



HAL
open science

Low temperature epitaxial growth of GaP on Si by atomic-layer deposition with plasma activation

A Uvarov, A Gudovskikh, V Nevedomskiy, Artem Baranov, D Kudryashov, I Morozov, Jean-Paul Kleider

► **To cite this version:**

A Uvarov, A Gudovskikh, V Nevedomskiy, Artem Baranov, D Kudryashov, et al.. Low temperature epitaxial growth of GaP on Si by atomic-layer deposition with plasma activation. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 2020, 53 (34), pp.345105. 10.1088/1361-6463/ab8bfd . hal-02946512

HAL Id: hal-02946512

<https://hal.science/hal-02946512>

Submitted on 3 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Low temperature epitaxial growth of GaP on Si by atomic-layer deposition with plasma activation

To cite this article before publication: Alexander V. Uvarov *et al* 2020 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/ab8bfd>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2020 IOP Publishing Ltd.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Low temperature epitaxial growth of GaP on Si by atomic-layer deposition with plasma activation

A.V. Uvarov¹, A.S. Gudovskikh^{1,2*}, V.N. Nevedomskiy³, A. I. Baranov¹, D. A. Kudryashov¹, I. A. Morozov¹, J.-P. Kleider⁴

¹St.Petersburg National Research Academic University RAS, St. Petersburg, 194091, Russia

E-mail: gudovskikh@spbau.ru

²Saint-Petersburg Electrotechnical University “LETI”, 197376, Saint-Petersburg, Russia

³Ioffe Institute, 194021 St.-Petersburg, Russia

⁴GeePs, Group of electrical engineering - Paris, CNRS, CentraleSupélec, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Université, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Keywords: atomic layer deposition, gallium phosphide, plasma

Abstract

An approach for epitaxial growth of GaP layers on Si substrates at low temperature (380°C) by plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) is explored. A significant improvement of the crystalline properties of the GaP layers is obtained using additional in-situ Ar plasma treatment. The epitaxial growth for the first 20-30 nm of GaP on Si is demonstrated from transmission electron microscopy. Moreover, the use of in-situ Ar plasma treatment during the PEALD process allows one to increase the growth rate per cycle from 0.9 ± 0.1 Å/cycle to 1.9 ± 0.1 Å/cycle and reduce the RMS roughness from 3.76 nm to 1.88 nm. The effect of Ar plasma treatment on the electronic properties of the GaP/Si interface is studied by deep level transient spectroscopy (DLTS). A defect level at (0.33 ± 0.03) eV below the conduction band is observed in the subsurface layer of Si for the GaP/Si structure grown under Ar plasma treatment. However, the defect response observed by DLTS vanishes after rapid thermal annealing at 500 °C in nitrogen ambient.

Submitted to Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics

1. Introduction

Gallium phosphide (GaP) epitaxially grown on Si is of great interest for the integration of III-V and Si technologies. GaP being a III-V semiconductor with a band gap of 2.26 eV and having a lattice mismatch with Si of less than 0.4% may be used as a nucleation layer for further growth of III-V compounds or as a window/emitter layer for silicon based solar cells [1-3].

Epitaxial growth of GaP layers on Si substrates may be achieved by metal-organic chemical vapor epitaxy (MOVPE) [4] or molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [5]. Several important problems should be solved for the growth of polar III-V materials on non-polar Si substrates. The nucleation phase of III-V materials may give rise to bonds between two group-III or two group-V atoms. These bonds between similar atoms act as a boundary between two single crystalline domains opposite in phase (antiphase boundaries), which could propagate along the direction of growth. To improve the crystalline properties of the GaP layer the time modulated procedure was proposed recently, where deposition of a Ga monolayer is followed by that of a P one for the GaP nucleation stage. The terms atomic layer epitaxy (ALE) for MOVPE [6-8] and mobility enhanced epitaxy (MEE) for MBE [8] were used to describe this procedure, which could significantly enhance the quality of the epitaxy. However, high temperatures, above 600 °C, used for Si surface deoxidation and reconstruction as well as for the growth process lead to a significant decrease in the lifetime of minority charge carriers in silicon substrates, which negatively affects the resulting efficiency of solar cells [9, 10].

We propose to use a plasma deposition technique to provide epitaxial growth of III-V compounds on Si at low temperature. This is possible due to the fact that plasma energy is locally scattered by surface atoms and can enhance the rate of surface reactions and the surface diffusion of adatoms [11]. To gain the advantage of the time modulated process (ALE or MEE) developed for nucleation of the epitaxial layers the atomic layer deposition (ALD) approach is suggested to be used in combination with plasma stimulation. Plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) has several advantages such as high-quality uniform deposition on large

1
2
3 areas, conformal layer growth on textured surfaces, trenches and holes with a high aspect ratio.
4
5 Moreover, PEALD can be used to grow thin layers of material over large areas with high
6
7 throughput, which is one of the main advantages for photovoltaic applications.
8
9

10 The possibility to obtain microcrystalline GaP by a PEALD-like method with a
11
12 continuous hydrogen plasma was previously shown [12]. However, hydrogen plasma of high
13
14 power used in those processes to increase the crystallinity of GaP leads to damages in the Si
15
16 subsurface layer, which results in a drop of the photovoltaic performance [13]. Thus, a way to
17
18 provide epitaxial growth of GaP at low temperature without deteriorating the electronic
19
20 properties of the silicon substrate is an important issue. Recently, the use of in-situ annealing
21
22 in argon plasma was shown to improve the crystalline quality to the epitaxial level of aluminum
23
24 nitride layers obtained by PEALD [14]. Here we explore the possibility to obtain thin epitaxial
25
26 GaP layers using PEALD at temperatures below 400 °C using in-situ annealing in Ar plasma.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **2. Experiment details**

34
35

36 The process of ALD growth of GaP was realized using the Oxford Plasmalab 100
37
38 PECVD setup with a capacitively coupled plasma reactor. Trimethylgallium (TMG) and
39
40 phosphine (PH₃) were used as the precursors of gallium and phosphorus, respectively.
41
42 Hydrogen (H₂) was used as a carrier gas for TMG providing a precursor flow into the chamber
43
44 using a bubbling system. The growth surface was alternatively submitted to TMG and PH₃
45
46 flows. To provide PH₃ decomposition at low temperature an RF (13.56 MHz) plasma was used
47
48 at the phosphorous deposition step. After exposure to each precursor, the chamber was purged
49
50 with argon to avoid mixing precursors and parasitic CVD growth. Additionally, a modified
51
52 process was also explored, where an Ar plasma treatment step was introduced immediately
53
54 before the TMG step. The main deposition parameters are presented in Table 1.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Process parameters of GaP deposition by PEALD

Parameter\Step	Gallium	Purge	Phosphorus	Purge	Ar plasma
Gas composition	5% TMG/H ₂	Ar	PH ₃ /H ₂	Ar	Ar
Time, s	5	10	3	10	0-15
Dose, nanomoles/cm ²	5-50	-	0.5-3.7	-	-
Pressure, mTorr	350	0	350	0	350
RF power density, mW/cm ²	0	0	90	0	90

GaP layers were grown on Si (100) substrates with a 4° misorientation toward [110] as conventionally used for epitaxy [15]. Si substrates were cleaned by the *Shiraki* method followed by an HF-dip removal of oxide prior to the GaP growth [16]. The layers were grown at the temperature of 380 °C. The choice of the process temperature is determined by the requirement for the evaporation of excess phosphorus from the growth surface, which occurs at temperatures above 300 °C. In this case the growth of only one monolayer of phosphorous atoms, which are bonded to Ga, is expected during one cycle. On the other hand, TMG starts to thermally decompose at about 280°C and keeps at least one methyl group up to 480°C [17]. The deposition rate was estimated by determining the resulting layer thickness using laser ellipsometry at a wavelength of 632.8 nm (Horiba PZ2000) and step profilometry (Ambios XP) on selective chemical etching steps of GaP on the silicon surface. The structural properties of the samples were investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and electron diffraction (JEOL JEM 2100F) with 200 kV acceleration voltage. Capacitance deep-level transient spectroscopy (DLTS) was applied to study the influence of plasma treatment and in particular the possible creation of defects in the subsurface region of the silicon wafer to explore possible defects in the silicon near to the GaP/n-Si interface. For this characterization technique, special structures with Schottky barriers were fabricated by vacuum evaporation of gold on the top of GaP layers through a mask with circular holes (diameter of 1 mm). DLTS measurements were carried out

1
2
3 using a Boonton 7200 capacitance meter and a Janis VPF 100 liquid nitrogen cryostat in the
4 temperature range of 80–360 K at the following conditions: $V_{\text{init}} = -2$ V, $V_{\text{pulse}} = +2$ V, $t_{\text{pulse}} = 50$
5 ms. The time rate window was varied in the range of 10–1000 s⁻¹.
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 **3. Results and discussions**

13
14 For the ALD process without Ar plasma activation two series of 200 cycles were
15 performed. In the first series the TMG dose was varied from 5 to 50 nmol/cm² at a constant PH₃
16 dose of 1.25 nmol/cm². During the second one the PH₃ dose was varied from 0.5 to 3.7
17 nmol/cm² at a constant TMG dose of 50 nmol/cm². For both series the growth rate of GaP does
18 not depend on the dose of TMG and PH₃ and is equal to 0.9±0.1 Å/cycle (Figure 1) being more
19 than 2.5 times lower compared to one monolayer per cycle. The saturation of the growth rate,
20 which is observed in the whole range of TMG and PH₃ dose, means that a self-limitation occurs
21 for both precursors, indicating that the ALD growth mode was achieved.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 Next the effect of Ar plasma activation before the TMG step in each cycle on the deposition
34 rate per cycle was investigated. The treatment time in Ar plasma was varied in the range of 0–
35 15 s at constant doses of TMG and PH₃ of 12.5 nmol/cm² and 1.25 nmol/cm², respectively. The
36 dependence of the growth rate on the duration of the argon plasma step is presented in Figure
37 1. First an increase of growth rate per cycle (GPC) with the argon plasma step duration (t_{Ar}) is
38 observed. Then for $t_{\text{Ar}} \geq 10$ s the GPC reaches saturation at the value of 1.9±0.1 Å/cycle, which
39 does not exceed one monolayer per cycle (2.73 Å/cycle), being in compliance with the ALD
40 regime. However, introduction of an additional Ar plasma step (200 W, 15 s) before the PH₃
41 step does not lead to an increase of the growth rate. This is probably due to the fact that PH₃
42 decomposition occurs at high RF plasma power (200 W), which provides significant energy to
43 phosphorous atoms during this step. An influence of Ar plasma treatment at the previous step
44 seems to be less important for phosphorous deposition.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Growth rate per cycle (GPC) as a function of TMG and PH₃ doses and dependence on Ar plasma step duration.

Along with the increase of the deposition rate due to the Ar plasma treatment, a significant decrease of the surface roughness of the layers was observed by atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Figure 2). AFM measurements were first performed for samples with the same thickness. Thus, 400 cycles of PEALD without Ar plasma and 200 cycles with Ar plasma step duration of 15 s were used to obtain two GaP layers of about 40 nm. The RMS roughness decreases from 3.76 ± 0.1 nm for the layer grown without Ar plasma to 1.88 ± 0.1 nm for the sample prepared with Ar plasma. However, the roughness of the deposited films depends on the thickness. The GaP layer deposited without Ar plasma during 200 cycles (thickness of about 20 nm) exhibits RMS roughness of 1.55 ± 0.1 nm. For very thin layers, both modes produce similar smooth surface. Indeed, for samples processed using 20 cycles with Ar plasma treatment and samples processed using 40 cycles without Ar plasma, which both have a thickness of about 4 nm, the RMS roughness was equally found in the range of 0.12 ± 0.1 nm indicating smooth surface for initial growth.

Obviously, the Ar plasma treatment affects the structure of the GaP layers. Bright field TEM images presented in Figure 3 confirm the improved RMS roughness when the Ar plasma

step is introduced in the PEALD process. Moreover, a strong difference in the structure of the GaP films can also be observed. Indeed, the layer obtained with the additional Ar plasma step is much denser and uniform compared to the one fabricated without Ar plasma treatment.




Figure 2. AFM images of GaP layers grown on Si by PE-ALD without and with 15 s Ar plasma treatment step.




Figure 3. Cross section bright field TEM image of GaP on Si grown by PEALD without Ar plasma step (a) and with 15 s Ar plasma step (b).

Detailed studies of structural properties were performed using selective area electron diffraction (SAED) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). The SAED pattern is a superposition of the diffraction from a small part of single-crystal Si substrate, GaP layer and a small part of amorphous epoxy glue. The diffraction from the monocrystal Si substrate is a spot grid, while if the GaP layer is microcrystalline, the diffraction looks as a set of solid rings. The more the rings look like spots, the closer the GaP structure is to a single crystal.

1
2
3 For the GaP layer obtained without Ar plasma the SAED pattern consists of almost solid
4 circles, which indicates that the layer is microcrystalline with crystallites of different
5 orientations (Figure 4a). The Dark field (DF) image obtained in part of $g = (111)$ ring indicates
6 that the GaP crystallites of this orientation (bright contrast) are concentrated at the GaP/Si
7 interface (Figure 2b), which corresponds to initial epitaxial growth on the Si substrate.
8 However, DF images taken from the other parts of the (111) plane (not presented) show separate
9 GaP crystallites located in the middle-upper part of the GaP layer and having a different
10 orientation. The presence of 10 nm size GaP crystallites with various orientations could also be
11 observed in the HRTEM image presented in Figure 4c. A GaP epitaxial layer of a few
12 nanometers could be observed by HRTEM at the GaP/Si interface, while further layer growth
13 leads to deviations in the crystal orientation. Moreover, the thickness of the GaP epitaxial layer
14 is nonuniform along GaP/Si interface.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 A detailed TEM study for the GaP/Si structures obtained by PEALD with the Ar plasma
31 step demonstrates that the GaP layer is rather epitaxial (Figure 5). The diffraction pattern of
32 epitaxial GaP layer, which exhibits marked spots, is clearly visible in the SAED pattern of the
33 layer (Figure 5a). According to the dark-field image obtained in the part of $g = (111)$ GaP-ring
34 epitaxial growth of GaP is observed within the whole layer (Figure 5b). However, crystallites
35 with different orientations could be observed 20-30 nm far from the GaP/Si interface, which is
36 also confirmed by the rings in the diffraction pattern. The HRTEM image (Figure 5c) confirms
37 epitaxial growth of GaP layer on the Si substrate. Substrate orientation is clearly reproduced by
38 subsequent GaP growth (Figure 5d). Despite the high concentration of lattice defects like twins,
39 misfits and threading dislocations, which could be observed by TEM in the epitaxial layer, a
40 significant improvement of the crystalline properties was achieved using the in-situ Ar plasma
41 treatment.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4. SAED pattern (a), DF image obtained in the part of $g = (111)$ ring (b), and HRTEM image (c) of GaP layer grown by PE-ALD without Ar plasma.




Figure 5. SAED pattern (a), DF image obtained in the part of $g = (111)$ ring (b), and HRTEM images (c,d) of GaP layer grown by PE-ALD without Ar plasma.

The Ar plasma treatment introduced immediately before the TMG decomposition step influences both the growth rate and the structure of the layer. Both properties can be affected by the ion bombardment during Ar plasma treatment. Recently, the importance of substrate bombardment ion energy and flux on the film properties was demonstrated for the PEALD

1
2
3 process [18]. The influence of the energy and dose of ions controlled by the substrate bias on
4 the growth rate as well as on the crystalline properties was shown. In another work performed
5 in the same Plasmalab setup as used here, it was shown that both Ar ion energy and flux increase
6 with the RF power [19]. Energy of this ion flux bombardment during the Ar plasma treatment
7 provides local heating of the growing surface as was proposed in Ref.14. Indeed, an increase of
8 the surface temperature could lead to more effective TMG decomposition. According to ref. 17
9 in the temperature range from 380°C to 480°C TMG starts to lose the second methyl group.
10 Methyl groups of TMG provide steric hindrance of the growth rate, which is a main reason why
11 in practice the GPC is normally lower than one monolayer per cycle [20]. The observed
12 saturation of the GPC with the increase of Ar plasma treatment duration (Figure 1a) could
13 indicate that a threshold temperature is reached when TMG loses the second methyl group. In
14 addition, the local heating of the surface leads to enhanced surface migration of adatoms and,
15 therefore, to the improvement of the structural properties as was observed for the growth of
16 AlN by PEALD with an Ar plasma treatment [14].

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 However, any plasma treatment is known to be a potential source of radiation-induced defects,
36 which in our case could be formed in the Si substrate. Previously, for the GaP growth performed
37 with high power hydrogen plasma treatment, a damage of the Si substrate was observed by
38 TEM and defects were detected at the GaP/Si interface by electrical measurements [13]. Here
39 no damage of Si is observed by TEM (Figure 1b) at the GaP/Si interface, despite the short (3 s)
40 treatment in H₂ plasma during the PH₃/H₂ step and the long (15 s) Ar plasma treatment.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

However, any plasma treatment is known to be a potential source of radiation-induced defects, which in our case could be formed in the Si substrate. Previously, for the GaP growth performed with high power hydrogen plasma treatment, a damage of the Si substrate was observed by TEM and defects were detected at the GaP/Si interface by electrical measurements [13]. Here no damage of Si is observed by TEM (Figure 1b) at the GaP/Si interface, despite the short (3 s) treatment in H₂ plasma during the PH₃/H₂ step and the long (15 s) Ar plasma treatment. However, to have a better assessment of the electronic properties of the GaP/Si interface, deep level transient spectroscopy (DLTS) measurements were performed on GaP/n-Si structures with a top Schottky barrier. DLTS spectra obtained for a rate window of 50 s⁻¹ are presented in Figure 6. For the GaP/Si structure grown without Ar plasma treatment no responses are detected, while a clear defect response was observed in the range of 80–140 K for the sample fabricated with additional Ar plasma. Using the classical DLTS data treatment (see

supplementary material) we could identify the defect level to be at $0.33 \text{ eV} \pm 0.03 \text{ eV}$ below the conduction band. Ar plasma is known to be a source of radiation-induced defects in Si during magnetron sputtering of ITO on a-Si:H/c-Si heterostructures due to hard UV radiation. However, thermal annealing at a temperature approximately one hundred degrees higher than the deposition temperature allows one to recover the carrier lifetime in the Si wafer [21]. Our GaP/Si structure grown with the Ar plasma treatment step was annealed at $500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ during 1 minute in nitrogen ambient. To verify that annealing of GaP layer does not affect the structural properties they were additionally monitored by Raman spectroscopy. No changes were observed for microcrystalline GaP films after annealing at the temperature of 500°C (see supplementary material). After annealing no defect response could be detected by DLTS (Figure 6). Thus, argon plasma leads to the formation of defects in the sub-surface region of the silicon wafer, however their concentration could be drastically reduced by rapid thermal annealing at $500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.




Figure 6. DLTS spectra (rate window of 50 s^{-1}) for GaP layers grown with or without Ar plasma step, and also for a layer grown with the Ar plasma step and annealed at $500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ during 1 minute in nitrogen ambient.

5. Conclusion

1
2
3 In conclusion, GaP epitaxial layers on silicon substrates were obtained by PEALD at a
4 temperature of 380 °C. It was shown that introducing an additional Ar plasma step during
5 growth increases the growth rate per cycle and reduces the surface roughness due to an increase
6 in the surface migration of adsorbed atoms. This effect has a saturation, which also indicates
7 the compliance with the ALD regime and the absence of uncontrollable volumetric chemical
8 reaction or re-evaporation of material from the chamber walls in the Ar plasma. The Ar plasma
9 step also allows one to significantly improve the quality of epitaxial layers of GaP on Si
10 substrates grown by PEALD. Finally, it leads to the formation of defects detected by DLTS in
11 Si close to the GaP/Si interface, however these defects are suppressed by rapid thermal
12 annealing at 500 °C.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Acknowledgements**

28 This work was supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation under Grant № 17-19-01482.
29 TEM characterization were performed using equipment owned by the Federal Joint Research
30 Center "Material science and characterization in advanced technology" (id
31 RFMEFI62119X0021).
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **References**

- 39
40 [1] *III-V Compound Semiconductors: Integration with Silicon-Based Microelectronics*, (Eds:
41 T. Li, M. Mastro, A. Dadgar), CRC Press **2016**.
42
43 [2] C. Zhang, N.N. Faleev, L. Ding, M. Boccard, M. Bertoni, Z. Holman, R.R. King, C.B.
44 Honsberg, in *2016 IEEE 43rd Photovolt. Spec. Conf.*, 1950–1953, IEEE **2016**.
45
46 [3] M. Feifel, J. Ohlmann, J. Benick, T. Rachow, S. Janz, M. Hermle, F. Dimroth, J. Belz, A.
47 Beyer, K. Volz, D. Lackner, *IEEE J. Photovoltaics*, **2017**, 7, 502.
48
49 [4] J.M. Olson, M.M. Al-Jassim, A. Kibbler, K.M. Jones, *J. Cryst. Growth*, **1986**, 77, 515.
50
51 [5] Mahdad Sadeghi, Shumin Wang, *J. Cryst. Growth*, **2001**, 227–228, 279.
52
53
54 [6] Y. Sakuma, K. Kodama, M. Ozeki, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1990**, 56, 827.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- [7] J.R. Gong, S. Nakamura, M. Leonard, S.M. Bedair, N.A. El-Masry, *J. Electron. Mater.* **1992**, *21*, 965.
- [8] T. Tsuji, H. Yonezu, M. Yokozeki, Y. Takagi, Y. Fujimoto, N. Ohshima, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. A*, **1997**, *36*, 5431.
- [9] R. Varache, M. Darnon, M. Descazeaux, M. Martin, T. Baron, D. Muñoz, *Energy Procedia*, **2015**, *77*, 493.
- [10] L. Ding, C. Zhang, T. U. Nærland, N. Faleev, C. Honsberg, M. I. Bertoni, *Energy Procedia* **2016**, *92*, 617.
- [11] A.J.M. Mackus, S.B.S. Heil, E. Langereis, H.C.M. Knoop, M.C.M. van de Sanden, W.M.M. Kessels, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A Vacuum*, **2010**, *28*, 77.
- [12] A.S. Gudovskikh, A. V. Uvarov, I.A. Morozov, A.I. Baranov, D.A. Kudryashov, K.S. Zelentsov, A.S. Bukatin, K.P. Kotlyar, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*, **2018**, *36*, 02D408.
- [13] A. S. Gudovskikh, A. V. Uvarov, I. A. Morozov, A. I. Baranov, D. A. Kudryashov, K.S. Zelentsov, A. Jaffre, S. Le Gall, A. Darga, A. Brezard-Oudot, J.P. Kleider, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, **2019**, *216*, 1800617.
- [14] H.-Y. Shih, W.-H. Lee, W.-C. Kao, Y.-C. Chuang, R.-M. Lin, H.-C. Lin, M. Shiojiri, M.-J. Chen, *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 39717.
- [15] T.J. Grassman, M.R. Brenner, S. Rajagopalan, R. Unocic, R. Dehoff, M. Mills, H. Fraser, S.A. Ringel, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *94*, 232106.
- [16] A. Ishizaka, Y. Shiraki, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1986**, *133*, 666.
- [17] F. Lee, T.R. Gow, R.I. Masel, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1989**, *136*, 2640.
- [18] T. Faraz, H. C. M. Knoop, M. A. Verheijen, C. A. A. van Helvoirt, S. Karwal, A. Sharm Beladiya, A. Szeghalmi, D. M. Hausmann, Jon Henri, Mariadriana Creatore, and W. M. M. Kessels *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 13158.
- [19] D. Gahan, S. Daniels, C. Hayden, P. Scullin, D. O'Sullivan, Y.T. Pei, M.B. Hopkins, *Plasma Sources Science and Technology* **2012**, *21*, 024004.

1
2
3 [20] *Chemical Vapour Deposition. Precursors, Processes and Applications* (Eds: A.C. Jones,
4 M.L. Hitchman). Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge **2008**.

5
6
7 [21] B. Demarex, St. De Wolf, A. Descoedres, Z. Ch. Holman, Ch.e Ballif, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*
8 **2012**, *101*, 171604.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60