer than 10 frames. For such large structures, such as those associated with IMF2 and IMF3 (Fig. 5 B and C), to dissipate so quickly, they must have a relatively larger diffusion coefficient than small spatial scale and long-leaved structures from IMF1 (Fig. 5A).  
 385 As a result, we can only observe the correlation time for the most persistent fluctuations when the DDM is applied to the original images that contain all three IMFs (plus the residual). The same is true for the DOWN region where the “background” correlation times ( $0.14 \pm 0.03$ ) s for IMF2, and ( $0.22 \pm 0.07$ ) s  
 390 for IMF1, while the correlation time estimate for IMF3 was not reliable (see Fig. 10).

Furthermore, we also notice from Fig. 7 that the correlation time of fluctuations in the original images is the sum of correlation times extracted from individual IMFs:

$$\tau_{original} = \tau_{IMF1} + \tau_{IMF2} + \tau_{IMF3}, \quad (6)$$

395 and we conjecture that the relationship is valid for an arbitrary number of IMFs.




Figure 7: The relaxation time of fluctuations versus wavenumber for UP images starting around the snapshots shown in Fig. 2. The first IMF (solid circles) captures more than 90% of the shape of the relaxation time of fluctuations in the original image (solid squares). The second IMF (solid upright triangles) has a hard-to-distinguish “bump” at low wavenumbers. The third IMF has no structure (solid inverted triangles). As a result, the fluctuations can be described by only two time scales: one for very fine fluctuations in IMF1 and a coarser fluctuation in IMF2. The solid arches show the fitting curve given by Eq. 2 for the IMF1 and IMF2 around the peak wavenumber  $q_c$ .

#### 4.3. Extension of the BEMD method to the DOWN set

In a similar use of the DDM method for the DOWN set of the original image, we have also extended the application of the BEMD algorithm to compute the three IMFs for this DOWN set. The results are presented in Figs. 8, 9, and 10 and are similar to the above Figs. 5, 6, and 7 for the UP set.




Figure 8: The first three IMFs for the 400<sup>th</sup> image of the DOWN region are IMF1 (A), IMF2 (B), and IMF3 (C) with the residual image shown in panel D. Examples of original images are in Fig. 2B and C. Above critical temperature (panels A-D), the finest spatial scale IMF1 (A) shows relatively small size fluctuations, which correspond to the finest spatial scale of the fluctuations. The coarse spatial scales (B and C) show long-range correlation patterns of fluctuations. Usually, the residue (D) shows the amount of nonuniform illumination in the original image.




Figure 9: The lin-log plot of the Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF) versus the delay time  $\delta t$  for DOWN region shows that it could be possible to fit the ISF of the original images (solid square) with two different exponents, i.e., one for low delay times  $\delta t$  and a larger exponent for large delays. At large  $\delta t$ , the ISFs become scattered due to image de-correlation, although the transition is smoother than for the UP region shown in Fig. 6. Additionally, the de-correlation tends to occur around the same delay  $\delta t$  across different wavenumbers. For each ISF of the original images shown with solid squares, the corresponding ISF of the BEMD decomposition are given for different wavenumbers in  $q^* = 128$  (A),  $q^* = 256$  (B),  $q^* = 384$  (C), and  $q^* = 512$  (D).




Figure 10: The relaxation time of fluctuations versus wavenumber for DOWN images starting around the snapshots shown in Fig. 2. The first IMF (solid circles) captures more than 90% of the shape of the relaxation time of fluctuations in the original image (solid squares). The second IMF (solid upright triangles) has a hard-to-distinguish “bump” at low wavenumbers. The third IMF has no structure (solid inverted triangles), which suggests that two time scales can describe the fluctuations: one for very fine fluctuations in IMF1 and a coarser fluctuation in IMF2. The solid arches show the fitting curve given by Eq. 2 for the IMF1 and IMF2 around the peak wavenumber  $q_c$ . The error bars are only shown in Fig. 7 for data of the UP region.

We need to make two notes regarding the data fitting shown in Fig. 10: First, we found that  $q_c$  slowly drifts towards smaller values [17], suggesting that the characteristic length  $l$  of the fluctuating clusters increases and leads to phase separation. Below  $T_c$ , the critical wavenumber  $q_c$  corresponds to the size of phase separating domains. Our previous analysis showed that  $q_c$  slowly  
 405 decreases over time almost linearly and that  $\xi_{+q} \ll 1$  [5, 17].

Second, as we notice from Fig. 10, the peak of IMF2 shifted to about



3000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , a lower wavenumber than to the 8000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in IMF1. However, because the wavenumber contribution to diffusivity/diffusion coefficient is squared, the above 2.7 times wavenumber decrease from IMF1 to IMF2 translates into a seven-fold increase of  $D$ . Additionally, there is a decrease in the relaxation time between IMF1 and IMF2 by a factor of 2. As a result, the combined effect of simultaneous decreased peak relaxation time  $\tau_{max}$  and peak wavenumber  $q_c$  is an increase by about an order of magnitude of the diffusivity/diffusion coefficient obtained from IMF2 compared to IMF1 (see Fig. 11).

The “bump” at low wavenumbers for IMF2 is very hard to separate from the background noise. Indeed, for IMF1 (see, for example, Fig. 10A), the background relaxation time at very large wavenumbers is about  $0.207 \pm 0.016$  s while the “bump” of IMF1 is at around 0.372 s. Even by subtracting three standard deviations, the peak relaxation time decreases from 0.372 s to 0.324 s, which is still well above the background value of 0.207 s. In other words, the “bump” of IMF1 is well-defined and statistically significant. However, for IMF2, the background (almost constant) value of the relaxation time at very large wavenumbers is about  $0.15 \text{ s} \pm 0.01 \text{ s}$  while the “bump” of IMF2 is at around 0.18 s. In this case, subtracting three standard deviations from the 0.18 s peak value of the relaxation time leaves us at the background noise level. While three standard deviations above the background is a reasonable ground for fitting the relaxation time of IMF2 data with Eq. 2, the errors on the diffusivity/diffusion coefficients are systematically higher than for IMF1 (see Fig. 11).




Figure 11: Diffusivity (above  $T_c$ ) and effective diffusion coefficient (below  $T_c$ ) (A1) and the critical wavenumber (B1) for the original images (solid square), IMF1 (solid circles), and IMF2 (solid triangles). The diffusivity/effective diffusion coefficient obtained from the original image is consistent with previous results [5]. The diffusivity/effective diffusion coefficient for the finest fluctuations (solid circles in A) in the images is close to the values from the original images (solid squares in A) as they dominate the density fluctuations. There is almost one order of magnitude larger diffusivity/effective diffusion coefficient (solid triangles) associated with the long-range correlated structures. The finest fluctuations in the system have the largest critical wavenumber (solid circles in B), consistent with the fact that the wavenumber is inversely proportional to the length scale of fluctuations. The origin of time is the crossing of  $T_c$ , i.e., the negative time values refer to UP plateau ( $T > T_c$ ), and positive time values indicate DOWN plateau ( $T < T_c$ ). The lower panels show the ideal temperature profile. The UP and DOWN rectangles on the temperature profile corresponding to rectangles shown in panels A1 and B1 (the actual temperature profile measured by thermistors inside the fluid is shown in Fig. 3A).

## 430 5. Discussion

Should there be any separate spatial scale IMF2 above  $T_c$ ? We investigated the possibility that the values shown in Fig. 11A as diffusion coefficients for IMF2 (see the solid triangles) could be related to a viscosity mode. Previously

studies on nonequilibrium systems under concentration and gravity gradients [7, 12] used a more general relaxation time of fluctuations than Eq. 2:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{Dq^2} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{q_c}{q} \right)^4 \right)^{-1} + \frac{2}{\eta_{kinematic} q^2},$$

where  $\eta_{kinematic}$  is the kinematic viscosity. Based on [32], we estimated the value of the dynamic viscosity near the critical point (see Eq. 6.8 in [32]):

$$\eta_{dynamic} = \eta_0 (Q_0 \xi_0)^{y/\nu} \epsilon^{-y},$$

where  $\eta_0 = (39.9 \pm 0.4) \mu\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$ , with  $(Q_0 \xi_0)^{-y/\nu} = 1.30 \pm 0.04$  for  $\text{SF}_6$ , and  $y = 0.04$ . For a temperature quench of  $T - T_c = 0.3 \text{ mK}$  and a critical temperature of  $T_c = (318.769 \pm 0.003) \text{ K}$ , the reduced temperature  $\epsilon = (T - T_c)/T_c = 9.411e-7$  gives  $\eta_{dynamic} = 5.347 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$ . The corresponding kinematic viscosity is  $\eta_{kinematic} = \eta_{dynamic}/\rho_c = 7.324 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  with  $\rho_c = (730 \pm 7) \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$ . Even if the critical temperature distance  $T - T_c$  is between  $46 \mu\text{K}$  and  $270 \mu\text{K}$  as predicted in [17, 5], the kinematic viscosity would be in the range  $7.895 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  to  $7.355 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ . These theoretically estimated values of the kinematic viscosities are three orders of magnitude larger than the value of the diffusivity/diffusion coefficients shown in Fig. 11A for IMF2. Therefore, it is unlikely that IMF2 captured the viscosity mode.

A possible explanation of the presence of a second diffusion coefficient associated with IMF2 could be due to the fractal nature of fluctuations. Many researchers acknowledged that “The traditional models for phase separation, nucleation and growth, and spinodal decomposition, are thermodynamic models. These models successfully describe the essential experimental observations in most systems. There is reason to believe, however, that unique, possibly fractal, patterns exist in the earliest stages of phase separation where kinetic growth processes are active.” [33] Molecular dynamics simulations [34] reported cluster formation in supercritical Lennard-Jones fluid theoretically explained based on percolation theory [35]. Above  $T_c$ , large clusters form as the density approaches the critical density, following Fisher’s droplet model [36]. “The supercritical

fluctuations show a large and fractal-like structure of the cluster, which has little density dependence” [36]. The existence of a large spatial scale IMF2 above critical temperature could be due to percolating transition loci [37, 38] and the more recent hypothesis of a supercritical mesophase [39, 40]. According to the supercritical mesophase hypothesis, below  $T_c$ , there is a familiar mixing of liquid-gas phases. Above  $T_c$ , “there is a mesophase confined within percolation loci that bound the gas and liquid phases by higher-order discontinuities” [37, 38].

The multiscale analysis allows us to separate the two patterns in the relaxation time of fluctuations and fit them separately with the simplest possible model. Eq. 2 allowed us to extract information about diffusion at different spatial scales. The critical wavenumber  $q_c$  in Eq. 2 is roughly estimated by the wavenumber at the peak of the relaxation time curves shown in Fig. 7. For wavenumbers larger than the value  $q_c$  of the critical wavenumber, the approximate fitting function from Eq. 2 becomes  $\tau = 1/(Dq^2)$ , shown with a dashed line and marked with a slope of -2 in Fig. 7. For smaller wavenumbers, the relaxation time’s slope is +2 (see Fig. 7). The relaxation time error bars are only shown for the UP region (see Fig. 7A) to avoid cluttering the second panel where the large error bars for IMF3 would cover the data for IMF1, IMF2, and original images.

Because most of the information about the relaxation time of fluctuations is contained in the first IMF1, it masks the contribution of the second IMF. Without first breaking the images into IMFs by using the BEMD technique, we would not have known that there are multiple modes in the original image. Finally, the relaxation time of the third IMF (see solid inverted triangles in Fig. 7) has no structure as all wavenumbers.

The diffusion coefficient of the original images (see solid squares in Fig. 8A1) matches our previous results [5]. We show on the same plot both the diffusivity for UP and the effective diffusion coefficient for DOWN results with the origin of time set at the first image of the UP region.

Two new findings are worth noting: (1) for temperatures approaching  $T_c$  from above, the diffusivity coefficient has a decreasing trend, and (2) on the

DOWN plateau below  $T_c$ , there is an increasing trend for the effective diffusion  
 485 coefficient. The effective diffusion coefficient remains approximately constant  
 around  $(2.21 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  during the first 5 s of DOWN region and then  
 increases as  $(1.01 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-8} + (1.71 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-9} \times \text{time}$ . The diffusivity  
 (above  $T_c$ )/effective diffusion coefficient (below  $T_c$ ) obtained by applying DDM  
 to the first IMF (see solid circles in Fig. 8) closely follows the diffusivity/effective  
 490 diffusion coefficient values obtained after applying DDM to the original set of  
 images (solid square). At the same time, the diffusivity/effective diffusion coef-  
 ficient obtained by applying DDM to the IMF2 (see solid triangles in Fig. 8A1)  
 is significantly larger (by one order of magnitude) than that obtained from the  
 original images and is almost constant at around  $(4.28 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ .

495 For the first IMF (solid circles in Fig. 8B), the critical wavenumbers are  
 smaller than those for the original image (solid squares in Fig. 8B1). This is  
 consistent with the relaxation time of the fluctuations plot shown in Fig. 7.  
 Indeed, the relaxation time of the shortest spatial scale of fluctuations, i.e.,  
 IMF1, peaks at larger wavenumbers compared to IMF2 (see Fig. 7). Since the  
 500 original image's relaxation time combines the two relaxation times of IMF1 and  
 IMF2, its peak is slightly shifted towards lower wavenumbers compared to the  
 peak of IMF1.

This shifting effect is shown in Fig. 8B1. At the same time, the fact that the  
 critical wavenumbers of the original image are not midway between the critical  
 505 wavenumbers corresponding to IMF1 and IMF2, respectively, suggests that they  
 may contribute to the total relaxation time with different weights (as seen from  
 Fig. 7).

The diffusivity is made of two parts: a background contribution and a critical  
 part [5]. The most straightforward approach to computing critical contribution  
 is based on the Stokes-Einstein equation [41]:

$$D_c = \frac{Rk_B T}{6\pi\eta\xi},$$

where  $R$  is a universal constant close to unity,  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $\eta$  is  
 fluid viscosity, and  $\xi = \xi_+ \epsilon^{-\nu}$  is the correlation length.

510 Direct measurements of the viscosity of SF<sub>6</sub> in the critical region are relatively scarce. One of the first studies done by Henry et al. [42] examined viscosity data reported for other fluids near the critical point (xenon, CO, argon, krypton, nitrogen, oxygen, ethane, methane, and propane) and established a relation for the corresponding states. Combining results from [42] with the  
 515 low-density SF<sub>6</sub> viscosity data [43, 44] yields  $\eta(\rho_c, T_c) \approx 3.47 \times 10^{-5} Pa \cdot s$  [45, 46]. Wu and Webb [46] found that for a reduced temperature range of  $1.22 \times 10^{-4} \leq \epsilon \leq 6.90 \times 10^{-2}$ , there is no critical anomaly in share viscosity of SF<sub>6</sub> and  $\eta = (425 + 14.5(T_c - T) \pm 15) \times 10^{-7} Pa \cdot s$ . A recent review of SF<sub>6</sub> data by Guder and Wagner [47] used the following critical  
 520 point values  $T_c = (318.7232 \pm 0.0020) K$ ,  $p_c = (3.754983 \pm 0.000200) MPa$ , and  $\rho_c = (742.3 \pm 0.3) kg/m^3$  (see [48] for SF<sub>6</sub> critical region data around 50 mK of  $T_c$ ).

Based on our previous results [5, 17] we know that the  $\xi_+ = 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$  m,  $\xi_- = \xi_+/2$ , the temperature of the DOWN region is about 47  $\mu K$  below  $T_c$  based on histogram method [17] and in the range of 15  $\mu K$  to 42  $\mu K$  based on DDM method [5]. As a result,  $\xi = \xi_- \epsilon^{-\nu} = 1.8 \mu m$  to 3.7  $\mu m$ , which places the critical part of the diffusion coefficient in the range  $D_c = 1.5 \times 10^{-8} cm^2/s$  to  $3.0 \times 10^{-8} cm^2/s$ . The agreement of the above crude estimation with our experimental findings shown in Fig. 8 is very good compared to the original and IMF1 data. More accurate estimates of the diffusion coefficient can be obtained with more elaborated corrections derived in [49] and [32]. Table VII of [32] summarizes known experimental data on SF<sub>6</sub> diffusivity variation with temperature differences  $\Delta T = T - T_c$  in the range of 198.2 mK down to 1.4 mK above  $T_c$ . We found that the log-log plot of the data (not shown) follows a linear trend with

$$\log_{10} D = -0.167 \pm 0.03 + (0.76 \pm 0.02) * \log_{10} \Delta T,$$

with a reduced  $\chi^2 = 0.025$ , a coefficient of determination  $R^2 = 0.989$ , and an adjusted  $R^2 = 0.989$ . In our experiments, the temperature quench was 0.3 mK,  
 525 and the DOWN region was estimated between 47  $\mu K$  [17] and about 15  $\mu K$  to

42  $\mu$ K [5] below  $T_c$ . As a result, extrapolating the above linear trend in log-log coordinates from [32], the diffusion coefficient in our experiments should be in the range  $2.1 \times 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s to  $3.1 \times 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s. Again, our experimental findings concerning the effective diffusion coefficient shown in Fig. 8 based on this BEMD-DDM technique are in the range consistent with other experiments when compared against the original and IMF1.

## 6. Concluding Remarks

Using the MIR space station's microgravity conditions and the performing thermal and optical environments of the ALICE 2 facility, we have observed the SF<sub>6</sub> critical density fluctuations data extremely close to  $T_c$  [15, 16]. We previously used the DDM method [5] with a single characteristic time (single exponential) and fitted the ISF of the critical density fluctuations in the homogeneous domain (UP) above the critical point of SF<sub>6</sub>. The DDM method was extended to the nonhomogeneous domain (DOWN), introducing an effective diffusion coefficient whose physics appeared complex to understand due to the transient nature of the various possible thermal relaxation processes. A closer inspection of the ISF for UP and DOWN regions shows that, although a single exponential covers quite a wide range of delay times, it is not perfect, especially regarding the current theoretical description of the critical phenomena. Therefore we used another tool, named the Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition (BEMD) algorithm, to separate the spatial scales of the physical phenomena that can contribute to light scattering imaging. Although we used the same dataset as in [5], there are fundamental differences in the approach to time scale separation that emerged naturally from the spatial scale separation with BEMD. The most exciting aspect consists of the clear identification at the first order of the IMF, of the unique relaxation time of the well-defined critical density fluctuations that are unambiguously the dominant microscopic physics in the UP region. Although the fundamental molecular mechanism that drives fluctuations at all scales ultimately relies on the same microscopic interaction

555 potentials among molecules, it does not preclude short spatial scale fluctuations from having a different relaxation time than the large critical scale of the density fluctuations. Therefore, further dynamic investigations using the DDM method at a higher-order IMFs concern only physics, which may have quite large characteristic spatial scales.

560 Finally, as the BEMD algorithm is data-driven and fully unsupervised, we have here shown that its use is also suitable for the analysis of locally nonlinear and nonstationary data, such as the one obtained from a quick thermal quench that stepped through the critical temperature in our experiment. The BEMD decomposes the spatial frequency components into a set of Intrinsic Mode Function (IMFs). The highest spatial frequency component of each spatial location is in the first IMF, and the second-highest spatial frequency component of each spatial position is in the second IMF, etc. We found that the individual IMFs are described by single-exponential ISF, which provided a natural temporal scale separation. The main focus is on the first two IMFs: the first IMF for the shortest spatial scale is related directly to critical point fluctuations. The second IMF reveals a coarser structure determined by the initial stage of cluster formation and phase separation below  $T_c$ . The relaxation time of fluctuation in the original image (see solid squares in Fig. 7) is captured accurately (more than 90% cross-correlation) by the first IMF, i.e., the finest spatial scale of fluctuations. 575 This suggests that very close to the critical point, the shortest scale fluctuations dominate and the diffusivity (above  $T_c$ ) or the effective diffusion coefficient (below  $T_c$ ), respectively, obtained from the relaxation time of fluctuations in the original image is almost identical with that obtained from the first IMF. It is worth mentioning that the spatial scale that corresponds to the first IMF is of the order of magnitude of the correlation length, i.e.,  $\xi_+ \approx 2\mu\text{m}$  (above  $T_c$ ) and progressively decreases to  $\xi_- = \xi_+/2$  (its value below  $T_c$ ). The relaxation time of fluctuations in the second IMF corresponds to the coarser image texture most likely related to small clusters that start appearing below  $T_c$ . Indeed, the critical wavenumber of IMF2 is less than half of the IMF1 (compare solid triangles 580 (IMF2) against solid circles (IMF1) in Fig. 7). As a result, the characteristic



length of the fluctuations in IMF2 is at least twice the value of IMF1 without any reasonable physical understanding.

A significant improvement in the accuracy of our fitting could be obtained by increasing the resolution and the sampling time of data acquisition in future  
 590 microgravity experiments. While the current background relaxation time for IMF2 (measured at large wavenumbers) of 0.18s is well above the 0.04s delay between successive frames, it is less than one frame distance from the 0.15 s background relaxation time. A faster data acquisition would allow us a more accurate estimation of the diffusivity/diffusion coefficients.

## 595 7. Appendix

### 7.1. Brief review of Differential Dynamic Microscopy (DDM) method

Cerbino and Trappe [1] and Giavazzi et al. [50] revolutionized the DLS technique by using an ordinary white-light microscope for the study of the wavenumber-dependent dynamics of colloidal dispersions with their new Differential Dynamic Microscopy (DDM) method. The method is described in detail for near-field measurements in the seminal work of Cerbino and Vailati [2]. The DDM uses differences between images separated by a fixed delay time  $\delta t$ , called fluctuation images  $i(\vec{r}, \delta t)$ , to extract information regarding the correlation changes' time scale. To this end, the power spectrum  $I(\vec{q}, \delta t)$  of the fluctuation images  $i(\vec{r}, \delta t)$  maps the real space correlations among particles into wavenumber space  $\vec{q}$  (see [5] for the implementation details of the DDM algorithms). Here  $q$  is related to the "length scale"  $\lambda$  of the correlation among particles through  $q = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ . The power spectrum  $I(\vec{q}, \delta t)$  is related to the Intermediate Scattering Function (ISF),  $G(\vec{q}, \delta t)$ , of the system through [1, 50]:

$$I(\vec{q}, \delta t) = A(\vec{q})(1 - G(\vec{q}, \delta t)) + B(\vec{q}),$$

where  $A(\vec{q})$  and  $B(\vec{q})$  are determined by the static scattering properties of the sample. The ISF,  $G(\vec{q}, \delta t)$ , characterizes how quickly a structure is lost over a length scale  $\lambda$ . Generally,  $G(\vec{q}, \delta t)$  monotonically decays to zero over time

600 because of dissipative flows. It can be shown that in simple Newtonian fluids the ISF is a Gaussian, i.e.  $G(\vec{q}, \delta t) = e^{-\frac{q^2}{4} \langle r^2(\delta t) \rangle}$  with the average displacement  $\langle r^2(\delta t) \rangle = 4D\delta t$ , where  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient.

The new method we proposed here is a data-driven multiscale DDM in the sense that the spatial scales are naturally separated based on the image content. 605 To achieve this goal, we used the Empiric Mode Decomposition (EMD) to break the original image into different spatial scales called Intrinsic Mode Function (IMF) and a residual quantity.

We can thus identify individual contributions of different relaxation times of fluctuations at different scales. We focused mainly on the first two IMFs: 610 the first IMF for the shortest spatial scale is related directly to critical point fluctuations (above  $T_c$ ), and the second IMF reveals a coarser structure that is determined by the initial stage of cluster formation and phase separation (below  $T_c$ ).

### 7.2. Brief review of the Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) method

615 The definition of EMD and the related theory were proposed by Huang [51]. Among other advantages over traditional spectral analysis methods, such as Fourier or wavelets, the EMD applies even to nonlinear and nonstationary signals. At the same time, the resultant IMF features are linear and stationary [52]. The EMD has been used, among other research areas, in earthquake 620 analysis [53, 54], structural diagnosis [55, 56], characterization of nonstationary biological processes [57], mechanical fault diagnosis [58, 59], and ocean waves analysis [60]. The algorithm is as follows. The EMD is a data-driven and adaptive approach to remove oscillations (IMFs) successively through repeated subtraction of the baselines.

- 625 1. Let us designate the original signal  $x(t)$ , with  $t > 0$  as the original residual time series  $r_0(t) = x(t)$  and set the iteration index for IMF to  $i = 1$  (see Fig. 12A1 continuous line).
2. Identify all the extrema in  $r_{i-1}(t)$  and connect the sequential local maxima  $max_{i-1}(t)$  (respective minima,  $min_{i-1}(t)$ ) using a cubic spline to derive

630 the upper. In Fig. 12A1, the maxima envelope is shown with a dashed line and of minima with a dashed-dotted line.

3. Derive the baseline,  $m_{i-1}(t)$ , by averaging the upper and the lower envelopes (see Fig. 12B1 dashed line), namely:

$$m_{i-1}(t) = \frac{\max_{i-1}(t) + \min_{i-1}(t)}{2}.$$

4. Extract the temporary local oscillation  $h_i(t) = r_{i-1}(t) - m_{i-1}(t)$  (see Fig. 12C1 dashed line). The signal fluctuation  $h_i(t)$  must represent a pure “oscillation about the mean,” i.e., it must satisfy two conditions: (i) in the whole data set, the number of extrema and the number of zero-crossings must either be equal or differ at most by one, and (ii) at any time, the mean value of the envelope of the local maxima and the envelope of the local minima must be zero. An oscillatory function that satisfies these two conditions is called an Intrinsic Mode Function (IMF).

640 5. If the mean of  $h_i(t)$  is not zero, repeat steps (2)-(4) on the temporary local oscillation by setting  $r_{i-1}(t) = h_i(t)$  and iterating until the mean of  $h_i(t)$  is zero. Then,  $h_i(t)$  is treated as an IMF, noted as  $IMF_i(t)$  (see dashed line in Fig. 12C1).

645 6. Compute the new residue:  $r_i(t) = r_{i-1}(t) - IMF_i(t)$  (see Fig. 12A2 continuous line).

7. Increment the IMF index  $i$  and return to step (2) to generate series of IMFs and a residue until the residual  $r_i(t)$  is a constant, or a trend, or has no more than three extrema (see Fig. 12B2-C2).




Figure 12: The original signal (continuous line in A1) has a smooth upper envelope (dashed line in A1) and lower envelope (dashed-dotted line in A1). The two envelopes from A1 determine the mean envelope in B1 (dashed line). By subtracting the mean envelope of B1 from the signal, one obtains the first IMF in C1 (dashed line). The difference between the signal (continuous line in C1) and the first IMF (dashed line in C1) gives the first residue in A2 (continuous line). The process depicted in panels A1-C1 is repeated with the signal shown in A2 until one obtains the second IMF in C2. This one-dimensional signal was generated from an analytic function to demonstrate the use of the EMD technique. The BEMD follows the same steps, except the envelopes are two-dimensional surfaces.

The iterative sifting process of the EMD technique can be continued until either the residue or the intrinsic mode becomes less than a predetermined small number, or the residue becomes nonoscillatory. For a sifting process that identifies  $n$  IMFs, the original signal is simply

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n IMF_i + r_n,$$

where  $r_n$  represents the nonoscillatory trend of the signal. Usually, in the case of  
 650 bidimensional signals (images), the last residue represents the prevailing trend  
 in images (background) due to nonuniform illumination and could be used to  
 correct the images.

Since its inception, many significant improvements to EMD have been made, e.g., extra Gaussian noise was added to data in Ensemble Empirical Mode De-

655 composition (EEMD) to alleviate the aliasing phenomenon [61]. Eliminating the residual noise introduced in EEMD was achieved recently by Complementary Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (CEEMD) theory [62]. The EMD was expanded to Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition (BEMD) [63] and applied to remote sensing [64]. The BEMD is a data-driven method, and  
660 it requires no pre-determined filter or wavelet functions [65]. The BEMD has also been applied to texture extraction and image filtering [66], finding the gold mineral deposition [67] and tin-copper polymetallic ore fields [68] from gravity anomalies captured by satellite images, image denoising [69, 70], content-based image retrieval [71], and fusion of multispectral and remote sensing [72, 73].  
665 There are various implementations of the BEMD method, depending on the surface interpolation algorithm used. For one-dimensional signals (time series), one of the standards methods for connecting minima/maxima is cubic spline interpolation, see, for example, the *emd()* standard Matlab function. For two-dimensional signals (images), there are multiple possible ways of constructing  
670 the interpolation surface that connects all minima (lower envelope) and maxima (upper envelope), respectively. To extract the two-dimensional IMFs during the sifting process ones could use radial basis function [74] or multigrid beta-splines [75] to construct the two-dimensional lower and upper envelopes, respectively. Others treated multidimensional data as a collection of one-dimensional signals  
675 [76]. According to such an approach, two-dimensional spatial data or images  $I(x, y)$  are a collection of one-dimensional series in both x- and y-directions. As a result, each of the one-dimensional slices is decomposed with the EMD algorithm by following the seven steps described above. Subsequently, the slice of a similar scale is reconstructed to produce a two-dimensional pseudo-IMF-like  
680 component [76].

### Acknowledgments

A.O acknowledges a mini-Research and Education Awards Project grant from NASA South Carolina Space Grant/EPSCoR. Y.G., C.L., and D.B. ac-

knowledge a research grant from Centre National d'Études Spatiales (CNES)  
685 and a NASA grants NAG3-1906 and NAG3-2447.

## References

- [1] R. Cerbino, V. Trappe, Differential Dynamic Microscopy: Probing Wave  
Vector Dependent Dynamics with a Microscope, *Physical Review Letters*  
100 (2008) 188102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.188102.
- 690 [2] R. Cerbino, A. Vailati, Near-field scattering techniques: Novel instrumen-  
tation and results from time and spatially resolved investigations of soft  
matter systems, *Current Opinion in Colloid and Interface Science* 14 (6)  
(2009) 416 – 425. doi:10.1016/j.cocis.2009.07.003.
- [3] F. Croccolo, J. M. Ortiz de Zárate, J. V. Sengers, Non-local fluctuation  
695 phenomena in liquids, *The European Physical Journal E* 39 (12) (2016)  
125. doi:10.1140/epje/i2016-16125-3.
- [4] A. Vailati, R. Cerbino, S. Mazzoni, C. Takacs, D. Cannell, M. Giglio,  
Fractal fronts of diffusion in microgravity, *Nature Communications* 2 (290)  
(2011) 1–5. doi:10.1038/ncomms1290.
- 700 [5] A. Oprisan, S. Oprisan, B. Bayley, J. Hegseth, Y. Garrabos, C. Lecoutre-  
Chabot, D. Beysens, Dynamic structure factor of density fluctuations from  
direct imaging very near (both above and below) the critical point of SF<sub>6</sub>,  
*Physical Review E* 86 (2012) 061501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.86.061501.
- [6] O. Bondarchuk, D. B. Dougherty, M. Degawa, E. D. Williams, M. Con-  
stantin, C. Dasgupta, S. Das Sarma, Correlation time for step struc-  
705 tural fluctuations, *Physical Review B* 71 (2005) 045426. doi:10.1103/  
PhysRevB.71.045426.
- [7] F. Croccolo, Dynamics of Non Equilibrium Fluctuations in Free Diffusion,  
Thesis (2006).

- 710 [8] A. Vailati, M. Giglio, Nonequilibrium fluctuations in time-dependent diffusion processes, *Physical Review E* 58 (1998) 4361–4371. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.58.4361.
- [9] F. Croccolo, C. Giraudet, H. Bataller, R. Cerbino, A. Vailati, Shadowgraph Analysis of Non-equilibrium Fluctuations for Measuring Transport Properties in Microgravity in the GRADFLEX Experiment, *Microgravity Science and Technology* 28 (4) (2016) 467–475. doi:10.1007/s12217-016-9501-1.
- 715 [10] F. Giavazzi, A. Fornasieri, A. Vailati, R. Cerbino, Equilibrium and non-equilibrium concentration fluctuations in a critical binary mixture, *The European Physical Journal E* 39 (10) (2016) 103. doi:10.1140/epje/i2016-16103-9.
- 720 [11] F. Giavazzi, G. Savorana, A. Vailati, R. Cerbino, Structure and dynamics of concentration fluctuations in a non-equilibrium dense colloidal suspension, *Soft Matter* 12 (2016) 6588–6600. doi:10.1039/C6SM00935B.
- [12] F. Croccolo, D. Brogioli, A. Vailati, M. Giglio, D. Cannell, Use of dynamic schlieren interferometry to study fluctuations during free diffusion, *Applied Optics* 45 (10) (2006) 2166–2173. doi:10.1364/AO.45.002166.
- 725 [13] H. Bataller, C. Giraudet, F. Croccolo, J. Maria Ortiz de Zarate, Analysis of Non-Equilibrium Fluctuations in a Ternary Liquid Mixture, *Microgravity Science and Technology* 28 (2016). doi:10.1007/s12217-016-9517-6.
- [14] J. Ortiz de Zarate, C. Giraudet, H. Bataller, F. Croccolo, Non-equilibrium fluctuations induced by the Soret effect in a ternary mixture, *The European Physical Journal E: Soft Matter* 37 (2014) 34. doi:10.1140/epje/i2014-14077-2.
- 730 [15] R. Marcout, J. Zwilling, J. Laherrere, Y. Garrabos, B. D., ALICE 2, an advanced facility for the analysis of fluids close to their critical point in microgravity, in: 45th Congress of the International Astronautical Federation, Jerusalem, Israel, International Astronautical Federation, 1994.
- 735

- [16] C. Lecoutre, Y. Garrabos, E. Georjin, F. Palencia, D. Beysens, Turbidity data of weightless SF<sub>6</sub> near its liquid–gas critical point, *International Journal of Thermophysics* 30 (3) (2009) 810–832. doi:10.1007/s10765-009-0582-6.
- [17] A. Oprisan, S. A. Oprisan, J. J. Hegseth, Y. Garrabos, C. Lecoutre-Chabot, D. Beysens, Universality in early-stage growth of phase-separating domains near the critical point, *Physical Review E* 77 (5 Pt 1) (2008) 051118.
- [18] A. Durieux, B. Martin, D. Laubier, Declic: design, integration and testing of a multi configurable instrument using optical diagnostics to study directional solidification and critical fluids, in: E. Armandillo, J. Costeraste, N. Karafolas (Eds.), *International Conference on Space Optics — ICSSO 2006*, Vol. 10567, International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2017, pp. 951 – 957. doi:10.1117/12.2308146.
- [19] Y. Garrabos, C. Lecoutre, S. Marre, R. Guillaument, D. Beysens, I. Hahn, Crossover equation of state models applied to the critical behavior of xenon, *J. Stat. Phys.* 158 (2015) 1379–1412. doi:10.1007/s10955-014-1157-x.
- [20] Y. Garrabos, C. Lecoutre, S. Marre, I. Hahn, Critical crossover functions for simple fluids: Towards the crossover modelling uniqueness, *J. Stat. Phys.* 165 (2016) 471–506. doi:10.1007/s10955-016-1627-4.
- [21] Y. Garrabos, C. Lecoutre, S. Marre, D. Beysens, I. Hahn, Liquid-vapor rectilinear diameter revisited, *Phys. Rev. E* 97 (2018) 020101. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.97.020101.
- [22] C. Lecoutre, Y. Garrabos, D. Beysens, V. Nikolayev, I. Hahn, Boiling phenomena in near-critical sf<sub>6</sub> observed in weightlessness, *Acta Astronautica* 100 (2014) 22 – 29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2014.03.012.
- [23] C. Lecoutre, R. Guillaument, S. Marre, Y. Garrabos, D. Beysens, I. Hahn,



- 765 Weightless experiments to probe universality of fluid critical behavior,  
Phys. Rev. E. 91 (6) (2015) 06010. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.91.060101.
- [24] F. Mota, N. Bergeon, D. Tournet, A. Karma, R. Trivedi, B. Billia,  
Initial transient behavior in directional solidification of a bulk trans-  
parent model alloy in a cylinder, Acta Materialia 85 (2015) 362 – 377.  
770 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.11.024>.  
URL [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/  
S1359645414008775](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1359645414008775)
- [25] V. Nikolayev, Y. Garrabos, C. Lecoutre, T. Charignon, D. Hitz, D. Chatain,  
R. Guillaument, S. Marre, D. Beysens, Boiling crisis dynamics: Low gravity  
775 experiments at high pressure, Microgravity Science and Technology 27 (4)  
(2015) 253–260. doi:10.1007/s12217-015-9447-8.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12217-015-9447-8>
- [26] F. Croccolo, D. Brogioli, A. Vailati, M. Giglio, D. Cannell, Nondiffusive  
decay of gradient-driven fluctuations in a free-diffusion process, Physical  
780 Review E 76 (4) (2007) 041112. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041112.
- [27] A. Onuki, Phase transition dynamics, Cambridge University Press, Cam-  
bridge, UK, 2002.
- [28] K. Kawasaki, Kinetic equations and time correlation functions of criti-  
cal fluctuations, Annals of Physics 61 (1) (1970) 1–56. doi:10.1016/  
785 0003-4916(70)90375-1.
- [29] J. Oh, J. Ortiz de Zárate, J. Sengers, G. Ahlers, Dynamics of fluctuations  
in a fluid below the onset of Rayleigh-Bénard convection, Physical Review  
E 69 (2004) 021106. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.69.021106.
- [30] H. Tanaka, T. Nishi, Direct determination of the probability distribution  
790 function of concentration in polymer mixtures undergoing phase separation,  
Physical Review Letters 59 (1987) 692–695. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.  
59.692.

- [31] M. Moldover, J. Sengers, R. Gammon, R. Hocken, Gravity effects in fluids near the gas-liquid critical point, *Review of Modern Physics* 51 (1) (1979) 79–99. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.51.79.
- [32] R. A. Wilkinson, G. A. Zimmerli, H. Hao, M. Moldover, R. Berg, W. Johnson, R. Ferrell, R. Gammon, Equilibration near the liquid-vapor critical point in microgravity, *Physical Review E* 57 (1) (1998) 436–448. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.57.436.
- [33] D. W. Schaefer, B. C. Bunker, J. P. Wilcoxon, J. S. Rowlinson, R. C. Ball, D. J. Tildesley, M. Fleischmann, Fractals and phase separation, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 423 (1864) (1989) 35–53. doi:10.1098/rspa.1989.0040.
- [34] D. Heyes, Cluster analysis and continuum percolation of 3d square-well phases mc and py solutions, *Molecular Physics* 69 (3) (1990) 559–569. doi:10.1080/00268979000100401.
- [35] D. Stauffer, A. Aharony, *Introduction To Percolation Theory*, Taylor and Francis, 1994.
- [36] N. Yoshii, S. Okazaki, A large-scale and long-time molecular dynamics study of supercritical lennard-jones fluid. an analysis of high temperature clusters, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 107 (6) (1997) 2020–2033. doi:10.1063/1.474553.
- [37] L. V. Woodcock, Observations of a thermodynamic liquid–gas critical coexistence line and supercritical fluid phase bounds from percolation transition loci, *Fluid Phase Equilibria* 351 (2013) 25 – 33, special Issue covering the Eighteenth Symposium on Thermophysical Properties. doi:10.1016/j.fluid.2012.08.029.
- [38] L. Woodcock, Thermodynamic fluid equations-of-state, *Computational Methods in Science and Technology* 22 (3) (2017) 22. doi:10.3390/e20010022.

- [39] H. Magnier, R. Curtis, L. Woodcock, Nature of the supercritical mesophase, *Natural Science* 6 (2014) 797–807. doi:10.4236/ns.2014.610078.
- [40] L. Woodcock, Percolation transitions and fluid state boundaries, *Entropy* 20 (1) (2018) 281–294. doi:10.12921/cmst.2016.0000070.
- 825 [41] S. Will, A. Leipertz, Mutual diffusion coefficient and dynamic viscosity near the critical consolute point probed by dynamic light scattering, *International Journal of Thermophysics* 20 (3) (1999) 791–803. doi:10.1023/A:1022670816359.
- [42] D. L. Henry, H. L. Swinney, H. Z. Cummins, Rayleigh Linewidth in Xenon  
830 Near the Critical Point, *Physical Review Letters* 25 (1970) 1170–1173. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.25.1170.
- [43] J. C. McCoubrey, N. M. Singh, Intermolecular forces in quasi-spherical molecules, *Transactions of Faraday Society* 53 (1957) 877–883. doi:10.1039/TF9575300877.
- 835 [44] J. C. McCoubrey, N. M. Singh, Intermolecular forces in quasi-spherical molecules. Part 2, *Transactions of Faraday Society* 55 (1959) 1826–1830. doi:10.1039/TF9595501826.
- [45] T. Lim, H. Swinney, K. Langley, T. Kachnowski, Rayleigh Linewidth in SF<sub>6</sub> Near the Critical Point, *Physical Review Letters* 27 (1971) 1776–1780.  
840 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.27.1776.
- [46] E. S. Wu, W. W. Webb, Critical Liquid-Vapor Interface in SF<sub>6</sub>. II. Thermal Excitations, Surface Tension, and Viscosity, *Physical Review A* 8 (1973) 2077–2084. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.8.2077.
- 845 [47] C. Guder, W. Wagner, A Reference Equation of State for the Thermodynamic Properties of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) for Temperatures from the Melting Line to 625k and Pressures up to 150mpa, *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 38 (2009) 33–94. doi:10.1063/1.3037344.

- [48] N. Kurzeja, T. Tielkes, W. Wagner, The Nearly Classical Behavior of a Pure Fluid on the Critical Isochore Very Near the Critical Point Under the Influence of Gravity, *International Journal of Thermophysics* 20 (2) (1999) 531–561. doi:10.1023/A:1022657121329.
- [49] J. Luettmer-Strathmann, J. Sengers, G. Olchowy, Non-asymptotic critical behavior of the transport properties of fluids., *Journal of Chemical Physics* 103 (17) (1995) 7482–7502.
- [50] F. Giavazzi, D. Brogioli, V. Trappe, T. Bellini, R. Cerbino, Scattering information obtained by optical microscopy: Differential dynamic microscopy and beyond, *Physical Review E* 80 (2009) 031403. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.80.031403.
- [51] N. Huang, Z. Shen, S. Long, M. Wu, H. Shih, Q. Zheng, N.-C. Yen, C. Tung, H. Liu, The empirical mode decomposition and the hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 454 (1971) (1998) 903–995. doi:10.1098/rspa.1998.0193.
- [52] S. Huang, Z. Liu, Z. Liu, L. Wang, Sar Image Change Detection Algorithm Based on Different Empirical Mode Decomposition, *Journal of Computer and Communications* 5 (2017) 9–20. doi:10.4236/jcc.2017.58002.
- [53] S. Raghukanth, S. Sangeetha, Empirical mode decomposition of earthquake accelerograms, *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis* 04 (04) (2012) 1250022. doi:10.1142/S1793536912500227.
- [54] J.-Y. Huang, K.-L. Wen, X.-J. Li, J.-J. Xie, C.-T. Chen, S.-C. Su, Coseismic Deformation Time History Calculated from Acceleration Records Using an EMD-Derived Baseline Correction Scheme: A New Approach Validated for the 2011 Tohoku Earthquake, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 103 (2B) (2013) 1321–1335. doi:doi.org/10.1785/0120120278.

- 875 [55] A. Garcia-Perez, J. Amezquita-Sanchez, A. Dominguez-Gonzalez, R. Sedaghati, R. Osornio-Rios, R. Romero-Troncoso, Fused empirical mode decomposition and wavelets for locating combined damage in a truss-type structure through vibration analysis, *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE A* 14 (9) (2013) 615–630.
- 880 [56] A. Moreno-Gomez, J. Amezquita-Sanchez, M. Valtierra-Rodriguez, C. Perez-Ramirez, A. Dominguez-Gonzalez, O. Chavez-Alegria, Emd-Shannon Entropy-Based Methodology to Detect Incipient Damages in a Truss Structure, *Applied Sciences* 8 (11) (2018). doi:10.3390/app8112068.
- 885 [57] W. Huang, Z. Shen, N. Huang, Y. Fung, Use of intrinsic modes in biology: Examples of indicial response of pulmonary blood pressure to step hypoxia, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 95 (22) (1998) 12766–12771. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.22.12766.
- [58] J. Zheng, J. Cheng, Y. Yang, Generalized empirical mode decomposition and its applications to rolling element bearing fault diagnosis, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 40 (1) (2013) 136 – 153. doi:10.1016/j.ymsp.2013.04.005.
- 890 [59] J. Zheng, H. Pan, S. Yang, J. Cheng, Generalized composite multiscale permutation entropy and laplacian score based rolling bearing fault diagnosis, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 99 (2018) 229 – 243. doi:10.1016/j.ymsp.2017.06.011.
- [60] H. Song, H. Bai, L. Pinheiro, C. Dong, C.-Y. Huang, B. Liu, Analysis of ocean internal waves imaged by multichannel reflection seismics, using ensemble empirical mode decomposition, *Journal of Geophysical Engineering* 9 (2012) 302–311. doi:10.1088/1742-2132/9/3/302.
- 900 [61] Z. WU, N. Huang, X. Chen, The Multi-dimensional Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition Method, *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis* 01 (03) (2009) 339–372. doi:10.1142/S1793536909000187.

- [62] J.-R. Yeh, J.-S. Shieh, N. Huang, Complementary ensemble empirical  
905 mode decomposition: A novel noise enhanced data analysis method, *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis* 02 (02) (2010) 135–156. doi:10.1142/S1793536910000422.
- [63] G. Rilling, P. Flandrin, P. Goncalves, J. M. Lilly, Bivariate empirical mode  
910 decomposition, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 14 (12) (2007) 936–939. doi:10.1109/LSP.2007.904710.
- [64] Z. He, Q. Wang, Y. Shen, J. Jin, Y. Wang, Multivariate Gray Model-  
Based BEMD for Hyperspectral Image Classification, *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 62 (5) (2013) 889–904. doi:10.1109/TIM.2013.2246917.
- 915 [65] A. Saha, M. Pukhrambam, S. Pradhan, Facial image analysis for expression recognition by bidimensional empirical mode decomposition, *Indian Journal of Science and Technology* 9 (31) (2016) 1–9. doi:10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i31/90495.
- [66] C. Jean, Y. Bouaoune, D. Eric, N. Oumar, P. Bunel, Image analysis by bidi-  
920 dimensional empirical mode decomposition, *Image Vision and Computation* 21 (2003) 1019–1026. doi:10.1016/S0262-8856(03)00094-5.
- [67] J. Huang, B. Zhao, Y. Chen, P. Zhao, Bidimensional empirical mode de-  
composition (BEMD) for extraction of gravity anomalies associated with  
gold mineralization in the tongshi gold field, western shandong uplifted  
925 block, eastern china, *Computers and Geosciences* 36 (7) (2010) 987 – 995. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2009.12.007.
- [68] Y. Chen, L. Zhang, B. Zhao, Application of Bi-dimensional empirical mode  
decomposition (BEMD) modeling for extracting gravity anomaly indicating  
the ore-controlling geological architectures and granites in the gejiu tin-  
930 copper polymetallic ore field, southwestern china, *Ore Geology Reviews* 88 (2017) 832 – 840. doi:10.1016/j.oregeorev.2016.06.031.

- [69] F. Ben Arfia, A. Sabri, M. Ben Messaoud, M. Abid, The bidimensional empirical mode decomposition with 2D-DWT for gaussian image denoising, in: 2011 17th International Conference on Digital Signal Processing (DSP), 2011, pp. 1–5. doi:10.1109/ICDSP.2011.6004908.
- [70] D. Liu, X. Chen, Image denoising based on improved bidimensional empirical mode decomposition thresholding technology, *Multimedia Tools and Applications* 78 (6) (2019) 7381–7417. doi:10.1007/s11042-018-6503-6.
- [71] P. Alvanitopoulos, A. Moroi, G. Bagropoulos, K. Dundon, Content Based Image Retrieval and Its Application to Product Recognition, in: R. Chbeir, Y. Manolopoulos, I. Maglogiannis, R. Alhaji (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015, pp. 3–18.
- [72] W. Dong, X. Li, X. Lin, Z. Li, A Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition Method for Fusion of Multispectral and Panchromatic Remote Sensing Images, *Remote Sensing* 6 (2014) 8446–8467. doi:10.3390/rs6098446.
- [73] L. Guang, L. Li, H. Gong, Q. Jin, X. Li, R. Song, Y. Chen, Y. Chen, C. He, Y. Huang, Y. Yao, Multisource Remote Sensing Imagery Fusion Scheme Based on Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition (BEMD) and Its Application to the Extraction of Bamboo Forest, *Remote Sensing* 9 (2016) 19. doi:10.3390/rs9010019.
- [74] J. Nunes, Y. Bouaoune, E. Delechelle, O. Niang, P. Bunel, Image analysis by bidimensional empirical mode decomposition, *Image and Vision Computing* 21 (12) (2003) 1019 – 1026. doi:10.1016/S0262-8856(03)00094-5.
- [75] J. C. Nunes, S. Guyot, E. Deléchéle, Texture analysis based on local analysis of the Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition, *Machine Vision and Applications* 16 (3) (2005) 177–188. doi:10.1007/s00138-004-0170-5.

- <sup>960</sup> [76] Z. Wu, N. Huang, Ensemble empirical mode decomposition: A noise-assisted data analysis method, *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis* 01 (01) (2009) 1–41. doi:10.1142/S1793536909000047.