

Resonance locking in giant planets indicated by the rapid orbital expansion of Titan

Valery Lainey, Luis Gomez Casajus, Jim Fuller, Marco Zannoni, Paolo Tortora, Nicholas Cooper, Carl Murray, Dario Modenini, Ryan Park, Vincent Robert-Pélissier, et al.

To cite this version:

Valery Lainey, Luis Gomez Casajus, Jim Fuller, Marco Zannoni, Paolo Tortora, et al.. Resonance locking in giant planets indicated by the rapid orbital expansion of Titan. Nature Astronomy, 2020, $10.1038/s41550-020-1120-5$. hal-02945403

HAL Id: hal-02945403 <https://hal.science/hal-02945403v1>

Submitted on 14 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Resonance locking in giant planets indicated by the rapid orbital expansion of Titan

Valéry Lainey^{1,2∗}, Luis Gomez Casajus³, Jim Fuller⁴, Marco Zannoni³, Paolo Tortora³, Nicholas Cooper⁵, Carl Murray⁵, Dario Modenini³, Ryan Park¹, Vincent Robert^{2,6}, Qingfeng Zhang⁷

¹ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,

4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109-8099, United States

2 IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS,

Sorbonne Universits, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Univ. Lille, 77

 3 Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale, Università di Bologna, 47121 Forlì, Italy

⁴TAPIR, Mailcode 350-17, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

⁵Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Rd, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

6 IPSA, 63 bis boulevard de Brandebourg, 94200 Ivry-sur-Seine, France

⁷Department of Computer Science, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, P. R. China

[∗]To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: lainey@imcce.fr. Copyright 2019. All rights reserved.

Saturn is orbited by dozens of moons, and the intricate dynamics of this complex system provide clues about its formation and evolution. Tidal friction within Saturn causes its moons to migrate outwards, driving them into orbital resonances that pump their eccentricities or inclinations, which in turn leads to tidal heating of the moons. However, in giant planets, the dissipative processes that determine the tidal migration timescale remain poorly understood. Standard theories suggest an orbital expansion rate inversely proportional to the power $11/2$ in distance¹, implying negligible migration for outer moons such as Saturn's largest moon, Titan. Here, we use two independent measurements obtained with the *Cassini* spacecraft to measure Titan's orbital expansion rate. We find Titan rapidly migrates away from Saturn on a timescale of roughly 10 Gyr, corresponding to a tidal quality factor of Saturn of $Q \simeq 100$, which is more than a hundred times smaller than most expectations. Our results for Titan and five other moons agree with the predictions of a resonance locking tidal theory², sustained by excitation of inertial waves inside the planet. The associated tidal expansion is only weakly sensitive to orbital distance, motivating a revision of the evolutionary history of Saturn's moon system. In particular, it suggests Titan formed significantly closer to Saturn and has migrated outward to its current position.

Prior monitoring of the mid-sized inner moons' orbital locations suggests that they are migrating outward faster than allowed if they formed at the same time as Saturn^{3,4}, motivating new moon formation scenarios^{5,6}. However, nearly all prior theoretical studies have assumed a constant tidal lag angle θ for the tidal bulge raised by each moon, parameterized by a tidal quality factor $Q \simeq 1/(2\theta)$. While the actual lag angle for tidally excited waves in the planet (dynamical tides) can vary, their effect can be described by an effective Q value governing the tidal interaction with each moon, whose value is inversely proportional to the tidal energy

dissipation rate within Saturn¹. Denoting the semi-major axis a, the orbital expansion rate t_{tid}^{-1} tide of each moon is

$$
t_{\rm tide}^{-1} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{3k_2}{Q} \frac{M_{\rm moon}}{M} \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^5 n, \tag{1}
$$

where $M_{\rm moon}$ is the mass of the moon, R and M are the radius and mass of Saturn, k_2 is the Love number of degree two that is determined by Saturn's density structure and is measured below, $n = 2\pi/P_{\rm orb} = \sqrt{GM/a^3}$ is the moon's mean motion, and $P_{\rm orb}$ is the moon's orbital period. Because of the strong dependence on a, most tidal theories predict slower migration for outer moons such as Titan.

To help explain the rapid migration of the mid-sized moons previously measured^{3,4}, a new paradigm for the tidal evolution of moons, known as resonance locking⁷, was proposed². Tidal dissipation due to inertial waves in Saturn's convective envelope⁸ or gravity modes in Saturn's deep interior⁹ is enhanced at discrete resonances with planetary oscillations. The resonant frequencies are determined by Saturn's internal structure, which is slowly evolving due to processes such as gravitational contraction, helium rain¹⁰, and core erosion¹¹. Moons can get caught in these resonances as Saturn's structure evolves, causing the moons to migrate outward on a timescale determined by Saturn's internal evolution. While explaining the fast orbital expansion of Rhea, the resonance locking theory predicted a similar expansion rate for Titan (and a smaller Saturnian tidal Q at Titan's frequency)², making the monitoring of Titan's orbit a strong case for testing this model. Contrary to most tidal theories where the tidal Q is constant for all moons, resonance locking predicts the tidal Q for outer moons is much smaller.

To measure the migration rate of Titan, we use two independent methods. In the first approach, a coherent orbit of Titan was determined by reconstructing the trajectory of the *Cassini* spacecraft during 10 close encounters of the moon between February 2006 and August 2016.

During each Titan encounter, we are sensitive to the relative position of the *Cassini* spacecraft with respect to both the moon and Saturn, providing indirect information on the orbit of Titan during the timespan of the *Cassini* mission. Our data sets encompass only radio tracking data acquired by the ground antennas of the Deep Space Network, namely Doppler observables at Xand Ka-band (8.4 GHz and 32.5 GHz, respectively), and range data at X-band. Due to limited temporal coverage of radiometric data in the vicinity of the other moons, it was not possible to obtain a reliable estimation of their orbits, which were instead retrieved from the latest satellite ephemerides released by JPL (see Methods).

The radiometric data analysis strategy was based on the classical approach used by the *Cassini* Radio Science Team in the past for gravity science experiments^{12–15}. Our solution was obtained using JPL's orbit determination program MONTE¹⁶, using a linearized weighted least squares filter that allowed us to determine corrections to an a-priori dynamical model taking into account all the relevant accelerations that affected the orbit of Titan and the trajectory of the *Cassini* spacecraft. The least squares information filter used a multi-arc approach, in which radiometric data obtained during non-contiguous orbital segments, called arcs, are jointly analyzed to produce a single solution of a set of global parameters, which affect all the arcs. Our global parameters include the initial state vector of Titan, its gravity field up to the 5th degree and order, Saturn's gravity field up to J_6 , Saturn's tidal parameters $Re(k_2)$ and $-Im(k_2)$ = $k_2/Q \ll Re(k_2)$ at Titan's frequency, and *Cassini*'s thermal recoil acceleration.

Our second method is based solely on classical astrometry data. Similar to prior work⁴, we used more than a century of observations, starting in 1886 through the whole *Cassini* mission. New observations of the main moons^{17,18} were added to supplement previous data⁴. We also included for the first time extra observations of Titan from Imaging Science Subsystem

(ISS) images, derived from Caviar software¹⁷, allowing for a tighter constraint on Titan's position during the *Cassini* mission. Our model solved the equations of motion of the eight main moons of Saturn, with the addition of the four Lagrangian moons of Dione and Tethys, as well as Pallene and Methone. Including Methone allows for a much better constraint on Mimas's orbital expansion, due to their proximity to mean-motion resonance. The Lagrangian moons are useful to obtain Saturn's Love number k_2 , while Methone and Pallene are very sensitive to Mimas' mass and Saturn's gravity field. The perturbation of the four innermost moons of Saturn, Prometheus, Pandora, Janus and Epimetheus is introduced by ephemerides. We checked that the chaos affecting the orbits of these moons, as well as a possible secular variation of Saturn's J_2 , did not affect our results (Methods).

In addition to the initial state vectors of the moons, we fitted the masses of the moons and their primary, the J_2 , J_4 and J_6 of Saturn's gravity field, the orientation and precession of Saturn's pole, Saturn's k_2 (that assumes $k_{20} = k_{21} = k_{22}$), and Saturn's tidal ratio k_2/Q at the tidal frequencies of Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys, Dione, Rhea and Titan. Due to the large uncertainty in Enceladus' current tidal dissipation rate¹⁹ estimated to be of order 15 GW [ref. 20], we performed four independent fits, assuming a broad range of values of 3, 10, 33 and 55 GW. Our results agree with prior measurements⁴, except for Tethys, as a consequence of the Mimas-Tethys mean-motion resonance and a different value for Mimas's migration rate.

Our findings are shown in Figure and Table 1. From the radio tracking data, we measure the tidal quality factor driving Titan's migration to be $Q = 124^{+26}_{-19}$ (3 σ uncertainties), assuming a fixed $Re(k_2) = 0.382$ for Saturn. This corresponds to an outward migration rate of 11_{-2}^{+2} cm/yr. From astrometry, we find a slightly smaller value $Q = 61_{-31}^{+240}$, but the two are consistent within 2σ uncertainties. We tested the reliability of these results by performing

many trials with different parameters (Methods), finding no substantial variation in our result. This unexpectedly small value of Saturn's Q associated with Titan's migration is much smaller than our astrometric measurements of Saturn's Qs associated with the other moons' migration, which range from $Q \sim 300$ for Rhea to $Q \gtrsim 3000$ for Tethys. The migration of each moon is clearly associated with a different value of Q for Saturn, and all well-constrained values lie below the minimum value $Q = 1.8 \times 10^4$ predicted if the moons formed at the same time as Saturn and Q is constant²¹. Hence, our results show that most of Saturn's moons, including Titan, are migrating outward more rapidly than expected from classical tidal models.

The rapid migration of Titan is unexpected for all tidal dissipation mechanisms, except for resonance locking, which predicted the observed migration². Figure shows the predicted tidal Qs (blue bars and points) for a resonance locking model with inertial waves with planetary spin evolution timescale $t_p = 6$ Gyr (supplementary information), where the timescale t_p is a parameter of the model that is expected to be comparable to the age of the solar system. The vertical extent of the blue bars of Mimas/Tethys and Enceladus/Dione is due to their mean-motion resonances, and accounts for the fact that the inner moon helps drive the outer moon's migration to an uncertain extent.² In this model, the migration timescale of each moon is approximately

$$
t_{\rm tide} = \frac{a}{\dot{a}} \approx \frac{3t_p}{2} \tag{2}
$$

and is driven by the rate at which inertial wave "resonant" frequencies evolve along with Saturn's spin and structure. However, the predicted Q is very different for each moon, with smaller values for outer moons, consistent with the trend in the data.

To quantify the net migration rate of each moon regardless of orbital resonances, we measure the difference in position between our best-fit solution, and one with no tidal dissipation in Saturn or Enceladus (Methods). Figure shows the corresponding migration time scale t_{tide}

Table 1: **Estimated tidal parameters for Saturn. Top:** Retrieved tidal parameters of the tidal bulge on Saturn raised by Titan, and their associated 3σ uncertainties, using *Cassini* radio tracking data. **Bottom:** The same as the top table, including additional moons, based on astrometric data. The imaginary part of k_2 governs the migration of each moon. To account for tidal dissipation inside Enceladus, we performed four independent fits, assuming a heating rate of 3, 10, 33 and 55 GW. Error bars are 3σ formal uncertainties. See also Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

of each moon (Table 1 of supplementary material). Despite the different values of Q , the observed migration timescales for each of Saturn's moons tend to be similar, each consistent with $t_{\text{tide}} \approx 10 \text{ Gyr}$ within a factor of two, in line with the predictions of resonance locking.

By fitting the data with models of constant Q or constant t_{tide} , we show that a constant Q model is ruled out with very high certainty, while a constant t_{tide} model is more consistent with the data (Supplementary Table 1). Hence, we interpret our observations as strong evidence that a resonance locking process is driving the migration of many of Saturn's moons. The roughly constant migration time t_{tide} for several of Saturn's moons suggests that resonance locking with inertial waves, rather than gravity modes (which predicts smaller t_{tide} for outer moons), is the most probable explanation for the moons' migration (supplementary information). This may also help explain why mean-motion resonances between moons have survived, as resonance locking with gravity modes typically results in divergent migration that can disrupt mean-motion resonances between moons²², whereas resonance locking with inertial waves does not necessarily produce divergent migration and allows mean-motion resonances to survive.

While dissipation of waves within Saturn's convective envelope or stably stratified core²³ seems to be the most significant mechanism of tidal friction, viscous dissipation of the quasistatic tidal bulge (i.e., equilibrium tidal dissipation) must also contribute. Our results in Figure indicate equilibrium tidal dissipation $Q_e \gtrsim 5000$ because this is the lowest allowable value for Tethys, but it remains possible that equilibrium tides govern the migration of Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys, and Dione.

Figure shows a possible orbital evolutionary history for Saturn's moons in the resonance locking framework, using a migration timescale for all moons of $t_{\text{tide}} = 3t_{\text{Sa}}$, where t_{Sa} is the changing age of Saturn (supplementary information). This model is roughly consistent

with our data, and it incorporates the fact that we expect shorter migration time scales in the past when Saturn was evolving more quickly. While mean-motion resonances and interaction with Saturn's rings (not accounted for here) can alter these histories, these models illustrate the qualitatively different behaviors of constant Q and resonance locking models. This simple model predicts the semi-major axis evolves as $a \propto t^{1/3}$, though it necessarily breaks down as t approaches zero. Our results indicate that Titan and Rhea have migrated much farther than previously expected, while the inner moons may have a markedly different history than they would in constant Q models. The substantial migration of Titan may explain how it was able to capture Hyperion into mean-motion resonance²⁴, and a previous resonance crossing with Iapetus may explain the latter's eccentricity and inclination²⁵. Due to the changing values of Q associated with resonance locking, Saturn likely had larger Q values in the past, such that moons migrated more slowly than they would by assuming a constant Q . Hence, our results allow for low values of Q for Saturn in the present day (and a correspondingly large tidal heating rate of Enceladus), even with an old age of the moons of at least a few Gyr, though it remains possible that the mid-sized moons formed after Saturn (supplementary information). Since our results favor resonance locking over constant Q theories, orbital evolution calculations assuming that Q is constant (either in space or in time^{6, 26, 27}) should be revisited.

Resonance locking could operate in other moon systems, such as the Jovian system, where it might drive the outward migration of Io/Europa/Ganymede² and predicts a much smaller effective Q for Callisto if it is caught in a resonance lock. Resonance locking can also act in stellar binaries^{7, 28} and exoplanetary systems, but it will not always dominate tidal dynamics, for instance, at very close separations when equilibrium tidal dissipation is more important, or when resonances are saturated by chaotic or non-linear effects²⁹. But resonance locking could be especially important at wider separations where equilibrium tidal dissipation is negligible

(as it is for Titan's migration), or in situations when a star or planet evolves on a relatively short time scale due to a rapid evolutionary phase, accretion, magnetic braking, or gravitational wave-driven inspiral³⁰.

- 1. Goldreich, P. & Soter, S. Q in the Solar System. *Icarus* 5, 375–389 (1966).
- 2. Fuller, J., Luan, J. & Quataert, E. Resonance locking as the source of rapid tidal migration in the Jupiter and Saturn moon systems. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 458, 3867–3879 (2016).
- 3. Lainey, V. *et al.* Strong Tidal Dissipation in Saturn and Constraints on Enceladus' Thermal State from Astrometry. *Astrophys. J.* 752, 14 (2012).
- 4. Lainey, V. *et al.* New constraints on Saturn's interior from Cassini astrometric data. *Icarus* 281, 286–296 (2017).
- 5. Charnoz, S. *et al.* Accretion of Saturns mid-sized moons during the viscous spreading of young massive rings: Solving the paradox of silicate-poor rings versus silicate-rich moons. *Icarus* 216, 535–550 (2011).
- 6. Cuk, M., Dones, L. & Nesvorný, D. Dynamical Evidence for a Late Formation of Saturns Moons. *Astrophys. J.* 820, 97 (2016).
- 7. Witte, M. G. & Savonije, G. J. Tidal evolution of eccentric orbits in massive binary systems. A study of resonance locking. *Astron. Astrophys.* 350, 129–147 (1999).
- 8. Ogilvie, G. I. & Lin, D. N. C. Tidal Dissipation in Rotating Giant Planets. *Astrophys. J.* 610, 477–509 (2004).
- 9. Fuller, J. Saturn ring seismology: Evidence for stable stratification in the deep interior of Saturn. *Icarus* 242, 283–296 (2014).
- 10. Salpeter, E. E. On Convection and Gravitational Layering in Jupiter and in Stars of Low Mass. *Astrophys. J.l* 181, L83 (1973).
- 11. Wilson, H. F. & Militzer, B. Solubility of Water Ice in Metallic Hydrogen: Consequences for Core Erosion in Gas Giant Planets. *Astrophys. J.* 745, 54 (2012).
- 12. Tortora, P. *et al.* Rhea gravity field and interior modeling from Cassini data analysis. *Icarus* 264, 264–273 (2016).
- 13. Iess, L. *et al.* The Gravity Field and Interior Structure of Enceladus. *Science* 344, 78–80 (2014).
- 14. Iess, L. *et al.* Measurement and implications of saturn's gravity field and ring mass. *Science* 364, aat2965 (2019).
- 15. Durante, D., Hemingway, D. J., Racioppa, P., Iess, L. & Stevenson, D. J. Titans gravity field and interior structure after Cassini. *Icarus* 326, 123–132 (2019).
- 16. Evans, S. *et al.* Monte: The next generation of mission design and navigation software. *CEAS Space Journal* 10, 79–86 (2018).
- 17. Cooper, N. J. *et al.* The Caviar software package for the astrometric reduction of Cassini ISS images: description and examples. *Astron. Astrophys.* 610, A2 (2018).
- 18. Zhang, Q. F. *et al.* First astrometric reduction of Cassini Imaging Science Subsystem images using an automatic procedure: application to Enceladus images 2013-2017. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 481, 98–104 (2018).
- 19. Choblet, G. *et al.* Powering prolonged hydrothermal activity inside Enceladus. *Nature Astronomy* 1, 841–847 (2017).
- 20. Howett, C. J. A., Spencer, J. R., Pearl, J. & Segura, M. High heat flow from Enceladus' south polar region measured using 10-600 cm[−]¹ Cassini/CIRS data. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)* 116, 3003 (2011).
- 21. Sinclair, A. T. A re-consideration of the evolution hypothesis of the origin of the resonances among Saturn's satellites. In Markellos, V. V. & Kozai, Y. (eds.) *IAU Colloq. 74: Dynamical Trapping and Evolution in the Solar System*, vol. 106, 19–25 (1983).
- 22. Dermott, S. F., Malhotra, R. & Murray, C. D. Dynamics of the Uranian and Saturnian satellite systems - A chaotic route to melting Miranda? *Icarus* 76, 295–334 (1988).
- 23. Fuller, J. & Lai, D. Dynamical tides in compact white dwarf binaries: influence of rotation. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 444, 3488–3500 (2014).
- 24. Colombo, G., Franklin, F. A. & Shapiro, I. I. On the formation of the orbit-orbit resonance of Titan and Hyperion. *Astron. J.* 79, 61 (1974).
- 25. Polycarpe, W. *et al.* Strong tidal energy dissipation in Saturn at Titan's frequency as an explanation for Iapetus orbit. *Astron. Astrophys.* 619, A133 (2018).
- 26. Crida, A. & Charnoz, S. Formation of Regular Satellites from Ancient Massive Rings in the Solar System. *Science* 338, 1196– (2012).
- 27. Neveu, M. & Rhoden, A. R. Evolution of Saturn's mid-sized moons. *Nature Astronomy* 3, 543–552 (2019).
- 28. Fuller, J., Hambleton, K., Shporer, A., Isaacson, H. & Thompson, S. Accelerated tidal circularization via resonance locking in KIC 8164262. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 472, L25–L29 (2017).
- 29. Burkart, J., Quataert, E. & Arras, P. Dynamical resonance locking in tidally interacting binary systems. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 443, 2957–2973 (2014).
- 30. Burkart, J., Quataert, E., Arras, P. & Weinberg, N. N. Tidal resonance locks in inspiraling white dwarf binaries. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 433, 332–352 (2013).

Any correspondence should be addressed to lainey@imcce.fr

Acknowledgments

V.L.'s research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This work has been supported by the ENCELADE team of the International Space Science Institute (ISSI). Support for this work was provided by the Italian Space Agency (L.G.C., M.Z., P.T., and D.M.) through the Agreement 2017-10-H.O in the context of the NASA/ESA/ASI Cassini/Huygens mission. J.F.'s research is funded in part by a Rose Hills Innovator Grant and the Sloan Foundation through grant FG-2018-10515. N.C. and C.M. were supported by the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (Grant No. ST/M001202/1) and are grateful to them for financial assistance. N.C. thanks the Scientific Council of the Paris Observatory for funding. Q.Z.'s research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11873026).

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript. V.L. developed and fitted to the observations the full numerical model presented for the astrometric approach. P.T. led the radiometric

data analysis approach. L.G.C. and M.Z. carried out the radiometric data analysis. J.F. provided theoretical interpretation, constructed figures, and performed supplementary calculations. D.M. contributed with software development. N.C., C.D., V.R. and Q.Z. provided extra astrometric data. R.P. provided extra expertise for astrometric analysis.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Methods

Radiometric data selection and calibration To measure a precise orbit of Titan, we analyzed the *Cassini* radiometric data acquired during 10 close encounters with Titan (T11, T22, T33, T45, T68, T74, T89, T99, T110, and T122) throughout the *Cassini* mission. To increase the sensitivity we selected only the encounters with data coverage around the closest approach.

The main observable used in the reconstruction of *Cassini*'s trajectory is the spacecraft range rate, obtained from the Doppler shift of a microwave carrier transmitted from ground at X-band (7.2 GHz) and sent back coherently at both X- (8.4 GHz) and Ka-band (32.5 GHz). Doppler observables were integrated over a count time of 60 s. In addition, in order to study the orbital evolution of Titan, we used range data at X-band.

We preferred two-way Doppler data over three-way, because of the intrinsic higher stability. When two-way observables were unavailable, we used three-way, adding in our filter the necessary bias to correct for possible DSN inter-station clock offset. When available, X/Ka measurements were preferred over X/X, as they are less sensitive to the dispersive effects, like Earth's ionosphere and solar and interplanetary plasma. We corrected the tracking data for the effects caused by the Earth's troposphere and ionosphere, using Global Positioning System data and microwave radiometer data, when available 31 . Tracking data acquired at ground station elevations lower than 15 degrees were discarded in order to avoid errors due to inaccurate calibration of the tropospheric and ionospheric induced delays. Furthermore, we generated corrections to take into account the additional Doppler shift induced by the spin of the *Cassini* spacecraft.

Dynamical model The dynamical model included the relativistic point-mass gravitational acceleration from the Sun, the planets, the Moon, Pluto, and the main Saturn satellites. In addition, the setup included the gravity field of Saturn and its planetary rings resulting from the analysis of data from the Grand Finale orbits¹⁴. Saturn's response to the tides raised by Titan was modelled using a complex Love number k_2 . Furthermore, the model included the following non-gravitational accelerations for *Cassini*: the solar radiation pressure, the drag induced by the upper-layer of Titan's atmosphere, and the acceleration due to the non-isotropic thermal emission, mainly generated by the three onboard Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generators.

No constraints were applied to the estimation of the global parameters, because the a priori uncertainties were chosen to be at least one order of magnitude larger than the obtained formal uncertainties or the formal uncertainty currently available from the literature^{4, 14}. Besides global parameters, we estimated also local parameters, which means that they affect only a single arc. For each encounter, they include the initial state of *Cassini*, the drag perturbation during the low-altitude flybys, the low gain antenna phase-centre position during T110, constant Doppler bias for the three-way passes, and constant range biases per station and pass. The a priori uncertainties for *Cassini's* position and velocity were 20 km and 0.2 m/s, respectively.

Measurement of Titan's orbit The inclusion of the Saturn's tidal dissipation at Titan's frequency to our dynamical model allowed for a fit to the noise level, as shown by the range-rate and range residuals (Supplementary Figures 1-4). The estimated gravity field of Titan and Saturn are both fully compatible with the latest measurements published by the *Cassini* RS team 14,15 .

The radiometric data have proven to be very sensitive to the imaginary component of Saturn's Love number, $Im(k_2)$, that drives tidal migration. During each encounter, we can

accurately measure the relative position of the *Cassini* spacecraft with respect to Titan. In addition, outside the sphere of influence of Titan, we are sensitive to the relative position of the spacecraft with respect to the gas giant. As a result, the analysis of the data acquired during all close encounters provides information on the relative position of Titan with respect to Saturn. The formal uncertainty in the Titan's measured position is 3 m in the radial direction, almost constant during the timespan of the *Cassini* mission, while the positional uncertainty in the transverse direction has a minimum of 4 m in January 2010, and then grows almost linearly to a maximum of 40 m.

The imaginary part of Saturn's Love number at Titan's frequency causes an orbital migration of the satellite in the radial and transverse position with respect to Saturn. Assuming $Q =$ 100, the total effect is about 1.1 m on the radial direction and 1.2 km on the transverse direction after 10 years. However, most of the longitudinal shifts will disappear when fitting for the satellites' orbits, so that the observable orbital signal associated with $Im(k_2)$ corresponds to the part of the drift that cannot be absorbed. In order to compute the effect of Saturn's dissipation on Titan's orbit during the timespan of the *Cassini* mission we performed numerical simulations, following the same approach as prior work³⁴. As a result of our simulations we found that the signal on Titan's longitude amounts to 150 m. This distance is much larger than our formal uncertainty, making the effect of the dissipation clearly observable.

Robustness of the solution The stability of our solution has been assessed by carrying out several tests, including changing the values of the less observable $Re(k_2)$, and the use of different ephemerides to take into account possible changes in the orbits of the other Saturn satellites. In all cases, the estimated values were compatible with the reference solution within 1σ , and they offered range-rate residuals of very similar quality.

Another possible source of error may come from a time variation of J_2 . In particular, a linear variation of J_2 produces a quadratic drift on the mean longitude, similar to the effects of Saturn's dissipation. Hence, in order to check the effect of this parameter on our results, we introduced a secular variation of Saturn's J_2 equal to 4.42×10^{-13} day⁻¹, corresponding to the upper limit estimated by the astrometric fit (see next section). The estimated value of $Im(k_2)$ remained compatible with our nominal solution within 1σ . In the analysis performed using radiometric observables, dissipation within Titan has been neglected. To check the robustness of the obtained solution to this effect, we introduced the $Im(k_{2,T_i})$ of Titan in the list of parameters to solve for, retrieving a solution which is statistically equivalent. In this case, we found the value of $Im(k_{2,T_i})$ of Titan (causing tidal dissipation within Titan) to be $Im(k_{2,T_i}) = -1.248 \times$ $10^{-2} \pm 4.479 \times 10^{-2}$, compatible with zero within 1-sigma.

Estimating the secular variation of Saturn's J_2 Since a secular variation of Saturn's J_2 would provide a secular effect on the moons' semi-major axis, we tried assessing its possible magnitude using astrometry data. For that matter, we considered Pan's orbit. Indeed, Pan orbits extremely close to Saturn and is not involved in any orbital resonance. Moreover, its small size allows for an almost perfect determination of its center of mass from the Imaging Science Subsystem (ISS)/Cassini images. Lastly, its small mass does not allow for a significant dynamical coupling with the Saturn's rings. Hence, Pan is a perfect candidate for probing a secular effect on J_2 . The dynamical modeling we considered here is similar to the one presented in the main text, except that Daphnis was added as a perturber, and the orbits of the coorbitals as well as Methone and Pallene were discarded. All the moons (except Pan itself) were forced from ephemerides. We solved for the initial state vector of Pan, the polar orientation and precession of Saturn, and Saturn's gravity coefficients J_2 , J_4 , J_6 , and \dot{J}_2 .

To check the potential influence of the choice of moons' ephemerides on the estimation of Saturn's J_2 from Pan's orbit, we considered three independent fits. The first one introduced sat382 and sat360xl for the ephemerides of the inner moons and the main moons respectively. The second one introduced sat382 for the inner moons and NOE-6-2018-MAIN ephemerides. These last ephemerides correspond to the solution in the present paper for assuming 33GW of tidal dissipation wihtin Enceladus. Our third test considered NOE-6-2018-inner-eph4 and NOE-6-2018-MAIN ephemerides for the inner and main moons, respectively. We obtained for these three independent fits the solutions $\dot{J}_2 = (2.3 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-13}$, $(2.0 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-13}$, (-1.0 ± 1.0) $(4.2) \times 10^{-13}$ day⁻¹. Hence, no signal could be detected associated with Saturn's \dot{J}_2 , within a 1σ uncertainty of 4.4×10^{-13} day⁻¹.

Testing the influence of the inner moons' chaotic motion We tested the influence of chaos affecting the inner moons' system on our results by developing five different sets of ephemerides. The first three sets were obtained after fitting our prior model of the inner moons⁴ to *Cassini* data, and then extrapolating their orbit over more than a century to cover the full time span considered here. The first set introduced a constant step size in the integration, the second one added extra-precision in the compiling script and the last one introduced a variable step size. The fourth set of ephemerides considered extra precision with variable step size and added *Hubble Space Telescope*³² data in the fit. The last set was obtained similarly but adding *Voyager* data. While the five sets provide similar ephemeride quality during the *Cassini* era, they start diverging significantly before 2000. Still we found that none of the five ephemerides tested changed our results, as the differences were significantly below the error bar of the measurements. This was somewhat expected since the influence of inner moons is rather small, even on Mimas. Hence, their influence falls way below the accuracy of the measurements (*Cassini* data excluded). Still, it was found that their global influence was important to fit properly the

Mimas-Tethys long term libration with *Cassini* data. Moreover, a proper fit of Methone requires consideration of the influence of Pandora on Mimas' orbit³³.

Measuring da/dt rates and their uncertainty Since our N-body code introduces Saturn's tidal potential using the k_2/Q parametrization, it is not systematically straightforward to get the associated variation on semi-major axis. In particular, while Eq. 1 can be used for moons outside of resonance like Rhea and Titan (Hyperion's mass is negligible enough to be disregarded), it cannot be used for Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys and Dione.

To determine the secular semi-major axis variations of these four moons, we subtracted their motion reproduced by our best solution with a similar simulation differing only by the neglect of tidal dissipation inside Saturn and Enceladus. The orbital difference between both solutions shows up as a quadratic expansion on orbital longitude, as expected by tidal theory. Then one can easily convert such quadratic longitudinal expansion into a secular rate of semimajor expansion axis using Kepler's law. The uncertainties on such variations can be obtained in the same way, this time modifying our best solution by adding/subtracting the $3-\sigma$ uncertainties on Saturn's k_2/Q values. Using this method we estimated the da/dt rates to be (in cm/year) 1.58 ± 1.61 , 2.08 ± 1.10 , 2.36 ± 1.23 , 2.91 ± 1.57 , 9.01 ± 2.17 , 25.3 ± 20.9 for Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys, Dione, Rhea and Titan, respectively. The corresponding inverse migration timescales t_{tide}^{-1} are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Data Availability

The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability

All Astrometric data derived from ISS-images can be reproduced using our CAVIAR software available under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License here: www.imcce.fr/recherche/equipes/pegase/caviar

The MONTE space navigation code was obtained through a license agreement between NASA and the Italian Space Agency; the terms do not permit redistribution. MONTE licenses may be requested at https://montepy.jpl.nasa.gov/.

The availability of NOE software is limited due to NASA restrictions.

Extra References

31. Bar-Sever, Y. E. et al. Atmospheric media calibration for the deep space network. *Proceedings of the IEEE95*, 21802192 (2007).

32. French, R. G. et al. Astrometry of Saturns Satellites from the Hubble Space Telescope WFPC2. *The Publ. of the Astron. Soc. of the Pac.* 118, 246259 (2006).

33. Jacobson, R. A. The Small Saturnian Satellites Chaos and Conundrum. *InAAS/Division of Dynamical Astronomy Meeting #45, AAS/Division of Dynamical Astronomy Meeting*, 304.05 (2014).

34. Lainey, V. Quantification of tidal parameters from solar system data. *Celest. Mech. and Dyn. Ast.* 126, 145156 (2016).

Figure 1 Saturnian tidal quality factor. Effective tidal quality factor Q of Saturn for the tidal bulge raised by each of its moons measured in this work. Purple points are measurements from astrometric solutions, with 3σ error bars, which extend to encompass results from each of the considered energy dissipation rates in Enceladus. Titan's red point is measured using *Cassini* radio tracking data. Blue shaded regions are the predicted tidal quality factors from a resonance locking model with a Saturn evolution time of $t_p = 6$ Gyr. The horizontal dashed line is the minimum value of Q that allows for coeval formation of Mimas and Saturn, assuming Q is constant²¹. Darker background shading corresponds to a more dissipative interior of Saturn (smaller Q).

Figure 2 Tidal migration timescales. Outward migration timescales for each of Saturn's moons. Purple points are measurements from astrometric solutions as described in Methods, with 3σ error bars, while Titan's red point is measured using *Cassini* radio tracking data. Blue points show the same resonance locking model as Figure , where the predicted migration time scale from resonance locking is $t_{\rm tide}\approx 9$ Gyr for each moon, regardless of mean-motion resonances. The tidal migration timescale with a constant Q (blue dashed line) corresponds to $Q = 1.8 \times 10^4$ as in Figure . Darker background shading corresponds to faster migration (shorter migration timescale). The migration timescale of each moon is within a factor of \approx 2 of 10 Gyr.

Figure 3 Moon orbital evolution. A possible evolutionary history of the orbital distance of Saturn's moons as a function of time, for both a resonance locking model with inertial waves (solid colored lines) and a constant $Q = 5000$ model (black dashed lines). The resonance locking models are shaded by the effective tidal quality factor, $Q_{\rm ef}$, at a given moment in time.

