



Point source identification in time-fractional diffusion equation

Ridha Mdimagh, Imen Ben Saad

► To cite this version:

Ridha Mdimagh, Imen Ben Saad. Point source identification in time-fractional diffusion equation. CARI 2020 - Colloque Africain pour la Recherche en Informatique et Mathématiques Appliquées, Oct 2020, Thiès, Sénégal. hal-02928208

HAL Id: hal-02928208

<https://hal.science/hal-02928208>

Submitted on 2 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Point source identification in time-fractional diffusion equation

Ridha Mdimagh^{a,b} and Imen Ben Saad^{a,c}

Département: Mathematics

Universités:^aUniversity of Jeddah, ENIT-LAMSIN university of Tunis El Manar

Ville: Jeddah

Pays: Saudia Arabia

^bridha.mdimagh@lamsin.rnu.tn, rmothman1@uj.edu.sa

^cebinsad@uj.edu.sa , imen_bensaad@yahoo.fr

RÉSUMÉ. Cet article étudie le problème d'identification de points sources via l'équation fractionnaire de diffusion, à partir d'une seule mesure des données de Cauchy sur la frontière accessible. Un résultat d'unicité des points sources est donné et un résultat local de stabilité Lipschitzienne est établi. Pour résoudre le problème d'identification des positions et des intensités des points sources à partir de telles observations, un procédé algébrique non itérative basé sur la fonctionnelle écart à la réciprocité est proposé.

ABSTRACT. This article investigates the source identification in the fractional diffusion equations, by performing a single measurement of the Cauchy data on the accessible boundary. The main results of this work consist in giving an identifiability result and establishing a local Lipschitz stability result. To solve the inverse problem of identifying fractional sources from such observations, a non-iterative algebraic method based on the Reciprocity Gap functional is proposed.

MOTS-CLÉS : Problème inverse, équation fractionnaire de diffusion, Point sources, Identifiabilité, Stabilité, écart à la réciprocité.

KEYWORDS : Inverse problem, Fractional diffusion equation, Point Sources, Identifiability, Stability, Reciprocity Gap.

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is the identification of source term F that represents the number, the positions and the intensities of monopolar sources located in an open bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$, and with smooth regular boundary Σ . The corresponding forward problem is given by:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c_0D_t^\alpha u - \Delta u = F \text{ in } \Omega_T, \\ u(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u = f \text{ on } \Sigma_T, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where ${}_0^c D_t^\alpha$ represents the Caputo fractional derivatives of order α defined by [19, 26]

$${}_a D_t^\alpha g(t) := \frac{d^n}{dt^n} {}_a I_t^{n-\alpha} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} g(s) ds, \quad (2)$$

and

$${}_t D_b^\alpha g(t) := (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n} {}_t I_b^{n-\alpha} g(t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_t^b (s-t)^{n-\alpha-1} g(s) ds \quad (3)$$

where

$${}_a I_t^\alpha g(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds, \quad (4)$$

and

$${}_t I_b^\alpha g(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds, \quad (5)$$

$f \in L^2(\Sigma_T)$ and $F(x, t)$ is the source term that have the following form:

$$F(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t) \delta_{S_j}(x), \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_j(t) := \begin{cases} \beta_j > 0, & t \in [0, T) \\ 0, & t \geq T \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $S_j \in \Omega$, and $\lambda_j(t)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, represent respectively the number, the locations, and the intensities of the monopolar sources inactive after the finite time $T > 0$ which represents the time of observation. We denote by $\Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$ the space time domain, and $\Sigma_T := \Sigma \times (0, T)$ its lateral boundary.

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, equation (1) is called a fractional diffusion equation, and it is called a fractional diffusion-wave equation in the case when $1 < \alpha < 2$. Note that if $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$, the equation (1) represents the sources identification via the Helmholtz equation, the heat equation, and the wave equation which are studied by many authors [4, 12, 14, 20, 21]. In this paper, we are interested mainly in the fractional diffusion case (we restrict the order α to the case $0 < \alpha < 1$).

The fractional equation is one of tools for modeling several atypical phenomena in nature and in the theory of complex systems. The fractional diffusion equation has been introduced in physics to describe diffusions in media with fractal geometry see [25], to show anomalous diffusion in a highly heterogeneous aquifer see [1]. Metzler and Klafter [22] proved that a fractional diffusion equation governs a non-Markovian diffusion process with a memory. Ginoet *et al* in [17] presented a fractional diffusion equation describing relaxation phenomena in complex viscoelastic materials.

The main motivation of this work concerns the inverse problem of identifying of contaminants sources in groundwater. There is a little work on inverse problems for fractional differential equations. Murio *et al* in [23] introduced a regularization technique for the approximate reconstruction of spatial and time varying source terms using the observed solutions of the forward time fractional diffusion problems on a discrete set of points. Nakagawa *et al* in [24] proposed that the solution can be uniquely determined by data in any small subdomain over time interval. Tuan [27] presented

that by taking suitable initial distributions only finitely many measurements on the boundary are required to recover uniquely the diffusion coefficient of a one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation. Zhang and Xu [30] outlined that the unknown source term can also be uniquely determined by $u(0, t), 0 < t < T$. Wei and Zhang in [28] solve a nonlinear ill-posed problem for identifying a Robin coefficient in a time-fractional diffusion problem, they combine the integral equation method and the boundary element method to obtain a simple minimization problem with H^1 penalty terms. We remark that α involved in all the above articles was assumed to be in the interval $(0, 1)$, and most of the above fractional inverse problems are involved in one-dimensional spaces. Other recent results are obtained for the time-dependent source problem for multi-dimensional fractional diffusion equation. Wei et al in [29] studied the direct problem, showed that the inverse problem has a unique solution, and used the Tikhonov regularization method to solve the inverse source via an iterative method. Liu et al established multiple logarithmic stability and proposed a fixed point iteration for the numerical reconstruction. Wang et al gave a conditional stability for this inverse problem and proposed two regularization methods (an integral equation method and a standard Tikhonov regularization method) for the reconstruction of the time-dependent source term. In this work, equation (1) is supplemented by the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = \varphi(x, t), (x, t) \in \Sigma_T \quad (8)$$

where ν represents the outward unit normal vector to Σ pointed outside Ω , $\varphi \in L^2(\Sigma_T)$. The inverse problem consists in identifying the source distribution F in the fractional problem (1) from the compatible boundary data (f, φ) .

2. Identifiability

The first question we might ask for the study of this type of problem concerns the uniqueness of the solution F of the inverse problem from the measurements of u and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ on the boundary Σ_T . To prove Theorem 2, we need the following lemma and we recall its proof:

Lemma 1 [18] *Let B be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d and $v \in C^2(B) \cap C(\bar{B})$ satisfies*

$$\Delta v + k^2 v = 0 \text{ in } B, \quad (9)$$

and

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B. \quad (10)$$

Suppose that $\text{Im}(k) > 0$, where $\text{Im}(k)$ represents the imaginary part of the complex wave number k . Then $v = 0$ in \bar{B} .

Proof

Multiplying both sides of (9) by \bar{v} and integrating over B give

$$\int_B \Delta v \bar{v} + k^2 \int_B v \bar{v} = 0$$

Green's identity and the boundary conditions of v yield

$$-\int_B |\nabla v|^2 + k^2 \int_B |v|^2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

Now if $\mathcal{R}e(k) \neq 0$ ($\mathcal{R}e(k)$ represents the real part of k), the imaginary part of (11) gives $\int_B |v|^2 = 0$ hence $v = 0$.

In the case where $\mathcal{R}e(k) = 0$, since $\mathcal{I}m(k) > 0$, we have

$$\int_B |\nabla v|^2 + \mathcal{I}m(k)^2 \int_B |v|^2 = 0,$$

therefore $v = 0$ in \bar{B} .

In the following theorem, we give the uniqueness result of the inverse problem.

Theorem 2 (uniqueness)

Let u_r , $r = 1, 2$ be the solution of problem (I) with $F_r = \sum_{j=1}^{m(r)} \lambda_j^{(r)} \delta_{S_j^{(r)}}$ as source terms, where

$$\lambda_j^{(r)}(t) := \begin{cases} \beta_j^{(r)} > 0, & t \in [0, T), \\ 0, & t \geq T. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Assume that $u_1|_{\Sigma_T} = u_2|_{\Sigma_T}$ and $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \nu}|_{\Sigma_T} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \nu}|_{\Sigma_T}$, then $F_1 = F_2$ up to a permutation.

Remark 3 The proof of theorem 2 is also valid for the problem (I) with a more general source term of the following form $F(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t) \delta_{S_j}(x)$ with

$$\lambda_j(t) := \begin{cases} \beta_j > 0, & t \in [0, T_j) \\ 0, & t \geq T_j \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where T_j is the time of activity of the source S_j , from the measurements of u and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ on the boundary Σ_T . Indeed, following the line of the prove of Theorem 2, if u_r , $r = 1, 2$ are the solutions of problem (I) with $F_r = \sum_{j=1}^{m(r)} \lambda_j^{(r)} \delta_{S_j^{(r)}}$ as source terms, where

$$\lambda_j^{(r)}(t) := \begin{cases} \beta_j^{(r)} > 0, & t \in (0, T_j^{(r)}), \\ 0, & t \geq T_j^{(r)}. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

we show that $S_j^{(1)} = S_j^{(2)}$ and $\beta_j^{(1)}(1 - e^{-sT_j^{(1)}}) = \beta_j^{(2)}(1 - e^{-sT_j^{(2)}})$. If we take $s > 0$ sufficiently large, we conclude that $\beta_j^{(1)} = \beta_j^{(2)}$ and $T_j^{(1)} = T_j^{(2)}$. We will see in section 4 that the proposed method for the identification of the source term F does not separately give the intensities β_j and the times T_j , which justifies the choice (7) of F .

3. Stability Result

In this section, we study the continuous dependence of the unknown source term on the measured data on the boundary Σ_T , which is the crucial issue for numerical application. The question of stability has been the concern of several authors in different contexts. Alessandrini *et al* [2, 3], and Bellout *et al* [8] have dealt with stability for an inverse conductivity problem. The notion of local Lipschitz stability which has been used by several authors [5, 9, 10]. In many works, local Lipschitz stability results was obtained, derived from algebraic relations, for elliptic sources identification problems [6, 13, 21, 15].

In this section, we give a local Lipschitz stability result inspired from the stability result given in [21] for the problem of identification of sources via the Helmholtz equation, which is derived from the Gâteaux differentiability, by establishing that the Gâteaux derivative is not zero.

We suppose that Ω contains m monopolar sources located at S_j with respectively intensities τ_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$. We define the perturbed source term F^h by:

$$F^h = - \sum_{j=1}^m \tau_j^h \delta_{S_j^h},$$

where

$$(\tau_j^h, S_j^h) := (\tau_j + h \mu_j, S_j + h R_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

$$\{(\mu_j, R_j), 1 \leq j \leq m\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

h being sufficiently small to insure that $S_j + h R_j$ remain in Ω . We denote by u_0 and u_h the solutions of (15) with respectively source terms $F = F^0$ and $F = F^h$.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + k^2 u &= F & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \varphi & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$\varphi \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ being the flux on $\partial\Omega$ ($\varphi \neq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$), k is the wave number on Ω . We set $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = f$, $u_h|_{\partial\Omega} = f^h$.

Theorem 4 [21] (Local Lipschitz stability). *Assume that k^2 is not an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ with Neumann condition in the boundary. Then, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f^h - f|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}}{|h|}$ exists and is strictly positive.*

Now, we are ready to give the main result of this section. Assuming that the domain Ω contains m monopolar sources S_1, \dots, S_m with respectively intensities $\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m(t)$ where

$$\lambda_j(t) := \begin{cases} \beta_j > 0 & \text{if } t \in (0, T) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq T \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mu}_j$ the piecewise function defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}_j := \begin{cases} \mu_j & \text{if } t \in (0, T) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq T \end{cases}$$

where $\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\tau_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\|\tau_j\| \leq 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

We set

$$\Phi := (\lambda_j, S_j), \quad \Phi^h := (\lambda_j^h, S_j^h) = (\lambda_j + h\mu_j, S_j + h\tau_j),$$

and

$$F^h := \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^h \delta_{S_j^h},$$

$h \neq 0$ being sufficiently small to insure that S_j^h remains in Ω . Let u_0 and u_h be the solutions of problems (1)-(8) with respectively sources F^0 and F^h , we set $u_0 = f$ and $u_h = f^h$ on Σ_T . Then, our main result of stability is given in the following theorem

Theorem 5 (*Local Lipschitz stability*)

If $\mu_j \neq 0$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f^h - f|_{L^2(\Sigma_T)}}{h} \neq 0.$$

Remark 6 If $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f^h - f|_{L^2(\Sigma_T)}}{|h|} = \ell \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ or if $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f^h - f|_{L^2(\Sigma_T)}}{h} = \infty$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that if $|h| < \delta$, then $|h| < c |f^h - f|_{L^2(\Sigma_T)}$, which implies that there exists $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that for $|h| < \delta$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|S_j^h - S_j\| + \|\lambda_j^h - \lambda_j\|_{L^2(0, T)} \leq \tilde{c} |f^h - f|_{L^2(\Sigma_T)}$$

which gives the local Lipschitz stability result for the identification of monopolar sources problem. The result of the Theorem 5 means that one can distinguish between Φ^h and Φ by measurements of the trace of u on Σ_T , provided that the error in measurements is $o(h)$.

4. Identification Process

We present in this section a quasi-explicit method to recover the point sources (6) from the lateral observations $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ and u on Σ_T . This method is inspired from the algorithm given in [11, 13] for the monopolar source identification via the Laplace equation in 2D case. This algorithm is based on the reciprocity gap functional defined by (17) which has been introduced by Bellout *et al* in [8] and has been formalized by Andrieux *et al* in [5], who used it in numerical reconstruction procedure for the inverse planar crack problem. To develop this algorithm we need the following result concerning integration by parts formulas. For $\alpha > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n - 1 \leq \alpha < n$, we have [7]:

$$\int_a^b g(t) {}_a^c D_t^\alpha f(t) dt = \int_a^b f(t) {}_t D_b^\alpha g(t) dt + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[{}_t D_b^{\alpha+j-n} g(t) \cdot {}_t D_b^{n-1-j} f(t) \right]_a^b \quad (16)$$

We begin by considering the subset \mathcal{H}_0 defined by:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{v : ({}_t D_T^\alpha - \Delta)v = 0, \text{ in } \Omega_T\}$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_0$, multiplying equation (1) by v and integrating on Ω_T , by applying (16) in time and the second Green's identity in the spatial variable, and using boundary condition, the problem (1)-(8) admits the following variational formulation:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \int_0^T v(S_j, t) dt = \mathcal{R}(u, v), \quad (17)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(u, v) = \int_{\Sigma_T} \left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v \right) d\Sigma_T + \int_{\Omega} [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} v(x, t) u(x, t)]_0^T dx \quad (18)$$

Now, with the observation $u(\cdot, T)$ made on Ω the reciprocity gap functional (18) is known (if v is). The reciprocity gap (RG) in the equation (17) links the causes hidden in Ω to their measurable consequences. The inverse problem consists to find the number, the locations and the intensities of the sources from equation (17). In the following along the lines followed in papers [11], we will show how an appropriate choice of test functions unveils these information. The problem is reduced to the problem of determining the parameters (m, S_j, β_j) by the knowledge of the right hand side of (17). From now on, a spatially two-dimensional setting is assumed, with complex polynomials used for adjoint fields. Associating \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} through $x_1 + ix_2 = z$, the following family of test functions defined by:

$$v_k(z, t) = (T - t)^{\alpha-1} z^k \in \mathcal{H}_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

In fact, the functions v_k are holomorphic, have harmonic real and imaginary parts in spatial variable:

$$\Delta v_k(\cdot, t) = 0$$

and, since ${}_t D_T^\alpha (T - t)^{\alpha-1} = 0$ ([19], p73), then

$${}_t D_T^\alpha v_k(z, \cdot) = 0$$

Since ${}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} (T-t)^{\alpha-1} = \Gamma(\alpha)$ see ([19],p88), then the components of the equality (17) are then given by:

$$\mathcal{R}(u, v_k) = \frac{T^\alpha}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sigma_j^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(u, v_k) = \int_{\Sigma_T} \left(u \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v_k \right) d\Sigma_T + \Gamma(\alpha) \int_{\Omega} u(x, T) z^k dx,$$

and σ_j denotes the affix of the j -th source location S_j . The source reconstruction thus consists in finding the number of sources m , the locations σ_j , the intensities β_j , and the extinction times T_j of the sources S_j verifying the equality (19).

Let M be an upper bound of the exact number m of the unknown monopolar sources ($M \geq m$), let:

$$\alpha_k := \frac{\alpha \mathcal{R}(u, v_k)}{T^\alpha}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2M-1,$$

$$\mu_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ \alpha_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{M+n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^M, \quad \Lambda_m = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

and the matrix

$$A_{n,M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^n & \sigma_2^n & \dots & \sigma_m^n \\ \sigma_1^{n+1} & \sigma_2^{n+1} & \dots & \sigma_m^{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1^{M+n-1} & \sigma_2^{M+n-1} & \dots & \sigma_m^{M+n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{M \times m}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Following the line of the algorithm given in [11], the unknown m , σ_j , and β_j can then be deduced from the following lemma:

Lemma 7 [11]

1) The rank of the family $(\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{M-1})$ is $r = m$, and the vectors $(\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-1})$ are independent.

2) The affixes σ_j of the monopolar sources S_j are the eigenvalues of the matrix \mathcal{T} which is defined by $\mathcal{T}\mu_j = \mu_{j+1}$, for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$.

3) β_1, \dots, β_m are solutions of the linear system $A_{0,m}\Lambda_m = \mu_0$ where $A_{0,m}$ is the Vandermonde matrix of σ_j .

Remark 8

1) In the case where Ω contains a unique monopolar source S_1 , then:

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0}.$$

2) In the case where Ω contains two monopolar sources S_1, S_2 , and if (a,b) are the components of the vector μ_2 in the basis (μ_0, μ_1) , then:

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4a}}{2}, \sigma_2 = \frac{b - \sqrt{b^2 + 4a}}{2},$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \text{ and } \beta_2 = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \sigma_1}{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}.$$

3) For $\alpha = 1$, we find the family of test functions used in [4, 12] for monopolar source identification problem via the heat equation. For the numerical experiments of this algorithm, we refer the reader to [4, 6, 20].

5. Conclusion

The main results of this work concern the uniqueness and the stability issue in the problem of determining the locations and intensities of monopolar sources for fractional diffusion equation. The main motivation of this work concerns the inverse problem of identifying of contaminants in media with fractal geometry or in a highly heterogeneous aquifer. To solve the inverse problem of identifying fractional sources from such observations, a non iterative algebraic method based on the Reciprocity Gap functional was proposed. The main issue to be explored concerns the study of the realistic situation of incomplete boundary data (i.e. the over specified data is available on a strict subset of the boundary). One possible direction consists, as a first step, on reconstructing the missing data before running the recovering algorithm.

6. Bibliographie

- [1] E.E. Adams, L.W. Gelhar. Field study of dispersion in a heterogeneous aquifer 2. Spatial moments analysis, Water Resources Res. 28, (1992), 3293-3307.
- [2] G. Alessandrini. Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurements Appl. Anal. 2715372, (1988).
- [3] G. Alessandrini and E. Dibenedetto. Determining 2-dimensional cracks in 3-dimensional bodies: uniqueness and stability Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 181, (1997).
- [4] N. Auffray and M. Bonnet and S. Pegano. Identification of transient heat sources using the reciprocity gap, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, 10,(2002) 588-599.
- [5] S. Andrieux and A. Ben Abda. Identification of planar cracks by complete overdetermined data: inversion formulae, Inverse Problems, 553-564, 12, (1996).
- [6] A. Ben Abda, R. Mdimagh and A. Saada. Identification of pointwise sources and small size flaws via the reciprocity gap principle; Stability Estimates. IJTS, 1, (2006).
- [7] O. P. Agrawal. Fractional variational calculus in terms of Riesz fractional derivatives, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor, 40 (2007), 6287.

- [8] H. Bellout and A. Friedman. Identification problems in potentiel theory. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal, 101, 143-160, (1988).
- [9] S. Chaabane and M. Jaoua. Identification of Robin coefficient by the means of boundary measurements Inverse Problems, 15 142538, (1999).
- [10] S. Chaabane, F. ElDabaghi and M. Jaoua. On the identification of unknown boundaries submitted to Signorini boundary conditions: identifiability and stability Math. Methods Appl. Sci, 21 137998, (1998).
- [11] A. El Badia and T. Ha-Duong. An inverse source problem in potential analysis. Inverse Problems, 16,(2000) 651-663.
- [12] A. El Badia and T. Ha-Duong. On an inverse source problem for the heat equation. Application to a pollution detection problem, Inverse. Ill Posed Problems, 10,(2002) 585-599.
- [13] A. El Badia. Inverse source problem in an anisotropic medium by boundary measurements. Inverse Problems, 21, 1487-1506, (2005).
- [14] A. El Badia and T. Ha-Duong. Determination of point wave sources by boundary measurements problem in potential analysis. Inverse Problems, 17,(2001), 1127-1139.
- [15] A. El Badia and T. Nara. An inverse source problem for Helmholtz's equation from the Cauchy data with a single wave number. Inverse Problems, Volume 27,(2011), Number 10.
- [16] S. D. Eidelman and A. N. Kochubei. Cauchy problem for fractional diffusion equations. Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 199, no. 2, pp. 211-255, (2004).
- [17] M. Ginoa, S. Cerbelli, H.E. Roman. Fractional diffusion equation and relaxation in complex viscoelastic materials, Phys. A 191 (1992) 449-453.
- [18] F. John. Partial differential equations. Fourth edition, Springer Verlag , New york (1982).
- [19] A. Kilbas, M. Srivastava, J. Trujillo. Theory and Application of fractional differential equations. Elsevier, (2006).
- [20] R. Mdimagh. Identification d'inclusions de petites tailles par des méthodes quasi-explicites: couplage RG-LSM, LAMSIN-ENIT, PhD Thesis, Tunisia, (2009).
- [21] R. Mdimagh, I.B. Saad. Stability estimates for point sources identification problem using reciprocity gap concept via the Helmholtz equation, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 40,7844-7861,(2016).
- [22] R. Metzler, J. Klafter. Boundary value problems for fractional diffusion equations, Phys. A 278 (2000) 107-125.
- [23] D. A. Murio ,C. E. Mejía. Source terms identification for time fractional diffusion equation. Revista Colombiana de Matemás, (2008), 42, 25-46.
- [24] J. Nakagawa, K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto. Overview to mathematical analysis for fractional diffusion equations-new mathematical aspects motivated by industrial collaboration. J Math Ind, 2010, 2, 99-108.
- [25] R.R. Nigmatullin. The realization of the generalized transfer equation in a medium with fractal geometry, Phys. Stat. Sol. B 133 (1986) 425-430.
- [26] I. Podlubny. Fractional Differential Equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, Vol 198, (1999).

- [27] V. K. Tuan. Inverse problem for fractional diffusion equation. *Frac Calc Appl Anal*, 2011, 14, 31-55.
- [28] T. Wei. and Z.Q. Zhang. Robin coefficient identification for a time-fractional diffusion equation. *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, (2015).
- [29] T. Wei, X. L. Li and Y. S. Li, An inverse time-dependent source problem for a time-fractional diffusion equation, *Inverse Problems*, 32, (2016), 085003.
- [30] Y. Zhang, X. Xu. Inverse source problem for a fractional diffusion equation. *Inverse Probl*, (2011), 27. <http://iopscience.iop.org/0266-5611/27/3/035010>