

Gold mining in French Guiana: A multi-criteria classification of mining projects for risk assessment at the territorial scale

Ottone Scammacca, Yann Gunzburger, Rasool Mehdizadeh

▶ To cite this version:

Ottone Scammacca, Yann Gunzburger, Rasool Mehdizadeh. Gold mining in French Guiana: A multicriteria classification of mining projects for risk assessment at the territorial scale. The Extractive Industries and Society, In press, 8 (1), pp.32-43. 10.1016/j.exis.2020.06.020. hal-02946557

HAL Id: hal-02946557

https://hal.science/hal-02946557

Submitted on 9 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Gold mining in French Guiana: a multi-criteria classification of mining projects for risk assessment at the territory scale

Ottone Scammacca^a, Yann Gunzburger^a, Rasool Mehdizadeh^a a. Université de Lorraine, CNRS, GeoRessources, F-54000 Nancy, France

1. Introduction

Mining can be both a driver of economic development and damaging to ecosystems and human wellbeing (Badri *et al.* 2012; Lechner *et al.* 2017; Bansah *et al.* 2018; Mancini and Sala, 2018). Planning for the latter, however, can be challenging for large-scale mine operators, who are under pressure from governments, financial institutions and civil society to perform at a high level on all fronts (Hodge, 2014; Kemp *et al.* 2016). When their socioecological performance is considered unsatisfactory, therefore, mining projects run the risk of being suspended and/or fined (Pokorny *et al.* 2019) leading to delays, investment losses or even a cessation of activity (Bergeron *et al.* 2015).

Since the positive and negative risks – both triggered and suffered – mostly extend beyond the perimeter of mine sites themselves, any project must be factored into territorial land planning rather than being treated as a standalone local industrial object. Risk assessment, therefore, is crucial, and must be performed at different spatial scales, properly taking into account the vulnerability of the socio-ecological spheres in which one or multiple mining projects are located and, possibly, interact.

Risks associated with mining vary considerably depending on the intrinsic features of the mining project and the techniques used for exploration, ore exploitation and processing, as well as for remediation. Nevertheless, the great heterogeneity of, sometimes coexisting, mining projects is often difficult to acknowledge during the risk assessment phase. This is particularly true for specific commodities such as gold, diamonds, copper, which are exploited by both artisanal miners and large companies. Indeed, current mining risk assessment methods are usually focused on one project alone (Castilla-Gomez and Herrera-Herbert, 2015). They rarely take into consideration the interactions between coexisting mining projects or the cumulative risks they generate up to the territorial level (Franks *et al.* 2013). Moreover, risk assessment is mainly performed on large-scale capital-intensive projects and generally neglects smaller or artisanal activities.

The purpose of this paper is to propose an operational classification of mining project types for risk assessment purposes at the territory level. Gold mining in French Guiana has been selected as a case study to explore different scenarios because here, very different mining projects coexist in a small area, each of which has significant and controversial social and ecological impacts on vulnerable territory. Despite specific issues related to its localization in South America and in its bordering countries (e.g. illegal immigration and informal gold mining), French Guiana, as a French Overseas Territory, falls under the regulatory umbrella of France and the wider European Union. The gold-mineralized terrain of French Guiana is currently largely under-exploited, and decisions have yet to be made about the potential future development strategies for its mining sector.

There is also a shortage of information about different mining projects in French Guiana. Apart from a collection of technical reports, no structured and systematic description of gold mining projects, their functioning and induced risks, can be found in the scientific literature. This information gap may undermine future risk assessment studies; a finer classification is thus needed. The authors are cognizant of the political dimensions of gold mining, often driven by non-technical considerations, in French Guiana. The technological applications for gold as a commodity are much lower than its uses in jewelry and finance; however, the objective of the paper is not to question the utility of gold mining but to develop typologies for its extraction for territory-based risk assessment purposes.




Figure 1. Localization map of the studied area (French Guiana). The different types of mining permits delivered (AEX, PEX) are shown. Human settlements concern mainly the littoral area and along the two boundary rivers. More than 92% of the territory is covered by rainforest. Mining activities in Suriname – who shares a water catchment with French Guiana are shown as well.

2. A call for a classification of mining project types for territorial risk assessment

A mine is the product of strategic land-planning at the territorial level. It is therefore important to study and understand the heterogeneity of the intrinsic technical features of the different mining projects operating within a large area. Indeed, to such heterogeneity corresponds a great range of impacts, the severity of which depend on specific parameters related to the mining project itself and the territory where it is located.

2.1 The most-cited impacts of gold mining in French Guiana and their causes

The potential impacts of mining projects on the human and natural environmental spheres are widely discussed in the scientific literature (Hentschel *et al.* 2002; Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019). Table 1 provides a list of the most widely-known effects of mining. However, the nature and the intensity of these impacts, as well as the probability of their occurrence, vary considerably depending on the technical features of the mining project, and the territory where activities are found. A classification for impact assessment purposes at the territorial level should, therefore, be based on these intrinsic factors. Hereafter, some of the most classic risk-related factors are briefly reviewed in the case of gold mining in French Guiana. They are identified as follows: gold deposit type, mining regulatory framework, mining footprint, and exploitation and recovery methods.

Table 1 Positive and negative impacts of gold mining

Impact Category	Potential Impacts	Nature	Reference(s)		
	Crimes and insecurity for local communities (e.g. corruption, drug and arm dealing, prostitution, child labor)	Negative	Hentschel <i>et al.</i> 2002 WWF, 2018		
	Development of local business as services providers	Positive	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019		
Economic	Development of research programs	Positive	MDO, 2018		
	Direct economic outcomes (e.g. taxes, royalties)	Positive	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019		
	Direct jobs opportunities	Positive	Pokorny et al. 2019		
	Enhancement of local education and competence development	Positive	MDO, 2018		
	Enhancement of technological development	Positive	MDO, 2018		
	Enhancement of women status	Positive	Keovilignavong, 2019		
	Health problems and epidemiological diseases (e.g. malaria, HIV, food chain contamination)	Negative	Douine et al., 2018		
	Expansion of social infrastructures (e.g. roads, energy, services)	Positive or Negative	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019		
	Fight against illegal mining	Positive	MDO, 2018		
Social	Migration of legal and illegal miners from bordering countries ("gold rushes")	Negative	Moullet et al., 2006; Deloitte, 2018		
	Price increase of local primary goods	Positive or Negative	Pokorny et al. 2019		
	Raw material supply	Positive	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019		
	Resource dispossession (e.g. land, water, crops) and reallocation of communities	Negative	Keovikignavong, 2019		
	Social disruption (e.g. opposition, conflicts, increase of inequalities)	Negative	Moisan and Blanchard, 2012		
	Support to ethnic minorities	Positive	MDO, 2018		
	Acid mine drainage (AMD)	Negative	Miramond <i>et al.</i> 2006		
	Cyanide spills	Negative	Urien, 2018		
	Delays and cessation of the activity	Negative	Bergeron et al. 2015		
	Financial loss for the operator	Negative	Bergeron et al. 2015		
Technical and	Landslides	Negative	Laperche et al. 2008		
Operational	Mine subsidence	Negative	Bourbon, 2015		
	Pit instability	Negative	Bourbon, 2015		
	Technical infrastructures development	Positive	MDO, 2018		
	Enhancement of local skills and training programs	Positive	MDO, 2018		
	Work injuries	Negative	Hentschel et al. 2002		
	Atmospheric pollution	Negative	Melières et al. 2003		
	Dam failure related flood, contamination and turbidity	Negative	Bourbon and Mathon, 2012		
Environmental	Deforestation	Negative	Rahm et al. 2017		
Environmental	Destruction of ecological habitats and biodiversity	Negative	Galochet and Morel, 2015		
	Direct mercury pollution (e.g. gold recovery techniques)	Negative	Clifford, 2017		
	Food chain contamination with mercury	Negative	Fréry et al. 2001		

Heavy metals contamination in water and soils	Negative	Marchand et al. 2006
Landscape degradation	Negative	Laperche et al. 2008
Physical alteration of riverbeds (hydromorphological impacts)	Negative	Laperche et al. 2008
Remobilization of ancient mercury and sources of methylmercury	Negative	Guedron et al. 2011a
Soil erosion	Negative	Labriere et al. 2015
Surface water turbidity	Negative	Gallay et al. 2018

2.1.1 The local regulatory framework

Because of the impacts it potentially generates and its strategic importance, the mining sector is highly-regulated. This influences the functioning of a project, the amount of surface area required and the techniques featured during each phase of operation. Several mineral commodities, however, are exploited informally (IGF, 2018; Kemp and Owen, 2019; Hilson *et al.* 2020; Smits et al., 2020), and associated with activities that can be a route out of poverty for entire communities. Gold is the most important mineral extracted worldwide by informal miners (World Bank, 2008): it has a considerable value-to-volume ratio, which means that even small deposits can generate important profits and be easily sold (Hinton *et al.* 2003; Verbrugge and Geenen, 2018).

In the case of French Guiana, mining permits are administered under two complementary frameworks: the Mining Code, which establishes the size and the duration of the mining permit depending on the mining phase (Table 2), and the Departmental Mining Plan, which states where mining can and cannot take place. Moreover, and as indicated, a number of European dispositions apply in French Guiana. At least 10,000 illegal miners – or garimpeiros, as they mostly come from Brazil – operate outside such frameworks across 500 to 900 mine sites in the country (Moullet et al. 2006; Tudesque et al. 2012). Despite significant military intervention, however, illegal mining still persists here. It produces 10 times more gold than legal mines; total production from illegal operations over the last decade is estimated to be about 10 tons of gold per year, compared to 1–2 tons from legal operations (WWF, 2018).

Table 2 Mining permits and regulations according to the French Mining Code

Type of permit	ARM	AEX	PER	PEX	Concession
Description	Authorization for mining exploration	Authorization for mining exploitation	Permit for mining exploration	Permit for mining exploitation	-
Nature of the activity	Exploration	Exploitation	Exploration	Exploitation	Exploration
Max. surface (km²)	3	1	Free	Free	Free
Max. initial duration	4 months	4 years	5 years	5 years	50 years
Max. renewal times	1x4 months	1x4 years	2x5 years	2x5 years	∞ x25 years
Spatial scale of the delivering authority	Regional	Regional	National	National	National

Source: DEAL, 2015

2.1.2 Gold deposits

The type of geological deposit dictates what techniques are selected for mining, and therefore in large part determines associated impacts. There are generally two main categories of gold deposits (Thomassin *et al.* 2017 and Figure 1). The first is primary gold, which is mainly

found in quartz veins (type 1) or in oxidized (type 2) and residual (type 3) deposits, depending on the extent of weathering. Secondary deposits are comprised of gold particles that have been subjected to significant displacement from the primary source along the lateritic profiles (type 4) or by gravimetric concentration in streams (type 5) (Dardenne and Schobbenhaus, 2003). Acid mine drainage (AMD) is generally associated with primary gold deposits rich in sulfides and occurs under specific operational and environmental conditions (e.g. oxidizing conditions, absence of carbonates, high temperature, acid pH, microbial activity) (Miramond et al., 2006; Simate and Ndlovu, 2014; Skousen et al., 2019).

2.1.3 Mining surface footprint

Since the most widespread gold mining techniques in French Guiana are linked to surface mining, the soil footprint of the mine site is an important indicator of the land use-related impacts of a project. This is especially important in French Guiana because more than 90% of its territory is covered by tropical rainforest (De Santi *et al.* 2016). According to the Mining Code, the maximum authorized surface of mining projects depends on the individual permit and, thus, on the nature of the mining activity and the aspects of the project phase (Table 2) (DEAL, 2015).

2.1.4 Gold mining extraction techniques

Depending on the geological deposit, gold extraction can take a wide range of forms and sizes (Verbrugge and Geenen, 2018). For instance, the evolution of legal and illegal artisanal and small-scale mining communities is mainly due to the geological accessibility of some gold deposits, especially where they are too small, scattered or remote for large-scale mining (Hentschel *et al.* 2002; Verbrugge and Geenen, 2018). For this reason, since access within the rainforest is limited, current gold extraction in French Guiana centres on predominantly secondary deposits (types 4 and 5), featuring artisanal and small-scale techniques (Moullet *et al.* 2006; Cassard *et al.* 2008).

In French Guiana, secondary gold mining is usually oriented around surface (mining) techniques ("placer mining"), which have been documented in detail in technical reports produced by the French Geological Survey (Laperche *et al.* 2008; Barras, 2010; Bourbon and Mathon, 2012; Cottard and Laperche, 2012; Aertgeerts *et al.* 2018). A mine site consists of a sequence of ponds (called "*barranques*") interconnected through spillways which support the gradual decantation of water from the newer ponds, where sediments settle, to the oldest, where water is decanted and re-pumped to the hydraulic monitors. Once the gold particles are separated from the other materials by grain-size through settling tables, concentrates of gold-enriched "sands" are transported to the laboratory for recovery.

Only a small group of mining projects are engaged in the extraction of primary deposits (types 2 and 3), although the country's type 1 primary gold is still mostly untouched (Massoud, 2008). Primary gold exploitation in French Guiana is most visibly carried out via open-cast means, which entails the excavation of a pit 20–25 m deep and the transfer of waste materials on to waste rock piles. The ore is crushed, grinded and then pulped through sluices to separate gold particles by grain-size. Secondary grinding and centrifugal activities are performed to trap fine gold particles (Thomassin *et al.* 2017; Matheus, 2018).

More recently, illegal miners have begun exploiting primary deposits underground (Bourbon, 2014; Bourbon, 2015). Miners reach the gold through excavating pits and vertical tunnels, the depth of which vary between 10 and 40 m. The equipment used is rudimentary, and tunnels are stabilized using wooden planks affixed to pit walls. Illegal gold mining is now recognized to be one of the main causes of environmental degradation in the Amazon.

2.1.5 Gold processing and recovery methods

In French Guiana, gold is recovered mainly using three techniques: gravimetric methods, cyanidation, and gold-mercury (Au-Hg) amalgamation. Legal primary and secondary mining projects use gravimetric methods: mechanically separating gold particles from the matrix, initially during the exploitation phase, to reduce the grain-size of recoverable ore, and subsequently during the recovery phase to concentrate the gold particles. Moderately sophisticated equipment (e.g. concentrators and laboratory sluice boxes) are used in addition to traditional panning (Aertgeerts *et al.* 2018). When the wastes are badly managed, stream water turbidity become a major risk.

 The cyanide-based methods developed for gold recovery in French Guiana are described in several reports (Moisan and Blanchard, 2012; GeoPlus Environnement, 2017; Menard, 2018; Urien, 2018). Although cyanidation is not presently used in French Guiana, one semi-industrial operator has constructed a cyanidation plant and accompanying apparatuses (Thomassin *et al.* 2017). Cyanidation is associated with specific risks, which are linked mainly to its transportation (INERIS, 2018) and storage before gold recovery (e.g. explosions), as well as after processing (e.g. dam failures). Any mishandling or mishaps could have catastrophic consequences (WISE Uranium, 2019); the dispersion of free cyanide ions could cause severe eco-toxicological impacts (Donato *et al.* 2017).

Since the gold recovery rate by gravimetry is low, informal miners use mercury, as did legal small-scale miners before Hg was banned by the French Government in 2006. This is despite it yielding up to 90% gold recovery in some cases (Hinton et al. 2003). Gold particles are concentrated, and amalgamated with Hg in a simple napkin to obtain a soft nugget. Since the Hg melting point is lower than gold's, the amalgam is roasted using a retort or a simple spoon. The Hg-related risks in French Guiana's gold-mining sector have been widely discussed (Grimaldi et al. 2015), and are linked to specific concerns about the health of miners and welfare of local communities. Soil, air and water are all affected: 20% of Hg is lost during amalgamation, while 70% evaporates during the roasting phase (Moullet et al. 2006). With an annual gold production of 10 tons, approximately 13 tons of Hg are also released into streams in French Guiana (WWF, 2018). Contaminated hotspots have emerged in areas located some distance from mine sites (Marchand et al. 2006), where the impacts on biodiversity are well documented (Fréry et al. 2001; Godard et al. 2006; Sebastiano et al. 2016; Gentès et al. 2019). The most significant problems result when Hg is transformed in methylmercury (MeHg) (Guedron et al. 2011a). Illegal and ancient legal gold mines are locations of high concentrations and seasonal cross-watershed transfers of MeHg (Guedron et al. 2011b). Contamination might affect the food chain and lead to severe endocrine disorders among local populations (Fréry et al. 2001). Human exposure to MeHg depends also on the receptors and their socio-cultural habits (Grandjean et al. 1999; Boudan et al. 2004; ARS, 2013).

2.2. Existing classifications of gold mining project types

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

Gold mining project types are often considered individually, and their detailed specificities neglected. The environmental and social impacts of artisanal and small-scale gold mining has been widely discussed (Hilson, 2002a; Seccatore et al. 2014. Moreover, the definition of these activities varies from country to country (e.g. Brazil, Ethiopia, Ghana, Mexico, Suriname) (Hilson, 2002b and Table 3). Informal gold mining has been widely examined in the literature, especially in the context of its social, environmental and governance-related impacts. However, no explicit distinction between illegal (informal) primary and secondary gold mining is usually made (Table 3), although the type of deposit demands the application of different methods. Illegal gold mining is mostly contextualized as a social phenomenon rather than a simple individual project, specifically framed as activities undertaken outside of regulatory frameworks and without trained formal skills (Bansah et al. 2018; Zvarivadza, 2018). The success of these activities is contingent upon gold price variations in the global market, the availability of an abundant and mobile workforce, the free circulation of products for gold recovery, and finally the money laundering networks which bind the informal and formal sectors of gold production (Heemskerk, 2010). Both legal and illegal artisanal and small-scale mining "must be fast, easy and cheap" to assure simplicity and a low investment (Hinton et al. 2003). Conversely, large-scale gold mining activities are typically capitalintensive projects financed by multinational corporations. Medium-scale mining is rarely discussed (Ribeiro-Duthie and Castilhos, 2016). Such "semi-industrial" activities are specific to some territories, such as French Guiana; here, medium-scale operators target primary deposits, with a view toward industrializing further.

235236237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

The existing classifications do not comprehensively cover these different types of projects, especially in the context of gold mining; the operations found in this sector vary in size, and feature different techniques. Moreover, existing differentiations are based on a few distinguishing factors (e.g. gold production, degree of mechanization, size of the company). In French Guiana, the different types of mining projects and their attributes are only addressed in various "grey" literature, mostly technical reports produced by public institutes or private consultants hired by mining operators. The only exhaustive classification found in the literature is presented in a report produced by the Brazilian Geological Survey, an analysis which covers artisanal and small, medium and large-scale gold mining projects (Ribeiro-Duthie and Castilhos, 2016). However, such categories are not structured specifically for impact assessment purposes at the territorial level. Gold productivity is used as the only distinguishing criterion but only stands for a project-centered approach: indeed, in some cases, including, and as noted, French Guiana, illegal mining produces more gold than the entire legal sector. Therefore, it is essential to switch from a project-centered to a "sectorcentered" approach. This underscores the need for a territorial-based approach to impact assessment which takes into account a range of various coexisting mining projects of different types. Such an approach must begin with a classification that distinguishes mining project types according to multiple criteria.

Table 3 General definitions of gold mining projects proposed by scientific literature

Used Name	Definition	Reference
ASM	Individuals, groups, families or cooperatives mining with minimal or no mechanization, often in the informal (illegal) sector of the market	Hentschel et al. 2002
ASM	Any single mining operation having an annual production of unprocessed materials of $50,000$ metric tons or less at the entrance of the mine	UN, 1972
SSM	Any operation producing 10,000–100,000 t/yr of ore	Vale, 2000
	Mining operations both labor intensive and low-tech	Barry, 1997
ASM	Activities involving the exploitation of marginal ore deposits unprofitable to mine on a large-scale., using rudimentary tools (e.g. picks, shovels, wheelbarrows, panning dishes)	Zvarivadza, 2018
Small-scale mining	Any operation with intense labor activity located in remote and isolated sites using rudimentary techniques and low technological knowledge, low degree of mechanization, and low levels of environmental, health and safety awareness	Hilson, 2002b
	Micro-miners who pan the river banks to produce 0.1–0.5 g of Au per day	Veiga, 2013
ASM	ASM is a subset of SSM (mining activity producing less than 100,000 t/d ROM* for profit) where operation does not follow the conventional ecological and engineering principles of mining and uses rudimentary or basic simple techniques	Seccatore et al. 2014
SSGM	Mining projects with rudimentary methods and limited mine planning, by a workforce that is not formally trained in mining engineering or geology and operates entirely or partly in the informal economy	UNDP, 2011
ASM	Mining operations with a production <100,000 oz/year	Ribeiro- Duthie and Castilhos, 2016
ASGM	Legal or illegal gold extractions conducted by individuals or groups with limited capital investment, manual labor, simple and basic machinery	Graham Sustainability Institute, 2015
MSM	Projects which may assure long or short-term operations. They might also associate with other MSM or be merged to or acquired by other major corporation groups. They produce 100,000–1,000,000 oz/yr	Ribeiro- Duthie and Castilhos, 2016
LSM	LSM usually involves a company "with many employees which exploit the deposit until the ore is completely excavated"	Kricher, 1997
LSM	Mining operations performed by multinationals companies well-known in the market and generally on the long term. They usually report corporate social responsibility goals and certifications. LSM operations require trainings, investments and expertise in environmental management. Their production is >1,000,000 oz/yr	Ribeiro- Duthie and Castilhos, 2016
LSM	LSM projects can influence the development trajectory of nations, alter the social fabric of local communities and disrupt the environment on which livelihoods depend	Kemp <i>et al.</i> 2016
LSM	LSM are complex and highly demanding endeavors carried by multinationals which require large investments, systematic management structures, in-depth geological and economic analyses	Vergara, 2009

^{*}Tons per day in the Run-of-Mine production

2.3. Objectives and methodology for the development of a mining project classification for risk assessment

The spatial multiscalarity of mining projects might be viewed in two ways: on one hand, from the vantagepoint of the spatial variability of outcomes (positive and negative) of mining which extend beyond the site itself, and on the other hand, from the perspective of the formal variability of the methodologies currently employed for impact assessment studies in the sector. Such methods focus on one project only or, in other cases, on the vulnerability of the territory in which a mine is located, where the mining project is viewed as a black-box (Durucan *et al.* 2006).

The classification of mine projects for impact assessment purposes allows for the adoption of a methodology that does not neglect their technical and operational spheres while considering their potential interaction with other activities at a much larger spatial scale. The objective of the proposed classification is to offer a standardized representative typology of gold mine projects with details of the specific features of each, with a view toward providing a basis to analyze and compare risk-based scenarios for future land use planning. For instance, if a specific type A project is developed within an area, how many type B projects would be necessary to cover the productivity or produce fewer impacts?

As shown in Figure 2, as a first step, the foreground data were collected, drawn from a thorough bibliographic review (scientific literature, technical reports), interviews conducted with local experts between December 2017 and November 2018, and observations made during field visits. Further data were gathered during the public debate on the "Montagne d'Or" project or MDO (CNDP, 2018) and the information contained in several technical reports produced on industrial projects within the Guiana Shield (Allain *et al.* 2008; Théveniaut *et al.* 2011; SRK, 2016, 2017). The main categories of gold mine operators in French Guiana were classified according to different criteria. For each, standard flowsheets of the mining system were developed. In line with new socio-ecological objectives (e.g. ecosystem services support, contribution to local employment, stakeholders' integration) linked to mining projects (e.g. financial viability and technical-operational requirements) (Azapagic, 2004; Hodge, 2014; Monteiro *et al.* 2019), the simplified models are based on the following questions:

- What is the function of a (gold) mining project?
- What are the objectives and performances leading to the achievement of this function?
- What are the components of a mining project that support such objectives?
- What happens if the objectives are not achieved and its performance is unsatisfactory, thereby leading to negative outcomes?

These models and their detailed features have been discussed with local experts for validation (Appendices A and B). For each project type, a series of standard qualitative and quantitative features (e.g. number of workers, tailings dam height, pit depth, etc.) have been specified based on mine site visits, interviews and analysis of literature focused on French Guiana (Table 4 and Appendix B). In a fourth and final step, both the potential positive and negative impacts of each project type were identified based on the same data sources presented above.




Figure 2 Conceptual flowchart of the methodology used for the classification of gold mining project types in French Guiana and their correspondent impacts

3. Proposed classification of gold mining projects in French Guiana and their associated impacts

3.1. Proposed classification of gold mining projects

From the information gathered, four main types of gold mines are identified. Each is based on several specific criteria intrinsic to the mining project itself: the type of geological deposit predominantly being exploited, the permitting status of the project, the techniques used for ore exploitation and processing, and the surface occupied by the mine site (Figure 3).

As indicated, in French Guiana, illegal artisanal and small-scale gold mining ("i-ASM") can centre on underground or surface (placer) mining for the exploitation of primary (subtype i-ASMp) or secondary (subtype i-ASMs) gold deposits, respectively. The distinguishing feature of i-ASM is the use of Au-Hg amalgam for gold recovery.

Legal mining is divided into three main categories: legal small-scale mining ("ASM") involves placer mining activities with gravity-based recovery methods, whereas medium-scale mining ("MSM") and large-scale mining ("LSM") exploit predominantly primary deposits. However, MSM and LSM operations also exploit secondary deposits depending on the morphology of the deposits and the confines of the mining permit.

Finally, MSM here is divided into two subgroups, depending on whether the project involves gravimetric (MSMg) or cyanide-based methods (MSMc) for gold recovery. Thus, the main distinction here between MSMg and LSM concerns the surface footprint. Although other criteria may be used for such purposes (e.g. average annual revenues, capital or operating expenditure, number of workers), for impact assessment, focusing on the surface occupied by a mine site allows for a first level of potential impacts to be conceptualized, which is particularly significant in vulnerable regions of the Amazon basin.

The proposed classification, therefore, aims to fill these gaps. Moreover, the distinguishing criteria are selected based on their influence over the intensity and nature of the positive and

negative impacts generated by a mining project. At present, no such structured and synthetic comprehensive view of French Guiana's gold mining projects, adapted for the purpose of impact assessment, exists.




Figure 3 Main types and sub-types of gold mining projects in French Guiana's gold mining sector

3.2. Characteristics and impacts of typical gold mine types in French Guiana


The specific features of each type of gold mining project in French Guiana are presented in Table 4. The specificities are detailed in Appendix B: the targeted geological deposit, the main regulatory constraints, the type of company, technical aspects concerning infrastructure (from excavation to mineral processing and waste management) with a specific focus on tailings dams, production and employment information, as well as fiscal and financial data. Unfortunately, a shortage of data means that some gaps remain. However, the presented characteristics confirm that the horizontal variability between each typology ("inter-class" variability) is higher than the vertical variability between the characteristics of each project type ("intra-class" variability), confirming the clear-cut classification. The presented data represent the inputs for the conceptual modeling of each project type and allow the development of standardized project types for risk and impact assessment purposes.

	ACM		TCM	
Main characteristics of each project type	ASM	MSM		LSM
Predominantly exploited gold deposit ¹	Secondary (types 4, 5)	P (ty	Primary (types 1–3)	
Mining permit or authorization	Yes	(1)	Yes	
Soil footprint of the mine site (ha)	<100		>500	
Main exploitation technique	Placer mining ponds (barranques)		Pit	Pit
Gold recovery methods	Gravimetry	Gravimetry	CN-	CN- (+ gravimetry)
Total OPEX (k€)	>100	>1,000	>3,000	>1,000,000
Average revenues per year (k€/yr)	<1,000	>1,000	>1,000	>200,000
Maximal produced gold per year (kg/yr)	<150	150-300	>400	>5,000
Number of direct employees	10–15	>50	50–350	>350
Gold resources (t)	<10	10	10	>50
Extracted materials (waste-rock and ore) (kt/yr)	5–35	>150	>150	5,000–25,000
Exploitation pit/pond depth (m)	2–8	10–100	10–100	>100
Processing plant type	Gravimetric	Gravimetric	Gravimetric + Cyanidation	Gravimetric + Cyanidation
Cyanidation plant surface (ha)	-	-	<1	>10
Processed materials by cyanidation (t/d)	-	-	100	>5,000
Gold recovery rate (%)	20–30	20–40	>90	>90
Decantation and storage system (wastewater and tailings)	Barranque (TSF and waterpond)	Gravitary TSF, water pond	Gravitary TSF, Cyanide TSF, Decantation ponds	Cyanide TSF
Total surface of gravitary tailings pond (ha)	0.5–2	10–20	>20	n/a
Total surface of cyanide tailings storage facility (TSF) pond (ha)	-	-	5–15	>150
Total capacity of gravitary TSF (m ³)	< 500, 000	500,000–3 000,000	500,000–3 000,000	-
Cyanide tailings per year (m³/yr)	-	-	>60,000	>10,000,000
Total capacity of cyanide TSF (m ³)	-	-	500,000–3 000,000	>100,000,000
Maximal height of TSF dam (m)	2–3	15-20	15–20	>50
TSF dam elevation method	-	Upstream	Upstream	Downstream
Life-camp surface (ha)	<1	~1	>2	>10
Equipment (e.g. hydraulic monitors, bulldozer)	<10	10–50	>50	>100
Total electrical power (kW)	~40 kVA	>250 kVA	1,178.4	~20,000
Fuel stockage capacity (L)	5,000–10,000	15,000– 30,000	15,000–30,000	>100,000

The multi-criteria classification proposed in this article has been realized to categorize gold mining project types according to specific characteristics (Table 4). Based on this information, it is possible to identify and characterize a range of impacts, the occurrence, nature and intensity of which depend on the defined gold mining project types. Table 5 highlights the typical impacts of gold mining projects in French Guiana based on the six mine types of the proposed classification. The classification allows for an understanding of how risks might vary from one project type to another, and which type of information is available for their identification. Although some impacts are typical to all mining projects, others seem to be specific to certain typologies and confirm the proposed classification. For instance, AMD is a typical impact, mainly linked to mining projects working primary gold deposits. Moreover, pit instability affects projects where excavation works are significant. However, in some cases, a white-box might underline the absence of available information rather than the absence of the impact itself.

Table 5 Typical potential impacts of the gold mining sector in French Guiana, according to the proposed project typology.

Main potential impacts		i- i- ASM ASM p s		SM	ASM		MSM g		MSM c		LSM		Source	
	Destruction of ecological habitats and biodiversity	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Laperche et al. 2007 Galochet and Morel, 2015
	Deforestation	0	•	0	•	0	:	0	•	0	•	0	:	ONF, 2017; Rahm et al. 2017 WWF, 2018
	Surface water turbidity	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Tudesque et al. 2012 Gallay et al. 2018
	Heavy metals contamination in water and soils	0	•	0	•	. 0	•	. 0	•	0	•	0	•	Laperche et al. 2008 Marchand et al. 2006
	Direct Hg pollution and contamination (e.g. gold recovery techniques)	0	•	0	•	0				•		0		Guedron et al. 2011b Kahhat et al. 2019
	Indirect Hg contamination due to the remobilization of ancient Hg	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Guedron et al. 2011a Gentès et al., 2019
	Dam failure related flood, contamination and turbidity	0		0		0		0	•	0	•	0	•	Bourbon and Mathon, 2012 Ineris, 2018
	Cyanide spills	0		0		0		0		0	•	0	•	Moisan and Blanchard, 2012 Urien, 2018
	Soil erosion	0		0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Laperche et al. 2008 Labriere et al. 2015
Φ	Physical alteration of riverbeds (hydromorphological impacts)	0		0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Laperche et al. 2008 Bourbon and Mathon, 2012
Negative	Acid mine drainage (AMD)	0						0	•	0	•	0	•	Miramond et al. 2006 Simate and Ndlovu, 2014
Š	Atmospheric pollution	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Melières et al. 2003 Laperche et al. 2007
	Landscape degradation	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Laperche et al. 2008 WWF, 2018
	Mine subsidence	0	•											Bourbon, 2014 Bourbon, 2015
	Pit instability	0	•					0	•	0	•	0	•	Bourbon and Mathon, 2012 Bourbon, 2015
	Landslides	0	•	0		0	•	0		0		0	•	Laperche et al. 2008 Bourbon and Mathon, 2012
	Health problems and serious epidemiological diseases (e.g. malaria, HIV)	0	•	0	•	0	•	0						de Santi et al. 2016 Douine et al. 2018
	Migration of legal and illegal miners from bordering countries ("gold rushes")	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Moullet et al., 2006 Deloitte, 2018
	Work injuries	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Hentschel et al. 2002 Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2018
	Crimes and insecurity for local communities (e.g. drug, arm dealing, prostitution, child labor)	0	•	0	•	0		0		0		0		Hentschel et al. 2002 WWF, 2018
	Social disruption (e.g. opposition, conflicts)	0	•	0	•	0		0	•	0	•	0	•	Hentschel et al. 2002 Moisan and Blanchard, 2012
	Resource dispossession (e.g. land, water, crops)	0	•	0		0		0		0		0		Keovikignavong, 2019 Pokorny et al. 2019
	Direct economic outcomes (e.g. taxes, royalties)					0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019
itive	Direct jobs opportunities	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019 Pokorny et al. 2019
Positive	Raw material supply	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	0	•	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019
	Development of local business as services providers	0		0		0		0	•	0	•	0	•	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019
	Expansion of social infrastructures (e.g. roads, energy, services)									0	•	0	•	Amirshenava and Osanloo, 2019



4. Discussion

4.1 The normalization of a clear-cut categorization of mining project types

The intensity and nature of a mining project's impacts vary according to the type, size and nature of the project itself. However, the variability among project types should first be examined through differences in their technical and operational features rather than their potential impacts. Thus, it is not sufficient to compare the impacts of each project type without "normalizing" them based on specific features that influence the nature and intensity of their impacts. Such normalization allows for relative values to be assigned to each project type and generates information fundamental to impact assessments.

Table 6 lists some examples of information that can be derived from Table 4. For instance, the ratio between the annual mass of gold extracted and the surface footprint of each project type might be a first overall socio-environmental indicator comparing positive economic production outcomes and negative environmental impacts prior to any further detailed assessment. The use of cyanidation for gold recovery by MSMc and LSM seems to ensure a better ratio for those project types, but only because this normalization does not consider the potential impacts related to this process. The inclusion of water consumption might therefore add considerable information about the overall environmental performance of a project.

The ratio between direct employment and soil footprint compares social compensation, in terms of employment, to the loss of surface area due to mining infrastructures. For instance, the progressive difference between MSMg and MSMc is mainly due to the fact that the construction and operation of cyanidation plants involves greater numbers of specialized workers and technicians. The ratio between the maximal dam height and annual gold extraction compares the potential impact of a dam failure, as indicated by the height of the dam, to annual gold production. However, the use of dam height as a simple overall indicator might not be pertinent: although the failures of higher and larger dams generate more considerable impacts than those of smaller dams, larger dams are also less likely to fail because of specific monitoring programs. Furthermore, the content of the tailings pond and possible chemical additives (e.g. cyanide, thiosulfates) should also be considered. Finally, legal underground exploitation might be theorized and potentially included within the classification to reduce both the soil footprint of the mine site and the potential volume of mine waste compared to illegal projects.

Table 6 : Examples of indirect information derived from data in Table 4 and Appendix B.

Examples of indirect deducible information		MS	LSM		
Examples of multert deductible information	ASM	MSMg	MSMc	LOW	
Annual extracted gold/Mine soil footprint (kg/yr/ha)	~1.5	~1.5	~3	5–10	
Total direct jobs/Mine soil footprint (number of workers/ha)	~0.15	~0.3–0.6	~0.4–0.7	0.7–1	
Total direct jobs/Annual gold production (workers/kg/yr)	0.1	0.3	~0.7	~1.4	
Maximal dam height/Annual extracted gold (m/kg/yr)	0.02	0.07	~0.04	~0.01	
Water consumption/Annual extracted gold (m³/kg/yr)	n/a	n/a	~6	~12	

4.2 Risk, vulnerability and safety measures

Risk is calculated as the product of the probability of an event occurring and its consequences. The risk of a particular mining project type therefore not only depends on the nature and intensity of potential impacts, but also on their probability of occurrence and the vulnerability of the potentially affected area. These latter aspects are not explored by the proposed classification scheme, which focuses solely on the impacts (consequences) of a mining project on socio-ecological spheres. Nevertheless, the probability of occurrence of an event depends both on the vulnerability of the mined territory (e.g. climate conditions, soil type and political stability) and the technical/operational and financial characteristics of the project.

On the one hand, the vulnerability of a socio-ecological system is related to the degree to which the system is exposed to threats and its sensitivity and adaptive capacity (Berrouet *et al.* 2018). Vulnerability assessments thus indicate how the positive and negative outcomes of a mining activity might affect the socio-ecological sphere in which the project exists. On the other hand, understanding the specificities of each project type allows comprehension of both the technical/operational aspects and financial tools/circumstances that might affect the likelihood of an event's occurrence. For instance, although the failure of the exploitation pit of a LSM-type industrial operator might cause considerable damage, their greater financial resources and technical skills allow for better pit monitoring, potentially preventing and thus lowering the risk of pit failure.

Such project characteristics are key to risk assessment studies and are embodied by safety "measures" or "barriers", which can be proactive (e.g. monitoring systems and spillway systems) or reactive (e.g. evacuation programs and alarm systems), depending on whether their purpose is risk prevention or mitigation, respectively (Ehlers *et al.*, 2017). The concept of safety measures – which can take different forms – is essential for the enhancement of the socio-environmental performance of mining projects and might be useful in the integration of new and "green mining" techniques into both mining operations and public policy.

4.3 Limitations and adaptability of the proposed classification

The proposed classification methodology – here for the gold mining sector in French Guiana – can only partly be adapted to other cases, limited on a case-by-case basis by the criteria used

to classify mining project types. Indeed, the impacts of mining project types depend on the targeted commodity: the exploitation of bauxite does not require the same infrastructure and techniques used for gold mining. Annual productivity is also significantly dependent on the mineral commodity and its market. Furthermore, the i-ASM sector is a social phenomenon peculiar to gold and a few other commodities (Hentschel *et al.* 2002) that mainly concerns "developing" countries because it is driven by property rights. The difficulty in defining such projects lies in the fact that they are extremely heterogeneous and relevant data are usually not available. Annual production rates thus greatly differ from one country to another (Seccatore *et al.* 2014), and from site to site.

The main sectors of demand for gold are jewelry, investment and banking, with few industrial application (World Gold Council, 2020). Therefore, gold can be considered a strategic product rather than a simple natural resource in ways that differ from other commodities. Moreover, the need for gold mining might be discussed in relation to its environmental costs, whereas the recycling rate of gold is quite satisfactory at approximately 87% of reported consumption (USGS, 2020).

Nonetheless, for a given mineral commodity, the classification of mining projects can be greatly affected by the regulatory framework of the state considered. For instance, the gold mining sector in Suriname is very similar to that in French Guiana: as both are located within the Guiana Shield, the geological deposits are the same. However, Surinamese laws do not forbid the use of Au-Hg amalgam for gold recovery. Thus, the use of Hg-based recovery methods cannot be used to distinguish between legal and illegal mining projects in Suriname.

The MSM projects (i.e. projects of intermediate size between ASM and LSM) are specific to French Guiana and a few other countries (e.g. Brazil). In the Guiana Shield and nearby areas (e.g. Bolivia, Peru), similarly sized projects tend to be "mining cooperatives" that rather represent the entrepreneurial and institutional choice of a structured sector for small- and medium-scale miners to reduce negative socio-environmental costs and maximize economic performances (Alves *et al.* 2019).

Finally, political and regulatory challenges might affect the pertinence and effectiveness of such a classification for impact assessment purposes in two ways. The first concerns the critical permeability and porosity that exists between legal and illegal gold mining projects, especially in specific areas of the world. Moreover, in some cases, political decisions might overtake the technical aspects of a mining project, becoming the main determinant of its feasibility. The second is related to the transboundary nature of mining impacts: in some ecological or strategic areas, multiple project types operating within contrasting regulatory frameworks might coexist. One of the clearest examples is that of the Maroni river catchment, which is the largest watershed in French Guiana, but straddles the border with Suriname (Figure 1). There, two legal frameworks for gold mining coexist: one allowing and one forbidding Hg-based recovery methods. These circumstances, when not properly addressed, might hinder impact assessments – and more generally land-planning strategies – that require cross-border efforts for mining governance and policymaking. Moreover, French Guiana is the most frequent migrant destination in the Guiana Shield. However, this phenomenon does not depend specifically on one or more types of gold mining projects (Table 5), since it is strictly correlated to global gold trends and local political and judicial frameworks at a wider scale (Taubira Delannon, 2000).

4.4 Perspectives and example applications

Although the proposed classification leaves several open questions, it meets our objectives for risk and impact assessment purposes at the territory level. If the classification of mining projects represents a preliminary stage, the next step involves the detailed utilization of these standard project types within the risk assessment process.

The classification scheme proposed herein aims to support the elaboration of risk scenarios to compare future development strategies for mining territories. For instance, a fictional location might be selected for one or several standardized project types based on geological, regulatory, environmental and social criteria (Figure 3). Then, based on a chosen spatialized risk scenario, the area of influence of the mining project(s) and the consequences of one or more specific risk events can be estimated using GIS tools. This allows the analysis and comparison of impacts depending on the considered project type and the vulnerability of the mining territory to be developed.

Therefore, several strategies could be investigated to determine the most appropriate project type and location. For instance, if a government delivers a mining permit for a LSM project within an area, based on the characteristics presented in Table 4, how many MSM projects would be necessary to cover the same annual gold productivity? If, then, the impacts of the MSM projects are perceived or judged to be too important by local stakeholders and public authorities, how many ASM projects might be necessary to maintain the expected incomes?

These further steps are the subject of a future publication in which we report a concrete application of this preliminary risk assessment stage at the territory level.

5. Conclusion

Mining risks are strictly related to the intrinsic features of mining projects, and the impacts of mining exceed the mine site and impact the socio-ecological sphere in which mining activities are performed. For this reason, mining projects must be considered as a matter of land planning and their impacts must be assessed at the territory level. Here, we proposed a multi-criteria mining project classification for the gold mining sector in French Guiana. The distinguishing criteria were chosen based on their contribution to the impacts of gold mining projects. For each standardized project type, a range of technical and operational characteristics is presented to emphasize the differences between project types and their typical impacts.

The methodology used herein to create a multi-criteria classification of gold mining projects in FG might prove useful in creating risk scenarios to compare development strategies for a given mining territory. Indeed, such scenarios can be operationalized using standardized project types with representative features, which can then be sited in fictional locations to analyze their potential negative and positive impacts. Depending on data availability, our methodology should be at least partly adaptable to other case studies and/or commodities.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the 573 574 national program "Investissements d'avenir" (ANR-10-LABX-21-01/LABEX 575 RESSOURCES21).

572

576 577

References

- 580 Aertgeerts G., Nagel J.L., et Fournier E. (2018) L'exploitation de l'or alluvionnaire en Guyane : synthèse des 581 techniques employées, recommandations et alternatives. Rapport final. BRGM/RP- 67564 -FR, 71 p., 52 fig.
- 582 Agence Régionale de Santé Guyane (ARS), Grossesse et allaitement. Comment protéger son enfant du mercure ?, 583 Version février 2013
- 584 Allain Y.-M., Hirtzman P., de Chalvron J.-G., Projet d'exploitation par la société CBJ-Caïman/Iamgold d'une mine 585 d'or à Roura (Guyane) et perspectives d'élaboration d'une politique minière en Guyane, Rapport de mission, 2 586 janvier 2008
- 587 Alves, W., Ferreira, P., Araújo, M., 2019. Mining co-operatives: A model to establish a network for sustainability. 588 Journal of Co-operative Organization and Management 7, 51-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcom.2019.03.004
- 589 Amirshenava, S., Osanloo, M., 2019. A hybrid semi-quantitative approach for impact assessment of mining 590 activities on sustainable development indexes. Journal of Cleaner Production 218, 823-834. 591 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.026
- 592 Azapagic, A., 2004. Developing a framework for sustainable development indicators for the mining and minerals 593 industry. Journal of Cleaner Production 12, 639-662. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-6526(03)00075-1
- 594 Badri, A., Nadeau, S., Gbodossou, A., 2012. A mining project is a field of risks: a systematic and preliminary 595 portrait of mining risks. International Journal of Safety and Security Engineering 2, 145-166. 596 https://doi.org/10.2495/SAFE-V2-N2-145-166
- 597 Bansah, K.J., Dumakor-Dupey, N.K., Kansake, B.A., Assan, E., Bekui, P., 2018. Socioeconomic and environmental 598 assessment of informal artisanal and small-scale mining in Ghana. Journal of Cleaner Production 202, 465–475. 599 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.150
- 600 Barras (2010) Digues de barranques et de lagunes d'exploitations minières Rapport BRGM/RP-58778-FR. 20 pages, 9 illustrations.
- 602 Barry, M., 1997. Addressing Social Tensions in Mining: A Framework for Greater Community Consultation and 603 Participation. In: Ghose, A.K. (Ed.), Small/Medium Scale Mining Oxford and IBH Publication Company, UK
- 604 Bergeron, K.M., Jébrak, M., Yates, S., Séguin, C., Lehmann, V., Le Meur, P.-Y., Angers, P., Durand, S., Gendron, 605 C., 2015. Mesurer l'acceptabilité sociale d'un projet minier : essai de modélisation du risque social en contexte 606 québécois. VertigO. https://doi.org/10.4000/vertigo.16737
- 607 Berrouet, L.M., Machado, J., Villegas-Palacio, C., 2018. Vulnerability of socio—ecological systems: A 608 conceptual Framework. Ecological Indicators 84, 632-647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.07.051
- 609 Boudan V., Chaud P., Quénel P., Blateau A., Cardoso T., Champenois B., Étude de l'imprégnation par le mercure 610 de la population de Sinnamary, Institut de Veille Sanitaire, Juillet 2004.
- 611 Bourbon P. (2014) Puits d'extraction d'or illégal de Bostok : diagnostic préliminaire sur la stabilité des puits après 612 usage d'explosifs. Rapport d'expertise. Rapport BRGM/RP-63533-FR. 17 p., 4 fig., 8 ph.
- 613 Bourbon P. avec la collaboration de Louboutin Y. (2015), Puits d'extraction d'or illégal d'eau claire : diagnostic 614 préliminaire sur la stabilité des terrains après usage d'explosifs. Rapport BRGM/RP-64978-FR. 32 p., 9 ill., 2 615 tab., 1 ann.
- 616 Bourbon P., Mathon D., 2012. Digues de barranques et de lagunes d'exploitations minières en Guyane : Guide de bonnes pratiques, 80 p. BRGM/RP-61065-FR.
- 618 Cassard, D., Billa, M., Lambert, A., Picot, J.-C., Husson, Y., Lasserre, J.-L., Delor, C., 2008. Gold predictivity 619 mapping in FG using an expert-guided data-driven approach based on a regional-scale GIS. Ore Geology 620 Reviews 34, 471-500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2008.06.001
- 621 Castilla-Gómez, J., Herrera-Herbert, J., 2015. Environmental analysis of mining operations: Dynamic tools for 622 impact assessment. Minerals Engineering 76, 87–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2014.10.024
- 623 Clifford, M.J., 2017. Assessing releases of mercury from small-scale gold mining sites in Ghana. The Extractive 624 Industries and Society 4, 497–505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2017.05.007
- 625 Commission Nationale du débat public (CNDP), Débat Public Montagne d'Or en Guyane, 7 mars > 7 juillet 2018,
- 626 Compte Rendu, 7 seprembre 2018. http://cpdp.debatpublic.fr/cpdp-montagnedor/images/CR-bilan/montagnedor-627 compte-rendu-debat.pdf
- 628 Cottard F. et Laperche V. (2012) Caractérisation des déchets miniers de quatre mines d'or de Guyane. Rapport 629 final. BRGM/RP-61027-FR. 117 p., 27 fig., 16 tabl., 4 ann.

- 630 Dardenne M. A., Schobbenhaus C., Metallogeny of the Guiana Shield, Métallogénie du Bouclier des Guyanes, 631 Géologie de la France, 2003, n°2-3-4, 291-319, 23 fig.
- 632 de Santi, V.P., Girod, R., Mura, M., Dia, A., Briolant, S., Djossou, F., Dusfour, I., Mendibil, A., Simon, F., Deparis,
- X., Pagès, F., 2016. Epidemiological and entomological studies of a malaria outbreak among French armed
- forces deployed at illegal gold mining sites reveal new aspects of the disease's transmission in FG. Malaria Journal 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1088-x
- 636 Deloitte Developpement Durable, Le potentiel de développement économique durable de la Guyane, 2018
- 637 Direction de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement, DEAL Guyane, Unité Mines et Carrières 638 Fabien Marquis, Activité minière et aurifère en Guyane et réglementation, Version 1 mars 2015
- Donato, D.B., Madden-Hallett, D.M., Smith, G.B., Gursansky, W., 2017. Heap leach cyanide irrigation and risk to wildlife: Ramifications for the international cyanide management code. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 140, 271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.02.033
- Douine, M., Mosnier, E., Le Hingrat, Q., Charpentier, C., Corlin, F., Hureau, L., Adenis, A., Lazrek, Y., Niemetsky,
 F., Aucouturier, A.-L., Demar, M., Musset, L., Nacher, M., 2018. Illegal gold miners in French Guiana: a
 neglected population with poor health. BMC Public Health 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4557-4
- 645 Durucan, S., Korre, A., Munoz-Melendez, G., 2006. Mining life cycle modelling: a cradle-to-gate approach to environmental management in the minerals industry. Journal of Cleaner Production 14, 1057–1070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.12.021
- 648 Ehlers, U.C., Ryeng, E.O., McCormack, E., Khan, F., Ehlers, S., 2017. Assessing the safety effects of cooperative intelligent transport systems: A bowtie analysis approach. Accident Analysis and Prevention 99, 125–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.11.014
- Franks, D.M., Brereton, D., Moran, C.J., 2013. The cumulative dimensions of impact in resource regions. Resources Policy 38, 640–647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2013.07.002
- 653 Fréry, N., Maury-Brachet, R., Maillot, E., Deheeger, M., de Mérona, B., Boudou, A., 2001. Gold-Mining Activities 654 and Mercury Contamination of Native Amerindian Communities in French Guiana: Key Role of Fish in Dietary 655 Uptake. Environmental Health Perspectives 109, 449–456. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109449
- 656 Gallay, M., Martinez, J., Allo, S., Mora, A., Cochonneau, G., Gardel, A., Doudou, J., Sarrazin, M., Chow-Toun, F., 657 Laraque, A., 2018. Impact of land degradation from mining activities on the sediment fluxes in two large rivers 658 of French Guiana. Land Degradation and Development 29, 4323–4336. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3150
- 659 Galochet, M., Morel, V., 2015. La biodiversité dans l'aménagement du territoire en Guyane française. VertigO. 660 https://doi.org/10.4000/vertigo.16069
- 661 Gentès, S., Coquery, M., Vigouroux, R., Hanquiez, V., Allard, L., Maury-Brachet, R., 2019. Application of the 662 European Water Framework Directive: Identification of reference sites and bioindicator fish species for mercury 663 in tropical freshwater ecosystems (French Guiana). Ecological Indicators 106, 105468
- 664 GéoPlusEnvironnement, Demande d'autorisation pour la régularisation d'une installation existante (séparation gravimétrique d'or primaire) et pour la mise en place d'une Usine Modulaire de Traitement de Minerai Aurifère (« UMTMA »), Mine d'or de Yaou, Société Minière Yaou-Dorlin, Rapport n°14071105 de Tome 0 à Tome 5 V3, 2016-2017
- 668 Godard E., Chaud P., Cardoso T., Ardillon V., Boyer S., Quénel Ph., Le Mercure en Guyane: Risques et enjeux de santé, Janvier 2006
- 670 Graham Sustainability Institute, An Integrated Assessment of Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining in Ghana: 671 Final Report (GMIA), University of Michigan, 2015. http://graham.umich.edu/media/files/Water-Health-IA-672 Ghana-Report.pdf
- 673 Grandjean P., White R.F., Nielsen A., Cleary D., de Oliveira Santos E.C., Methylmercury Neurotoxicity in 674 Amazonian Children Downstream from Gold Mining, Environmental Health Perspectives, Volume 107, Number 675 7, July 1999.
- 676 Grimaldi, M., Guédron, S., Grimaldi, C., 2015. Impact of gold mining on mercury contamination and soil
 677 degradation in Amazonian ecosystems of French Guiana., in: Brearley, F.Q., Thomas, A.D. (Eds.), Land-Use
 678 Change Impacts on Soil Processes: Tropical and Savannah Ecosystems. CABI, Wallingford, pp. 95–107.
 679 https://doi.org/10.1079/9781780642109.0095
- 680 Guedron, S., Cossa, D., Grimaldi, M., Charlet, L., 2011a. Methylmercury in tailings ponds of Amazonian gold mines (French Guiana): Field observations and an experimental flocculation method for in situ remediation.

 Applied Geochemistry 26, 222–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2010.11.022
- 683 Guedron, S., Grimaldi, M., Grimaldi, C., Cossa, D., Tisserand, D., Charlet, L., 2011b. Amazonian former gold mined soils as a source of methylmercury: Evidence from a small scale watershed in French Guiana. Water Research 45, 2659–2669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.02.022
- 686 Heemskerk M., The Gold Marketing Chain in Suriname, WWF Guianas Sustainable Natural Resource Management 687 Project, GSNRMP, September 2010.
- http://awsassets.panda.org/downloads/2010__gold_mining_marketing_chain_heemskerk.pdf

- 689 Hentschel T., Hruschka F., Priester M., Mining, Minerals, and Sustainable Development Project (Ed.), 2002.
- Breaking new ground: mining, minerals, and sustainable development: the report of the MMSD project. Earthscan Publications, London; Sterling, VA.
- 692 Hilson, G., 2002a. An overview of land use conflicts in mining communities. Land use policy 19, 65–73.
- 693 Hilson, G., 2002b. Small-scale mining and its socio-economic impact in developing countries, in: Natural Resources Forum. Wiley Online Library, pp. 3–13.
- 695 Hilson, G., Sauerwein, T., Owen, J. 2020. Large and artisanal scale mine development: The case for autonomous co-existence *World Development* 130 art. no. 104919.
- 697 Hinton, J.J., Veiga, M.M., Veiga, A.T.C., 2003. Clean artisanal gold mining: a utopian approach? Journal of cleaner production 11, 99–115.
- 699 Hodge, R.A., 2014. Mining company performance and community conflict: moving beyond a seeming paradox. Journal of Cleaner Production 84, 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.007
- 701 Ineris, Revue synthétique des bonnes pratiques relatives à l'utilisation du cyanure pour le traitement de l'or dans le contexte guyanais, Direction des Risques du Sol et du Sous-sol, 03/12/2018, DRS-18-177174-08150B.
- https://www.ineris.fr/fr/revue-synthetique-bonnes-pratiques-relatives-utilisation-cyanure-traitement-or-contexteguyanais
- 705 Intergovernmental Forum on Mining, Minerals, Metals and Sustainable Development (IGF). (2018). Global Trends in Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining (ASM): A review of key numbers and issues. Winnipeg: IISD.
- 707 Kahhat, R., Parodi, E., Larrea-Gallegos, G., Mesta, C., Vázquez-Rowe, I., 2019. Environmental impacts of the life 708 cycle of alluvial gold mining in the Peruvian Amazon rainforest. Science of The Total Environment 662, 940– 709 951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.246
- 710 Kemp, D., Worden, S., Owen, J.R., 2016. Differentiated social risk: Rebound dynamics and sustainability performance in mining. Resources Policy 50, 19–26.
- 712 Kemp, D., Owen, J.R. 2019. Characterizing the interface between large and small-scale mining. *The Extractive Industries and Society* 6(4): 1091-1100.
- 714 Keovilignavong, O., 2019. Mining governance dilemma and impacts: A case of gold mining in Phu-Hae, Lao PDR. Resources Policy 61, 141–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2019.02.002
- 716 Kricher, J. (1997). A Neotropical Companion: An introduction to the animals, plants, and ecosystems of the New 717 World Tropics. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- 718 Labrière, N., Locatelli, B., Laumonier, Y., Freycon, V., Bernoux, M., 2015. Soil erosion in the humid tropics: A systematic quantitative review. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 203, 127–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.01.027
- Laperche, V., Maury-Brachet, R., Blanchard, F., Dominique, Y., Durrieu, G., Massabuau, J.C., Bouillard, H.,
 Joseph, B., Laporte, P., Mesmer-Dudons, N., Duflo, V., and Callier, L. (2007): Répartition régionale du mercure
 dans les sédiments et les poissons de six fleuves de Guyane Rapport BRGM/RP-55965-FR Septembre 2007,
 201 pages, 72 illustrations, 15 tableaux.
- Laperche V., Nontanovanh M., Thomassin J.F. (2008): Synthèse critique des connaissances sur les conséquences
 environnementales de l'orpaillage en Guyane Rapport BRGM/RP-56652-FR Décembre 2008, 73 pages, 19
 illustrations et 12 tableaux.
- 728 Lechner, A.M., McIntyre, N., Witt, K., Raymond, C.M., Arnold, S., Scott, M., Rifkin, W., 2017. Challenges of integrated modelling in mining regions to address social, environmental and economic impacts. Environmental Modelling and Software 93, 268–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.03.020
- 731 Mancini, L., Sala, S., 2018. Social impact assessment in the mining sector: Review and comparison of indicators frameworks. Resources Policy 57, 98–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2018.02.002
- 733 Marchand, C., Lallier-Vergès, E., Baltzer, F., Albéric, P., Cossa, D., Baillif, P., 2006. Heavy metals distribution in 734 mangrove sediments along the mobile coastline of French Guiana. Marine Chemistry 98, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2005.06.001
- 736 Massoud Hamid, Contrat Étude Prospective Filière « OR » en Guyane, Rapport Final Partie A, Décembre 2008, 737 Photos de Anne-Marie LLanta.
- 738 Matheus P., Les techniques et conditions d'exploitation des mines aurifères, F.F.E. « Annales des Mines Réalités industrielles », 2018/4 Novembre 2018, pages 10 à 19, ISSN 1148-7941 https://www.cairn.info/revue-realites-industrielles-2018-4-page-10.htm
- 741 Mélières, M.-A., Pourchet, M., Charles-Dominique, P., Gaucher, P., 2003. Mercury in canopy leaves of French Guiana in remote areas. Science of The Total Environment 311, 261–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-
- 743 9697(03)00142-6
- 744 Menard Y. (2018) Technologies de substitution du cyanure pour le traitement des minerais d'or en Guyane :
- avantages et inconvénients sur les plans économiques et les risques environnementaux. Rapport final.
- 746 BRGM/RP-68620-FR, 17 pages,1 tableau.

- 747 Miramond, N., Miau, D., Brochard, F. 2006 Diagnostic du phénomène Drainage Minier Acide sur des mines d'or 748 primaire en Guyane française - Evaluation des risques associés. GEM Impact – DIREN. Guyane Française. XX 749 pp.
- 750 Moisan M. et Blanchard F., 2012. Utilisation de la cyanuration dans l'industrie aurifère en Guyane. Impacts potentiels sur l'environnement et recommandations. Rapport final BRGM/RP- 61968-FR, 120 pages.
- 752 Montagne d'Or Compagnie Minière (MDO), Projet de Mine d'or en Guyane, Dossier du Maître d'Ouvrage, Débat 753 Public, Mars 2018 http://cpdp.debatpublic.fr/cpdp-montagnedor/images/Documents-Mo-montagnedor/Montagnedor-dossier-maitre-ouvrage-vweb.pdf
- 755 Monteiro, N.B.R., da Silva, E.A., Moita Neto, J.M., 2019. Sustainable development goals in mining. Journal of Cleaner Production 228, 509–520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.332
- 757 Moullet, D., Saffache, P., Transler, A.-L., 2006. L'orpaillage en Guyane française: synthèse des connaissances. 758 Études caribéennes. https://doi.org/10.4000/etudescaribeennes.753Pokorny, B., von Lübke, C., Dayamba, S.D.,
- Dickow, H., 2019. All the gold for nothing? Impacts of mining on rural livelihoods in Northern Burkina Faso. World Development 119, 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.03.003
- 761 Office National des Forêts (ONF), Collectivité Territoriale de Guyane (CTG), Ministère de l'Agriculture et de 1'Alimentation, Bureau des recherches géologiques et minières (BRGM), SEAS Guyane, Occupation du sol en 2015 sur la bande littorale de la Guyane et son évolution entre 2005 et 2015, Rédaction 2017.
- 764 Rahm M., Thibault P., Shapiro A., Smartt T., Paloeng C., Crabbe S., Farias P., Carvalho R., Joubert P. (2017).

 Monitoring the impact of gold mining on the forest cover and freshwater in the Guiana Shield. Reference year

 2015. pp.20
- 767 Ribeiro-Duthie, Ana Cristina, Brazilian gold mining industry and relations amid ASM, MSM and LSM: sustainable development initiatives / Ana Cristina Ribeiro-Duthie, Zuleica Carmen Castilhos __Rio de Janeiro: CETEM/MCTIC, 2016. 60p.: il. (Série Tecnologia Ambiental, 93)
- 770 Skousen, J.G., Ziemkiewicz, P.F., McDonald, L.M. 2019. Acid mine drainage formation, control and treatment: Approaches and strategies. Extractive Industries and Society, 6 (1), pp. 241-249.
- 572 Sebastiano, M., Bustamante, P., Costantini, D., Eulaers, I., Malarvannan, G., Mendez-Fernandez, P., Churlaud, C., Blévin, P., Hauselmann, A., Dell'Omo, G., Covaci, A., Eens, M., Chastel, O., 2016. High levels of mercury and low levels of persistent organic pollutants in a tropical seabird in French Guiana, the Magnificent frigatebird, Fregata magnificens. Environmental Pollution 214, 384–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.070
- 776 Seccatore, J., Veiga, M., Origliasso, C., Marin, T., De Tomi, G., 2014. An estimation of the artisanal small-scale production of gold in the world. Science of The Total Environment 496, 662–667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.05.003
- 779 Simate, G.S., Ndlovu, S., 2014. Acid mine drainage: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 2, 1785–1803. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2014.07.021
- 781 Smits, K.M., McDonald, L., Smith, N.M., Gonzalez, F., Lucena, J., Martinez, G., Restrepo, O.J., Rosas, S. 2020.
 782 Voces Mineras: Clarifying the future of artisanal and small-scale mining collaborations. *The Extractive Industries and Society* 7(1): 68-72.
- 784 SRK Consulting Inc., NI 43-101 Technical Report Bankable Feasibility Study, Montagne d'Or Project, French 785 Guiana, Report Prepared for Nordgold, Columbus Gold, April 28, 2017 https://www.columbusgold.com/site/assets/files/3679/techrep-2017-04-28-mdo-bfs.pdf
- 787 SRK Consulting Inc., with Metal Mining Consultants Inc., NI 43-101 Technical Report 2015 Updated Feasibility 788 Study for the Aurora Gold Project, Guyana, Prepared for AGM Inc., January 18, 2016 https://s21.q4cdn.com/896225004/files/doc_technicalreports/aurora-project-technical-reports.pdf
- 790 Taubira-Delannon Christiane, L'or en Guyane : éclats et artifices, Rapport à Monsieur le Premier Ministre, rapport 791 public, 1 décembre 2000, https://www.vie-publique.fr/sites/default/files/rapport/pdf/004001895.pdf
- 792 Théveniaut Hervé, Billa Mario, Cassard Daniel, Delor Claude, Maldan Frédérik. Le plateau des Guyanes et son potentiel minier. Géosciences, BRGM, 2011, pp.60-67. hal-00662117
- 794 Thomassin J.-F., Urien, P., Verneyre, L., Charles N., Galin R., Guillon, D., Boudrie, M., Cailleau A., Matheus P.,
 795 Ostorero C., Tamagno D. (2017) Exploration et exploitation minière en Guyane. Collection « La mine en
 796 France ». Tome 8, 141 p., 41 fig., 2 tabl., 7 ann.
- 797 Tudesque, L., Grenouillet, G., Gevrey, M., Khazraie, K., Brosse, S., 2012. Influence of small-scale gold mining on FG streams: Are diatom assemblages valid disturbance sensors? Ecological Indicators 14, 100–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.07.018
- 800 United Nations (UN), 1972. Small-scale mining in developing countries. Department of Economic and Social 801 Affairs, United Nations, New York
- White the Nations Development Programme-UNDP. (2011). Small-scale gold mining in the transboundary areas of Brazil, Suriname and French Guiana: social and environmental issues. Geneva: Author.
- 804 P.Urien (2018) Expertise Mine et Cyanure en débat public sur le Projet « Montagne d'or » en Guyane. Rapport 805 final. BRGM/RC-67967-FR, p.74, ill. 22, ann.1, CD.

806 807 808 809 810 811	USGS, Gold Data Sheet - Mineral Commodity Summaries 2020, https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2020/mcs2020-gold.pdf Veiga MM. Artisanal mining: perspectives from the field. The intergovernmental forum on mining, minerals, metals and sustainable development; 2013. [Available at: http://www.globaldialogue.info/Nov1_ASM_2013/IGF%202013%20-%20Artisanal% 20Mining_%20Perspectives%20From%20the%20Fiels%20-%20Marcello%20Veiga.pdf Accessed on Jan 21, 20141.
	Verbrugge, B., Geenen, S., 2018. The gold commodity frontier: A fresh perspective on change and diversity in the
813	global gold mining economy. The Extractive Industries and Society. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2018.10.014
	Vergara, F., Ecuador Registro Oficial, Año III, N.517 Available at:
815	http://www.mineriaecuador.com/download/ley_mineriaec.pdf (2009)
816 817	WISE Uranium, Chronology of major tailings dam failures (from 1960), visited the 02/10/2019 at https://www.wise-uranium.org/mdaf.html
818	The World Bank, Communities, Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining (CASM), Issue Brief, 2008, Media Contact in
819	Washington, DC: Mauricio Rios (202) 458-2458
820	mrios@worldbank.org.http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTOGMC/Resources/CASMFACTSHEET.pdf
	World Gold Council, https://www.gold.org/about-gold/gold-demand/sectors-of-demand, consulted on
822	13 th february 2020
823	WWF, Lutte contre l'Orpaillage Illégal en Guyane ; orientations pour une efficacité renforcée, Analyse WWF
824	France, bureau Guyane, juin 2018. https://www.wwf.fr/sites/default/files/doc-2018-
825	06/180613_Rapport_Lutte_orpaillage_illegal_Guyane.pdf
827	Zvarivadza, T., 2018. Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining as a challenge and possible contributor to Sustainable Development. Resources Policy 56, 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2018.01.009
828	Development. Resources Foney 30, 49–38. https://doi.org/10.1010/j.resourpol.2018.01.009
829	
830	
831	Appendix A – Conceptual flowsheets of gold mining project types in French Guiana
832 833 834	(designed by Charlotte Travaillé ©)
835	Appendix B - Classification criteria and specific features of standard gold mining
	1
836	project typologies in French Guiana
837 838	
030	