



HAL
open science

Fiber reinforced polymers use limitations and remedies -Quick review

A Bechikh, Y Maalej, I Tawfiq

► **To cite this version:**

A Bechikh, Y Maalej, I Tawfiq. Fiber reinforced polymers use limitations and remedies -Quick review. 2020. hal-02941164

HAL Id: hal-02941164

<https://hal.science/hal-02941164>

Submitted on 16 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fiber reinforced polymers use limitations and remedies - Quick review

A. Bechikh ^{a,b,*}, Y. Maalej ^b, I. Tawfiq ^{a*}

^aQUARTZ EA 7393 laboratory, 3 Fernand Hainaut street, 93407 Saint-Ouen, France

^bMAI laboratory, Farhat Hached el Manar university campus, 1002 Tunis, Tunisia

September 16, 2020

Abstract

Fiber reinforced polymers (FRPs) are increasingly being used and implemented in many industrial fields such as transportation, automotive, aerospace aviation and civil engineering due to their low cost, light weight and high mechanical properties. Yet the use of such complex materials could sometimes be limited by their surface poor adhesion properties and low resistance to severe environmental conditions such as moisture and temperature. The aim of this research is to highlight organic composite use barriers and so far founded solutions.

Keywords: Fiber reinforced polymers, Adhesion properties, Surface treatment, Structural bonding, Durability, Aging, Fire, Temperature, Moisture.

1 Introduction

Composite is the assembly of two or more immiscible materials. In general, there is a discontinuous phase distributed in a continuous phase. Discontinuous phase plays the role of inclusions. This phase usually has mechanical properties superior to the continuous phase that plays the role of the matrix. The matrix maintains inclusions' geometrical disposition and enables applied stress distribution. Composite materials are very heterogeneous and strongly anisotropic. Their properties depend on components properties, inclusions geometrical distribution and inclusion/matrix interface nature.

The most communally used composites are polymers reinforced with synthetic fibers such as glasse, aramid and carbon fibers [1]. Bio-composites, mainly polymers reinforced with natural fibers like hemp and flax fibers are gradually being included in the industry. Fiber reinforced polymers (FRPs) are characterized by a brittle and a linearly elastic behavior up to failure and a high tensile strength in fiber direction [2, 3]. Composites could be custom tailored in order to increase

*Corresponding author. amal.bechikh.enit@gmail.com

their strength by modifying the fiber content or their geometrical distribution [4, 5, 6].

Due to their lightweight, good corrosion resistance, non-conductivity and failure elongated strain, FRPs have been used as alternative reinforcements for steel in civil engineering structural application [7, 8]. Glass fiber reinforced polymers (GFRPs) are one of the more cost effective FRP products commercially available with significant cost advantage over stainless steel. The combination of GFRP and steel bars as column reinforcements was also proven to be effective [8]. Yet the use of composite materials in this field could sometimes be limited by their low compressive stress and low resistance to fire and temperature [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

Joining processes are unavoidable in manufacturing fiber reinforced polymers especially those with complex geometries. Structural bonding is the most used and profitable composite assembling technology. Nevertheless, composites' poor adhesion properties at their raw state limited the use of this technique in hybrid structures. Surface treatment before bonding is essential in order to enhance composites' surface quality. Another problematic issue for composites' industrial implementation is their low resistance to severe environmental conditions such as moisture and high temperature [2, 14, 15]. Aging is a complicated problem for composite materials that usually leads to their mechanical properties degradation thus reducing their service life by inducing premature and catastrophic failure modes.

Fiber reinforced polymers use limitations and their so far founded solutions are discussed in this paper.

2 Moisture effect on fiber reinforced polymers (FRPs)

Moisture is one of the most damaging factors to organic composites. It affects all of its components starting from the matrix and the fibers to the fiber-matrix interface. Matrix is the primary victim of water absorption due to the hydrophilic nature of many widely used resins [15]. A research carried out by Chilali et al. [16] revealed that thermostat composites absorb more water than thermoplastic composites. Resin water absorption usually results in its plasticization, swelling, hydrolysis, and fiber debonding. Plasticization and hydrolysis are irreversible phenomena that can change both the stiffness and the strength of the polymer. Many studies [2, 14] have reported the decrease of FRPs maximum load, fracture toughness and Young's modulus due to water absorption. Synthetic fibers, such as glass and carbon fibers, are hardly affected by humidity. Hence, aging resistance of polymers reinforced with synthetic fibers depends mainly on the resin permeability and cracking resistance. Composites' weakness to moisture is located at the matrix-fiber interface. Due to fiber water non-absorption and some resin hydrophilic nature, a differential swelling could occur causing residual stress. Fiber reinforced polymers aging resistance could be enhanced by increas-

ing fiber-matrix adhesion strength using fiber surface chemical treatments such as silane [17, 18], epoxy [19] and poly methacrylic acid [20]. Synthetic fiber based composites have higher water aging resistance compared to bio-composites [21]. This is mainly due to the hydrophilic nature of natural fibers. Natural fibers based composites hybridization with GFRP, CFRP or BFRP was proven to be a good solution for this problem [22, 23, 24, 25]. Carbon fibers have a higher resistance to moisture than aramid and glass fibers [2]. Water absorption usually increases with the increase of temperature and the presence of external stress. Interlayer delamination is the most critical deterioration mode for organic composites. The rupture can occur in the matrix, between plies, and at the fiber-matrix interface level.

3 Fiber reinforced polymers behavior under high temperature and fire

Synthetic fibers can maintain their strength at relatively high temperature. Yet, most polymers are vulnerable at much lower temperature due to their low glass transition temperature T_g . Hajiloo et al. [11] studied GFRP reinforced bars mechanical behavior under severe conditions. All bars lost their strength and stiffness at elevated temperature. Another study [15] revealed that high temperature could enhance GFRPs' strength when it is under the material's T_g . Exposed to heat, GFRP components can ignite and sustain flaming combustion during a short time period [10]. The flames spread depends on several factors essentially the resin type and flammability and the fiber content. The decomposition of the composite polymeric matrix releases fine soot particles and non-combustible fiber micro-fragments that may induce dense smoke. Hawileh et al [12] carried out a numerical study in order to investigate the behavior of continuous concrete decks doubly reinforced with top and bottom GFRP bars subjected to top surface fire. The study revealed that the top concrete cover thickness is the most important parameter that influences the fire resistance of GFRP reinforced concrete slabs when exposed to top fire loading.

4 Composite surface treatment before bonding

At their raw state, composite materials have poor surface adhesion properties [26]. Hence surface treatment before bonding is necessary in order to improve the surface quality. Mechanical surface treatment such as sanding [27, 28], sandblasting [28], peel ply [29, 30, 28] and milling [31] improve mechanical anchoring between joined materials by roughening the surface, creating more areas for bonding and enhancing the surface free energy. Chemical surface treatment such as plasma and corona discharge [32] reinforce high energy chemical interactions between the composite and the adhesive. Peel ply is a no-complicated low cost surface treatment. The effect of this treatment depends on the peel ply fabric nature, its grammage and fiber size. Polyamide tear-off fabrics were

proven to provide more adhesion strength to composite bonded structures than polyester tear-off fabrics [29, 30]. Peel ply generates homogeneous surface with regular and controlled roughness. Materials with regular surface topography were reported to have high adhesion properties [33]. Peel ply fiber remainings could cause surface defects and weaken the bonded substrates adhesion [28]. Hence, cleaning the surface after treatment is highly recommended. Abrasion treatments such as sanding and sandblasting were also proven to highly improve the adhesion properties of composite materials. The effect of these treatments depends on the abrasive grains grit size [27, 34]. Sanding and sandblasting were reported to cause fiber exposure at the treated surface level and in some cases fiber damage and sand residues. Milling could also be used as a surface treatment for composite materials [31]. Similar to sandblasting, the effect of this treatment depends on machining parameters.

References

- [1] B. CASTANIÉ, C. BOUVET, and D. GUEDRA-DEGEORGES. Structures en matériaux composites stratifiés. *Mécanique — Fonctions et composants mécaniques, Techniques de l'ingénieur*, 2013.
- [2] P. Böer, L. Holliday, and T.H.K. Kang. Independent environmental effects on durability of fiber-reinforced polymer wraps in civil applications: A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 48:360 – 370, 2013.
- [3] J.W. Schmidt, A. Bennitz, B. Täljsten, P. Goltermann, and H. Pedersen. Mechanical anchorage of frp tendons – a literature review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 32:110 – 121, 2012. Strengthening and Retrofitting of concrete structures with Fiber Reinforced polymer material.
- [4] L. Pil, F. Bensadoun, J. Pariset, and I. Verpoest. Why are designers fascinated by flax and hemp fibre composites? *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 83:193 – 205, 2016. Special Issue on Biocomposites.
- [5] L. Yan, N. Chouw, and K. Jayaraman. Flax fibre and its composites – a review. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 56:296 – 317, 2014.
- [6] J. Xu, X. Gao, C. Zhang, and S. Yin. Flax fiber-reinforced composite lattice cores: A low-cost and recyclable approach. *Materials & Design*, 133:444 – 454, 2017.
- [7] S. AbdulAdheem Jabbar and S.B.H. Farid. Replacement of steel rebars by gfrp rebars in the concrete structures. *Karbala International Journal of Modern Science*, 4(2):216 – 227, 2018.
- [8] S. Sheikh and ZKharal. Replacement of steel with gfrp for sustainable reinforced concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 160:767 – 774, 2018.

- [9] A. Tabatabaei, A. Eslami, H.M. Mohamed, and B. Benmokrane. Strength of compression lap-spliced gfrp bars in concrete columns with different splice lengths. *Construction and Building Materials*, 182:657–669, 2018.
- [10] R. J. Correia, Y. Bai, and T. Keller. A review of the fire behaviour of pultruded gfrp structural profiles for civil engineering applications. *Composite Structures*, 127:267 – 287, 2015.
- [11] H. Hajiloo, M.F. Green, and J. Gales. Mechanical properties of gfrp reinforcing bars at high temperatures. *Construction and Building Materials*, 162:142 – 154, 2018.
- [12] R.A. Hawileh and H.A. Rasheed. Thermal analysis of gfrp-reinforced continuous concrete decks subjected to top fire. *International Journal of Advanced Structural Engineering*, 9(4):315–323, 2017.
- [13] X. Zhang and Z. Deng. Experimental study and theoretical analysis on axial compressive behavior of concrete columns reinforced with gfrp bars and pva fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*, 172:519 – 532, 2018.
- [14] R.A. Hunter-Alarcón, A. Vizán, J. Pérez, J. Leyrer, P. Hidalgo, B. Pavez, and L.F.M. da Silva. Effect of the natural aging process on the shear strength of frp composite single lap joints. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 86:4 – 12, 2018.
- [15] M.I. Kabir, B. Samali, and R. Shrestha. Pull-out strengths of gfrp-concrete bond exposed to applied environmental conditions. *International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials*, (11):69–84, 2017.
- [16] A. Chilali, W. Zouari, M. Assarar, H. Kebir, and A. Rezak. Effect of water ageing on the load-unload cyclic behaviour of flax fibre-reinforced thermoplastic and thermosetting composites. *Composite Structures*, 2017.
- [17] R. Rahman and S. Zhafer F. Syed Putra. 5 - tensile properties of natural and synthetic fiber-reinforced polymer composites. In Mohammad Jawaid, Mohamed Thariq, and Naheed Saba, editors, *Mechanical and Physical Testing of Biocomposites, Fibre-Reinforced Composites and Hybrid Composites*, Woodhead Publishing Series in Composites Science and Engineering, pages 81 – 102. Woodhead Publishing, 2019.
- [18] Y. Xie, C.A.S. Hill, Z. Xiao, H. Militz, and C. Mai. Silane coupling agents used for natural fiber/polymer composites: A review. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 41(7):806 – 819, 2010.
- [19] H. Kyutoku, N. Maeda, H. Sakamoto, H. Nishimura, and K. Yamada. Effect of surface treatment of cellulose fiber (cf) on durability of pla/cf biocomposites. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 203:95 – 102, 2019.

- [20] D. Semitekolos, P. Kainourgios, C. Jones, A. Rana, E.P. Koumoulos, and C.A. Charitidis. Advanced carbon fibre composites via poly methacrylic acid surface treatment; surface analysis and mechanical properties investigation. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 155:237 – 243, 2018.
- [21] L. Wroblewski, D. Hristozov, and P. Sadeghian. Durability of bond between concrete beams and frp composites made of flax and glass fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*, 126:800 – 811, 2016.
- [22] J. Flynn, A. Amiri, and C. Ulven. Hybridized carbon and flax fiber composites for tailored performance. *Materials & Design*, 102:21 – 29, 2016.
- [23] F.A. Almansour, H.N. Dhakal, and Z.Y. Zhang. Investigation into mode ii interlaminar fracture toughness characteristics of flax/basalt reinforced vinyl ester hybrid composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 154:117 – 127, 2018.
- [24] E.H. Saidane, D. Scida, M. Assarar, and R. Ayad. Damage mechanisms assessment of hybrid flax-glass fibre composites using acoustic emission. *Composite Structures*, 174:1 – 11, 2017.
- [25] I. Živković, C. Fragassa, A. Pavlović, and T. Brugo. Influence of moisture absorption on the impact properties of flax, basalt and hybrid flax/basalt fiber reinforced green composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 111:148 – 164, 2017.
- [26] A. Baldan. Adhesion phenomena in bonded joints. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 38:95 – 116, 2012.
- [27] G. Yang, T. Yang, W. Yuan, and Y. Du. The influence of surface treatment on the tensile properties of carbon fiber-reinforced epoxy composites-bonded joints. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 160:446 – 456, 2019.
- [28] L. Sorrentino, W. Polini, C. Bellini, and G. Parodo. Surface treatment of cfrp: influence on single lap joint performances. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 85:225 – 233, 2018.
- [29] Q. Bénard, M. Fois, and M. Grisel. Peel ply surface treatment for composite assemblies: Chemistry and morphology effects. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 36(11):1562 – 1568, 2005.
- [30] Q. Bénard, M. Fois, and M. Grisel. Influence of fibre reinforcement and peel ply surface treatment towards adhesion of composite surfaces. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 25(5):404 – 409, 2005.
- [31] S. Ghalme, A. Mankar, and Y.J. Bhalerao. Parameter optimization in milling of glass fiber reinforced plastic (gfrp) using doe-taguchi method. *SpringerPlus* 5, (1376), 2016.

- [32] B.R.K. Blackman, A.J. Kinloch, and J.F. Watts. The plasma treatment of thermoplastic fibre composites for adhesive bonding. *Composites*, 25(5):332 – 341, 1994. The Challenge of Composites.
- [33] B. Huang, L. Sun, L. Li, L. Zhang, Y. Lin, and J. Che. Experimental investigation of the strength of polymer-steel direct adhesion (psda) joints with micro-structures ablated by laser. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 249:407 – 414, 2017.
- [34] A. Bechikh, O. Klinkova, Y. Maalej, I. Tawfiq, and R. Nasri. Sandblasting parameter variation effect on galvanized steel surface chemical composition, roughness and free energy. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 102:102653, 2020.