

### UAV & satellite synergies for Ecology: a review

Emilien Alvarez-Vanhard, Thomas Houet, Thomas Corpetti

### ▶ To cite this version:

Emilien Alvarez-Vanhard, Thomas Houet, Thomas Corpetti. UAV & satellite synergies for Ecology: a review. GEOBON 2020, Jul 2020, leipzig, Germany. hal-02941762

### HAL Id: hal-02941762 https://hal.science/hal-02941762v1

Submitted on 17 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# • A great potential of synergy

### **MASSIVE PRODUCTION OF RS DATA**

• Significant increase of satellites.

Democratization of UAVs.

Fully exploiting these data sources requires the development of synergy approaches.

### SATELLITE AND UAV COMPLEMENTARITIES

	RESOLUTIONS			
	Tempo.	Spatio.	Spectro.	Swath
UAV				
Nano-satellite				
Civilian satellite				
Environmental satellite				
Global weather satellite				
	High Middle U ow			

### CHARACTERISTICS

	UAV	Satellite		
Flexibility	High	Low		
Meteo.	Wind / rain	Coud		
Pre-process	Important	Analysis ready		
Operator	Yes	Νο		
Data volume	High	Cloud		
VHSR cost	Low	High		
Pay load	Switchable	Fixed		
Legislation	Restrictive	None		

### Our contributions :

- A categorization of the main synergy approaches.
- Focus on ecological application.
- Application outlooks in biodiversity monitoring.

# Identifying synergies


### **SELECTION OF PAPERS**

- Query « UAV AND Satellite » on academic databases.
- Filter optical data + terrestrial surface.
- ➡ 139 peer-reviewed papers

### SYNERGY APPROACHES CATEGORIZATION

Four approaches have been identified via the following criteria :

### **CATEGORISATION'S CRITERIA**



**COMPARISON** : Benefits and disadvantages of each data.

- **EXPLANATION / MULTISCALE ANALYSIS** : Exploit complementary information of each data.
- **SATELLITE-BASED MODEL CALIBRATION** : UAV data are used to calibrate algorithms on satellite data (ground truth, label, ...). Two sub-categories are identified : qualitative and quantitative calibration.
- **DATA FUSION** : Creation of new data to improve resolutions.

# UAV & satellite synergies for Ecology : a review.

**ABSTRACT**: Complementarities between unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) and satellite remote sensing (RS) reveal a great potential of synergy. This is seen as essential to fill the lack of observational data and knowledge on ecosystems. Of the three main synergies approaches identified in the scientific literature, only one is exploited in Ecology. The contribution of each of these major approaches is shown with a study of biodiversity monitoring in a wet grassland.

### **ALVAREZ-VANHARD EMILIEN.** Phd student at LETG-Rennes, UMR 6554 CNRS, Rennes, FRANCE. mail : emilien.alvarez-vanhard@univ-rennes2.fr


## **ABOUT US**

HOUET THOMAS. Director of research at LETG-Rennes, UMR 6554 CNRS, Rennes, FRANCE. mail : houet.thomas@univ-rennes2.fr

# Outlooks for EBVs

This synergy can contribute to the Essential Biodiversity Variables initiative [3]. We give here examples of each approachs applied to biodiversity monitoring in a hotspot : the wet grassland.

### **MULTISCALE ANALYSIS**



 Temporal features on large area from satellite are related to phenological traits or other temporal dynamics of the ecosystem.

• Spatial features from UAV are related to plant communities patterns and landscape structure.

These features are potential proxies of community composition such as taxonomic and functional diversity.


• RS habitat mapping is often limited by satellite coarse resolution.

• Satellite mixed pixels can be unmixed thanks to the UAV's VHSR [4].

ture.

### **DATA FUSION**

- Finely characterize **hydroperiods** on a small study site such as a wet grassland requires spatially explicit data with high temporal and spatial resolution.
  - Spatio-temporal fusion of UAV and satellite data allow to generate an artificial data cube with combined resolutions allowing a fine characterization of this biodiversity driver.









**CORPETTI THOMAS.** Director of research at LETG-Rennes, UMR 6554 CNRS, Rennes, FRANCE. mail : corpetti.thomas@univ-rennes2.fr

E

### **MODEL CALIBRATION**

5


• Use of UAV data improves the estimation of the most heterogeneous plant communties and helps to better understand ecosystem struc-





# • Focus on Ecology

MAIN AREA OF APPLICATION



• Qualitative models : UAV information is used to label satellite pixels. These models can then be used to map land cover and land use for a better understanding of landscape structure and habitat distribution [1].

• Quantitative models : UAV provides raw reflectance, biophysical parameters (e.g. Fraction Vegetation Cover) or land cover rates. Inferrence models with satellite data allow extrapolation of the measure on larger area [2].

### **UAV VS IN-SITU DATA**

• UAV is mainly used with satellite and in-situ data using nested inference models.

• UAV can replace field observations in some cases (33% studies calibrate models without in-situ data).

• UAV is cheaper and quicker.

# Conclusion

### **COMPLEMENTARITY...**

• UAV fills the gap between satellite and in-situ data.

- A lowcost solution more accessible than hyperspectral or LiDAR.
- The synergy makes sense for biodiversity monitoring of hot spot.

### ... & INTEROPERABILITY

• Differences in spatial and spectral resolutions lead to reflectance **differences** related to the optical properties of surfaces. 

• Development of facilities for multisource data processing, such as Earth observation data cubes.

### REFERENCES

[1] Z. Szantoi, S.E. Smith, G. Strona, L.P. Koh, S.A. Wich, Int. J. Remote Sens. 38 (2017) 2231-2245.

[2] D. Solazzo, J.B. Sankey, T.Ts. Sankey, S.M. Munson, Geomorphology 319 (2018) 174-185.

[3] P. Vihervaara, A.-P. Auvinen, L. Mononen, M. Törmä, P. Ahlroth, S. Anttila, K. Böttcher, M. Forsius, J. Heino, J. Heliölä, M. Koskelainen, M. Kuussaari, K. Meissner, O. Ojala, S. Tuominen, M. Viitasalo, R. Virkkala, Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 10 (2017) 43–59.

[4] E. Alvarez-Vanhard, T. Houet, C. Mony, L. Lecoq, T. Corpetti, Remote Sens. Environ. 243 (2020) 111780.



### **DISTRIBUTION OF PAPERS IN APPLICATION**

• But limited to a single approach  $\rightarrow$  model calibration.

