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# ON THE REGULARITY OF THE GREEN CURRENT FOR SEMI-EXTREMAL ENDOMORPHISMS OF $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ 

Christophe Dupont and Axel Rogue<br>Université de Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625<br>F-35000 Rennes, France


#### Abstract

We study the regularity of the Green current for semi-extremal endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Under suitable assumptions, we show that the pointwise lower Radon-Nikodym derivative of stable slices with respect to the one dimensional Lebesgue measure is bounded at almost every point for the equilibrium measure. This provides a weak amount of metric regularity for the Green current along holomorphic discs.


1. Introduction. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $T$ be the Green current and let $\mu:=T \wedge T$ be the equilibrium measure of $f$, see [13, 26]. The measure $\mu$ is invariant and ergodic, we denote $\mathcal{J}$ its support. The critical set of $f$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{C})=0$. The Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{1}$ of $\mu$ will play a central role in this article. They are bounded below by $\frac{1}{2} \log d$, see [8].
1.1. Extremal and semi-extremal endomorphisms. We say that $f$ is extremal if $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$. Those endomorphisms are characterized by the four equivalent properties: $\mu \ll L e b_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}, \operatorname{dim}_{H} \mu=4, T$ is a positive smooth (1,1)-form on some open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and $f$ is a Lattès map, see $[6,4,10]$. We recall that a Lattès map on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ is the projection of an affine dilation on a complex $k$-torus by means of a finite galoisian covering $\sigma$, see [15] for examples. Similar characterizations were proved for rational maps on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, in which case $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} \log d$ and $T=\mu$, see [23, 24, 27].

We say that $f$ is semi-extremal if $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$. Examples are provided by endomorphisms $f$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ having an invariant pencil of lines on which $f$ induces a one dimensional Lattès map, see [18]. A natural question is to find characterizations of semi-extremal endomorphisms, as we have for extremal ones. We already know that they satisfy $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mu=2+\frac{\log d}{\lambda_{1}}$, see $[7,10,17]$, and that $f$ is semi-extremal once $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$, see [14]. Here $\omega$ stands for the Fubini-Study $(1,1)$-form on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, so that $T \wedge \omega$ is the trace measure of the Green current. Dujardin asked in [14, Section 3] the following questions.
Question 1.1. Does every semi-extremal endomorphism satisfy $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$ ? If $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$, does $f$ contain (in some sense) a one-dimensional Lattès map?

A strategy for the first question would to show that semi-extremal endomorphisms have an invariant pencil of lines. Indeed, in this case the radial Lyapunov exponent of $f$ is $\geq \log d$ (by [18, Theorem 1.7]), hence the Lyapunov exponent of the action of $f$ on the pencil of lines (which belongs to the spectrum of $\mu$ by [18,
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Theorem 1.5]) must be equal to $\frac{1}{2} \log d$ (semi-extremality is assumed). This implies that $f$ induces a Lattès map on the pencil of lines, which ensures that $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$ by [18, Corollary 1.3].

We can make a similar observation for the second question. If $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$ then $\frac{1}{2} \log d$ is one of the Lyapunov exponents of $\mu$ by [14]. Using the same arguments as before (relying on [18]), the Lyapunov exponent of the action of $f$ on the pencil of lines must be $\frac{1}{2} \log d$, ensuring that $f$ induces a Lattès map on this pencil.

In the general case (without assuming the existence of an invariant pencil of lines), the condition $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$, equivalent to $T \wedge T \ll T \wedge \omega$, formally says that $T \ll \omega$ with respect to $T$. A first step to exhibit such regular properties for $T$ consists in establishing regular properties for slices. Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 below provide results in that direction: they bound the pointwise lower Radon-Nikodym derivative of stable slices of $T$ at $\mu$-almost every point. In Section 1.4 we shall examine the guideline given by polynomial lifts of Lattès mappings on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ : such regular slices of course exist, moreover there exist regular slices which do not intersect the support of $\mu$ (see Remark 1.6).

### 1.2. Statements of the results.

Definition 1.2. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Assume that the Lyapunov exponents of the equilibrium measure of $f$ are different, hence $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$. Let $v_{s}(x) \in \mathbb{P}\left(T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ denote the stable Oseledec direction, which is defined for every $x$ in an invariant $\mu$-generic Borel subset $A$. Let $R$ be the set of points $x \in A$ such that there exists a holomorphic disc $\xi_{x}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $\xi_{x}(0)=x,\left[\xi_{x}^{\prime}(0)\right]=v_{s}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\xi_{x}^{*} T(\mathbb{D}(r))}{\operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{D}(r))}<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In that definition $[v] \in \mathbb{P}\left(T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ stands for the line of $T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ directed by $v$. Since $\mu(\mathcal{C})=0$, one can assume that $A$ does not intersect $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{n}(\mathcal{C})$. The relation $f^{*} T=d T$ then implies that $R$ is totally $f$-invariant, in particular, $\mu(R) \in\{0,1\}$ by ergodicity. We prove that $\mu(R)>0$ under two different sets of assumptions related to semi-extremality.
Theorem 1.3. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Assume that $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$ and $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$. Then $\mu(R)=1$.
Theorem 1.4. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Assume that $f$ is semi-extremal and that $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$. Assume also that $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$ and that $v_{s}$ is Hölder continuous on $A$. Then $\mu(R)=1$.

For the second result, we shall see in Section 2.2 that the Hölder continuity of $v_{s}$ on $A$ is satisfied when $f$ is partially hyperbolic on $\mathcal{J}$.
1.3. Outline of the proofs. To prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, a first step will consist in showing

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{n_{j}} e^{-2 M_{1}} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|^{2} \leq d^{n_{j}} e^{2 M_{1}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x$ in a subset of positive $\mu$-measure, where $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j}$ is an increasing sequence of integers depending on $x$ and $\vec{v}_{s}(x) \in T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a unitary vector in $v_{s}(x) \in \mathbb{P}\left(T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ defined in Definition 1.2. It is crucial that no exponential error term $e^{ \pm n \epsilon}$ occur in (1.2). Such error terms are actually inherent to (our context of) non uniform hyperbolicity, a difficulty is thus to get rid of them. A second step will be to integrate
(1.2), namely to construct a holomorphic disc on which $f^{n_{j}}$ is a multiplication by $d^{n_{j} / 2} e^{ \pm M_{1}}$. We will use for that purpose a normal form Theorem for the inverse branches of $f$. Theorem 3.1 (see Section 3) summarizes both steps, it provides workable sufficient conditions ensuring that a point belongs to $R$.

Let us specify how the estimates (1.2) will be obtained. The lower bound is actually true for every endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$, it does not need any semi-extremality assumption, see Theorem 2.7. The upper bound in (1.2) needs semi-extremality: for Theorem 1.3 we use arguments developed by Dujardin [14] to construct Fatou directions (see Section 4), and for Theorem 1.4 we use the Central Limit Theorem for the logarithm of the tangent map on the stable direction $v_{s}(x)$ (see Section 5).

Let us notice that the Central Limit Theorem was used in [27] to prove regularity properties for the equilibrium measure of rational maps on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and in [16] to provide a new proof of the fact that $\mu$ is absolutely continuous when $f$ is extremal on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$.
1.4. Study of the lifts of one dimensional Lattès mappings. The polynomial lifts of Lattès mappings on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ are the most simple examples of semi-extremal endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. In this section we verify for them $\mu(R)=1$ and $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$ by using normal forms for their Green function.

Proposition 1.5. Let $f[z: w: t]:=\left[P(z, w): Q(z, w): t^{d}\right]$, where $[P: Q]$ is a Lattès mapping of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $\mu(R)=1$.

Proof. The mapping $f$ belongs to the class of regular polynomial endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ studied by Bedford-Jonsson [2]. Let $L:=(P, Q)$ and let $L^{n}=\left(P^{n}, Q^{n}\right)$ denote the $n$-th iterate of $L$. The Green function of the homogeneous polynomial mapping $L$ is

$$
G(z, w):=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left\|\left(P^{n}(z, w), Q^{n}(z, w)\right)\right\|
$$

Let us denote by $\Omega:=\{G<0\}$ the basin of attraction of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, and by $\partial \Omega=\{G=0\}$ the boundary of $\Omega$. In the affine chart $\{t=1\}$, the Green current $T$ of $f$ is equal to $d d^{c} G^{+}$, where $G^{+}:=\max \{G, 0\}$. Since $[P: Q]$ is a Lattès mapping, the support of the equilibrium measure $\mu=T \wedge T$ of $f$ coincides with $\partial \Omega$.

The results [3, Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.2] due to Berteloot-Loeb provide normal forms for the function $G$ when $[P: Q]$ is a Lattès map of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $d$. Precisely, if $x$ does not belong to the union $S$ of a finite number of complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ passing through the origin (which has zero $\mu$-measure), then there exist a biholomorphism $p:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2},(0,0)\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, x\right)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
G \circ p(Z, W)=|Z|^{2}+\Re(W) \quad \text { and } \quad p^{-1} \circ L \circ p(Z, W)=\left(e^{i \delta} \sqrt{d} Z, d W\right)
$$

In particular, up to a holomorphic change of coordinates near $x, \partial \Omega$ is a piece of the 3 -euclidian sphere. Now observe that the function $G \circ p$ is strictly subharmonic on the $Z$-axis (the unique complex line in the tangent space at $(0,0)$ of the real hypersurface $\{G \circ p=0\}$ ), which is included in $\{G \circ p \geq 0\}$. Hence $G^{+}$is strictly subharmonic along the holomorphic disc

$$
\xi_{x}(u):=p(u, 0)
$$

The limit of $\frac{\xi_{x}^{*} T(\mathbb{D}(r))}{\operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{D}(r))}$ when $r$ tends to 0 then exists and is equal to $d d^{c}\left(G^{+} \circ \xi_{x}\right)(0) \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$. The fact that $\left[\xi_{x}^{\prime}(0)\right]=v_{s}(x)$ comes from [3, Lemma 4.2], which lifts the Lattès commuting diagram to line bundles, the local coordinate in the fibers being $W$. We thus have verified that $\mu$-almost every $x$ belongs to $R$, as desired.

Remark 1.6. In the preceding proof, for every $W_{0} \in(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $\Re\left(W_{0}\right)>0$, the function $G^{+}$is strictly subharmonic along the holomorphic disc $\xi\left(u, W_{0}\right):=$ $p\left(u, W_{0}\right)$. Such a disc is included in $\{G \circ p>0\}$, which does not intersect the support of $\mu$.

Proposition 1.7. Let $f[z: w: t]:=\left[P(z, w): Q(z, w): t^{d}\right]$, where $[P: Q]$ is a Lattès mapping of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$.

Proof. We take the notations of the proof of Proposition 1.5. It suffices to verify $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega$ at every point $x \in \partial \Omega \backslash S$, since $\mu(S)=0$. We work with the local coordinates provided by $p$. Let $G_{0}(Z, W):=|Z|^{2}+\Re(W), T_{0}:=d d^{c} G_{0}^{+}$and $\omega_{0}:=\frac{i}{2} d Z \wedge d \bar{Z}+\frac{i}{2} d W \wedge d \bar{W}$. For every test function $\varphi$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2},(0,0)\right)$, we have by using and adapting [22, Proposition 6.5.5]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2},(0,0)\right)} \varphi T_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}=\int_{\left\{G_{0}=0\right\}} \varphi d^{c} G_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}+\int_{\left\{G_{0} \geq 0\right\}} \varphi d d^{c} G_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}  \tag{1.3}\\
\int_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2},(0,0)\right)} \varphi T_{0} \wedge T_{0}=\int_{\left\{G_{0}=0\right\}} \varphi d^{c} G_{0} \wedge d d^{c} G_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the orientation on $\left\{G_{0}=0\right\}$ is induced by the one of $\left\{G_{0} \leq 0\right\}$. A straigthforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{c} G_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}=d^{c} G_{0} \wedge d d^{c} G_{0} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the spherical 3-manifold $\left\{G_{0}=0\right\}$. Finally, one obtains $T_{0} \wedge T_{0} \ll T_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}$ by using (1.5) and comparing (1.3) and (1.4).

Remark 1.8. The measure given by (1.5) is equal to $d \Im W \wedge \frac{i}{2} d Z \wedge d \bar{Z}$, which belongs to the Lebesgue measure class of the 3-manifold $\left\{G_{0}=0\right\}$.

## 2. Oseledec theorem, stable directions, normal forms.

2.1. Oseledec theorem. Let us state the Oseledec Theorem in our context.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{1}$ be the Lyapunov exponents of the equilibrium measure $\mu$ of $f$.

1. If $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda$, then there exists an invariant Borel subset $A$ of full $\mu$-measure and disjoint from $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{n}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for every $x \in A$ :

$$
\forall \vec{v} \in T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D_{x} f^{n}(\vec{v})\right\|=\lambda
$$

2. If $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$, then there exists an invariant Borel subset $A$ of full $\mu$-measure and disjoint from $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{n}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for every $x \in A$, there exists $v_{s}(x) \in$ $\mathbb{P}\left(T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall \vec{v} \in T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash v_{s}(x), \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D_{x} f^{n}(\vec{v})\right\|=\lambda_{1} \\
& \forall \vec{v} \in v_{s}(x) \backslash\{0\}, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D_{x} f^{n}(\vec{v})\right\|=\lambda_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $v_{s}$ is measurable and satisfies $\left[D_{x} f\right]\left(v_{s}(x)\right)=v_{s}(f(x))$ for every $x \in A$, where $\left[D_{x} f\right]$ is the projectivization of the tangent map $D_{x} f$.

Let us assume that $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$. For every $x \in A$, we denote

$$
\psi(x):=\log \left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|
$$

where $\vec{v}_{s}(x) \in\left\{\vec{v} \in v_{s}(x) \subset T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2},\|\vec{v}\|=1\right\}$. We have $\psi \in L^{1}(\mu)$, see $[26$, Section 3.7].

Lemma 2.2. For every $x \in A$ and every $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi\left(f^{i}(x)\right)=\frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|
$$

This implies $\int \psi d \mu=\lambda_{2}$.
Proof. The first formula follows from the definition of $\psi$ and the relation $\left[D_{x} f\right]$ $\left(v_{s}(x)\right)=v_{s}(f(x))$. We obtain the second formula by taking limits $\mu$-almost everywhere: the left hand side converges to $\int \psi d \mu$ by Birkhoff ergodic Theorem, and the right hand side converges to $\lambda_{2}$ by Theorem 2.1.
2.2. Hölder continuity of the stable direction. The following result, due to Brin, is [25, Proposition 3.9] adapted to our setting, see also [1, Section 5.3]. We use the notation dist for the distances on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(T \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Assume that $f$ is partially hyperbolic on $\mathcal{J}$ : that means that there exist $0<\lambda_{-}<\lambda_{+}$ and $c \geq 1$ such that for every $x \in \mathcal{J}$, there exist unitary vectors $\vec{w}_{s}(x), \vec{w}_{u}(x) \in T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ whose angle is uniformly bounded from below on $\mathcal{J}$ and which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 1,\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{w}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \leq c \lambda_{-}^{n},\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{w}_{u}(x)\right)\right\| \geq c^{-1} \lambda_{+}^{n} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the direction $w_{s}=\left[\vec{w}_{s}\right]: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(T \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is Hölder continuous. More precisely, if $b:=\max \left\{1,\|f\|_{C^{1}}\right\}$ then for every $a>b^{2}$ there exists $D_{a}>1$ such that:

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, y)<D_{a}^{-1} \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(w_{s}(x), w_{s}(y)\right) \leq 3 c^{2} \frac{\lambda_{+}}{\lambda_{-}}\left(D_{a} \operatorname{dist}(x, y)\right)^{\frac{\log \left(\lambda_{+} / \lambda_{-}\right)}{\log \left(a / \lambda_{-}\right)}}
$$

Remark 2.4. If $f$ is partially hyperbolic on $\mathcal{J}$ and if $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$, then one verifies that the stable Oseledec direction $v_{s}(x)$ provided by Theorem 2.1 coincides with $w_{s}(x)$ for every $x \in A$, hence $v_{s}$ is Hölder continuous on $A$ by Theorem 2.3. In particular, every partially hyperbolic endomorphism satisfying $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$, $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$ and $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$ fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 1.4.

Actually it is not easy to find examples of semi-extremal endomorphisms partially hyperbolic on $\mathcal{J}$. For instance the lift $f[z: w: t]=\left[z^{2}-2 w^{2}: z^{2}: t^{2}\right]$ of the Lattès mapping $L[z: w]=\left[z^{2}-2 w^{2}: z^{2}\right]$ is not partially hyperbolic on $\mathcal{J}$. To see this, observe that the Lyapunov exponents of $(f, \mu)$ are $\frac{1}{2} \log 2$ (coming from the Lattès mapping $L$ ) and $\log 2$ (coming from $t^{2}$ ). In particular, the modulus of the multiplier of every $n$-repulsive cycle of $L$ not intersecting the critical values of $\sigma$ is equal to $(\sqrt{2})^{n}$. On the other hand, that modulus is equal to 4 for the fixed point $[1: 1]$ (this is a critical value of $\sigma$, see [3, Section 3]). Hence $f$ has $n$-periodic points in $\mathcal{J}$ with multipliers $\left\{(\sqrt{2})^{n}, 2^{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{4^{n}, 2^{n}\right\}$ respectively. It follows that no $\lambda_{-}<\lambda_{+}$ satisfy (2.1) over $\mathcal{J}$.

Examples of partially hyperbolic endomorphisms should be found among uniformly expanding endomorphisms. Jonsson [20] obtained examples in the family of polynomial skew products. For instance, $f(z, w)=\left(z^{2}, w^{2}+z w+c z\right)$ is uniformly expanding on $\mathcal{J}$ if $c$ is large enough (see Theorems 4.8, 8.2 and Examples 9.2 of
this article). Corollary 8.3 of [20] moreover characterizes the Axiom A property in terms of the dynamics of the critical set: $f(z, w)=\left(z^{2}-6, w^{2}+3-z\right)$ is uniformly expanding on $\mathcal{J}$. We refer to [19] for results on a family of Axiom A endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (called s-hyperbolic): basic sets, attractors and invariant currents are investigated there in Sections 3,4 and 5 .

To deduce partial hyperbolicity from uniform expansivity is also not straightforward. This should involve the Lyapunov exponents of $\mu$ : the stable Oseledec direction $v_{s}(x)$ is a natural candidate for $w_{s}(x)$. Nonetheless, that direction is only defined $\mu$-a.e. on $\mathcal{J}$, and an other difficulty comes from the fact that the Lyapunov exponents only give an asymptotic growth rate. Hence, even if the Lyapunov exponents are distinct, the existence of $c \geq 1$ and $\lambda_{-}<\lambda_{+}$satisfying (2.1) for every $n \geq 1$ is not obvious.

Before stating the next Proposition, let us recall Remark 2.4: if $f$ is partially hyperbolic on $\mathcal{J}$ and if $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$, then the stable Oseledec direction $v_{s}$ provided by Theorem 2.1 is Hölder continuous on $A$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Assume that $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ and that $v_{s}$ is Hölder continuous on $A$.

1. Then $v_{s}$ extends to a Hölder continuous map on $\mathcal{J}$, still denoted $v_{s}$.
2. If $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$, then $\psi(x)=\log \left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|$ is Hölder continuous on $\mathcal{J}$.

Proof. The first item is classical by using Cauchy sequences and the fact that $A$ is dense in $\mathcal{J}$. Let us write $\operatorname{dist}\left(v_{s}(x), v_{s}(y)\right) \leq c^{\prime} d(x, y)^{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{J}$ and prove the second item. By working in charts of $T \mathbb{P}^{2}$, let us fix a section of the unit tangent bundle $x \mapsto \vec{v}_{s}(x)$ such that $\vec{v}_{s}(x) \in v_{s}(x)$ and $\left\|\vec{v}_{s}(x)-\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right\| \leq c^{\prime} \operatorname{dist}(x, y)^{\alpha}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)-D_{y} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)-D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right)\right\|+\left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right)-D_{y} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|D_{x} f\right\|\left\|\vec{v}_{s}(x)-\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right\|+\left\|D_{x} f-D_{y} f\right\|\left\|\vec{v}_{s}(y)\right\| \\
& \leq\|f\|_{C^{2}}\left(1+c^{\prime}\right) d(x, y)^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $x \mapsto\left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|$ is Hölder continuous. Since $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$, that function is bounded below by a constant $\rho>0$ on $\mathcal{J}$. By using that $\log$ is $\rho^{-1}$ Lipschitz on $\left[\rho,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, we get that $\psi(x)=\log \left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|$ is Hölder continuous on $\mathcal{J}$.
2.3. Normal forms. Recall that the subset $A$ defined in Definition 1.2 is invariant, does not intersect $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{n}(\mathcal{C})$ and satisfies $\mu(A)=1$. Let

$$
\hat{A}:=\left\{\hat{x}=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}, x_{n+1}=f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

and let $\hat{f}: \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ be the left shift. We denote $\hat{x}_{n}:=\hat{f}^{n}(\hat{x})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A function $\left.\varphi_{\epsilon}: \hat{A} \rightarrow\right] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ is $\epsilon$-tempered if $e^{-\epsilon} \varphi(\hat{x}) \leq \varphi(\hat{f}(\hat{x})) \leq e^{\epsilon} \varphi(\hat{x})$. Let $f_{\hat{x}_{n}}^{-n}$ be the inverse branch of $f^{n}$ sending a neighbourhood of $x_{n}$ to a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$. The following result provides normal forms for those mappings, see [5, 21]. The measure $\hat{\mu}$ stands for the unique $\hat{f}$-invariant measure on $\hat{A}$ such that $\left(\pi_{0}\right)_{*} \hat{\mu}=\mu$, where $\pi_{0}(\hat{x}):=x_{0}$. Let $B_{x}(r)$ denote the ball centered at $x$ of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Theorem 2.6. [5, Proposition 4.3] For every $\epsilon>0$ there exist $\epsilon$-tempered functions $\left.\left.\eta_{\epsilon}, \rho_{\epsilon}: \hat{A} \rightarrow\right] 0,1\right], \beta_{\epsilon}, L_{\epsilon}, M_{\epsilon}: \hat{A} \rightarrow[1,+\infty[$ and a function $N: \hat{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following properties. There exist injective holomorphic mappings $\xi_{\hat{x}}: B_{x_{0}}\left(\eta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x})\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{D}^{2}\left(\rho_{\epsilon}(\hat{x})\right)$ satisfying for every $\hat{x} \in \hat{A}$ :

1. $\xi_{\hat{x}}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $D_{x_{0}} \xi_{\hat{x}}\left(v_{s}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ is the vertical axis in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$,
2. $\forall p, q \in B_{x_{0}}\left(\eta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x})\right), \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(p, q) \leq\left\|\xi_{\hat{x}}(p)-\xi_{\hat{x}}(q)\right\| \leq \beta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x}) \operatorname{dist}(p, q)$, and such that the following diagram commutes for every $n \geq N(\hat{x})$ :


The mappings $R_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}$ have the following form depending on $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ :

1. If $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda$, then $R_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}$ is a linear mapping satisfying

$$
e^{-n(\lambda+\epsilon)}\|(z, w)\| \leq\left\|R_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}(z, w)\right\| \leq e^{-n(\lambda-\epsilon)}\|(z, w)\|
$$

2. If $\lambda_{1}=k \lambda_{2}$ for some $k \geq 2$ then $R_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}(z, w)=\left(\alpha_{n, \hat{x}_{n}} z, \beta_{n, \hat{x}_{n}} w\right)+\left(\gamma_{n, \hat{x}_{n}} w^{k}, 0\right)$.
3. If $\lambda_{1} \notin\left\{k \lambda_{2}, k \geq 1\right\}$, then $R_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}(z, w)=\left(\alpha_{n, \hat{x}_{n}} z, \beta_{n, \hat{x}_{n}} w\right)$.

Moreover, in the cases 2 and 3, we have

$$
e^{-n\left(\lambda_{1}+\epsilon\right)} \leq\left|\alpha_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}\right| \leq e^{-n\left(\lambda_{1}-\epsilon\right)} \quad, \quad\left|\gamma_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}\right| \leq M_{\epsilon}(\hat{x}) e^{-n\left(\lambda_{1}-2 \epsilon\right)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-n\left(\lambda_{2}+\epsilon\right)} \leq\left|\beta_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}\right| \leq e^{-n\left(\lambda_{2}-\epsilon\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$, the second coordinate of $R_{n, \hat{x}_{n}}$ has the form $w \mapsto \beta_{n, \hat{x}_{n}} w$.
2.4. Estimates from pluripotential theory. The following result holds for every endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$. The proof relies on Briend-Duval's strategy [8] by taking into account $\lambda_{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log d$ : the arguments use the facts that $T$ has continuous potentials, satisfies $f^{*} T=d T$ and defines $\mu$ by the Monge-Ampère equation $\mu=T \wedge T$. Let us set

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{n}(\rho):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}, u \mapsto f^{n} \circ\left(x+\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{-1}(u)\right): \mathbb{D}^{2}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \text { is injective }\right\} \\
R_{n}(\tau):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2},\left\|\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1} \geq \tau^{-1} d^{n / 2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

For the definition of $B_{n}(\rho)$, we used charts of $T \mathbb{P}^{2}$ so that the mapping $x+\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{-1}$ is defined on $\mathbb{D}^{2}(\rho)$ and takes its values in a neighbourhood of $x$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Theorem 2.7. [6, Propositions 1 and 2] Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. If $\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$, then for every $\beta>0$,

1. $\exists \rho>0, \forall n \geq 1, \mu\left(B_{n}(\rho)\right) \geq 1-\beta$,
2. $\exists \rho>0, \forall n \geq 1, \forall \tau>0, \mu\left(B_{n}(\rho) \cap R_{n}(\tau)\right) \geq 1-\beta-(\rho \tau)^{-2}$.
3. In particular, $\forall a>0, \exists \tau>0, \forall n \geq 1, \mu\left(R_{n}(\tau)\right) \geq 1-a$.

The following Corollary will be used to prove Proposition 5.2.
Corollary 2.8. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$ satisfying $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$. For every $\beta>0$ let $\rho>0$ given by Theorem 2.7. There exists a Borel set $H$ of full $\mu$-measure satisfying for every $x \in H$, there exists $n(x) \geq 1$ such that

$$
\forall n \geq n(x), \quad x \notin B_{n}(\rho) \text { or }\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \geq \frac{d^{n / 2}}{n}
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.7, we have $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu\left(B_{n}(\rho) \cap R_{n}(n)^{c}\right) \leq \rho^{-2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2}}$. By Borel-Cantelli's Lemma, there exists $H$ such that $\mu(H)=1$ and

$$
\forall x \in H, \exists n(x) \geq 1, \forall n \geq n(x), \quad x \in B_{n}(\rho)^{c} \cup R_{n}(n) .
$$

Let $x \in H$. If $x \in B_{n}(\rho)^{c}$, the proof is complete. If not, $x \in R_{n}(n)$, and since $\vec{v}_{s}(x)$ is unitary we get $\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \geq\left\|\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1} \geq \frac{d^{n / 2}}{n}$.
3. A criterion for bounded Radon-Nikodym derivatives. The following theorem gives sufficient conditions ensuring that a point $x$ belongs to the set $R$ defined in Definition 1.2. The integer $N(\hat{x})$ is defined in Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Assume that $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$ and let $\hat{x} \in \hat{A}$. If there exist $\eta_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}>0$ and an increasing sequence $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of integers such that $n_{0}=0$ and $n_{1} \geq N(\hat{x})$ satisfying:

1. $\eta_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{x}_{n_{j}}\right) \geq 4 \eta_{0}, \beta_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{x}_{n_{j}}\right) \leq e^{M_{2}}$,
2. $e^{-M_{1}} d^{n_{j} / 2} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}\left(\pi_{0}(\hat{x})\right)\right)\right\| \leq e^{M_{1}} d^{n_{j} / 2}$,
then $x:=\pi_{0}(\hat{x}) \in R$ : there exists a holomorphic disc $\xi_{x}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $\xi_{x}(0)=x,\left[\xi_{x}^{\prime}(0)\right]=v_{s}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\xi_{x}^{*} T(\mathbb{D}(r))}{\operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{D}(r))}<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us apply Theorem 2.6 which gives normal forms for the inverse branches of $f$. Since $\eta_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{x}_{n_{j}}\right) \geq 4 \eta_{0}$, the image of $B_{x_{n_{j}}}\left(\eta_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{x}_{n_{j}}\right)\right)$ by $\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}$ contains $\mathbb{D}^{2}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)$. Similarly, the image of $B_{x_{0}}\left(\eta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x})\right)$ by $\xi_{\hat{x}}$ contains $\mathbb{D}^{2}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)$. Let $V$ be the vertical disc

$$
V: \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right) \\
w & \mapsto & (0, w)
\end{array}
$$

and let us set

$$
\tilde{\xi}_{0}:=\left(\xi_{\hat{x}}\right)^{-1} \circ V \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}:=\left(\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right)^{-1} \circ V
$$

By pulling back $\left(f^{n_{j}}\right)^{*} T=d^{n_{j}} T$ by $\tilde{\xi}_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{n_{j}} \circ \tilde{\xi}_{0}\right)^{*} T=d^{n_{j}} \tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T \text { on } \mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us observe that

$$
f^{n_{j}} \circ \tilde{\xi}_{0}=f^{n_{j}} \circ\left(\xi_{\hat{x}}\right)^{-1} \circ V=\left(\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right)^{-1} \circ R_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}^{-1} \circ V,
$$

where the first equality comes from the definition of $\tilde{\xi}_{0}$ and the second one from Theorem 2.6. If $\beta_{n_{j}}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the multiplication by $\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}$, we also have the relation

$$
R_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}^{-1} \circ V=V \circ \beta_{n_{j}}^{-1}
$$

since the second coordinate of $R_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}$ is linear. Hence we get:

$$
f^{n_{j}} \circ \tilde{\xi}_{0}=\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}} \circ \beta_{n_{j}}^{-1} \text { on } \beta_{n_{j}}\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Equation (3.2) restricted to $\beta_{n_{j}}\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ then implies:

$$
\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}^{*} T=d^{n_{j}}\left(\beta_{n_{j}}^{-1}\right)_{*} \tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T \text { on } \mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right),
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right)=d^{n_{j}} \tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0} \cdot\left|\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right|\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we bound from below the right hand side of Equation (3.3). This is where we use the second hypothesis of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. We have $\left|\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right| \in\left[d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{-M}, d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{M}\right]$, where $M:=M_{1}+M_{2}+$ $\ln 2$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.6, we can write $f^{n_{j}}=\left(\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right)^{-1} \circ R_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}^{-1} \circ \xi_{\hat{x}}$. We study the differential of that composition. By using

$$
\forall \vec{v} \in T_{f^{n_{i}}(x)} \mathbb{P}^{2} \quad, \quad \frac{1}{2}\|\vec{v}\| \leq\left\|D_{f^{n_{i}(x)}} \xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{i}}}(\vec{v})\right\| \leq e^{M_{2}}\|\vec{v}\|
$$

for $i=0$ and $i=j$ (provided by Theorem 2.6) and the fact that the differential of $\xi_{\hat{x}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right)$ at $x\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f^{n_{j}}(x)\right)$ sends the line $v_{s}(x) \subset T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $v_{s}\left(f^{n_{j}}(x)\right) \subset$ $T_{f^{n_{j}}(x)} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ ) on the vertical axis, we obtain

$$
\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|\left(2 e^{M_{2}}\right)^{-1} \leq\left|\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right|^{-1} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|\left(2 e^{M_{2}}\right)
$$

Now we use the assumption $e^{-M_{1}} d^{n_{j} / 2} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \leq e^{M_{1}} d^{n_{j} / 2}$ to deduce

$$
d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{-M_{1}}\left(2 e^{M_{2}}\right)^{-1} \leq\left|\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right| \leq d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{M_{1}}\left(2 e^{M_{2}}\right)
$$

which concludes the proof.
The right hand side term of Equation (3.3) hence satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{n_{j}} \tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0} \cdot\left|\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right|\right)\right) \geq \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0} d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{-M}\right)\right)}{d^{-n_{j}}}=c_{0} \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0} d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{-M}\right)\right)}{\pi\left(\eta_{0} d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{-M}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}=\pi\left(\eta_{0} e^{-M}\right)^{2}$. By setting $r_{j}=\eta_{0} d^{-n_{j} / 2} e^{-M}$, we obtain:

$$
d^{n_{j}} \tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0} \cdot\left|\beta_{n_{j}, \hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right|\right)\right) \geq c_{0} \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(r_{j}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Leb}\left(\mathbb{D}\left(r_{j}\right)\right)}
$$

Now Lemma 3.3 below bounds the left hand side term of Equation (3.3), hence

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{0}^{*} T(\mathbb{D}(r))}{\operatorname{Leb}(\mathbb{D}(r))}<\infty
$$

To conclude the proof of Theorem 3.1, we set $\xi_{x}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ by $\xi_{x}(z):=\tilde{\xi}_{0}\left(\eta_{0} z\right)$.
Lemma 3.3. There exists a constant $M_{3}>0$ such that for every $j \geq 0$,

$$
\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right) \leq M_{3}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a finite open covering of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by small balls, such that $T$ admits for every $i \in I$ a bounded continuous plurisubharmonic potential $G_{i}$ on the $4 \eta_{0}$-tubular neighbourhood $\tilde{B}_{i}$ of $B_{i}$. Let $\|G\|_{\infty}:=\max _{i \in I}\left\|G_{i}\right\|_{\infty}$ and let $\psi: \mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a function with compact support which is equal to 1 on $\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$. Let us fix $j \geq 0$ and let $i \in I$ be such that $x_{n_{j}} \in B_{i}$, hence $B_{x_{n_{j}}}\left(4 \eta_{0}\right) \subset \tilde{B}_{i}$. Since $\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}=\left(\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right)^{-1} \circ V$, we get

$$
\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}\left(\mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)\right) \subset\left(\xi_{\hat{x}_{n_{j}}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)\right) \subset B_{x_{n_{j}}}\left(4 \eta_{0}\right)
$$

We deduce

$$
\tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}^{*} T\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)} \Delta\left(G_{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}}\right) \psi=\int_{\mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)} G_{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\xi}_{n_{j}} \Delta \psi \leq\|G\|_{\infty} \max _{\mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)} \Delta \psi
$$

We complete the proof by setting $M_{3}:=\|G\|_{\infty} \max _{\mathbb{D}\left(2 \eta_{0}\right)} \Delta \psi$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

4.1. Decomposable currents. This subsection is borrowed from Dujardin's article [14]. Let $\omega$ be the Fubini-Study $(1,1)$-form on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $S$ be a closed positive current of bidegree $(1,1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\sigma_{S}=S \wedge \omega$ denote the trace measure of $S$, this is a positive measure on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We shall denote $S(\varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} S \wedge \varphi$ for every (1,1)-test form $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Locally, one can write $S$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{j, k \in\{1,2\}} S_{j, k} i d z_{j} \wedge d \overline{z_{k}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1,1}$ and $S_{2,2}$ are positive measures and $S_{1,2}=\overline{S_{2,1}}$ are complex measures. Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ be a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Let us recall that a $(1,1)$-positive vector of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is an element of $\Lambda^{1,1} \mathbb{C}^{2}$ of the form $i \sum_{j, k \in\{1,2\}} t_{j, k} e_{j} \wedge \overline{e_{k}}$ where $\left(t_{j, k}\right)_{(j, k)}$ is a positive hermitian matrix. A positive vector of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is decomposable if it is equal to $i u \wedge \bar{u}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. In Equation (4.1), since $S$ is a positive current, the measures $\left|S_{1,2}\right|$ ans $\left|S_{2,1}\right|$ are dominated by the trace measure $\sigma_{S}=S_{1,1}+S_{2,2}$. By the RadonNikodym Theorem, there exists a measurable field $\Lambda_{S}$ of $(1,1)$-positive covectors of trace 1 such that

$$
S=\Lambda_{S} \sigma_{S}
$$

By duality, there exists a measurable field $t_{S}$ of $(1,1)$-positive vectors of trace 1 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \eta(1,1) \text { - test form on } \mathbb{P}^{2}, \quad S(\eta)=\int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}<t_{S}, \eta>\sigma_{S} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lebesgue density Theorem, there exists $E \subset \operatorname{Supp} S$ such that $S \wedge \omega(E)=1$ and for every $x \in E$,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_{S}\left(B_{x}(r)\right)} \int_{B_{x}(r)} t_{S}(y) \sigma_{S}(y)=t_{S}(x)
$$

We say that $S$ is decomposable at $x \in E$ if the $(1,1)$-positive vector $t_{S}(x)$ is decomposable. For instance, if $S=[L]$ where $L$ is a smooth curve, then $t_{S}(x)=i \vec{u}_{x} \wedge \overline{\vec{u}_{x}}$ where $\vec{u}_{x}$ is a unitary tangent vector of $L$ at $x$. The laminar currents are also examples of decomposable currents.

In [14], Dujardin established the following nice property: if $f$ is not a Lattès map, then the Green current $T$ is decomposable $\sigma_{T}$-almost everywhere, in particular it carries a directional information. This is the content of the following result.

Theorem 4.1. [14, Theorem 3.3] Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $T$ be its Green current. If $f$ is not a Lattès map, then there exists $E^{\prime} \subset E$ of full $\sigma_{T}$-measure satisfying the following property. For every $x \in E^{\prime}$, there exists $\vec{v}_{x} \in T_{x} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $\left\|\vec{v}_{x}\right\|=1$ and

$$
t_{T}(x)=i \vec{v}_{x} \wedge \overline{\vec{v}_{x}} .
$$

Moreover the vectors $\vec{v}_{x}$ satisfy the following property.
Proposition 4.2. [14, Section 3.2] Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. We assume that $f$ is not a Lattès map (hence $t_{T}(x)=i \vec{v}_{x} \wedge \overline{\vec{v}_{x}}$ $\sigma_{T}$-almost everywhere, with $\left\|\vec{v}_{x}\right\|=1$ ). Then,

$$
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} d \sigma_{T}(x) \leq d^{n}
$$

Markov's inequality then implies:

$$
\forall n \geq 1, \forall a>0, \quad\left(\sigma_{T}\right)\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2},\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \geq a d^{n}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{a}
$$

Proof. For $\sigma_{T}$-almost every $x$, we have

$$
\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \operatorname{Trace}\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)_{*}\left(i \vec{v}_{x} \wedge \overline{\vec{v}_{x}}\right)
$$

We use $t_{T}(x)=i \vec{v}_{x} \wedge \overline{\vec{v}}_{x}$ and the definition of the trace to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\langle\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)_{*}\left(t_{T}(x)\right), \omega\left(f^{n}(x)\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\langle t_{T}(x),\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega\left(f^{n}(x)\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

since $D_{x} f^{n}$ is invertible $\sigma_{T}$-almost everywhere. By integrating this inequality on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \sigma_{T}(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left\langle t_{T}(x),\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega\left(f^{n}(x)\right)\right\rangle \sigma_{T}(x)
$$

By using Equation (4.2), we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \sigma_{T}(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} T \wedge\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega=d^{n}
$$

where the last equality uses the fact that $\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega$ is cohomologous to $d^{n} \omega$, see for instance Sections 1.2 and A. 3 of [13].
4.2. Application of Theorem 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 4.3. Assume that $f$ is not a Lattès map (hence $t_{T}(x)=i \vec{v}_{x} \wedge \overline{\vec{v}_{x}}$ $\sigma_{T}$-almost everywhere, with $\left\|\vec{v}_{x}\right\|=1$ ). We also assume that $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$. Then, there exist $q \geq 1$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \mu\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2},\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\gamma} d^{n}\right\} \geq \frac{\gamma}{4 q}
$$

Proof. Let us write $\mu=\varphi \sigma_{T}$ where $\varphi \in L^{1}\left(\sigma_{T}\right)$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. Let us set $\gamma:=$ $\sigma_{T}\{\varphi>0\}$. It satisfies $\gamma>0$ since $1=\mu\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)=\mu\{\varphi>0\}=\int_{\{\varphi>0\}} \varphi \sigma_{T}$. Moreover $\sigma_{T}\left\{\frac{1}{q} \leq \varphi\right\}$ tends to $\sigma_{T}\{0<\varphi\}=\gamma$ by Lebesgue convergence Theorem. Let us set $q \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{T}\left\{\frac{1}{q} \leq \varphi\right\} \geq \gamma / 2 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $n \geq 1$, we denote

$$
A_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2},\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\gamma} d^{n}\right\}
$$

We want to show $\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{4 q}$. Proposition 4.2 gives with $a=4 / \gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \sigma_{T}\left(A_{n}\right) \geq 1-\gamma / 4 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (4.3) and (4.4) imply $\sigma_{T}\left(A_{n} \cap\left\{\frac{1}{q} \leq \varphi\right\}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{4}$. Coming back to $\mu$,

$$
\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq \mu\left(A_{n} \cap\left\{\frac{1}{q} \leq \varphi\right\}\right)=\int_{A_{n} \cap\left\{\frac{1}{q} \leq \varphi\right\}} \varphi \sigma_{T}
$$

We deduce

$$
\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q}\left(\sigma_{T}\right)\left(A_{n} \cap\left\{\frac{1}{q} \leq \varphi\right\}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q} \frac{\gamma}{4}
$$

which is the desired lower bound.

Now let us prove Theorem 1.3. We assume that $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ and $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$. Let

$$
B_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}, \frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}} d^{n} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\gamma} d^{n}\right\}
$$

By combining Proposition 4.3 and the third item of Theorem 2.7 (with $a=\frac{\gamma}{8 q}$ and using $\left.\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\| \geq\left\|\left(D_{x} f^{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1}\right)$, we get $\mu\left(B_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{8 q}$. The set $\hat{B}_{n}:=\pi_{0}^{-1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ then satisfies $\hat{\mu}\left(\hat{B_{n}}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{8 q}$. Let $M_{2}$ be large enough and $\eta_{0}$ be small enough such that

$$
\hat{E}:=\left\{\beta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x}) \leq e^{M_{2}}, \eta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x}) \geq 4 \eta_{0}\right\}
$$

satisfies $\hat{\mu}\left(\hat{E} \cap \hat{f}^{-n}(E)\right) \geq 1-\frac{\gamma}{16 q}$. Therefore the $\hat{\mu}$-measure of $\hat{C}_{n}:=\hat{B}_{n} \cap \hat{E} \cap \hat{f}^{-n}(\hat{E})$ is larger than $\frac{\gamma}{16 q}$, and the same property holds for $\hat{C}:=\limsup \hat{C}_{n}$.

Lemma 4.4. Every $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1.
Proof. Let $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}$ and $x=\pi_{0}(\hat{x})$. By definition of $\hat{C}$, there exists $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j}$ depending on $\hat{x}$ such that $n_{0}=0, n_{1} \geq N(\hat{x})$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}_{n_{j}}$ for every $j \geq 0$. In particular, $\eta_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{x}_{n_{j}}\right) \geq 4 \eta_{0}, \beta_{\epsilon}\left(\hat{x}_{n_{j}}\right) \leq e^{M_{2}}$, and

$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}} d^{n_{j}} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\gamma} d^{n_{j}}
$$

Those estimates combined with the definition of the stable Oseledec direction given in Theorem 2.1 imply $v_{s}(x)=v_{x}$ (recall that $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ ). By taking $M_{1}$ large enough such that $e^{-2 M_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{2}} \leq \frac{4}{\gamma} \leq e^{2 M_{1}}$, we get

$$
e^{-M_{1}} d^{n_{j} / 2} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{j}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \leq e^{M_{1}} d^{n_{j} / 2}
$$

which completes the proof of the Lemma.
Lemma 4.4 ensures that $C:=\pi_{0}(\hat{C}) \subset R$. But $C$ has positive $\mu$-measure, since $\mu(C) \geq \hat{\mu}(\hat{C}) \geq \frac{\gamma}{16 q}$. Finally $\mu(R)>0$, completing the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.4.

5.1. Central Limit Theorem. The dynamical system $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, f, \mu\right)$ satisfies a Central Limit Theorem, see [9, 11, 12, 16].

Theorem 5.1. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $\mu$ be its equilibrium measure. Let $\phi: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder continuous function such that $\int \phi d \mu=0$ and let $S_{n}(\phi):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ f^{i}$. Then the asymptotic variance

$$
\sigma_{\phi}:=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\|S_{n}(\phi)\right\|_{2}
$$

exists and the following alternative holds:

1. if $\sigma_{\phi}=0$ there exists $u \in L^{2}(\mu)$ such that $\phi=u \circ f-u$.
2. if $\sigma_{\phi}>0$ then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_{n}(\phi)$ converges in law towards the Gaussian $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\phi}\right)$.
5.2. The asymptotic variance of $\psi$ vanishes. We assume that $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$ and consider

$$
\psi(x)=\log \left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|
$$

where $v_{s}(x)$ is the stable direction at $x \in A$. By Proposition 2.5, if $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$ and if $v_{s}$ is Hölder continuous on $A$, then $\psi$ extends to a Hölder continuous function on $\mathcal{J}$. If moreover $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$, then $\psi_{0}:=\psi-\frac{1}{2} \log d$ satisfies $\int \psi_{0} d \mu=0$ by Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 5.2. Assume that $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$ and that $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}<2 \lambda_{2}$. Assume also that $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$ and that $v_{s}$ is Hölder continuous on $A$. Then $\sigma_{\psi_{0}}=0$. In particular, there exists $u \in L^{2}(\mu)$ such that for $\mu$-almost every $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left\|D_{x} f\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|=\lambda_{2}+u \circ f-u \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $\sigma_{\psi_{0}}>0$. By Lemma 2.2 one can write

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n}} S_{n}\left(\psi_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n}}\left(\log \left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|-n \frac{\log d}{2}\right)
$$

Theorem 5.1 ensures that $\frac{1}{\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n}} S_{n}\left(\psi_{0}\right)$ converges in law towards $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. By setting

$$
\beta_{0}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} e^{-u^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} u \quad \text { and } \quad G_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J} \mid S_{n}\left(\psi_{0}\right)(x) \leq-\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n}\right\}
$$

we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(G_{n}\right)=\beta_{0}
$$

Let $N \geq 1$ such that $\mu\left(G_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\beta_{0}}{2}$ for every $n \geq N$. Let $\rho>0$ provided by Theorem 2.7 with $\beta=\frac{\beta_{0}}{4}$. We have $\mu\left(B_{n}(\rho)\right) \geq 1-\frac{\beta_{0}}{4}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Let us define

$$
F_{n}:=B_{n}(\rho) \cap G_{n}, \quad F:=\limsup F_{n}
$$

For every $n \geq N$, we have $\mu\left(F_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\beta_{0}}{4}$. We also have $\mu(F) \geq \frac{\beta_{0}}{4}$. Let $H$ be given by Corollary 2.8. Since $\mu(H)=1$ and $\mu(F)>0$, there exists $x \in F \cap H$. Let $\left(n_{i}\right)_{i}$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that $x \in F_{n_{i}}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F_{n_{i}} \subset G_{n_{i}}$, we have:

$$
S_{n_{i}}\left(\psi_{0}\right)(x)=\log \left\|D_{x} f^{n_{i}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|-n_{i} \frac{\log d}{2} \leq-\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n_{i}}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{n_{i} / 2} e^{-\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n_{i}}} \geq\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{i}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $x$ belongs to $H$ and to $F_{n_{i}} \subset B_{n_{i}}(\rho)$, hence Corollary 2.8 ensures for every $n_{i}>n(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{x} f^{n_{i}}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \geq \frac{d^{n_{i} / 2}}{n_{i}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain $e^{-\sigma_{\psi_{0}} \sqrt{n_{i}}} \geq \frac{1}{n_{i}}$ for every $i$ large enough. This contradiction implies $\sigma_{\psi}=0$ and completes the proof of Proposition 5.2.
5.3. Application of Theorem 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.4. By summing Equation (5.1) over an orbit of length $n$ and using Lemma 2.2, we obtain:

$$
\forall x \mu-\text { a.e. }, \forall n \geq 1, \quad \log \left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\|=n \lambda_{2}+u \circ f^{n}-u .
$$

The $\mu$-measure of $\{|u| \leq R\}$ tends to 1 when $R$ tends to infinity. The same property holds for $\{|u| \leq R\} \cap\left\{\left|u \circ f^{n}\right| \leq R\right\}$ since $\mu$ is invariant. Therefore, there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
B_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}, d^{n / 2} e^{-2 R} \leq\left\|D_{x} f^{n}\left(\vec{v}_{s}(x)\right)\right\| \leq d^{n / 2} e^{2 R}\right\}
$$

satisfies $\mu\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 1 / 2$ for every $n \geq 1$. Hence $\hat{B}_{n}:=\pi_{0}^{-1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ satisfies $\hat{\mu}\left(\hat{B}_{n}\right) \geq 1 / 2$. Now, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4, it suffices to repeat the end of Section 4.2 , namely to introduce the subsets

$$
\hat{E}:=\left\{\beta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x}) \leq e^{M_{2}}, \eta_{\epsilon}(\hat{x}) \geq 4 \eta_{0}\right\}
$$

$\hat{C}_{n}:=\hat{B}_{n} \cap \hat{E} \cap \hat{f}^{-n}(\hat{E}), \hat{C}:=\lim \sup \hat{C}_{n}$ and to apply similarly Theorem 3.1.
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