

Transgenerational regulation of cbln11 gene expression in the olfactory rosette of the European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) exposed to ocean acidification

David Mazurais, Arianna Servili, Cyril Noel, Alexandre Cormier, Sophie Collet, Romane Leseur, Maelenn Le Roy, Thomas Vitré, Lauriane Madec, Jose-Luis Zambonino-Infante

▶ To cite this version:

David Mazurais, Arianna Servili, Cyril Noel, Alexandre Cormier, Sophie Collet, et al.. Transgenerational regulation of cbln11 gene expression in the olfactory rosette of the European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) exposed to ocean acidification. Marine Environmental Research, 2020, 159, pp.105022. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105022 . hal-02933387

HAL Id: hal-02933387 https://hal.science/hal-02933387

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141113620302658 Manuscript_f33b778b7faf852e4fb1ecb33e478068

Transgenerational regulation of *cbln11* gene expression in the olfactory rosette of the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) exposed to ocean acidification

David Mazurais^{*1}, Arianna Servili¹, Cyril Noel², Alexandre Cormier², Sophie Collet¹, Romane Leseur¹, Maelenn Le Roy¹, Thomas Vitré¹, Lauriane Madec¹, José-Luis Zambonino-Infante¹

1-IFREMER, Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, F-29280, Plouzané, France

2- IFREMER, SEBIMER, F-29280, Plouzané, France

*corresponding author. Mail dmazurai@ifremer.fr

Abstract

Elevated amounts of atmospheric CO_2 are causing ocean acidification (OA) that may affect marine organisms including fish species. While several studies carried out in fish revealed that OA induces short term dysfunction in sensory systems including regulation of neurons activity in olfactory epithelium, information on the effects of OA on other physiological processes and actors is scarcer. In the present study we focused our attention on a European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) sghClq gene, a member of the C1q-domain-containing (C1qDC) protein family. In vertebrates, C1qDC family includes actors involved in different physiological processes including immune response and synaptic organization. Our microsynteny analysis revealed that this sghClq gene is the orthologous gene in European sea bass to zebrafish (Danio rerio) cbln11 gene. We cloned the full length cbln11 mRNA and identified the different domains (the signal peptide, the coiled coil region and the globular Clq domain) of the deduced protein sequence. Investigation of mRNA expression by qPCR and in situ hybridization revealed that *cbln11* gene is especially expressed in the non-sensory epithelium of the olfactory rosette at larval and adult stages. The expression of *cbln11* mRNA was analyzed by qPCR in the first generation (F0) of European sea bass broodstock exposed since larval stages to water pH of 8.0 (control) or 7.6 (predicted for year 2100) and in their offspring (F1) maintained in the environmental conditions of their parents. Our results showed that *cbln11* mRNA expression level was lower in larvae exposed to OA then up-regulated at adult stage in the olfactory rosette of F0 and that this up-regulation is maintained under OA at larval and juvenile stages in F1. Overall, this work provides evidence of a transgenerational inheritance of OA-induced up-regulation of *cbln11* gene expression in European sea bass. Further studies will investigate the potential immune function of cbln11 gene and the consequences of these regulations, as well as the possible implications in terms of fitness and adaptation to OA in European sea bass.

Introduction

Ocean acidification (OA) due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide is a major environmental concern, with the potential to affect the marine ecosystem [1-3]. Several studies have highlighted a range of severe biological effects (growth, calcification, immune defense, etc.), on calcifying organisms such as corals and invertebrates [4, 5]. Although most fish can efficiently cope with an OA-induced acid-base disturbance thanks to ionic transport through specialized gill ionocytes, resulting physiological tradeoffs may induce numerous effects [6-9]. Among them, impacts on neurosensory systems (olfaction, vision and hearing) were reported with alterations of several behavioural parameters (e.g. predation, orientation) that can affect individuals' fitness [10-15]. Even if these effects have been recently subjected to debates, the behavioural impact of OA is undoubtedly the most documented [16]. Increased calcification of otolith as well as metabolic shift is also sensitive endpoints induced by exposure of fish to OA [17-25]. Additionally but not finally, fewer studies suggest regulation of other physiological processes, such as the complement system (Bresolin de Souza et al., 2016) or the reproductive function [26-28].

Literature reported transcriptomic and proteomic approaches aiming at identifying globally and without a priori the effect of OA on gene expression profile in different fish tissues. Albeit these "omics" approaches have revealed unexplored potential effects on physiological processes, most of them are far to be completely understood [29-33]. Furthermore, the ecological implications of OA on fish species are uncertain since they depend on their potential for acclimation and adaptation to long term exposure to OA within and across generations [34-36]. Depending on phenotypic endpoints considered, some (e.g. behavioural impairment) persist while others (expression of genes) fluctuate within generation as a result of the exposure duration [11, 37, 38]. The potential for transgenerational acclimation depends on the species considered since literature reports several examples where exposure of parents to acidification has conferred or not benefits to their offspring [35, 39, 40]. It must be noted that information related to long term impact of OA exposure on marine fish species exhibiting long life cycle (>3years) is very scarce.

In order to better decipher the short and long term impact of OA on a marine fish species of ecological and economic importance, we exposed European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) from hatching until adult stage (four years old) to water pH (expressed in total scale) of 8.0 (control, PCO₂ \approx 1520 µatm) or 7.6 (predicted for year 2100, PCO₂ \approx 590 µatm). Several phenotypical analyses were performed at different life stages revealing limited but significant effects of OA on parameters related to growth, development and digestive enzyme activities [41-43]. Surprisingly, the microarray approach performed at larval stage did not reveal any mRNA expression significantly regulated by OA [43]. Considering that the quantitative PCR (qPCR) approach generally showed greater dynamic range allowing detection of smaller changes in mRNA levels, we decided to investigate by dedicated qPCR approach the expression level of a mRNA corresponding to an Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) which tended to exhibit not statistically significant lower microarray hybridization signal in larvae exposed to pH7.6. This EST represented a cDNA sequence of a member of the C1q-domain-containing (C1qDC) protein family.

The C1qDC family includes proteins containing a globular C1q domain and has been found in organisms ranging from bacteria to mammals including teleost [44, 45]. The C1qDC family can be divided into four sub-families (C1q, C1q-like, cghC1q and sghC1q) based on their structural characteristics [44]. While hundreds of C1qDC proteins exist in some invertebrate phyla, several dozen have been found in vertebrate species including zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) [46, 47]. Depending on their C1q domains, some C1qDC proteins (e.g. sghC1q, C1q) have been shown to participate in a

series of immune responses in different invertebrates and vertebrates species including fish [45, 48-52]. While C1qDC proteins are also known to have other roles related to intracellular processes (e.g. cghC1q) and synapse organization (e.g. C1q-like) in different mammalian species, very few information about functions of C1q sub-family proteins is available in fish [44, 53-55].

In the present study, we reported the full length cDNA cloning and characterization of a novel C1qDC gene (*sghC1q*) from European sea bass. The determination of its flanking genes in European sea bass genome allowed to provide an orthology assignment with respect with zebrafish by a microsynteny analysis. We investigated expression profile of the transcript throughout larval development and among different tissues in fish reared in normal condition by qPCR, and we analysed its distribution in the olfactory rosette by means of *in situ hybridization*. We then compared the *m*RNA expression levels at larval and adult stages in two successive generations (F0 and F1) of European sea bass exposed to a water pH of 8.0 or 7.6. This work gives new insights related to a member of C1qDC family in a teleost species and contributes to better understanding the impact of OA in a marine fish species.

Material and methods

Animal and experimental conditions

The F0 population of European sea bass in this study was the same as one used in previous works that examined the impact of OA during larval development and at adult stage [38, 43]. Sea bass were maintained from larval to adult stage (four years post-hatching) in two pH/PCO2 conditions [i.e., PCO2 \approx 590 µatm for the control group at pH total = 8; PCO₂ \approx 1520 µatm for OA condition at pH total = 7.6]. The experimental conditions were chosen based on the IPCC Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 6.0 and IPCC RCP8.5 scenario predicting a Δ PCO2 of \approx 500 µatm and ≈ 1000 µatm by the end of the present century, respectively [56]. The rearing conditions of F0 population throughout all life stages are detailed in the previous papers [38, 43]. Briefly, the seawater was pumped from a depth of 20 m approximately, 500 m from the coastline in the Bay of Brest, first filtered using a sand filter, heated, degassed using a column. Larvae were maintained at 19°C in triplicate tanks, with oxygen concentration around 95% air saturation, salinity at 34% and the controlled photoperiod was set at 16L:8D until 45 days post hatching (dph). Larvae were fed ad libitum with Artemia until 28 dph then with commercial feed (Néo-start, Le Gouessant Aquaculture, France) until 45 dph. From 45dph, fish were maintained at 18 °C and fed a commercial feed (Neo Grower Extra Marin, Le Gouessant Aquaculture, France). From 2 years post-hatching, fish from triplicate tanks were randomly split into duplicate tanks and reared under ambient temperature and natural photoperiod and fed a diet that meets the nutritional requirements of Broodstock (Vitalis Cal, Skretting, Stavanger, Norway). Apart from pH conditions, F0 fish from the two groups experienced identical experimental conditions throughout their different life stages. To produce a F1 generation, sperm and eggs were collected from 20 males and 6 females of each group. The eggs and sperm from each group were crossed separately to produce F1. The eggs were hatched and the resultant F1 fish were then maintained in the same pH condition (pH 8= control group; pH 7.6= OA group) as their parents. F1 fish from each group were reared in the same condition than F0 at different life stages. Before sampling, fish were fasted for 24 h. For sampling, fish were first lightly anesthetized (20 mg L-1), and then euthanized with a lethal dose (200 mg L-1) of tricaine methanesulfonate 222 (Pharmaq, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, UK). All fish tests were conducted under approved protocols in strict compliance with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments. The present work conforms to the French legal requirements concerning welfare of experimental animals (APAFIS permit no. 17132-2018101614401562).

Cloning of full length cDNA and sequence analysis

The full length mRNA encoding the sghC1q was cloned from RT-PCR performed on cDNA from whole European sea bass larvae using primers designed on the EST sequence (forward primer: ATGGTCTACACGGTGATTCTC, reverse primer: CTACGACGGGGAAGATGAGGA) (Figure 1). After cloning, the sequencing was performed by GENEWIZ service (South Plainfield, USA). The protein sequence deduced from cDNA was obtained using the Expasy translate tool (https://web.expasy.org/translate/). cDNA and deduced protein sequence are available in Genbank nucleotide databasis (MT176417). The amino acid sequences of domains were analysed on SMART (Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool) web resource (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) [57].

A microsynteny analysis consisting in identifying the orthologs of *sghC1q* gene in other species was performed by determining the flanking genes from European sea bass genome available in Genbank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_000689215.1). Blast searches using the mRNA

sequence as query against European sea bass WGS databases allowed to identify the linking group (i.e. LG14_196, Sequence ID: CBXY010003402.1) containing the sghC1q gene sequence. GENESCAN program (http://argonaute.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html) was next used to locate and identify the flanking genes of sghC1q in European sea bass genome. Orthology assignment was performed by comparing these flanking genes with those of sghC1q genes in other species which genome is available on Ensembl genome browser databasis (https://www.ensembl.org/info/website/index.html). Special attention was focused on the zebrafish sghC1q genes listed by Carland and collaborators [58].

Protein sequences of 25 cerebellin (cbln11) orthologs were obtained on the Genbank databasis (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/100149559/ortholog/?scope=186625). GenBank accession numbers for all orthologs are as follows: Danio rerio (NP 001280633.1); Poecilia formosa (XP 016521254.1); **Stegastes** partitus (XP 008298461.1); Cynoglossus semilaevis (XP_008318068.1); Esox lucius (XP_010864239.1); Clupea harengus (XP_012680903.2); Oreochromis niloticus (XP_013132750.1); Haplochromis burtoni (XP_014185179.1); Cyprinodon variegatus (XP_015260120.1); Sinocyclocheilus grahami (XP_016107579.1); Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis (XP 016300702.1); Sinocyclocheilus rhinocerous (XP 016366770.1); Pygocentrus nattereri (XP 017570749.1); Oncorhynchus mykiss (XP 021472810.1); Seriola dumerili (XP_022625648.1); Seriola lalandi dorsalis (XP_023271148.1); **Salvelinus** alpinus (XP 023827263.1); Electrophorus electricus (XP 026878658.1); Denticeps clupeoides (XP_028831622.1); Myripristis murdjan (XP_029931742.1); Salarias fasciatus (XP_029944240.1); Sphaeramia orbicularis (XP_030018732.1); Gadus morhua (XP_030194137.1); Sander lucioperca (XP 031160406.1) and Etheostoma spectabile (XP 032355229.1).

Alignment of European sea bass CBLN11 protein sequence with orthologs from clupeocephala species was performed using MAFFT program in SnapGene® software (Version 4.3).

Phylogenetic reconstruction was performed by aligning CBLN11 protein sequences of clupeocephala species using MAFFT v7.313 in automatic mode [59]. Misaligned regions or with a large number of gaps were trimmed using Gblocks [60] (-t=p; -p=n; -b3=8; -b4=5; -b5=h) resulting in a total of 93 aligned amino acid sites. Through IQ-TREE [61], the maximum likelihood (ML) estimation of the MLST tree was performed assessing branch supports with a ultrafast bootstrap approximation (1,000 iterations) and a single branch tests (1,000 bootstrap replicates). The WAG model was identified as the best-fitting substitution model according to the BIC criterion using ModelFinder [62]. The phylogenetic tree was drawn using FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

qPCR analysis during larval development and in various adult tissues

Sampling and RNA extraction

In order to investigate the *cbln11* mRNA expression pattern during larval development, pools (in triplicate) of larvae between 20 and 50 mg (containing five to several dozen individuals depending on the developmental stage) were sampled at five larval stages 13, 19, 26, 33, 45 dph. Adult tissues (olfactory rosette, olfactory bulbs, diencephalon, optic tectum, cerebellum, spinal cord gills, heart, muscle, liver, spleen, kidney and proximal intestine) were sampled from three adult males (4 years old). After sampling larvae and adult tissues were transferred into microtubes containing RNAlater (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and placed at 4°C until total RNA extraction. Protocol of total RNA extraction is the same that previously described [38]. Briefly extraction was performed using Extractall reagent (Eurobio; Courtaboeuf, Essonne, France) combined with Nucleospin RNA column that includes one step of DNase treatment (Macherey–Nagel, Düren, Germany). The concentration and

quality were determined using an ND-1000 NanoDrop® spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). All samples showed an RNA integrity number (RIN) higher than nine and thus could be used for qPCR analysis. RNA samples were stored at - 80 °C until use.

Retro-transcription and qPCR analysis

Retro-transcription (RT) of cDNA for all larval and adult samples was carried out using 500 ng of RNA with an iScriptTM cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) following strictly recommendations from the supplier. RT was performed in duplicate for each RNA sample. Both RT of each sample were next pooled. Negative RT controls were used for all samples (RT reaction without retro-transcriptase enzyme). The analysis of *cbln11C1q* mRNA for all larval and adult samples was performed by qPCR using the following primers (forward primer: ATGGTCTACACGGTGATTCTC, reverse primer: CTACGACGGGAAGATGAGGA) (Figure 1). The primer pair was designed using Primer 3 plus tool (http://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgibin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi). Standard curves were estimated for this primer pair using serial dilutions (from 1/5 to 1/40) of both a pool of whole larvae cDNA and cDNA from a mix of different tissues. Efficiency of qPCR ranged was around 100% with R2 > 0.999 for both standard curves. Two housekeeping genes [elongation factor 1-alpha (ef1a) and Ribosomal protein L13a] were tested for normalization of *cbln11* mRNA using the following primers: $EF1\alpha$ forward primer: CTGGAGGGCAGTGAAAAGAT, EF1a reverse primer: CATCAAGAGCCTCCAGCAGT; L13a forward TCTGGAGGACTGTCAGGGGCATGC, L13a primer: reverse primer: AGACGCACAATCTTGAGAGCAG. Transcript expression was quantified using the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.) and the protocol previously described [38]. The relative quantity of messenger was normalized with the $\Delta\Delta Ct$ method using efla and Ribosomal protein L13a as reference genes. These reference genes were used as their transcripts have an M value below 0.5 in our sample sets [63].

A one way ANOVA was used to test significant differences among normalized *cbln11* mRNA expression levels. For all analyses, variables were checked for normality (Shapiro test) and equality of variances (Levene test). The level of significance was taken at 0.05.

qPCR analysis of *cbln11* mRNA expression in larvae and olfactory rosette of adult exposed to OA

RT-qPCR analysis of *cbln11* mRNA expression was performed using the protocol as described in the previous section "qPCR analysis during larval development and in various adult tissues in normal conditions". Total RNA was individually extracted in 20 F0 and 20 F1 larvae from both control (pH8) and OA (pH7.6) groups at 45dph. Concerning F0 adults fish (4 years old), total RNA of olfactory rosettes were also extracted from 14 individuals from the control group (10 females, 4 males) and 13 individuals from the OA group (6 females, 7 males) at the post-spawning period. Concerning F1 fish at juvenile stage (18 months old), total RNA of olfactory rosettes from 15 F1 juveniles from each group were sampled. We have ensured the RNA integrity number (RIN) of the extracted RNA were higher than nine before processing to retro-transcription into cDNA and qPCR analysis.

Tissue distribution of *cbln11*mRNA in whole larvae and olfactory rosette by ISH

Tissue collection

Whole larvae at 45 dph (n=3) and adult (4 years old) olfactory rosettes (n=3) were collected from control conditions, fixed overnight at room temperature, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin and cut transversally in series at 6 μ m. All sections were mounted onto poly-L-Lysine-coated slides and keep at 4°C.

Probes synthesis

The antisense and sense probes for *cbln11* were synthesized by in vitro transcription using pCRII-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) and DIG RNA labelling Kit (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The vector containing the full length *cbln11* cDNA was linearized by *Not1* enzyme before the antisense probe was synthesized by SP6 RNA polymerase. The sense probe used to check for potential unspecific hybridization was obtained using T7 RNA polymerase after linearization of the plasmid by *BamH1*.

In situ hybridization

The *in situ* hybridization protocol was adapted from [64]. The sections were first dewaxed in *Cla*Ral solution (Ral diagnostics, Martillac, France) three times for 7 minutes at room temperature and dehydrated through decreasing concentrations of ethanol. Sections were washed in 0.85% NaCl and 1M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, followed by refixation in 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in PBS for 20 minutes. After two washing steps in PBS for 10 minutes, sections were incubated in proteinase K for 5 minutes at room temperature (2 µg/ml in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA), rinsed and post-fixed for 15 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde to block proteinase K activity. Sections were rinsed twice for 10 minutes in saline-sodium citrate (SSC) 2X at room temperature. Hybridization was performed overnight at 65°C in a humidified chamber using 100 µl of hybridization buffer (2X SSC; 2.5% dextran sulfate; 50% deionized formamide; 5 X Denhardt's solution; 50 µg/ml of yeast tRNA, pH 8.0; 4 mM EDTA) containing the DIG-labeled *cbln11* probe (1.5 µg/ml). On the next day, slides were rinsed in 2X SSC at 65°C (2×30 minutes), followed by two rinses at 65°C (2×30 minutes) in 2X SSC/50% formamide. Final rinses were made in 0.2 and 0.1X SSC at room temperature (15 minutes each) and sections were processed for immunodetection. The sections were washed for 10 minutes in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, and then incubated for 30 minutes in the same buffer containing 0.5% blocking reagent and 0.1% Tween. Slides were then incubated overnight at room temperature in alkaline phosphatase-conjugated sheep Fab fragment antibodies to DIG (Roche Diagnostic, Indianapolis, IN) diluted to 1:2000. The next day, sections were rinsed twice (10 minutes) in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, 0.1% Tween and incubated (3 x 30 minutes) in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer, 100 mM NaCl, pH 9.5, 0.1% Tween. The slides were then incubated for fluorescent detection with HNPP (2-hydroxy-3-naphtoic acid-2'phenylanilide phosphate) in HNPP/FastRED solution at room temperature (Roche Diagnostic, Indianapolis, IN) for 2-4 hours. Finally, the slides were rinsed in PBS (3 x 5 minutes) before mounting in Vectashield DAPI hard set (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, USA).

Combined cbln11 mRNA in situ hybridization and immunohistochemical detection of tubulin

To visualize sensory cells of olfactory rosette, a combination of *in situ* hybridization and immunohistochemistry was performed on the same sections. *Cbln11* mRNAs expression was detected by *in situ* hybridization following protocol described above. The sections were then rinsed twice in PBST (10 min) and immersed in Tris-HCl 50 mM buffer (pH 9.5) for 1 hour at 80°C for antigen retrieval, washed twice in PBST (10 min) and subsequently blocked for 45 minutes in 0.2% Triton PBS (containing 0.5% dry fat milk) at room temperature before being exposed overnight to the mouse anti-acetylated tubuline (1:100; Acetyl-Tub; clone 6-11B-1; Reference T 6793, Sigma) antibody

(1/2000). On the next day, sections were washed three times in 0.2% Triton PBS and subsequently incubated with Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen Molecular Probes, Eugene OR, USA) for 2 h at room temperature).

Results

Sequence analysis of the *sghC1q* cDNA and protein

The full-length cDNA of the sghClq sequence contains an open reading frame (ORF) of 1308 bp encoding a predicted protein of 435 amino acid residues. SMART analysis revealed a potential signal peptide (amino acids 1-17) in the N-terminus, coiled coil region (amino acids 77-228) and a C1q domain (amino acids 300-435) in the C-terminus of sghClq sequence (Figure 1).

Kelch Like Family Member 33 (*klhl33*), macrophage mannose receptor (*mrc*), FH1/FH2 domain containing protein (*fhod*) and solute carrier family 12A (*slc12a*) genes were identified as flanking or neighboring genes to both the *sghC1q* gene in European sea bass genome and the *cbln11* gene in the chromosome 7 of zebrafish genome (Figure 2). Moreover, the fact that CBLN11 is the protein sequence that exhibited in zebrafish the highest scoring BLAST hit (E-value: 2e-28) for the European sea bass sghC1q protein sequence suggests that the present European sea bass *sghC1q* gene is an ortholog of the zebrafish *cbln11* gene.

Genbank databasis indicated twenty five orthologs of *cbln11* gene limited to clupeocephala species. Alignments of the full protein sequences of European sea bass CBLN11 protein with these orthologs revealed that the C1q domains were conserved among species but otherwise there was a great degree of sequence divergence in size and amino acid composition (Figure 3). In some species (e.g. *Cyprinodon variegatus, Poecilia formosa, Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis, Denticeps clupeoides*), CBLN11 sequences are short and do not have a signal peptide and/or coiled coil region.

Our phylogenetic analysis based on the most conserved regions of CBLN11 sequences shows that European sea bass, two cyprinodondiforme and one beryciforme species clustered together and quite separate from other clusters that include different orders of clupeocephala (Figure 4).

mRNA expression profiling during larval development and in adult tissues

RT-qPCR assays were performed in order to gain insights into the profiling of European sea bass *cbln11* mRNA expression during larval development and in different adult tissues under normal conditions. Temporal expression pattern analysis of the *cbln11* mRNA during European sea bass larvae development revealed very low relative expression levels until 26 dph then an exponential increase between 26 dph and 45 dph (Figure 5). In adult, *cbln11* mRNA is mainly expressed in the olfactory rosette and in the gills and low expression levels are also detected in the muscle, heart and in the different parts of the central nervous system (Figure 6). No significant amplification signal was detected in the spleen, kidney, intestine and liver.

Tissue distribution of *cbln11* mRNA in whole larvae and olfactory rosette

Riboprobe to *cbln11* mRNA was used for ISH in the olfactory rosette of European sea bass. The expression pattern of the *cbln11* mRNA was systematically observed in all individuals analysed. The specificity of the hybridization signal obtained was confirmed by absence of labelling in the negative control slides incubated with the sense probe. At larval stage, hybridization labelling was only observed in the apical part of the forming olfactory lamellae constituting the rosette (Figure 7 A). At adult stage, *double-label RNA* ISH experiments using a mouse anti-acetylated tubulin antibody

(Acetyl-Tub; clone, 6-11B-1; Reference T 6793, Sigma) as a marker of neurons revealed a high expression of the *cbln11* mRNA in non-neuron cells lining the non-sensory epithelium at the basal and the apical parts of the olfactory lamellae (Figure 7 B-D). The labelling was detected in the majority of cells bordering the surface of the non-sensory epithelium. Specificity of the hybridization signal was demonstrated by the absence of labelling with the control sense probe (Figure 7 E, F).

qPCR analysis of *cbln11* mRNA expression in larvae and olfactory rosette of adult exposed to OA

The 45-day-old larvae from the F0 generation showed 1.85 lower *cbln11* mRNA level in OA condition compared to control condition (Figure 8 a, P = 0.0002). Inversely, *cbln11* mRNA level was significantly higher (x1.98) four years later in the olfactory rosette of adult fish (F0) exposed to OA compared to control group (Figure 8 b, P = 0.02). Higher *cbln11* mRNA level was also observed in whole larvae at 45 dph (Figure 8 c, x1.57, one-way ANOVA, P = 0.00002) as well as in the olfactory rosette of juveniles from the F1 exposed to OA (Figure 8 d, x1.95, P = 0.03).

Discussion

We characterized for the first time in European sea bass the transcript and the deduced protein sequence of a member of C1qDC family. Based on the organization of the protein sequence which includes a leading signal peptide, a coiled coil region and a C1q domain, it may be classified as a member of sghC1q subgroup defined by Carland and collaborators [44] or within the C1q-like type 2 subfamily as defined by Gerdol and collaborators [47]. Interestingly, our microsynteny analysis revealed that this European sea bass sghC1q gene is the ortholog of zebrafish cbln11 gene. In zebrafish, cbln11 gene is located on chromosome 7, in a large DNA distance from 3 other clustered sghC1q genes (sghC1q16, sghC1q6 and sghC1q8) [58]. In total, twenty sghC1q genes have been identified and located in the genome of zebrafish [58]. A global synteny analysis dedicated on sghC1q genes in European sea bass will be necessary to determine if the two species share the same genomic organization of sghC1q genes. Interestingly, orthologs of cbln11 gene have only been identified in clupeocephala species. Additional in-depth studies will be necessary to confirm that other teleost species such as anguilliformes or osteoglossiformes orders and other vertebrate species including mammals really lack cbln11 gene orthologs.

To our knowledge, there is no clear information in the literature regarding *cbln11* gene function. However, interestingly two studies based on the transcriptomic analysis of whole zebrafish larvae revealed that *cbln11* gene expression was up-expressed in animals infected by bacteria suggesting that this gene may be involved in pathogen defense-associated pathways [65, 66]. This role would be in agreement with the known antibacterial and immuno-regulatory properties of several C1qDC proteins in marine organisms including fish species [49-52, 67]. Our alignment data indicated that the C1q domain known to be involved in target binding and formation or stabilization of the hydrophobic core of the gC1q structure is relatively well conserved among CBLN11 orthologs [44, 54, 68]. This data suggests that this C1q domain might play important roles in CBLN11 activity. However, CBLN11 function may be divergent among the clupeocephala species given the differences of sequence (i.e. lack of signal peptide, heterogeneity of coiled coil region) observed among orthologs.

There is very limited information related to *cbln11* gene expression available in the literature and databases. GEO Profiles on NCBI databasis indicates that *cbln11* mRNA expression has been observed by microarray experiments in the heart, retina and brain of zebrafish but without any information on relative abundances of the transcript among the tissues. In the present study, we found by qPCR analysis that *cbln11* mRNA was mainly expressed in the olfactory rosette and in the gills of adult sea bass. Low expression was also observed in the skeletal muscle, heart and in the different parts of the central nervous system while no expression was detected in the liver, spleen, kidney or intestine. According to that, *cbln11* mRNA was also shown to be not expressed in the liver of zebrafish [58]. In half-smooth tongue sole (Cynoglossus semilaevis) and in black rockfish (Sebastes schlegelii), sghClq mRNA was shown to be widely expressed throughout all the tested tissues including immune related organs such as spleen and kidney with highest expression detected in the liver [51, 52]. The fact that cbln11 mRNA expression profile differs from that observed for sghClqgenes in half-smooth tongue sole and in black rockfish suggests that these genes are not orthologs to *Cbln11* gene. While *sghC1q* mRNA expression was detected in the gills of these former species, the present study is, to our knowledge, one of the first to reveal the expression of a C1qDC protein in the peripheral olfactory organ. One previous study only mentioned the presence of an EST coding for a protein containing a C1Q and collagen domain in the olfactory epithelium transcriptome of the goldfish (Carassius auratus) [69].

In European sea bass, the peripheral olfactory organ contains a rosette consisting of about forty lamellae covered by the olfactory epithelium [70]. The olfactory lamellae are gradually raised in the olfactory cavity during European sea bass larval development [70]. The exponential pattern of *cbln11* mRNA expression observed during larval development in the present study may be associated to the development of olfactory epithelium. The olfactory epithelium, in European sea bass as in other fish species, includes sensory and non-sensory areas [71]. In European sea bass, the sensory epithelium consists mainly in bipolar neurons, ciliated non-sensory cells, rod cells and rodlet cells while the nonsensory epithelium is mainly made up of microridge cells, and also goblet cells and ciliated nonreceptor cells. The sensory epithelium is located in the flat surfaces of the lamellae while the nonsensory epithelium occupies the basal and the apical parts of the olfactory lamellae [70]. In the present study, *cbln11* mRNA expression was shown to be expressed in non-neural cells lining the non-sensory epithelium at the basal and the apical parts of the olfactory lamellae, and more specifically in the microridge cells and possibly in goblet cells based on the uniform labelling distribution on the epithelial surface. This data indicates that CBLN11 protein contrary to C1q-like protein is not directly involved in the synapse organization of neuronal cells and in the olfactory sensory pathway. Considering the potential role played by *cbln11* gene in the defense against pathogens we can hypothesize that CBLN11 protein may be secreted by the epithelial cells in the mucosal non-sensory epithelium of olfactory rosette to participate to the antipathogenic response. According to that, Sepahi and collaborators [72] localized immune cells in the non-sensory mucosal epithelium at the top of each olfactory lamella in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Additional histological analysis using marker of immune cells would be necessary to confirm a putative expression of *cbln11* mRNA in cells involved in immune response. Moreover, it would be necessary to evaluate this cbln11 mRNA expression after bacterial or viral infection. The analysis of antibacterial and antiviral properties of CBLN11 will definitely reveal the implication of the protein in antipathogen responses. Similar investigation in the gills could also reveal comparable function of the *cbln11* gene in another epithelial tissue.

An important finding in the current study was the OA-induced effect on *cbln11* mRNA levels in the whole larvae as well as in the olfactory rosette of European sea bass. Interestingly, our data revealed significant lower quantities of *cbln11* mRNA levels in the whole F0 larvae 45 days after the beginning of the exposure to the OA. The early OA-induced significant decrease in *cbln11* mRNA contrast with the OA-induced significant increase levels observed four years later at adult stage in the same population. Since our ISH analysis revealed that *cbln11* mRNA labelling was restricted to olfactory rosette, we assume that the regulation observed at larval stage mainly occurred in this tissue. One hypothesis explaining the opposite effects of OA on *cbln11* mRNA expression levels in F0 may be a differential susceptibility to OA between larval and adult stages. Indeed, previous data obtained in marine organisms indicate that susceptibility to OA may be variable among different life stages [73, However, this hypothesis may be rebutted since *cbln11* mRNA was also shown to be 74]. significantly higher at both larval and juvenile stages in individuals from the F1 generation exposed to OA compared to control group. A second explanation for the lower levels of *cbln11*mRNA expression in F0 larvae exposed to OA could be a delay in the process of olfactory rosette development. Indeed, we showed in the present study that *cbln11* mRNA levels gradually increased during normal larval development. Time-course histological analysis of olfactory rosette development combined with investigation of other genes expressed in this tissue (e.g. olfactory marker proteins) would allow to confirm this hypothesis. Finally, the third hypothesis explaining the different OA-induced effects on cbln11 mRNA levels between larval and adult stage in F0 generation may be related to the duration of exposure. Contrary to what has been shown for other environmental constraints such as hypoxia [75], very few studies to our knowledge mention an effect of lifetime exposure to OA on the physiological response in fish species [38]. On the opposite, studies in fish indicate that the impact of OA on behavior persists regardless of the duration of exposure [11, 37]. However, it is now admitted that duration of exposure to environmental constraint may modify epigenetic state (i.e. modification of DNA and histones) of a genome which may interact with environmental and developmental factors to influence the gene expression [76, 77]. Thus, the within-life different *cbln11* mRNA expression response to OA observed in the present study may result from the complex interaction among factors [Gene x (Environment + Epigenetic) x Development x Stochasticity] which underlie developmental phenotypic plasticity [78]. Kinetic investigation of epigenetic regulation on olfactory rosette genome during the exposure of European sea bass to OA would allow to confirm this hypothesis. Interestingly, the present study reveals that similar higher expression of *cbln11* mRNA expression persisted in larvae from F1 exposed to OA compared to control group. This data thus suggests no transgenerational acclimation of *cbln11* mRNA expression. This lack of transgenerational acclimation contrasts with data obtained in anemonefish *Amphiprion melanopus* in which effects of OA on the metabolic rate, growth and survival of juvenile were absent or reversed when their parents were reared under similar OA condition [40].

In conclusion, we identified and characterized the *cbln11* gene from European sea bass and revealed for the first time in fish that its transcript is expressed in the non-sensory epithelium of olfactory rosette. While the functional role of CBLN11 protein still needs to be determined, there is a strong case it is involved in immune responses within the nasal mucosa of European sea bass. The regulation of mRNA expression following OA could reflect the implication of *cbln11* gene in the defense system involved in the protection against the danger that represents a lower pH [79]. Whether the upregulation of *cbln11* mRNA expression under OA observed in the present study is associated with higher protein level and with modification of immune function and defense capacities against pathogen remains to be explored. To our knowledge, contrary to numerous studies performed in invertebrates [80-84], only few studies in fish mentioned a plastic response of defense system to ocean acidification [32, 85]. This kind of evidence would provide valuable information on the impact of OA on fish fitness.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Dr Lucile Durand-Le Rolland from Laboratory of Microbiology of the Extreme Environments (UMR 6197 IFREMER/UBO/CNRS) for microscopic analysis. This work was supported by LabexMer (ANR-10LABX-0019,OASYS project), the Ministry of Ecological and Solidarity Transition and the Foundation for Biodiversity Research (Ocean Acidification Program, PACIO project) and the Deutsche Forschungemeinschaft, PE 1157/8–1, MA4271/3–1 (the FITNESS project).

Legends

Figure 1: Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the full length coding region of cbln11 gene. The amino acids are shown as single-letter codes above the nucleotide sequence. The signal peptide, the coiled coil region and the globular C1q domain revealed by SMART analysis (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) are boxed in white, grey and black, respectively. Primers used for RT-PCR cloning of the full length cDNA and qPCR analysis are underlined using (_) and (__) respectively.

Figure 2: Identification of European sea bass cbln11 gene by microsynteny analysis. Flanking genes of cbln11 gene are partially conserved between European sea bass and zebrafish (Danio rerio). Genomic sequence including European sea bass cbln11 gene is originated from Genbank databasis (GenBank: CBXY010003402.1). Zebrafish cbln11 gene is located on chromosome (Chr) 7 (LOC103911323). Fhod11 and 31: FH1/FH2 domain-containing protein 1 and 3 like; Mrc1 and 2: Macrophage mannose receptor 1 and 2; Klhl33: Kelch Like Family Member 33; Slc12a3 and 10.2: Solute Carrier family 12 member3 and 10 tandem duplicate 2; Parp14: Poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase 14.

Figure 3: Protein sequence alignment of European sea bass CBLN11 and its orthologs from clupeocephala species performed using MAFFT program and visualized using SnapGene® software (Version 4.3). Amino acids are color highlighted based on properties and conservation (Clustal X Default Colouring) and gaps are indicated by a dash line. Signal peptide (blue), coiled coil region (green), and globular C1q domain (red) of European sea bass CBLN11 are indicated in blue, green and red boxes, respectively. A consensus sequence including residues with a conservation threshold of 50% among orthologs is indicated on the upper part of the alignment. The sequence conservation among species is illustrated by gray blocks. The lengths of the sequences are indicated at the C-terminal ends.

Figure 4: Phylogenetic analysis of CBLN11 orthologs. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using IQ-TREE. European sea bass CBLN11 protein (MT176417) is indicated by a black arrow. Numbers on each node indicate the length of the branch and the frequency of occurrence from 100 bootstraps. The accession numbers of the analysed sequences were as follows: Danio rerio (NP_001280633.1); Poecilia formosa (XP_016521254.1); Stegastes partitus (XP_008298461.1); Cynoglossus semilaevis (*XP_008318068.1*); *Esox lucius* (*XP_010864239.1*); *Clupea harengus* (*XP_012680903.2*); Oreochromis niloticus (XP_013132750.1); Haplochromis burtoni (XP_014185179.1); Cyprinodon variegatus (XP_015260120.1); Sinocyclocheilus grahami (XP_016107579.1); Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis (XP_016300702.1); Sinocyclocheilus rhinocerous (XP_016366770.1); Pygocentrus nattereri (XP_017570749.1); Oncorhynchus mykiss (XP_021472810.1); Seriola dumerili (XP 022625648.1); Seriola lalandi dorsalis (*XP* 023271148.1); **Salvelinus** alpinus (*XP_026878658.1*); (*XP_023827263.1*); Electrophorus electricus **Denticeps** clupeoides (*XP_028831622.1*); *Myripristis murdjan* (*XP_029931742.1*); *Salarias fasciatus* (*XP_029944240.1*); Sphaeramia orbicularis (XP_030018732.1); Gadus morhua (XP_030194137.1); Sander lucioperca (*XP_031160406.1*) and *Etheostoma spectabile* (*XP_032355229.1*).

Figure 5: Relative expression profiles of cbln11 mRNA during development of European sea bass as determined by qRT-PCR assay. Relative expression levels were normalized by Eflalpha and Ribosomal Protein L13a mRNA levels. Values are means of the normalized expression. Bars represent +/- standard deviation (n = 3). Significant differences in expression levels (one-way ANOVA with 0.05 confidence interval and Fisher post-hoc test) between groups are indicated with letters.

Figure 6: RT-qPCR analysis of cbln11 mRNA expression in various European sea bass tissues. Bars represent standard deviation (n = 3). Relative expression levels were normalized by Ef1alpha and Ribosomal Protein L13a mRNA levels.

Figure 7: Localization of cbln11 mRNA in olfactory rosette of European sea bass. A: in situ hybridization using cbln11 mRNA antisense probe was performed on tissue sections of whole European sea bass larvae at 45dph. cbln11 mRNA labelling (arrowheads) was only detected in cells mainly located in the apical part of epithelium in the olfactory rosette (OR). The same section shows the localization of cell nuclei stained in blue by DAPI. The B–D, Double-label RNA in situ hybridizations coupled with immunofluorescence were performed on tissue sections of adult European sea bass olfactory rosette. In addition to *cbln11* mRNA probe (red labelling), a mouse anti-acetylated tubulin antibody was used as marker of neuron cells (green labelling). Cell nuclei were stained by DAPI (blue labelling). B, C, cbln11 mRNA (arrowheads) is expressed in non-neuron cells lining the non-sensory epithelium at the apical part of the olfactory lamellae. Asterisk: Goblet cells. D, highermagnification view of the separation between sensory and non-sensory epithelium in the apical part of the olfactory lamellae. d, cbln11 mRNA (arrowheads) is expressed in a population of non-neuron cells lining the non-sensory epithelium (nse) at the basal part of the olfactory lamellae. E, F, Hybridization signal obtained with cbln11 antisense (E) and Sense control (F) probe in the basal part of olfactory lamellae demonstrating the specificity of the labelling. Asterisk: Goblet cells. n, neurons; LP, lamina propria; nc: nasal cavity. Scale bars: 100 µm.

Figure 8: Effect of OA on cbln11 mRNA expression level in a- larvae (45dph) from F0 (n=20), bolfactory rosette in adult (4 years old) from F0 (n=14 for control group and n=13 for OA group), clarvae (45 dph) from F1 (n=20) and d- olfactory rosette in juvenile (18 months old) from F1 (n=15). Relative expression levels were measured by RT-qPCR analysis and normalized by Ef1alpha and Ribosomal Protein L13a mRNA levels. Asterisk indicates significant differences in expression levels between control (pH8) and OA (pH7.6) conditions (one-way ANOVA with 0.05 confidence interval).

References

- 1. Doney, S.C., et al., *Climate change impacts on marine ecosystems.* Ann Rev Mar Sci, 2012. **4**: p. 11-37.
- 2. Olsen, E., et al., Ocean Futures Under Ocean Acidification, Marine Protection, and Changing Fishing Pressures Explored Using a Worldwide Suite of Ecosystem Models. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2018. **5**(64).
- 3. Pörtner, H.-O., *Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: A physiologist's view.* Marine Ecology-progress Series MAR ECOL-PROGR SER, 2008. **373**: p. 203-217.
- 4. Branch, T.A., et al., *Impacts of ocean acidification on marine seafood.* Trends Ecol Evol, 2013. **28**(3): p. 178-86.
- 5. Clements, J.C. and H.L. Hunt, *Effects of CO2-driven sediment acidification on infaunal marine bivalves: A synthesis.* Mar Pollut Bull, 2017. **117**(1-2): p. 6-16.
- 6. Claiborne, J.B., et al., A mechanism for branchial acid excretion in marine fish: identification of multiple Na+/H+ antiporter (NHE) isoforms in gills of two seawater teleosts. J Exp Biol, 1999. **202**(Pt 3): p. 315-24.
- 7. Claiborne, J.B., S.L. Edwards, and A.I. Morrison-Shetlar, *Acid-base regulation in fishes: cellular and molecular mechanisms.* J Exp Zool, 2002. **293**(3): p. 302-19.
- 8. Heuer, R.M. and M. Grosell, *Physiological impacts of elevated carbon dioxide and ocean acidification on fish.* Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 2014. **307**(9): p. R1061-84.
- 9. Esbaugh, A.J., *Physiological implications of ocean acidification for marine fish: emerging patterns and new insights.* Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 2018. **188**(1): p. 1-13.
- 10. Ashur, M.M., N.K. Johnston, and D.L. Dixson, *Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Sensory Function in Marine Organisms.* Integr Comp Biol, 2017. **57**(1): p. 63-80. doi: 10.1093/icb/icx010.
- 11. Munday, P.L., et al., *Behavioural impairment in reef fishes caused by ocean acidification at CO2 seeps.* Nature Climate Change, 2014. **4**(6): p. 487-492.
- 12. Munday, P.L., et al., *Ocean acidification impairs olfactory discrimination and homing ability of a marine fish.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2009. **106**(6): p. 1848-52.
- 13. Rossi, T., et al., *Lost at sea: ocean acidification undermines larval fish orientation via altered hearing and marine soundscape modification.* Biology Letters, 2016. **12**(1).
- 14. Nilsson, G.E., et al., *Near-future carbon dioxide levels alter fish behaviour by interfering with neurotransmitter function.* Nature Climate Change, 2012. **2**(3): p. 201-204.
- 15. Forsgren, E., et al., *Elevated CO2 affects embryonic development and larval phototaxis in a temperate marine fish.* Ecology and Evolution, 2013. **3**(11): p. 3637-3646.
- 16. Clark, T., et al., Ocean acidification does not impair the behaviour of coral reef fishes. Nature, 2020. **577**: p. 1-6.
- 17. Strobel, A., et al., *Elevated temperature and PCO2 shift metabolic pathways in differentially oxidative tissues of Notothenia rossii.* Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol, 2013. **166**(1): p. 48-57.
- 18. Strobel, A., et al., *Metabolic shifts in the Antarctic fish Notothenia rossii in response to rising temperature and PCO2.* Front Zool, 2012. **9**(1): p. 28.
- 19. Bignami, S., et al., Ocean acidification alters the otoliths of a pantropical fish species with implications for sensory function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2013. **110**(18): p. 7366-70.
- 20. Checkley, D.M., Jr., et al., *Elevated CO2 enhances otolith growth in young fish.* Science, 2009. **324**(5935): p. 1683.
- 21. Coll-Llado, C., et al., Ocean acidification promotes otolith growth and calcite deposition in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) larvae. Sci Rep, 2018. **8**(1): p. 8384.
- 22. Di Franco, A., et al., Ocean acidification affects somatic and otolith growth relationship in fish: evidence from an in situ study. Biol Lett, 2019. **15**(2): p. 20180662.

- 23. Holmberg, R.J., et al., Ocean acidification alters morphology of all otolith types in Clark's anemonefish (Amphiprion clarkii). PeerJ, 2019. **7**: p. e6152.
- 24. Perry, D.M., et al., *Effect of ocean acidification on growth and otolith condition of juvenile scup, Stenotomus chrysops.* Ecol Evol, 2015. **5**(18): p. 4187-96.
- 25. Pimentel, M.S., et al., *Defective skeletogenesis and oversized otoliths in fish early stages in a changing ocean.* J Exp Biol, 2014. **217**(Pt 12): p. 2062-70.
- 26. Faria, A.M., et al., *Reproductive trade-offs in a temperate reef fish under high pCO2 levels.* Mar Environ Res, 2018. **137**: p. 8-15.
- 27. Milazzo, M., et al., *Ocean acidification affects fish spawning but not paternity at CO2 seeps.* Proc Biol Sci, 2016. **283**(1835).
- 28. Servili, A., et al., *Climate change impacts on fish reproduction are mediated at multiple levels of the brain-pituitary-gonad axis.* Gen Comp Endocrinol, 2020. **291**: p. 113439.
- 29. Cline, A.J., S.L. Hamilton, and C.A. Logan, *Effects of multiple climate change stressors on gene expression in blue rockfish (Sebastes mystinus).* Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol, 2020. **239**: p. 110580.
- 30. Porteus, C.S., et al., *Near-future CO2 levels impair the olfactory system of a marine fish.* Nature Climate Change, 2018. **8**(8): p. 737-+.
- 31. Huth, T.J. and S.P. Place, *RNA-seq reveals a diminished acclimation response to the combined effects of ocean acidification and elevated seawater temperature in Pagothenia borchgrevinki.* Mar Genomics, 2016. **28**: p. 87-97.
- 32. Bresolin de Souza, K., et al., *Effects of increased CO2 on fish gill and plasma proteome*. PLoS One, 2014. **9**(7): p. e102901.
- 33. Maneja, R.H., et al., *The proteome of Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus L.) larvae is resistant to elevated pCO2.* Mar Pollut Bull, 2014. **86**(1-2): p. 154-160.
- 34. Silva, C.S.E., et al., *Sand smelt ability to cope and recover from ocean's elevated CO2 levels.* Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 2018. **154**: p. 302-310.
- 35. Parker, L., et al., *Adult exposure influences offspring response to ocean acidification*. Global Change Biology, 2012. **18**: p. 82-92.
- 36. Murray, C.S., et al., *Offspring sensitivity to ocean acidification changes seasonally in a coastal marine fish.* Marine Ecology Progress Series, 2014. **504**: p. 1-11.
- 37. Munday, P.L., et al., *Elevated CO2 affects the behavior of an ecologically and economically important coral reef fish.* Marine Biology, 2013. **160**(8): p. 2137-2144.
- 38. Mazurais, D., et al., *Long-term exposure to near-future ocean acidification does not affect the expression of neurogenesis- and synaptic transmission-related genes in the olfactory bulb of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax).* J Comp Physiol B, 2020. **190**(2): p. 161-167.
- 39. Welch, M., et al., *Effects of elevated CO2 on fish behaviour undiminished by transgenerational acclimation*. Nature Climate Change, 2014. **4**.
- 40. Miller, G., et al., *Parental environment mediates impacts of elevated CO2 on a coral reef fish.* Nature Climate Change, 2012. **2**: p. 858-861.
- 41. Cominassi, L., et al., *Combined effects of ocean acidification and temperature on larval and juvenile growth, development and swimming performance of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax).* PLoS One, 2019. **14**(9): p. e0221283.
- 42. Cominassi, L., et al., *Food availability modulates the combined effects of ocean acidification and warming on fish growth.* Sci Rep, 2020. **10**(1): p. 2338.
- 43. Crespel, A., et al., *The development of contemporary European sea bass larvae (Dicentrarchus labrax) is not affected by projected ocean acidification scenarios.* Marine Biology, 2017. **164**(7).
- 44. Carland, T.M. and L. Gerwick, *The C1q domain containing proteins: Where do they come from and what do they do?* Dev Comp Immunol, 2010. **34**(8): p. 785-90.
- 45. Hu, Y.L., et al., *Characterization of C1q in Teleosts INSIGHT INTO THE MOLECULAR AND FUNCTIONAL EVOLUTION OF C1q FAMILY AND CLASSICAL PATHWAY.* Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2010. **285**(37): p. 28777-28786.

- 46. Mei, J. and J. Gui, *Bioinformatic identification of genes encoding C1q-domain-containing proteins in zebrafish.* J Genet Genomics, 2008. **35**(1): p. 17-24.
- 47. Gerdol, M., P. Venier, and A. Pallavicini, *The genome of the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas brings new insights on the massive expansion of the C1q gene family in Bivalvia.* Dev Comp Immunol, 2015. **49**(1): p. 59-71.
- 48. McDowell, I.C., et al., *Multi-species protein similarity clustering reveals novel expanded immune gene families in the eastern oyster Crassostrea virginica.* Fish Shellfish Immunol, 2016. **53**: p. 13-23.
- 49. Wang, L., et al., A C1q domain containing protein from scallop Chlamys farreri serving as pattern recognition receptor with heat-aggregated IgG binding activity. PLoS One, 2012. **7**(8): p. e43289.
- 50. Wang, L., et al., *A novel C1qDC protein acting as pattern recognition receptor in scallop Argopecten irradians.* Fish Shellfish Immunol, 2012. **33**(2): p. 427-35.
- 51. Du, X., et al., A novel C1q domain containing protein in black rockfish (Sebastes schlegelii) serves as a pattern recognition receptor with immunoregulatory properties and possesses binding activity to heat-aggregated IgG. Fish Shellfish Immunol, 2019. **87**: p. 73-81.
- 52. Zeng, Y., et al., *sghC1q, a novel C1q family member from half-smooth tongue sole* (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*): *identification, expression and analysis of antibacterial and antiviral activities.* Dev Comp Immunol, 2015. **48**(1): p. 151-63.
- 53. Yuzaki, M., Synapse formation and maintenance by C1q family proteins: a new class of secreted synapse organizers. Eur J Neurosci, 2010. **32**(2): p. 191-7.
- 54. Kishore, U. and K.B.M. Reid, *C1q: Structure, function, and receptors.* Immunopharmacology, 2000. **49**(1): p. 159-170.
- 55. Solomon, S., et al., *Distinct structural features of caprin-1 mediate its interaction with G3BP-1 and its induction of phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2alpha, entry to cytoplasmic stress granules, and selective interaction with a subset of mRNAs.* Mol Cell Biol, 2007. **27**(6): p. 2324-42.
- 56. Stocker, T.F., et al., *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis*. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 2013. **1535**.
- 57. Letunic, I. and P. Bork, *20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource*. Nucleic Acids Research, 2017. **46**(D1): p. D493-D496.
- 58. Carland, T.M., et al., *Differential expression and intrachromosomal evolution of the sghC1q genes in zebrafish (Danio rerio)*. Dev Comp Immunol, 2012. **36**(1): p. 31-8.
- 59. Katoh, K. and D.M. Standley, *MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability.* Mol Biol Evol, 2013. **30**(4): p. 772-80.
- 60. Castresana, J., Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol, 2000. **17**(4): p. 540-52.
- 61. Nguyen, L.T., et al., *IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies.* Mol Biol Evol, 2015. **32**(1): p. 268-74.
- 62. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., et al., *ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates.* Nature Methods, 2017. **14**(6): p. 587-589.
- 63. Vandesompele, J., et al., Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol, 2002. **3**(7): p. Research0034.
- 64. Escobar, S., et al., *Expression of kisspeptins and kiss receptors suggests a large range of functions for kisspeptin systems in the brain of the European sea bass.* PLoS One, 2013. **8**(7): p. e70177.
- 65. Torraca, V., et al., *Shigella sonnei infection of zebrafish reveals that O-antigen mediates neutrophil tolerance and dysentery incidence.* PLoS Pathog, 2019. **15**(12): p. e1008006.
- 66. Saraceni, P.R., et al., *Zebrafish (Danio Rerio) as Infection Model to Study the Pathogenesis of Bacteria Aeromonas Hydrophila: Doctoral Dissertation.* 2017: Universidade de Vigo.

- 67. Gerwick, L., W.S. Reynolds, and C.J. Bayne, *A precerebellin-like protein is part of the acute phase response in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss.* Dev Comp Immunol, 2000. **24**(6-7): p. 597-607.
- 68. Kishore, U., et al., *C1q and tumor necrosis factor superfamily: modularity and versatility.* Trends Immunol, 2004. **25**(10): p. 551-61.
- 69. Kolmakov, N.N., et al., Analysis of the goldfish Carassius auratus olfactory epithelium transcriptome reveals the presence of numerous non-olfactory GPCR and putative receptors for progestin pheromones. BMC genomics, 2008. **9**: p. 429-429.
- 70. Diaz, J.P., et al., *Ultrastructural study of the olfactory organ in adult and developing European sea bass, Dicentrarchus labrax.* Canadian Journal of Zoology, 2002. **80**: p. 1610-1622.
- 71. Hansen, A. and B.S. Zielinski, *Diversity in the olfactory epithelium of bony fishes: development, lamellar arrangement, sensory neuron cell types and transduction components.* J Neurocytol, 2005. **34**(3-5): p. 183-208.
- 72. Sepahi, A., et al., *Tissue Microenvironments in the Nasal Epithelium of Rainbow Trout* (Oncorhynchus mykiss) Define Two Distinct CD8alpha+ Cell Populations and Establish Regional Immunity. J Immunol, 2016. **197**(11): p. 4453-4463.
- 73. Kroeker, K.J., et al., *Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming.* Global Change Biology, 2013. **19**(6): p. 1884-1896.
- 74. Ross, P., et al., *The Impact of Ocean Acidification on Reproduction, Early Development and Settlement of Marine Organisms.* Water, 2011. **3**: p. 1005-1030.
- 75. Spicer, J., *Stressors in the Marine Environment: Physiological and ecological responses; societal implications.* 2016, Oxford: Oxford University Press. 384.
- 76. Burggren, W., *Epigenetic Inheritance and Its Role in Evolutionary Biology: Re-Evaluation and New Perspectives.* Biology (Basel), 2016. **5**(2).
- 77. Skinner, M.K., Environmental Epigenetics and a Unified Theory of the Molecular Aspects of Evolution: A Neo-Lamarckian Concept that Facilitates Neo-Darwinian Evolution. Genome Biol Evol, 2015. **7**(5): p. 1296-302.
- 78. Burggren, W.W., *Phenotypic Switching Resulting From Developmental Plasticity: Fixed or Reversible?* Front Physiol, 2019. **10**: p. 1634.
- 79. Fuchs, E.J. and P. Matzinger, *Is cancer dangerous to the immune system*? Seminars in Immunology, 1996. **8**(5): p. 271-280.
- 80. Cao, R., et al., *CO2-induced ocean acidification impairs the immune function of the Pacific oyster against Vibrio splendidus challenge: An integrated study from a cellular and proteomic perspective.* Sci Total Environ, 2018. **625**: p. 1574-1583.
- 81. Sun, T., et al., Seawater acidification induced immune function changes of haemocytes in Mytilus edulis: a comparative study of CO2 and HCl enrichment. Sci Rep, 2017. **7**: p. 41488.
- 82. Leite Figueiredo, D.A., et al., *Ocean acidification affects parameters of immune response and extracellular pH in tropical sea urchins Lytechinus variegatus and Echinometra luccunter.* Aquat Toxicol, 2016. **180**: p. 84-94.
- 83. Castillo, N., et al., *Ocean acidification and pathogen exposure modulate the immune response of the edible mussel Mytilus chilensis.* Fish Shellfish Immunol, 2017. **70**: p. 149-155.
- 84. Migliaccio, O., et al., Living in future ocean acidification, physiological adaptive responses of the immune system of sea urchins resident at a CO2 vent system. Sci Total Environ, 2019.
 672: p. 938-950.
- 85. Bresolin de Souza, K., et al., *Increased activity of lysozyme and complement system in Atlantic halibut exposed to elevated CO2 at six different temperatures.* Mar Environ Res, 2016. **122**: p. 143-147.

	М	V	Y	Т	V	I	L	L	L	A	F	F	G	V	А	S	S	W	Н	L	D	Е	R	R	С	D	Р	E	A	R
1	ato	ggto	cta	cac	ggto	gat	tct	<u>c</u> ct	tct	tgct	ttt	ttt	tgga	agti	tgco	gtct	ttc	ctg	gca	ccta	agat	tgaa	acgo	gago	gtg	cgad	CCCa	igaç	ggca	acga
91	R agg	E Jgaa	T aca	P acca	T aact	L ctt	C ttgo	S ctca	N aaat	Y tac	R caga	N aaa	I catt	K taaa	H acat	L ctt	Y tat	K taaa	N aaac	Q ccaq	K Jaaa	Q acag	T jact	Q ccag	E Jgaq	K Jaaa	Q Icaa	M atg	M atg	L ctg
	F	72	П	т	P	7	Ъſ	F	C	72	m	C	P	т	C	57	т		т	т	τ7	7	NT	т	C	C	7	т	72	-
181	_ ⊔gaa	л .aaa	к agg	⊥ ſatt	ь. gag	gct	 ato	ь ggaa	aggt	л aag	acc	s agt	ь gaa	 latc	agt	v .gtg	ь ctt	gaa	 atc	ь cta	v .gtg	A Igca	N aac	 att	tco	s agt	A gca	ь cta	к aag	gac
101	_	_				-	-			-	_	_	_	-	-		-	2	-					-	-	_	-	â	-	_
271	L	E gaa	T aco	M ato	N aat	s tca	Q	N aat	E Igag	M ato	E gaa	L atta	N aat	D .gac	T aca	K aaq		Q Icaq	L	v ata	K	H	K aaa	A act	D	I ata	A aca	S agc	L cta	K
		guu		,	aae		louo	. a a c	2949	aeg	guu		. a a c	Jac	uou	aag	909	,049	,009	19 99	aaa		aaa	gee	gue	<i>.</i>	909	age	eeg	aag
	R	E	L	Q	E	L	V	E	H	R	E	I	V	G	G	N	F	S	Q	I	E	D	Т	L	F	Т	T	E	R	R
361	laya	.yay	CLL	.Caa	.yaa		jyci	Jac	icac	ayy	yaa	lato	igut	.yyy	yyy	aac		ayı	.cay	Jall	.yaa	iyat	aCa			.aCa	act	yay	ayy	cyy
	L	R	А	Κ	Ε	А	R	L	Ε	K	V	Ε	Т	Ε	Т	R	А	V	F	S	Ε	Т	K	K	L	L	Ν	Q	Y	R
451	lttg	agg	gca	laaa	gaa	.gcc	ago	gctt	gaa	aaa	gtt	gag	jaca	igaa	acc	agg	gca	igtg	ttc	agt	.gag	Jaca	aag	aag	ctc	ctg	aat	caa	tat	agg
	S	Ε	L	S	N	L	N	Т	Т	А	Q	Ε	L	Ε	G	K	V	Ε	А	R	L	D	G	Т	K	М	Ε	L	Ε	A
541	lagt	gaa	ctt	tcc	aac	ctt	aac	caco	gacg	gct	cag	ggao	gctt	gaa	ggc	aaa	gtc	cgaa	gct	cga	ctg	gat	ggc	acg	aag	atg	gaa	ctt	gaa	gct
(K	L	Е	K	I	Q	Ν	Ν	S	Е	А	F	G	А	K	L	Κ	V	Q	K	А	Е	V	D	E	F	K	E	Е	т
631	aag	ctg	gag	Jaaa	ata	caa	laac	caac	cagt	gaa	gct	ttc	cggc	gca	aaa	ctt	aaa	igtg	caa	iaaa	gct	gag	gtt	gat	gaa	ttt	aag	gag	gaa	act
	D	S	K	F	S	N	v	D	G	Q	\mathbf{L}	K	E	Q	K	т	т	А	D	K	Q	K	А	Е	I	G	Т	L	K	S
721	lgac	agc	aaa	ittc	agt	aat	gtt	gat	gga	cag	ctg	jaaa	igaa	icaa	aaa	act	aca	ıgcg	gac	aag	cag	Jaag	gct	gaa	ato	ggc	act	ctc	aag	agc
	N	т	к	G	т	0	т	R	т.	G	S	т	E	R	к	А	D	к	0	N	F.	т.	к	т.	F.	V	D	R	т	к
811	laac	att	aaa	igga	ata	.ca <u>a</u>	acc	caga	actg	ggg	tca	act	gag	Jaga	aaa	gct	gac	caaa	caa	laat	gaa	ictc	aaa	cta	igag	Igtg	gac	aga	att	aaa
(7	Г	Υ 7	ΝT	7\	V	Υ 7	7\	Б	C	7\	m	т	т	7\	C	TT	D	τ7	Г	m	C	D	7\	τ7	m	V	NT	т	T
901	gca	.gaa	.gtc	aat	.gca	a <u>ac</u>	v Igto	idca	∎ attc	s tct	.gca	⊥ act	ata	acc	gca	tct	cat	igat	.gtg	,ttc	acc	.gga	cca	.gcc	gtc	⊥ acc	aag	aat	⊥ att	ctg
		_	_		_								_		-			-						_	-		-			-
0.01	 atc	E ttt	N aac	K aaa	Vatc	F ttc	T aca	N aaac	⊥ catt	G aac	N aac	A cact	Y tac	N aac	s tct	K aaa	Таса	G	L ctc	F ttc	T act	A act	P cca	L	N aat	G aaa	V att	Y tac	H cac	F
991		000			.900					.990		900		- a a c				-990				.900	000		,	.999	900	ouo	ouo	
	S	F	M	T	S	G	Y	S	C	Y	T	S	G	A	I	L	V	K	N	G	H	Y ++ 2	Q	V	S	T	W	E	\mathbf{F}	K
108	3100	all	cal	yac		cyy	CLA	.cay	cty	cia	cac		ayy	aye	cat		yyı	yaa	yaa	cyy	yca	uld	cca	ayı	yay	Cat	cuy	jya	JULG	Laad
	G	L	D	А	S	D	Т	Т	S	Ν	Т	V	I	L	E	L	Κ	A	Κ	Ε	Т	V	Ν	I	I	L	W	R	G	G
117	₇₁ gga	act	gga	cgc	cag	cga	cac	cac	cag	caa	cac	agt	aat	tct	tga	act	gaa	ggc	саа	aga	gac	cgt	gaa	cat	cat	act	gtgo	gago	gggi	cgga
	K	I	Η	S	S	V	F	Т	G	F	L	I	F	Ρ	S	–														
126	₅₁ aaa	aat	aca	ttc	aag	tgt	ctt	cac	tgg	gt <u>t</u>	cct	cat	ctt	CCC	gtc	gta	g													



Consensus

MT176417 [Dicentrarchus labrax] NP_001280633.1 [Danio rerio] XP_00829646.1.1 [Stegastes partitus] XP_00829646.1.1 [Stegastes partitus] XP_010864293.1 [Enc lucius] XP_010864293.1 [Enc lucius] XP_013332750.1 [Orecchronis shutton] XP_0133267130.1 [Orecchronis button] XP_0132601370.1 [Sincyclochalus graham] XP_016300770.1 [Sincyclochalus graham] XP_016300770.1 [Sincyclochalus anhuensi] XP_016300770.1 [Sincyclochalus anhuensi] XP_024283548.1 [Sarola dumeril] XP_024283548.1 [Sarola dumeril] XP_024283548.1 [Extrophous mykits] XP_02427538.1 [Extrophous mykits] XP_026831622.1 [Dinciphous adjust] XP_028331622.1 [Dinciphous adjust] XP_0283312.2 [Dinticaps clupaoides] XP_029342.2 [Myripritsi murdjan] XP_02032712.3 [Spharamia orbiculars] XP_003194137.1 [Gadus morhua] XP_003194137.1 [Extrophous adjust] XP_003194137.1 [Extrophous adjust]

Consensus

MT176417 [Dicentrarchus labrax]
NP_001280633.1 [Danio rerio]
XP_016521254.1 [Poecilia formosa]
XP_008298461.1 [Stegastes partitus]
XP_008318068.1 [Cynoglossus semilaevis]
XP_010864239.1 [Esox lucius]
XP_012680903.2 [Clupea harengus]
XP_013132750.1 [Oreochromis niloticus]
XP_014185179.1 [Haplochromis burtoni]
XP_015260120.1 [Cyprinodon variegatus]
XP_016107579.1 [Sinocyclocheilus grahami]
XP_016300702.1 [Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis]
XP_016366770.1 [Sinocyclocheilus rhinocerous]
XP_017570749.1 [Pygocentrus nattereri]
XP_021472810.1 [Oncorhynchus mykiss]
XP_022625648.1 [Seriola dumerili]
XP_023271148.1 [Seriola lalandi dorsalis]
XP_023827263.1 [Salvelinus alpinus]
XP_026878658.1 [Electrophorus electricus]
XP_028831622.1 [Denticeps clupeoides]
XP_029931742.1 [Myripristis murdjan]
XP_029944240.1 [Salarias fasciatus]
XP_030018732.1 [Sphaeramia orbicularis]
XP_030194137.1 [Gadus morhua]
XP_031160406.1 [Sander lucioperca]
XP_032355229.1 [Etheostoma spectabile]

Signal peptide

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
-	-	-	-	M	D	F	T	A	L	R	L	L	I	L	L	G	F	M	H	۷	-	-	-	-	-	•
-	-	-	-	м	N	F	R	G	L	۷	L	L	Т	L	۷	٧	S	L	F	L	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	М	S	K	S	T	۷	T	R	L	۷	L	L	A	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M	R	F	K	м	Ε	R	Т	A	۷	I	-	L	٧	L	M	s	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	M	E	R	L	т	٧	I	I	L	I	С	L	L	A	G	L	S	E	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	M	E	R	L	т	٧	I	I	L	I	С	L	L	A	G	L	S	E	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	M	A	L	F	Q	L	F	L	I	S	I	L	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
-	-	-	-	M	Т	L	F	Q	L	٧	L	I	L	I	L	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	M	Q	L	I	Q	I	F	L	F	Т	L	L	С	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	M	G	G	L	Т	L	т	L	L	L	L	С	L	S	G	Т	L	S	E	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	M	Ε	F	R	A	s	L	L	L	т	L	L	G	F	L	F	٧	-	-	-	-	-	•
-	-	-	-	M	E	F	R	A	S	L	L	L	T	L	L	G	F	L	F	٧	-	-	-	-	-	
М	K	M	M	M	K	R	т	A	T	۷	W	L	V	L	L	G	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
-	-	-	-	M	R	L	1	L	I	1	Q	L	т	L	I	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
-	-	-	-	-	M	M	K	I	I	L	L	L	A	L	۲	G	C	т	s	т	т	L	1	Y	K	F
-	-	-	-	M	K	S	E	F	L	L	s	L	A	L	L	G	F	L	s	٧	-		-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	M	E	S	R	A	L	٧	L	F	м	٧	L	G	F	L	F	P	-	-	-	-	-	
M	P	R	٧	L	A	L	G	٧	L	٧	۷	A	٧	L	L	A	Н	G	D	Q	-	-	-	-	-	•
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	M	٧	۷	С	L	L	A	S	L	S	E	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	M	Ε	Т	I	S	٧	M	M	۷	٧	С	L	L	A	G	L	S	Ε	-	-	-	-	-	



VL

VL VL VM VM VM

	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250
TLFTTE	RRLRAKEA	RLEKVETETR	AVFSETKKLL	NQYRSELSNI	NTTAQELE	G	к	EARLDGTKME	LEAK	- LEKIQNNSEAF
		METE	AAFNNTNKLL	SSYMKELFY	ELKASI	A	EL	ENGLIVERIE	EDKS	-LEAVOKNN
			MTANQK	KNLVLNLTN	AE-QCRSD	E	AL	DARLNATVDE	LTROKA	EIDNLKTENEG
			MKAQEKLM	VRLQNEQKD	A EQQQQSDL	D	AL	EVELNATVDD SSBL NTAFAA		EVETLKKENQD-
			PEFFS	VDIYSELK-	ALRSL	G	E I	SARLNATQRE		LEQERA
• • • • • • •	L	SYEDTKAENN	NDVSADPO	SETPA	TRTVNKT	DHSCEPNI	YMVLKEL GAL	EERQKATVRA	LEETNR	KLEASERKVAA-
		STEDIKAENN	NDVSAUP	MDEVSQI	L NST RELO	V	KL	EAQLNVELVE	INHK	-LOTIQSEF
• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •		<mark>P</mark> \	VNIYSELK-	ELKAS	I		TLALHTAKNE	LAE KS	LIQELQKQIKG
			P\	VNIFPELK-	ELKAS	N	R	TTDLNTANN	LAEOKS	LIQELQKQIK-
	<mark></mark>		<mark>P</mark> 1	MNVYTEIL-	KLQAV	E	<u>- G</u> L	TE <mark>QLN</mark> AVKSE	LTEOKS	LVHNLKQQIV
		QDIENEYK	T-HNQHSEQ	QDIESEVIF:	K QNQAPSLA V E - TOONDE	ETNOSCQPDM E-	ICNLLRHL GAN	EARLITAEN	LTROKA	EMESLKKENEG.
			IEKL1	KNLQDKLKD	E-TRQND	E	AL	EARLNATVDE	LTROKA	EMESLKKENEG-
				VNVYTELK-	ELRSLN	G	EL	STELHTAEAL	LKEORA	MVDKLNKERE
				MDILGELK-	DLRAA	E	к	TLELDATERA		LEREQA
		EKKQNESD	A		ESTRQEIS	Ţ	RF	GA-LDELMTH	LQNQ	-LEEMKRET
			FRDRIEL	RTLPEKLAK	ID-ECEEKA	V	A	EARLNATEEE	LTKORA	EMDKLKEENEE.
			P/	V	SL	D	RL	TARLDDLQA	LTEQSS	VLEELKRESR
		DLEDNSVEKD	ALQNLNQC	LETPAILTT	STITI	QPSCEPPI	YTVLKEL GAL	GERL TATVTA	MEETNK	KLEANEKKLTA-

~				

MT176417 [Dicentrarchus labrax]
NP_001280633.1 [Danio rerio]
XP_016521254.1 [Poecilia formosa]
XP_008298461.1 [Stegastes partitus]
XP_008318068.1 [Cynoglossus semilaevis]
XP_010864239.1 [Esox lucius]
XP_012680903.2 [Clupea harengus]
XP_013132750.1 [Oreochromis niloticus]
XP_014185179.1 [Haplochromis burtoni]
XP_015260120.1 [Cyprinodon variegatus]
XP_016107579.1 [Sinocyclocheilus grahami]
XP_016300702.1 [Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis]
XP_016366770.1 [Sinocyclocheilus rhinocerous]
XP_017570749.1 [Pygocentrus nattereri]
XP_021472810.1 [Oncorhynchus mykiss]
XP_022625648.1 [Seriola dumerili]
XP_023271148.1 [Seriola lalandi dorsalis]
XP_023827263.1 [Salvelinus alpinus]
XP_026878658.1 [Electrophorus electricus]
XP_028831622.1 [Denticeps clupeoides]
XP_029931742.1 [Myripristis murdjan]
XP_029944240.1 [Salarias fasciatus]
XP_030018732.1 [Sphaeramia orbicularis]
XP_030194137.1 [Gadus morhua]
XP_031160406.1 [Sander lucioperca]
XP_032355229.1 [Etheostoma spectabile]

P VAFSAS OP T IF VLTNIGNAY TGVFTAPV GVYTAPV GVYTAPV <t< th=""><th></th><th></th></t<>		
350 360 370 380 390 400 410 420 EVIN KVAFEATITA HOVET BPAY - TKNILIEN KVFTNIDA YN SKTOLFFAPLNOVY HFEMTOGAT - SCHTSBALLVAN ES EWIXAF AM SET 05AS POWTE KK. LIE OVIT WY OAAN NAAT OVFFAP VOWY VFEMTOGAT - SCHTSBALLVAN KAAPGIAF AM SET 05AS POWTE KK. LIE OVIT WY OAAN NAAT OVFFAP VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - SCHTSBALLVAN KAAPGIAF AA LENBEEL NAPHIDIN - LIFK OVFFAP ONAAN NKKTOVFFAP VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - SCHTSBALLVAN KAAPGIAF AA LENBEEL NAPHIDIN - LIFK OVFFAP ONAAN NKKTOVFFAP VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - SCHTSBALLVAN KAAPGIAF AA LENBEEL NAPHIDIN - LIFK OVFFAP VOUTY OVFFAP VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH MAALIIN KVER VARFAA SALENBEEL NAPHIDIN - LIFK OVFFAP VOUTY OVFFAP VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH MAALIIN KOVFFAP VAFFAALSE SKOHOFINAETK - LIFK OVFFAP VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH MAALIIN CONSTRUCTION - SCHOOLIFFAD VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH MAALIIN CONSTRUCTION - SCHOOLIFFAD VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - SCHOOLIFFAD ON SCHOOLIFFAD VSEMTOGAT - SCHOOLIFFAD VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - SCHOOLIFFAD ON SCHOOLIFFAD VSEMTOGAT - SCHOOLIFFAD VSEMTOGAT - NATH VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - SCHOOLI - NATH VOUTY VFEMTOGAT - NATH V	R VS YEX	S D D SN
IEVNAKVAFA AITA HOVET BAAV KKIIIFA KVETU DAAVNSK OLF A DIN VVHFE AHTS SH-SCUTSAAI VK -ESPAVAFA AISE (SASR BYTTEK LIFOVULTNV ODANNAT CHFA PUN CVVHFE AHTS SH-STHOOL IF K EVCNIVAFA AIIIEAS STEPYT BET NIKFONIK FORVITHFORANNIKK OVFTA PUN SHVEFA TO STHOOL IF K KAAPGIAFA ALIEAS STEPYT BET NIKFONIK FORVITHFORANNIKK OVFTA PUN SHVEFA TO STHOOL IF K KAAPGIAFA ALLEAS ALPSEL NAPHI DK LVFR KVETHIS KONANOV FTA PUN SHVEFA TO STHOOL IF K KAAPGIAFA ALLEAS ALPSEL NAPHI DK LVFR KVETHIS SHOUND VFTA PUN SHVEFA TO STHOOL AN THA AA ALVEN KUBPRVAFA ALLESS SK CHOPINAETK LVFR KVETHIS SHOUND VFTA PUN SHVEFA TO STHOOL AA AA AUVEN - ERRYAFA ALLESS SK CHOPINAETK LVFR KVETHIS SHOUND VFTA PUN SHVEFA TO STHOOL STHOO	430	440 45
NYARIA YAREA A A A NITTS ATION SEE MYARIA A		

	gC1q	domai	in
COLUMN TWO IS NOT	- 1	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Consensus
MT176417 [Dicentrarchus labrax]
NP_001280633.1 [Danio rerio]
(P_016521254.1 [Poecilia formosa]
(P_008298461.1 [Stegastes partitus]
(P_008318068.1 [Cynoglossus semilaevis]
(P_010864239.1 [Esox lucius]
(P_012680903.2 [Clupea harengus]
(P_013132750.1 [Oreochromis niloticus]
(P_014185179.1 [Haplochromis burtoni]
(P_015260120.1 [Cyprinodon variegatus]
(P_016107579.1 [Sinocyclocheilus grahami]
<pre>KP_016300702.1 [Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis]</pre>
<pre>KP_016366770.1 [Sinocyclocheilus rhinocerous]</pre>
(P_017570749.1 [Pygocentrus nattereri]
(P_021472810.1 [Oncorhynchus mykiss]
(P_022625648.1 [Seriola dumerili]
(P_023271148.1 [Seriola lalandi dorsalis]
(P_023827263.1 [Salvelinus alpinus]
(P_026878658.1 [Electrophorus electricus]
<pre>(P_028831622.1 [Denticeps clupeoides]</pre>
(P_029931742.1 [Myripristis murdjan]
(P_029944240.1 [Salarias fasciatus]
(P_030018732.1 [Sphaeramia orbicularis]
(P_030194137.1 [Gadus morhua]
(P_031160406.1 [Sander lucioperca]
(P 032355229.1 [Etheostoma spectabile]

GD V X X LW X X X IF - D X X X Y X TFSGFLLFP X -----480 460 470 490 460 470 (A KETVNIILMRGKIH VEQVYHNUIRSWIFVDRRV AGDCNNILMQGKIH AGDNVHMELMDDGRVY DNLNG DCKHVELMDDGRVY - DNLNG DCKHVELMDNGQVF - DNLNG 210 DSRY G ----202 262 206 176 VDPRYN VDRRYN VDRRYN FVDYRYN FAD - FQN 217 134 DSTSV LDSTSV 213 208 208 322 242 242 GRYDYC 214 208 C 172 308 SVF - DNLNGHT - DNLNGHT - DNLNGHT VDRRYNYC NN - - -233 209 261 262

















