

How domestication alters fish phenotypes

Sylvain Milla, Alain Pasquet, Leila El Mohajer, Pascal Fontaine

▶ To cite this version:

Sylvain Milla, Alain Pasquet, Leila El Mohajer, Pascal Fontaine. How domestication alters fish phenotypes. Reviews in Aquaculture, In press, 13 (1), pp.388-405. 10.1111/raq.12480. hal-02930642

HAL Id: hal-02930642 https://hal.science/hal-02930642

Submitted on 4 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

How domestication alters fish phenotypes

- 2
- 3 Milla S., Pasquet A., Mohajer L., Fontaine P.
- 45 University of Lorraine, INRA, UR AFPA, F-54000 Nancy
 - 6
 - 7 Corresponding author:

8 Dr. Sylvain MILLA, <u>Sylvain.Milla@univ-lorraine.fr</u>; Tel. 00(33) 372745199

9 Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, bâtiment 2ème cycle, entrée 1B

- 10 Boulevard des Aiguillettes
- 11 54506 Vandoeuvre-Lés-Nancy Cedex, France

13 **Running title:** Domestication affects fish phenotypes

14

12

- 15
- 16 Abstract:

Domestication can be defined as the adaptation of an animal to the human environment and 17 its constraints. Accumulating evidence strongly indicates that domestication plays essential 18 roles in modulating the phenotypes of teleosts, despite the scattered information. Animal 19 husbandry and molecular, physiological, and behavioural studies have identified a high 20 degree and complexity of biological changes induced by domestication. These phenotypic 21 modifications during domestication vary greatly amongst species and physiological function 22 23 (e.g. growth, reproduction, response to stress, and immunity), probably due to a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic factors that can interfere with phenotypic changes. Indeed, much 24 information about domestication is lacking, which impedes the generalisation of our 25 26 understanding of the effects of domestication. This review gathers and clarifies the available information about the main effects of fish domestication. We use a broad physiological 27 approach for understanding these biological consequences, from the genesis of domestication 28 (e.g. netting from the wild) to the ultimate step (controlled artificial selection). We also 29 suggest ways to predict the effects of domestication and to better understand the sources of 30 31 the biological changes.

32 **Keywords**: domestication; fish; physiology; reproduction; stress; immunity

33 Introduction

34

The most recent FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) reports found that captures from 35 fisheries have been quite stable since the late 1980s, but aquaculture is still the key driver of 36 the growing supply of fish for human consumption. The future of aquaculture will require the 37 38 continuation of some economic models of sector development. Amongst these models, fish diversification is one way expected to support the growing aquacultural production, because 39 this way of promoting aquaculture has many advantages at the social, ecological, and 40 41 economic levels (Wellenreuther et al. 2019)(Fontaine et al. 2009). Diversification, however, requires the domestication of new species, which often faces serious bottlenecks linked to the 42 lack of control of the life cycle. This strategy is thus not a priori the easiest, because the 43 44 domestication of a new species is a long, difficult, and expensive process and is often conducted empirically (Teletchea and Fontaine 2014). Understanding and conceptualising the 45 46 effects of domestication on fish phenotypes is of paramount importance for limiting failures of domestication. 47

Domestication is defined as the process by which a population of animals becomes adapted to 48 humans and captive environments by one or more combinations of genetic modifications 49 throughout generations and developmental events induced by the environment, recurring in 50 each generation (Price 1999). Domestication at the individual or population level starts with 51 the transfer of animals from the wild (F0 fish) to a captive environment where they are faced 52 with a new living environment. Each history of domestication is unique, and the populations 53 during domestication undergo a range of biological phenomena linked to human practices. 54 55 These mechanisms contribute to genetic, genomic, and phenotypic changes of the animals. Some changes are not easily controlled by humans, such as inbreeding and genetic drift that 56

depend on the numbers of broodstock used in each generation. Natural selection in captivity 57 58 promotes the survival of animals that are best adapted to the rearing environment and eliminates those unable to reproduce (Mignon-Grasteau et al. 2005). Multiple adaptive 59 failures are common in the early generations due to a lack of human control of the capacity of 60 some specimens to reproduce (unsuitable timing and egg and larval quality) or even to survive 61 62 in captivity. Humans, however, can relax natural selection in captivity, which consists in 63 reducing the selection pressure for some traits (e.g. breeding season, fish size, and fish feeding). Finally, artificial selection is the process by which we voluntarily select broodstock 64 for a given objective of production (Mignon-Grasteau et al. 2005). The objective may be 65 66 uncontrolled if the traceability and conditions of artificial selection are not fully monitored or may be considered as controlled if the objective is part of a rigorous genetic breeding 67 programme tracked over several generations. Both types of selection (natural and artificial) 68 69 may affect allelic frequencies or lead to mutations at some major loci (e.g. promoters) that may also affect the emergence of phenotypes (Wittkopp and Kalay 2011). These adaptive 70 71 mechanisms are also linked with alterations of gene expression that are likely to modify fish phenotypes (Christie et al. 2016). 72

A theory of syndrome domestication has recently been proposed that accounts for such 73 phenotypic changes in mammals (Wilkins et al. 2014). The theory suggests that some 74 75 morphological, behavioural, and physiological alterations in domesticated animals may be due to mild deficits of neural-crest cells during embryonic development. Such deficiencies are 76 proposed to be the sources of the modified morphological, behavioural, and physiological 77 traits. Fish domestication, however, displays some specific characteristics compared to 78 mammals, independent of these phenotypic changes. First, fish production benefits from a 79 range of specific rearing systems, from production in ponds to intensive production in 80 recirculated aquacultural systems (RASs), each offering one specific trajectory of 81

domestication (Fontaine & Teletchea 2019). Second, each trajectory offers the opportunity of 82 83 many paths of domestication, which can be defined as the set of husbandry practices applied by the farmer (e.g. type of diets, fish density, temperature/lighting conditions) and the set of 84 unpredictable events (climate, stressors, disease). This multiplicity of domestication paths is 85 made possible by biological diversity, which is much higher in fish than mammals. Third, 86 domestication is generally recent, with most captive species domesticated in recent decades 87 88 (Teletchea and Fontaine 2014) and with numerous and rapid phenotypic changes even in the early generations. Fourth, bilateral flows are high between wild and captive individuals that 89 could interfere with the progress of domestication, e.g. by the transfer of pathogens or the 90 91 modification of genetic variability (Lorenzen et al. 2012). These peculiarities confer to fish the status of a relevant model for identifying the consequences of vertebrate domestication. 92 Growing evidence suggests that domestication induces large changes at the ecological, 93 94 behavioural, anatomical, and physiological levels, but some of these changes are controversial, depending on the species and especially on the biological function investigated. 95 96 The aim of this review is first to clarify these equivocal effects along the successive steps of domestication that can strongly modify fish phenotypes or population structure and then to 97 discuss how to develop new research strategies for exploring these effects in the future. We 98 99 propose to break down the process of domestication into five main steps that greatly influence 100 fish phenotype (Figure 1).

101

102 **1-Capture, transport (step 1), and acclimation (step 2)**

103

Transfer from the wild to rearing conditions is the first crucial step in the domestication of fish (Figure 1). Several manipulations (e.g. fishing, handling, and transport) occur during this stage that constitute mechanical, physicochemical, social, and sensory stressors likely to

disrupt many biological functions. The transport of fish is accompanied by severe 107 108 modifications to water quality (e.g. acidification and increased concentrations of ammonia and carbon dioxide) and potentially mortality (Sampaio and Freire 2016). Strong stressors 109 110 such as netting, exposure to air, handling, and transport can greatly perturb endocrine function, marked by increased releases of catecholamines and corticosteroids. These 111 112 hormonal inductions are accompanied by physiological alterations such as demineralisation, 113 immunological and haematological alterations, increased glycemia, and depressed production of sex steroids (Wedemeyer and Wydoski 2008; Cho et al. 2009; Nikoo and Falahatkar 2012), 114 leading to well-known effects on fish biological functions. Most of these parameters return to 115 116 resting levels in the surviving fish within 48-72 h after capture, indicating that the species can regain their physiological homeostasis within a relatively short time if the duration and 117 intensity of the stresses linked to capture are mild. This period of allostatic load 118 119 (physiological adjustment) that can be considered as the second step of domestication (Figure 1) corresponds to the period of acclimation (Segner et al. 2012) of the wild fish to the captive 120 121 environment, where the fish are exposed to a multiplicity of cultured conditions (Lorenzen et al. 2012). 122

The success of acclimation is multifactorial in that it implies a complex response of the 123 organism characterised by a way of life that differs greatly between the wild environmental 124 conditions and those provided by the cultured system. Successful acclimation requires that all 125 these environmental features of the rearing system (e.g. temperature, salinity, and ammonia 126 concentration) be within the range of those compatible with the biological requirements of the 127 species and the population (Ibarra-Zatarain et al. 2016). The capacity to acclimate thus 128 depends on the level of divergence between the two environments but also on the 129 characteristics of transition from the wild to captivity (e.g. acute vs progressive acclimation) 130 and finally on the size and developmental stage of the fish caught in the wild (fertilised eggs 131

vs juveniles; e.g. (Okpala *et al.* 2017)). This challenge experienced by fish newly introduced
to captivity may induce non-inherited changes in behaviour. This adopted behaviour is also
vital, because the way the fish feed, compete or interfere with congeners or even to avoid
potential predators are determinants of their survival (Huntingford *et al.* 2012). For example,
feeding with artificial diets in RASs markedly modifies nutritional behaviour, notably for
piscivorous fish species.

Increased growth becomes apparent within the first few weeks or months following the transfer to husbandry in response to safe rearing conditions (Lorenzen *et al.* 2012) and in case of adequate behaviour to eat and survive. The expenditure of energy is probably lower under *ad libitum* feeding than in the wild, allowing the animal to optimise growth. Fish growth is a main zootechnical endpoint in aquaculture, so positive modulation of growth is a positive effect of domestication from the human point of view. Other biological functions, though, may be altered in wild fish acclimated to captive conditions.

145

146 **2-First reproduction in captivity (step 3)**

147

Obtaining the first generation of captive fish from wild fish is the third step of domestication 148 (Figure 1). This step allows the production of fry that have never experienced the wild and 149 involves the initial mechanisms of adaption to the rearing conditions. The stages of 150 gametogenesis in wild broodstock acclimated in farms may nevertheless not be fully 151 completed in the rearing conditions, and these wild fish do not always successfully respond to 152 the hormonal stimulations used for inducing gonadal maturation (Hassin et al. 1997; Krejszeff 153 et al. 2009). This failure in reproductive control is not due to changes in genetic 154 characteristics but to multiple differences in environmental and social factors between wild 155 and cultured fish that disrupt hormonal control at the level of the hypothalamus-pituitary-156

gonadal axis compared to the natural endocrine variations in the wild (Hassin et al. 1997). 157 158 Changes in external factors such as temperature and/or photoperiod are important for driving the progress of gonadogenesis in temperate fish species (Wang et al. 2010), and the failure to 159 160 mimic these environmental cues in husbandry may be the cause of poor reproductive performance. The accurate control of photothermal programmes is thus assumed to help 161 increase the control of the stages of gametogenesis in wild fish dedicated to the renewal of 162 163 broodstock populations. Even if acclimation is successful, chemical and physical stressors in captivity are additional external factors that may further disrupt the progress of the 164 reproductive cycle in these F0 fish (Cleary et al. 2000). These stressors have well-known 165 166 effects on reproductive success (Milla et al. 2009), but other sources of failure in mating programmes may be applied to broodstocks caught in nature. Indeed, reproductive defaults in 167 wild broodstock are not necessarily due to defects in these environmental features but may 168 169 also be due to the nutritional and energetic conditions provided by the systems of husbandry, as observed in wild cod (Gadus morhua) (Lambert et al. 2000). These eclectic causes of 170 171 reproductive dysfunction indicate the importance of fully investigating and checking the suitability of the rearing conditions to the long-term survival of the wild fish. Step 3 of 172 domestication, the success of obtaining a first generation in captivity (F1 fish), may remain 173 174 illusory if these requirements are not fulfilled.

175

176 **3-Adaptation and phenotypic changes (step 4)**

177

After the first successful reproduction, the next generations in captivity further strengthen the progressive adaptation that leads to large phenotypic changes driven by natural selection and/or by uncontrolled artificial selection by fish producers. These changes can be considered as the fourth step of domestication (Figure 1).

183

3-1-Growth, nutrition and flesh quality

184

Controlled artificial selection for growth has greatly accelerated weight gains in fish, 185 especially salmonid fishes. Higher growth rates accompanied by higher conditions factors, 186 however, have also been observed in domesticated salmonids where artificial selection has 187 188 been poorly controlled (Table 1; Glover et al. 2009; Hedenskog, Petersson, and Järvi 2002; Solberg et al. 2013; Tymchuk, Beckman, and Devlin 2009; Tymchuk and Devlin 2005). 189 Domestication without controlled artificial selection, though, is not necessarily linked to 190 191 increased growth (Brummett et al. 2004; Vandeputte et al. 2009). The physiological mechanisms underlying this divergence between wild and domesticated fish, intentionally or 192 unintentionally selected, are poorly known. Differential levels of GH (Growth Hormone) or 193 194 IGF-1 (Insulin Growth Factor-1) may be physiological indicators of this salmonid response (Fleming et al. 2002; Tymchuk et al. 2009). Contrasting nutritional behaviour may also 195 196 account for this response. Foraging activity is also modified during domestication, even though it has only been demonstrated in a small range of fish species (Huntingford et al. 197 2012). Some species that have been more intensely domesticated, under controlled artificial 198 199 selection for growth or not, stay near the water surface rather near the bottom of the water column (Reinhardt et al. 2001; Robison and Rowland 2005), which in turn improves their 200 feeding efficiency (Thodesen et al. 1999; Sánchez et al. 2012). Changes in social behaviour, 201 e.g. shoaling, would be an additional behavioural cause of the higher growth in domesticated 202 fish (Wright et al. 2006; Pasquet et al. 2019), potentially due to a higher homogeneity of age 203 and size in domesticated fish (Ruzzante and Doyle 1991, 1993). This change in the structure 204 of the social group may induce modifications of aggressiveness and hierarchy between 205

individuals (Swain and Riddell 1990; Ruzzante and Doyle 1993; Lucas *et al.* 2004) that
would alter feeding behaviour and may improve growth.

Differential diets and levels of growth are apparently accompanied by differential flesh 208 209 quality between wild and farmed fish, as demonstrated by Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis) (Mairesse et al. 2007), salmon (Salmo salar) (Johnston et al. 2006), sea bass (Dicentrarchus 210 labrax), and sea bream (Sparus aurata) (Grigorakis 2007). These differences are marked by 211 212 higher lipid content and fillet firmness in domesticated fish, potentially due to higher collagen content (Johnston et al. 2006). Cultured sea bass also contain more fat than their wild 213 counterparts (Fuentes et al. 2010), and even the lipid composition of muscle differs, with a 214 215 higher content of monounsaturated fatty acids and lower contents of saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids, except n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids that are more abundant in 216 farmed sea bass (Fuentes et al. 2010; Lenas et al. 2012). Finally, some amino acids that 217 218 characterise the flavour of fish, such as glutamic acid, aspartic acid, alanine, and glycine, are more abundant in cultured sea bass. These differences, notably those in the lipid profile, 219 220 however, may not be common in all domesticated species (Samy Yehya El-Zaeem 2012). The type of breeding system may also influence this divergence in the lipid profile between wild 221 and domesticated fish (Mairesse et al. 2006). 222

223

224 3-2-Reproductive function

225

Some fish in each of the next generations (F1-FX) are used as broodstock to produce new offspring in captivity, which progressively leads to the fourth step of domestication, regardless of the quality of these successive reproductive periods, provided that the fingerlings are viable and able to become future broodstock in captive conditions. The possibility of mating requires the attainment of puberty, which is defined as the

developmental period during which an individual first becomes capable of reproducing 231 232 sexually. Precocious puberty is a major problem in a wide range of farmed fishes, because puberty adversely affects growth. For example, male sea bass under natural conditions in the 233 234 Mediterranean Sea reach sexual maturity at the age of two years. Early puberty under intensive culturing conditions, however, affects 20-30% of one-year-old males in the 235 population (Felip et al. 2008). The increased growth in domesticated fish is probably one of 236 237 the major causes of early puberty in farmed species (Taranger et al. 2010). The quality and timing of the stages of gametogenesis are very important for further spawning, fertilisation, 238 and embryonic development. Many reproductive traits in captivity are affected by natural 239 240 selection and/or uncontrolled artificial selection during domestication. Reproductive tactics such as spawning frequency may be modified (Krejszeff et al. 2010), accompanied by 241 changes to the reproductive capacity of the progenitors, with modifications of their 242 243 physiological status (Dannewitz et al., 2004; Krejszeff et al., 2009).

These effects of domestication on fish reproduction, though, are quite controversial, which 244 245 questions the zootechnical assets to go deeper in the domestication by keeping the domesticated broodstock to obtain the next offspring generations, rather than renewing the 246 broodstock at each generation by catching wild fish. Studies have reported a higher 247 reproductive capacity in domesticated than wild fish, e.g. cyprinid fishes whose ovulatory 248 response to hormonal treatments is higher in cultured fish (Krejszeff et al. 2009, 2010). 249 Reproductive capacity (spawning success and fecundity) in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis 250 niloticus) was higher in the most domesticated fish strain than in a strain collected from the 251 wild (Osure and Phelps 2006). Other recent studies did not find clear differences in the 252 reproductive features between wild and domesticated fish. Gonadogenesis in the Eurasian 253 254 perch and pikeperch (Sander lucioperca), was more precocious in populations that were more domesticated (Khendek et al. 2017, 2018; De Almeida et al. 2019), but reproductive 255

performance was generally better for the wild fish (Křišťan et al. 2012; Khendek et al. 2017). 256 257 As another example, reproductive parameters and the biological quality of eggs in a farmed population were compared to those of the original wild population in brown trout (Salmo 258 259 trutta). Except for egg diameter, the reproductive traits were similar in both populations (Randak et al. 2006). In contrast, most published data have indicated degraded reproductive 260 261 performance of captive fish relative to less domesticated populations. Several features are 262 common in captive fish, notably slower or blocked gametogenesis, lower levels of sex hormones, smaller and lighter eggs, and lower reproductive success (Table 2). Modifications 263 of reproductive behaviour may also be a source of decreases in reproductive success. For 264 265 example, the hierarchical position of captive male coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) during mating is lower relative to the wild broodstock, decreasing the reproductive success of 266 wild males by 50% (Neff et al. 2015). Drawing bold conclusions about the effects of 267 268 domestication on reproductive capacity may thus be premature.

269

270 Identifying the main causes of this divergence of reproductive success between wild and cultured strains is important. Published causes are rare, and tentative explanations can be 271 quite speculative. One hypothesis is the deviation of fatty acid composition, storage, and 272 metabolism, as reported for tabarana (Salminus hilarii) (Araújo et al. 2012), because the 273 composition of fatty acids, notably unsaturated fatty acids, is a major determinant of the 274 quality of fish eggs (Sorbera et al. 2001). The modification of genetic features during 275 domestication is another putative source of differences between wild and domesticated fish. 276 Genetic variability may decrease generation after generation, illustrated by decreased 277 heterozygosity and allelic diversity (Sánchez et al. 2012). A decrease in this genetic diversity 278 279 is often associated with changes in phenotypic characteristics (David 1999). Significant correlations between markers of heterozygosity and biological reproductive traits are good 280

indications that a decrease in genetic diversity can be linked to modifications in reproductive 281 282 success (Lieutenant-Gosselin and Bernatchez 2006; Kalbe et al. 2009). Relying on the genetic diversity based on these data to identify the direction of the effects of domestication, however, 283 is quite difficult, because the correlations between these genetic markers and the level of 284 phenotypic reproductive performance are not clearly positive or negative. This divergence of 285 reproductive success may also be due to putative differences in reproductive behaviour, but 286 287 very few data support this premise. Human bias in the reproductive sequence of reared fish populations relative to the sequence in the wild is the most obvious cause, notably by 288 removing the choice of sexual partners. Conversely, the reproductive behaviour of 289 290 domesticated fish released in the wild causes relevant changes in some characteristics of the reproductive strategy (Pasquet 2019), which may also account for the modifications to mating 291 performance during domestication. 292

293 *3-3-Immunity and resistance to pathogens*

294

295 Improvement of fish immunity is of primary importance to resist pathogenic invasion and to avoid disease proliferation in fish farms. Independently of artificial breeding programmes, 296 taking into account the advancement of the domestication process to optimise the resistance to 297 pathogens is not voluntary applied so far as there is little available information from the 298 299 literature. Some indications, however, suggest that this strategy may be relevant to strengthening the immune potential of captive fish. One generation of domestication of the 300 rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), altered the expression of hundreds of genes, with gene 301 302 ontology indicating that immunity over-represented some of the main gene classes in that list (Christie et al. 2016), as for the Australasian Snapper (Chrysophrys auratus) (Wellenreuther 303 et al. 2019). The differential serum proteome between two generations of the Eurasian perch, 304 displayed mainly immunological proteins, also suggesting that immunity is a primary target in 305

tissues or cellular fluids affected by domestication (Douxfils, Mandiki, *et al.* 2011; Douxfils, Mathieu, *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 2017). The rationale to understand this differential expression of genes associated with immunology may depend on the environmental conditions of the domesticated fish, reared under crowded conditions where the crosscontamination of individuals with pathogens is favoured. Domestication would thus modify the fish transcriptome and proteome to allow adaptation to high densities in captivity.

312 Changes in mRNA or protein levels, however, are not typically correlated with the level of protein activity (Greenbaum et al. 2003), because post-transcriptional and -translational 313 modifications may ultimately affect the functionality of proteins. Studies of differential gene 314 315 expression at transcript and protein levels thus cannot substitute for detailed functional analyses of candidate genes. These molecular studies generally do not validate a modified 316 change in the immune response to pathogenic invasion. Whether or not domestication is 317 318 linked to either immunostimulatory or immunosuppressive effects and in turn to conclusions about the usefulness of appealing to long-term adapted captive fish to further boost fish 319 320 immunity is difficult to distinguish. To clarify, the comparative effect of bacterial challenges to fish mortality and immunity is a convenient tool for evaluating the positive benefits of 321 domestication. Wild and domesticated strains of Atlantic salmon respond similarly to 322 323 Aeromonas salmonicida (Glover et al. 2009), but levels of immunological parameters were higher in F4 than F1 perch after infection with Aeromonas hydrophila (Douxfils, Mandiki, et 324 al. 2011). Definitively concluding that the effects of domestication improve fish immunity is 325 thus currently too premature, but the first molecular results of the effect of domestication on 326 cellular signalling pathways are very promising. 327

328

329 **3-4-Response to stress**

In addition to the immune response after pathogenic invasion, the ability of fish to cope with 331 332 aquacultural stressors is of paramount importance for the survival, growth, and reproductive capacity of the fish. Fish possess anatomical, physiological, and behavioural strategies to 333 respond to stressors in aquacultural conditions. They display evidence of escape responses 334 associated with long-term memories of frightening events in captivity (Yue et al. 2004). 335 Specialised brain structures implied in the response to suffering and active nociceptors are 336 indications of a neurophysiological response to painful experiences (Sneddon et al. 2003). 337 The impacts of stressors due to husbandry on the level of stress or pain hormones, such as 338 catecholamines, corticosteroids, or opioids, indicate that domesticated fish are sensitive to 339 340 these stressors (e.g. (Øverli et al. 2001)). Interestingly, these behavioural and physiological characteristics are affected by domestication. 341

342 The longer fish are domesticated, the lower their levels of stress hormones relative to wild 343 fish after exposure to acute or chronic stressors (Awata et al., 2011; Douxfils et al., 2011a; Mazur and Iwama, 1993; Table 3). For example, wild trout have higher post-stress levels of 344 345 plasma glucose and DOPAC/DA than domesticated fish after exposure to a novel environment or a predator (Lepage et al. 2000). These studies have unfortunately not linked 346 the anatomical, behavioural, or physiological alterations to identify relationships between the 347 348 progressive changes in the organs implied in the stress axis (brain, pituitary, and interrenal cells), the modifications of hormones associated with stress, and the behavioural 349 consequences. Concluding whether this inhibition of the corticotropic axis is due to 350 hypoplasia of interrenal cells, a decrease in corticotropic enzymes or cortisol receptivity, or 351 even behavioural changes is thus difficult. How the effects of domestication on the 352 attenuation of these physiological indicators of stress can benefit the animals is also not clear. 353 354 At the behavioural level, domestication may reduce the responses to escape predation, as demonstrated in a wide range of teleost species. Indeed, wild fish react to predators more 355

rapidly and intensively than domesticated fish, and this natural reaction attenuates over the 356 357 first few generations of domestication (see (Pasquet 2019) for review). This effect of domestication is likely linked to physiological changes, where fish usually respond to 358 359 stressors by altering physiological function in an attempt to reallocate energy for coping with stress (Schreck et al. 2001). The concept of coping style has emerged in recent decades, with 360 personality and other new behavioural concepts such as "temperament" and "behavioural 361 syndromes". This new field of research has established links between behavioural and 362 physiological indicators: "Coping refers to the individual's behavioural and physiological 363 efforts to manage (reduce, minimize, master, or tolerate) the internal and external demands of 364 365 a situation that is appraised as stressful, and taxing or exceeding the individual's resources" (Koolhaas et al. 1999). Coping styles in several domesticated freshwater and marine species 366 367 of aquacultural importance have attracted great interest (Castanheira et al. 2017), such as the 368 common carp (Cyprinus carpio), Nile tilapia, Atlantic salmon, Atlantic halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus), rainbow trout, sea bass, Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis), and gilthead sea 369 370 bass. These coping styles are consistent over time, so they are reliable for assessing individual fluctuations in response to stress. Two groups of animals are identified based on their coping 371 styles: proactive and reactive animals. Proactive animals have a bolder, more aggressive and 372 373 less flexible behavioural response to stress, with a lower physiological HPA reactivity (lower cortisol level), and reactive animals have opposite behavioural and physiological responses 374 (Vindas et al. 2017). 375

376 Some recent experiments have demonstrated that the physiological response of domesticated 377 fish to stressors is linked to coping style. The use of thermal gradients affects physiological 378 and behavioural traits in Nile tilapia described as personality, and the proactive individuals 379 preferred the higher temperatures that could be directly linked with their metabolism 380 (Cerqueira *et al.* 2016). Styles of coping with stress in juveniles and breeders have been

characterised in Senegalese sole (Ibarra-Zatarain et al. 2016). Behavioural tests can 381 differentiate between two groups of individuals: "fearfulness-reactive" and "active-382 exploration" individuals, which correspond to the defined proactive and reactive individuals 383 with low and high levels of cortisol, respectively. Boldness, which is the propensity to take 384 risks, is strongly linked to cortisol level in juvenile mulloway (Argyosomus japonicus) 385 siblings (Raoult et al. 2012) after exposure to a stressor, with lower levels in bold individuals 386 387 (proactive) in response to the stressor. Similar results have been reported for Senegalese sole (Silva et al. 2010), with proactive individuals having a shorter feeding latency (time to react 388 to feed), longer duration of escape attempts from a net, and lower cortisol levels (before the 389 390 stressful situation) than for reactive individuals, suggesting the existence of stress-coping styles in this species. 391

392 All these examples indicate that individual behavioural differences in a domesticated 393 population in response to stressors are directly linked to the secretion of the stress hormone cortisol. The level of corticosteroids, however, is not the only indication of coping styles in 394 395 fish. For example, domesticated fish approach a novel object more readily and take more risks, and these behavioural differences are often accompanied by physiological variations 396 linked to cardiovascular activity (Pasquet 2019). These differences indicate that responses 397 towards frightening stimuli are less intense in domesticated than wild fish and that these 398 399 responses may be due to changes in physiological activity. A comparison between a wild-type and a domesticated strain of the fighting fish (Betta splendens), produced similar results, with 400 the domesticated strain adopting a behavioural strategy of immobility during confinement, 401 402 contrary to the wild fish (Verbeek et al. 2008). The domesticated individuals were predicted to exhibit a more proactive coping style characterised by a lower level of cortisol and a 403 404 stronger sympathetic response (opercular beat rate) to non-social challenges (unfamiliar environment, spatial confinement). 405

We should, however, be cautious in evaluating if the effects of domestication on the inhibition 406 407 of the corticotropic axis is always linked to behavioural changes. Some studies have reported changes in coping styles between wild and domesticated fish, but other studies prevent us 408 409 from generalising this correlation between behavioural and physiological traits to all species and all stressors. For example, newly emerged fry of domesticated Atlantic salmon were 410 411 tested for their behavioural responses to resume feeding after transfer to rearing in isolation. 412 Bolder individuals adapted to the new situation more rapidly than shy individuals, and this difference remained after several months. Post-stress concentrations of cortisol, however, did 413 not differ between the bold and shy individuals (Vaz-Serrano et al. 2011). 414 415 416 417 418 **4-Effect of the controlled artificial selection (step 5)** 419 420 Controlled artificial selection at the species level is part of the advanced stages of 421 domestication (Teletchea and Fontaine 2014). Step 5 at the population and individual levels 422 may also be considered as the ultimate degree of domestication (Figure 1) even if it can occur 423 in parallel with stage 4, requiring that some former steps (at least steps 1-3) have been 424 achieved. Step 5 is often used to optimise growth and relevant biological functions. One of the 425 primary objectives of aquaculture is to produce the highest amount of piscine proteins while 426 optimising food efficiency and flesh quality, so controlled artificial selection of growth has 427 been the first goal of selection. Estimates of the heritability of traits associated with growth 428 429 range from 0.10 to 0.60 (Priars and Smith 2010). This moderate to high heritability has been used to select for growth, which has increased weight or length relative to unselected fish (e.g. 430

(Vandeputte et al. 2009)). Reproductive capacity is not a usual target of controlled artificial 431 432 selection in aquacultured fish, but markers of reproductive capacity are potentially relevant heritable traits that may be investigated to strengthen the reproductive performance of captive 433 stocks (Trong et al. 2013a). Estimates of heritability, however, vary widely depending on the 434 species and type of reproductive trait: puberty, fecundity, or traits associated with spawning 435 (Trong et al. 2013a; b). The heritability of reproductive traits is generally similar to or slightly 436 437 lower than the heritability of traits associated with growth (Friars and Smith 2010), but the little information available from the literature suggests that good progress may be expected by 438 selecting for age at maturation or for gamete quality. 439

440 Controlled artificial selection of the immune response in the future could potentially improve the genetics of fish. Outbreaks of disease is an important bottleneck for the development of 441 intensive aquaculture worldwide. The choice of selected lines with traits linked to the capacity 442 443 to combat viral, bacterial, and parasitic diseases has been successful in a variety of species (Gjedrem 2012). A prerequisite is the availability of a sufficient proportion of highly heritable 444 445 traits linked to immunity to target as an added protection against disease. Such heritability, however, is low to moderate (from 0.05 to 0.38) and is highly species-dependent, which 446 renders this route of investigation unclear and requires selecting the best relevant traits 447 (Mohanty et al. 2012; Evenhuis et al. 2015; Silva et al. 2019; Srisapoome et al. 2019). 448 Identifying QTLs linked to immunological parameters, however, could be another way to 449 implement such major traits in schemes of controlled artificial selection (Fraslin et al. 2018). 450

The selection of low-stress responders may be a good strategy to attenuate the impact of stress on growth, reproduction, or immune defence. Divergent lines have been selected based on the level of plasma cortisol following stress in rainbow trout (Pottinger *et al.* 1992). The heritability of the response of cortisol to stress varies strongly amongst species: 0.27-0.50 in rainbow trout, 0.60 in carp, but only 0.05 in Atlantic salmon and 0.08 in sea bass (Volckaert *et al.* 2012). Interspecific differences, the type of stressor applied, and other methodological
biases may account for these discrepancies.

Controlled artificial selection is usually driven by a single biological function (growth, 458 reproduction, or immunity), but the selection of strains with a higher growth potential may 459 indirectly contribute to altering the reproductive capacity of the broodstock. For example, 460 genetic correlations between growth and reproductive features in salmonids are associated 461 462 with these biological functions (O'Malley et al. 2003; Tentelier et al. 2016). The controlled artificial selection for growth can decrease the proportion of mature male parts (Harvey et al. 463 2018), and genetic interaction has been reported between body weight and early maturation of 464 465 female in Nile tilapia, suggesting that selection for larger body weight may lead to early puberty in females (Longalong and Eknath 1995). 466

Also, such selection for body size in salmon decreased anti-predatory behaviour (Johnsson *et al.* 2001) and the strength of the hypothalamus-pituitary-interrenal (HPI) axis, as discussed earlier, implying that the effect of domestication on the attenuation of stress may be due to genetic interactions with growth. This implication is supported by negative genetic interactions of cortisol level with body weight and standard body length that have been calculated for confined sea bass (Volckaert *et al.* 2012), suggesting that targeting genetic selection for aspects of both growth and stress is possible, at least in sea bass.

Immunity may also be a consequence of controlled artificial selection. For example, a study of the gill transcriptome of *S. salar* found a set of differently expressed genes between wild and domesticated fish selected for growth. Eight of these genes had adaptative and innate immune functions, indicating that immunity was one of the biological functions altered by domestication under controlled artificial selection (Debes *et al.* 2012).

In contrast to these growth×reproduction, growth×stress and growth×immunity genetic
interactions, the response to stress was not correlated with reproductive capacity in rainbow

trout (Pottinger and Carrick 2000). If a genetic interaction is not generalisable to all species 481 482 and all breeding programmes (Thoa et al. 2017), then controlled artificial selection for body size during domestication may inadvertently affect physiological traits and in turn induce 483 unexpected consequences. The modification of fish behaviour during domestication that could 484 also interfere with these other biological functions is another potential bias. In particular, the 485 selection of some lineages of fish based on their potential for growth and development can 486 487 influence other life traits, especially behavioural traits (Pasquet 2019). More generally, attention must be paid to the collateral effects of controlled artificial selection. 488

The aim of selection programmes is genetic progress and the preservation of genetic 489 490 diversity. These programmes, however, can have many consequences on genetic diversity (Dupont-Nivet et al. 2006). Some programmes with high levels of inbreeding and in turn the 491 alteration of genetic diversity can strongly decrease zootechnical performance linked to 492 493 reproduction (Langen et al. 2017), resistance to pathogens and stressors (Smallbone et al. 2016), or even growth (Su et al. 1996), as demonstrated in a range of species. The 494 495 establishment of selection programmes based on the improvement of one biological trait (typically growth) must thus consider the impact on genetic diversity. 496

497

- 498 **5-How to optimise studies of fish domestication**
- 499

500 5-1-Intrinsic factors influencing phenotypic responses to domestication

501

Interpreting the effects of domestication is complex, because multiple intrinsic factors can influence fish phenotypes at the various steps of domestication and are naturally linked to the advancement of domestication. Species is the most obvious factor. This review found large interspecific differences in response to the trajectories and paths of domestication. FishBase

has identified more than 34 200 species of fish, representing a potential large reservoir for 506 507 addressing questions of the effects of domestication. All of these species, however, are not potentially amenable to domestication, as suggested by a functional approach (Lecocq et al. 508 509 2019). A range of criteria should also be considered to identify the best candidates, such as their commercial interest, their cost of production relative to that generated from the captures 510 by conventional fisheries, their flesh quality for human consumption, their capacity to be 511 512 reared in captivity, and their advantages over species already farmed or domesticated. The number of relevant species for addressing the question of domestication effects is thus much 513 more reduced. This may account for why broad comparisons of these effects between wild 514 515 and domesticated fish are difficult to discern from the literature, because extensive bibliographical information is available for very few domesticated species, about 15 516 (Teletchea & Fontaine 2014). The conceptualisation of the consequences of fish 517 518 domestication thus requires choosing the best fish models to avoid confusion from the many interspecific peculiarities and thus to straightforwardly demonstrate the main biological 519 520 guidelines driven by domestication. The choice of models may depend on factors at the phylogenetic level by selecting species from different families. Species could also be selected 521 using aquacultural considerations based on a posteriori failures or successes throughout the 522 523 history of fish domestication. Species exhibiting contrasting phenotypes during domestication (e.g. tilapia with decreased growth vs salmonids with increased growth) may be targets of 524 studies of comparative biology. 525

Age and weight are other intrinsic factors that may be considered. Indeed, domestication generally influences growth, so comparing wild (F0) and domesticated fish with both the same age and weight is difficult (Table 4). Some intrinsic factors such as sex, weight, age, nutrition, and state of maturity are also determinants of immunological, reproductive, or growth functions (Duffy *et al.* 2003; Milla *et al.* 2009). For example, morphological features

of a fish (e.g. growth and weight) influence its reproductive capacity (e.g. Marimuthu et al. 531 532 2009), so the modification of these factors in captive fish may bias the effects of domestication. Most studies do not accurately document the characteristics of these intrinsic 533 factors, such as age, so evaluating their impact on the comparison of populations 534 differentiated by their advancement during domestication is difficult. Only a few studies have 535 536 determined if intrinsic factors interfere with the effects of domestication. A field experiment 537 comparing brown trout from wild-born parents and from seventh-generation hatchery stock found that reproductive success was sex-dependent (Dannewitz et al. 2004). 538

The genetic background of populations is also relevant to the usual internal components 539 540 potentially affecting the effects of domestication. A close relationship between reproductive failure and inbreeding has been identified in many captive fish. For example, genetic diversity 541 was substantially reduced in one generation in a captive population of Senegalese sole that 542 543 had problems spawning, demonstrating that the absence of genetic screening may lead to serious disturbances during domestication (Porta et al. 2006). Genetic diversity also often 544 545 differs greatly between wild and domesticated populations (Ben Khadher et al. 2016). Together with the close relationships between heterozygosity and some biological traits linked 546 to growth and reproduction (Lieutenant-Gosselin and Bernatchez 2006), we can assume that 547 548 phenotypic differences between populations at different stages of domestication may remain unexplained due to the absence of clear information for the genetic features of the fish. The 549 traceability of broodstock is notably not always managed properly in the early generations of 550 uncontrolled artificial selection, because broodstocks are sometimes randomly chosen or are 551 too small for adequate mating, and crosses amongst siblings may have negative consequences 552 in future generations due to the effects of inbreeding. Scientific studies may even also suffer 553 554 from a lack of genetic analysis that could rule out genetic alterations during domestication as the source of phenotypic differences. 555

In addition to the lack of genetic information, defects of broodstock traceability can mask 556 557 important information about the advancement of domestication. Domestication of a species or population, but even at the individual level, proceeds to a continuum starting from the capture 558 559 of wild fish to the long-term adaptation to the captive system (Figure 1). Identifying the exact advancement of the animal or population along this continuum is therefore necessary. In 560 particular, acclimation, which here refers to the period of allostatic load of a fish population 561 562 after capture, must not be confounded with adaptation, defined as changes over several generations, to ensure that genes are passed on to the next generation (Flynn et al. 2014). 563 Indeed, the mechanisms behind these processes differ greatly and can unfortunately notably 564 565 superimpose when wild fish under acclimation are compared to fish that have spent generations in captivity. 566

Not all farms/studies have kept rigorous records of the number of generations in captivity, even for adapted fish, so the exact advancement of the captive fish during domestication is unknown, demonstrating why most studies do not specify the number of generations and features of mating (Table 4). In addition to this lack of traceability, the level of domestication is not homogenous amongst experiments, which causes further confusion for delineating the effects of domestication, as demonstrated for reproductive function.

573

574 5-2-Extrinsic factors influencing phenotypic responses to domestication

575

576 Extrinsic factors should also be taken into account for understanding responses to 577 domestication. Environmental factors such as temperature, photoperiod, salinity, and water 578 quality influence all biological functions, including reproduction, growth, immunity, and 579 response to stress. Population factors (e.g. density, genetic origin, and sex ratios) should also 580 be considered in the success or failure of the paths of domestication, because they also

influence all aspects of fish biology. The consequences of domestication on the same
population may thus vary under different environmental conditions during domestication,
notably if they are not compatible with the biological requirements of the species.

Even if most studies ensure that fish from different stages of domestication have always been 584 reared under the same environmental conditions after the initial stages of life, we cannot 585 exclude distinct conditions before or during an experiment. If these differential environmental 586 587 conditions differed before the experiment, they are not the effects of domestication but are the effects of some events of the past that can later affect biological traits. For example, the 588 exposure of embryos to early stressful situations can lead to further alteration of juvenile 589 590 physiology (Cadiz et al. 2018). A comparison of populations with different histories before an experiment led to specific responses to experimental treatments of reproductive control 591 (Khendek et al. 2018) but also of growth and behaviour (Jonsson and Jonsson 2014). 592 593 Attention in all cases should be paid to the description of these life paths in order to determine if the effects of domestication are due to adaptation to rearing conditions or to previous life 594 events. 595

596 5-3-A multifactorial (and multitrait) approach for studying the effects of domestication

597

598 The effects of domestication are the sum or the combined effects of many factors affecting fish traits at various levels. Marked genomic changes have been observed during the first 599 generations of domestication, as described earlier (Christie et al. 2016). Also, the genetic 600 601 changes are amongst the largest that may occur and alter the phenotypes of domesticated fish. 602 Changes of traits during domestication in some mammals have been suspected to be due to epigenetic changes transmissible from one generation to the next. These traits encompass 603 changes of morphological, physiological, and behavioural characteristics such as reduced 604 cranial capacity and tooth size, partial depigmentation, decreased flight distance towards 605

humans, advancement of sexual maturation, attenuation of the activity of the HPI axis, and 606 607 alteration of neurotransmitter systems (Trut et al. 2009). All these phenotypic alterations may be driven by epigenetic modulation and/or genetic selection and would be due to mild deficits 608 609 of neural-crest cells during embryonic development when migration defects are particularly important (Pörtl and Jung 2017). Interestingly, most of these major traits belonging to 610 "domestication syndromes" in some mammals are those commonly altered during the 611 612 domestication of fish (e.g. reduced brain length, precocious puberty, decrease in frightening behaviour, decrease in cortisolemia, modification of neurotransmitter level). Epimutations in 613 developmental genes during early stages of domestication have also been identified in farmed 614 615 European sea bass, some of which are associated with genes involved in developmental processes that are expressed in embryonic structures, including the neural crest (Anastasiadi 616 617 and Piferrer 2019). Even if accepting some comparative parallels between piscine and 618 mammalian models is still premature, we cannot dismiss the idea that epigenetic mechanisms could strongly affect phenotypes during fish domestication, as recently demonstrated in tilapia 619 620 (Podgorniak et al. 2019). These first indications may account for why such contrasted procedures of domestication could lead to common physiological effects such as increased 621 growth or reduction of stress or modulation of immunological gene expression. Concurrently 622 623 studying the alteration of genomic, genetic, and epigenetic mechanisms during adaptation to captivity therefore seems logical for identifying the molecular processes behind this evolution 624 and the causes of phenotypic changes. More generally, these intrinsic factors (gene expression 625 and genetic and epigenetic features) interact with extrinsic factors linked to domestication 626 (e.g. type of cages, temperature, and stressors) that increase the complexity of understanding 627 the evolution of phenotypes by domestication (Li and Leatherland 2013; Gavery and Roberts 628 629 2017; Nguyen et al. 2017). Future research should thus focus on understanding the

630 interactions of the environment with the epigenome, genetic characteristics, and epigenetic631 marks that could affect phenotypic expression.

632

633 5-4-A proposed experimental strategy for studying the effects of domestication

634

635 We propose the following practical guidelines based on these theoretical considerations to explore the effects of domestication on fish phenotypes. First, we suggest sampling wild fish 636 and then producing successive generations in captivity while rigorously recording fish 637 traceability. We recommend monitoring the level of environmental and populational 638 639 characteristics and maintaining them as stable as possible to avoid the effects of external disturbances. Fish with equivalent characteristics (e.g. age, sex, reproductive stage) and 640 already acclimated to their environment should be sampled in each generation. Morpho-641 642 anatomical and physiological analyses linked to the potential "vertebrate domestication syndrome" should be carried out on all generations, starting from wild fish sampled in their 643 644 natural environment. These analyses would allow us to describe the phenotypic changes due to domestication. Omics technology (e.g. RNA-Seq or proteomics) or qPCR should be used to 645 identify the molecular mechanisms within targeted organs (e.g. brain, head kidney, gonads) 646 647 affected during domestication. An epigenetic analysis may be performed in parallel to link the changes in phenotype and gene expression with potential multigenerational epigenetic 648 inheritance. Finally, a genetic analysis should be performed to estimate the putative loss of 649 genetic variability in the generations of domestication. All data (anatomy, physiology, 650 genetics, epigenetics, gene expression) should be gathered and examined using multifactorial 651 statistical analysis to draw conclusions about the causes of phenotypic changes from 652 653 generation to generation. These experiments must then be repeated in several species after the application of various domestication processes (range of trajectories and paths of 654

domestication). These experiments should include farmed species but should also include fish biological models (e.g. zebrafish) that exhibit a range of assets (e.g. short biological cycle) and for which extensive molecular information is available. If a homogenous interspecific response is obtained, we could conclude that a domestication syndrome exists in fish, which could be a future guide for predicting the effects of domestication and evaluating the advancement of domestication for a given fish population.

661

662 Conclusion

663

Domestication is a strong regulator of biological functions in fish. A wide variety of biological processes can be affected in a wide range of fish species or families. In particular, domestication increases fish growth, attenuates stress responses, and regulates immunological genes. The influence on reproduction, however, is quite variable. Caution, however, should be exercised when considering the intrinsic and extrinsic factors associated with fish and all biological processes as potential sources of these changes when standardising investigations and more thoroughly describing the phenotypes of domesticated fish.

References

673	De Almeida TR, Alix M, Cam A Le, Klopp C, Montfort J, Toomey L, Ledoré Y, Bobe J,
674	Chardard D, Schaerlinger B, Fontaine P (2019) Domestication may affect the maternal
675	mRNA profile in unfertilized eggs, potentially impacting the embryonic development of
676	Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis). PLoS ONE. 14(12):e0226878.
677	Anastasiadi D, Piferrer F (2019) Epimutations in developmental genes underlie the onset of
678	domestication in farmed European sea bass. Molecular Biology and Evolution 36: 2252-
679	2264.
680	Araújo BC, Honji RM, de Mello PH, Moreira RG (2012) The influence of captive breeding
681	on the fatty acid profiles of Salminus hilarii (Characiformes: Characidae) eggs and
682	larvae. Aquaculture International 20: 1161–1181.
683	Awata S, Tsuruta T, Yada T, Iguchi K (2011) Effects of suspended sediment on cortisol levels
684	in wild and cultured strains of ayu Plecoglossus altivelis. Aquaculture 314 : 115–121.
685	Brummett RE, Angoni DE, Pouomogne V (2004) On-farm and on-station comparison of wild
686	and domesticated Cameroonian populations of Oreochromis niloticus. Aquaculture 242:
687	157–164.
688	Ben Khadher S, Fontaine P, Milla S, Agnèse JF, Teletchea F (2016) Genetic characterization
689	and relatedness of wild and farmed Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis): Possible
690	implications for aquaculture practices. Aquaculture Reports 3: 136–146.
691	Cadiz L, Ernande B, Quazuguel P, Servili A, Zambonino-Infante JL, Mazurais D (2018)
692	Moderate hypoxia but not warming conditions at larval stage induces adverse carry-over
693	effects on hypoxia tolerance of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) juveniles.
694	Marine Environmental Research 138: 28–35.
695	Castanheira MF, Conceição LEC, Millot S, Rey S, Bégout ML, Damsgård B, Kristiansen T,

- Höglund E, Øverli Ø, Martins CIM (2017) Coping styles in farmed fish: consequences
 for aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 9: 23–41.
- 698 Cerqueira M, Rey S, Silva T, Featherstone Z, Crumlish M, MacKenzie S (2016) Thermal
- preference predicts animal personality in Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. *The Journal of animal ecology* 85: 1389–1400.
- Chen X, Wang J, Qian L, Gaughan S, Xiang W, Ai T, Fan Z, Wang C (2017) Domestication
 drive the changes of immune and digestive system of Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis).

703 *PLoS ONE* **12**: e0172903.

- Cho SJ, Caldwell C a., Gould WR (2009) Physiological Stress Responses of Rio Grande
- 705 Silvery Minnow: Effects of Individual and Multiple Physical Stressors of Handling,
- Confinement, and Transport. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 29:
 1698–1706.
- 708 Christie MR, Marine ML, Fox SE, French RA, Blouin MS (2016) A single generation of

domestication heritably alters the expression of hundreds of genes. *Nature*

- 710 *Communications* **7**: :10676.
- 711 Cieśla M, Jończyk R, Gozdowski D, Śliwiński J, Rechulicz J, Andrzejewski W (2014)
- 712 Changes in ide Leuciscus idus (L.) females' reproductive parameters after stimulation
- with carp pituitary homogenate (CPH) and Ovopel: The effect of domestication?
- 714 *Aquaculture International* **22**: 77–88.
- 715 Cleary JJ, Pankhurst NW, Battaglene SC (2000) The effect of capture and handling stress on
- plasma steroid levels and gonadal condition in wild and farmed snapper Pagrus auratus
- 717 (Sparidae). *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **31**: 558–569.
- 718 Crespel A, Rime H, Fraboulet E, Bobe J, Fauvel C (2008) Egg quality in domesticated and
- 719 wild seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax): A proteomic analysis. *Cybium, Revue*
- 720 *Internationale d'Ichtyologie* **32**: 205.

- 721 Dannewitz J, Petersson E, Dahl J, Prestegaard T, Löf AC, Järvi T (2004) Reproductive
- success of hatchery-produced and wild-born brown trout in an experimental stream. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 41: 355–364.
- David P (1999) A quantitative model of the relationship between phenotypic variance and
 heterozygosity at marker loci under partial selling. *Genetics* 153: 1463–1474.
- 726 Debes P V., Normandeau E, Fraser DJ, Bernatchez L, Hutchings JA (2012) Differences in
- transcription levels among wild, domesticated, and hybrid Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)
 from two environments. *Molecular Ecology* 21: 2574–2587.
- 729 Douxfils J, Deprez M, Mandiki SNM, Milla S, Henrotte E, Mathieu C, Silvestre F, Vandecan
- 730 M, Rougeot C, Mélard C, Dieu M, Raes M, Kestemont P (2012) Physiological and
- 731 proteomic responses to single and repeated hypoxia in juvenile Eurasian perch under
- domestication Clues to physiological acclimation and humoral immune modulations.

Fish and Shellfish Immunology **33**: 1112–1122.

- 734 Douxfils J, Mandiki SNM, Marotte G, Wang N, Silvestre F, Milla S, Henrotte E, Vandecan
- 735 M, Rougeot C, Mélard C, Kestemont P (2011) Does domestication process affect stress
- response in juvenile Eurasian perch Perca fluviatilis? *Comparative Biochemistry and*

737 *Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* **159**: 92–99.

738 Douxfils J, Mathieu C, Mandiki SNM, Milla S, Henrotte E, Wang N, Vandecan M, Dieu M,

739 Dauchot N, Pigneur LM, Li X, Rougeot C, Mélard C, Silvestre F, van Doninck K, Raes

- 740 M, Kestemont P (2011) Physiological and proteomic evidences that domestication
- 741 process differentially modulates the immune status of juvenile Eurasian perch (Perca
- fluviatilis) under chronic confinement stress. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **31**: 1113–

743 1121.

- Duffy JE, Carlson EA, Li Y, Prophete C, Zelikoff JT (2003) Age-related differences in the
- sensitivity of the fish immune response to a coplanar PCB. *Ecotoxicology* **12**: 251–259.

746	Dupont-Nivet M, Vandeputte M, Haffray P, Chevassus B (2006) Effect of different mating
747	designs on inbreeding, genetic variance and response to selection when applying
748	individual selection in fish breeding programs. Aquaculture 252: 161–170.
749	Evenhuis JP, Leeds TD, Marancik DP, Lapatra SE, Wiens GD (2015) Rainbow trout
750	(Oncorhynchus mykiss) resistance to columnaris disease is heritable and favorably
751	correlated with bacterial cold water disease resistance. Journal of Animal Science 93:
752	1546–1554.
753	Felip A, Zanuy S, Muriach B, Cerdá-Reverter JM, Carrillo M (2008) Reduction of sexual
754	maturation in male Dicentrarchus labrax by continuous light both before and during
755	gametogenesis. Aquaculture 275: 347–355.
756	Fleming IA, Agustsson T, Finstad B, Johnsson JI, Björnsson BT (2002) Effects of
757	domestication on growth physiology and endocrinology of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar
758). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 59 : 1323–1330.
759	Fleming IA, Jonsson B, Gross MR, Lamberg A (1996) An Experimental Study of the
760	Reproductive Behaviour and Success of Farmed and Wild Atlantic Salmon (Salmo
761	salar). The Journal of Applied Ecology 33 : 893–905.
762	Flynn KJ, St John M, Raven JA, Skibinski DOF, Allen JI, Mitra A, Hofmann EE (2014)
763	HORIZONS: Acclimation, adaptation, traits and trade-offs in plankton functional type
764	models: Reconciling terminology for biology and modelling. Journal of Plankton
765	<i>Research</i> 37 : 683–691.
766	Fontaine P, Legendre M, Vandeputte M, Fostier A (2009) Domestication de nouvelles
767	espéces et développement durable de la pisciculture. Cahiers Agricultures 18: 119–124.
768	Fontaine P, Teletchea F (2019) Domestication of the Eurasian Perch (Perca fluviatilis).
769	'Anim. Domest.' (Ed F Teletchea) doi:10.5772/intechopen.85132.
770	Fraslin C, Dechamp N, Bernard M, Krieg F, Hervet C, Guyomard R, Esquerré D, Barbieri J,

771	Kuchly C, Duchaud E, Boudinot P, Rochat T, Bernardet JF, Quillet E (2018)
772	Quantitative trait loci for resistance to Flavobacterium psychrophilum in rainbow trout:
773	Effect of the mode of infection and evidence of epistatic interactions. Genetics Selection
774	<i>Evolution</i> 50 : 60.
775	Friars GW, Smith PJ (2010) Heritability, correlation and selection response estimates of some
776	traits in fish populations. Atlantic Salmon Federation Technical Report.
777	Fuentes A, Fernández-Segovia I, Serra JA, Barat JM (2010) Comparison of wild and cultured
778	sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) quality. Food Chemistry 119: 1514–1518.
779	Gavery MR, Roberts SB (2017) Epigenetic considerations in aquaculture. PeerJ 5: e4147.
780	Gjedrem T (2012) Genetic improvement for the development of efficient global aquaculture:
781	A personal opinion review. Aquaculture 344–349: 12–22.
782	Glover KA, Otterå H, Olsen RE, Slinde E, Taranger GL, Skaala Ø (2009) A comparison of
783	farmed, wild and hybrid Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) reared under farming
784	conditions. Aquaculture 286: 203–210.
785	Greenbaum D, Colangelo C, Williams K, Gerstein M (2003) Comparing protein abundance
786	and mRNA expression levels on a genomic scale. Genome Biology 4: 117.
787	Grigorakis K (2007) Compositional and organoleptic quality of farmed and wild gilthead sea
788	bream (Sparus aurata) and sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) and factors affecting it: A
789	review. Aquaculture 272: 55–75.
790	Guzmán JM, Rubio M, Ortiz-Delgado JB, Klenke U, Kight K, Cross I, Sánchez-Ramos I,
791	Riaza A, Rebordinos L, Sarasquete C, Zohar Y, Mañanós EL (2009) Comparative gene
792	expression of gonadotropins (FSH and LH) and peptide levels of gonadotropin-releasing
793	hormones (GnRHs) in the pituitary of wild and cultured Senegalese sole (Solea
794	senegalensis) broodstocks. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and
795	Integrative Physiology 153: 266–277.

796	Harvey AC, Skilbrei OT, Besnier F, Solberg MF, Sørvik AGE, Glover KA (2018)
797	Implications for introgression: Has selection for fast growth altered the size threshold for
798	precocious male maturation in domesticated Atlantic salmon? BMC Evolutionary
799	Biology.
800	Hassin S, De Monbrison D, Hanin Y, Elizur A, Zohar Y, Popper DM (1997) Domestication of
801	the white grouper, Epinephelus aeneus. 1. Growth and reproduction. Aquaculture 156:
802	305–316.
803	Hedenskog M, Petersson E, Järvi T (2002) Agonistic Behavior and Growth in Newly
804	Emerged Brown Trout (Salmo trutta L) of Sea-Ranched and Wild Origin. Aggressive
805	<i>Behavior</i> 28 : 145–153.
806	Honji RM, Nóbrega RH, Pandolfi M, Shimizu A, Borella MI, Moreira RG (2013)
807	Immunohistochemical study of pituitary cells in wild and captive Salminus hilarii
808	(Characiformes: Characidae) females during the annual reproductive cycle. SpringerPlus
809	2 : 1–14.
810	Huntingford F, Jobling M, Kadri S (2012) 'Aquaculture and Behavior.
811	Ibarra-Zatarain Z, Fatsini E, Rey S, Chereguini O, Martin I, Rasines I, Alcaraz C, Duncan N
812	(2016) Characterization of stress coping style in Senegalese sole (Solea Senegalensis)
813	juveniles and breeders for aquaculture. Royal Society Open Science 3: 160495.
814	Imanaga Y, Nyuji M, Amano M, Takahashi A, Kitano H, Yamaguchi A, Matsuyama M
815	(2014) Characterization of gonadotropin-releasing hormone and gonadotropin in jack
816	mackerel (Trachurus japonicus): Comparative gene expression analysis with respect to
817	reproductive dysfunction in captive and wild fish. Aquaculture 428–429 : 226–235.
818	Johnsson JI, Höjesjö J, Fleming IA (2001) Behavioural and heart rate responses to predation
819	risk in wild and domesticated Atlantic salmon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
820	Aquatic Sciences 58: 788–794.

- Johnston IA, Li X, Vieira VLA, Nickell D, Dingwall A, Alderson R, Campbell P, Bickerdike
 R (2006) Muscle and flesh quality traits in wild and farmed Atlantic salmon. *Aquaculture* 256: 323–336.
- Jonsson B, Jonsson N (2014) Early environment influences later performance in fishes.
- 825 *Journal of Fish Biology* **85**: 151–188.
- Kalbe M, Eizaguirre C, Dankert I, Reusch TBH, Sommerfeld RD, Wegner KM, Milinski M

827 (2009) Lifetime reproductive success is maximized with optimal major

- 828 histocompatibility complex diversity Lifetime reproductive success is maximized with
- 829 optimal major histocompatibility complex diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society -*
- Biological Sciences **276**: 925–934.
- 831 Khendek A, Alix M, Viot S, Ledoré Y, Rousseau C, Mandiki R, Kestemont P, Policar T,
- Fontaine P, Milla S (2017) How does a domestication process modulate oogenesis and
 reproduction performance in Eurasian perch? *Aquaculture* 473: 206–214.
- 834 Khendek A, Chakraborty A, Roche J, Ledoré Y, Personne A, Policar T, Żarski D, Mandiki R,
- 835 Kestemont P, Milla S, Fontaine P (2018) Rearing conditions and life history influence
- the progress of gametogenesis and reproduction performances in pikeperch males and
- females. *Animal* **12**: 2335–2346.
- Koolhaas JM, Korte SM, De Boer SF, Van Der Vegt BJ, Van Reenen CG, Hopster H, De
- 839Jong IC, Ruis MAW, Blokhuis HJ (1999) Coping styles in animals: Current status in
- behavior and stress- physiology. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **23**: 925–935.
- Krejszeff S, Targońska K, Zarski D, Kucharczyk D (2009) Domestication affects spawning of
 the ide (Leuciscus idus)-preliminary study. *Aquaculture* 295: 145–147.
- Krejszeff S, Targońska K, Zarski D, Kucharczyk D (2010) Artificial reproduction of two
 different spawn-forms of the chub. *Reproductive Biology* 10: 67–74.
- 845 Křišťan J, Stejskal V, Policar T (2012) Comparison of reproduction characteristics and

- broodstock mortality in farmed and wild eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis L.) females
- 847 during spawning season under controlled conditions. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and*848 *Aquatic Sciences* 12: 1.
- 849 Kujawa R, Kucharczyk D, Mamcarz A, Zarski D, Targońska K (2011) Artificial spawning of
- common tench Tinca tinca (Linnaeus, 1758), obtained from wild and domestic stocks. *Aquaculture International* 19: 513–521.
- Lambert Y, Dutil J, Ouellet P (2000) Nutritional condition and reproductive success in wild
- fish populations. InNorberg B et al. (Ed. . (ed) 'Bergen (Norway)', Bergen. 499 pp.
- 854 (Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on the Reproductive Physiology of855 Fish: Bergen)
- Lanes CFC, Bizuayehu TT, Bolla S, Martins C, de Oliveira Fernandes JM, Bianchini A,
- 857 Kiron V, Babiak I (2012) Biochemical composition and performance of Atlantic cod
- 858 (Gadus morhua L.) eggs and larvae obtained from farmed and wild broodstocks.
- 859 *Aquaculture* **324–325**: 267–275.
- Langen K, Bakker TCM, Baldauf SA, Shrestha J, Thünken T (2017) Effects of ageing and
- 861 inbreeding on the reproductive traits in a cichlid fish I: The male perspective. *Biological*862 *Journal of the Linnean Society* 120: 752–761.
- Lecocq T, Benard A, Pasquet A, Nahon S, Ducret A, Dupont-Marin K, Lang I, Thomas M
 (2019) TOFF, a database of traits of fish to promote advances in fish aquaculture.
- 865 *Scientific data*. 6: 301.
- Lenas D, Chatziantoniou S, Nathanailides C, Triantafillou D (2012) Comparison of wild and
 farmed sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax L) lipid quality. *Procedia Food Science* 1: 1139–
 1146.
- Lepage O, Øverli Ø, Petersson E, Järvi T, Winberg S (2000) Differential stress coping in wild
- and domesticated sea trout. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* **56**: 259–268.

871	Li M, Leatherland JF (2013) The implications for aquaculture practice of epigenomic
872	programming of components of the endocrine system of teleostean embryos: Lessons
873	learned from mammalian studies. Fish and Fisheries 14: 528–553.
874	Lieutenant-Gosselin M, Bernatchez L (2006) Local heterozygoty-fitness correlations with
875	global positive effects on fitness in threespeine stickleback. Evolution 282:20152230.
876	Longalong FM, Eknath AE (1995) Development of techniques for synchronization of natural
877	spawning in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Aquaculture 137: 284–292.
878	Lorenzen K, Beveridge MCM, Mangel M (2012) Cultured fish: Integrative biology and
879	management of domestication and interactions with wild fish. <i>Biological Reviews</i> 87:
880	Lucas MD, Drew RE, Wheeler PA, Verrell PA, Thorgaard GH (2004) Behavioral Differences
881	among Rainbow Trout Clonal Lines. Behavior Genetics 34: 355–365.
882	Lund I, Steenfeldt SJ, Suhr KI, Hansen BW (2008) A comparison of fatty acid composition
883	and quality aspects of eggs and larvae from cultured and wild broodstock of common
884	sole (Solea solea L.). Aquaculture Nutrition 14: 544–555.
885	Mairesse G, Thomas M, Gardeur JN, Brun-Bellut J (2006) Effects of geographic source,
886	rearing system, and season on the nutritional quality of wild and farmed Perca fluviatilis.
887	<i>Lipids</i> 41 : 221–229.
888	Mairesse G, Thomas M, Gardeur JN, Brun-Bellut J (2007) Effects of dietary factors, stocking
889	biomass and domestication on the nutritional and technological quality of the Eurasian
890	perch Perca fluviatilis. Aquaculture 262: 86–94.
891	Marimuthu K, Arumugam J, Sandragasan D, Jegathambigai R (2009) Studies on the fecundity
892	of native fish climbing perch (Anabas testudineus, Bloch) in Malaysia. American-
893	Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 3 : 266–274.
894	Mazur CF, Iwama GK (1993) Effect of handling and stocking density on hematocrit, plasma
895	cortisol, and survival in wild and hatchery-reared chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus

- tshawytscha). *Aquaculture* **112**: 291–299.
- 897 Mignon-Grasteau S, Boissy A, Bouix J, Faure JM, Fisher AD, Hinch GN, Jensen P, Le
- 898 Neindre P, Mormède P, Prunet P, Vandeputte M, Beaumont C (2005) Genetics of
- adaptation and domestication in livestock. *Livestock Production Science* **93**: 3–14.
- 900 Milla S, Wang N, Mandiki SNM, Kestemont P (2009) Corticosteroids: Friends or foes of
- 901 teleost fish reproduction? *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A Molecular and*
- 902 *Integrative Physiology* **153**: 242–251.
- 903 Mohanty BR, Sahoo PK, Mahapatra KD, Saha JN (2012) Differential resistance to
- 904 edwardsiellosis in rohu (Labeo rohita) families selected previously for higher growth
- and/or aeromoniasis-resistance. *Journal of Applied Genetics* **53**: 107–114.
- 906 Moreira RG, Honji RM, Melo RG, de Moraes Narcizo A, Amaral JS, de Carvalho Araújo R,
- 907 Hilsdorf AWS (2015) The involvement of gonadotropins and gonadal steroids in the
- 908 ovulatory dysfunction of the potamodromous Salminus hilarii (Teleostei: Characidae) in

909 captivity. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **41**: 1435–1447.

- 910 Neff BD, Garner SR, Fleming IA, Gross MR (2015) Reproductive success in wild and
- hatchery male coho salmon. *Royal Society Open Science* **2**: 150161.
- 912 Nguyen NH, Hamzah A, Thoa NP (2017) Effects of genotype by environment interaction on
- 913 genetic gain and genetic parameter estimates in Red tilapia (Oreochromis spp.).
- 914 Frontiers in Genetics 8: 82.
- 915 Nikoo M, Falahatkar B (2012) Physiological Responses in Wild Broodstocks of the Caspian
- 916 Kutum (Rutilus frisii kutum) Subjected to Transportation Stress. *Journal of Applied*
- 917 Animal Welfare Science 15: 372–382.
- 918 O'Malley KG, Sakamoto T, Danzmann RG, Ferguson MM (2003) Quantitative trait loci for
- spawning date and body weight in rainbow trout: Testing for conserved effects across
- 920 ancestrally duplicated chromosomes. *Journal of Heredity* **94**: 273–284.

- 921 Okpala COR, Sardo G, Vitale S (2017) On the acclimatization of pelagic fish species
- 922 juveniles in a closed environment: An atlantic horse mackerel case study. *American*923 *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences* 12: 65–70.
- 924 Osure GO, Phelps RP (2006) Evaluation of reproductive performance and early growth of
- four strains of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus, L) with different histories of
- domestication. *Aquaculture* **253**: 485–494.
- 927 Øverli Ø, Pottinger TG, Carrick TR, Øverli E, Winberg S (2001) Brain monoaminergic

activity in rainbow trout selected for high and low stress responsiveness. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 57: 214–224.

- 930 Palińska-Żarska K, Woźny M, Kamaszewski M, Szudrowicz H, Brzuzan P, Żarski D (2020)
- 931 Domestication process modifies digestion ability in larvae of Eurasian perch (Perca

932 fluviatilis), a freshwater Teleostei. *Scientific Reports* 10(1):6248.

- 933 Pasquet A (2019) Effects of Domestication on Fish Behaviour. 'Anim. Domest.'
- 934 Podgorniak T, Brockmann S, Konstantinidis I, Fernandes JMO (2019) Differences in the fast
- 935 muscle methylome provide insight into sex-specific epigenetic regulation of growth in

936 Nile tilapia during early stages of domestication. *Epigenetics* **14**: 818–836.

- 937 Porta J, María Porta J, Martínez-Rodríguez G, del Carmen Alvarez M (2006) Development of
- a microsatellite multiplex PCR for Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis) and its
- application to broodstock management. *Aquaculture* **256**: 159–166.
- 940 Pörtl D, Jung C (2017) Is dog domestication due to epigenetic modulation in brain? *Dog*941 *Behavior* 2: 21–32.
- 942 Pottinger TG, Carrick TR (2000) Indicators of reproductive performance in rainbow trout
- 943 Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum) selected for high and low responsiveness to stress.
- 944 *Aquaculture Research* **31**: 367–375.
- 945 Pottinger TG, Pickering AD, Hurley MA (1992) Consistency in the stress response of

946 individuals of two strains of rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. *Aquaculture* **103**:

947 275–289.

- 948 Priars GW, Smith P (2010) Heritability, correlation and selection response estimates of some
- 949traits in fish populations. (Tech rep, Huntsman Marine Science Centre)
- 950 Price EO (1999) Behavioral development in animals undergoing domestication. Applied
- 951 *Animal Behaviour Science* **65**: 245–271.
- Randak T, Kocour M, Zlabek V, Policar T, Jarkovsky J (2006) Effect of culture conditions on
 reproductive traits of brown trout Salmo trutta L. *Bulletin Francais De La Peche Et De La Pisciculture* 383, 1–12.
- 955 Raoult V, Brown C, Zuberi A, Williamson JE (2012) Blood cortisol concentrations predict
- boldness in juvenile mulloway (Argyosomus japonicus). *Journal of Ethology* 30: 225–
 232.
- 958 Reinhardt UG, Yamamoto T, Nakano S (2001) Effects of body size and predators on
- 959 intracohort competition in wild and domesticated juvenile salmon in a stream. *Ecological*960 *Research* 16: 327–334.
- 961 Robison BD, Rowland W (2005) A potential model system for studying the genetics of
- 962 domestication: behavioral variation among wild and domesticated strains of zebra danio
- 963 (*Danio rerio*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **62**: 2046–2054.
- 964 Ruzzante DE, Doyle RW (1991) Rapid behavioral changes in medaka (Oryzias latipes)
- 965 caused by selection for competitive and noncompetitive growth. *Evolution* **45**: 1936.
- 966 Ruzzante DE, Doyle RW (1993) Evolution of Social-Behavior in a Resource-Rich, Structured
- 967 Environment Selection Experiments with Medaka (Oryzias-Latipes). *Evolution* 47:
- 968 Salze G, Tocher DR, Roy WJ, Robertson DA (2005) Egg quality determinants in cod (Gadus
- 969 morhua L.): Egg performance and lipids in eggs from farmed and wild broodstock.
- 970 *Aquaculture Research* **36**: 1488–1499.

971	Sampaio FDF, Freire CA (2016) An overview of stress physiology of fish transport: changes
972	in water quality as a function of transport duration. Fish and Fisheries 17: 1055–1072.
973	Samy Yehya El-Zaeem (2012) Flesh quality differentiation of wild and cultured Nile tilapia
974	(Oreochromis niloticus) populations. African journal of biotechnology 11: 4086–4089.
975	Sánchez P, Viñas J, Alvarado Bremer JR, Ambrosio PP, Flos R (2012) Loss of genetic
976	variability in a hatchery strain of Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis) revealed by
977	sequence data of the mitochondrial DNA control region and microsatellite markers.
978	<i>Scientia Marina</i> 76 : 225–235.
979	Schreck CB, Contreras-Sanchez W, Fitzpatrick MS (2001) Effects of stress on fish
980	reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny. Aquaculture 197: 3-24.
981	Segner H, Sundh H, Buchmann K, Douxfils J, Sundell KS, Mathieu C, Ruane N, Jutfelt F,
982	Toften H, Vaughan L (2012) Health of farmed fish: Its relation to fish welfare and its
983	utility as welfare indicator. Fish Physiology and Biochemistry 38: 85-105.
984	Silva RMO, Evenhuis JP, Vallejo RL, Tsuruta S, Wiens GD, Martin KE, Parsons JE, Palti Y,
985	Lourenco DAL, Leeds TD (2019) Variance and covariance estimates for resistance to
986	bacterial cold water disease and columnaris disease in two rainbow trout breeding
987	populations. Journal of Animal Science 97: 1124–1132.
988	Silva PIM, Martins CIM, Engrola S, Marino G, Øverli Ø, Conceição LEC (2010) Individual
989	differences in cortisol levels and behaviour of Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis)
990	juveniles: Evidence for coping styles. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 124: 75–81.
991	Smallbone W, van Oosterhout C, Cable J (2016) The effects of inbreeding on disease
992	susceptibility: Gyrodactylus turnbulli infection of guppies, Poecilia reticulata.
993	Experimental Parasitology 167: 32–37.
994	Sneddon LU, Braithwaite VA, Gentle MJ (2003) Do fishes have nociceptors? Evidence for
995	the evolution of a vertebrate sensory system. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:

996 *Biological Sciences* **270**: 1115–1121.

- Solberg MF, Skaala Ø, Nilsen F, Glover KA (2013) Does Domestication Cause Changes in
 Growth Reaction Norms? A Study of Farmed, Wild and Hybrid Atlantic Salmon
 Families Exposed to Environmental Stress. *PLoS ONE* 8: e54469.
- 1000 Sorbera L, Asturiano JF, Carrillo M, Zanuy S (2001) Effects of polyunsaturated fatty acids
- and prostaglandins on oocyte maturation in a marine teleost, the European sea bass

1002 (Dicentrarchus labrax). *Biology of reproduction* **64**: 382–389.

1003 Srisapoome P, Chatchaiphan S, Bunnoy A, Koonawootrittriron S, Na-Nakorn U (2019)

1004 Heritability of immunity traits and disease resistance of bighead catfish, Clarias

1005 macrocephalus Günther, 1864. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **92**: 209–215.

1006 Su GS, Liljedahl LE, Gall GAE (1996) Effects of inbreeding on growth and reproductive

traits in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Aquaculture* **142**: 139–148.

1008 Swain DP, Riddell BE (1990) Variation in agonistic behavior between newly emerged

1009 juveniles from hatchery and wild populations of coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch.

- 1010 *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* **47**: 566–571.
- 1011 Taranger GL, Carrillo M, Schulz RW, Fontaine P, Zanuy S, Felip A, Weltzien FA, Dufour S,
- 1012 Karlsen Ø, Norberg B, Andersson E, Hansen T (2010) Control of puberty in farmed fish.
- 1013 *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **165**: 483–515.
- 1014 Targońska K, Kucharczyk D, Żarski D, Cejko B, Krejszeff S, Kupren K, Król R, Dryl K,
- 1015 Kowalski R, Glogowski J (2011) Artificial reproduction of wild and cultured barbel (
- Barbus barbus , Cyprinidae) under controlled conditions. Acta Veterinaria Hungarica
 59: 363–372.
- 1018Teletchea F, Fontaine P (2014) Levels of domestication in fish: Implications for the
- sustainable future of aquaculture. *Fish and Fisheries* **15**: 181–195.
- 1020 Tentelier C, Lepais O, Larranaga N, Manicki A, Lange F, Rives J (2016) Sexual selection

- leads to a tenfold difference in reproductive success of alternative reproductive tactics in
 male atlantic salmon. *Science of Nature* 103: 47.
- 1023 Thoa NP, Hamzah A, Nguyen NH (2017) Genetic variation and correlated changes in
- reproductive performance of a red tilapia line selected for improved growth over three
 generations. *Animal Reproduction Science* 184: 94–101.
- 1026 Thodesen J, Grisdale-Helland B, Helland SJ, Gjerde B (1999) Feed intake, growth and feed
- 1027 utilization of offspring from wild and selected Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar).
- 1028 *Aquaculture* **180**: 237–246.
- 1029 Trong TQ, Van Arendonk JAM, Komen H (2013a) Genetic parameters for reproductive traits
- in female Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus): II. Fecundity and fertility. *Aquaculture*416–417: 72–77.
- 1032 Trong TQ, Van Arendonk JAM, Komen H (2013b) Genetic parameters for reproductive traits
 1033 in female Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus): I. Spawning success and time to spawn.
- 1034 *Aquaculture* **416–417**: 57–64.
- 1035 Trut L, Oskina I, Kharlamova A (2009) Animal evolution during domestication: The
- domesticated fox as a model. *BioEssays* **31**, 349–360.
- 1037 Tymchuk WE, Beckman B, Devlin RH (2009) Altered expression of growth hormone/insulin-
- 1038 like growth factor i axis hormones in domesticated fish. *Endocrinology* **150**: 1809–1816.
- 1039 Tymchuk WE, Biagi C, Withler R, Devlin RH (2006) Growth and Behavioral Consequences
- 1040 of Introgression of a Domesticated Aquaculture Genotype into a Native Strain of Coho
- 1041 Salmon. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* **135**: 442–455.
- 1042 Tymchuk WE, Devlin RH (2005) Growth differences among first and second generation
- hybrids of domesticated and wild rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Aquaculture*245: 295–300.
- 1045 Vandeputte M, Dupont-nivet M, Haffray P, Cenadelli S, Parati K, Vidal M, Vergnet A,

- 1046 Chatain B (2009) Response to domestication and selection for growth in the European
 1047 sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Aquaculture* 286: 20–27.
- 1048 Vaz-Serrano J, Ruiz-Gomez ML, Gjøen HM, Skov P V., Huntingford FA, Øverli, Höglund E
- 1049 (2011) Consistent boldness behaviour in early emerging fry of domesticated Atlantic
- salmon (Salmo salar): Decoupling of behavioural and physiological traits of the
- 1051 proactive stress coping style. *Physiology and Behavior* **103**: 359–364.
- 1052 Verbeek P, Iwamoto T, Murakami N (2008) Variable stress-responsiveness in wild type and
 1053 domesticated fighting fish. *Physiology and Behavior* **93**: 83–88.
- 1054 Vindas MA, Gorissen M, Höglund E, Flik G, Tronci V, Damsgård B, Thörnqvist PO, Nilsen
- 1055 TO, Winberg S, Øverli Ø, Ebbesson LOE (2017) How do individuals cope with stress?
- 1056 Behavioural, physiological and neuronal differences between proactive and reactive

1057 coping styles in fish. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **220**: 1524–1532.

- 1058 Volckaert FAM, Hellemans B, Batargias C, Louro B, Massault C, Van Houdt JKJ, Haley C,
- 1059 De Koning DJ, Canario AVM (2012) Heritability of cortisol response to confinement
- stress in European sea bass dicentrarchus labrax. *Genetics Selection Evolution* **44**: 15.
- Wang N, Teletchea F, Kestemont P, Milla S, Fontaine P (2010) Photothermal control of the
 reproductive cycle in temperate fishes. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 2: 209–222.
- 1063 Wedemeyer GA, Wydoski RS (2008) Physiological Response of Some Economically
- 1064 Important Freshwater Salmonids to Catch-and-Release Fishing. *North American Journal* 1065 *of Fisheries Management* 28: 1587–1596.
- 1066 Wellenreuther M, Le Luyer J, Cook D, Ritchie PA, Bernatchez L (2019) Domestication and
- 1067 Temperature Modulate Gene Expression Signatures and Growth in the Australasian
- 1068 Snapper Chrysophrys auratus. *Genes/Genome/Genetics* **9**: 105–116.
- 1069 Wilkins AS, Wrangham RW, Tecumseh Fitch W (2014) The 'domestication syndrome' in
- 1070 mammals: A unified explanation based on neural crest cell behavior and genetics.

1071 *Genetics* **197**: 795–808.

- 1072 Wittkopp PJ, Kalay G (2011) Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and
- 1073 evolutionary processes underlying divergence. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **13**: 59–69.
- 1074 Woodward CC, Strange RJ (2004) Physiological Stress Responses in Wild and Hatchery-
- 1075 Reared Rainbow Trout. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* **116**: 574–579.
- 1076 Wright D, Nakamichi R, Krause J, Butlin RK (2006) QTL analysis of behavioral and
- 1077 morphological differentiation between wild and laboratory zebrafish (Danio rerio).

1078 *Behavior Genetics* **36**: 271–284.

- 1079 Yue S, Moccia RD, Duncan IJH (2004) Investigating fear in domestic rainbow trout,
- 1080 Oncorhynchus mykiss, using an avoidance learning task. *Applied Animal Behaviour*
- 1081 Science **87**: 343–354.
- 1082 Zuberi A, Brown C, Ali S (2014) Effect of confinement on water-borne and whole body
- 1083 cortisol in wild and captive-reared rainbowfish (Melanoteania duboulayi). *International*1084 *Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 16: 183–188.
- 1085 Zupa R, Fauvel C, Mylonas CC, Santamaria N, Valentini L, Pousis C, Papadaki M, Suquet M,
- 1086 De la Gándara F, Bello G, De Metrio G, Corriero A (2013) Comparative analysis of male
- 1087 germ cell proliferation and apoptosis in wild and captive Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus
- thynnus L.). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **29**: 71–81.
- 1089 Zupa R, Rodrõâguez C, Mylonas CC, Rosenfeld H, Fakriadis I, Papadaki M, Peârez JA,
- 1090 Pousis C, Basilone G, Corriero A (2017) Comparative study of reproductive
- 1091 development in wild and captive-reared greater amberjack seriola dumerili (Risso, 1810).
- 1092 *PLoS ONE* **12**: e0169645.

1094Table 1: Main domestication effects on growth reported in teleost fish species

Species	Comparison	Effect of domestication	Reference
Negative effects on growth:			
Oreochromis niloticus	F0 vs domesticated	Decrease of growth rate in ponds	(Brummett <i>et al.</i> 2004)
Positive effects on growth:			
Salmo salar	F1 vs F7	Increase in weight, length, and condition factor after selection for growth, fillet quality, and late maturation	(Glover et al. 2009)
Salmo salar	F1 vs F10	Increase in weight and length after selection for growth, fillet quality, and late maturation	(Solberg <i>et al.</i> 2013)
Salmo salar	F1 vs F5	Increase in weight and condition factor after selection for growth rate	(Thodesen et al. 1999)
Salmo salar	F1 vs F5	Increase in weight, plasma GH content, and pituitary GH content after selection for growth	(Fleming et al. 2002)
Salmo trutta	F0 vs F6	Increase in growth rate at low fish densities after selection for growth	(Hedenskog et al. 2002)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	F1 vs domesticated	Increase in growth and length after controlled selection for growth	(Tymchuk and Devlin 2005)
Oncorhynchus mykiss and Oncorhynchus kisutch	F1 vs domesticated	Increase in growth and length after controlled selection for growth	(Tymchuk <i>et al.</i> 2009)
Dicentrarchus labrax	F1 vs F2	No difference in growth	(Vandeputte et al. 2009)
Perca fluviatilis	F0 vs F6	Increase in weight, length and growth rate in larvae	(Palińska-Żarska et al. 2020)
Perca fluviatilis	F0 vs F4	Increase in weight and growth rate	(Douxfils, Mandiki, et al. 2011)
Sander lucioperca	F0 vs F0 (reared in RAS)	Increase in growth rate	(Khendek et al. 2018)
Chrysophrys auratus	F0 vs F1	Increase in weight, length and growth rate at high temperature	(Wellenreuther et al. 2019)
1096 1097 1098 1099			
1100			
1101			
1102			
1103			
1104			
1105			

1106Table 2: Main domestication effects on reproductive features reported in teleost fish species

Species Comparison Effect of domestication Reference
--

Negative effects on reprod	ductive capacity:		
Oreochromis niloticus	F0 vs domesticated	Lower rates of ovulation and survival	(Osure and Phelps 2006)
Seriola dumerili Wild F0 vs captive		Lower levels of GSI and sex steroids (T, E2) for both sexes, high levels of oocyte atresia and failed ovulation, blockage of	(Zupa et al. 2017)
		spermatogenesis	
Salminus hilarii	F0 vs domesticated	Disruption of final oocyte meiotic maturation, ovulation blockage, decreases in FSH and LH gene expression	(Moreira et al. 2015)
Trachurus japonicus			(Imanaga et al. 2014)
		blockage	
Thunnus thynnus	Wild F0 vs captive F0	Delay in germinal-cell proliferation, increased apoptosis in testis, reduction of spermatozoan mobility	(Zupa <i>et al.</i> 2013)
Salminus hilarii	F1 vs domesticated	Lower rate of fertilisation, modification of oocyte lipid content	(Araújo <i>et al.</i> 2012)
Salminus hilarii	Wild F0 vs captive F0	Disruption of final oocyte maturation, blockage of spontaneous ovulation	(Honji et al. 2013)
Perca fluviatilis	F0 vs domesticated	Lower rates of ovulation and hatching	(Křišťan <i>et al.</i> 2012)
Perca fluviatilis	F1 vs domesticated	Lower egg and larval quality (egg survival, hatching rate, malformation rates)	(Khendek et al. 2017)
Sander lucioperca	F0 vs domesticated	Lower levels of GSI and sex hormones in females, slower oogenesis	(Khendek et al. 2018)
Gadus morhua	F0 vs domesticated	Lower rates of fertilisation and hatching, decreased larval resistance to high salinity	(Lanes et al. 2012)
Gadus morhua	F0 vs domesticated	Lower rates of fertilisation, hatching, and embryo survival	(Salze <i>et al.</i> 2005)
Dicentrarchus labrax	F0 vs F3	Lower rates of fertilisation and hatching, modification of lipid content in ova	(Crespel et al. 2008)
Tinca tinca	F0 vs domesticated	Lower ovulation rate and sperm motility	(Kujawa <i>et al.</i> 2011)
Leuciscus cephalus	F0 vs F2	Lower ovulation rate, oocyte weight, and larval size at hatching	(Krejszeff et al. 2010)
Leuciscus idus	F0 vs F4	Lower embryo survival in response to Ovopel treatment	(Krejszeff et al. 2009)
Leuciscus idus	F0 vs F1 vs F3	Lower ovulation rate after CPH treatment, positive correlation between level of domestication and latency time after treatment	(Cieśla et al. 2014)
Solea senegalensis	F0 vs F1	Absence of spontaneous ovulation and no fertilisation if spontaneous ovulation	(Guzmán <i>et al.</i> 2009)
Solea solea	F0 vs F2	Lower rates of fertilisation, hatching, and survival	(Lund et al. 2008)
Salmo salar	F0 vs F5	Lower ovulation rate and embryo survival	(Fleming et al. 1996)
Positive effects on reprod	uctive capacity:		
Salmo trutta	F0 vs F7	Increase in sperm quality but no effect on females (wild environment)	(Dannewitz et al. 2004)
Leuciscus cephalus	F0 vs F2	Increase in annual spawn number	(Krejszeff et al. 2010)
Leuciscus idus	F0 vs F4	Increase in ovulation rate in response to Ovopel treatment	(Krejszeff et al. 2009)
Barbus barbus	F0 vs domesticated	Increase in rates of ovulation and embryo survival in response to Ovaprim treatment	(Targońska et al. 2011)
Perca fluviatilis	F0 vs domesticated	Increase in fertilisation rate, improvement of female survival rates after ovulation	(Křišťan et al. 2012)
Perca fluviatilis	F1 vs domesticated	Increase in levels of expression of GSI, GnRH, and gonadotropin genes during oogenesis	(Khendek et al. 2017)

1111Table 3: Main domestication effects on stress reported in teleost fish species

Species	Comparison	Effect of domestication	Reference				
Positive effects on the stress							
Plecoglossus altivelis	F0 vs F4 vs F39	Lower serum cortisol concentrations in fish exposed for 3 h to water with 200 mg/l kaolin	(Awata et al. 2011)				
Perca fluviatilis	F1 vs F4	Lower plasma cortisol in fish exposed to confinement and 15 min in anaesthetic bath	(Douxfils, Mandiki, et al. 2011)				
Perca fluviatilis	F1 vs F5	Lower splenosomatic index in fish exposed to repeated hypoxia	(Douxfils et al. 2012)				
Oncorhynchus mykiss	F0 vs domesticated	Lower levels of plasma glucose, brain DOPAC/DA, and brain 5-HIAA/5-HT	(Lepage et al. 2000)				
Melanoteania duboulayi	F0 vs F15	Lower increase in cortisol content in fish confined in beaker for 30 min	(Zuberi et al. 2014)				
Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	F0 vs F1	Lower increase in cortisol and haematocrit in fish exposed to density stressor	(Mazur and Iwama 1993)				
Betta splendens	F0 vs domesticated	No elevation in release of water-borne cortisol in fish exposed to unfamiliar environment and spatial confinement	(Verbeek et al. 2008)				
Oncorhynchus mykiss	F0 vs domesticated	Lower increase in plasma cortisol and glucose and lower decrease in plasma chloride in fish exposed to confinement in a net and to electroshock	(Woodward and Strange 2004)				
1117							
1118							
1119							
1120							
1121							
1122							
1123							
1124							
1125							
1126 Table 4: Some	Table 4: Some features of reproductive comparisons between wild and captive fish						
1127							
1128							
1129							
1130							

Species	Sex	Age	Weight	Comparison	Conditions of culture	Feeding	Reference
Seriola dumerili	Males and females	-	13-15 kg	Wild F0 vs captive F0	Sea cage	Prey fish and commercial diets	(Zupa et al. 2017)
Salminus hilarii	Females	-	843 (wild) vs	Wild F0 vs captive	River (F0) vs pond	Commercial diet (captive fish)	(Moreira et al. 2015)
			534 g (captive)	(ponds)			
Trachurus	Males and females	-	307 (wild) vs	Wild F0 vs captive F0	River (F0) vs cage (captive)	-	(Imanaga et al. 2014)
japonicus			250 (captive)				
Thunnus thynnus	Males	-	? (wild) vs 40-	Wild F0 vs captiveF0	Sea (F0) vs cage (captive)	-	(Zupa et al. 2013)
			70 kg (captive)	_			
Leuciscus idus	Males and females	5-8 years	639-780 g	Wild F0 vs F1 vs F3	Wild vs semi-pond culture	-	(Cieśla et al. 2014)
Salminus hilarii	Females	-	570 g	Wild F0 vs captive F0	Ponds	Commercial diet	(Honji et al. 2013)
Perca fluviatilis	Females	3 years	146g (captive)	F0 vs domesticated	Pond-reared (F0) vs RAS	Commercial diet and forage fish (captive)	(Křišťan <i>et al.</i> 2012)
-			vs 75 g (wild)		(captive)	vs forage fish (wild)	
Salminus hilarii	Females	3 years (dom.)	-	F1 vs domesticated	RAS	Commercial diet	(Araújo et al. 2012)
Gadus morhua	Males and Females	3 years (dom.)	2,7-2,9 kg	F0 vs domesticated	RAS	Unfed	(Lanes et al. 2012)
Tinca tinca	Males and Females	-	0.3-1.4 kg	F0 vs domesticated	Lake (F0) vs pond (captive)	Unfed	(Kujawa et al. 2011)

1138 Figure legend

1139

- 1140 Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the main steps of the fish domestication processes that can
- 1141 alter the fish phenotypes. The dotted line in step 5 indicates that this step can start either
- synchronously or after step 4.