

The Zanclean palaeofloras around the Mont-Dore strato-volcano: A window into upper Neogene vegetation and environments in the Massif Central (Puy de Dome, France)

Marie-Claude Jolly-Saad, Jean François Pastre, Sébastien Nomade

▶ To cite this version:

Marie-Claude Jolly-Saad, Jean François Pastre, Sébastien Nomade. The Zanclean palaeofloras around the Mont-Dore strato-volcano: A window into upper Neogene vegetation and environments in the Massif Central (Puy de Dome, France). Geobios, 2020, 59, pp.29-46. 10.1016/j.geobios.2020.01.001 . hal-02934515

HAL Id: hal-02934515 https://hal.science/hal-02934515v1

Submitted on 3 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

The Zanclean palaeofloras around the Mont-Dore strato-volcano: A window into upper Neogene vegetation and environments in the Massif Central (Puy de Dome, France) *

Marie-Claude Jolly-Saad ^{a,*}, Jean François Pastre ^b, Sébastien Nomade ^c

^a Archéologies et Sciences de l'Antiquité, UMR 7041, MHS - Maison Archéologie &
Ethnologie, René-Ginouvès, 92023 Nanterre cedex, 21 allée de l'Université, 92023 Nanterre Cedex, France

^b Laboratoire de Géographie Physique, Environnements quaternaires et actuels, UMR 8591 CNRS, Université de Paris I, UPEC, 1, place Aristide Briand, 92195 Meudon Cedex, France

^c Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Orme des Merisiers, Bâtiment 714, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: mcsaad@parisnanterre.fr (M.-C. Jolly-Saad).

* Corresponding editor: Séverine Fauquette.

Abstract

New Pliocene macrofloras and microfloras perfectly preserved from the Mont-Dore (Puy-de-Dôme, Massif central, France) have been reinvestigated. Samples come from different stratigraphical levels collected from three localities, Lac Chambon, La Gratade and Pont de Chocol. The 40 Ar/^{39Ar} radiometric datings bracketing the Chambon Lake and La Gratade fossil-bearing horizons give 4.46 ± 0.05 Ma and 3.94 ± 0.04 Ma, respectively, representative of the Zanclean Stage (= Brunssumian B and C), a period of the early Pliocene (5.32 to 3.6 Ma), much older than previously thought (i.e., Piacenzian). Pont de Chocol is considered to be close biostratigraphically, or even identical in age to La Gratade. Detailed morphological evaluation of leaf morphotypes completed with pollen analysis contributed to the better taxonomic knowledge of these palaeofloras. The overview focuses on floristic and phytostratigraphical characteristics of the defined stratigraphical units and their dating and

correlation with previously defined palaeofloristic units of the Massif central. The study provides detailed identifications of plants representing 11 gymnosperms among which Pinaceae (pollen and winged seeds), Cupressaceae including "Taxodioid" pollen grains and Sciadopitaceae families (only pollen). Besides, different angiosperm trees and shrubs have been determined from both micro- and macro-remains. Fagaceae is the most diversified with several foliage of beech and deciduous oaks, while diversified Juglandaceae contribute mainly as abundant leaf remains of Carya, Pterocarya and Juglans; Ulmaceae with numerous leave of two Zelkova species, and also Ulmus. Various other deciduous dicotyledonous such as Alnus, Betula, Carpinus, Populus, Acer laetum and A. interrigenum are well documented. Leguminosae are recorded by a small number of leaflet imprints. Evergreen shrubs of Buxaceae (Buxus pliocenica) are scarce. All these taxa contributed to a rich biodiversity of these Pliocene assemblages. All three sites point toward riverine forest habitats dominated by hygrophilic diversified woody plants while in the surrounding plains and slopes the thermophilic elements were scarce and mesophilic taxa abundant as temperate elements. This vegetation can be compared with mixed mesophytic forests depicting a climate cooling during the two considered periods (ca. 4.46 and 3.95 Ma).

Keywords: Macroflora Microflora Palaeovegetation Pliocene Mont-Dore Massif central Europe

1. Introduction

Tertiary volcanogenic deposits of the Puy de Dôme (Massif central, France) have been explored for a long time and are a place of interest to palaeobotanists because of the abundance and well-preserved micro- and macrofossil plants. The earliest written record of Mont-Dore region fossil flora dates back to the end of the 19th century (Boulay, 1892). This work summarized all the discoveries that pointed vegetated Tertiary landscapes from the French Massif central (Varennes, Perrier, Niac, Pas de la Mougudo, Ceyssac, Saint-Vincent, Joursac, La Gratade and Pont de Chocol).

During the first half of the 20th century, Grangeon and Rudel (1959) reported some fossil plants in Chambon Lake outcrops and detailed the taxonomic studies; the flora which should belong to this locality contains a small assemblage of 9 species: Bambusa cambonensis N. Boul. (Arundinaria metake Sieb.), Alnus glutinosa Gaertn., Fagus silvatica L., Fagus pliocenica Sap., Populus tremula L., Ulmus effusa Willd., Acer pseudocampestre Ung., Acer Nicolai N. Boul. (affinity A. opulifolium Willd.), Carpinus betulus L., and one family, Poaceae. Later, this study was completed by Elhai and Rudel (1961) with a microfossil analysis. La Gratade and Pont de Chocol were studied by Grangeon and Rudel (1962); most of the fossil flora samples come from La Gratade outcrop, with 23 taxa identified as Torreya nucifera Sieb. et Zucc., Potamogeton quinquenervis N. Boul., Salix sp., Populus tremula L., Populus alba L., Betula macrophylla Heer, Alnus glutinosa Gaertn., Carpinus orientalis Mill. (fruits and leave), Carpinus, Corylus avellana L., Fagus sylvatica Sap., Castanea vesca Gaertn., Quercus hispanica Rer., Quercus sp., Zelkova ungeri Kovats, (crenata and acuminata), Ulmus sp., Juglans regia L., Carya sp., Acer laetum C.A. Mey, Acer sp., and *Tilia* sp. Then a detailed microfloral study was produced by Elhai and Rudel (1965) from the lignite clay from Pont de Chocol. The microflora of La Gratade has not been previously studied. Today, all these sites are widely scattered in the literature, most of them are not updated and not well constrained by radiometric dates. The more recent and detailed updates concern only the Miocene plant assemblage of Murat in the French Massif Central (Roiron, 1991; Legrand, 2003, 2010).

Recent harvests of macroflora were gathered by J.F. Pastre from 3 localities: Chambon Lake (LCh), La Gratade (LG) and Pont de Chocol (PTC), combined with new radiometric datations, bring us to complete and synthesize the results of former inventories. Despite the local character of such fossil records and the inequitable representation of ancient vegetation, they provide invaluable information about the history and distribution of the floristic elements and offer a great potential for identifying past environmental elements, making a contribution to a new picture of the palaeofloristic biodiversity in this area. The results of the palaeoenvironmental investigations are partly comparable but also complete earlier investigations with the description of 28 new taxa in Chambon Lake outcrop, as well as two species in La Gratade and Pont Chocol. The aims of the present study were: (*i*) to document, describe and determine the three new collections of plant fossils of Chambon Lake, Pont Chocol and La Gratade outcrops which were recently collected by one of us (JFP), (*ii*) to

compare these fossil plant collections to the one recovered and published by Grangeon and Rudel (1959, 1962) from the same area, (*iii*) to compare the Zanclean flora of these aeras to other selected Pliocene floras in the Massif central region and from Western Europa, and (*iv*) to infer the palaeoecology of the three area during the early Pliocene, using evidence from macrofossils and published data from dispersed pollen and spores.

2. Location and geological settings

The three fossiliferous outcrops studied in this article are all located in the French Massif central, in the periphery of the Mont-Dore strato-volcano (Fig. 1). They were deposited in lacustrine environments reworking contemporaneous pyroclastites and giving tuffites deposits.

The fossiliferous outcrop of the Chambon Lake (Altitude 866 m asl) is situated on the right bank of the Couze Chambon river downstreams from the Chambon Lake and to the West of the strombolian cone of the Tartaret (45.575° N, 2.929° E; Fig. 1). It was discovered in 1985 in trenches of ca. 1 m-depth dig for the electrification of a campground. The foliar imprints are contained in nodules of tuffites up to 30 cm in diameter. These nodules are higgledy-piggledy incorporated in a tufaceous silty matrix which also contains some blocks of bedded sandy tuffites with volcanic minerals (alkali feldspars, biotite...). These facies characterize a secondary outcrop due to a major landslide localized in the N-E part of the lake and giving the hills situated to the east and bordering the lake. This landslide originated in the Dent du Marais cirque situated to the North and bordered by the diatreme of basaltic breccia of the Saut de la Pucelle (Besson et al., 1977). If this landslide, which is not covered by the scoriae of the Tartaret, is probably Holocene in age, the material embedded in this landslide is much older. Alkali-feldspars found in the sandy tuffites of the outcrop and attributed to a contemporaneous pyroclastic activity are used hereafter to infer the age of the foliar imprints thanks to ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating method. If this outcrop offers a particular context due to its nodules, it is probably contemporaneous of the cinerites of the lake Chambon, which outcrop sporadically on the northern shore of the lake and in the hills situated near the parking of the beach (Besson, 1978).

The fossiliferous outcrops of the Pont de Chocol and La Gratade are situated near the hamlet of La Gratade in the valley of the brook of Fontsalade, *ca*. 3 km to the South of the town of Rochefort-Montagne. The outcrop of Pont de Chocol (961 m asl) is located in the bed of the stream of Fontsalade, 50 m downstream the bridge on the path of the hamlet of Chez Chocol (45.656° N, 2.808° E; Fig. 2). It corresponds to bedded grey tuffites rich in foliar

imprints. Other tuffites can be found in some places on the left bank slope where they are found associated with weathered trachytic pumices beds as well as small blocks of trachyte. The outcrop of La Gratade (941 m asl) belongs to the same sedimentary unit. It is situated at about the half height of a small ravine tributary of the brook of Fontsalade, *ca*. 100 m to the NW of the mill of La Gratade (45.660° N, 2.806° E; Fig. 2). It is made of white to pale greybedded tuffites outcroping on *ca*. 2 m high that we also samples for 40 Ar/ 39 Ar dating purpose.

The granitic basement outcrops in the valley to the South and to the North of the study area. The position of the tuffites, which are several tens of metres thick, is anachronistic. It is very probable that they correspond to a lacustrine infilling of a maar crater opened in the basement. The first formation of the Mont-Dore *sensu stricto* which covers theses tuffites corresponds to the "Grande Nappe" (= ignimbrite) of rhyolitic fibrous pumices which can be seen along the road D80, north from La Gratade and which is dated at 2.77 ± 0.07 Ma (Nomade et al., 2017).

3. Material and methods

3.1. Macroflora

Plant fragments were recovered by splitting clay containing the impression/compression fossils. Minimal preparation with fine needles was performed to fully expose the plant organs when needed. Cuticles are often present but were not studied here. The images were processed and measurements obtained using Nikon binocular loupe and Canon Elements. The taxonomic determinations established in this study are based exclusively on the gross morphological characters of the gathered specimens, whereas special attention is paid to the morphological details of lamina venation and margin outline of the leaves. The morphological terminology is based on the descriptions of Hickey (1973), Dilcher (1974) and L.A.W.G. (1999). Two major treatments serve as a basis for the data presented here: besides several preliminary papers consulted (Grangeon, 1956; Roiron, 1991; Legrand, 2003, 2010), foliage are compared with and herbarium specimens and living material. The analyses are based on detailed comparisons of individual elements with the living analogues according to detailed morphological and anatomical studies. For palaeoenvironmental reconstruction, the Nearest Living Relative method (Mosbrugger and Utescher, 1997) assumes that the fossil taxon had a climate tolerance and habitat similar to that of its nearest living relative.

All the studied new macrofossil specimens are housed at the LGP (CNRS, Meudon). Ancient collections were not revised in this work.

3.2. Microflora

The three samples were first treated with 37% hydrochloric acid (HCl) to dissolve the carbonate, and after washing, the residue was treated with 52-62% hydrofluoric acid to dissolve silica. The residue obtained by chemical dissolution of the mineral sample was further processed with KOH to extract the organic material from the mineral. The organic residue material was washed and centrifuged at 3600 rpm for 10 min. The residue from the casting ring was applied to a glass slide, dried, and after the addition of glycerine gelatine, it was covered with a cover slip. A transmitted light microscope (Leica) with ×400 and ×1000 magnifications (without oil immersion) was used for identification, and ×400 was used for the counting of pollen and spores. Pollen and spore identification was accomplished using Henri Elhaï reference database. Other published keys and pollen atlases were also used as well as atlases of recent pollen (Reille, 1992, 1995).

3.3. Ar/Ar sampling and analytical method

About 500 g of the pale grey-bedded tuffites (La Gratade hereafter) and of the sandy tuffites (Mdo hereafter) from La Gratade and Chambon Lake sites were sampled. After wet sieving, we kept the 600 µm to 1 mm fraction. From this fraction we picked 20 pristine Kfeldspars crystals for each sample. These crystals were irradiated 1:30 hours in the B1 tube of the Osiris reactor (Irradiation 35; CEA Saclay Center, France). After irradiation, 9 and 10 crystals for Mdo and Gratade samples were individually loaded in a copper sample holder. The sample holder was then put into a double vacuum Cleartran window. Each crystal was then fused using a Synrad CO₂ laser at 15% of nominal power (ca. 25 W). The extracted gas was purified for 10 min by two hot GP 110 and two GP 10 getters (ZrAl). Argon isotopes (³⁶Ar, ³⁷Ar, ³⁸Ar, ³⁹Ar and ⁴⁰Ar) were analysed by mass spectrometry using a VG5400 equipped with an electron multiplier Balzers 217 SEV SEN coupled to an ion counter. Neutron fluence J for each sample was calculated using co-irradiated Alder Creek Sanidine (ACs-2 hereafter) standard with an age of 1.193 Ma (Nomade et al., 2005) and the total decay constant of Steiger and Jäger (1977). J-values computed from standard grains is $0.00067860 \pm$ 0.00000339 and $0.00068750 \pm 0.00000344$ for Mdo and La Gratade, repectively. The 40 Ar/ 39 Ar age is a not a direct method and relies on the precise measurements of the 39 Ar produced in the nuclear reactor; for this, we used a natural K-feldspars standard (i.e., crystals extracted from rock of known age) that was irradiated under the same conditions than the sample of interest. The J-value was therefore the weighted mean and corresponding standard

deviation based on the measurements of 3 to 6 standard crystals (in our case, Alder creek sanidine). This J flux factor was used to quantify the Neutron induced ³⁹Ar made from ³⁹K in the nuclear reactor that can varied as a fonction of the irradiation duration and position of the sample with respect to the irradiation schuttle and cannot be predicted from previous irradiation.

Mass discrimination was estimated by analysis of Air pipette throughout the analytical period, and was relative to a 40 Ar/ 36 Ar ratio of 298.56 (Lee et al., 2006). Procedural blank measurements were computed after every two or three sample crystals, depending on the beam measured. For 10 min static blank, typical backgrounds are *ca*. 2.0-3.0×10⁻¹⁷ and 5.0-6.0×10⁻¹⁹ mol for 40 Ar and 36 Ar, respectively. The precision and accuracy of the mass discrimination correction was monitored by weekly measurements of air argon of various beam sizes.

4. Results

4.1. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar datations

Full analytical details for individual crystal are given in Tables S1 and S2 (Appendix A). Results are presented as probability diagrams in Fig. 3. In this figure, individual crystal age uncertainties are given at 1σ -level and the weighted mean age uncertainties are quoted at 2σ -level, including J uncertainties. In both sample we found only one main population of crystal as shown by the unimodal probability diragrams (Fig. 3). Despite a slight excess scattered, meaningful weighted mean ages of 3.94 ± 0.04 Ma (MSWD = 1.9) and 4.46 ± 0.05 Ma (MSWD = 2.0) can be calculated for La Gratade and Mdo, respectively. It is worth noting that three crystals from Mdo displayed very low precision (Fig. 3) due to their low radiogenic content (i.e., 38 to 78%; see Appendix A for details). Removing these crystals from the weighted mean age does not change the weighted mean age reported above for this sample. These two ages are interpreted as the age of eruption of the volcanic material found in the two studied sites.

4.2. Floristic composition

4.2.1. Pollen analysis and palynofacies

All studied samples yielded well preserved sporomorphs suitable for detailed palynological analysis in Table 1, showing that the floras are in agreement with the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar datations. In detail, pollen grains of gymnosperms and angiosperms were very frequent in all

samples. Fern and cryptogam spores were rare. In all, 437 pollen grains (of which 3% are herbaceous taxa) were identified at Chambon Lake, 414 pollen grains (of which 6% herbaceous) at Pont de Chocol, and 359 pollen grains (of which 11% herbaceous) at La Gratade, excluding the Fungus spores and the Algae. The number of terrestrial spermatophyte taxa found in each level varies from 30 to 40 species. The list of taxa is fairly similar in the four sites as well as between samples from each site (Table 1).

The vegetation indicates a forest physiognomy in the 3 different deposits, with Arboreal Pollen (AP) ranging from 88 to 97%. Poaceae are rare (0.7-1.7%); other herbaceous species are represented by few pollens of Cyperaceae, Apiaceae, Rosaceae, *Nuphar*, Caryophyllaceae, Amaranthaceae, Leguminosae, Papaveraceae, *Helianthemum*, Lamiaceae, and Ranunculaceae. The maximum diversity is reached in Pont de Chocol deposits. Ericaceae frequency increase is noted in La Gratade and Pont de Chocol outcrops.

In the different palynological samples, *Pinus diploxylon* type is always dominant with pollen values between 25 and 34% while *Cathaya* is recorded with only 1-1.6% and *Pinus haploxylon* with values between 0.8 and 2.3%. *Pinus* are mainly accompanied by *Picea* (5.7-14.5%); higher frequencies are recorded in the Chambon Lake. *Picea* pollen percentages lower than 5% in extant vegetation are considered as an indication of regional presence (Hicks, 1994; Huntley and Birks, 1983); two different *Tsuga* species recorded together between 3 and 7.2%, *Abies* 4.4-10% and *Sciadopitys* 6% in LCh, 1% in La Gratade, but the taxa is absent from Pont de Chocol.

Pollen grains of Cupressaceae (including "Taxodioid" pollen types) are represented with few pollens, respectively 0.7-2.3% and 0.4-4.6%, with lower frequencies recorded in La Gratade and Pont de Chocol aeras. Angiosperms are more diversified. Deciduous oaks were always present with low values of 3.6% and peaking at 6% in Pont de Chocol and 12% in La Gratade. *Carya* percentages are between 2.1 and 5%. Others arboreal taxa are *Fagus* with range frequencies of 1-5.8%, *Pterocarya* (1.7-2.4%), *Juglans* (1-3%), and *Ulmus-Zelkova* (1.6-2.6%). *Nyssa* is recorded with only one pollen in LCh and PTC. The absence of *Engelhardia* (Sapotaceae) is worth to be mentioned. Non pollen palynomorphs (NPP) were scarced; among them the freshwater Botryococcaceae algae *Botryococcus braunii* Kützing, 1849 is a common element in the different deposits, with 6-13.4% in Chambon Lake and Pont de Chocol and a lower representation in La Gratade (1.2%). Several epiphyllous fungi are observed.

Several remarks can be expressed. The forests found in both successions are always relatively dense, with a thick forest canopy. Herbs which have entomophillous pollen

dissemination are practically absent in the three outcrops (4-12%); the list of present-day taxa extinct in the region is the same or very similar, showing the presence of many trees living today in warm-temperate Asia, North America and Caucasia associated with still extant species. Very similar vegetations are shown at both sites with, however, sigthly differences in the frequencies of the different taxa. There is an indication for a pollen rain coming from several vegetational belts, as it results from the mixing of montane, mesophilous and hygrophilous elements. A homogenous forest formation characterizes the three outcrops. *Sciadopitys* is not recorded in La Gratade deposit. Sporomorph assemblages show the apparent predominance of "arctotertiary" (including warm temperate and temperate) and cosmopolitan floristic elements. The palaeotropical and palaeotropical/warm temperate taxa were represented by a single pollen of *Nyssa* both in La Gratade and Pont de Chocol outcrops.

Concerning the presence of some arboreal taxa, few observations are useful to interpret the different records and to locate them in the chronological scale. First of all, we note the permanence of many mesothermic taxa such as *Carya, Juglans, Pterocarya, Liquidambar*, and *Zelkova. Liquidambar* disappeared from The Netherlands at the end of the Reuverian or at the beginning of the Praetiglian in Koningsbosch (Zagwijn, 1960) and in Normandie (Clet-Pellerin, 1983); it is absent in altitude but remains present in south Europa (Bertini and Martinetto, 2008; Suc et al., 2018), *Engelhardtia* disappeared at the end of the Miocene in The Netherlands at 2.5 Ma (Zagwijn, 1974), during the Praetiglian in Normandy (Clet-Pellerin, 1983), at 3 Ma in Languedoc (Suc, 1980), and at 1.6 Ma in North Italy (Bertini and Martinetto, 2008; Suc et al., 2018).

The optical characterization of the micro-organic matter (palynofacies) in Table 1 highlights different environments: in Chambon Lake, two classes of organic matter are recognized. The first one shows a high percentage of particulate organic matter (POM = 85%) composed of diversified terrestrial plant fragments (mainly yellow-brown wood and cuticles, palynomorphs dominated by pollen contribute only to 10% of the POM total). It also contains opaque phytoclasts (up to 5% of the total of the organic matter). The second class is the amorphous organic matter with no recognizable elements (AOM = 15%).

La Gratade organic matter content is composed with POM (80%) dominated by sporomorphs and AOM which contributes for 20%. In Pont de Chocol, 3 classes are described: POM (50%), AOM (45%) and carbonized particules (COM = 5%).

Zooclasts and fungus spores are absent from the different palynofacies records.

4.2.2. Macroflora composition

The Recent collectings at three sites, Chambon Lake, La Gratade, Pont de Chocol in the Mont-Dore (Puy de Dôme, France) has resulted in the recovery of numerous plant specimens (Table 2; Figs. 4-10). The palaeobotanical dataset is mainly composed of foliages (impressions, sporadically carbonized compressions) and less frequently of fruits and seeds. The plant fossil material of Chambon Lake is abundant and with an excellent quality of preservation. Most of the leaves are entire; they are preserved in a fine, regularly laminated sediment, while in other sites the deposited material is quite damaged and is characterized by numerous fragmented and small sized samples.

Most of the recorded angiosperm genera are present in the pollen analysis. In previous macroflora researches, only 9 taxa have been described from the Lake Chambon outcrops: Bambusa cambonensis, Alnus glutinosa Gaertn., Fagus silvatica L., Fagus pliocenica Sap., Populus tremula L., Ulmus effusa Willd., Acer pseudocampestre Ung., Acer Nicolai N.B. (aff. A. opulifolium Willd.), Carpinus betulus L., and Poaceae (Marty, 1912; Grangeon and Rudel, 1959). With the new collection described in this paper the former floristic record is extended by 23 additional taxa. Angiosperms, which apparently prevail in macroflora, are documented either by numerous leaves and leaflets or less frequently by fruits and seeds or needles (Table 2). Fagaceae are by far the most diversified and the most abundant in the Chambon Lake assemblage. It comprises at least one more beech species: F. grandifolia type (Fig. 5(A, B)), associated with abundant leaves of F. sylvatica L. (Figs. 4(A), 5(I)) and two other different oak species (Q. Roburoides Gaud. (Fig. 5(C-E)) and Q. hispanica Rér. (Figs. 4(F), 5(F), 6(I, J)). Betulaceae are abundantly represented by diversified Alnus species including A. glutinosa Gaertn. (Fig. 4(J)), A. stenophylla Sap. et Mar. (Fig. 4(G-I)), Alnus sp., as well as Betula subpubescens Goepp., B. macrophylla (Goerpp) Heer (Fig. 4(B-E)), Betula alnoides Buch. Ham.ex D. Don (Fig. 8(D)), Betula sp. (Fig. 4(H)), Carpinus betulus L. (Fig. 9(G)) and Carpinus orientalis Sap. (Fig. 9(I)). Salicaceae (Salix sp.) are not common in the different deposits. Leaves of Ulmus braunii type (Fig. 8(A)), Zelkova ungeri aff. Z. acuminata Planch. (Fig. 7(C)) and Zelkova ungeri aff. Z. crenata Spach. (Figs. 5(A), 7(A, B, D)), Carya minor Sap. et Mar. (Figs. 6(G), 8(C)), and Pterocarya sp. (Fig. 8 (F, H, I)) are well documented. In addition, a few interesting, though very poorly represented accessory elements have been collected that correspond to the ancient morpho-species and well preserved fossil leaves of Acer laetum Unger (Fig. 6(A)), Acer integerrimum (Viv.) Mass. (Fig. 6(B)), Acer sanctaecrucis Stur (Roiron, 1991) (Fig. 6 (C, D)) as well as an unidentifiable Acer sp., Buxus pliocenica Saporta et Marion (two leaf specimens), and Cornus distans type (Fig. 7(G, H)).

Leguminosae are represented by the morphotype element *Leguminophyllum* sp. 1 (Fig. 8(E)) and a set of unidentified taxa including *Dicotyphyllum* sp. 1 (Fig. 9(J)), *D*. sp. 2 (Fig. 9(E)), *D*. sp. 3 (Fig. 8(B)), *D*. sp. 4 (Fig. 7(I)), and *D*. sp. 5 (Fig. 6(H-K)). Few fruits or seeds of *Carpinus suborientalis* Sap. (syn. *C. tschonoski* Maxim, 1881) (Fig. 10(I)), *Carpinus betulus* L. (Fig. 10(D)), *Betula* sp. (Fig. 10(A-C)), *Alnus* sp. (Fig. 10(F)), *Pinus* sp. (Fig. 10(H)), *Picea* sp. (Fig. 10(G)) and *Tsuga* (Fig. 10(E)), as well as one needle of *Abies ramesi* Sap. (Fig. 9(K)) and one of *Tsuga* sp. (Fig. 7(J)) are recorded in the macroflora.

The Chambon Lake macroflora assemblage, apart from the great number of specimens, is considered to be fairly diverse with a well preserved macroflora comprising 33 taxa identified at this stage (former study and this paper; Table 2), including at least 10 gymnosperms, the presence of some of them being demonstrated by both reproductive and few vegetative organs. With a few winged seeds and numerous pollen, *Abies ramesii* Sap., *?Tsuga* or *Picea* sp. are poorly represented in the macroflora but are abundant in the pollen flora. *Pinus* L. and *Sciadopitys* (Thunb.) Siebold et Zucc. are only documented by well distinguishable pollen that are particularly numerous in all fossiliferous layers of the site.

Comparatively, La Gratade and Pont de Chocol, both located between the Roche Tuilière and Sanadoire in the South and Rochefort-Montagne in the North, are more monotoneous. The great majority of the 23 plant taxa formerly described from La Gratade site show great similarities with the Chambon macroflora. Taxa identified by Grangeon and Rudel (1962) are *Potamogeton quinquenervis* N. Boul., *Salix* sp., *Populus tremula* L., *Populus alba* L., *Betula macrophylla* Heer, *Alnus glutinosa* Gaertn., *Carpinus orientalis* Mill. (fruits and leave), *Carpinus paucinervia* Andreansky, *Corylus avellana* L., *Fagus sylvatica* Sap., *Castanea vesca* Gaertn., *Quercus hispanica* Rer., *Quercus* sp. (groupe *Q. drymeja*), *Zelkova ungeri* Kovats (*crenata* and *acuminata*), *Ulmus* sp., *Juglans regia* L., *Carya* sp., *Acer laetum* C.A. Mey, *Acer* sp., *Tilia* sp., and *Torreya nucifera* Sieb. et Zucc. In the present paper only 10 taxa were identified (Table 2); *Quercus* leaves are abundant but predominantly incomplete, the species was probably the local dominant species in the area with *Alnus* sp., *A. stenophylla*, *Betula subpubescens*, *Fagus sylvatica*, *Fagus grandifolia*, and *Zelkova* type *Z. crenata*. Among these species only *Fagus grandifolia* was not recorded previously.

Pont de Chocol new record is a smaller and very similar assemblage with 12 taxa: *Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) Kuhn, *Alnus glutinosa* Gaertn., *Alnus kefersteinii* Ung., *Alnus viridis?*, *Quercus hispanica*, *Quercus* sp., *Q. roburoides* Ber., *Ulmus* type *U. braunii*, *Zelkova* type *Z. acuminata* Planch, and *Dicotiphyllum* sp. 3 which probably belongs to the Leguminosae. Herbaceous remains, excluding Poaceae, are totally absent from the macroremain assemblages of the three deposits. Ferns are recorded in Pont de Chocol with one sample (*Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) Kuhn). Buxaceae and gymnosperms are lacking in the small macrofloral collection from La Gratade and Pont de Chocol, but this absence does not mean that they were not present in the palaeoflora.

4.2.3. Vegetation reconstruction

There is no apparent correspondance between the macro- and microfossil records. Macroflora indicates preferentially local vegetation while microflora records a wider environment and provides quantitative data. The combined analyses constitute a comprehensive part of environment and allow for a more accurate differentiated reconstruction of the vegetation types and environments. According to zonal and azonal vegetation of the different sites was quite diverse but not totally uniform. First, in the microand macro-analyses arboreal elements prevail and few plants are indicative of open landscapes; apart from taxa with a wide ecological range (*Ulmus* and *Zelkova*), the presence of few dispersed Leguminosae leaflet (found only in LCH and PTC) and reduced humid heliophilous forest with *Sciadopitys*, *Nyssa* and "Taxodioid" Cupressaceae might indicate the presence of more open areas. The minor contribution of herbaceous plants in the different palynological pollen records (Elhaï and Rudel, 1961, 1965; Elhai et al., 1963; this study) is difficult to interpret, as suggested by Favre et al. (2008) and not well aligned with the presence of some heliophilious taxa (Leguminosae) which are recorded in the different outcrops.

Four main vegetation types well known in the Neogene are identified. The aquatic vegetation type is absent from the macroflora; diversified aquatic plants (*Potamogeton*, *Sparganium*, *Nuphar*) and abundant *Botryoccocus* records point to the presence of a waterbody with stagnant or slowly moving water (Guy-Ohlson, 1992) according to palynological analysis. They are only recorded with high frequencies in the two deposits of Chambon Lake and Pont de Chocol. Only few taxa represent the riparian azonal vegetation with *Alnus* sp., *Alnus glutinosa*, *Alnus stenophylla*, *Betula macrophylla*, *Betula subpubescens*, *Betula sp.*, *Salix* sp., *Fraxinus* sp., and *Acer laetum*. Pollen and macroremains are encountered in all deposits. The occurrence of *Sciadopitys* pollen may indicate a swampy vegetation as found in the Neogene of Bresse where for the first time in Western Europe macroremains support a different ecology than extant species (Philippe et al., 2002). Possibly, *Sciadopytis* may underline a moist broad similar to that occupied by the extant species *S. verticillata*, an

endemic of Southern Japanese mountains under leaved forest, temperate maritime climate with high rainfall and moisture. *Sciadopitys* lives there under more severe thermal conditions, i.e., it withstands three to six months of cold weather (mean temperature less than 15°C) (Willard 1994).

Most of the elements dominating the assemblage (deciduous Fagaceae, *Carpinus*, etc.) belong to the broad-leaved forests outside swampy and flooded habitats and covered large parts of the studied aeras. Diversified *Fagus* species dominated the flora in the area of Chambon with a clear predominance of *Fagus sylvatica* while the deciduous oaks and especially *Quercus roburoides* and *Quercus hispanica* were predominating locally in the forest of PTC and LG. Some, more likely mesophytic woody elements are better represented, e.g., *Zelkova, Acer* spp., deciduous Juglandaceae, namely *Carya, Pterocarya* and *Juglans* may have entered both mesophytic and non-flooded areas together with *Ulmus, Fraxinus* and Salicaceae. Some shrubs (cf. Buxaceae) are relatively rare in the fossil macrofloras. In areas with poor substratum, the pine trees were probably forming pure stands, although the high amount of pollen in the assemblage allows us to suppose that this element was spreading also on sites with higher soil fertility forming a mixed oak-beech-pine forest and belonged to mesophytic representatives of mixed coniferous and broad-leaved deciduous forests.

The last vegetation type constitutes the highland vegetation with the development of conifer forests with *Picea*, *Abies* and *Tsuga*.

Termophilous elements (megathermic and mega-mesothermic elements *sensu* Fauquette et al. 2007) such as some Hamamelidaceae, Lauraceae, Sapotaceae, *Symplocos* and others, which may indicate the Mixed Mesophytic Forest of East Asia, are largely absent. As it is revealed by previous and present studies, the assemblage is floristically characterized by the apparent predominance of 'arctotertiaty' elements (Mai, 1991; Ferguson and Knoblock, 1998) whereas thermophilic elements are quite rare. The simultaneous occurrence of these units and elements attests to a relatively humid, warm-temperate climate. Modern analogues of the studied outcrops might be the rich mesophytic deciduous forest of the Eastern part of North America, Northern China and Caucasus.

5. Discussion

Pliocene plant assemblages in the French Massif central occur at localities variously dated and in various stage of exploration. Based on published fossil floras for most of them, it appears that most micro- and macroflora assemblages may be less stratigraphically controlled than assumed in several former studies, as demonstrated by Bellon (1971) and Gibert et al.

(1977) with the first radiometric controls for several Miocene outcrops in Cantal (Massif central, France).

Based on palynological data, the discussed floristic assemblages of the Chambon Lake, La Gratade and Pont de Chocol areas emphazise the presence of temperate deciduous elements mixed with abundant Pinaceae as typical for the Neogene of Europe. A "Villafranchian" age (3.58-1.7 Ma) was estimated for these three assemblages by comparison with the detailed climatic reconstructions established in The Netherlands by Zagwijn (1960) for the last 5 myr based on pollen analysis, which stimulated comparable palynological researches. The new ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dates obtained for Chambon Lake (4.46 ± 0.05 Ma) and La Gratade (3.94 ± 0.04 Ma) places the deposits in the Zanclean (= Brunssumian; 5.32-3.58 Ma). The Zanclean is first subdivided into three climatic periods: warm (Brunssumian A from 5.33 to *ca*. 4.75 Ma, cooler (Brunssumian B from *ca*. 4.75 to *ca*. 4.00 Ma), and the warmest phase (Brunssumian C from *ca*. 4.00 to *ca*. 3.60 Ma) (Suc and Zagwijn, 1983; Zagwijn and Suc, 1984; Suc et al., 1995). Recently, new ages extrapolated from the climatostratigraphic interpretation of the DSDP site 380 pollen record were proposed for the Brunssumian A (5.45-4.08 Ma), the Brunssumian B (5.08-3.94 Ma), and the Brunssumian C (3.94-3.37 Ma) by Popescu et al. (2010).

Pinus has an important place in the palynological records, whereas *Abies, Picea, Sciadopitys* and *Tsuga* are also important components of the vegetation. The deciduous component of the forest is diversified with *Acer, Betula, Carpinus, Corylus, Fagus, Carya, Pterocarya, Juglans, Quercus, Tilia, Ulmus,* and *Zelkova,* with high abundance of *Sequoia*type (concurrent with abundant *Taxodium*-type) and regular occurrence of *Symplocos* and Sapotaceae (Zagwijn, 1960; Roche et al., 2004). These taxa are sometimes associated with several morphotypes (*Tricolporopollenites edmundi, T. librarensis, T. megaexactus* and *T. microhenrici*) which cannot be considered as valid taxa (Suc et al., 2018).

By comparing our data with micro- and macrofloras recognized in nearby regions with similar datations, it appears that the floristic composition of the lower Pliocene is not uniform. Some analogies might also be drawn with the record from the same altitudinal range in the upper Miocene lacustrine diatomite deposits from Murat (Massif central, Cantal) dated by the K/Ar method to 5.34 ± 0.3 Ma (Roiron, 1991, corrected in Kovar-Eder et al., 2006). Murat macroflora includes *G. europaeus*, *Sequoia abietina*, *Abies ramesi*, *Pinus* sp., *Picea* sp., *A. ducalis*, *A. cecropiifolia*, *Alnus viridis*, *Alnus* sp. (cf. *A. kefersteinii*), *Betula* sp., *Carpinus suborientalis*, *Carpinus betulus*, *C. orientalis*, *Q. hispanica*, *Q.* sp. (cf. *Q. macranthera*), *Q. kubinyi*, *Z. zelkovifolia* (aff. *Z. crenata* and *Z. acuminata*), *Ulmus campestris*, *U.* sp. (cf. *U.*

fulva), Celtis australis, Populus tremula, Carya minor, Juglans regia, Phellodendron sp. (cf. P. amurense), Cedrela sp., A. integerrimum, A. sanctae-crucis, A. campestre, A. opulifolium, A. platanoides, A. tricuspidatum, H. helix, Ilex sp. (aff. Ilex cornuta), Tilia tomentosa, Dombeyopsis lobata, Berberis sp. (cf. B. regeliana), Ceratophyllum demersum, Crataegus sp. (cf. C. douglasii), Prunus acuminata, Rosa sp. (cf. R. californica), cf. Photinia, and Bambusa sp.

The microfossil analysis (Durand and Rey, 1964) gives the following results: *Pinus* (59%), *Picea* (31%), *Abies* (1%), Cupressaceae, *Tsuga*, *Carya*, *Pterocarya* (total 3.5%), *Sciadopitys*, *Sequoia*, *Taxodium*, *Nyssa* (total 3%), *Betula*, *Alnus*, *Quercus*, *Ulmus*, *Fagus* (total 2%), and herbaceous plants (1%). The thermophilic elements such as "Taxodioid" Cupressaceae are scarce while mesophilic taxa are abundant as *Alnus*, *Carpinus*, *Acer*, *Zelkova*, deciduous *Quercus*, *Carya*, etc. Lauraceae are recorded by Legrand (2011) and Hamamelidaceae are lacking. This vegetation may indicate a climate change at the end of the Miocene with a continuous decline in Chambon Lake palaeoflora. The lack of *Sassafras*, *Cedrela* and *Phellodendron* differenciates the Chambon Lake from Murat and may indicate a new significant climate change between 5.34 and 4.4 Ma or an overall cooler aspect due to higher elevation and may relate to the cooler phase of the Zanclean B (= Brunssumian B) in Chambon Lake. In La Gratade and Pont de Chocol, pollen records prove otherwise that microthermic elements are regressing while the mesothermic members are increasing, as seen in the warmest phase of the Zanclean C.

The Massif central results do not fit well with the general evolution of leaf and microfloras described from different Pliocene deposits of lowland from Western Europe. These different deposits include only a few common elements. In Northwestern Europe localities, high *Sequoia* content are recorded at Susteren (Zagwijn, 1960) and La Londe (Clet-Pellerin, 1983) but are absent from the Mont-Dore and Cantal records.

Pliocene of the so-called "Haguenau terrace" and lowlands of the Rhine River in Northern Alsace, France, has yielded numerous fossil plant remains (Geissert, 1974, 1979; Geissert and Ménillet, 1979; Geissert et al., 1990) dated from the early to late Pliocene without independent dating. Most of the elements dominating the Auenheim assemblage show high similarities with the Mont-Dore outcrops and decribed as *Fagus*, deciduous *Quercus*, *Carpinus*, Salicaceae, and Ulmaceae, belonging to the deciduous mesophytic to moist riparian broad-leaved forests outside swampy and flooded habitats. Only a few woody elements are swamp plants, *Taxodium*, *Alnus*, *Nyssa*, and *Fraxinus*. Mesophytic woody elements are better represented, e.g., *Zelkova*, *Acer* spp., *Buxus*, *Carpinus*, and Rosaceae. *Carya* and *Pterocarya* may have entered both mesophytic and riparian non-flooded areas together with *Ulmus*, *Zelkova*, *Fraxinus*, *Gleditsia*, and Salicaceae. Also all recorded gymnosperms except *Taxodium*, such as *Ginkgo*, Pinaceae, and *Torreya* belong to mesophytic representatives of mixed coniferous and broad-leaved deciduous forests in the Northern Hemisphere. Thermophilous elements, such as evergreen Fagaceae, Lauraceae and others, which would indicate the Mixed Mesophytic Forest of East Asia, are largely absent. Exceptions are *Cathaya* and *Craigia*, two relict living genera, which deviate from their ancestors in their more thermophilous character (Kvacek et al. 2008; Teodoris et al. 2009).

Conifers are represented by some more boreal elements, such as *Picea* and *Abies*, typical of the mixed coniferous and broad-leaved deciduous forests. This is a characteristic feature of most late Pliocene floras of Europe. Rare subtropical/evergreen elements occur; typical plants of the European Pliocene (*Sassafras*, *Torreya*) are also present, which may correspond to the altitudinal level of the Auenheim site.

Between North and South a thermic gradient is significant. The Northern Mediterranean area is characterized by dominance of arboreal pollen, suggesting a dense forest cover (Suc et al., 1995) with the presence of mega-mesothermic elements (Cupressus, Cedrela, Laurus, Persea) from records in South localities of Cessenon (Zanclean) situated near Murviel (Hérault, France) (Roiron, 1991, corrected in Kovar-Eder et al., 2006) and the early Pliocene plant assemblage of Pichegu (Gard, France) (Roiron and Ambert, 1990, corrected in Kovar-Eder et al., 2006). The plant assemblage of Cessenon includes: Abies sp., Pinus sp., Glyptostrobus europaeus, cf. Sequoia, Cupressus cf. sempervirens, Laurus primigenia, P. leucophylla, Quercus coccifera, Quercus ilex, Q. hispanica (aff. sessiliflora), Q. kubinyii, Alnus ducalis, Populus tremula, Salix sp., Prunus sp., Cedrela sp., Nerium oleander, and Fraxinus cf. oxycarpa. The leaf assemblage contains only a few subtropical taxa such as Glyptostrobus or Cedrela, absent from the Mont-Dore flora, some riparian elements such as Populus, Salix and Platanus, and plants with Mediterranean nearest relatives such as Cupressus, Laurus nobilis, Q. ilex, Q. coccifera, Nerium, etc. This assemblage is compared with the Californian vegetation, the similar extant model where subtropical humid taxa live mixed with more xerophilic plants (Kovar-Eder et al., 2006). The microflora of Cessenon is more diversified and includes conifers such as Cathaya and Cedrus, mesophilic deciduous broad-leaved taxa such as Liquidambar, Parrotia, Carya, and Mediterranean elements such as Pistacia, Olea and Phillyrea (Suc, 1980). Hence at the beginning of the Pliocene, the climatic conditions in Languedoc show a decrease in summer rainfall (Roiron, 1991).

The early Pliocene plant assemblage of Pichegu (Gard, France) includes (Roiron, 1991, corrected): *Ginkgo adiantoides, Abies* cf. *spectabilis, Tsuga* cf. *caroliniana, Chamaecyparis polymorphum, D. ungeri, L. nobilis, L. primigenia, O. heeri, cf. Persea gratissima, P. leucophylla, L. europaea, Ulmus pyramidalis, Zelkova zelkovifolia* (aff. Z. *crenata* and aff. Z. *acuminata*), *Carya minor, Pterocarya denticulata, Fagus gussonii, F. pliocenica, Quercus faginea, Q. hispanica* (aff. *Q. sessiliflora*), *Q. ilex, Q. kubinyii, Q. cf. castaneifolia, Alnus ducalis, Carpinus betulus, C. orientalis, C. suborientalis, C. cf. tschonoskii, Populus alba, P. balsamoides, P. nigra, P. tremula, Salix sp., Acer tricuspidatum, A. sp., and Bambusa* sp. The assemblage is rich in mesophilic taxa and riparian elements. Some xerophilic taxa such as *Carpinus orientalis, Q. ilex* and *L. nobilis* are present. In addition, the record contains megamesothermic taxa such as subtropical Lauraceae and Celastraceae. There are no taxodioids in the macrofossil record but the pollen diagram shows a decrease in Cupressaceae (including Taxodiaceae) from the base to the upper part of the section (Suc, 1980). The disappearance of taxodioids in Languedoc at that time is certainly caused by the Mediterranean-type climate with dry summers (Suc, 1984; Girard et al., 2019).

6. Conclusions

The plant material recovered from Chambon Lake, La Gratade and Pont Chocol (Puyde-Dôme) and newly dated to 4.46 ± 0.05 Ma and 3.94 ± 0.04 Ma by 40 Ar/ 39 Ar are among the taxonomically richest lower Pliocene floras in the French Massif central. The plant assemblage of micro- and macrofloras added complementary data of both autochthonous and allochthonous origin. It comprises at least 76 different taxa (pollen flora and macroflora), a number considerably increased with the recent collect of macrofossil material. It consists mainly of broad-leaved deciduous angiosperms (*Fagus*, *Quercus*, *Pterocarya*, *Carya*, *Ulmus*, *Zelkova*, *Betula*, and *Acer*) and conifers (*Pinus*, *Tsuga*, *Abies*, Picea, and Cupressaceae including members of the former Taxodiaceae family). According to comparisons with the current data, the Zanclean palaeoflora indicates the occurrence of extensive riparian woods throughout the wetlands, together with deciduous mesophytic and mid altitude forests at the slopes of the surrounding hills, developed in a relatively warm temperate and humid climate. These new plant findings offer a unique opportunity to enrich our still fragmentary knowledge of lower Pliocene palaeovegetation and to gain a more accurate picture of the plant succession and palaeoenvironmental history of the French Massif central region.

Acknowledgements

We thankfully acknowledge Dr. Monique and Jean Dupéron (CR2P, MNHN and Paris 6 University) who spent a great deal of their time to help us with the identification of macroflora remains. We are grateful to Jean-Pierre Suc and Vincent Girard who contributed to the improvement of the manuscript.

References

- Ballesio, R., Rage, J.C., Guerin, C., Mein, P., Meon, H., 1983. Données paléoclimatiques du Néogène de la vallée du Rhône (France). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeocology 42, 247-272.
- Bellon, H., 1971. Datations absolues des laves d'Auvergne par la méthode K-Ar. Thèse 3ème cycle, University of Orsay, 68 p. (unpubl.).
- Bertini, A., Martinetto, E., 2008. Messinian to Zanclean vegetation and climate of Northern and Central Italy. Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana 47, 105-121.
- Besson, J.-C., 1978. Les formations volcaniques du versant oriental du Massif du Mont-Dore (Massif central français), feuille Veyre-Monton 5-6. Thèse de 3ème cycle, Université de Clermont-Ferrand (unpubl.).
- Besson, J.-C., de Goër de Herve, A., Vincent, P.M., 1977. La Dent du Marais et la structure de Farges : éruptions de type maar-diatrème sur les marges orientales des Monts-Dore. Revue des Sciences Naturelles d'Auvergne 43, 19-25.
- Boulay, N., 1892. Flore pliocène du Mont Dore (Puy-de-Dôme). Savy, Paris.
- Brousse, R., Tempier, P., 1981. Carte géologique de la France au 1/50 000, feuille Bourg-Lastic (716), BRGM (infoterre.brgm.fr).
- Clet-Pellerin, M., 1983. Le Plio-Pléistocène en Normandie : apports de la palynologie. Thèse 3ème cycle. Université de Caen, 135 p. (unpubl.).
- Dilcher, D. L., 1974. Approaches to the identification of angiosperm leaf remains. Botanical Review 40, 1-157.
- Durand, S., Rey, R., 1964. Le dépôt de la diatomite de Sainte-Reine (Cantal) débute au Pliocène supérieur et permet de déceler les traces du refroidissement prétiglien.
 Comptes Rendus Académie des Sciences, Paris 259, 1978-1980.
- Elhai, H., Grangeon, P., Rudel, A., 1963. Découverte d'un nouveau gisement de plantes fossiles au pont de Cladel, près de Rochefort-Montagne (Puy-de-Dôme). Comptes Rendus Académie des Sciences, Paris 256, 4700-4702.

- Elhai, H., Rudel, A., 1961. Flore pollinique des cinérites du lac Chambon (Puy-de-Dôme). Comptes Rendus Académie des Sciences, Paris 253, 2093-2095.
- Elhai, H., Rudel, A., 1965. Flore pollinique des argiles ligniteuses du pont de Chocol, vallée des Roches Tuilière et Sanadoire. Comptes Rendus Académie des Sciences, Paris 260, 256-259.
- Fauquette, S., Suc, J.P., Jimenez-Moreno, G., Micheels, A., Jost, A., Favre, E., Bachiritaoufiq, N., Bertini, A., Clet-Pellerin, M., Diniz, F., Farjanel, G., Feddi, N., Zeng, Z., 2007.
 Latitudinal climatic gradients in the Western European and Mediterranean regions from the Mid-Miocene (c. 15 Ma) to the Mid-Pliocene (c. 3.5 Ma) as quantified from pollen data. The Micropalaeontological Society, Special Publications. The Geological Society, London, 481-502.
- Favre, E., Escarguel, G., Suc, J.-P., Vidal, G., Thévenod, L., 2008. A contribution to deciphering the meaning of AP/NAP with respect to vegetation cover. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 148, 13–35.
- Ferguson, D.K., Knobloch, E., 1998. A fresh look at the rich assemblage from the Pliocene sink-hole of Willershausen, Germany. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 101, 271-286.
- Geissert, F., 1974. Le pliocene et le quaternaire au nord de Strasbourg. Note preliminaire sur la decouvert de nouveaux végétaux pliocènes a Auenheim (Bas-Rhin). Bulletin de l'Association philomathique d'Alsace et de Lorraine 15, 199-233.
- Geissert, F., 1979. Caractéristiques paléobotaniques du Pliocène et du Quaternaire en Basse-Alsace. Bulletin de l'Association française pour l'étude du quaternaire 16, 159-169.
- Geissert, F., Menillet, F., 1979. Neue Fossilfunde im Pliozan der Hagenauer Terrasse. Mitteilungen des Badischen Landesvereins fur Naturkunde und Naturschutz 12, 17-27.
- Geissert, F., Gregor, H. J., Mai, D. H., 1990. Die « Saugbaggerflora », eine Frucht- und Samenflora aus dem Grenzbereich Miozan-Pliozan von Sessenheim im Elsass (Frankreich). Documenta naturae 57, 1-208
- Gibert, J.P., Privé-Gill, C., Brousse, R., 1977. Données géochronologiques K-Ar sur quelques gisements à plantes du massif Volcanique néogène du Cantal (Massif central France).Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 24, 101-118.
- Girard V., Fauquette S., Adroit B., Suc J.-P., S.A.G. Leroy S.A.G., Ahmed A., Paya A., Ali A.A., Paradis L., Roiron P., 2019. Fossil mega- and micro-flora from Bernasso (Early Pleistocene, southern France): A multimethod comparative approach for paleoclimatic reconstruction. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 267, 54-61.

- Grangeon, P., 1956. Contribution à l'étude de la paléontologie végétale du massif du Coiron (Sud-Est du Massif Central Français). Thèse, Fac. Se. Clermont-Ferrand, 302 p., VII pl. (impr. G. de Bussac, 1958), et Mém. Soc. Hist. Nat.d'Auvergne, n°6.
- Grangeon, P., Rudel, A., 1962. Découverte d'un nouveau gisement de plantes fossiles dans les cinérites et argiles à Diatomées de la Gratade, Massif du Mont-Dore (Puy-de-Dôme).
 Comptes Rendus Académie des Sciences, Paris D 254, 2617-2619.
- Grangeon, P., Rudel, A., 1959. Découverte d'un nouveau gisement de plantes d'âge
 Villafranchien, dans les cinérites du lac Chambon (Puy-de-Dôme). Comptes Rendus
 Académie des Sciences, Paris 249, 2594-2595.
- Guy-Ohlson, D., 1992. *Botryococcus* as an aid in the interpretation of palaeoenvironment and depositional processes. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 71, 1-15.
- Hickey, L.J., 1973. Classification of the architecture of dicotyledonous leaves. American Journal of Botany 60, 17-33.
- Hicks, S., 1994. Present and past pollen records of Lapland forests. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 82, 17-35.
- Huntley, B., Birks, H.J.B., 1983. An atlas of past and present pollen maps for Europe: 0– 13000 years ago. Cambridge University Press, 667 p.
- Kovar-Eder, J., Kvacek, Z., Martinetto, E., Roiron, P., 2006. Late Miocene to Early Pliocene vegetation of southern Europe (7-4 Ma) as reflected in the megafossil plant record.
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 238, 321-399.
- Kvacek, Z., Teodoridis, V., Gregor, H.J., 2008. The Pliocene leaf flora of Auenheim, Northern Alsace (France). Documenta naturae 155, 1-108.
- L.A.W.G., Leaf Architecture Working Group, 1999. Manual of leaf architecture morphological description and categorization of dicotyledonous and net-veined monocotyledonous angiosperms. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., 65 p.
- Lee, J.Y., Marti, K., Severinghaus, J.P., Kawamura, K., Hee-Soo, Y., Lee, J.B., Kim, J.S.,2006. A redetermination of the isotopic abundances of atmospheric Ar. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 70, 4507–4512.
- Legrand, P., 2003. Inventaire de la macroflore du Miocène supérieur de la diatomite de Murat (Cantal, Massif central, France). Inventory of the Upper Miocene macroflora from the diatomite of Murat (Cantal, Massif central, France). Annales de la Société Géologique du Nord, Ser. 2 10, 25-55.

- Legrand, P., 2010. Complément à l'inventaire de la macroflore du Miocène Supérieur de la diatomite de Murat (Cantal, Massif central, France). Annales de la Société Géologique du Nord, Ser. 2 17, 22-35.
- Mai, D.H., 1991. Palaeofloristic changes in Europe and the confirmation of the Arctotertiary -Palaeotropical geofloral concept. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 68, 29-36.
- Marty, P., 1912. Florule miocène et géologie des environs de Lugarde (Cantal). Revue de Haute Auvergne, 12 p.
- Mosbrugger, V., Utescher, T., 1997. The coexistence approach A method for quantitative reconstructions of Tertiary terrestrial palaeoclimate data using plant fossils. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 134, 61-86.
- Nomade S., Renne P.R., Vogel N., Deino A.L., Sharp W.D., Becker T.A., Jaouni A.R., Mundil R., 2005. Alder Creek Sanidine (ACs-2): A Quaternary ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar standard. Chemical Geology 218, 319-342.
- Nomade, S., Pastre, J.-F., Pereira, A., Courtin-Nomade, A., Scao, V., 2017. New ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar constraints for the « Grande Nappe », the largest rhyolitic eruption from the Mont-Dore Massif (French Massif central). Comptes-rendus Géoscience 349, 71-80.
- Philippe, M., Méon, H., Lambert, G., Erdei, B., Thevenard, F., Gomez, B., 2002. A palm-tree and *Sciadopitys* swamp-forest from the Neogene of Bresse (Eastern France). Comptes Rendus Palevol 1, 221-225.
- Popescu S.-M., Biltekin D., Winter H., Suc J.-P., Melinte-Dobrinescu M.C., Klotz S., Combourieu- Nebout N., Rabineau M., Clauzon G., Deaconu F., 2010. Pliocene and Lower Pleistocene vegetation and climate changes at the European scale: Long pollen records and climatostratigraphy. Quaternary International 219, 152-167.
- Reille, M., 1992. Pollen et spores d'Europe et d'Afrique du nord. Laboratoire de botanique historique et palynologie, Marseille, France, 520 p.
- Reille, M., 1995. Pollen et spores d'Europe et d'Afrique du nord. Supplément 1. Laboratoire de botanique historique et palynologie, Marseille, France, 325 p.
- Roche, E., Dupuis, C., Stambouli-Essassi, S., Russo-Ermolli, E., De Putter, T., Nicaise, D.,
 Fairon-Demaret, M., 2004. Geo-Phytostratigraphie et paléoenvironnements du Néogène de l'Entre-Sambre-et-Meuse et du Condoz (Belgique). Evolution paléoclimatique du subtropical humide au tempéré froid. Geo-Eco-Trop 32, 101-130.
- Roiron, P., Ambert, P., 1990. La macroflore du travertin messinien de Murviel-lès-Béziers (Languedoc, France). Comptes Rendus Académie des Sciences, Paris Ser. 2 310, 997-1003.

- Roiron, P., 1991. La macroflore d'âge miocène supérieur des diatomites de Murat (Cantal, France). Implications paléoclimatiques. Paleontographica Abt. B 223, 169-203.
- Steiger, R.H., Jager, E., 1977. Subcommision on geochronology: Convention on the use of decay constants in geo- and cosmochronology. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 36, 359-362.
- Suc, J.-P., 1980. Contribution à la connaissance du Pliocène et du Pléistocène inférieur des régions méditerranéennes d'Europe occidentale par l'analyse palynologique des dépôts du Languedoc-Roussillon (sud de la France et de la Catalogne, nord-est de l'Espagne).
 Ph. D. thesis, Université de Montpellier II, 198 p. (unpubl.).
- Suc, J.-P., 1984. Origin and evolution of the Mediterranean vegetation and climate in Europe. Nature, 307, 429-432.
- Suc, J.-P., Zagwijn, W.H., 1983. Plio-Pleistocene correlations between the northwestern Mediterranean region and northwestern Europe according to recent biostratigraphic and palaeoclimatic data. Boreas 12, 153-166.
- Suc, J.-P., Diniz, J.-P., Leroy, F., Poumot, S., Bertini, C., Dupont, A., Clet, L., Bessais, M., Zheng, E., Fauquette, S., Ferrier, S., 1995. Zanclean (~Brunssumian) to early Piacenzian (~ early–middle Reuverian) climate from 4° to 54° north latitude (West Africa, West Europe and West Mediterranean areas). Meded. -Rijks Geol. Dienst 52, 43-56.
- Suc, J.-P., Popescu, S.-M., Fauquette, S., Bessedik, M., Jimenez-Moreno, G., Bachiri, Taoufiq, N., Zheng, Z., Médail, F., Klotz, S., 2018. Reconstruction of Mediterranean flora, vegetation and climate for the last 23 million years based on an extensive pollen dataset. Ecologia mediterranea 44, 2, 53-85.
- Teodoridis, V., Kvacek, Z., Uhl, D., 2009. Pliocene palaeoenvironment and correlation of the Sessenheim-Auenheim floristic complex (Alsace, France). Palaeodiversity 2, 1-17.
- Van der Hammen, T., Wijmstra, T.A., Zagwijn, W.H., 1971. The floral record of the late Cenozoic of Europe. In: K.K. Turekian (Ed.), The Late Cenozoic Ages. Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut, pp. 391-424.
- Willard, D.A., 1994. Palynological record from the North Atlantic Region at 3 Ma: a vegetational response to a period of global warmth. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 83, 275-297.
- Zagwijn, W.H., 1960. Aspects of the Pliocene and early Pleistocene. Mededelingen van de Geologische Stichting C3, 1–78.

- Zagwijn, W.H., 1967. Ecologic interpretations of a pollen diagram from Neogene beds in the Netherlands. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 2, 173-181.
- Zagwijn, W.H., 1974. The Pliocene-Pleistocene boundary in western and southern Europe. Boreas 3, 75-97.
- Zagwijn, W.H., Suc, J.-P., 1984. Palynostratigraphie du Plio-Pléistocène d'Europe et de Méditerranée nord-occidentales : correlations chronostratigraphiques, histoire de la végétation et du climat. Paléobiologie Continentale 14, 475-483.

Table and Figure captions

Table 1. Palynological results of LCh, LG and PTC outcrops (Puy-de-Dôme, France).

Table 2. Summary of the macrofloristic composition of the studied localities of Chambon lake, La Gratade, and Pont de Chocol, including the NLR (Near Living Relatives; Mosbrugger and Utescher, 1997) and frequency groups: *, single (1 specimen); **, 2-5 specimens; ***, 6-15 specimens; ****, predominant (>16 specimens). Locality: LCh, Chambon Lake; LG, La Gratade; PTC, Pont de Chocol. Botanical organs studied: L, leave; S, seed; F, fruit.

Fig. 1. Geographical and geological map of the Chambon Lake area (Massif Central, France), modified from Besson et al. (1977). 1: Scree; 2: Recent alluvium; 3: Landslide; 4: Basaltic fall of the Tartaret; 5: Strombolian scoriae of the Tartaret; 6: Basaltic breccia of the Saut de la Pucelle; 7: Cinerites of the Lake Chambon; 8: Nuées ardentes deposits; 9: Trachyte; 10: Soshonite lava-flow; 11: Basaltic lava-flows; 12: Oligocene clayey sands; 13: Cristalline basement; 14: 90 m-high cliff; 15: Summit depression; 16: Fossiliferous outcrop.

Fig. 2. Geographical and geological map of La Gratade and Pont de Chocol area (Massif Central, France), modified from Brousse and Tempier (1981) BRGM geological map 1/50,000 Bourg-Lastic (infoterre.brgm.fr). 1: Granitic basement (La Bourboule granite); 2: Tuffites from la Gratade; 3: "Grande Nappe" rhyolitic ignimbrite; 4: Volcano-sedimentary deposits; 5: Soshonite with pyroxene, amphibole and plagioclase phenocrystals; 6: Soshonite with pyroxene, biotite, plagioclase and sanidine phenocrystals; 7: Potassic trachybasalt (aphyric or with rare olivine, pyroxene and plagioclase phenocrystals); 8: Basalt with pyroxene, amphibole and plagioclase phenocrystals; 7: Potassic trachybasalt (aphyric or with rare olivine, pyroxene and plagioclase phenocrystals); 8: Basalt with pyroxene, amphibole and plagioclase phenocrystals; 9: Tephrite with pyroxene, amphibole, plagioclase and haüyne phenocrystals; 10: Ankaramite with olivine and pyroxene phenocrystals; 11: Quaternary alluvium; 12: Fault; 13: Brook; 14: Habitations; 15: Fossiliferous outcrops: A, Pont-de-Chocol; B, La Gratade.

Fig. 3. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar relative probability distributions for the Chambon Lake and La Gratade outcrops.

Fig. 4. A. LCh-1a: *Fagus sylvatica* L. B, C. LCh-2a: *Betula macrophylla* Goerpp.; LCh-2b: *Quercus hispanica* D, E. LCh-2a: margin and veination details. F. *Quercus hispanica* Rér. G-I. LCh-2d: *Alnus* cf. *stenophylla* L.; H: LCh-31a: *Betula* sp. J. LCh-21: *Alnus glutinosa* L. Scale bars: 1 cm.

Fig. 5. A, B. LG-1: *Fagus* type *grandifolia*; B: detail of leaf margin with small tooth (arrows).
C: LCh-28: *Quercus* type *Q. roburoides* Gaud. D, E. LG-2: *Quercus* type *Q. roburoides*Gaud. F. LCh-69: *Quercus hispanica* Rér. G. LCh-65: *Fagus pliocenica* Sap. H. LCh-20:
Zelkova cf. Z. crenata Spach. I. LCh-7a: *Fagus sylvatica* L. Scale bars: 1 cm.

Fig. 6. A. LCh-38: Acer laetum pliocenicum Saporta et Marion. B. LCh-13f: Acer integerrinum (Viv.) Mass. C-E. PTC-35: Acer sanstae-crucis Stur (Roiron); C: General view; D, E: veination and margin details. F. LCh-61a: Populus nigra L. G. LCh-48: Carya minor Saporta et Mar. H, K. LCh-2: Dicotyphyllum sp. 5. I. LCh-27: Quercus hispanica Rér. J. PTC-17a: Quercus hispanica Rér.; PTC-17b: Fagus sylvatica L. Scale bars: 1 cm (A-D, F, G), 1 mm (E).

Fig. 7. A, B. LG-9b: Zelkova ungeri aff. crenata Spach. C. LCh-72: Zelkova ungeri aff.
Zelkova acuminata (petiolate leaf fold). D. LCh-49: Zekova ungeri aff. Z. crenata Spach. E.
LCh-39b: Zelkova ungeri aff. crenata Spach. F. LCh-39d: Zekova ungeri aff. Z. crenata
Spach. G, H. LCh-37a: Cornus type distans. I. LCh-51: Dicotyphyllum sp. 4. J. LCh-30:
Tsuga sp. needle. Scale bars: 1 cm (A-I), 2 mm (J).

Fig. 8. A. LCh-18: Ulmus braunii Heer. B. LCh-11: Dicotyphyllum sp. 3. C. LCh-48: Carya minor Sap. et Mar. D. LCh-19: Betula alnoides Buch.-Ham. ex D. Don. E. LCh-5: Leguminophyllum sp.1 cf. Cassia sp. F. LCh-42a: Pterocarya sp. G. PTC-22: Cuticular cells with laterocytic stomatal type. H, I. LCh-39d: Pterocarya sp. Scale bars: 1 cm (A-F, H), 25 μm (G).

Fig. 9. A-C. PTC-34A: Leguminophyllum sp. 2; A: General view; B, C: venation details. D.
LCh-22: Leguminophyllum sp. 4. E. LCh-25a: Dicotyphyllum sp. 2. F, G. LCh-51b: Carpinus betulus L. H. Monocotyledon epiderm (?Bambusa sp.). I. LCh-20C: Carpinus suborientalis
Sap. J. PTC-34b, Dicotyphyllum sp. 1. K. LCh-23: Abies ramesi Sap. Scale bars: 5 mm.

Fig. 10. A-C. LCh-2: *Betula* sp., seeds. D. LCh-30d: *Carpinus betulus*, akene. E. LCh-46: *Tsuga* sp., seed cone. F. LCh-30c: *Alnus* sp., strobili. G. LCh-2d: *Picea* sp. H. LCh-13d: *Pinus* sp. I. LCh-13a: *Carpinus suborientalis* Sap. J. LCh-13b: Black circular fungi on leaf.
K. LCh-13c: epiderm incertae sedis (petal?). Scale bars: 1 cm (A-G), 500 μm (I-K).







































































NomeNomeNomeNomeNomeNomeNomeCatabordom ope000.3		CHAMBON LAKE		LA GRATADE		PONT DE	PONT DE CHOCOL	
Processering1010.00 <th></th> <th>Nbr</th> <th>%</th> <th>Nbr</th> <th>%</th> <th>Nbr</th> <th>%</th>		Nbr	%	Nbr	%	Nbr	%	
Pier Applyone open Galage909	Pinus sylvestris- type	131	30	90	25	140	34	
Calency71.104.41.114.44.10Alter prime6.36.125.35.35.3Calar sp.6.36.17.25.35.3Calar sp.7.36.27.27.35.3Capar sp.7.37.37.37.37.3Capar sp.7.37.37.37.37.3Capar sp.7.37.37.37.37.3Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.47.47.47.47.47.47.47.4Capar sp.7.4<	Pinus haploxylon -type	10	2.3	3	0.8	8	2	
Abler, pi9315393 <t< td=""><td>Cathaya</td><td>7</td><td>1.6</td><td>4</td><td>1.1</td><td>4</td><td>1</td></t<>	Cathaya	7	1.6	4	1.1	4	1	
Process Contra equ Contra equ Contra equ Single in a single in a singl	Abies sp.	68	15.5	18	5	24	6	
Centro pin5567263641Stakptive pin5662727363Corporanzend Diagra: (Provar pillen ope)63636363Corporanzend Diagra: (Provar pillen ope)63636363Spenie Zendamin6023736413Aginar pin6263636463Aginar pin7363636463Aginar pin7363636363Aginar pin7363636363Aginar pin7463636363Aginar pin7363646363Aginar pin746364736363Aginar pin757575757575Aginar pin757575757575Aginar pin757575757575Aginar pin757575757575Aginar pin757575757575Aginar pin75757575757575Aginar pin75757575757575Aginar pin75757575757575Aginar pin75757575757575Aginar pin757575757575 </td <td>Picea sp.</td> <td>53</td> <td>12</td> <td>20</td> <td>5.4</td> <td>22</td> <td>5.3</td>	Picea sp.	53	12	20	5.4	22	5.3	
Skindapry op Days by:SiSiSiSiSiCryenasce or pleto-by:SiSiSiSiSiSiCryenasce C'incolar plato-by:Si <td>Cedrus sp.</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td>	Cedrus sp.	3	0.7	2	0.5	4	1	
Pine problem space282828383838Corpossant Universe relations330.75512Corpossant Chandiar Johnson102.35514Sequer Scalar102.3140.3140.3Garpo Sq.121.40.5140.3140.3Garpo Sq.220.40.5150.50.5Princopa gr10.20.30.5150.5Princopa gr10.20.40.30.50.5Princopa gr10.20.40.30.50.5Syra10.20.40.30.40.50.5Operandic Syra10.20.40.40.40.50.5Princop gr10.20.50.50.50.50.5Operandic Syra10.20.50.50.50.50.5Operandic Syra10.20.50.50.50.50.5Operandic Syra10.20.50.50.50.50.50.5Operandic Syra10.20.50.50.50.50.50.50.5Operandic Syra10.20.5<	Sciadopitys sp.	26	6			4	1	
Corpensance diminison of the constraint of the con	Tsuga type 1	28	12	12	3.3	18	4.3	
Opposed ("actional pain of a sector of	Cupressaceae (Juniperus-Cupressus pollen-type)	3	0.7			5	1.2	
Sequencian102.32.43.43.43.43.4Angirary sp.21.40.40.40.40.4Angeory sp.20.40.70.80.7Biorary sp.20.40.80.70.8Biorary sp.20.40.80.80.8Angeory decision of the span sp.20.40.40.80.8Angeory decision of the sp.20.40.80.80.8Angeory decision of the sp.10.20.80.80.8Angeory decision of the sp.10.20.80.80.8Angeory decision of the sp.10.20.80.80.8Angeory decision of the sp.10.20.80.80.8Angeory decision of the sp.10.80.80.80.8Angeory	Cupressaceae ("Taxodioid" pollen-types)	10	2.3	8	2.2	2	0.4	
Adden spin21.41.03.4.41.1Carry sp.61.41.80.14.03.4Proceary sp.760.130.02.0Planyapu sp.120.21.10.20.1Digner sp.120.10.10.10.1Querce dechans pulse sp.184.10.91.10.20.1Querce dechans pulse sp.184.10.10.30.10.1Querce dechans pulse sp.10.11.10.10.10.1Querce dechans pulse sp.10.21.10.10.10.1Querce dechans pulse sp.10.21.10.10.10.1Catana p.10.21.10.30.10.10.1Querce dechans pulse sp.10.21.10.30.10.10.1Basa sp.10.210.10.10.10.10.10.1Procea (Bashary pulse sp.10.210.1 <td>Sequoia-Taxodium</td> <td>10</td> <td>2.3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Sequoia-Taxodium	10	2.3					
Carry op161.49.59.49.4Carry op34.01.00.1Preveary sp.10.20.30.5Epidemany op.10.20.40.30.10.20.5Epidemany op.1.80.10.90.10.20.10.20.10.2Querce decision polon type1.84.10.90.1 </td <td>Juglans sp.</td> <td>2</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td>	Juglans sp.	2	1.4	10	3	4	1	
Capage q2II	Carya sp.1	6	1.4	18	5	14	3.4	
Percentry upIII <th< td=""><td>Carya sp.2</td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td>1.1</td><td>4</td><td>1</td></th<>	Carya sp.2			4	1.1	4	1	
Playearys up, Dependencing of the system10.20.10.30.1Nyon00.30.10.50.6Outerea decisions policatype180.40.40.10.5Outerea decisions policatype20.440.10.7Outerea decisions policatype10.40.10.70.7Degres up of the system10.21.80.70.7Degres up of the system10.21.40.70.1Orophar system10.21.50.70.1Orophar system10.21.50.70.1Defense system10.21.50.70.1Atma system10.21.50.70.10.2Proces10.21.60.70.10.20.1Proces10.21.60.70.10.20.1Opperator System10.21.50.10.20.10.2Opperator System10.21.50.10.20.10.20.10.2Defensional Advisor System10.21.60.10.20.10.20.10.2Opperator System10.21.51.60.10.20.10.20.10.20.10.20.10.20.10.20.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.1 <td< td=""><td>Pterocarya sp.</td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>1.7</td><td>10</td><td>2.4</td></td<>	Pterocarya sp.			6	1.7	10	2.4	
Liquidamina20.41310.5NymeI4.1391.16.2Querea der typeI84.1391.16.2Querea der typeI0.44.11.36.1Garsan sp.I0.44.15.81.65.8Carpian sp.I0.2I.74.11.1Carpian sp.I0.21.36.11.1Carpian sp.I0.21.36.11.1Carpian sp.I0.2I.71.10.2Carpian sp.I0.2I.71.10.2Carpian sp.I0.2I.7I.10.2Chene Sp.I0.2I.7I.10.2Chene Sp.I0.2I.7I.10.2Chene Sp.I0.2I.7I.10.2Pacese Bindowa typeII.2I.1I.1I.2CypenceII.1I.2I.1I.2I.1Naplar sp.II.2I.1I.2I.2I.1CypenceI.1I.2I.1I.2I.2I.2CypenceI.1I.2I.1I.2I.2I.2CypenceI.1I.2I.1I.2I.2I.2CypenceI.1I.2I.1I.2I.2I.2CypenceI.1I.2I.1I.2I.2I.2CypenceI.1I.2<	Platycarya sp.	1	0.2	1	0.3			
NyanII<	Liquidambar sp.	2	0.4			2	0.5	
Querent decisions price184.13911256Querent decisions price20.441.130.7Carpine sp.121213.30.73.10.7Talia sp61.73.00.70.10.10.20.1	Nyssa			1	0.3	1	0.2	
nnn	Quercus deciduous pollen-type	18	4.1	39	11	25	6	
Regar sp.56.06.06.06.0Figur sp.512.030.0This sp.61.230.0Catance sp.61.23.30.0Catance sp.10.21.23.30.0Catance sp.10.21.00.20.0Catance sp.10.21.00.00.0Acer gp.112.592.51.70.1Abar sp.112.592.51.70.1Beaus sp.112.592.51.70.1Beaus sp.112.592.51.70.1Poracea10.261.741.0Poracea finaciologia10.261.741.0Poracea finaciologia10.261.70.20.0Poracea finaciologia10.210.21.00.2Right sp.10.211.00.21.00.0Poplacea finaciologia10.210.31.00.2Right sp.10.211.00.10.10.1Poplacea finaciologia10.210.31.00.1Poplacea finaciologia10.210.31.00.1Poplacea finaciologia10.210.31.00.1Poplacea finaciologia<	<i>Ouercus ilex</i> type	2	0.4	4	1.1	3	0.7	
Image Capins of Capins of Capins of Canance of <br< td=""><td>Eagus sn</td><td>- 5</td><td>1</td><td>21</td><td>5.8</td><td>16</td><td>3.8</td></br<>	Eagus sn	- 5	1	21	5.8	16	3.8	
Tille spoII<	Carrinus sp	5	1	21	5.8	4	1	
Init appInit of a line of a lin	Tilia sp			6	17	3	0.7	
Corplay CorplayI0.23.461.4Corplay UnucZellova71.60.031.10.2Acer sp.10.292.51.70.1Brill sp.102.590.21.10.2Brill sp.110.23.70.31.00.2Brill sp.10.23.78.89096Brill sp.10.201.70.20Brill sp.10.201.70.20Brill sp.10.201.70.20Paceace (Banibus Upp)10.201.70.20Cypenceae10.201.70.200.2Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.200.20Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.2000Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.30.10.20Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.30.10.200Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.30.10.200Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.310.200Raizeae Sanicalokae10.210.310.2000000000000000	Castanaa sp			0	1.7	4	1	
Command Dimutz Action76103.3102.6Dimutz Action7160.03100.2Atter sp.110.292.5174.1Brando sp.102.592.5174.1Brando sp.1133.6104.2Brando sp.178.35099Decess (Bomboar type)118.35099Decess (Bomboar type)110.261.741Decess (Bomboar type)110.310.20.20.20.20.2Type Jancos10.210.310.2	Cambra sp.	1	0.2	12	2.2	4	1	
Accor op. Accor op.AABBBBAbias sp.112.592.5174.1Benda sp.164133.6164Benda sp.10.23.78.389090Paceae30.761.74102Paceae (hambasi type)10.261.740.2Cypenceae10.261.740.2Sypenceae Sincluideae10.261.740.2Mylar sp.11.10.31.10.20.2Sypin sp.10.210.31.20.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.210.31.20.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.210.31.20.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.210.31.30.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.210.31.40.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.21.40.31.40.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.21.40.31.40.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.21.40.31.40.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.21.40.31.40.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.21.40.31.40.2Aphaese Sincluideae10.21.40.31.40.2 <td< td=""><td>Coryus sp.</td><td>1</td><td>0.2</td><td>12</td><td>3.3</td><td>0</td><td>1.4</td></td<>	Coryus sp.	1	0.2	12	3.3	0	1.4	
Alter p.10.2000.4Alms sp.110.292.5140.1Bendu sp.10.31640.30.64Braus sp.19.20.30.3100.2Total AP (Arborean Pallon)424970.3788.339096Poaceae (Bambus typ)10.261.70.20.2Cypenceae10.261.70.20.2Kypenceae10.210.20.20.2Nyalor sp.10.210.310.2Sypenceae10.210.310.2Aphaceae Saniculoideae10.210.310.2Sypenceae10.210.310.23Aphaceae10.210.310.31Aphaceae10.210.310.23Aphaceae10.210.310.21Amarinhaecae10.210.310.2Abaceae30.7720.51Abaceae30.7710.20.5Abaceaeae20.4100.4101Abaceaeaeae20.41.20.410.2Abaceaeaeaeae30.21.12.41 <t< td=""><td>Ulmus/Zelkova</td><td></td><td>1.6</td><td>10</td><td>3</td><td>11</td><td>2.6</td></t<>	Ulmus/Zelkova		1.6	10	3	11	2.6	
Alms sp. 11 2.5 9 2.5 17 4.1 Benda sp. 16 4 13 3.6 16 4 Buss sp. 1 0.3 1 0.2 Total AP (Arborean Polen) 424 97 3.17 88.3 390 96 Poaccae 3 0.7 6 1.7 4 1 Poaccae 3 0.7 6 1.7 4 1 Poaccae 3 0.7 6 1.7 4 1 0.2 Cyperseae 1 0.3 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Mighar sp. - 1 0.3 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Carbyblicace 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.3 1 0.3 Carbyblicace 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Carbyblicacee 1 0.2	Acer sp.	1	0.2	0		1	0.2	
Bends sp. 16 4 13 3.6 16 4 Bixar sp. 1 0.3 17 88.3 950 Praceae 3 0.7 6 1.7 4 1 Praceae Banne 1 0.2 67 1.7 4 1 Praceae Banne 0.2 6 1.7 4 1 0.2 Opperseas 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Aphaceae Stackabekee 1 0.3 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Maphar sp. - - 1 0.3 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Caray ophylicace 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.3 1 0.3 Caray ophylicace 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 0.3 Caray ophylicace 1 0.3 1 0.2 0.3 1 <td>Alnus sp.</td> <td>11</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>9</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>17</td> <td>4.1</td>	Alnus sp.	11	2.5	9	2.5	17	4.1	
Bature sp. 1 0.3 1 0.2 Total AP (Arborean Police) 424 97 317 88.3 390 96 Poaceae (Bambasa type) .	Betula sp.	16	4	13	3.6	16	4	
Total AP (Arborean Polar)4219731788.339096Poaceae (Banhbar type)3761.741Poaceae (Banhbar type)10.261.710.2Cypenceae10.261.710.2type Juncus10.210.310.2Standard Aplaceae Saniculoideae41154.2123Ericaceae41154.2123Caryophyllaceae10.210.310.2Caryophyllaceae10.210.310.3Caryophyllaceae10.210.310.2Caryophyllaceae10.210.310.2Caryophyllaceae10.210.310.2Caryophyllaceae10.210.310.2Legaminoae20.610.2Caryophyllaceae30.77220.4Lamian sp10.310.210.3Childentified poling grains20.410.310.2Ominda-10.210.310.2Collamidae20.410.310.21Data Merein splien163421011Caryophaterin s	Buxus sp.			1	0.3	1	0.2	
hoaceae (Bambar type) Poaceae (Bambar type) Cyperaceae Sype Jancas Apiaceae Saniculoideae Naphar sp. Apiaceae Saniculoideae Naphar sp. I Naphar sp. I Naphar sp. I Naphar sp. I Naphar sp. I Naphar sp. I Naphar sp. I I Naphar sp. I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Total AP (Arborean Pollen)	424	97	317	88.3	390	96	
Poeceae (Bamhusa type) - - 1 0.2 6 1.7 1 0.2 Cyperaceae 1 0.2 - - 1 0.2 Apiaceae Saniculoideae - - 1 0.2 - 1 0.2 Maphar sp. - - 1 0.2 - 1 0.3 Caryophyllacea 1 0.2 - - 1 0.3 Caryophyllacea 1 0.2 - - 1 0.3 Caryophyllacea 1 0.2 - - 1 0.3 Caryophyllacea - - 1 0.3 - 1 0.3 Caryophyllacea - - 2 0.6 - - 1 0.3 1 0.2 0.3 0.1 0.2 0.5 - - 0.6 0.3 0.2 0.5 - 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.3 1 0.2	Poaceae	3	0.7	6	1.7	4	1	
Cyperaceae 1 0.2 6 1.7 1 0.2 Apiaceae Apiacoideae - - 1 0.2 Apiaceae Sanicalideae - - 1 0.2 Maphar sp. - - 1 0.2 Typia sp. - - 1 0.2 Carsophyllaceae 1 0.2 - - Carsophyllaceae 1 0.2 - - Carsophyllaceae 1 0.2 - - - Amaranthaceae - - 1 0.3 - - Laguininosae - - 1 0.3 - - - - - 0.4 -	Poaceae (Bambusa type)					1	0.2	
hype Jancas 1 0.3 1 0.2 Apiaceae Saniculoideae 1 0.3 1 0.3 Apiaceae Saniculoideae 1 0.3 1 0.3 Apiaceae 4 1 15 4.2 0.3 Ariaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 Caryophyllaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 Cargophyllaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 Cargophyllaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 Cargophyllaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 Amaranthaceae 1 0.2 0.4 1 0.2 Cagnifoliaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Rasonculaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Rosoceae 2 0.4 1 0.3 1 0.3 Midentified pollen grains 2 0.4 1 0.4 0.4	Cyperaceae	1	0.2	6	1.7	1	0.2	
Apiaceae SaniculoideaeI0.310.2Nuphar sp.I0.210.3Ericaceae41154.23Carsyophyllaceae10.2I0.3Carsyophyllaceae10.2I0.3Centuarea10.2I0.3CaprifoliaceaeI0.2I0.3CaprifoliaceaeI0.3I0.3LaguninoseI0.20.6IRanonculaceae10.21.00.3Ranonculaceae10.21.00.3Ranonculaceae10.21.00.5Triletes sporesI0.310.2OhunudaI20.4I0.5Indeterminable pollen grains20.4I1.0Indeterminable pollen grains20.4I1.4OunundaI0.210.3IIndeterminable pollen grains20.4I0.1Gandbarteria sp.10.210.3IIndedmified microplankon10241.21.0Indedmified microplankon100.2I0.3IIndedmified microplankon100.2I1.21.0Indedmified microplankon100.2I1.21.0Indedmified microplankon100.2II2.2Indedmified microplankon<	type Juncus							
Nuphar sp. 1 0.2 Typha sp. 1 0.5 3 Ericaceae 4 1 15 4.2 12 3 Caryophyllaceae 1 0.2 5 5 5 5 Cartarea 1 0.2 5 5 5 5 Amaranthaceae 1 0.2 5	Apiaceae Saniculoideae			1	0.3	1	0.2	
Typha sp. 1 0.3 Ericaceae 4 1 15 4.2 12 3 Caryophyllaceae 1 0.2 -	Nuphar sp.					1	0.2	
Ericaceae41154.2123Caryophyllaceae10.2 </td <td>Typha sp.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>0.3</td>	Typha sp.					1	0.3	
Caryophylaceae10.2Centaurea10.3Centaurea10.3Caprifoliaceae10.3Caprifoliaceae10.3Laguminosae61.74Laguminosae10.20.6Cantourus sp.20.6Rosaceae30.720.2Rosaceae30.7720.5Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda10.310.2Osmunda20.410359100414100Osmunda20.410.2Osmunda10.210.2Osmunda10.210.2Osmunda10.210.2Osmunda10.210.2Osmunda10.210.2Osmunda10.210.2Os	Ericaceae	4	1	15	4.2	12	3	
Centaurea10.2Amaranthaceae10.3Caprifoliaceae10.3Leguminosae61.74Lamiun sp.20.6Ranonculaceae10.210.3Rosaceae30.7720.5Triletes spores30.7720.5Unidentified pollen grains10.20.4Total NAP (Non arborean pollen)1634211.7246Areal and spiratory sp.10.210.31101310Muidentified microplankton10.210.310.21313120.4Arcella sp.10.20.41.2130.2131314100Muidentified microplankton1634211.7246131314101410014100141001410014100141001410014100141001410014100151412141214121412141214121414100151412141410014100141001514141410015141412141414161516151516 <td>Caryophyllaceae</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Caryophyllaceae	1	0.2					
Amaranthaceae 1 0.3 Caprifoliaceae 1 0.3 Leguminosae 6 1.7 4 1 Lamium sp. 2 0.6 2 0.6 Ranonculaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Rosaceae 3 0.7 7 2 2 0.5 Ormunda 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda 1 1 0.3 1 0.2 0.5 Unidentified pollen grains 2 0.4 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda 1 3 42 11.7 24 6 Osmunda 1 0.2 11.7 24 6 Osmunda 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda 1 0.2 4 1.2 1	Centaurea	1	0.2					
Caprifoliaceae 1 0.3 Leguminosae 6 1.7 4 1 Lamium sp. 2 0.6 2 0.6 Ranonculaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Rasaceae 3 0.7 7 2 2 0.5 Organization of the spores 3 0.7 7 2 2 0.5 Omidentified pollen grains	Amaranthaceae					1	0.3	
Leguminosae 6 1.7 4 1 Lamium sp. 2 0.6 2 0.6 0.2 0.5 Ranonculaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Rosaceae 3 0.7 7 2 2 0.5 OSmunda - - 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda - - 1 0.3 1 0.2 Unidentified pollen grains 2 0.4 7 1.7 1.7 Total NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 0.4 1.4 100 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 - 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 <td< td=""><td>Caprifoliaceae</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>0.3</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Caprifoliaceae			1	0.3			
Lamium sp. 2 0.6 Ranonculaceae 1 0.2 1 0.3 1 0.2 Rosaceae 3 0.7 7 2 2 0.5 Triletes spores 1 0.3 1 0.2 0.5 Omunda - - 1 0.3 1 0.2 Omunda - - 2 0.5 0.5 Unidentified pollen grains 2 0.4 2 0.4 Indeterminable pollen grains 2 0.4 17 7 17 Total NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 0.4 1.4 Botryococcus sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 22 1 0.2 Unidentified microplankton 10 2 4 1.2 1 0.2 <i>Loganobacteria</i> sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 <	Leguminosae			6	1.7	4	1	
Ranonculaceae10.210.310.2Rosaceae30.77220.5Triletes spores10.310.2Osmunda20.5Unidentified pollen grains20.420.4Indeterminable pollen grains20.41.7246Otal NAP (Non arborean pollen)1634211.7246Osmunda10.210.3101010Osmunda10.210.311010Osmunda10.210.311014100Osmunda10.210.310.210.3110Osmunda10.210.310.210.310.210.310.210.310.2010.2010.2010.2010.21	Lamium sp.			2	0.6			
Rosaceae 3 0.7 7 2 2 0.5 Triletes spores 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda - - 2 0.5 Unidentified pollen grains 2 0.4 2 0.4 Indeterminable pollen grains 2 0.4 7 10 3 Otal NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 Osmunda 10 3 42 11.7 24 6 Osmunda 1 0.0 359 100 414 100 Osmunda - - 2 0.4 12 66 13.4 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Quandacteria sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 22 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2	Ranonculaceae	1	0.2	1	0.3	1	0.2	
Triletes spores 1 0.3 1 0.2 Osmunda 2 0.4 2 0.5 Unidentified pollen grains 2 0.4 2 0.4 Indeterminable pollen grains 2 0.4 7 1.7 Total NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 AP+NAP 437 100 359 100 414 100 Osmunda	Rosaceae	3	0.7	7	2	2	0.5	
Osmunda 2 0.5 Unidentified pollen grains 2 0.4 Indeterminable pollen grains 2 0.4 Total NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 AP+NAP 437 100 359 100 414 100 Osmunda - - 2 0.4 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 - - - Unidentified microplankton 10 2 4 1.2 10.2 - </td <td>Triletes spores</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.2</td>	Triletes spores			1	0.3	1	0.2	
Unidentified pollen grains20.4Indeterminable pollen grains20.471.7Total NAP (Non arborean pollen)1634211.7246AP+NAP437100359100414100Osmunda20.41Osmunda20.4Botryococcus sp.28641.26613.4Spirogyra sp.10.210.3Unidentified microplankton10241.2112.2Cyanobacteria sp.10.210.210.2Arcella sp.10.241.2500POM (Particulate Organic Matter)85805050AOM (Amorphous Organic Matter)00545COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)00550	Osmunda					2	0.5	
Indeterminable pollen grains 2 0.4 7 1.7 Total NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 AP+NAP 437 100 359 100 414 100 Osmunda - - 2 0.4 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 - 1 0.2 - - - - - - - - 1 0.2 - -	Unidentified pollen grains					2	0.4	
Total NAP (Non arborean pollen) 16 3 42 11.7 24 6 AP+NAP 437 100 359 100 414 100 Osmunda 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 22.5 1 22.5 23.5 23.5 23.5 23.5 23.5 23.5	Indeterminable pollen grains	2	0.4			7	1.7	
AP+NAP 437 100 359 100 414 100 Osmunda 2 0.4 2 0.4 2 0.4 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3	Total NAP (Non arborean pollen)	16	3	42	11.7	24	6	
Osmunda 2 0.4 Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3	AP+NAP	437	100	359	100	414	100	
Botryococcus sp. 28 6 4 1.2 66 13.4 Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 0.3 0.2 11 2.2 11 2.2 11 2.2 11 0.2 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Osmunda					2	0.4	
Spirogyra sp. 1 0.2 1 0.3 Unidentified microplankton 10 2 4 1.2 11 2.2 Cyanobacteria sp. 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 Arcella sp. 1 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 total Pollen + Non Pollinic Palynomorphs (NPP) 478 368 492 10 POM (Particulate Organic Matter) 85 80 50	Botryococcus sp.	28	6	4	1.2	66	13.4	
Unidentified microplankton 10 2 4 1.2 11 2.2 Cyanobacteria sp. 1 0.2 1 0.2 Arcella sp. 1 0.2 1 0.2 total Pollen + Non Pollinic Palynomorphs (NPP) 478 368 492	Spirogyra sp.	1	0.2	1	0.3			
Cyanobacteria sp.10.2Arcella sp.10.2total Pollen + Non Pollinic Palynomorphs (NPP)478368Organic matter150POM (Particulate Organic Matter)858050AOM (Amorphous Organic Matter)152045COM (Carbonized Oreanic Matter)005	Unidentified microplankton	10	2	4	1.2	11	2.2	
Arcella sp.10.2total Pollen + Non Pollinic Palynomorphs (NPP)478368492Organic matter858050POM (Particulate Organic Matter)152045COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)005	Cyanobacteria sp.		-			1	0.2	
total Pollen + Non Pollinic Palynomorphs (NPP)478368492Organic matterPOM (Particulate Organic Matter)858050AOM (Amorphous Organic Matter)152045COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)005	Arcella sp.	1	0.2					
Organic matter858050POM (Particulate Organic Matter)152045COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)005	total Pollen + Non Pollinic Palynomorphs (NPP)	478		368		492		
POM (Particulate Organic Matter)858050AOM (Amorphous Organic Matter)152045COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)005	Organic matter	./0		2.50				
AOM (Amorphous Organic Matter)152045COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)005	POM (Particulate Organic Matter)		85		80		50	
COM (Carbonized Organic Matter) 0 0 5	AOM (Amorphous Organic Matter)		15		20		45	
	COM (Carbonized Organic Matter)		0		0		5	

ТАХА	LCH	LG	РТС	FREQUENCY	NLR	DISTRIBUTION	ILLUSTRATIONS
Fungi				*			Fig. 10(J)
Monocotyledons	L			**			Figs. 9(H), 10
Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn			L	*	Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn (syn. : Pteris aquilina Linné)	Europa	
Abies ramesi Sap.	S			*			Fig. 9(K)
Picea sp.	S			**	Picea sp./Tsuga sp.		Fig. 10(G)
Tsuga sp.	SF			*	Tsuga sp.		Figs. 7(J), 10(E)
Pinus sp.	S			*	Pinus sp.		Fig. 10(H)
Poaceae	L			**	Poaceae		
Buxus pliocenica Sap. et Marion 1876	L			*	Buxus sempervirens L.	Europa	
Fagus pliocenica Sap.	L						Fig. 5(G)
Fagus grandilolia Ehrh. 1788	L	L		*/*	Fagus grandifolia Ehrh. 1788	North America	Fig. 5(A, B)
Fagus sylvatica L. 1753	L			****	Fagus sylvatica L. 1753	Europa	Figs. 4(A), 5(I)
Quercus roburoides Gaudin	L	L	L	**/**/**	Quercus		Fig. 5(D, E)
Quercus hispanica Rér.	L	L	L	**/**/**		Europa	Figs. 4(F), 6(I, J)
Quercus macranthera Fish et Mey	L	L	L	*/*/*		Caucasia	
Alnus glutinosa (L.) G aertn.1790	L/F	L	L	**/**/**	Alnus glutinosa (1.) Gaertn.1790	Eurasia	Figs. 4(J), 10(F)
Alnus cf. stenophylla Sap. et Mar.	L			*			Fig. 4(G-I)
Alnus sp	L	L.	L	**/**/**	Alnus sn		11g. ((01)
? Alnus viridis (Chaix) DC. 1805	L	L	L	. , ,	Alnus viridis (Chaix) DC. 1805	Europa	
Betula alnoides BuchHam. ex D.Don	L					Asia (China, Japan)	Fig. 8(D)
Betula macrophylla (Goerpp.) Heer	L			****	Betula macrophylla (Goerpp) Heer		Fig. 4(B-E)
Betula sp.	l/F/S	L	L	**/**/**	Betula sp.		Figs. 4(H), 10(A-C)
Betula subpubescens Goepp.		L	L	*/*			
Populus tremula L. 1753	L			**	Populus tremula L. 1753	Europa	
Populus sp.	L			**	Populus sp.		
Populus nigra L	Ē			*	Populus nigra L	Eurasie	Fig. $6(F)$
Carpinus betulus L 1753	1/F		L	**/**	Carpinus betulus L. 1753	Europa	Figs. 9(G), 10(D)
Carpinus suborientalis Sap. (syn. C.	l/F					Caucasia	Figs. 9(I), 10(I)
Engrinus excelsion I			т	*	Engrinus availation I	Europa	
Comus turo distans	т		L	*	Fraxinus excession L.	Europa	Eig 7(C II)
Uluus ture kugunii Hoor			т	**/**	Uluma agun astria I	Europa	$\frac{\Gamma Ig. 7(G, H)}{E \approx 8(A)}$
Ulmus type braunit Heer	L		L	*	Ulmus campesiris L.	North America	Г1g. 8(А)
Zelkova ungeri aff. Z. acuminata Planch.	L		L	***/*	Zelkova acuminata P lanch. (syn.	Asia (China, Japan)	Fig. 7(C)
Zelkova ungeri aff. Z. crenata Spach.	L	L		**/*	Z. crenata Spach.	Caucasia	Figs. 5(A), 7(A, B,
Carva minor San et Mor	т	т	т	***/**/**		North America	Eige 6(C) 8(C)
Pterocarva sp		L	L	**		North America	Figs. $0(0)$, $\delta(C)$
Acer laetum pliocenicum Sap. et Mar. (syn.: A integerminum (Viv.) Mag. (Bairon 1001)	L			**	Acer laetum Mey (syn. A.	Caucasia, Asia	Fig. 6(A)
A. megerrimum (viv.) Mass. (Koiron 1991)					cappaaocicum Gieditsch.		
Acer integerrinum (Viv.) Mass	L						Fig. 6(B)
Acer sanctae-crucis Stur (Roiron 1991)	L			*			Fig. 6(C, D)
Acer sp.	L			*	Acer sp.		
Leguminophyllum sp. 1	L			*	Fabaceae		Fig. 8(E)
Leguminophyllum sp. 2			L	*			Fig. 9(A-C)
Dicotyphyllum sp. 1	L			*	Incertae sedis		Fig. 9(J)
Dicotyphyllum sp. 2	L			*	Incertae sedis		Fig. 9(E)
Dicotyphyllum sp. 3	L			*	Incertae sedis		Fig. 8(B)
Dicotyphyllum sp. 4	С			*	Incertae sedis		Fig. 7(I)
Dicotyphyllum sp. 5	L			*	Incertae sedis		Fig. 6(H, K)