

## Pilot continuous centrifugal liquid-liquid extraction of extra virgin olive oil biophenols and gram-scale recovery of pure oleocanthal, oleacein, MFOA, MFLA and hydroxytyrosol

Apostolis Angelis, Dimitris Michailidis, Lemonia Antoniadi, Panagiotis Stathopoulos, Vasiliki Tsantila, Jean-Marc Nuzillard, Jean-Hugues Renault, Leandros Skaltsounis

### ▶ To cite this version:

Apostolis Angelis, Dimitris Michailidis, Lemonia Antoniadi, Panagiotis Stathopoulos, Vasiliki Tsantila, et al.. Pilot continuous centrifugal liquid-liquid extraction of extra virgin olive oil biophenols and gram-scale recovery of pure oleocanthal, oleacein, MFOA, MFLA and hydroxytyrosol. Separation and Purification Technology, 2020, 255, pp.117692. 10.1016/j.seppur.2020.117692. hal-02934323

## HAL Id: hal-02934323 https://hal.science/hal-02934323v1

Submitted on 5 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Pilot continuous centrifugal liquid-liquid extraction of extra virgin olive oil

- 2 biophenols and gram-scale recovery of pure oleocanthal, oleacein, MFOA,
- 3 MFLA and hydroxytyrosol
- 4 Apostolis Angelis<sup>†1</sup>, Dimitris Michailidis<sup>†1</sup>, Lemonia Antoniadi<sup>1</sup>, Panagiotis
- 5 Stathopoulos<sup>1</sup>, Vasiliki Tsantila<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Marc Nuzillard<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Hugues Renault<sup>2</sup>,
- 6 Leandros A. Skaltsounis<sup>1</sup>
- 7 ‡: authors with equal contribution
- 8 <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Pharmacognosy and Natural Products Chemistry, School of Pharmacy,
- 9 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimioupoli Zografou, 15771 Athens,
- 10 *Greece*.
- <sup>2</sup> Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, CNRS, ICMR UMR 7312, 51097 Reims, France.
- 12 Correspondence: Professor Leandros A. Skaltsounis, Laboratory of Pharmacognosy and
- 13 Natural Products Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, National and Kapodistrian University of
- 14 Athens, Panepistimioupoli Zografou, 15771 Athens, Greece.
- 15 E-mail: skaltsounis@pharm.uoa.gr
- 16 Phone: +302107274598, Fax: +302107274594
- 17

1 Abstract

This study provides an efficient pilot-scale liquid-liquid extraction process for the 2 recovery of total phenolic fraction (TPF) from extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) and the 3 further purification of the major TPF constituents (oleocanthal, oleacein, 4 monoaldehydic form of oleuropein aglycone, monoaldehydic form of ligstroside 5 aglycone and hydroxytyrosol) using successively centrifugal partition chromatography 6 and reversed phase high pressure liquid chromatography. Special attention was paid for 7 the selection of the appropriate raw material, which should be rich in the targeted high-8 value compounds. For the extraction of the selected EVOOs, a green technique based 9 10 on liquid-liquid partitioning using the biphasic system n-Hept/EVOO/EtOH/H2O 3:2:3:2 v/v was carried out, first on pioneering laboratory-scale annular centrifugal 11 12 extractor (ACE) and then on pilot device. 240 L from three different EVOOs (3x80 L of each EVOO) were extracted yielding 285 g of TPF in approximately 2 hours. The 13 second step of this procedure was the purification of the target compounds. A 14 preparative centrifugal partition chromatography (CPC) process was firstly applied for 15 16 the initial TPF fractionation using a step gradient elution extrusion method with a series of 4 biphasic systems composed of n-Hept/EtOAc/EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O in ratios 4:1:3:2, 3:2:3:2, 17 2:3:3:2 and 1:4:3:2 (v/v/v/v). Preparative reversed phase high performance liquid 18 chromatography (prep-RP-HPLC) was then carried out to polish the target compounds 19 20 from the enriched CPC fractions resulting in purity higher than 97%. In parallel, the above purification analysis led to the isolation of four minor TPF constituents of which 21 22 three are new natural products (EDA lactone, (1R, 8E)-1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon and (1S, 8E)-1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon) and one ((9E, 11E)-13-oxotrideca-9,11-23 diencoic acid) is described for the first time as EVOO constituent. 24

Keywords: extra virgin olive oil, oleocanthal, oleacein, centrifugal partition
chromatography, continuous liquid-liquid extraction, annular centrifugal extractor.

Abbreviations: EVOO-Extra Virgin Olive Oil, TPF-Total Phenol/polyphenol
Fraction, ACE- Annular Centrifugal Extractor, CPC- Centrifugal Partition
Chromatography.

30

1 1. Introduction

Olive oil (OO), since ancient time has a highly economic importance, especially for the 2 3 Mediterranean area [1]. Nowadays, OO has expanded all over the world, from USA 4 and Chile to Australia, Japan and China [2–4]. OO and especially extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) is straightly correlated with the Mediterranean diet and the providing health 5 benefits [5,6]. Most of the beneficial characteristics of EVOO are attributed to minor 6 compounds, named biophenols which compose the total phenolic fraction (TPF) [7]. 7 Hydroxytyrosol (HT) is the most known phenylethanoid of EVOO phenolic fraction. 8 Other significant compounds of TPF are the secoiridoid derivatives oleacein (OLEA), 9 oleocanthal (OLEO), monoaldehydic form of oleuropein aglycone (MFOA) and 10 monoaldehydic form of ligstroside aglycone (MFLA) (Figure 1) [8]. These molecules 11 12 have been widely investigated, providing significant bioactivities. It is worth noting that the last years, the scientific interest has focused on HT and its derivatives as the most 13 important non-nutritive components of olive oil, due to EFSA (European Food & Safety 14 Authority) health claim about their protecting effect on cardiovascular system [9]. 15 Specifically, numerous biological activities were reported for HT, such as strong anti-16

oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [10][11]. Even more, are the studies investi-17 gating anti-cancer activity, neuroprotective effects, potency against Alzheimer's dis-18 ease and anti-inflammatory properties of OLEO [12-14]. Additionally OLEA, has 19 shown significant bioactivities such as anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-prolifera-20 tive, anti-microbial and anti-cancer properties [14–17]. To the best of our knowledge, 21 22 there are only few studies for the activity of MFOA, focus mainly on its antioxidant properties, while for the bioactivity of MFLA there is no significant information in the 23 literature [18,19]. It is noteworthy, that there are limited reports related to the biological 24 activity of the above mentioned secoiridoids in animal models. One critical reason is 25 the required quantities and purity levels in such studies, thus hindering significantly 26 their investigation in animal models and their comprehensive biological and pharma-27 cological evaluation. [20-22]. 28





2

Figure 1: Structures of the main phenolic compounds of olive oil.

In the current study, an integrated process combining continuous liquid-liquid 3 extraction and chromatographic techniques with scale-up capabilities was developed 4 for the isolation of OO phenolic compounds in high yield. The liquid-liquid extraction 5 step was carried out using liquid-liquid centrifugal extraction devices, which allows the 6 development of continuous processes. Two types of equipment were used: a single 7 stage annular centrifugal extractor (ACE) using two co-axial cylinders in order to 8 9 ensure phase mixing [23] for the lab scale feasibility step and then a single stage 10 centrifugal extractor using a rotating agitator disc in order to mix the two phases for the pilot-scale extraction. Both of these apparatuses are based on continuous counter-11 12 current liquid-liquid extraction principle, the only difference of them being allocated at the phase mixing mechanism. They provide high mass transfer efficiency as well as 13 14 excellent phase decantation, thus leading to high throughputs in compact contactors [24]. The rapid start-up and shut-down of experimental procedure makes it also very 15 16 useful for the industrial sector [25]. Centrifugal extraction has already been used in many different industrial fields, including pharmaceutical or food industry [26]. 17

Secondly, centrifugal partition chromatography (CPC) [27,28] was used for the
fractionation of TPF in order to obtain enriched fractions in compounds of interest [29]
CPC is a liquid-liquid solid support free chromatography based on solutes partitioning
at least between two immiscible phases in a thermodynamic equilibrium [30]. A CPC

column consists of a series of partition cells linked in cascade by ducts in cascade and 1 2 arranged in a centrifuge (one axis, two high pressure rotary seals). The stationary phase is maintained inside the column by the centrifugal force acceleration generated by 3 column rotation (50 to 800 times the gravity, depending on the apparatus), while the 4 mobile phase is pumped through it. CPC technique has numerous advantages mainly 5 due to the absence of chromatographic solid support. It is characterized by total sample 6 7 recovery (irreversible adsorption on solid support are avoided), high repeatability, low solvent consumption and high capacity [8][31]. Additionally, it is considered as gentle 8 9 and versatile technique leading to high selectivity, as a huge range of solvent 10 combinations are available and reported in literature. [32,33][29][34][35]. Moreover, the industrial potential of CPC was demonstrated using a scale up methodology based 11 on both column capacity and mass transfer efficiency as invariants parameters [36]. 12 Using continuous centrifugal extraction and CPC at-line offers advantages concerning 13 the amounts and the required quality for in vivo experiments and human studies. It has 14 to be highlighted that both of the above techniques, continuous centrifugal liquid-liquid 15 extraction such as ACE and CPC, could be considered as green methodologies. Indeed, 16 they are characterized by a low solvent consumption when the intensification step is 17 18 correctly carried out. Moreover, the short experimental duration minimizes the energy expenditure and the liquid-liquid nature of the techniques provides the ability to recycle 19 20 the used solvents reducing thus drastically the generated wastes. All these characteristics have a positive impact on the environmental fingerprint of these liquid-21 22 liquid separation techniques [37,38].

Three EVOO from different geographical areas of Greece were selected, based on 23 24 HPLC analysis, for their high abundance in the compounds of interest. TPF extraction was conducted with ACE in the selected OO samples, in both lab- and pilot-scale. 25 26 Afterwards, the fractionation of the corresponding TPFs was carried out using CPC in step gradient elution mode. Selected fractions, enriched in the target compounds, were 27 finally purified with preparative reversed phase HPLC (prep-RP-HPLC), reaching 28 purity higher than 97% (HPLC based). Thus, the combination of two liquid-liquid 29 support-free techniques (i.e. ACE and CPC) with prep-RP-HPLC also based on the 30 partition mode for the polishing step allowed the extraction of TPF and the isolation of 31 OLEO, OLEA, MFOA, MFLA and HT in considerably high yields. It should be also 32 underlined that due to the high TPF availability and consequently the high quantity of 33

CPC fractions, four minor constituents, never been reported before in olive oil were
 also isolated and structurally identified by NMR and HRMS analysis.

**3** 2. Materials and Methods

4 2.1. Reagents

All the reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Missouri, USA). The solvents 5 used for the extraction and separation processes were of analytical grade while those 6 used for UPLC-HRMS analysis were of LC-MS grade. All solvents were supplied from 7 8 Fisher Scientific (Pennsylvania, USA). TLC analysis was performed on Silica gel 60 9 F254 20cm x 20cm plates purchased from Merck Millipore (Massachusetts, USA). The standard compounds (purity > 98%) used for the HPLC qualitative and quantitative 10 analysis were purchased from Chembiotin (Greece) (Hydroxytyrosol, Tyrosol 11 standards) and Pharmagnose S.A. (Inofyta, Greece) (Oleocanthal, oleacein, MFOA and 12 MFLA standards). 13

14 **2.2.** Apparatus

15 The analytical scale extractions were performed on a laboratory scale BXP012 apparatus (Rousselet-Robatel Kromaton, Annonay, France) with 2.2 mL bowl volume 16 and internal diameter of 12 mm. The two phases of the biphasic system were pumped 17 18 into the annular extractor using two Basic Verderflex pumps (Castleford, United 19 Kingdom) connected to the respective inlets for heavy and light phase. The large-scale 20 extractions of OO biophenols were performed using the pilot scale ACE BXP190 (mono-stage unit) (Rousselet-Robatel Kromaton, Annonay, France). The apparatus 21 22 consists of a casing and a bowl driven by a motor directly coupled to the extractor pendular shaft. The bowl has an internal diameter of 190 mm with a useful volume of 23 24 4.2 liters. The two liquid phases are mixed in the annular space of the extractor and are separated in the weir system which consists of a stable light phase weir of 90 mm and 25 an interchangeable heavy phase weir of 100 mm. The motor is fed by a variable 26 frequency drive to achieve variable speed operation with the maximum acceptable 27 speed of 2900 rpm. Both heavy and light phases were pumped through the extractor 28 29 with two ATEX FLUX eccentric worm-drive pumps with FBM 4000 Ex Motor and flow rate up to 75 L/min (FLUX-GERÄTE GmbH, Maulbronn, Germany) connected 30 to the two solvent inlets of the apparatus. 31

The TPF fractionations were performed on a FCPC1000 $\mathbb{R}$  apparatus (Kromaton, Anonay, France) equipped with rotor made of 45 circular partition disks engraved with 32 partition cells (555  $\mu$ L per cell). The total column volume capacity is 955 mL. The 1 partition cells are interconnected by capillary ducts, the latter representing a volume of 156 mL (dead volume). Rotation speed could be adjusted from 200 to 1000 rpm, 2 producing a stable centrifugal force field in the partition cells up to 161 g at 1000 rpm. 3 The solvents were pumped through two preparative ECP2000 pumps (Ecom, Prague, 4 Czech Republic), and the effluent was monitored online by a Flash 14 UV-DAD 5 detector (Ecom, Prague, Czech Republic). Fractions were collected by a C6-60 6 7 collector (Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland). The LC system (pumps and UV detector) was controlled by Clarity<sup>TM</sup> 5.4 (DataApex, Prague, Czech Republic). 8

The purification of target compounds from enriched CPC fractions was performed on 9 a preparative HPLC chain consisted of two Lab Alliance preparative 36 Pumps (State 10 College, USA), a UV Flash 06S DAD 800 Detector of ECOM (Prague, Czech 11 Republic) and injection valve Rheodyne 7755-027 equipped with 1 mL loop (Target 12 Analysis, Thessaloniki, Greece). The separation was run on a Supelco supelcosil<sup>TM</sup> LC-13 18, 25 cm x 21.2 mm, 5µm (Pennsylvania, USA) preparative HPLC column while the 14 fractions were collected manually based on UV chromatograms. The HPLC system was 15 controlled by Clarity<sup>TM</sup> 5.4 (DataApex, Prague, Czech Republic). 16

HPLC qualitative and quantitative analysis was performed on a Thermo Finnigan
HPLC system (Ontario, Canada) equipped with a SpectraSystem 1000 degasser, a
SpectraSystem P4000 pump, a SpectraSystem AS3000 automated injector and an UV
SpectraSystem UV6000LP detector. Data acquisition was monitored by the
ChromQuest<sup>TM</sup> 5.0 software (ThermoScientific<sup>TM</sup>).

The pure compounds were analyzed by UPLC-ESI-HRMS using an Acquity H-Class UPLC system (Waters Corp., Milford, USA), equipped with a quaternary pump, an autosampler, an online vacuum degasser, and a temperature-controlled column and sample compartment and hyphenated to a hybrid LTQ-Orbitrap Discovery XL (Thermo Scientific, Brehmen, Germany) mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Xcalibur 2.0.7 (Thermo Scientific) software was used for data acquisition and processing.

- NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, COSY, NOESY, HSQC-DEPT, HMBC) were recorded on a
  Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometer (Bruker Biospin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany),
- 31 equipped with a 5-mm PABBI 1H/D-BB inverse detection probe with a z-gradient. <sup>1</sup>H
- and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were acquired at 600.15 MHz and 150.91 MHz, respectively.

1 2.3. HPLC-DAD analysis for olive oil selection

A HPLC-DAD method was employed according to the COI / T.20 / Doc No 29 method 2 (International Olive Council (IOC) 2009) for the screening of in-house olive oil library 3 4 [39]. For sample preparation, 2.0 g of olive oil and 1 mL of the internal standard solution (syringic acid) were mixed and vortexed for 30 s. Then, 5 mL of the 5 6 methanol/water (80:20 v/v) ware added and vortexed for 1 min more. The mixture was placed in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min at room temperature and then centrifuged for 25 7 min. An aliquot of the supernatant phase was forwarded for HPLC analysis after 8 filtration. HPLC quantification was achieved on a reversed-phase Spherisorb Discovery 9 10 HS C18 column ( $250 \times 4.6$  mm, 5µm, Supelco) using a mobile phase consisted of 0.2% aqueous orthophosphoric acid (A) and methanol/acetonitrile (50:50 v/v) (B). The 11 12 applied gradient elution was as follows: 0 min, 96% A and 4% B; 40 min, 50% A and 50% B; 45 min, 40% A and 60% B; 60 min, 0% A and 100% B; 70 min, 0% A and 13 100% B; 72 min, 96% A and 4% B; 82 min, 96% A and 4% B. A flow rate of 1.0 14 mL/min was used and the injection volume was set to 20µl. Chromatograms were 15 monitored at 280 nm. 16

In total 10 EVOO samples were analyzed and the levels of HT, OLEA, OLEO, MFOA
and MFLA were determined (Figure S1: supplementary material). The same analysis
method was used to determine the levels of the target compounds in TPFs obtained
from the pilot-scale extraction as well as the purity of the isolated compounds. The
purity of the OLEO, OLEA, MFOA, MFLA and HT was also supported by UPLC-ESIHRMS/MS and NMR analysis.

23 2.4. Liquid-liquid extraction of EVOO

The continuous centrifugal liquid-liquid extraction processes were started with parallel 24 pumping of both feed oil phase (light) and extraction phase (heavy) into the extractor 25 bowl. The biphasic system was mixed (at the mixing zone) and then was separated (by 26 27 centrifugal forces) to treated oil phase and enriched aqueous phase. The biphasic system used for the extractions previously described by Angelis et al [8], was the following: n-28 Hept/EVOO/EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O in ratio 3:2:3:2 (v/v/v/v). The extraction procedure was ini-29 30 tially developed in a laboratory scale extractor (BXP012) using EVOO-I as study material and then the method was transferred in pilot-scale extractor (BXP190) where all 31 three chosen OOs were treated. 32

2.4.1. Extraction of TPF using the laboratory scale annular centrifugal extractor
 BXP012

The feed oil phase was prepared by mixing 80 mL of *EVOO-I* and 120 mL of *n*-heptane while the extraction phase was prepared by mixing 120 mL ethanol and 80 mL water. The rotation speed of laboratory scale ACE BXP012 was set at 3950 rpm and the flow rate at 8 mL/min for both phases (Table 1). Subsequently, the biophenol-enriched aqueous phase was evaporated under vacuum. The experiment was repeated two more times. The repeatability of the process was evaluated by comparing the yields standard deviation, as well as using TLC and RP-HPLC-DAD analysis of the three obtained TPFs.

10 2.4.2. Extraction of TPF using pilot scale extractor BXP190

The pilot-scale extraction of OO TPF was performed using a mono-stage pilot scale 11 12 ACE BXP190. The first step of the procedure was the separately preparation of the two phases of solvent system: 200 L of the feed oil phase composed of *n*-Hept/EVOO (in 13 ratio 3:2 v/v) and 200 L of the aqueous extraction phase composed of EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (in 14 ratio 3:2 v/v). The extraction procedure started by pumping the heavy phase at a flow 15 rate of 10 L/min and at the rotation speed of 1050 rpm. When the heavy phase came 16 17 out from the extractor, its flow rate decreased at 5 L/min and the light phase was pumped with the same flow (5 L/min), while the rotation speed was maintained at 1050 18 rpm (Table 1). The extraction procedure lasted 40 min and finally 200 L of treated oil 19 phase and the same volume of enriched in biophenols aqueous phase were separately 20 21 collected into two different barrels.

22 The next step of the procedure was the evaporation of the collected extract and raffinate 23 in order to recover the biophenolic fraction as well as the treated olive oil, respectively. The solvents (n-heptane and the mixture of EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O) obtained from the evaporation 24 25 were recycled and reused in further extraction processes. The evaporation was performed in a QVF thermal evaporation system (200 L evaporation vessel) under 15-100 26 mbar vacuum at 39°C, while the condensation of the produced solvents vapors was 27 facilitated using coolant (glycol) at -7°C. The TPF obtained after processing of 80 L 28 EVOO was 85.8 g (EVOO-I -> TPF-I). 29

The procedure was repeated for the extraction of *EVOO-II* and *EVOO-III*. Each extraction was performed by using the recycled solvents. The TPFs obtained after extraction of 80 L of *EVOO-II* and 80 L of *EVOO-III* were 109.4 g (*TPF-II*) and 89.5 g (*TPF-III*), respectively. 1 Table 1: Experimental parameters of EVOO extractions and the corresponding yields,

Biphasic system	<i>n</i> -Hept/EVOO/ethanol/water 3:2:3:2 (v/v/v/v)					
Aparatus	BXP012	BXP190**				
	(Analytical scale)	(Pilot scale)				
EVOO	EVOO-I	EVOO-I EVOO-II EVOO				
Flow rate of feed oil phase	8 mL/min	5 L/h	5 L/h			
Flow rate of extraction phase	8 mL/min	5 L/h	5 L/h	5 L/h		
Volume of treated EVOO	80 mL 80 l		80 L	80 L		
Volume of feed oil phase	200 mL	200 L	200 L	200 L		
Volume of extraction phase	200 mL	200 L	200 L	200 L		
Rotation speed	3950 rpm 1050		1050	1050		
Experimental Time	20 min	~40 min	~40 min	~40 min		
Yield of TPFs	83.3 mg* (±0.36)	85,8 g	109.4g	89.5g		

2 using analytical and pilot scale ACE.

The average of three repetitions (standard variation)
 \*\*The heavy phase weir used for both three pilot analysis was of 100 mm.

5 2.5. Isolation procedure

6 2.5.1. Preparative CPC fractionation of TPFs using step-gradient elution extrusion
 7 method.

8 Preparative CPC fractionations of the produced TPFs (*TPF-I*, *TPF-II* and *TPF-III*) were

9 achieved by following a previously described method with slight modifications [8]. All

10 experiments were run in a step gradient elution extrusion mode using the series of four

11 biphasic systems (composed of the solvents n-Hept/EtOAc/EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O in ratio 4/1/3/2

12 (S1), 3/2/3/2 S2), 2/3/3/2 (S3) and 2/4/3/2 (S4), v/v/v/v) and the same experimental

13 parameters. The aqueous phase of S1 was used as stationary phase while the gradient

14 elution was achieved by pumping successively the upper phases (mobile phases, MP)

of the four systems in ascending mode (500 mL of MP1, 1100 mL of MP2, 1400 mL

16 of MP3 and 1000 mL of MP4). The experiments were ended by extruding the column

17 content using the lower phase of S4 as mobile phase in descending mode. The injected

18 sample mass of each TPF was 10 g, while rotation speed and flow rate were remained

19 constant during all experiment at 900 rpm and 15 mL/min, respectively. All collected

fractions (190 fractions of 25 mL) were initially off-line analyzed by TLC and combined based on their chemical profile similarity (Figure S2: supplementary material).

23 2.5.2. Preparative HPLC purification

24 Different preparative HPLC methods were developed for each target compound using

25 H<sub>2</sub>O (solvent A)-CH<sub>3</sub>CN (solvent B) gradients at flow rate of 15 mL/min. The gradient

26 profiles of methods A-E were as described below. Method A (for OLEO enriched

27 fractions): 0 min – 80% A, 20 min- 70% A, 25 min– 10% A and 30 – 80% A; Method

B (for OLEA enriched fractions): 0 min – 80% A, 20 min- 70% A, 25 min– 70% A,

10

1 30 min-10% A and 35 - 80% A; Method C (for MFLA enriched fractions): 0 min -80% A, 10 min - 70% A, 22 min- 50% A, 25 min- 50% A, 30 min- 10% A and 35 min 2 -80% A; Method D (for MFOA enriched fractions): 0 min -800% A, 20 min -70%3 A, 25 min- 50% A, 30 min- 10% A and 35 min - 80% A; and Method E (for HT 4 enriched fractions): 0 min - 100% A, 5 min - 99% A, 10 min - 95% A, 20 min - 50% A, 5 25 min-10% A and 30 min - 100% A. All the samples were dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN in 6 order to reach a concentration of 150 mg/mL and were injected with 1 mL volume 7 8 capacity loop.

9 2.5.3. TLC, UHPLC-HRMS/MS and NMR analysis

10 TLC analysis was performed on normal phase plates. The chromatograms were 11 development with a mixture of dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and methanol (MeOH) in 12 ratio CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 95:5 v/v and observed at 254 nm, 366 nm and at visible after 13 treatment with a sulfuric vanillin solution (5% w/v in methanol) – H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (5% v/v in 14 methanol) and heated at 100–120<sup>o</sup>C for 1 minute.

- For UHPLC-HRMS/MS analysis all the samples were diluted in MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1 v/v 15 and injected (10µL) on a Fortis C-18 (1.7 µm, 150 x 2.1 mm) column at 40°C. The flow 16 rate was set at 400 µL/min while acidified water with 0.1% formic acid (A) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN 17 (B) were used as elution system, in the following gradient mode: 0-3 min 95% A, 3-21 18 min from 95% to 5% A, 21-23 min 5% A, 23-24 min from 5% to 95% B and 24-30 min 19 95% A. Ionization was achieved in negative ion mode (ESI-) at 350°C. The mass 20 21 spectrometric parameters were: capillary voltage -20V and tube lens -80V for the negative mode; sheath gas and aux gas flow rate 40 and 10 units, respectively. The mass 22 23 range was 113 - 1000 *m/z*.
- NMR samples were dissolved in 600  $\mu$ L of deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and experiments were recorded using standard Bruker microprograms. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm, while coupling constants (*J*) in Hz. The multiplicity of vertices is expressed as s (singlet), brs (broad singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), dd (doublet of doublets) and m (multiplet).

29 3. Results and Discussion

**30** 3.1. EVOOs selection

In a previous study of our research group the TPFs of 130 EVOO's - constituting our in-house library of EVOOs - from all over Greece, were analyzed [22]. As it was expected, the results showed a significant difference in the yields of both TPF and its

1 major components *i.e.* hydroxytyrosol, tyrosol, oleacein and oleocanthal, depending on 2 the olive variety, geographical origin, production procedure and cultivation practice. Thus, 10 EVOO samples with high levels of phenolic compounds were re-collected 3 during the 2018-2019 harvesting period and were re-analyzed. All samples showed 4 different levels of the target compounds after HPLC-DAD analysis (Figure S1: 5 supplementary material). The best candidate of EVOOs for the designed pilot-scale 6 7 isolation workflow were OLE 1474 obtained from Lakonia-Peloponnese (code name 8 EVOO-I), OLE 1464 from Heraklion-Crete (code name EVOO-II) and OLE 1437 9 from Lasithi-Crete (code name EVOO-III). The three EVOOs contained high levels of 10 two or more target compounds. More precisely, EVOO-I showed high levels for OLEA and OLEO, EVOO-II high levels for OLEO, OLEA and MFOA, while the EVOO-III 11 was rich in HT, OLEO and MFLA. Therefore, the three EVOOs were re-procured in 12 higher quantities for the following steps of this study. 13

14 3.2. Continuous liquid-liquid centrifugal extraction of selected EVOOs

Two different extraction methods were previously developed [8] on a laboratory-scale 15 Centrifugal Partition Extractor equipped with 231 partition cells for a column volume 16 of 303 mL: a sequential strategy consisted of several "extraction-recovery" cycles and 17 a continuous strategy based on stationary phase co-current elution. In both cases, 18 EVOO was used as mobile phase diluted in food grade n-heptane (feed mobile phase) 19 and the required biphasic system was obtained by adding ethanol and water as polar 20 21 solvents [8]. Considering its efficacy, the same biphasic system was used in the present work. Therefore, the liquid-liquid extraction feasibility using the biphasic system n-22 23 Hept/EVOO/EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O in ratio 3:2:3:2 (v/v/v/v) was firstly evaluated on a lab-scale single stage ACE with a bowl diameter of 12 mm and a bowl volume of 2.2 mL 24 (BXP012). ACE technique have been previously used for the lab-scale extraction of 25 lignans from sesame oil by Michailidis et al [40] with significant results and the current 26 27 study reports the use of this technique for EVOO biophenols extraction for the first time. Considering the density ratio between the two phases of 1.22 (feed oil phase 28 density = 704,4 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, extraction phase density = 853.8 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), and the quite low 29 viscosity of the EVOO-rich upper phase, the rotation speed was set at 3950 rpm 30 31 corresponding to a centrifuge acceleration of 1046 g (up to 6700 g can be reached with this equipment). The flow rate was fixed at 8 mL/min for each phase, corresponding to 32 50% of the maximum flow rate for this lab-scale extractor and an average residence 33

1 time of 8 s for each phase. The 80 mL of EVOO-I were successfully extracted in 20 2 min, providing 83.23 mg of TPF, (done in triplicate, standard deviation: 0.36), without the presence of persistent emulsion at the outlet of the extractor, corresponding to a 3 productivity of 113 g/(h.L) of extractor capacity. It is important to note that in the 4 recently developed CPE extraction methods [8], the productivity was calculated at 8.9 5 g/(h.L) of CPE column using the co-current CPE process, even though the analyzed 6 7 olive oil was slightly more concentrated in TPF (1.3 g TPF/L of olive oil) compared to 8 EVOO-I (1.04 g TPF / L of olive oil). This first results highlighted the efficiency of the 9 single stage continuous liquid-liquid centrifugal extraction process for TPF recovery 10 from EVOO.

The scale-up was directly achieved on a pilot-scale single stage liquid-liquid centrifugal 11 contactor with the following bowl dimensions: 190 mm and 4.2 L for diameter and 12 13 capacity, respectively. The phase mixing take place in the annular space and the separation occurs in the weir system (light phase weir of 90 mm and heavy phase weir 14 of 100 mm). The centrifuge acceleration was chosen as scale change invariant in order 15 to provide nearly the same mixing state and so mass transfer of polyphenolic 16 compounds [41]. Considering the diameter of the bowl, the rotation speed was set at 17 18 1000 rpm, reaching approximately 1060 g. The flow rate of each phase was set at 5 L/min, corresponding to a residence time of 25 s in order to allow an efficient 19 20 dispersion-coalescence of the dispersed phase together with a complete mass transfer of the compounds of interest. The above flow rates resulted in high quality of both 21 22 separated phases by allowing more time to achieve efficient separation. Nevertheless, 23 this parameter should be further optimized for an industrial application in order to 24 increase productivity.

80 L of each EVOO (*EVOO-I, EVOO-II* and *EVOO-III*) were extracted, tending to
recycle the solvents between the successive extractions. The three final TPFs i.e. *TPF- I* from *EVOO-I, TPF-II* from *EVOO-II* and *TPF-III* from *EVOO-III* were evaporated to
dryness yielding 85.9, 109.4 and 89.5 g respectively (Table 1). The corresponding
productivities were 30.6 g/(h.L), 39.7 g/(h.L) and 31.9 g/(h.L).
The liquid-liquid extraction process developed here being only governed by single stage
phase transfer phenomena, we can assume that the productivity decrease (113 g/(h.L))

vs 34 g/(h.L), ratio 3.3) observed between the lab-scale and the pilot-scale, respectively

is mainly due to the selected flow rate on the pilot extractor that increased the residence

34 time (8 s *vs* 25 s, ratio 3.1).

- 1 Each TPF obtained after the continuous liquid-liquid extraction step was analyzed by
- 2 HPLC in order to qualitatively visualize their chemical profiles (figure 2). As expected,
- 3 TPF-I was rich in OLEO and OLEA, TPF-II in MFOA, OLEO and OLEA and TPF-III
- 4 in MFLA, OLEO and HT.

5



Figure 2: HPLC chromatograms of standard compounds, *TPF-I* (rich in OLEA and OLEO), *TPF-II* (rich in OLEA, OLEO and MFOA) and *TPF-III* (rich in HT, OLEO and MFLA) at 280 nm.

9 3.3. CPC fractionation of TPFs and purification of target compounds

10 g of each TPF were fractionated by CPC using a previously described step-gradient 10 elution extrusion method [8]. The different biphasic solvent systems were all composed 11 of n-heptane, ethyl acetate, ethanol and water (4/1/2/3, 3/2/2/3, 2/3/2/3 and 1/4/2/3 12 v/v/v/v for S1, S2, S3, S4, respectively). Previous work demonstrated that the 13 14 compositions of the aqueous phases of these systems are very close, making it possible 15 to use the gradient mode without dramatic disturbing of the hydrodynamic equilibrium during the process [8]. The fractions were pooled based on their chemical profile 16 similarities, after TLC off-line analysis (Figure S2: supplementary materials). 17

- 18 The CPC analysis of *TPF-I* resulted in the recovery of 1135.3 mg of the enriched OLEO
- 19 fraction and 1009.1 mg of the enriched OLEA fraction as well as in the recovery of
- 20 143.5 mg, 102.3 mg and 38.2 mg of fractions enriched in HT, MFLA and MFOA,
- respectively. The same analysis of *TPF-II* led to the recovery of 1045.2 mg, 983.6 mg,

1 845.8 mg, 112.6 mg and 93.2 mg of CPC fractions enriched in OLEO, OLEA, MFOA, HT and MFLA, respectively. The CPC analysis of TPF-III resulted enriched fractions 2 in 1348.5 mg, 845.3 mg, 372.9 mg, 148.1 mg and 83.2 mg of MFLA, OLEO, HT, 3 OLEA and MFOA, respectively. Finally, certain amounts of enriched fractions in 4 MFLA (1544 mg), MFOA (967.2 mg), OLEO (3025.8 mg), OLEA (2140.8 mg) and 5 HT (629 mg) were recovered from the three CPC analysis (Table S1: supplementary 6 material). The purity of the target compounds in the enriched CPC fractions was 7 determined based on HPLC and NMR analysis and found to be more than 80%. 8

9 In order to succeed high purity of the target compounds, all the enriched CPC fractions were purified with prep-RP-HPLC (Figure S3: supplementary material). Aliquots of 10 150 mg/mL were injected via a 1 mL injection loop, while the chromatographic 11 separations were run using different elution method for each target compound (as 12 described at experimental part). This purification step led to the isolation of 1030.4 mg 13 of MFLA (96% purity), 683.4 mg of MFOA (97% purity), 2020.6 mg of OLEO (98% 14 purity), 1463.7 mg of OLEA (98% purity) and 407.4 mg of HT (97% purity) (Figure 15 3). The purity of the isolated compounds was determined by quantitative HPLC analysis 16 using standard compounds for calibration curves plotting. The applied procedure, 17 18 including the CPC fractionation of the EVOO extract and followed by the prep-RP-HPLC polishing step of the enriched fractions, is an efficient method for the gram-scale 19 20 recovery of purified TPF high-value compounds.





1 3.4. Isolation and structure elucidation of new EVOO metabolites

2 The high complexity of TPF in combination with the alteration of OO phenolic composition depending on olive variety and differentiation on harvesting period or 3 cultivation area, make this raw material a continuous source of original natural 4 products. To date, over than 100 metabolites have been reported as EVOO constituents 5 apart from lipids [42] [43] [8,44]. Nevertheless, the use of solid support-free liquid-6 liquid techniques for the upstream steps of the fractionation process (i.e. continuous 7 liquid-liquid centrifuge extraction and CPC) prevented irreversible adsorption 8 9 phenomena and chemical degradation and/or artifact formation. This extractionfractionation process combined with the pilot-scale strategy (80 L of each EVOO were 10 used as starting material) and the prep-RP-HPLC allowed the purification, apart from 11 the major metabolites, of four minor compounds. 12



13

14

Figure 4: Structures of isolated minor compounds.

In more detail, the purification process of OLEO resulted in the isolation of one more 15 minor secoiridoid (18 mg) which was identified as the lactonic form of EDA (mc-1: 16 17 Fig. 4). The structure of mc-1 was elucidated by means of 1D and 2D NMR experiments as well as HRMS analysis. The ESI (-)-HRMS spectrum of this compound showed a 18 pseudomolecular ion  $[M-H]^-$  at m/z 167.0718 with a suggested EC of C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 19 RDBeq of 4 (Figure S4e: supplementary material). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the 20 presence of a total of ten peaks of which three correspond to the protons of an 1-Oxobut-21 2-en-2-yl moiety [a doublet at  $\delta$  9.36 (J = 1.4 Hz) (H-10), a quartet at  $\delta$  6.68 (J = 7.2 22

1 Hz) (H-8) and a doublet at  $\delta$  2.02 (3H, J = 7.2 Hz) (H-10)] and seven peaks correspond to the protons of a  $\delta$ -valerolactonic moiety [two peaks at  $\delta$  4.57 and 4.31 (H-3a/3b), one 2 multiplet at  $\delta$  3.51 (H-5), two doublet of duplet at  $\delta$  2.96 and 2.43 (H-6a/6b) and two 3 multiplets at  $\delta$  2.14 and 1.88 (H-4a/4b)]. Further study of 2D-NMR spectra (COSY, 4 HSQC-DEPT, HMBC) verified the structure of the isolated compound. The complete 5 <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of mc-1 are presented in table 2 while 1D and 2D spectra are 6 7 shown in Figures S4a-S4d (supplementary material). Due to the formation of  $\delta$ -8 valerolactonic ring through the reaction of aldehydic group at C-3 with the carboxyl group at C-7 of EDA, the asymmetric carbon C-5 of mc-1 maintains the same 9 stereochemical configuration as in EDA skeleton i.e 5S- configuration. To the best of 10 our knowledge, there are not previous references regarding this metabolite and thus is 11 characterized as new natural product with the given name EDA lactone. 12

13 Moreover, two minor picks were collected during the preparative HPLC purification of MFLA from the corresponding enriched CPC fraction. The NMR and HRMS analysis 14 (Figures S5a-S5d: supplementary material) of the first peak (12.5 mg) revealed the 15 presence of an unsaturated fatty acid which was identified as (9E, 11E)-13-oxotrideca-16 9,11-dienoic acid (mc-2: fig. 4). The ESI (-)-HRMS spectrum determined the length of 17 18 the fatty chain showing a pseudomolecular ion  $[M-H]^-$  at m/z 223.1341 with suggested EC of C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and ERDeq of 4 (Figure S5d: supplementary material). The complete 19 <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of **mc-2** are presented in table 2. This compound was initially 20 identified as product of hydroperoxide lyase reaction of Chlorella pyrenoidosa with 13-21 22 hydroperoxylinolenic acid as a substrate [45] and subsequently was isolated as antifungal substance from the leaves of *Chenopodium album* L. var. album (pigweed) 23 24 treated with a cupric chloride solution [46]. The direct isolation from natural sources is presented here for the first time. 25

26 The second collected minor peak of preparative HPLC analysis (9.5 mg) contained a mixture of two secoiridoid isomers which were identified as (1R,8E) 1-ethoxy-27 ligstroside aglycon (mc-3: fig. 4) and (1S, 8E) 1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon (mc-4: fig. 28 4). The NMR data of the above isomers (Figures S6a-S6e: supplementary material) are 29 very close to aglycon moiety of ligstroside. The only spectroscopic difference concerns 30 the spin system of the ethyloxy moiety which replace the sugar moiety in C-1 of 31 ligstroside (table 2). The stereochemistry of those isomers was based on 2D NOESY 32 NMR spectrum. The off-diagonial cross-peak between H-5 and H-10, for both isomers, 33 suggests close proximity of the involved hydrogen atoms indicating thus E 34

1 configuration of C8-C9 double bond. On the other hand, in the NOESY spectrum a cross-peak between the H-1 and H-6b was appeared for 1R isomer and a correlation 2 peak between H-1 and H-8 for 1S isomer verifying thus the suggested structures 3 (Figures S6c: supplementary material). The complete <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR 4 spectroscopic data of the above compounds are presented in table 2. To the best of our 5 knowledge, there are not references data regarding both (1R, 8E)- and (1S, 8E)- 1-6 7 ethoxy-ligstroside aglycons. Recently, Cavaca et al., published the formation of both 8 (1S)- and (1R)- 1-methoxy – oleuropein aglycon after acid-promote methanolisis of oleuropein[47]. These isomers have the same secoiridoid subunit with the isolated 1-9 10 ethoxy-ligstroside aglycons. The spectroscopic data referring to monoterpen moieties of (1S)- and (1R)-1-methoxy – oleuropein aglycons are similar to experimental data of 11 the corresponding subunits of (1S)- and (1R)-1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycons verifying 12 13 thus the suggested structures [47].

EDA lactone (mc-1)		(9E, 11E)-13-oxotrideca-9,11-dienoic acid		(1S, 8E) 1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon (mc-3)		(1R, 8E) 1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon (mc-					
				(mc-2)						4)	
	<sup>1</sup> H $\delta$ =ppm, ( <i>J</i> =Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C (ppm)		<sup>1</sup> H $\delta$ =ppm, ( <i>J</i> =Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C (ppm)		<sup>1</sup> H $\delta$ =ppm, ( <i>J</i> =Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C (ppm)		<sup>1</sup> H $\delta$ =ppm, ( <i>J</i> =Hz)	<sup>13</sup> C (ppm)
1	9,36 d (1.4)	195	1	-	178.4	1	<b>5,19</b> brs	103,5	1	<b>5,37</b> brs	98.3
3	4,57 ddd (11.3/6.5/4.5) 4,31 ddd (11.3/7.8/4.5)	68,1	2	2.35 t ( 7.4)	33.9	3	7,46 brs	152,9	3	7,50 brs	154.5
4	2,14 m 1,88 m	26,1	3	1.63 m	24.5	4	-	108,8	4	-	107,8
5	3,14 m	28,6	4- 6	1.33 m	28.8	5	<b>3,88</b> dd (9.4/4.6)	28,8	5	<b>3,98</b> dd (9.6/4.3)	30.8
6	2,96 dd (16.5/11.3) 2,43 dd (16.5/6.4)	32,9	7	1.45 m	28.5	6	<b>2,84</b> dd (14.8/9.4) <b>2,73</b> dd (14.8/4.6)	39,1	6	<b>2,78</b> dd (14.8/4.3) <b>2,34</b> dd (14.8/9.6)	40.7
7	-	172	8	2.21 m	32.9	7	-	172,3	7	-	171,4
8	6,68 q ( 7.2)	152,2	9	6.27 m	147.2	8	<b>5,70</b> q (7.1)	128,4	8	<b>6.04</b> q (7.1)	124.1
9	-	144,3	10	6.29 m	128.6	9	-	131,5	9	-	129,5
10	2,02 d (7.2)	14,8	11	7.07 dd (15.2/7.9)	152.8	10	1,71 d ( 7.0)	13,8	10	1,68 dd (7.1/1.5)	13,8
			12	6.08 dd (15.2/10.0)	130.0	11	-	167,2	11	-	167,2
			13	9.53 d (7.9)	194.1	12	3,71s	51,5	12	3,71s	51,5
						1'	4,25 m 4,16 m	65,5	1'	4,25 m 4,16 m	65,5
						2'	2,85 t (7.2)	34,6	2'	2,85 t (7.2)	34,6
						3'	-	130,1	3'	-	130,1
						4'/8	7,08 d (8.5)	130,6	4'/8	7,07 d (8.5)	130,6
						5'/7	6,75 d (8.5)	115,8	5'/7	6,76 d (8.5)	115,8
						6'	-	154.4	6'	-	154.4
						1"	<b>3.78</b> dq (9.4/7.0) <b>3.55</b> dq (9.4/7.0)	64.7	1"	<b>4.04</b> dq (9.4/7.0) <b>3.68</b> dq (9.4/7.0)	66.3
						2"	1.21 t (7.0)	15.4	2"	1.29 t (7.0)	15.3

**Table 2:** <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of the new EVOO constituents.

#### 4. Conclusion

A pioneering ACE-based extraction was developed from laboratory to pilot scale, leading for the first time to the recovery of EVOO phenolic fraction in large quantities. Prep-CPC analysis was conducted on TPF providing certain amounts of enriched fractions with EVOO biophenols. Furthermore, prep-HPLC was applied for the gram-scale isolation of OLEA, OLEO, HT, MFOA and MFLA in pure form. In parallel, the availability of considerable amounts of enriched CPC fractions provided the opportunity for in depth analysis and made posible the isolation of three novel natural products (EDA lactone, (1R, 8E)-1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon, (1S, 8E)-1-ethoxy-ligstroside aglycon) and a fatty acid that was isolated for the first time from EVOO. This highly productive methodology, with the advantage of industrial scale potentials, provide in short period of time, huge amounts of EVOO TPF and high-added value compounds in pure form. It should be underlined that is the first time where OLEA, OLEO, MFOA and MFLA are available in quantities able to meet the needs of *in vivo* 

# experiments.

#### Funding

The current work was funded by the EU and project OliveNetH2020-MSCA-RISE-2016 – "Bioactive compounds from *Olea europaea*: investigation and application in food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industry" (Proposal Number: 734899) under the Horizon2020 framework. The present work was co-funded by the European Union (ERDF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation," under the call "STRENGTHENING RESEARCH AND INNOVATION INFRASTRUCTURES" (project code: 5002803).

#### Acknowledgments

The research work was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) and the General Secretariat for Research and Technology (GSRT), under the HFRI PhD Fellowship grant (GA. 14498).

References

- F. Luchetti, Importance and future of olive oil in the world market an introduction to olive oil, Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 104 (2002) 569–563. https://doi.org/10.1002/1438-9312(200210)104:9/10<559::AID-EJLT559>3.0.CO;2-Q.
- [2] R.R. Muñoz, M.L. Moya, J.M. Gil, Market values for olive oil attributes in Chile: A hedonic price function, Br. Food J. 117 (2015) 358–370. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-01-2014-0009.
- [3] D. Capogna, M.I. Gomez, Olive oil: an overview of the Japanese market, Oilseeds Fats Crop. Lipids. 23 (2016) 21–23. https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2016041.
- [4] C.J. Su, J. Sun, W. Zhu, L. Peng, History, distribution, and potential of the olive industry in China: A review, Sustain. 10 (2018) 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051426.
- [5] F. Visioli, M. Franco, E. Toledo, J. Luchsinger, W.C. Willett, F.B. Hu, M.A. Martinez-Gonzalez, Olive oil and prevention of chronic diseases: Summary of an International conference, Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 28 (2018) 649–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2018.04.004.
- [6] F.B. Hu, The Mediterranean Diet and Mortality Olive Oil and Beyond, N. Engl. J. Med. 348 (2003) 2595–2596. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp030069.
- [7] N.B. Ray, K.D. Hilsabeck, T.C. Karagiannis, D.E. McCord, Bioactive Olive Oil Polyphenols in the Promotion of Health, in: Role Funct. Food Secur. Glob. Heal., Academic Press, 2019: pp. 623–637. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813148-0.00036-0.
- [8] A. Angelis, M. Hamzaoui, N. Aligiannis, T. Nikou, D. Michailidis, P. Gerolimatos, A. Termentzi, J. Hubert, M. Halabalaki, J.H. Renault, A.L. Skaltsounis, An integrated process for the recovery of high added-value compounds from olive oil using solid support free liquid-liquid extraction and chromatography techniques, J. Chromatogr. A. 1491 (2017) 126–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.02.046.
- [9] N. and A. (NDA) EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to polyphenols in olive and maintenance of normal blood HDL cholesterol concentrations (ID 1639, further assessment) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006, EFSA J. 10 (2012) 2848. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2848.
- [10] L. Martinez, G. Ros, G. Nieto, Hydroxytyrosol: Health Benefits and Use as Functional Ingredient in Meat., Med. (Basel, Switzerland). 5 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines5010013.
- [11] P. Kouka, A. Priftis, D. Stagos, A. Angelis, P. Stathopoulos, N. Xinos, A.-L. Skaltsounis, C. Mamoulakis, A.M. Tsatsakis, D.A. Spandidos, D. Kouretas, Assessment of the antioxidant activity of an olive oil total polyphenolic fraction and hydroxytyrosol from a Greek Olea europea variety in endothelial cells and myoblasts, Int. J. Mol. Med. 40 (2017) 703–712. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2017.3078.
- [12] G.K. Beauchamp, R.S.J. Keast, D. Morel, J. Lin, J. Pika, Q. Han, C.H. Lee, A.B. Smith, P.A.S. Breslin, Ibuprofen-like activity in extra-virgin olive oil, Nature. 437 (2005) 45–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/437045a.
- [13] A.H. Abuznait, H. Qosa, B.A. Busnena, K.A. El Sayed, A. Kaddoumi, Olive-Oil-Derived Oleocanthal Enhances β-Amyloid Clearance as a Potential Neuroprotective Mechanism against Alzheimer's Disease: In Vitro and in Vivo

Studies, ACS Chem. Neurosci. 4 (2013) 973–982. https://doi.org/10.1021/cn400024q.

- [14] B. Polini, M. Digiacomoa, S. Carpi, S. Bertini, F. Gado, G. Saccomanni, M. Macchia, P. Nieri, C. Manera, S. Fogli, Oleocanthal and oleacein contribute to the in vitro therapeutic potential ofextra virgin oil-derived extracts in non-melanoma skin cancer, Toxicol. Vitr. 52 (2018) 243–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2018.06.021.
- [15] M. Czerwińska, A.K. Kiss, M. Naruszewicz, A comparison of antioxidant activities of oleuropein and its dialdehydic derivative from olive oil, oleacein, Food Chem. 131 (2012) 940–947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.09.082.
- [16] A. Filipek, M.E. Czerwińska, A.K. Kiss, M. Wrzosek, M. Naruszewicz, Oleacein enhances anti-inflammatory activity of human macrophages by increasing CD163 receptor expression, Phytomedicine. 22 (2015) 1255–1261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2015.10.005.
- M. Naruszewicz, M. Czerwinska, A. Kiss, Oleacein. Translation from Mediterranean Diet to Potential Antiatherosclerotic Drug, Curr. Pharm. Des. 21 (2015) 1205–1212. https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666141007141137.
- [18] R. Masella, A. Cardilli, L. Gennaro, A. Bocca, D. Modesti, E. Coni, A. Cantafora, Antioxidant activity of 3,4-DHPEA-EA and protocatecuic acid: a comparative assessment with other olive oil biophenols, Redox Rep. 4 (2004) 113–121. https://doi.org/10.1179/135100099101534792.
- [19] F. Paiva-Martins, M.H. Gordon, P. Gameiro, Activity and location of olive oil phenolic antioxidants in liposomes, Chem. Phys. Lipids. 124 (2003) 23–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-3084(03)00032-X.
- [20] K.-C. Lai, S.-F. Peng, C.-C. Liu, J.-Y. Huang, J.-Y. Kuo, Z.-Y. Cheng, R.S.-C. Wu, C.-C. Lin, J.-K. Chen, J.-G. Chung, Maslinic Acid Enhances Immune Responses in Leukemic Mice Through Macrophage Phagocytosis and Natural Killer Cell Activities In Vivo., In Vivo (Brooklyn). 33 (2019) 65–73. https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.11440.
- [21] K. Shimazu, S. Fukumitsu, T. Ishijima, T. Toyoda, Y. Nakai, K. Abe, K. Aida, S. Okada, A. Hino, The Anti-Arthritis Effect of Olive-Derived Maslinic Acid in mice is Due to its Promotion of Tissue Formation and its Anti-Inflammatory Effects, Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 1800543 (2018) 1800543. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201800543.
- [22] T. Nikou, V. Liaki, P. Stathopoulos, A. D.Sklirou, E.N. Tsakiri, T. Jakschitz, G. Bonn, I.P. Trougakos, M. Halabalaki, L.A. Skaltsounis, Comparison survey of EVOO polyphenols and exploration of healthy aging-promoting properties of oleocanthal and oleacein, Food Chem. Toxicol. 125 (2019) 403–412. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2019.01.016.
- [23] X. Jing, P. Ning, H. Cao, J. Wang, Z. Sun, A review of application of annular centrifugal contactors in aspects of masstransfer and operational security, Hydrometallurgy. 177 (2018) 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2018.02.005.
- [24] S.S. Deshmukh, J.B. Joshi, S.B. Koganti, Flow visualization and threedimensional CFD simulation of the annular region of an annular centrifugal extractor, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47 (2008) 3677–3686. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie070959w.
- [25] J. Zhou, W. Duan, X. Zhou, C. Zhang, Application of annular centrifugal contactors in the extraction flowsheet for producing high purity yttrium,

Hydrometallurgy. 85 (2007) 154–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2006.08.010.

- [26] L.L.M. Meikrantz D.H. WD Flim, C. J. Heald, G.Mendoza, S. B.Meikrantz, A new annular centrifugal contactor for pharmaceutical processes, Chem. Eng. Comm. 189 (2002) 1629–1639.
- [27] W. Murayama, T. Kobayashi, Y. Kosuge, H. Yano, Y. Nunogaki, K. Nunogaki, A new centrifugal counter-current chromatograph and its application, J. Chromatogr. A. 239 (1982) 643–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(00)82022-1.
- [28] A.P. Foucault, Centrifugal partition chromatography, M. Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [29] N. Fumat, A. Berthod, K. Faure, Effect of operating parameters on a centrifugal partition chromatography separation, J. Chromatogr. A. 1474 (2016) 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.10.014.
- [30] I.A. Sutherland, Recent progress on the industrial scale-up of counter-current chromatography, J Chromatogr A. 1151 (2007) 6–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.01.143.
- [31] H. Zhou, J. Lv, Q. Yuan, Preparative isolation and purification of punicalin from pomegranate husk by high-speed countercurrent chromatography, Sep. Purif. Technol. 72 (2010) 225–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2010.01.018.
- [32] M. Bojczuk, D. Żyżelewicz, P. Hodurek, Centrifugal partition chromatography – A review of recent applications and some classic references, J. Sep. Sci. Sci. 40 (2017) 1597–1609. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201601221.
- [33] A. Berthod, M.J. Ruiz-ángel, S. Carda-broch, Countercurrent chromatography: People and applications, J. Chromatogr. A. 1216 (2009) 4206–4217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.10.071.
- [34] O. Shpigun, T. Maryutina, A. Berthod, B. Spivakov, I.A. Sutherland, Countercurrent chromatography in analytical chemistry (IUPAC Technical Report), Pure Appl. Chem. 81 (2009) 355–387. https://doi.org/10.1351/pac-rep-08-06-05.
- [35] J. Kang, D. Gu, T. Wu, M. Wang, H. Zhang, H. Guo, Y. Yin, Y. Yang, J. Tian, An approach based upon the consecutive separation and the economical twophase solvent system preparation using UNIFAC mathematical model for increasing the yield of high-speed counter-current chromatography, Sep. Purif. Technol. 162 (2016) 142–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.02.026.
- [36] A. Kotland, S. Chollet, C. Diard, J. Autret, J. Meucci, J. Renault, L. Marchal, Industrial case study on alkaloids purification by pH-zone refining centrifugal partition chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1474 (2016) 59–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.10.039.
- [37] F. Chemat, M. Abert Vian, A.S. Fabiano-Tixier, M. Nutrizio, A. Režek Jambrak, P.E.S. Munekata, J.M. Lorenzo, F.J. Barba, A. Binello, G. Cravotto, A review of sustainable and intensified techniques for extraction of food and natural products, Green Chem. 22 (2020) 2325–2353. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9gc03878g.
- [38] F. Chemat, M. Abert-Vian, A.S. Fabiano-Tixier, J. Strube, L. Uhlenbrock, V. Gunjevic, G. Cravotto, Green extraction of natural products. Origins, current status, and future challenges, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 118 (2019) 248–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.05.037.
- [39] International Olive Council, Determination of Biophenols in Olive Oils by HPLC, COI/T.20/Doc No 29/Rev.1. 29 (2017) 1–8.

- [40] D. Michailidis, A. Angelis, N. Aligiannis, S. Mitakou, L. Skaltsounis, Recovery of Sesamin, Sesamolin, and Minor Lignans From Sesame Oil Using Solid Support-Free Liquid-Liquid Extraction and Chromatography Techniques and Evaluation of Their Enzymatic Inhibition Properties, 10 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00723.
- [41] P.J. Fellows, Food processing technology-principles and practice, 4th ed., Woodhead Publishing, Elsevier Ltd., 2017.
- [42] A. Termentzi, M. Halabalaki, A.L. Skaltsounis, From Drupes to Olive Oil: An Exploration of Olive Key Metabolites, AOCS Press, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-63067-041-2.50012-4.
- [43] K.L. Tuck, P.J. Hayball, Major phenolic compounds in olive oil: metabolism and health effects, J. Nutr. Biochem. 13 (2002) 636–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2863(02)00229-2.
- [44] A. Angelis, L. Antoniadi, P. Stathopoulos, M. Halabalaki, L.A. Skaltsounis, Oleocanthalic and Oleaceinic acids: New compounds from Extra Virgin Olive Oil (EVOO), Phytochem. Lett. 26 (2018) 190–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2018.06.020.
- [45] B.A. Vick, D.C. Zimmerman, Metabolism of Fatty Acid Hydroperoxides by Chlorella pyrenoidosa, Plant Physiol. 90 (1989) 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.90.1.125.
- [46] S. Tahara, S. Kasai, M. Inoue, J. Mizutani, Identification of mucondialdehyde as a novel stress metabolite, Experientia. 50 (1994) 137–141. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01984952.
- [47] L.A.S. Cavaca, C.A.B. Rodrigues, S.P. Simeonov, R.F.A. Gomes, J.A.S. Coelho, G.P. Romanelli, A.G. Sathicq, J.J. Martinez, C.A.M. Afonso, Valorization of Oleuropein via Tunable Acid-Promoted Methanolysis., ChemSusChem. 11 (2018) 2300–2305. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201800980.

Supplementary material

**Table S1:** The yields of the enriched in the target compounds CPC fractious recovered from the CPC fractionation of the three TPF extracts obtained after continuous liquid-liquid extraction of the three selected EVOO.

	Enriched CPC fractions								
Analyzed TPF									
	MFLA	MFOA	OLEO	OLEA	HT				
TPF-I	102.3 mg	38.2 mg	1135.3 mg	1009.1 mg	143.5 mg				
TPF-II	93.2 mg	845.8 mg	1045.2 mg	983.6 mg	112.6 mg				
TPF-III	1348.5 mg	83.2 mg	845.3 mg	148.1 mg	372.9 mg				
Total	1544 mg	967.2 mg	3025.8 mg	2140.8 mg	629 mg				