

Convenient synthesis of cobalt nanoparticles for the hydrogenation of quinolines in water

Julien Hervochon, Vincent Dorcet, Kathrin Junge, Matthias Beller, Cedric

Fischmeister

To cite this version:

Julien Hervochon, Vincent Dorcet, Kathrin Junge, Matthias Beller, Cedric Fischmeister. Convenient synthesis of cobalt nanoparticles for the hydrogenation of quinolines in water. Catalysis Science & Technology, 2020, 10 (14), pp.4820-4826. 10.1039/d0cy00582g . hal-02928595

HAL Id: hal-02928595 <https://hal.science/hal-02928595v1>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Convenient synthesis of cobalt nanoparticles for the hydrogenation of quinolines in water

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Julien Hervochon,^{a,b} Vincent Dorcet,^a Kathrin Junge,^b Matthias Beller,^b and Cedric Fischmeister*^a

Easily accessible cobalt nanoparticles are prepared by hydrolysis of NaBH4 in the presence of inexpensive Co(II) salts. The resulting material is an efficient catalyst for the hydrogenation of quinoline derivatives in water. The activity and chemoselectivity of this catalyst are comparable to other cobalt-based heterogeneous catalysts.

Introduction

Reduction reactions and more particularly hydrogenations are ubiquitous in organic synthesis and play a major role as part of industrial processes in bulk and fine chemical synthesis. This situation will likely persist owing to the growing concerns and demands for sustainable supply of chemicals arising from biomass¹ as well as new energy carriers based on chemical hydrogen storage.²

N-Heterocycles are important building blocks in natural and synthetic bioactive molecules among which 1,2,3,4 tetrahydroquinoline is specifically valuable with numero us applications in drugs and agrochemicals.³ Recently, such molecules have also been envisioned as hydrogen reservoirs. ⁴ As usually observed with aromatic compounds, the catalytic hydrogenation of quinoline derivatives is a challenging reaction because of potential catalyst deactivation due to coordination of quinoline reagents and tetrahydroquinoline derivatives. Various homogeneous catalysts⁵ have been reported for the hydrogenation of quinolines while iridium-based catalysts exhibited best performances and chemoselectivities. Howeve r, the cost of these catalysts, the sometimes necessary presence of additives and the non-recyclability of homogeneous catalysts encouraged the development of less expensive catalysts based on iron,6 manganese,7 and cobalt.8 Despite their economic interest, these catalysts still need to be improved as they display in general lower efficiency than noble metal-based catalysts and they often require expensive ligands such as pincer ligands. In the past decades, numerous heterogeneous catalysts based on noble metals have also been reported for the hydrogenation of quinoline derivatives.9 Although they usually display high **hydrogenation of quinolines in water**

And misions and Corincipations and Corincipations and Corincipations and Corincipations and the signal continues and the continues of the continues of the continues of the continues

reactivity, these catalysts suffer from low chemoselecti vit y hence hampering the scope of accessible product. Rare examples of chemoselective hydrogenation of quinoline make use of supported Rh,⁹ⁱ Au⁹ and Ru^{9q} nanoparticles. As for homogeneous catalysts, the search for lower cost heterogeneous catalysts is a topic of high importance in catalysis (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Overview of Co-catalyzed hydrogenation of quinoline

In 2015, some of us reported the selective hydrogenation of heteroarenes using cobalt oxide/cobalt nanoparticles modified by nitrogen doped graphene layers on alumina.10 These catalysts efficiently hydrogenated a number of quinoline derivatives in 60-72 h, in toluene at 120 °C and 20-30 bar of hydrogen pressure. One year later, Wang disclosed cobalt encapsulated in N-doped graphene layers for the hydrogenation of quinolines in 3-15 h, in methanol at 120 °C under 30 bar of hydrogen pressure.¹¹ More recently, Corma

a. Univ Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) - UMR 6226, ScanMat-UMS 2001, F-35000 Rennes, France. E-mail [: cedric.fischmeister@univ](mailto:cedric.fischmeister@univ-rennes1.fr)[rennes1.fr](mailto:cedric.fischmeister@univ-rennes1.fr)

b. Leibniz-Institut für Katalyse, Albert-Einstein-Straße 29a, Rostock, 18059, ¨ Germany. E-mail: Matthias.Beller@catalysis.de .

reported nanolayered cobalt-molybdenum sulphides for the selective hydrogenation of quinolines.¹² This catalyst operating at 120 °C and 12 bar of hydrogen pressure displayed impressive chemoselectivity as it did not hydrogenate olefins, ketone, nitrile, ester and amide functions of quinoline derivatives. However, this catalyst was moderately recyclable as conversio n and yields dropped by 50% in a third run. Very recently in 2019, Balaraman reported a Co-Phen@C catalyst for the reduction of quinolines working at 120 °C and 50 bar of hydrogen pressure.¹³ Apart from cobalt, one example of heterogeneous iron catalyst was reported in 2018.¹⁴ Here, an N-doped carbon modified ironbased catalyst performed the hydrogenation of a number of quinoline derivatives at 130-150 °C under 40-50 bar of hydroge n pressure in a MeOH/H2O solvent mixture. Nitrile, ester and amide moieties were not hydrogenated by this catalyst, which was recycled 6 times without loss of catalytic efficiency.

Whatever the nature of the metal (noble/non-noble), most of the known heterogeneous catalysts for this transformation require multistep synthesis or their scale up is difficult. Thus, the preparation of general and selective catalysts in a straightforward manner is stimulating for academic and industrial researchers. In this respect, metal nanoparticl es attracted specific interest in recent years. For instance, naked iridium oxide nanoparticles, easily prepared from iridium trichloride and sodium hydroxide, were shown to be efficient catalysts for the hydrogenation of several quinoline derivatives at mild conditions (room temperature, 1 bar (H_2 balloon) to 60 bar).¹⁵ Similarly, Jacobi von Wangelin reported "quasi-naked" cobalt nanoparticles stabilized by olefinic substrates which were efficient for C=C, C=O and C=N bond hydrogenations in THF.¹⁶

To prepare cobalt nanoparticles in an easy and convenie nt manner in aqueous reaction media, the reduction of cobalt salts with sodium borohydride caught our attention. Interestingly, the activation of sodium borohydride is catalysed by numerous transition metals of which cobalt attracted most attention due to its lower cost. In fact, it is well established that hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in the presence of $Co(II)$ salt leads to various cobalt species¹⁷ including nanoparticles.¹⁸ Inspired by these results, we developed a novel cobalt-catalysed hydrogenation of quinoline and quinoline derivatives in aqueous media. Here, we report the synthesis and application of the optimal catalyst system, which is conveniently prepared from inexpensive precursors without any additives or stabilising species.

Results and discussion

Catalytic experiments

We started our investigations with the reduction of quinoline **1** using *in situ* generated cobalt(0) nanoparticles. More specifically, a high-pressure reactor was successively loaded with CoCl₂ (5 mol%), NaBH₄ (10 mol%), H₂O (1 mL) and quinoline **1**, charged with dihydrogen and heated to temperat ur es between 115 °C and 130 °C. As depicted in Table 1, only minor amounts of catalytic hydrogenation of **1** to **2** occurred at 20 bar

of hydrogen (Table 1, entry 1). Even increasing the temperatur e to 130°C resulted in no repeatable results (Table 1, entry 2). However, stable and quantitative reactions were achieved at 130 °C and 30 bar of hydrogen pressure (Table 1, entry 3). Applying a reduced catalyst loading (2.5 mol%) still resulted in 72% conversion and excellent selectivity (Table 1, entry 4). Following these preliminary results, several other experimen tal parameters were varied. Literature reports on the hydrolysis of NaBH4 catalysed by Co(II) salts clearly established that the Co(II)/NaBH4 ratio is a key parameter determining the nature of the generated cobalt species.16 Hence, the hydrogenation of quinoline was studied in the presence of different amounts of NaBH4 showing an interesting influence on the reaction selectivity. The reaction could be performed with only 5% of NaBH4 but again the repeatability of the reaction was not fully satisfactory leading to 80% to full conversions (Table 1, entry 6). Notably, increasing the amount of sodium borohydride to 20 mol% and to 50 mol%, respectively resulted in a different reduction pathway leading to product **3** arising from the hydrogenation of the carbon-ring (Table 1, entries 7-8). Apparently, different kinds of active cobalt nanoparticles are formed depending on the amount of sodium borohydride. This selectivity issue has already been mentioned with several heterogeneous catalysts with sometimes trace amounts of fully hydrogenated product.19 Notably, the reaction was successfully scaled-up to 2 g of quinoline using best experimental conditions (Table 1, entry 9). art from codel, cone example of heterogeneous large and the space and spaces.³⁸ lever, the hydrogeneous cone
and calaby particular the physicism of a number of quantitation of a cone of a space and different model in th

Table 1 Catalytic hydrogenation of quinoline in the presence of cobalt nanoparticles *^a*

Entry	NaBH ₄	$P(H_2)$	т	Conv.	2 $(%)^c$	$3 (%)^c$
	(mol%)	(bar)	$(^{\circ}C)^b$	$(%)^c$		
1	10	20	115	10	10	0
2	10	20	130	84-99	84-99	0
3	10	30	130	100	100	0
4 ^d	10	30	130	72	72	0
5	2.5	30	130	36	36	0
6	5	30	130	$79 - 299$	79-≥99	0
7	20	30	130	≥ 99	82	8
8	50	30	130	>99	78	22
ge	10	30	130	100	100	0

^a Conditions: Quinoline (0.100 g; 0.78 mmol), CoCl₂ (0.038 mmol; 5 mol%), NaBH₄ (2.95 mg, 10 mol%), H2O (1 mL), 17 h. *^b* Oil bath temperature. *^c* Conversion determined by ¹H NMR. ^d CoCl₂ (2.5 mol%). All experiments were done twice.^e 2 g scale of quinolone.

The activation period corresponding to the amount of time between the addition of water to $CoCl₂/NaBH₄$ and quinoline was also considered and studied as a key parameter. As the reduction of cobalt salts by NaBH⁴ is a fast reaction, a study was conducted with short activation periods. The initial assumptio n was verified as an increase in conversion was observed upon increasing the activation period to 6 minutes. Further extension

Table 2 Co-nanoparticles catalyzed hydrogenations of quinoline: Influence of the activation period*^a*

^a Conditions: Quinoline (0.100 g; 0.78 mmol), CoCl₂ (0.038 mmol; 1 mol%); NaBH₄ (2 mol%), H₂O (1 mL), H₂ (30 bar), 130 °C, 17 h. ^b Conversion and yield determined by ¹H NMR.

of this activation period led to lower performances indicating the evolution of the catalytic species into non- or less reactive species (Table 2). The involvement of quinoline and/or tetrahydroquinoline in the stabilisation of cobalt species should also not be ruled out.

Next, we examined the influence of various cobalt(II) precursors. Apart from cobalt(II) acetylacetonate which was less suitable for this reaction, it is worth noting that the less toxic (NH₄)₂Co(SO₄)₂·6H₂O was found as effective as CoCl₂ (Table 3, entry 3) and was used in some examples of the substrate scope study (*vide infra*).

a Conditions: Quinoline (0.100 g, 0.78 mmol), NaBH4 (10 mol%), H2O (1 mL), H₂ (30 bar), 130 °C, 17 h. ^b Conversion determined by ¹H NMR. ^c Average of 3 reactions (79%, 85%, 89%). All reactions were done two or three times.

The recycling of the Co-Nps was evaluated by means of consecutive quinoline hydrogenation runs taking advantage of the magnetic properties of the in situ formed Co-Nps allowing for a facile separation of the heterogeneous catalyst (Figure 2).

Figure 2 Separation of magnetic cobalt particles

After a first run performed under the previously optimised conditions, the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the aqueous phase containing the cobalt catalyst was reloaded with sodium borohydride, quinoline and stirred at 130 °C for 17 h under 30 bar of dihydrogen. Following this procedure, it was possible to perform three runs with excellent conversion and selectivity after which a quick drop in conversion was observed without deterioration of selectivity (Figure 3). This result is attributed to the deactivation of the catalyst as a black layer accumulated with time over the vial walls. This assumption was confirmed by analysing the reaction mixture arising from a recycling test in which the vial walls were coated by a black solid. Indeed, cobalt could not be detected by TEM/EDS analyses of this reaction mixture indicating that all the cobalt was coated onto the vial walls and was catalytically inactive. To understand this catalyst deactivation, another recycling experiment was performed in which the aqueous reaction mixture was separated from the insoluble material after the first run. Accepted manuscript

Figure 3 Catalytic hydrogenations of quinoline: Recycling of cobalt catalyst

The generated black solid was washed with water and a second run was started under standard conditions giving a lower conversion of only 50%. This observation is most likely explained by the removal of soluble catalytic cobalt species.¹⁸

Next, the scope and limitations of the present catalyst was investigated by the hydrogenation of a broad range of substrates diversely substituted on the phenyl- or pyridyl-ring

(Table 4). The substitution of the pyridyl-ring by alkyl or aryl groups led to the desired products in good yields with the exception of 3-methylquinoline where a mixture of the corresponding tetrahydroquinoline along with 3-methyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoline was formed (Table 4, entry 2). On the contrary, quinolines substituted at the pyridyl-ring by bromine and chloride atoms were unreactive even at higher temperature (150 °C; Table 4, entries 4-5). However, to the best of our knowledge, such reactions have not been reported in the literature and it should be mentioned that no dehalogenation was observed with the brominated reagents as reported for Pdnanoparticules .20 In case of the chloro-substituted derivative dechlorination occurred to a small extent (~5 %). Nevertheles s, these failures provide some hints on the reaction mechanis m indicating that *N*-coordination of quinolone to the catalyst is necessary. Interestingly, the catalyst was found much less sensitive to the substitution pattern on the phenyl ring. Indeed, alkyl-, alkoxy- and halo-substituted products were obtained in good to high yields (Table 4, entries 6-12). The chemoselecti vit y of the catalyst was investigated using either functionalized reagents or mixtures of reagents. Indeed, the selective hydrogenation of quinoline derivatives in the presence of an ester group or internal carbon-carbon double bonds was demonstrated (Table 4, entries 14 and 17).

 a Substrate (0.5 mmol), NaBH₄ (1.90 g, 0.005 mmol), CoCl₂ (5 mol%), H₂O (1 mL), H₂, 30 bar, t = 17 h, T = oil bath temperature. b Conversion determined by ¹H NMR. ^c Isolated yields i[n parentheses.](https://www.dict.cc/englisch-deutsch/parentheses.html) ^d (NH4)2Co(SO4)2.6H2O (5 mol%); ^e40 bar H₂.

However, as exemplified in entry 14, the catalyst also selectively hydrogenated a terminal carbon-carbon double bond. The selective reduction of quinolines bearing carbonylfunctionalities was investigated, as only very few catalysts for such transformations have been reported. As evidenced in entries 15-17, the formyl- and keto- group were readily hydrogenated while the ester group was not reduced *vide supra*. The scope of the reaction was further extended to other *N*-heterocyclic compounds that were all converted with high conversion leading to the desired products in good to excellent yields except for isoquinoline and 1H-benzoimidazole (Table 5, entries 18-24). As explained earlier, the less toxic $(NH_4)_2$ Co(SO₄)₂.6H₂O precursor was employed in some examples and found to be a suitable cobalt precursor, too (Table 4, entries 3, 14, 21).

Characterisation of the catalyst

With these results in hands, we turned our attention to the nature of the catalyst. As mentioned in the introduction, the hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in the presence of CoCl₂ is a very complex process likely to generate several cobalt species. Several parameters such as the ratio CoCl₂/NaBH₄ or the rate of hydrolysis influence this process. In general, we applied for the catalytic experiments a catalyst prepared by hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in the presence of CoCl₂ in a $2/1$ ratio. The resulting dark suspension in water was analysed by Transmission Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive Spectrometry. As shown in Figure 4, the analysed material consisted essentially of nanosheet structures (Fig 4, a, b & c) containing cobalt, as demonstrated by EDS analyses (See SI). Interestingly and despite the absence of any stabilizers, it was also possible to identify cobalt nanoparticles by HRTEM (Fig 4, d). We were also interested in the stabilty of the formed nano-sheet structures during catalysis. For this reason, a reaction mixture arising from the hydrogenation of quinoline under standard conditions was also analysed by TEM. As displayed in Figure 5, this reaction mixture yet consisted in amorphous particles but of bigger size and it was no longer possible to identify crystalline particles. Consequently, the contribution of cobalt nanoparticles to the overall catalytic activity cannot be discarded in the first run, but other "true" heterogeneous cobalt species are also involved as evidenced by the recycling test. However, it is worth noting that cobalt nanoparticles prepared from organometallic cobalt complexes and sodium borohydride in isopropanol were inactive for the hydrogenation of quinoline.²¹ We have the content of the elastic probability in good boxes
in the coupling of the elastic manuscript and elastic in general elastic manuscript and the content of the square elastic manuscript and the elastic manuscript

Figure 4: a, b, c: TEM images of catalytic material; d: HRTEM image of crystalline particle with corresponding Fast Fourier Transform

Figure 5 TEM images of particles suspended in a reaction mixture

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have prepared catalytically active cobalt nanoparticles by hydrolysis of NaBH4 in the presence of Co(II) salts. The catalyst consists initially of a mixture of cobalt nanoparticles and cobalt-containing nanosheets and evolves to bigger Co particles upon reuse. The activity and chemoselectivity of this catalyst are comparable to other well defined heterogeneous catalyst made of cobalt only.²² Notably, this catalyst is easily prepared from inexpensive cobalt precursors and readily accessible to synthetic chemists. Furthermore, the catalyst preparation does not need any additives and to the contrary of many heterogeneous catalysts, it is prepared and used in water hence making the overall process convenient and more environmentally friendly.

Conflicts of interest

"There are no conflicts to declare".

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Région Bretagne (ARED) for a grant to JH.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) P. N. R. Vennestrom, C. M. Osmundsen, C. H. Christensen and E. Taarning, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2011, **50**, 10502; (b) P. J. Deuss, K. Barta and J. G. de Vries, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2014, **4**, 1174; (c) M. J. Clement, A. Corma and S. Iborra, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 516; (d) L. T. Mika, E. Cséfalvay and A. Németh, *Chem. Rev*., 2018, **118**, 505.
- 2 (a) R. H. Crabtree, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2008, **1**, 134; (b) U. Eberle, M. Felderhoff and F. Schuth, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2009, **48,** 6608; (c) N. Armaroli and V. Balzani, *ChemSusChe m,* 2011, **4**, 21-36; (d) K. Sordakis, C. Tang, L. K. Vogt, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, M. Beller and G. Laurenczy, *Chem. Rev*., 2018, **118**, 372.
- 3 (a) V. Sridharan, P. A. Suryavanshi and J. Carlos Menendez, *Chem. Rev*., 2011, **111,** 7157; (b) I. Muthukrishnan, V. Sridharan and J. Carlos Menendez, *Chem. Rev*., 2019, **119**, 5057.
- 4 (a) D. Teichmann, W. Arlt, P. Wasserscheid and R. Freymann, *Energy Environ. Sci*., 2011, **4**, 2767; (b) R. Yamaguchi, C. Ikeda, Y. Takahashi and K. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2009, **131**, 8410; (c) C. Deraedt, R. Ye, W. T. Ralston, F. D. Toste and G. A. Somorjai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2017, **139**, 18084; (d) S. Wang, H. Huang, C. Bruneau and C. Fischmeister, *ChemSusChem*, 2019, **12**, 2350.
- 5 *For hydrogenation reactions, see:* (a) R. A. Sanchez-Delgad o and E. Gonzalez, *Polyhedron*, 1989, **8**, 1431; (b) W. B. Wang, S. M. Lu, P. Y. Yang, X. W. Han and Y. G. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2003, **125**, 10536; (c) H. Zhou, Z. Li, Z. Wang, T. Wang, L. Xu, Y. He, Q.-H. Fan, J. Pan, L. Gu and A. S. C. Chan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*., 2008, **47**, 8464; (d) H. Li, J. Jiang, G. Lu, F. Huang and Z.-X. Wang, *Organometallics,* 2011, **30**, 3131; (e) G. E. Dobereiner, A. Nova, N. D. Schley, N. Hazari, S. J. Miller, O. Eisenstein and R. H. Crabtree, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7547; (f) J. Wu, J. H. Barnard, Y. Zhang, D. Talwar, C. M. Robertson and J. Xiao, *Chem. Commun*., 2013, **49**, 7052; (g) X.- F. Cai, W.-X. Huang, Z.-P. Chen and Y.-G. Zhou, *Chem. Commun*., 2014, **50**, 9588; (h) Y. Wang, Z. Huang, X. Leng, H. Zhu, G. Liu and Z. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2018, **140**, 4417; (i) A. Vivancos, M. Beller, M. Albrecht, *ACS Catal.,* 2018, **8**, 17. *For transfer hydrogenation reactions, see:* (j) C. Wang, C. Li, X. Wu, A. Pettman and J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2009, **48***,* 6524; (k) L. Zhang, R. Qiu, X. Xue, Y. Pan, C. Xu, H. Li and L. Xu, *Adv. Synth. Catal*., 2015, **357**, 3529; (l) I. D. Alshakova, B. Gabidullin and G. I. Nikonov, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, **10**, 4860. 2014, 4, 1134; (although the manuscript Corear of the Karakolina, A Center of the Linds, 1000. Corear of the Manuscript C
- 6 S. Chakraborty, W. B. Brennessel and W. D. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2014, **136**, 8564.
- 7 (a) V. Papa, Y. Cao, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge, M. Beller, *Nature. Catal.* 2020, 10.1038/s41929-019-0404-6; (b) Y. Wang, Z. Shao, G. Li, Y. lan, Q. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2019, **141**, 17337.
- 8 (a) R. Xu, S. Chakraborty, H. Yuan and W. D. Jones, *ACS Catal*., 2015, **5**, 6350; (b) R. Adam, J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*., 2017, **56**, 3216; (c) J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, R. Adam, K. Junge, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **7**, 1981.
- 9 *Selected references for Pd catalysts*: (a) N. Hashimoto, Y. Takahashi, T. Hara, S. Shimazu, T. Mitsudome, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, *Chem. Lett*., 2010, **39**, 832; (b) R. Rahi, M. Fang, A. Ahmed and R. A. Sanchez-Delgado, *Dalton Trans*., 2012, **41**, 1449; (c) H. Mao, J. Ma, Y. Liao, S. Zhao and

X. Liao, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2013, **3**, 1612; (d) M. Guo, C. Li and Q. Yang, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2017, **7**, 2221.

Selected references for Rh catalysts: (e) M. Campanati, M. Casagrande, I. Fagiolino, M. Lenarda, L. Storaro, M. Battagliarin and A. Vaccari, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem*., 2002, **184**, 267; (f) V. Mévellec and A. Roucoux, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2004, **357**, 3099; (g) G.-Y., Fan and J. Wu, *Catal. Commun*., 2013, **31**, 81; (h) M. Niu, Y. Wang, P. Chen, D. Du, J. Jiang and Z. Jin, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2015, **5**, 4746; (i) A. Karakulina, A. Gopakumar, I. Akçok, B. L. Roulier, T. LaGrange, S. Katsyuba, S. Das and P. J. Dyson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2016, **55**, 292; (j) A. Karakulina, A. Gopakumar, Z. Fei, andP. J. Dyson, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2018, **8**, 5091.

Selected references for Ru catalysts: (k) C. Bianchini, V. Dal Santo, A. Meli, S. Moneti, M. Moreno, W. Oberhauser, R. Psaro, L. Sordelli and F. A. Vizza, *J. Catal*., 2003, **213**, 47; (l) Y.- P. Sun, H.-Y. Fu, D.-l. Zhang, R.-X. Li, H. Chen and X.-J. Li, *Catal. Commun*., 2010, **12**, 188; (m) M. Fang, N. Machalaba, and R. A. Sanchez-Delgado, *Dalton Trans*., 2011, **40**, 10621; (n) L. Zhang, X. Wang, Y. Xue, X. Zeng, H. Chen, R. Li and S. Wang, *Catal. Sci.Technol*., 2014, **4**, 1939; (o) H.-Y. Jiang and X.-X. Zheng, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2015, **5**, 3728; (p) M. Tang, J. Deng, M. Li, X. Li, H.Li, Z. Chen and Y. Wang, *Green Chem*., 2016, **18**, 6082; (q) B. Sun, D. Carnevale and G. Süss-Fink, *J. Organomet. Chem*., 2016, **821**, 197; (r) T.-N. Ye, J. Li, M. Kitano and H. Hosono, *Green Chem*., 2017, **19**, 749; (s) H. Konnerth and M. H. G. Prechtl, *Green Chem*., 2017, **19**, 2762. *Selected references for iridium catalysts*: (t) P. Barbaro, L. Gonsalvi, A. Guerriero and F. Liguori, *Green Chem*., 2012, **14**, 3211; (u) Y.-G. Ji, K. Wei, T. Liu, L. Wu and W.-H. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal*., **2017**, *359*, 933. *For Gold catalysts:* (v) D. Ren, L. He, L. Yu, R. S. Ding, Y. M. Liu,

- Y. Cao, H. Y. He and K. N. Fan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2012, **134**, 17592.
- 10 F. Chen, A.-E. Surkus, L. He, M.-M. Pohl, J. Radnik, C. Topf, K. Junge and M. Beller, J*. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2015, **137**, 11718.
- 11 Z. Wei, Y. Chen, J. Wang, D. Su, M. Tang, S. Mao and Y. Wang, *ACS Catal*., 2016, **6**, 5816.
- 12 I. Sorribes, L. Liu, A. Doménech-Carbó and A. Corma, *ACS Catal*., 2018, **8**, 4545.
- 13 G. Jaiswal, M. Subaramanian, M. K. Sahoo and E. Balaraman, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 2449.
- 14 B. Sahoo, C. Kreyenschulte, G. Agostini, H. Lund, S. Bachmann, M. Scalone, K. Junge and M. Beller, *Chem. Sci*., 2018, **9**, 8134.
- 15 Y.-G. Ji, K. Wei, T. Liu, L. Wu and W.-H. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal*., 2017, **359**, 933.
- 16 (a) S. Sandl, F. Schwarzhuber, S. Pöllath, J. Zweck and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Chem. Eur. J*., 2018, **24**, 3403; (b) P. Büschelberger, E. Reyes-Rodriguez, C. Schöttle, J. Treptow, C. Feldmann, A. Jacobo von Wangelin and R. Wolf, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2018, **8**, 2648.
- 17 (a) U. B. Demerci and P. Miele, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys*., 2010, **12**, 14651; (b) U. B. Demerci, O. Akdim, J. Andrieux, J. Hannaeur, R. Chamoun and P. Miele, *Fuel Cells*, 2010, **10**, 335.
- 18 (a) G. N. Glavee, K. J. Klabunde, C. M. Sorensen and G. C. Hadjipanayis, *Langmuir*, 1993, **9**, 162; (b) X. Liang and L. Zhao, *RSC Advances*, 2012, **2**, 5485(c) P. Brack, S. E. Dann and K. G. Upul Wijayantha, *Energy Sci. Eng*., 2015, **3**, 174; (d) C. Che, H. Huang, Z. Zhang, X.-Q. Dong and X. Zhang, *Chem. Commun*., 2017, **53**, 4612.
- 19 (a) S. Zhang, Z. Xia, T. Ni, Z. Zhang, Y. Ma and Y. Qu, *J. Catal*., 2018, **359**, 101; (b) H-y Jiang, J. Xu and B. Sun, *App. Organometal. Chem*., 2018, **32**, 4260; (c) X. Wang, W. Chen, L. Zhang, T. Yao, W. Liu, Y. Lin, H. Ju, J. Dong, L. Zheng, W. Yan, X. Zheng, Z. Li, X. Wang, J. Tang, D. He, Y. Wang, Z. Deng, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2017, **139**, 9419.
- 20 Y. Zhang, J. Zhu, Y.-T. Xia, X.-T. Sun and L. Wu, *Adv. Synth. Catal*., 2016, **358**, 3039.

- 21 P. Puylaert, A. Dell'Acqua, F. El Ouahabi, A. Spannenberg, T. Roisnel, L. Lefort, S. Hinze, S. Tin and J. G. de Vries, *Catal. Sci. Technol*., 2019, **9**, 61.
- 22 The selectivity is not as good as that reported by Corma with a cobalt-molybdenum catalyst, ref 12.