

# Cervical Canal Morphology: Effects of Neck Flexion in Normal Condition - New Elements for Biomechanical Simulations and Surgical Management

Patrice Sudres, Morgane Evin, Pierre-Jean Arnoux, Virginie Callot

## ▶ To cite this version:

Patrice Sudres, Morgane Evin, Pierre-Jean Arnoux, Virginie Callot. Cervical Canal Morphology: Effects of Neck Flexion in Normal Condition - New Elements for Biomechanical Simulations and Surgical Management. Spine, 2020, 45 (16), pp1102-1109. 10.1097/BRS.00000000003496 . hal-02942037

## HAL Id: hal-02942037 https://hal.science/hal-02942037v1

Submitted on 9 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Cervical canal morphology : effects of neck flexion in normal condition

## - New elements for biomechanical simulations and surgical

## management -

## Patrice Sudres (1,4), Morgane Evin (1,4), Pierre-Jean Arnoux (1,4), Virginie Callot (2,3,4)

1. Laboratoire de Biomécanique Appliquée, UMRT24 AMU/IFSTTAR, Marseille, France;

2. Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, CRMBM, Marseille, France;

3. APHM, Hôpital Universitaire Timone, CEMEREM, Marseille, France;

4. iLab-Spine – Laboratoire International en Imagerie et Biomécanique du Rachis, Marseille, France &

Montréal, Canada.

Corresponding author : Morgane EVIN

Address :

Laboratoire de Biomécanique Appliquée

IFSTTAR/Université Aix-Marseille

UMR T24

Faculté de Médecine Nord

Boulevard Pierre DRAMART

13016 MARSEILLE CEDEX 20

<u>Tel</u> : (00 33) 4 91 65 80 06

Fax: (00 33) 4 91 65 80 19

E-Mail : morgane.evin@ifsttar.fr

#### The Manuscript submitted does not contain information about medical device(s)/drug(s).

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by CARNOT STAR Institute, CNRS (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique) and by Aix-Marseille University. This work was performed within a laboratory member of France Life Imaging network (grant ANR-11-INBS-0006). The authors would like to thank Lauriane Pini, Véronique Derderian, and Claire Costes for MR study logistics, as well as Amira Trabelsi and Yvan Petit for helpfull discussions.

#### Abstract (Max 300 words)

**Study Design.** Continuous measurements and computation of absolute metrics of cervical subarachnoid space (CSS) and spinal cord (SC) geometries proposed are based on *in vivo* magnetic resonance imaging and 3D reconstruction.

**Objective.** The aim of the study is to offer a new methodology to continuously characterize and to quantify the detailed morphology of the CSS and the cervical SC in 3D for healthy subjects in both neutral supine and flexion.

**Summary of Background Data.** To the best of our knowledge, no study provide a morphological quantification by absolute indices based on the 3D reconstruction of SC and CSS thanks to *in vivo* magnetic resonance imaging. Moreover, no study provide a continuous description of the geometries. **Methods.** Absolute indices of SC (cross-sectional area, compression ratio, position in the canal, length) and of CSS (cross-sectional area, occupational ratio, lengths) were computed by measures from 3D semi-automatic reconstructions of high resolution *in vivo* magnetic resonance images (3D T2-SPACE sequence) on healthy subjects (N=11) for two postures : supine neutral and flexion neck positions. The variability induced by the semi-automatic reconstruction and by the landmarks positioning were investigated by preliminary sensitivity analyses. Inter and intra-variability were also quantified on a randomly chosen part of our population (N=5).

**Results.** The length and cross-sectional area of SC are significantly different (p<0.05) in flexion compared to neutral neck position. Spinal cord stays centered in the canal for both postures. However, the cross-sectional area of CSS is submitted to low variation after C3 vertebra for both postures. OR and CR after C3 are significantly lower in flexion.

Conclusions. This study presented interpretations of morphological measures :

1) left-right stability (described by the Left-Right eccentricity index) ensured by the denticulate ligaments and the nerve roots attached to the dural sheaths, 2) a Poisson effect of the SC was partially notified through its axial (AP diameter, OR, CR) and its longitudinal geometrical descriptions (LSC). Such

morphological data can be useful for geometrical finite element modeling and could now be used to compare with injured or symptomatic subjects.

Key points. (3-5 full sentences of the main pts of the article)

- The mechanical roles of the structures inside the vertebral canal in flexion : left-right stability (described by the LR eccentricity index) ensured by the denticulate ligaments and the nerve roots attached to the dural sheaths.
- A Poisson effect of the SC was partially highlighted through its axial (AP diameter, OR, CR) and its longitudinal geometrical descriptions (LSC).
- Such morphological data can be useful for geometrical finite element modeling of the canal in terms of boundaries, initial conditions as well as for an optimization of the mechanical properties by geometrical calibration of the model.

**Précis.** (Submit a short description of the manuscript to appear in the Table of Contents, 3 sentences Max **50 words**. )

The aim of the study is to offer a new methodology quantifying continuously the detailed morphologies of the cervical subarachnoid space and the spinal cord for healthy subjects in both neutral supine and flexion. *In vivo* magnetic resonance imaging and 3D semi-automatic reconstruction provide through computation of absolute metrics for both geometries.

#### 1. Introduction

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has become a gold standard method to understand different physiological mechanisms of the spine. In the context of degenerative process, Kinematic MRI (kMRI), or postural MRI, which refers to imaging of the spine at different neck positions, is largely used to diagnosis positiondependent alterations that could not be detected in normal posture. It is mostly applied qualitatively to visualize cervical canal narrowing or spinal impingement by degenerated disk in flexion for instance, however little is known concerning the dynamical changes occurring at the level of the healthy cervical subarachnoid space(CSS) and spinal cord (SC) tissues.

Considering the limited spatial resolution that could be reached on conventional MR system (1.5 or 3T), the subarachnoid space is often simplified as a dural tube or as a space in the sagittal plane between the spinal cord and the anterior and posterior parts of the canal. Using this assumption, Kato et al. provided a large data base of MRI morphological measurements and showed the influence of the age and the gender on the occupation rate of the spinal cord in the dural tube on a large population<sup>5</sup>. Dahlan et al.<sup>6</sup> established normal values of cervical canal diameters for young military subjects. Others studies focused on the SC location in the cervical canal based on two-dimensional (2D) visualization analysis for heathy subjects<sup>7</sup> and for symptomatic subjects<sup>8</sup>. CSS and SC characterization at specific spine levels (mid-vertebrae, discs) can also be found, with different parameters such as compression ratio, cross-sectional area and spinal cord displacement that have been used in clinical research context<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>. In patients with Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy (CSM), area of the SC, as well as antero-posterior and left-right diameters were described<sup>13,14</sup> so as to evaluate the cord compression in the canal. When characterizing changes in posture, some articles considered a quantification of the strain of cord while others considered displacement measurements. Indeed, longitudinal strain of human cervical cord can reach a magnitude of 5% and 20% for, respectively, flexion and extension<sup>9,10,11,12</sup>, respectively. 

In this study, based on 3D MR acquisitions in healthy subjects in both neutral supine and flexion, we propose a new methodology to characterize and to quantify the morphology of the CSS and the cervical SC in 3D for healthy subjects in both neutral supine and flexion. This approach aims at providing accurate and detailed morphological data (cross-sectional area, eccentricity indices, and displacements of the cord and canal) in order to have a deeper understanding of the impact of the flexion in the cervical canal.

#### **MRI** acquisition

Eleven european healthy subjects (5 females and 6 males, mean age : 30 +/- 6y, BMI : 23.86 +/- 1.78 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were scanned using a 3T MR system (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, France) equipped with head, neck and spine coils. Subjects were first examined with the neck in neutral and flexion positions, using a dedicated homemade device (device flexion up to 40°). Images were acquired using a sagittal 3D  $T_2$ -SPACE sequence (Sampling Perfection with Application optimized Contrasts using different flip angle Evolution) with the following parameters: voxel size (1x1x1 mm<sup>3</sup>), field-of-view (256x256 mm<sup>2</sup>), echo time (TE : 124 ms), repetition time (TR : 1500 ms,), total acquisition time (6 min). The MR protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee and all subjects signed the informed consents. The cohort was chosen excluding history, presence of cervical pathologies or deformations.

Segmentation and anatomical markers 

The SC was first segmented in 3D by a semi-automatic approach using the Spinal Cord Toolbox (SCT,

3.1.1)<sup>15</sup> and providing SC centerline. The outer canal border, assumed as the dura mater, was then

- segmented by a semi-automatic approach from ITK-SNAP software<sup>16</sup>. The 3D CSS was defined as the space
- between the 3D canal segmentation and the 3D SC segmentation [Fig. 1-a,b].
- Eleven landmarks [Fig. 1-b] were selected, by one operator on the middle slice of the sagittal view, using 3D

Slicer 4.8.1<sup>17</sup> for the delimitation of the segment of interest:

1) "Reference landmarks": at the top of the dens of C2 (axis) and at the posterior extremity of C7 inferior endplate;

2) "Cervical vertebral landmarks", at the posterior extremities of each endplate (superior and inferior, C2 to C6).

- Data preparation, indices and lengths computation
  - The post-processing steps performed using in-house Matlab codes (R2018a version,
- Matlab®MathWorks,1984) are summarized in [Fig. 1] and were performed for both neutral and flexion

positions.

- Both 3D segmentations (dural tube and SC raw surface meshes) were smoothed using ( $\lambda : 1, \sigma : 0$ )<sup>18</sup>.

Morphological parameters were computed from anatomical markers and centerline coordinates in less than 10 s per subject (Dell Latitude 7480, Intel® Core™ i7-7600U CPU – 2.80GHz/2.90GHz, RAM : 8Go). The boundaries of the SC and the canal were interpolated as the intersection<sup>19</sup> of the surface meshes and meshed planes orthogonal to the centerline [Fig. 2-c] using 3D splines. Then, the CSA of the SC, canal and

CSS (*CSA<sub>SC</sub>*, *CSA<sub>canal</sub>*, *CSA<sub>CSS</sub>*, respectively) were computed (see [1]).

The cord transverse and antero-posterior diameters ( $ØT_{SC}$ ,  $ØAP_{SC}$  respectively), as well as distance between the SC and the canal in anterior (A), posterior (P), right (R) and left (L) directions were computed from the most eccentrical points in AP (4) and LR (5) directions. Compression (CR - 2) and occupation (OR -3) ratios, as well as eccentricity indices were then derived from these metrics<sup>7</sup> (see [2] to [5]).

$$CSA_{CSS} = CSA_{canal} - CSA_{SC} \tag{1}$$

$$CR = \frac{\emptyset A P_{SC}}{\emptyset T_{SC}}$$
(2)

$$OR = \frac{CSA_{SC}}{CSA_{canal}} \tag{3}$$

$$AP_{eccenticity} = \left(\frac{P-A}{P+A}\right) * 100 \tag{4}$$

$$LR_{eccenticity} = \left(\frac{L-R}{L+R}\right) * 100$$
(5)

An  $AP_{eccenticity} > 0$  (<0) indicates that the SC is anteriorly (posteriorly) positioned.

Similarly, a  $LR_{eccenticity} > 0$  (<0) indicates that the SC is located on the right (left) side of the canal.

Three specific lengths were also computed in both positions<sup>20, 21</sup>: the length of the cervical spinal cord (LSC), length of the cervical spinal anterior column (LAC) and length of the cervical spinal posterior column (LPC). They were respectively delimited by the caudal side of the pons to C7 inferior endplate, the C2 dens process to C7 inferior endplate, and the top of C1 posterior arch to C7 inferior endplate. Three 3D splines passing through the points positioned manually by an operator to define each curvature were computed to get more accurate lengths.

#### Inter-operator variability, intra-operator variability and statistical analyses process

The comparison between CSA<sub>canal</sub> measurements derived from ITK SNAP and manual segmentation

was provided in 5 subjects randomly selected [Tab. 1] to assess the accuracy of the semi-automatic segmentation. Additional information on the reliability of the SC segmentation and  $CSA_{SC}$  can be found in literature<sup>15</sup>. Inter- (by 2 raters) and intra-operator (at 2 different time points -operator 1) variabilities of 3D reconstruction and landmarks positioning were also assessed reporting the Euclidian distance, mean relative error of the CSA (CSS, SC) and of the eccentricity indices (AP, LR) [Tab. 2].

Three metric values were calculated at each vertebral level : spatial averaged value (over the whole vertebra) (AVD VERT), spatial value for a cross-sectional plane mid-vertebra (MID-VERT) and spatial value for cross-sectional plane located at the middle of the intervertebral disc (MID-IVD) (vertebral levels defined as the "cervical vertebral landmarks" projected onto the centerline). For both postures (neutral and flexion), all values are reported as averaged value with standard deviation by vertebra and disc on all subjects. Mean vertebral level positions across all subjects were computed from : the position of each vertebra according to the "Reference landmarks" and "Cervical vertebral points" positions projected to the centerline. Statistical comparisons between neutral and flexion measurements were performed using RStudio (© 2009-2018 RStudio, Inc. – Version 1.1.463). Due to the small number of samples, paired samples Wilcoxon tests (nonparametric test) were used. Significant differences were defined for p-values < 0.05 (noted \* in the summary results table [Tab. 4]).

#### 3. Results

### Segmentation and inter-operator reliability

The maximum error value between manual and automatic canal CSA measurements was found for the C2 dens reaching 25.06% while for the others cervical levels, the canal CSA relative errors reminded lower than 18%. The standard deviation was steady around 15% [Tab. 5 - Supplemental Digital Content].

The maximal distance, when considering inter-operator differences, was found of 2.29 mm (corresponding to an error of two 1 mm-isotropic voxels) in neutral position and 4.29 mm (ie. 4 voxels) in neutral position and 3.62 mm (ie. 4 voxels) in flexion.

Consequences of inter/intra-operator differences in landmarks positioning were additionally assessed on four metrics (CSA<sub>SC</sub>, CSA<sub>CSS</sub>, AP<sub>eccenticity</sub> and LR<sub>eccenticity</sub>). The first tenth of the cervical segment (C1-**106** C2 functional unit) presented high variability (gray zone [Tab. 1]), whereas mean differences were less than **107** 5% of the measured values otherwise, except for the  $CSA_{CSS}$  (inter-operator mean relative error of 7.5%).

#### Cervical subarachnoid space (CSS) and spinal cord (SC) in the canal

Cross-sectional areas and occupational ratio

The mean  $CSA_{CSS}$  evolution along the canal after normalization in abscissa is depicted [Fig. 2]. The abscissa represents the averaged distances of each "*cervical vertebral landmarks*" from the top "reference landmarks" for all the subjects normalized using :

$$Y = \frac{X - min(X)}{max(X) - min(X)},$$
(6)

with **Y** : the normalized vector of the abscissa.

**X** : the vector of mean values of the distances on the centerline from the top projected "*reference landmark*" to the projected "*cervical vertebral landmark*".

CSA rapidly decreased from C2 dens to C2 inferior endplate (from 481.6 mm<sup>2</sup> to 177.5 mm<sup>2</sup> in neutral position ; 379.8 mm<sup>2</sup> to 157.9 mm<sup>2</sup> in flexion), with minimal values around C3, followed by a low increasing and oscillating phase to reach 157 mm<sup>2</sup> in neutral and 164 mm<sup>2</sup> in flexion. CSA differences between both postures were found significant (p<0.05) for C1-C2, C5, C6, C7 described in (cf. [Tab. 4]).

The mean of  $CSA_{SC}$  for both postures is given on [Fig. 5]. In flexion, it was systematically lower than in neutral position and differences were found significant (p<0.05) for all local locations (see [Tab. 4]).

The mean OR increased from C2 dens to C2 inferior endplate for the both neutral and flexion postures (from 0.18 to 0.32 and 0.19 to 0.32, respectively). After the superior C3 endplate (0.33 – neutral ; 0.35 – flexion), the flexion OR decreases faster than in neutral position with an oscillating behavior until the C7

inferior vertebrate endplate (0.31 – neutral ; 0.22 - flexion). Large standard deviations could be noticed (± 8-

130 30% - neutral ; ± 13-45% - flexion) [Fig. 2]. OR differences between both postures were found significant

131 (p<0.05) for C1-C2, C5, C6, C7 described in (cf. [Tab. 4 - Supplemental Digital Content]).

132 Eccentricity indices and compression ratio

The mean AP and LR eccentricity indices, as well as the CR index along the normalized length of the canal are depicted [Fig. 3]. The first tenth of the presented curves (0 to 0.1 in abscissa) will not be considered in

further analysis because of the difficulty of the post-processing algorithm (section 2.3) to be efficient with the small complex geometry of the subarachnoid space (cisterna magna/pontis/interpeduncularis, medulla oblongata) between the foramen magnum and the C2 dens.

Between the C2 dens and the inferior C2 endplate, the APeccenticity index decreased steadily with the same behavior for both neutral and flexion postures (from 52% to -22% and 58% to -24%, respectively). After the C3 superior endplate, mean AP<sub>eccenticity</sub> index in neutral was systematically lower than in flexion position. [Fig. 3-Top]. Differences between both postures were found significant (p<0.05) for C1-C2, C5, C6, C7 described in (cf. [Tab. 4]).

The LR<sub>eccenticity</sub> index constantly oscillated around 0% and 8% respectively in neutral and flexion neck postures respectively [Fig.3-Middle]. No significant difference in  $LR_{eccenticity}$  index between the postures was found (0.08 < p <0.97) except for the vertebral level C1-C2 (cf. [Tab. 04]). 

The CR index decreased steadily between C2 dens and to C7 inferior endplate for both posture. The CR for the flexion case was systematically lower than in neutral position, except along the C3 vertebra [Fig. 3-Bottom]. CR differences between neutral and flexion neck postures were significant (p<0.05) locally for the vertebral levels C4, C5, C6 and for the mid-levels of intervertebral discs values of C4/C5 to C6/C7 [Tab. 04].

Anterior/Posterior columns and of the spinal cord 

The mean length values were measured equal to  $118 \pm 5$  mm and  $120.3 \pm 7.6$  mm for LAC,  $107 \pm 6$  and 120.4±6.4 mm for LPC, 142±7 mm and 159.4 ± 11.5 mm for LSC in neutral and flexion neck postures,

respectively, with significant differences between both the postures in each case (p<0.05) [Fig. 4, Tab. 4].

4. Discussion 

> This study proposes a new approach to collect detailed anatomical measurements of the cervical canal. Using MRI segmented 3D geometrical reconstructions, it was possible to investigate the morphological changes between neutral supine and neck-flexion positions. Different geometrical metrics (CSA, eccentricity indices, ratios) related to the SC and the CSS could be derived. The most noticeable results are summarized in [Tab. 3].

Cord and CSS kinematical description

Firstly, the low oscillating behavior of  $CSA_{CSS}$  and OR indices along the spinal segment in [Fig. 2,3] describes the geometry of dura mater at each spine level : nerve root funnel-shaped lateral extensions of

sheaths leave the dura mater as spinal ganglions toward the peripheral nervous system<sup>22</sup>, hence including pseudo-periodic fluctuations in the CSS outer border.

Secondly, the kinematic of the cord is not only induced by the flexion itself but also by the surrounding structures. The spinal cord being fixed to the superior anatomical structures (pons, *medulla*) on one hand and to the *filum terminale* on the other hand, it is subject to tensile forces applied in both cranio-caudal and antero-posterior directions when flexed. Previous studies reported up to 2-mm displacements of the *medulla oblongata* and the pons in flexion<sup>23</sup>. Here a "stretching" phenomenon (+13% for LSC in flexion with respect to neutral position, after C2 endplate) occurs while the SC is pull through the anterior side of the spine column, as reflected by the  $AP_{eccenticity}$  and  $LR_{eccenticity}$  [Fig 3-a,b]. The nerve roots attached in the dural sheaths could be limiting the longitudinal motion and allow an elongation that follows the spinal motion. The SC lateral position stays globally centered (slight shift on the left : 2-3% from the center of the canal), in line with the literature<sup>7</sup>, and similar in neutral and in flexion. Indeed, both denticulate ligaments<sup>24, 5 the SC dorsal and ventral side by pairs and exiting the CSS by the dural sheath, insure coronal stabilization of the SC.</sup>

178Thirdly, the high reduction of  $CSA_{CSS}$  from C2 dens to C3 vertebra in flexion position compared to the179neutral one appears to be induced by the high mobility of the upper cervical segment<sup>26</sup>. After the C3180vertebra, the  $CSA_{SC}$  was globally steady while the  $CSA_{CSS}$  decreased. In addition, the action of the yellow181and posterior longitudinal ligaments inside the vertebral should be noticed<sup>27</sup> while explaining the CSS182modification<sup>28</sup>.

#### Toward cord and spine biomechanical description

The geometrical evolution described by the CR index was intrinsically linked to the mechanical properties of the spinal cord. Indeed, the decrease of the CR from C4 to C6 observed in flexion depicts a significant strain of the SC from 3% to 7%. Such results underline the "stretching" phenomenon previously mentioned. This is further confirmed by the 13% increase of the LSC in flexion, in line with literature<sup>10,29, 21,9</sup>. The "stretching" phenomenon results from the the Poisson effect described as the amount of lateral contraction against the amount of axial elongation experienced by a material under the action of a force. The three OR, CP, and LSC metrics could overcome the current lack of mechanical characterization and could be used for biomechanical modeling validation. Such Poisson effect can induce some local stresses or

damages in the structure near the zone where the cord is attached, namely nerve roots and denticulate ligaments<sup>9</sup>.

The LAC and LPC values (respectively +2% and +12% in flexion) are also in line with literature<sup>20</sup> (respectively 5% and 8%). The LSC metric in comparison to LAC and LPC ones shows that the SC follows the motion of the canal in freeing more space posteriorly in flexion (-22% <  $AP_{eccenticity}$  < 47%) than in neutral position (-22% <  $AP_{eccenticity}$  < 7%) after C2 vertebra. Validation and further use of spine models, especially with finite element modeling, require advanced knowledge of spinal cord interaction with surrounding structures. Hence the data related to the location of the cord and its space distribution obtained in this study could provide a strong added value for model design. In addition, spinal cord positioning and length variations are also providing additional indirect information (based on Poisson ratio effects) to support further modeling choices. Altogether, our study provide the necessary measurements [Tab. 4] in a healthy adult population in physiologically conditions for such validation.

#### Clinical aspects

In this study, we presented ten morphological parameters were used to describe the postural modifications occurring in the canal. These parameters were chosen in relation to their use in a clinical research context. Indeed, transverse area criteria corresponding to our  $CSA_{SC}$ ,  $CSA_{CSS}$ ,  $CSA_{Canal}$ ,  $\[0.5ex]{0}$   $\[0.5ex]{0}$ ,  $\[0.5ex]{0}$  and CR, are used for CSM description<sup>13,14</sup>. CSM, disc herniation, osteophytes (bony outgrowth) can also cause reduction of the canal and an occupation ratio (sagittal diameters ratio of spinal cord over canal, or ratio of spinal cord over canal area corresponding to our OR index) could be useful to quantify the degree of compression<sup>30,31</sup>. Moreover, additional parameters related to the location of the spinal cord in the canal ( $AP_{eccenticity}$  and  $LR_{eccenticity}$  indices) and the characteristic lengths (LSC, LAC, LPC) complete this physiological database of healthy subjects that could now be used to compare with injured or symptomatic subjects. This methodology and database open the perspective of more accurate clinical indices. **Limitations** 

The first limitation of our methodology is that the MR acquisitions were performed in supine position, which is not a daily physiological posture. A previous study showed no significant difference of the spinal cord length between erect and supine position<sup>29</sup>. However, with cervical lordosis changes, a slight difference between erect and supine position is expected for SC position in the CSS and eccentricity indices.

Nonetheless, as the cerebrospinal fluid mechanical properties are similar to water properties<sup>32, 33</sup>

(incompressibility), the fluid limits the effect of gravity in supine position.

The second limitation is the ambiguous difference between displacements and elongation of the SC in the CSS, which will be confirmed in the future by refine MR acquisition of head neck at 7T in order to enable a more precise localization of the nerve roots along the CSS, in complement to description already provided at 3T<sup>34</sup>.

The last limitation of our methodological work is the small amount of subjects currently included and the lack of symptomatic subjects. Future investigations and multicentric studies could complete this work to turn it into normative database adapted to a clinical routine.

5. Conclusion 

> A new methodology allowing a detailed description of the vertebral canal morphology thanks to high resolution 3T-kMRI was developed. It allowed characterizing the CSS dynamic behavior through 10 geometrical metrics. Reference values in healthy population are provided in [Tab. 4].

The mechanical roles of the different structures inside the vertebral canal in flexion were also confirmed through geometric measurements changes : 1) left-right stability (described by the LR eccentricity index) ensured by the denticulate ligaments and the nerve roots attached to the dural sheaths, 2) a Poisson effect of the SC was partially notified through its axial (AP diameter, OR, CR) and its longitudinal geometrical description (LSC). Such morphological data can be useful for geometrical finite element modeling of the canal in terms of boundaries or initial conditions or for an optimization of the mechanical properties by geometrical sensitivity analysis. Confronting this methodology and these results in a further study with a pathological cohort (CSM, post-traumatic population) is crucial to refine these clinical criteria in the medico-surgical management.

#### References

- Kato F, Yukawa Y, Suda K, et al. Normal morphology, age-related changes and abnormal findings of the cervical spine. Part II: Magnetic resonance imaging of over 1,200 asymptomatic subjects. *Eur Spine J.* 2012;21(8):1499-1507.
- Dahlan RH, Saputra PAE, Yudoyono FF, et al. Morphometric Analysis of the Corpus, Spinal Canal and Torg Ratio Using Midsagittal Cervical Vertebrae Computed Tomography Scan: Indonesian Population. *International Journal of Integrated Health Sciences*. 2014;2(1):36-39.
- Fradet L, Arnoux P-J, Ranjeva J-P, et al. Morphometrics of the entire human spinal cord and spinal canal measured from in vivo high-resolution anatomical magnetic resonance imaging. *Spine*. 2014;39(4):E262-269.
- 4. Kar M, Bhaumik D, Ishore K, et al. MRI Study on Spinal Canal Morphometry: An Indian Study. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2017;11(5):AC08-AC11.
- Wolf K, Hupp M, Friedl S, et al. In cervical spondylotic myelopathy spinal cord motion is focally increased at the level of stenosis: a controlled cross-sectional study. *Spinal Cord*. 2018;56(8):769-776.
- 6. Kong L, Bai J, Zhang B, et al. Predictive factors of symptomatic lumbar canal stenosis in patients after surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management.
- Tykocki T, du Plessis J, Wynne-Jones G. Correlation between the severity of myelopathy and cervical morphometric parameters on dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. *Acta Neurochir*. 2018;160(6):1251-1258.
- Tykocki T, du Plessis J, Wynne-Jones G. Analysis of Morphometric Parameters in Cervical Canal Stenosis on Neutral and Dynamic Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *World Neurosurgery*. 2018;114:e317-e322.
- Hu P, He Z, Cui J, et al. Pathological changes of cervical spinal canal in cervical spondylotic myelopathy: A retrospective study on 39 cases. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*. 2019;181:133-137.

- 10. Smith J, Lafage V, Ryan D, et al. Association of Myelopathy Scores With Cervical Sagittal Balance and Normalized Spinal Cord Volume: Analysis of 56 Preoperative Cases From the AOSpine North America Myelopathy Study. *Spine*. 2013;38(22S).
- 11. Stoner KE, Abode-Iyamah KO, Magnotta VA, et al. Measurement of in vivo spinal cord displacement and strain fields of healthy and myelopathic cervical spinal cord. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine*. 2019;31(1):53-59.
- 12. Yuan Q, Dougherty L, Margulies SS. In vivo human cervical spinal cord deformation and displacement in flexion. *SPINE*. 1998;23(15):1677-1683.
- Bilston LE. The biomechanics of the spinal cord during traumatic spinal cord injury. 1994. <u>https://core.ac.uk/display/76367966</u>.
- 14. Bilston LE, Thibault LE. Biomechanics of cervical spinal cord injury in flexion and extension: A physical model to estimate spinal cord deformations. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*. 1997;2(2):207-218.
- 15. De Leener B, Lévy S, Dupont SM, et al. SCT: Spinal Cord Toolbox, an open-source software for processing spinal cord MRI data. *NeuroImage*. 2017;145:24-43.
- Yushkevich PA, Piven J, Hazlett HC, et al. User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: Significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *NeuroImage*. 2006;31(3):1116-1128.
- 17. Kikinis R, Pieper SD, Vosburgh KG. 3D Slicer: A Platform for Subject-Specific Image Analysis, Visualization, and Clinical Support. In: Jolesz FA, ed. *Intraoperative Imaging and Image-Guided Therapy*. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2014:277-289.
- Desbrun M, Meyer M, Schröder P, Barr AH. Implicit Fairing of Irregular Meshes Using Diffusion and Curvature Flow. In: *Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*. SIGGRAPH '99. New York, NY, USA: ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co.; 1999:317–324.

- 19. Tropp O, Tal A, Shimshoni I. A fast triangle to triangle intersection test for collision detection. Journal of Visualization and Computer Animation. 2006;17:527-535.
- Jha SC, Miyazaki M, Tsumura H. Kinetic change of spinal cord compression on flexion-extension magnetic resonance imaging in cervical spine. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*. 2018;174:86-91.
- 21. Endo K, Suzuki H, Nishimura H, et al. Kinematic Analysis of the Cervical Cord and Cervical Canal by Dynamic Neck Motion. *Asian Spine J*. 2014;8(6):747-752.
- 22. Frykholm R. Deformities of Dural Pouches and Strictures of Dural Sheaths in the Cervical Region Producing Nerve-Root Compression: A Contribution to the Etiology and Operative Treatment of Brachial Neuralgia. *Journal of Neurosurgery*. 1947;4(5):403-413.
- 23. Ji S, Margulies SS. In vivo pons motion within the skull. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2007;40(1):9299.
- 24. Ceylan D, Tatarlı N, Abdullaev T, et al. The denticulate ligament: anatomical properties, functional and clinical significance. *Acta Neurochir*. 2012;154(7):1229-1234.
- 25. Polak-Kraśna K, Robak-Nawrocka S, Szotek S, et al. The denticulate ligament Tensile characterisation and finite element micro-scale model of the structure stabilising spinal cord. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*. 2019;91:10-17.
- 26. Nightingale RW, Winkelstein BA, Knaub KE, et al. Comparative strengths and structural properties of the upper and lower cervical spine in flexion and extension. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2002;35(6):725-732.
- 27. Johnson RM, Crelin ES, White AA, et al. Some new observations on the functional anatomy of the lower cervical spine. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1975;(111):192-200.
- 28. Holmes A, Han ZH, Dang GT, et al. Changes in Cervical Canal Spinal Volume During In Vitro Flexion-Extension. *Spine*. 1996;21(11):1313.

- 29. Kuwazawa Y, Pope MH, Bashir W, et al. The Length of the Cervical Cord: Effects of Postural Changes in Healthy Volunteers Using Positional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Spine*. 2006;31(17):E579.
- 30. Sun K, Wang S, Sun J, et al. Analysis of the Correlation Between Cerebrospinal Fluid Space and Outcomes of Anterior Controllable Antedisplacement and Fusion for Cervical Myelopathy Due to Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament. *World Neurosurgery*. 2019;122:e358-e366.
- 31. Pratali RR, Smith JS, Ancheschi BC, et al. A Technique for Dynamic Cervical Magnetic Resonance Imaging Applied to Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy: A Reliability Study. *Spine*. 2019;44(1):E26.
- 32. Bloomfield IG, Johnston IH, Bilston LE. Effects of Proteins, Blood Cells and Glucose on the Viscosity of Cerebrospinal Fluid. *PNE*. 1998;28(5):246-251.
- 33. Schiffer E, van Gessel E, Gamulin Z. Influence of sex on cerebrospinal fluid density in adults. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 1999;83(6):943-944.
- 34. Cadotte DW, Cadotte A, Cohen-Adad J, et al. Characterizing the location of spinal and vertebral levels in the human cervical spinal cord. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2015;36(4):803-810.

#### Abbreviations.

- AP : antero-posterior
- AVD VERT : spatial averaged value (over the whole vertebra)
- CP : compression ratio
- CSA : cross-sectional area
- CSM : cervical spondylotic myelopathy
- CSS : cervical subarachnoïd space
- LAC : length of anterior column
- LPC : length of posterior column
- LR : left-right
- LSC : length of spinal cord
- MID-IVD : spatial value for cross-sectional plane located at the middle of the intervertebral disc
- MID-VERT : spatial value for a cross-sectional plane mid-vertebra
- MRI : magnetic resonance imaging
- kMRI : kinematic magnetic resonance imaging
- OR : occupational ratio
- SC : spinal cord

Intra-operator differences	CSA SC [mm2]	CSA CSS [mm2]	AP Eccentricity [%]	LR Eccentricity [%]
Neutral	1.36±0.85;	16.42±7.32;	3.13±2.02;	3.85±4.66 ;
	0/2.69	0.01/29.98	0/6.56	0.01/18.18
	2.36±0.97;	2.26±2.17;	2.11±2.15;	2.15±2.03;
	0.5/4.57	0.02/11.27	0.01/10.08	0.02/11.58
Flexion	3.49±1.79;	32.28±22.38;	6.62±3 ;	3.58±2.42 ;
	0/6.92	0.06/72.69	0.05/11.79	0.12/8.98
	1.1±1.26;	4.81±4.56;	3.54±3.11 ;	2.93±2.78;
	0.01/11.35	0.03/24.68	0.03/15.13	0.01/14.53

Table 1

	Inter-operator		Intra-operator	
	Neutral	Flexion	Neutral	Flexion
C1 odontoid (ref pt)	1.72 ; 0.52/4.26	4.29 ; 1.47/6.19	2.12 ; 0.56/4.02	3.62 ; 2.36/6.22
C2 inf	2.03 ; 0.31/3.51	6.4 ; 1.27/29.75	1.44 ; 0.36/3.2	2.64 ; 1.13/6.09
C3 sup	2.29 ; 1.13/3.82	2.37 ; 0.37/3.37	1.53 ; 0.87/3.83	2.51 ; 0.86/5.67
C3 inf	2.05 ; 0.98/4.07	2.61 ; 1.33/4.87	2.02 ; 1/3.61	2.49 ; 1.01/5.58
C4 sup	1.62 ; 0.27/3.01	2.77 ; 1.77/3.4	1.29 ; 0.42/2.37	2.65 ; 1.36/5.07
C4 inf	2.03 ; 0.36/3.73	2.61 ; 1.3/3.51	1.63 ; 0.32/2.53	2.6 ; 1.12/5.08
C5 sup	1.96 ; 0.74/3.52	3.69 ; 1.32/12.06	1.43 ; 0.72/2.77	2.43 ; 1.2/5.01
C5 inf	2.12 ; 0.89/4.25	2.99 ; 1.26/5.35	1.29 ; 0.08/2.57	2.85 ; 1.53/6.31
C6 sup	1.69 ; 0.9/2.73	3.84 ; 1.54/14.01	1.65 ; 1.03/2.4	2.44 ; 0.73/5.02
C6 inf	1.61 ; 0.4/3.43	2.84 ; 1.27/5.13	1.31 ; 0.29/2.5	2.49 ; 0.17/6.75
C7 sup	1.32 ; 0.38/2.39	3.76 ; 1.3/14.49	1.42 ; 0.5/2.62	2.19 ; 0.67/5.05
C7 inf (ref pt)	1.32 ; 0.11/2.72	2.51 ; 1.01/4.81	1.47 ; 0.71/2.28	2.43 ; 1.43/5.44

Table 2

Morphological parameters	Main position-dependent evolution		
	Significant decrease from C1 to C3 superior		
	endplate. From C3 to C7, low variation and		
CSS CSA	oscillating phase around 157 mm <sup>2</sup> in neutral and		
	162 mm <sup>2</sup> in flexion positions.		
SC C5 A	Significantly lower in flexion than in neutral supine		
SC CSA	position.		
	After the superior C3 endplate (0.33 – neutral ; 0.35		
OR	- flexion), the flexion OR decreases faster than in		
	neutral position.		
	The CR index decreased steadily between C2 dens		
CR	and to C7 inferior endplate for both posture. The		
	CR for the flexion case is systematically lower.		
	Same location for the both postures before C3		
AP eccentricity index	vertebra. After C3, in flexion the SC is more		
	anteriorly positioned with respect to the center of		
	the canal.		
LB eccentricity index	Spinal cord centered (shift of 3% from the center of		
	the canal) in the canal for the both postures.		
	Significantly different in neck flexion than in neutral		
Characteristics lengths	supine position (+2 mm, 13mm and 18mm for the		
	anterior column (LAC), posterior column (LPC) and		
	spinal cord, respectively).		

Table 3

1	Legends
2	Table 1 : inter-and intra- mean relative errors for spinal cord (SC) and Cervical Subarachnoid Space (CSS) CSA and Antero-
3	posterior (AP) and Left – Right (LR) eccentricity indices. The averaged value, standard deviation, minimum and maximum
4	averaged value on the 5 subjects randomly selected are described as : [Mean $\pm$ STD ; Min/Max]. The grey zones represent the
5	first tenth of the measures along the cervical cord and the white zones represent the rest.
6	
7	Table 2 : Distance errors (L2-norm in mm) per vertebra landmarks between operator 1 and the operator 2 (inter-operator
8	variability), as well as between 2-measurements sessions by operator 1(intra-operator variability). For each cell, the results
9	are presented as follow : [Mean ; Min/Max].
10	
11	Table 3 : summary table of the main results for each index.
12	<b>Supplemental Digital Content Table 4</b> : statistical summary [Mean $\pm$ std (min/max)] of each measured parameter (SC and CSS
13	CSA, OR, AP/LR eccentricity and CR) for both position (neutral in white, flexion in gray). For each one, three sub-values are
14	given, corresponding to a spatial vertebral average of measures (AVD VERT, with C1 and C2 vertebrae merged : the C2 dens
15	passing through the ring of C1 vertebra), value picked up at the middle of the vertebra in the middle sagittal plan (MID VERT)
16	and value picked up at the middle of the intervertebral disc in the middle sagittal plan (MID IVD), considering the disc below
17	the mentioned vertebral level). The LAC, LPC and LSC parameters correspond to the lengths of the anterior canal, posterior
18	canal and the spinal cord, along the whole cervical segment, respectively. *indicates p<0.05 (Paired samples Wilcoxon test).
10	
20	Supplemental Digital Content Table 5 - table of CSA relative error [%] between manual and automatic CSA measurements for
20	5 subjects randomly selected. Mean and standard deviation were computed by overaging all subjects for seven levels global
21	the cervical spine
23	
24	<b>Figure 1</b> – <i>a)</i> Sagittal view with superimposed 3D reconstruction of the SC (gold color) and the CSS (blue color) in flexion (left),
25	and neutral (right) neck positions. The red dotted lines indicates the delimitation of the cervical segment (C1-C7) considered
26	in this study. <b>b)</b> Main postprocessing steps, 3D reconstruction and 3D landmarks (left), orthogonal planes to the centerline all
27	along the cervical segment (middle), boundaries points (zoom x5) extracted from the intersection between 3D reconstruction
28	and the plane, with distance representations of eccentricity indices (A, P, L, R, ØAP, ØT) (right). <b>c)</b> As in Jha et al. <sup>20</sup> , main steps
29	to compute the length of the cervical spinal cord (LSC) attached to the caudal side of the pons in red, length of the cervical
30	spinal anterior column (LAC) in yellow and length of the cervical spinal posterior column (LPC) in green (top : manual inputs,
31	bottom : 3D-spline interpolation.
JT	טטנוטוו . אין

32

Figure 2 – (Top) Mean Cross-Sectional Area (CSA, mm<sup>2</sup>) along the normalized cervical spinal normalized (see section 3.2 – formula 6 for normalization process) segment of interest length, (bottom) Mean Occupation Ratio (% defined as SC CSA over total canal CSA (SC CSA + CSS CSA)). For each subplot figure, the mean value of the neutral (blue continuous curve) and flexion (red continuous curve) cases are indicated with their standard deviations (dot curve). Mean normalized positions of the vertebral endplates (computed as the mean position of each vertebral endplates between all the subjects) are indicated with color boxes and as vertical lines (small dots in flexion and bigger dots in neutral).

39

Figure 3 – AP (top) and LR (middle) eccentricity indices along the normalized cervical cord, together with the Compression
Ratio index (bottom). For each subplot figure, the mean value of the neutral (blue continuous curve) and flexion (red
continuous curve) cases are indicated with their standard deviations (dotted curves). Mean normalized positions of the
vertebral endplates are indicated as well, standard deviations are not indicated on the figure for a sake of clarity.

44

Figure 4 – Boxplots of characteristics lengths in the cervical segment, a) Length of the Anterior Column (LAC), b) Length of the
Posterior Column (LPC) c) Length of the Spinal Cord (LSC). On each box, the central mark indicates the median (red line), and
the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles (horizontal blue lines), respectively. The whiskers
extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers, and the outliers are plotted individually using the red '+'
symbol (\*p<0.05).</li>

50

51 Supplemental Digital Content Figure 5 – Spinal cord cross-sectional area (SC CSA) mean along the cervical. The mean value 52 of the neutral (blue continuous curve) and flexion (red continuous curve) cases are indicated with their standard deviations 53 (dotted curves). Mean normalized positions of the vertebral endplates are indicated as well standard deviations are not 54 indicated on the figure for a sake of clarity. Figure Legends







Figure Legends

