



**HAL**  
open science

## A biharmonic transmission problem in $L_p$ -spaces

Alexandre Thorel

► **To cite this version:**

Alexandre Thorel. A biharmonic transmission problem in  $L_p$ -spaces. Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, 2021, 20 (9), pp. 3193-3213. 10.3934/cpaa.2021102 . hal-02927622v2

**HAL Id: hal-02927622**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02927622v2>**

Submitted on 29 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A biharmonic transmission problem in $L^p$ -spaces

Alexandre Thorel

Normandie Univ, UNIHAVRE, LMAH, FR-CNRS-3335, ISCN, 76600 Le Havre, France.

alexandre.thorel@univ-lehavre.fr

## Abstract

In this work we study, by a semigroup approach, a transmission problem based on biharmonic equations with boundary and transmission conditions, in two juxtaposed habitats. We give a result of existence and uniqueness of the classical solution in  $L^p$ -spaces, for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , using analytic semigroups and operators sum theory in Banach spaces. To this end, we invert explicitly the determinant operator of the transmission system in  $L^p$ -spaces using the  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$ -calculus and the Dore-Venni sums theory.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Analytic semigroups, biharmonic equations, functional calculus, interpolation spaces, maximal regularity.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35B65, 35J48, 35R20, 47A60, 47D06.

## 1 Introduction

In this work, we consider a system of linear biharmonic equations posed on two juxtaposed domains and coupled through transmission conditions at the interface. Throughout the paper we shall impose the continuity of the flux, of the dispersal and of its flux across the interface. Using an operator approach, we investigate the existence, uniqueness as well as maximal  $L^p$ -regularity for such a problem.

Transmission problems arise in various applicative fields including engineering, physics and biology. Here, we refer the reader for instance to [1], [9] or [15] for applications in plate theory, to [18], [26] or [34] for applications in electromagnetism and to [10], [21] or [33] for other applications in population dynamics. Let us also mention that mathematical models involving biharmonic operators also arise in various fields such as elasticity for instance see [6], [16] or [30], electrostatic see [4], [13] or [22], plate theory [1], [9] or [15] or population dynamics [5], [19], [20] or [25].

In this work, we consider an  $n$ -dimensional (with  $n \geq 2$ ) straight cylinder of the form

$$\Omega = (a, b) \times \omega,$$

where  $a < b$  are two given real numbers while the section  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  denotes a smooth bounded domain. This cylinder  $\Omega$  is split into two (open) sub-cylinders  $\Omega_\pm$  and an interface  $\Gamma$  given for some  $\gamma \in (a, b)$  by

$$\Omega_- = (a, \gamma) \times \omega, \quad \Omega_+ = (\gamma, b) \times \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = \{\gamma\} \times \omega,$$

so that  $\Omega = \Omega_- \cup \Gamma \cup \Omega_+$ .

We consider the following biharmonic equations,

$$(EQ_{pde}) \begin{cases} k_- \Delta^2 u_- = g_- & \text{in } \Omega_- \\ k_+ \Delta^2 u_+ = g_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \end{cases}$$

where  $g_- \in L^p(\Omega_-)$ ,  $g_+ \in L^p(\Omega_+)$  are given and  $k_+, k_- > 0$ .

We denote by  $(x, y)$  the spatial variables with  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $y \in \omega$ . Then, we consider the following conditions on  $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$ , the lateral boundary of  $\Omega$ ,

$$(BC_{pde}) \begin{cases} (1) \begin{cases} u_-(x, \zeta) = 0, & x \in (a, \gamma), \zeta \in \partial\omega, & u_+(x, \zeta) = 0, & x \in (\gamma, b), \zeta \in \partial\omega \\ \Delta u_-(x, \zeta) = 0, & x \in (a, \gamma), \zeta \in \partial\omega, & \Delta u_+(x, \zeta) = 0, & x \in (\gamma, b), \zeta \in \partial\omega \end{cases} \\ (2) \begin{cases} u_-(a, y) = \varphi_1^-(y), & u_+(b, y) = \varphi_1^+(y), & y \in \omega \\ \frac{\partial u_-}{\partial x}(a, y) = \varphi_2^-(y), & \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x}(b, y) = \varphi_2^+(y), & y \in \omega, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi_1^\pm$  and  $\varphi_2^\pm$  will be given in appropriated spaces. The system is coupled on the interface  $\Gamma$  where we impose the following continuity conditions,

$$(TC_{pde}) \begin{cases} u_- = u_+ & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \frac{\partial u_-}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x} & \text{on } \Gamma \\ k_- \Delta u_- = k_+ \Delta u_+ & \text{on } \Gamma \\ k_- \frac{\partial \Delta u_-}{\partial x} = k_+ \frac{\partial \Delta u_+}{\partial x} & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Let us now explain, for instance, in population dynamics framework, the boundary and transmission conditions.

The first line of  $(BC_{pde}) - (1)$ , means that the individuals could not lie on the boundaries  $(a, b) \times \partial\omega$ , because, for instance, they die or the edge is impassable. The second line means that there is no dispersal in the normal direction. We deduce that the dispersal vanishes on  $(a, b) \times \partial\omega$ .

In  $(BC_{pde}) - (2)$ , the population density and the flux are given on  $\{a, b\} \times \omega$ . This means that the habitats are not isolated.

In  $(TC_{pde})$ , the two first transmission conditions mean the continuity of the density and its flux at the interface, while the two second express, in some sense, the continuity of the dispersal and its flux at  $\Gamma$ .

This work is a natural continuation of that done in [31]. Moreover, it completes the study realized in [20] where the authors have considered equations

$$k_\pm \Delta^2 u_\pm - l_\pm \Delta u_\pm = f_\pm \quad \text{in } \Omega_\pm$$

and on the interface  $\Gamma$ , the last condition of  $(TC_{pde})$  is replaced by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (k_+ \Delta u_+ - l_+ u_+) \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

In the present work,  $l_\pm = 0$ , which must be treated differently, since the proof in [20] uses the representation formula obtained in [19] which is not defined when  $l_\pm = 0$ . Thus, we use the representation formula obtained in [31] which is different and does not corresponds to the representation formula in [19] when the limit of  $l_\pm$  tends to 0. Therefore, even if the proof follows the same steps than the one in [20], the calculus are different and cannot be deduce from those in [20], since they use a different representation formula.

Note that, due to the transmission conditions, we can not obtain a solution  $u \in W^{4,p}(\Omega)$  in all  $\Omega$ . We only can obtain a solution  $u$  such that  $u|_{\Omega_-} \in W^{4,p}(\Omega_-)$  and  $u|_{\Omega_+} \in W^{4,p}(\Omega_+)$ .

The paper is organized as follows.

First, in section 2, we recall the PDE transmission problem  $(P_{pde})$  and we rewrite it under operational form. Then, in section 3, we recall some definitions about BIP operator and interpolation spaces. We give our hypotheses and their consequences. We present our main result in Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.11 which states existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem  $(P_{pde})$  that is  $(EQ_{pde}) - (BC_{pde}) - (TC_{pde})$  quoted above. In section 4, we state technical results which allow us to prove our main result. Section 5, is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.9.

## 2 Operational formulation

In this section, we first recall the PDE problem  $(P_{pde})$  composed by  $(EQ_{pde}) - (BC_{pde}) - (TC_{pde})$ . Then, we define the Laplace operator  $A_0$  and we use it to rewrite  $(P_{pde})$ . Note that this operational form is a vector values problem. Finally, we generalize this problem replacing  $A_0$  by a more general operator  $A$ . We consider the following problem

$$(P_{pde}) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} k_- \Delta^2 u_- = g_- & \text{in } \Omega_- \\ k_+ \Delta^2 u_+ = g_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+ \\ u_-(x, \zeta) = 0, \quad \Delta u_-(x, \zeta) = 0, & x \in (a, \gamma), \zeta \in \partial\omega \\ u_+(x, \zeta) = 0, \quad \Delta u_+(x, \zeta) = 0, & x \in (\gamma, b), \zeta \in \partial\omega \\ u_-(a, y) = \varphi_1^-(y), \quad u_+(b, y) = \varphi_1^+(y), & y \in \omega \\ \frac{\partial u_-}{\partial x}(a, y) = \varphi_2^-(y), \quad \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x}(b, y) = \varphi_2^+(y), & y \in \omega \\ u_- = u_+ & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \frac{\partial u_-}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x} & \text{on } \Gamma \\ k_- \Delta u_- = k_+ \Delta u_+ & \text{on } \Gamma \\ k_- \frac{\partial \Delta u_-}{\partial x} = k_+ \frac{\partial \Delta u_+}{\partial x} & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{array} \right.$$

Let us define  $A_0$ , the Dirichlet Laplace operator in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(A_0) := \{\psi \in W^{2,p}(\omega) : \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\omega\} \\ \forall \psi \in D(A_0), \quad A_0 \psi = \Delta_y \psi. \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

Thus, using operator  $A_0$ , problem  $(P_{pde})$  becomes the following vector valued problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_-^{(4)}(x) + 2A_0 u_-''(x) + A_0^2 u_-(x) = f_-(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in (a, \gamma) \\ u_+^{(4)}(x) + 2A_0 u_+''(x) + A_0^2 u_+(x) = f_+(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in (\gamma, b) \\ u_-(a) = \varphi_1^-, \quad u_+(b) = \varphi_1^+ \\ u_-'(a) = \varphi_2^-, \quad u_+'(b) = \varphi_2^+ \\ u_-(\gamma) = u_+(\gamma) \\ u_-'(\gamma) = u_+'(\gamma) \\ k_- u_-''(\gamma) + k_- A_0 u_-(\gamma) = k_+ u_+''(\gamma) + k_+ A_0 u_+(\gamma) \\ k_- u_-^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_- A_0 u_-'(\gamma) = k_+ u_+^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_+ A_0 u_+'(\gamma), \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$f_- \in L^p(a, \gamma; L^p(\omega)), \quad f_+ \in L^p(\gamma, b; L^p(\omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad p \in (1, +\infty),$$

with

$$u_{\pm}(x) := u(x, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\pm}(x) := g_{\pm}(x, \cdot)/k_{\pm}.$$

Note that the boundary conditions on  $\partial\omega$  in  $(P_{pde})$  do not appear in the previous system since they are already included in the domain of  $A_0$ . Thus, a classical solution of this problem satisfies the boundary conditions on  $\partial\omega$  in  $(P_{pde})$ .

Then, using operator  $(A, D(A))$  instead of  $(A_0, D(A_0))$  and  $X$  instead of  $L^p(\omega)$ , we can write that  $f_- \in L^p(a, \gamma; X)$  and  $f_+ \in L^p(\gamma, b; X)$ .

Moreover, in all the sequel, we will study the following more general transmission problem :

$$(P) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (EQ) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_-^{(4)}(x) + 2Au_-''(x) + A^2u_-(x) = f_-(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in (a, \gamma) \\ u_+^{(4)}(x) + 2Au_+''(x) + A^2u_+(x) = f_+(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in (\gamma, b) \end{array} \right. \\ (BC) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_-(a) = \varphi_1^-, \quad u_+(b) = \varphi_1^+ \\ u'_-(a) = \varphi_2^-, \quad u'_+(b) = \varphi_2^+ \end{array} \right. \\ (TC) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_-(\gamma) = u_+(\gamma) \\ u'_-(\gamma) = u'_+(\gamma) \\ k_-u_-''(\gamma) + k_-Au_-(\gamma) = k_+u_+''(\gamma) + k_+Au_+(\gamma) \\ k_-u_-^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_-Au'_-(\gamma) = k_+u_+^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_+Au'_+(\gamma). \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

The transmission conditions  $(TC)$  will be split into

$$(TC1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_-(\gamma) = u_+(\gamma) \\ u'_-(\gamma) = u'_+(\gamma), \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(TC2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_-u_-''(\gamma) + k_-Au_-(\gamma) = k_+u_+''(\gamma) + k_+Au_+(\gamma) \\ k_-u_-^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_-Au'_-(\gamma) = k_+u_+^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_+Au'_+(\gamma). \end{array} \right.$$

Note that  $(TC2)$  is well defined from Lemma 3.7, see Section 3.2 below.

We will search a classical solution of problem  $(P)$ , that is a solution  $u$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_- := u|_{(a,\gamma)} \in W^{4,p}(a, \gamma; X) \cap L^p(a, \gamma; D(A^2)), \quad u_-'' \in L^p(a, \gamma; D(A)), \\ u_+ := u|_{(\gamma,b)} \in W^{4,p}(\gamma, b; X) \cap L^p(\gamma, b; D(A^2)), \quad u_+'' \in L^p(\gamma, b; D(A)), \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

and which satisfies  $(EQ) - (BC) - (TC)$ .

### 3 Assumptions, consequences and statement of results

#### 3.1 The class $BIP(X, \theta)$

Throughout the article,  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a complex Banach space. We give some definitions about UMD spaces, sectorial operators and BIP operators.

**Definition 3.1.** A Banach space  $X$  is a UMD space if and only if for all  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , the Hilbert transform is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$  into itself (see [2] and [3]).

**Definition 3.2.** A closed linear operator  $T_1$  is called sectorial of angle  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$  if

$$\begin{array}{l} i) \quad \sigma(T_1) \subset \overline{S_\theta}, \\ ii) \quad \forall \theta' \in (\theta, \pi), \quad \sup \left\{ \|\lambda(\lambda I - T_1)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{S_{\theta'}} \right\} < +\infty, \end{array}$$

where

$$S_\omega := \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq 0 \text{ and } |\arg(z)| < \omega\} & \text{if } \omega \in (0, \pi), \\ (0, +\infty) & \text{if } \omega = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

see [14], p. 19, such an operator is noted  $A \in \text{Sect}(\omega)$ .

**Remark 3.3.** From [17], p. 342, we know that any injective sectorial operator  $T_1$  admits imaginary powers  $T_1^{is}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , but, in general,  $T_1^{is}$  is not bounded.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ . We denote by  $\text{BIP}(X, \theta)$ , the class of sectorial injective operators  $T_1$  such that

- i)  $\overline{D(T_1)} = \overline{R(T_1)} = X$ ,
- ii)  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad T_1^{is} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,
- iii)  $\exists C \geq 1, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \|T_1^{is}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq Ce^{|s|\theta}$ ,

see [27], p. 430.

**Remark 3.5.** From [14], proof of Proposition 3.2.1, c), p. 71,  $\overline{D(T_1) \cap R(T_1)} = X$ .

### 3.2 Interpolation spaces

Here we recall a definition given, for instance, in [7], [12], [23] or in [32] and a result from [12] concerning real interpolation spaces.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $T_2 : D(T_2) \subset X \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator such that

$$(0, +\infty) \subset \rho(T_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \exists C > 0 : \forall t > 0, \quad \|t(T_2 - tI)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C. \quad (4)$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $q \in [1, +\infty]$ . We will use the real interpolation spaces

$$(D(T_2^k), X)_{\theta, q} = (X, D(T_2^k))_{1-\theta, q},$$

defined, for instance, in [23], or in [24].

In particular, for  $k = 1$ , we have the following characterization

$$(D(T_2), X)_{\theta, q} := \left\{ \psi \in X : t \mapsto t^{1-\theta} \|T_2(T_2 - tI)^{-1}\psi\|_X \in L_*^q(0, +\infty) \right\},$$

where  $L_*^q(0, +\infty)$  is given by

$$L_*^q(0, +\infty) := \left\{ f \in L^q(0, +\infty) : \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \|f(t)\|^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < +\infty \right\}, \quad \text{for } q \in [1, +\infty),$$

and for  $q = +\infty$ , by

$$L_*^\infty(0, +\infty; \mathbb{C}) := \left\{ f \text{ measurable on } (0, +\infty) : \sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} |f(t)| < +\infty \right\},$$

see [7] p. 325, or [12], p. 665, Teorema 3, or section 1.14 of [32], where this space is denoted by  $(X, D(T_2))_{1-\theta, q}$ . Note that we can also characterize the space  $(D(T_2), X)_{\theta, q}$  taking into account the Osservazione, p. 666, in [12].

We set also, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (D(T_2), X)_{k+\theta, q} &:= \left\{ \psi \in D(T_2^k) : T_2^k \psi \in (D(T_2), X)_{\theta, q} \right\}, \\ (X, D(T_2))_{k+\theta, q} &:= \left\{ \psi \in D(T_2^k) : T_2^k \psi \in (X, D(T_2))_{\theta, q} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7** ([12]). Let  $T_2$  be a linear operator satisfying (4). Let  $u$  such that

$$u \in W^{n,p}(a_1, b_1; X) \cap L^p(a_1, b_1; D(T_2^k)),$$

where  $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a_1 < b_1$ ,  $n, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . Then for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the Poulsen condition  $0 < \frac{1}{p} + j < n$  and  $s \in \{a_1, b_1\}$ , we have

$$u^{(j)}(s) \in (D(T_2^k), X)_{\frac{j}{n} + \frac{1}{np}, p}.$$

This result is proved in [12], p. 678, Teorema 2'.

### 3.3 Hypotheses

Throughout this article,  $k_+, k_- \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$  and  $A$  denotes a closed linear operator in  $X$ .

We assume the following hypotheses:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $X$  is a UMD space,
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $0 \in \rho(A)$ ,
- (H<sub>3</sub>)  $-A \in \text{BIP}(X, \theta_A)$  for some  $\theta_A \in (0, \pi/2)$ ,
- (H<sub>4</sub>)  $-A \in \text{Sect}(0)$ .

Note that assumption (H<sub>4</sub>) means that  $-A$  is a sectorial operator of any angle  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ . This assumption is satisfied, for instance, by elliptic differential operators of second order. Now, we give some consequences on our hypotheses.

### 3.4 Consequences

1. Note that  $A_0$  satisfies all the previous hypotheses with  $X = L^q(\omega)$ , with  $q \in (1, +\infty)$ . From [29], Proposition 3, p. 207,  $X$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>) and from [11], Theorem 9.15, p. 241 and Lemma 9.17, p. 242,  $A_0$  satisfies (H<sub>2</sub>). Moreover, from [28], Theorem C, p. 166-167, (H<sub>3</sub>) is satisfied for every  $\theta_A \in (0, \pi)$ , thus (H<sub>4</sub>) is also satisfied.
2. In the scalar case, to solve each equation of (EQ), it is necessary to introduce the square roots  $\pm\sqrt{-A}$  of the characteristic equations

$$x^4 + 2Ax^2 + A^2 = 0,$$

this is why, in our operational case, we consider,

$$M := -\sqrt{-A}. \tag{5}$$

From (H<sub>3</sub>),  $-A$  is a sectorial operator, thus the existence of  $M$  is ensured, see for instance [14], e), p. 25.

3. From (H<sub>3</sub>), we have  $-A \in \text{BIP}(X, \theta_A)$ , then, from [14], Proposition 3.2.1, e), p. 71, we deduce

$$-M \in \text{BIP}(X, \theta_A/2).$$

Since  $0 < \theta_A/2 < \pi/2$ , we deduce that  $M$  generate a bounded analytic semigroup  $(e^{xM})_{x \geq 0}$ , see [27], Theorem 2, p. 437. Furthermore, due to [27], Theorem 4, p. 441, for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , we get  $-nM \in \text{BIP}(X, \theta_A/4 + \varepsilon)$ , for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2 - \theta_A/4)$ . Then, due to [27], Theorem 2, p. 437,  $nM$  generate a bounded analytic semigroup  $(e^{nxM})_{x \geq 0}$ . The last results use also the works of [7] and [8].

### 3.5 The main results

To solve our transmission problem (P), we introduce two auxiliary problems:

$$(P_-) \begin{cases} u_-^{(4)}(x) + 2Au_-''(x) + A^2u_-(x) = f_-(x), & \text{for a.e. } x \in (a, \gamma) \\ u_-(a) = \varphi_1^-, \quad u_-(\gamma) = \psi_1 \\ u_-'(a) = \varphi_2^-, \quad u_-'(\gamma) = \psi_2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(P_+) \begin{cases} u_+^{(4)}(x) + 2Au_+''(x) + A^2u_+(x) = f_+(x), & \text{for a.e. } x \in (\gamma, b) \\ u_+(\gamma) = \psi_1, \quad u_+(b) = \varphi_1^+ \\ u_+'(\gamma) = \psi_2, \quad u_+'(b) = \varphi_2^+. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.8.** Recall that a classical solution of  $(P_\pm)$ , in  $L^p(J; X)$ , with  $J = (a, \gamma)$  or  $(\gamma, b)$ , is a solution to  $(P_\pm)$  such that

$$u_\pm \in W^{4,p}(J; X) \cap L^p(J; D(A^2)), \quad u'' \in L^p(J; D(A)).$$

We say that  $u$  is a classical solution of (P) if and only if there exist  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in X$  such that

- (i)  $u_-$  is a classical solution of  $(P_-)$ ,
- (ii)  $u_+$  is a classical solution of  $(P_+)$ ,
- (iii)  $u_-$  and  $u_+$  satisfy (TC2).

Note that by construction, if there exist a classical solution  $u_-$  of  $(P_-)$  and  $u_+$  of  $(P_+)$ , then  $u_-$  and  $u_+$  satisfy (TC1).

Our goal is to prove that there exists a unique couple  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  which satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii). This will lead us to obtain our main result.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $f_- \in L^p(a, \gamma; X)$  and  $f_+ \in L^p(\gamma, b; X)$ . Assume that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  be satisfied. Then, there exists a unique classical solution  $u$  of the transmission problem (P) if and only if

$$\varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^- \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2^+, \varphi_2^- \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}. \quad (6)$$

**Remark 3.10.**

1. In the proof of Theorem 3.9, we use operator  $M$  and interpolation spaces  $(D(M), X)_{3-j+\frac{1}{p}, p}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . But from the reiteration Theorem, we get

$$\begin{cases} (D(M), X)_{3+\frac{1}{p}, p} = (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p}, & (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p} = (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \\ (D(M), X)_{1+\frac{1}{p}, p} = (D(A), X)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p}, & (D(M), X)_{\frac{1}{p}, p} = (D(A), X)_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

2. We can generalize the previous Theorem by considering a transmission problem between  $N$  juxtaposed habitats, with  $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . For instance, with  $N = 3$ , it suffices to use Theorem 3.9 on the two first habitats and then apply it on the transmission problem between the second and third habitat to solve the problem. By recurrence, we obtain the result for  $N$  habitats.

As consequence of Theorem 3.9, we deduce the following result for problem  $(P_{pde})$ . Consider the case  $A = A_0$  (other cases can be treated).



**Corollary 3.11.** Assume that  $\omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  where  $n \geq 2$  with  $C^2$ -boundary. Let  $g_+ \in L^p(\Omega_+)$  and  $g_- \in L^p(\Omega_-)$  with  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  and  $p > n$ ; let  $k_+, k_- \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, there exists a unique solution  $u$  of  $(P_{pde})$ , such that

$$u_- \in W^{4,p}(\Omega_-), \quad u_+ \in W^{4,p}(\Omega_+),$$

if and only if

$$\varphi_1^\pm, \varphi_2^\pm \in W^{2,p}(\omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\omega), \quad \Delta \varphi_1^\pm \in W^{2-\frac{1}{p},p}(\omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \varphi_2^\pm \in W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\omega).$$

*Proof.* The proof is quite similar to the one of Corollary 3.6 in [20], see also Corollary 2.7 in [19].  $\square$

Taking into account the result of Theorem 3.9, we can also obtain anisotropic results by considering  $f_- \in L^p(a, \gamma; L^q(\omega))$  and  $f_+ \in L^p(\gamma, b; L^q(\omega))$  with  $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ .

## 4 Preliminary results

Throughout this article, we set

$$c = \gamma - a > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d = b - \gamma > 0.$$

From Remark 3.8, to solve problem (P), we first have to study problems  $(P_-)$  and  $(P_+)$ . To this end, we need the following invertibility result obtained in [31].

**Lemma 4.1** ([31]). The operators  $U_+, U_-, V_+, V_- \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} U_- := I - e^{2cM} + 2cMe^{cM}, & U_+ := I - e^{2dM} + 2dMe^{dM} \\ V_- := I - e^{2cM} - 2cMe^{cM}, & V_+ := I - e^{2dM} - 2dMe^{dM}, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

are invertible with bounded inverse.

All these exponentials are analytic semigroups and they are well defined due to statement 3 of Section 3.4. For a detailed proof, see [31], Proposition 4.5.

### 4.1 Problem $(P_-)$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $f_- \in L^p(a, \gamma; X)$ . Assume that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. Then there exists a unique classical solution  $u_-$  of problem  $(P_-)$  if and only if

$$\varphi_1^-, \psi_1 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2^-, \psi_2 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}. \quad (9)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} u_-(x) = & \left( e^{(x-a)M} - e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^- + \left( (x-a)e^{(x-a)M} - (\gamma-x)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^- \\ & + \left( e^{(x-a)M} + e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^- + \left( (x-a)e^{(x-a)M} + (\gamma-x)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^- + F_-(x), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1^- := -\frac{1}{2}U_-^{-1} \left( (I + (I + cM)e^{cM}) \psi_1 - ce^{cM} \psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_1^- \\ \alpha_2^- := \frac{1}{2}U_-^{-1} \left( (I + e^{cM}) M \psi_1 + (I - e^{cM}) \psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_2^- \\ \alpha_3^- := \frac{1}{2}V_-^{-1} \left( (I - (I + cM)e^{cM}) \psi_1 + ce^{cM} \psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_3^- \\ \alpha_4^- := -\frac{1}{2}V_-^{-1} \left( (I - e^{cM}) M \psi_1 + (I + e^{cM}) \psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_4^-, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\varphi}_1^- := \frac{1}{2}U_-^{-1} \left( \varphi_1^- + e^{cM} \left( \varphi_1^- + c \left( M\varphi_1^- + \varphi_2^- - F'_-(a) - F'_-(\gamma) \right) \right) \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2^- := -\frac{1}{2}U_-^{-1} \left( M\varphi_1^- - \varphi_2^- + F'_-(a) + F'_-(\gamma) \right) \\ \quad -\frac{1}{2}U_-^{-1} e^{cM} \left( M\varphi_1^- + \varphi_2^- - F'_-(a) - F'_-(\gamma) \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_3^- := \frac{1}{2}V_-^{-1} \left( \varphi_1^- - e^{cM} \left( \varphi_1^- + c \left( M\varphi_1^- + \varphi_2^- - F'_-(a) + F'_-(\gamma) \right) \right) \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_4^- := -\frac{1}{2}V_-^{-1} \left( M\varphi_1^- - \varphi_2^- + F'_-(a) - F'_-(\gamma) \right) \\ \quad +\frac{1}{2}V_-^{-1} e^{cM} \left( M\varphi_1^- + \varphi_2^- - F'_-(a) + F'_-(\gamma) \right), \end{array} \right. \quad (12)$$

and  $F_-$  is the unique classical solution of problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_-^{(4)}(x) + 2Au_-''(x) + A^2u_-(x) = f_-(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (a, \gamma) \\ u_-(a) = u_-(\gamma) = 0 \\ u_-''(a) = u_-''(\gamma) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (13)$$

*Proof.* Due to [31], Theorem 2.8, statement 2., there exists a unique classical solution  $u_-$  of problem  $(P_-)$  if and only if (9) holds.

Moreover, adapting the representation formula of  $u$  given by (31) in [31], where  $u, f, b, F_{0,f}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$  and  $\varphi_4$  are respectively replaced by  $u_-, f_-, \gamma, F_-, \varphi_1^-, \psi_1, \varphi_2^-$  and  $\psi_2$ , we obtain that the representation formula of  $u_-$  is given by (10), (11) and (12).  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** In the previous proposition, since (9), (11) and (12) hold, we have

$$\alpha_1^-, \alpha_3^- \in D(M^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2^-, \alpha_4^- \in D(M^2).$$

Moreover, since  $F_-$  is a classical solution of (13), due to Lemma 3.7, for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and  $s = a$  or  $\gamma$

$$F_-^{(j)}(s) \in (D(M), X)_{3-j+\frac{1}{p}, p}.$$

## 4.2 Problem $(P_+)$

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $f_+ \in L^p(\gamma, b; X)$ . Assume that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. Then, there exists a unique classical solution  $u_+$  of problem  $(P_+)$  if and only if

$$\varphi_1^+, \psi_1 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2^+, \psi_2 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}. \quad (14)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} u_+(x) &= \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} - e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^+ + \left( (x-\gamma)e^{(x-\gamma)M} - (b-x)e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^+ \\ &+ \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} + e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^+ + \left( (x-\gamma)e^{(x-\gamma)M} + (b-x)e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^+ \\ &+ F_+(x), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^+ := \frac{1}{2}U_+^{-1} \left( (I + (I + dM)e^{dM})\psi_1 + de^{dM}\psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_1^+ \\ \alpha_2^+ := -\frac{1}{2}U_+^{-1} \left( (I + e^{dM})M\psi_1 - (I - e^{dM})\psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_2^+ \\ \alpha_3^+ := \frac{1}{2}V_+^{-1} \left( (I - (I + dM)e^{dM})\psi_1 - de^{dM}\psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_3^+ \\ \alpha_4^+ := -\frac{1}{2}V_+^{-1} \left( (I - e^{dM})M\psi_1 - (I + e^{dM})\psi_2 \right) + \tilde{\varphi}_4^+, \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\varphi}_1^+ := -\frac{1}{2}U_+^{-1} \left( \varphi_1^+ + e^{dM} \left( \varphi_1^+ + d \left( M\varphi_1^+ - \varphi_2^+ + F_+'(\gamma) + F_+'(b) \right) \right) \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2^+ := \frac{1}{2}U_+^{-1} \left( M\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_2^+ - F_+'(\gamma) - F_+'(b) \right) \\ \quad + \frac{1}{2}U_+^{-1}e^{dM} \left( M\varphi_1^+ - \varphi_2^+ + F_+'(\gamma) + F_+'(b) \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_3^+ := \frac{1}{2}V_+^{-1} \left( \varphi_1^+ - e^{dM} \left( \varphi_1^+ + d \left( M\varphi_1^+ - \varphi_2^+ - F_+'(\gamma) + F_+'(b) \right) \right) \right) \\ \tilde{\varphi}_4^+ := -\frac{1}{2}V_+^{-1} \left( M\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_2^+ + F_+'(\gamma) - F_+'(b) \right) \\ \quad + \frac{1}{2}V_+^{-1}e^{dM} \left( M\varphi_1^+ - \varphi_2^+ - F_+'(\gamma) + F_+'(b) \right), \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

and  $F_+$  is the unique classical solution of problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_+^{(4)}(x) + 2Au_+''(x) + A^2u_+(x) = f_+(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (\gamma, b) \\ u_+(\gamma) = u_+(b) = u_+''(\gamma) = u_+''(b) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (18)$$

*Proof.* Due to [31], Theorem 2.8, statement 2., there exists a unique classical solution  $u_+$  of problem  $(P_+)$  if and only if (14) holds. Moreover, adapting the representation formula of  $u$  given by (31) in [31], where  $u, f, a, F_{0,f}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$  and  $\varphi_4$  are respectively replaced by  $u_+, f_+, \gamma, F_+, \psi_1, \varphi_1^+, \psi_2$  and  $\varphi_2^+$ , we obtain that the representation formula of  $u_+$  is given by (15), (16) and (17).  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** In the previous proposition, since (14), (16) and (17) hold, we have

$$\alpha_1^+, \alpha_3^+ \in D(M^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2^+, \alpha_4^+ \in D(M^2).$$

Moreover, since  $F_+$  is a classical solution of (18), due to Lemma 3.7, for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and  $s = \gamma$  or  $b$

$$F_+^{(j)}(s) \in (D(M), X)_{3-j+\frac{1}{p}, p}.$$

### 4.3 The transmission system

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 4.6 stated below. This theorem ensures the equivalence between the resolution of problem  $(P)$  and the resolution of the following system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (P_1^+ + P_1^-)M\psi_1 - (P_2^+ - P_2^-)\psi_2 = S_1 \\ (P_2^+ - P_2^-)M\psi_1 - (P_3^+ + P_3^-)\psi_2 = S_2. \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

The coefficients of the previous system are given by

$$\begin{cases} P_1^+ &= k_+ \left( U_+^{-1} \left( I + e^{dM} \right)^2 + V_+^{-1} \left( I - e^{dM} \right)^2 \right) \\ P_2^+ &= k_+ \left( U_+^{-1} + V_+^{-1} \right) \left( I - e^{2dM} \right) \\ P_3^+ &= k_+ \left( U_+^{-1} \left( I - e^{dM} \right)^2 + V_+^{-1} \left( I + e^{dM} \right)^2 \right) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} P_1^- &= k_- \left( U_-^{-1} \left( I + e^{cM} \right)^2 + V_-^{-1} \left( I - e^{cM} \right)^2 \right) \\ P_2^- &= k_- \left( U_-^{-1} + V_-^{-1} \right) \left( I - e^{2cM} \right) \\ P_3^- &= k_- \left( U_-^{-1} \left( I - e^{cM} \right)^2 + V_-^{-1} \left( I + e^{cM} \right)^2 \right). \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

The seconds members are given by

$$S_1 = 2k_+ \left( \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^+ + \tilde{\varphi}_4^+ \right) + e^{dM} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^+ - \tilde{\varphi}_4^+ \right) \right) - 2k_- \left( \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^- - \tilde{\varphi}_4^- \right) + e^{cM} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^- + \tilde{\varphi}_4^- \right) \right) - M^{-2} \check{S} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\check{S} = -k_+ F_+^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_+ M^2 F_+'(\gamma) + k_- F_-^{(3)}(\gamma) - k_- M^2 F_-'(\gamma) \quad (23)$$

and

$$S_2 = 2k_+ \left( \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^+ + \tilde{\varphi}_4^+ \right) - e^{dM} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^+ - \tilde{\varphi}_4^+ \right) \right) + 2k_- \left( \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^- - \tilde{\varphi}_4^- \right) - e^{cM} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_2^- + \tilde{\varphi}_4^- \right) \right). \quad (24)$$

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f_- \in L^p(a, \gamma; X)$  and  $f_+ \in L^p(\gamma, b; X)$ . Assume that  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. Then, problem (P) has a unique classical solution if and only if the data  $\varphi_1^+$ ,  $\varphi_1^-$ ,  $\varphi_2^+$ ,  $\varphi_2^-$  satisfy (6) and system (19) has a unique solution  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  such that

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \times (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}. \quad (25)$$

*Proof.* Assume that problem (P) has a unique classical solution  $u$ . We set

$$\psi_1 = u_-(\gamma) = u_+(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = u'_-(\gamma) = u'_+(\gamma).$$

We get that  $u_-$  (respectively  $u_+$ ) is the classical solution of  $(P_-)$  (respectively  $(P_+)$ ). Then, applying Proposition 4.2 (respectively Proposition 4.4), we obtain (6). Moreover, from (7), we have

$$\psi_1 \in (D(M), X)_{3+\frac{1}{p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 \in (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p}.$$

It remains to prove that  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  is solution of system (19). To this end, since  $u$  satisfies the transmission conditions (TC2). Then, we obtain the following system

$$\begin{cases} k_- u_-^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_- A u'_-(\gamma) &= k_+ u_+^{(3)}(\gamma) + k_+ A u'_+(\gamma) \\ k_- u_-''(\gamma) + k_- A u_-(\gamma) &= k_+ u_+''(\gamma) + k_+ A u_+(\gamma). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} k_+ \left( u_+^{(3)}(\gamma) - M^2 u_+'(\gamma) \right) - k_- \left( u_-^{(3)}(\gamma) - M^2 u_-'(\gamma) \right) &= 0 \\ k_+ \left( u_+''(\gamma) - M^2 u_+(\gamma) \right) - k_- \left( u_-''(\gamma) - M^2 u_-(\gamma) \right) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for all  $x \in (a, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_-(x) &= \left( e^{(x-a)M} - e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^- + \left( (x-a)e^{(x-a)M} - (\gamma-x)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^- \\
&\quad + \left( e^{(x-a)M} + e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^- + \left( (x-a)e^{(x-a)M} + (\gamma-x)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^- \\
&\quad + F_-(x) \\
u'_-(x) &= M \left( e^{(x-a)M} + e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^- + M \left( e^{(x-a)M} - e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^- \\
&\quad + \left( (I + (x-a)M)e^{(x-a)M} + (I + (\gamma-x)M)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^- \\
&\quad + \left( (I + (x-a)M)e^{(x-a)M} - (I + (\gamma-x)M)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^- + F'_-(x) \\
u''_-(x) &= M^2 \left( e^{(x-a)M} - e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^- + M^2 \left( e^{(x-a)M} + e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^- + F''_-(x) \\
&\quad + \left( (2M + (x-a)M^2)e^{(x-a)M} - (2M + (\gamma-x)M^2)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^- \\
&\quad + \left( (2M + (x-a)M^2)e^{(x-a)M} + (2M + (\gamma-x)M^2)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^-, \\
u_-^{(3)}(x) &= M^3 \left( e^{(x-a)M} + e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^- + M^3 \left( e^{(x-a)M} - e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^- + F_-^{(3)}(x) \\
&\quad + \left( (3M^2 + (x-a)M^3)e^{(x-a)M} + (3M^2 + (\gamma-x)M^3)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^- \\
&\quad + \left( (3M^2 + (x-a)M^3)e^{(x-a)M} - (3M^2 + (\gamma-x)M^3)e^{(\gamma-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^-.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
k_- \left( u_-^{(3)}(\gamma) - M^2 u'_-(\gamma) \right) &= k_- \left( 2M^2 \left( I + e^{cM} \right) \alpha_2^- - 2M^2 \left( I - e^{cM} \right) \alpha_4^- \right) \\
&\quad + k_- F_-^{(3)}(\gamma) - k_- M^2 F'_-(\gamma).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Moreover, from (13), we have

$$k_- \left( u''_-(\gamma) - M^2 u_-(\gamma) \right) = -k_- \left( 2M \left( I - e^{cM} \right) \alpha_2^- - 2M \left( I + e^{cM} \right) \alpha_4^- \right). \tag{27}$$

Note that, from Remark 4.3, all terms of the previous equality are well defined.

In the same way, for all  $x \in (\gamma, b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_+(x) &= \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} - e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^+ + \left( (x-\gamma)e^{(x-\gamma)M} - (b-x)e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^+ \\
&\quad + \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} + e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^+ + \left( (x-\gamma)e^{(x-\gamma)M} + (b-x)e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^+ \\
&\quad + F_+(x), \\
u'_+(x) &= M \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} + e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^+ + M \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} - e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^+ + F'_+(x) \\
&\quad + \left( (I + (x-\gamma)M)e^{(x-\gamma)M} + (I + (b-x)M)e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^+ \\
&\quad + \left( (I + (x-\gamma)M)e^{(x-\gamma)M} - (I + (b-x)M)e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^+,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
u_+''(x) &= M^2 \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} - e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^+ + M^2 \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} + e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^+ \\
&\quad + \left( (2M + (x-\gamma)M^2) e^{(x-\gamma)M} - (2M + (b-x)M^2) e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^+ \\
&\quad + \left( (2M + (x-\gamma)M^2) e^{(x-\gamma)M} + (2M + (b-x)M^2) e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^+ \\
&\quad + F_+''(x), \\
u_+^{(3)}(x) &= M^3 \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} + e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_1^+ + M^3 \left( e^{(x-\gamma)M} - e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_3^+ \\
&\quad + \left( (3M^2 + (x-\gamma)M^3) e^{(x-\gamma)M} + (3M^2 + (b-x)M^3) e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_2^+ \\
&\quad + \left( (3M^2 + (x-\gamma)M^3) e^{(x-\gamma)M} - (3M^2 + (b-x)M^3) e^{(b-x)M} \right) \alpha_4^+ \\
&\quad + F_+^{(3)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
k_+ \left( u_+^{(3)}(\gamma) - M^2 u_+'(\gamma) \right) &= k_+ \left( 2M^2 \left( I + e^{dM} \right) \alpha_2^+ + 2M^2 \left( I - e^{dM} \right) \alpha_4^+ \right) \\
&\quad + k_+ F_+^{(3)}(\gamma) - k_+ M^2 F_+'(\gamma).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Moreover, from (18), we have

$$k_+ \left( u_+''(\gamma) - M^2 u_+(\gamma) \right) = k_+ \left( 2M \left( I - e^{dM} \right) \alpha_2^+ + 2M \left( I + e^{dM} \right) \alpha_4^+ \right). \tag{29}$$

As previously, from Remark 4.5, all terms of the previous equality are justified. Then, from (23), (26), (27), (28) and (29), we deduce that system (19) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}
k_+ \left( 2M^2 \left( I + e^{dM} \right) \alpha_2^+ + 2M^2 \left( I - e^{dM} \right) \alpha_4^+ \right) \\
-k_- \left( 2M^2 \left( I + e^{cM} \right) \alpha_2^- - 2M^2 \left( I - e^{cM} \right) \alpha_4^- \right) = \check{S} \\
k_+ \left( 2M \left( I - e^{dM} \right) \alpha_2^+ + 2M \left( I + e^{dM} \right) \alpha_4^+ \right) \\
+k_- \left( 2M \left( I - e^{cM} \right) \alpha_2^- - 2M \left( I + e^{cM} \right) \alpha_4^- \right) = 0.
\end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain the following system

$$\begin{cases}
k_+ \left( \left( I + e^{dM} \right) \alpha_2^+ + \left( I - e^{dM} \right) \alpha_4^+ \right) \\
-k_- \left( \left( I + e^{cM} \right) \alpha_2^- - \left( I - e^{cM} \right) \alpha_4^- \right) = \frac{1}{2} M^{-2} \check{S} \\
k_+ \left( \left( I - e^{dM} \right) \alpha_2^+ + \left( I + e^{dM} \right) \alpha_4^+ \right) \\
+k_- \left( \left( I - e^{cM} \right) \alpha_2^- - \left( I + e^{cM} \right) \alpha_4^- \right) = 0.
\end{cases} \tag{30}$$

Now, we regroup all source terms provided from (11), (12), (16) and (17) in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , defined

by (22), (23) and (24). Then, we deduce that system (30) writes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\frac{k_+}{2}U_+^{-1}(I+e^{dM})\left(\left(I+e^{dM}\right)M\psi_1-\left(I-e^{dM}\right)\psi_2\right) \\ -\frac{k_+}{2}V_+^{-1}(I-e^{dM})\left(\left(I-e^{dM}\right)M\psi_1-\left(I+e^{dM}\right)\psi_2\right) \\ -\frac{k_-}{2}U_-^{-1}(I+e^{cM})\left(\left(I+e^{cM}\right)M\psi_1+\left(I-e^{cM}\right)\psi_2\right) \\ -\frac{k_-}{2}V_-^{-1}(I-e^{cM})\left(\left(I-e^{cM}\right)M\psi_1+\left(I+e^{cM}\right)\psi_2\right) = -\frac{S_1}{2} \\ \\ -\frac{k_+}{2}U_+^{-1}(I-e^{dM})\left(\left(I+e^{dM}\right)M\psi_1-\left(I-e^{dM}\right)\psi_2\right) \\ -\frac{k_+}{2}V_+^{-1}(I+e^{dM})\left(\left(I-e^{dM}\right)M\psi_1-\left(I+e^{dM}\right)\psi_2\right) \\ +\frac{k_-}{2}U_-^{-1}(I-e^{cM})\left(\left(I+e^{cM}\right)M\psi_1+\left(I-e^{cM}\right)\psi_2\right) \\ +\frac{k_-}{2}V_-^{-1}(I+e^{cM})\left(\left(I-e^{cM}\right)M\psi_1+\left(I+e^{cM}\right)\psi_2\right) = -\frac{S_2}{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_+\left(U_+^{-1}(I+e^{dM})^2+V_+^{-1}(I-e^{dM})^2\right)M\psi_1 \\ +k_-\left(U_-^{-1}(I+e^{cM})^2+V_-^{-1}(I-e^{cM})^2\right)M\psi_1 \\ \quad -k_+\left(U_+^{-1}+V_+^{-1}\right)\left(I-e^{2dM}\right)\psi_2 \\ \quad +k_-\left(U_-^{-1}+V_-^{-1}\right)\left(I-e^{2cM}\right)\psi_2 = S_1 \\ \\ k_+\left(U_+^{-1}+V_+^{-1}\right)\left(I-e^{2dM}\right)M\psi_1 \\ -k_-\left(U_-^{-1}+V_-^{-1}\right)\left(I-e^{2cM}\right)M\psi_1 \\ -k_+\left(U_+^{-1}(I-e^{dM})^2+V_+^{-1}(I+e^{dM})^2\right)\psi_2 \\ -k_-\left(U_-^{-1}(I-e^{cM})^2+V_-^{-1}(I+e^{cM})^2\right)\psi_2 = S_2. \end{array} \right. \quad (31)$$

Then, using (20) and (21) system (31) become system (19). So,  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  is solution of system (19).

Conversely, if (6) holds and system (19) has a unique solution  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  such that

$$\psi_1 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p},$$

Then, considering  $u_-$  (respectively  $u_+$ ) the unique classical solution of problem  $(P_-)$  (respectively problem  $(P_+)$ ), we obtain that

$$u = \begin{cases} u_- & \text{in } \Omega_- \\ u_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \end{cases}$$

is the unique classical solution of problem  $(P)$ .  $\square$

#### 4.4 Functional calculus

To prove Theorem 3.9, it remains, from Theorem 4.6, to solve system (19). To this end, we have to show that the determinant operator of system (19) is an invertible operator using functional calculus.

We first recall some classical notations. Let  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , we denote by  $H(S_\theta)$  the space of holomorphic functions on  $S_\theta$  (defined by (3)). Moreover, we consider the following subspace of  $H(S_\theta)$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_\infty(S_\theta) := \{f \in H(S_\theta) : f = O(|z|^{-s}) \text{ } (|z| \rightarrow +\infty) \text{ for some } s > 0\}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{E}_\infty(S_\theta)$  is the space of polynomially decreasing holomorphic functions at  $+\infty$ .

Let  $T$  be an invertible sectorial operator of angle  $\theta_T \in (0, \pi)$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{E}_\infty(S_\theta)$ , with  $\theta \in (\theta_T, \pi)$ , then, by functional calculus, we can define  $f(T) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , see [14], p. 45.

We recall a useful invertibility result from [19], Lemma 5.3.

**Lemma 4.7** ([19]). Let  $P$  be an invertible sectorial operator in  $X$  with angle  $\theta$ , for all  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ . Let  $G \in H(S_\theta)$ , for some  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , such that

- (i)  $1 - G \in \mathcal{E}_\infty(S_\theta)$ ,
- (ii)  $G(x) \neq 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ .

Then,  $G(P) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , is invertible with bounded inverse.

Now, to inverse the determinant of system (19), we introduce some holomorphic functions which will play the role of  $G$  in the previous lemma. Then, we study them on the positive real axis.

Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ . We set

$$\begin{cases} u_\delta(z) &= 1 - e^{-2\delta\sqrt{z}} - 2\delta\sqrt{z}e^{-\delta\sqrt{z}} \\ v_\delta(z) &= 1 - e^{-2\delta\sqrt{z}} + 2\delta\sqrt{z}e^{-\delta\sqrt{z}}. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$U_+ = u_d(-A), \quad U_- = u_c(-A), \quad V_+ = v_d(-A) \quad \text{and} \quad V_- = v_c(-A).$$

We set

$$\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0\}.$$

Moreover, from [31], Lemma 4.4, we have  $u_\delta(z) \neq 0$  and  $v_\delta(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , thus we note

$$\begin{cases} f_{\delta,1}(z) &= u_\delta^{-1}(z) \left(1 + e^{-\delta\sqrt{z}}\right)^2 + v_\delta^{-1}(z) \left(1 - e^{-\delta\sqrt{z}}\right)^2 \\ f_{\delta,2}(z) &= \left(u_\delta^{-1}(z) + v_\delta^{-1}(z)\right) \left(1 - e^{-2\delta\sqrt{z}}\right) \\ f_{\delta,3}(z) &= u_\delta^{-1}(z) \left(1 - e^{-\delta\sqrt{z}}\right)^2 + v_\delta^{-1}(z) \left(1 + e^{-\delta\sqrt{z}}\right)^2 \\ g_\delta(z) &= 16 u_\delta^{-1}(z) v_\delta^{-1}(z) e^{-2\delta\sqrt{z}}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} P_1^+ = k_+ f_{d,1}(-A), & P_2^+ = k_+ f_{d,2}(-A), & P_3^+ = k_+ f_{d,3}(-A) \\ P_1^- = k_- f_{c,1}(-A), & P_2^- = k_- f_{c,2}(-A), & P_3^- = k_- f_{c,3}(-A). \end{cases}$$



Moreover, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , we define

$$f(z) := k_+^2 g_d(z) + k_-^2 g_c(z) + k_+ k_- (f_{d,1}(z) f_{c,3}(z) + f_{c,1}(z) f_{d,3}(z) + 2f_{d,2}(z) f_{c,2}(z)).$$

Note that  $f \in H(S_\theta)$ , for all  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ . It follows that

$$f(-A) = 16k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM} + 16k_-^2 U_-^{-1} V_-^{-1} e^{2cM} + P_1^+ P_3^- + P_1^- P_3^+ + 2P_2^+ P_2^-. \quad (32)$$

**Lemma 4.8.** For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $f(x)$  does not vanish.

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$ , from Lemma 4.4, in [31], for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$0 < |u_\delta(z)| \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < |v_\delta(z)|.$$

Thus, for all  $x > 0$ , one has  $u_\delta(x), v_\delta(x) > 0$ . Moreover, for all  $x > 0$ , we deduce that

$$f_{\delta,1}(x), f_{\delta,2}(x), f_{\delta,3}(x), g_\delta(x) > 0$$

and since  $k_+ k_- > 0$ , it follows that

$$f(x) = k_+^2 g_d(x) + k_-^2 g_c(x) + k_+ k_- (f_{d,1}(x) f_{c,3}(x) + f_{c,1}(x) f_{d,3}(x) + 2f_{d,2}(x) f_{c,2}(x)) > 0.$$

□

## 5 Proof of the main result

Assume that (P) has a unique classical solution, then, (6) holds from Theorem 4.6.

Conversely, Assume that (6) holds. From Theorem 4.6, it suffices to show that system (19) has a unique solution such that (25) is satisfies.

The proof is divided in three parts. In the first part, we make explicit the determinant of system (19). Then, in the second part, we show the uniqueness of the solution, to this end, we inverse the determinant with the help of functional calculus. Finally, in the last part, we prove that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  have the expected regularity.

### 5.1 Calculus of the determinant

In this section, we make explicit the determinant. Recall system (19):

$$\begin{cases} (P_1^+ + P_1^-) M \psi_1 - (P_2^+ - P_2^-) \psi_2 = S_1 \\ (P_2^+ - P_2^-) M \psi_1 - (P_3^+ + P_3^-) \psi_2 = S_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we writes the previous system as the matrix equation  $\Lambda \Psi = S$ , where

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} M(P_1^+ + P_1^-) & -(P_2^+ - P_2^-) \\ M(P_2^+ - P_2^-) & -(P_3^+ + P_3^-) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To solve system (19), we calculate the determinant of the associated matrix  $\Lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\Lambda) &:= -M(P_1^+ + P_1^-)(P_3^+ + P_3^-) + M(P_2^+ - P_2^-)^2 \\ &= -M(P_1^+ P_3^+ + P_1^+ P_3^- + P_1^- P_3^+ + P_1^- P_3^- - (P_2^+)^2 - (P_2^-)^2 + 2P_2^+ P_2^-) \\ &= -M(P_1^+ P_3^+ - (P_2^+)^2 + P_1^- P_3^- - (P_2^-)^2 + P_1^+ P_3^- + P_1^- P_3^+ + 2P_2^+ P_2^-). \end{aligned}$$

We begin to calculate each terms separately. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^+ P_3^+ &= k_+^2 \left( U_+^{-1} (I + e^{dM})^2 + V_+^{-1} (I - e^{dM})^2 \right) \left( U_+^{-1} (I - e^{dM})^2 + V_+^{-1} (I + e^{dM})^2 \right) \\ &= k_+^2 \left( (U_+^{-2} + V_+^{-2}) (I - e^{2dM})^2 + U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} \left( (I + e^{dM})^4 + (I - e^{dM})^4 \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(I + e^{dM})^4 + (I - e^{dM})^4 = 2(I + e^{2dM})^2 + 8e^{2dM}.$$

Thus

$$P_1^+ P_3^+ = k_+^2 \left( (U_+^{-2} + V_+^{-2}) (I - e^{2dM})^2 + 2U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} (I + e^{2dM})^2 + 8U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM} \right).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_2^+)^2 &= k_+^2 (U_+^{-1} + V_+^{-1})^2 (I - e^{2dM})^2 \\ &= k_+^2 (U_+^{-2} + V_+^{-2}) (I - e^{2dM})^2 + 2k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} (I - e^{2dM})^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^+ P_3^+ - (P_2^+)^2 &= k_+^2 \left( (U_+^{-2} + V_+^{-2}) (I - e^{2dM})^2 + 2U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} (I + e^{2dM})^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 8k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM} \\ &\quad - k_+^2 (U_+^{-2} + V_+^{-2}) (I - e^{2dM})^2 - 2k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} (I - e^{2dM})^2 \\ &= 2k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} \left( (I + e^{2dM})^2 - (I - e^{2dM})^2 + 4e^{2dM} \right) \\ &= 2k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} (4e^{2dM} + 4e^{2dM}) \\ &= 16k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, replacing respectively  $k_+$ ,  $U_+^{-1}$ ,  $V_+^{-1}$  and  $d$  by  $k_-$ ,  $U_-^{-1}$ ,  $V_-^{-1}$  and  $c$ , we obtain

$$P_1^- P_3^- - (P_2^-)^2 = 16k_-^2 U_-^{-1} V_-^{-1} e^{2cM}.$$

Thus, the determinant of  $\Lambda$  writes

$$\det(\Lambda) = -M \left( 16k_+^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM} + 16k_-^2 U_-^{-1} V_-^{-1} e^{2cM} + P_1^+ P_3^- + P_1^- P_3^+ + 2P_2^+ P_2^- \right). \quad (33)$$

Finally, from (32) and (33), we obtain

$$\det(\Lambda) = -M f(-A). \quad (34)$$

Note that, since  $f(-A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , it follows that  $D(\det(\Lambda)) = D(M)$ .

## 5.2 Inversion of the determinant

Let  $C_1, C_2$  two linear operators in  $X$ . We note  $C_1 \sim C_2$  to mean that  $C_1 = C_2 + \Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a finite sum of term of type  $kM^n e^{\alpha M}$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Note that  $\Sigma$  is a regular term in the sense:

$$\Sigma \in \mathcal{L}(X) \quad \text{with} \quad \Sigma(X) \subset D(M^\infty) := \bigcap_{k \geq 0} D(M^k).$$

Since  $U_\pm \sim I$  and  $V_\pm \sim I$ , then setting  $W = U_+ U_- V_+ V_- \sim I$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} WP_1^+ \sim 2k_+ I, & WP_1^- \sim 2k_- I, \\ WP_2^+ \sim 2k_+ I, & WP_2^- \sim 2k_- I, \\ WP_3^+ \sim 2k_+ I, & WP_3^- \sim 2k_- I. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$16k_+^2 W^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM} \sim 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 16k_-^2 W^2 U_-^{-1} V_-^{-1} e^{2cM} \sim 0.$$

We then deduce the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} -M^{-1} W^2 \det(\Lambda) &= 16k_+^2 W^2 U_+^{-1} V_+^{-1} e^{2dM} + 16k_-^2 W^2 U_-^{-1} V_-^{-1} e^{2cM} \\ &\quad + WP_1^+ WP_3^- + WP_1^- WP_3^+ + 2WP_2^+ WP_2^- \\ &\sim 4k_+ k_- I + 4k_+ k_- I + 8k_+ k_- I = 16k_+ k_- I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\det(\Lambda) = -W^{-2} M \left( 16k_+ k_- I + \sum_{j \in J} k_j M^{n_j} e^{\alpha_j M} \right), \quad (35)$$

where  $J$  is a finite set and for all  $j \in J$ :

$$k_j \in \mathbb{R}, n_j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

From (35), we have

$$\det(\Lambda) = -16k_+ k_- W^{-2} M F, \quad (36)$$

where

$$F = I + \sum_{j \in J} \frac{k_j}{16k_+ k_-} M^{n_j} e^{\alpha_j M}. \quad (37)$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ , we set

$$\tilde{f}(z) = 1 + \sum_{j \in J} \frac{k_j}{16k_+ k_-} (-\sqrt{z})^{n_j} e^{-\alpha_j \sqrt{z}}.$$

Then,  $F = \tilde{f}(-A)$  and from (34) and (36), we have

$$f(-A) = -M^{-1} \det(\Lambda) = 16k_+ k_- W^{-2} \tilde{f}(-A).$$

Thus, by construction, for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ , the link between  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  is

$$f(z) = 16k_+ k_- u_d^{-2}(z) u_c^{-2}(z) v_d^{-2}(z) v_c^{-2}(z) \tilde{f}(z). \quad (38)$$

**Proposition 5.1.** The operator  $F \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  defined by (37), is invertible with bounded inverse.

*Proof.* Note that  $f, \tilde{f} \in H(S_\theta)$ , for a given  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ . Moreover, for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$  and  $j \in J$ , functions  $\frac{k_j}{16k_+k_-} (-\sqrt{z})^{n_j}$  are polynomial. Thus,  $1 - \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}_\infty(S_\theta)$ .

From Lemma 4.4 in [31],  $u_d, u_c, v_d$  and  $v_c$  do not vanish on  $\mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, due to Lemma 4.8,  $f$  does not vanish on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, we deduce from (38) that  $\tilde{f}$  do not vanish on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ .

Finally, we apply Lemma 4.7 with  $P = -A$  and  $G = \tilde{f}$  to obtain that  $F = \tilde{f}(-A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is invertible with bounded inverse.  $\square$

We are now in position to prove the main result of this section.

**Proposition 5.2.** The operator  $\det(\Lambda)$ , defined by (36) is invertible with bounded inverse.

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.1,  $U_+, U_-, V_+$  and  $V_-$  are bounded invertible operators with bounded inverse. So we deduce that  $W^{-2}$  is invertible with bounded inverse. Moreover, from  $(H_2)$ , (36) and Proposition 5.1, we obtain that  $\det(\Lambda)$  is invertible with bounded inverse.  $\square$

### 5.3 Regularity

To study the regularity, we need to recall the following technical result from [19], Lemma 5.1.

**Lemma 5.3** ([19]). Let  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $0 \in \rho(I + V)$ . Then, there exists  $W \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that

$$(I + V)^{-1} = I - W,$$

and  $W(X) \subset V(X)$ . Moreover, if  $T$  is a linear operator in  $X$  such that  $V(X) \subset D(T)$  and for  $\psi \in D(T)$ ,  $TV\psi = VT\psi$ , then  $WT\psi = TW\psi$ .

From Proposition 5.2, system (19) has a unique solution  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ . From Theorem 4.6, it remains to prove that

$$\psi_1 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}.$$

To this end, we have to study the regularity of the inverse of the determinant  $\det(\Lambda)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** There exists  $R_d \in D(M^\infty)$  such that

$$[\det(\Lambda)]^{-1} = -\frac{1}{16k_+k_-} M^{-1} + R_d.$$

*Proof.* From (36) and Proposition 5.2, we have

$$[\det(\Lambda)]^{-1} = -\frac{1}{16k_+k_-} M^{-1} W^2 F^{-1}.$$

From Lemma 5.3, there exists  $R_F \in D(M^\infty)$ , such that

$$F^{-1} = I + R_F.$$

Moreover, for  $\delta > 0$ , we know that

$$e^{\delta M} \psi \in D(M^\infty). \tag{39}$$

Since  $W = U_+ U_- V_+ V_-$ , from (39), there exists  $R_W \in D(M^\infty)$  such that

$$W^2 = I + R_W.$$

We deduce that there exists  $R_d \in D(M^\infty)$ , such that

$$[\det(\Lambda)]^{-1} = -\frac{1}{16k_+k_-} M^{-1} + R_d.$$

$\square$

Now, we study the regularity of  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . We recall that

$$\Lambda\Psi = S,$$

where  $\Lambda$  is invertible from Proposition 5.2. From Lemma 5.3, there exist  $R_{U_\pm}, R_{V_\pm} \in D(M^\infty)$ , such that

$$U_\pm^{-1} = I + R_{U_\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad V_\pm^{-1} = I + R_{V_\pm}. \quad (40)$$

From (39) and (40), there exist  $R_i \in D(M^\infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 2(k_+ + k_-)M + R_1 & -2(k_+ - k_-)I + R_2 \\ 2(k_+ - k_-)M + R_3 & -2(k_+ + k_-)I + R_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that there exist  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \in D(M^\infty)$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1 &= \frac{(k_+ + k_-)}{8k_+k_-}M^{-1}S_1 - \frac{(k_+ - k_-)}{8k_+k_-}M^{-1}S_2 + \mathcal{R}_1 \\ \psi_2 &= \frac{(k_+ - k_-)}{8k_+k_-}S_1 - \frac{(k_+ + k_-)}{8k_+k_-}S_2 + \mathcal{R}_2, \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

where  $S_1$  is given by (22) and  $S_2$  is given by (24).

Since  $F_+$  is a classical solution of problem  $(P_+)$  and  $F_-$  is a classical solution of problem  $(P_-)$ , then from Remark 4.3 and Remark 4.5, we obtain that  $\check{S}$  defined by (23) has the following regularity

$$\check{S} \in (D(M), X)_{\frac{1}{p}, p}. \quad (42)$$

Moreover, from (7), we have

$$\varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^- \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} = (D(M), X)_{3+\frac{1}{p}, p}$$

and

$$\varphi_2^+, \varphi_2^- \in (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p} = (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p}.$$

Thus, from (12), (17), Lemma 5.3, Remark 4.3 and Remark 4.5, we deduce that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1^+, \tilde{\varphi}_1^-, \tilde{\varphi}_3^+, \tilde{\varphi}_3^- \in (D(M), X)_{3+\frac{1}{p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}_2^+, \tilde{\varphi}_2^-, \tilde{\varphi}_4^+, \tilde{\varphi}_4^- \in (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p}. \quad (43)$$

So, from (22), (24), (39), (42) and (43), we have

$$S_1 \in (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 \in (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p}. \quad (44)$$

Finally, from (7), (41) and (44), we obtain

$$\psi_1 \in (D(M), X)_{3+\frac{1}{p}, p} = (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2p}, p} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 \in (D(M), X)_{2+\frac{1}{p}, p} = (D(A), X)_{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2p}, p}.$$

## Acknowledgments

This research is supported by CIFRE contract 2014/1307 with Qualiorm Eco company and partially by the LMAH and the european funding ERDF through grant project Xterm.

I would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and corrections which help to improve this paper.

## References

- [1] B. Barraza Martínez, R. Denk, J. Hernández Monzón, F. Kammerlander and M. Nendel, Regularity and asymptotic behavior for a damped plate–membrane transmission problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **474** (2) (2019), 1082-1103.
- [2] J. Bourgain, Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional, *Ark. Mat.*, **21** (1983), 163-168.
- [3] D. L. Burkholder, A geometrical characterisation of Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional, *Ann. Probab.*, **9** (1981), 997-1011.
- [4] F. Cakoni, G. C. Hsiao and W. L. Wendland, On the boundary integral equation method for a mixed boundary value problem of the biharmonic equation, *Complex variables*, **50** (7-11) (2005), 681-696.
- [5] D. S. Cohen and J. D. Murray, A generalized diffusion model for growth and dispersal in population, *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, **12** (1981), 237-249.
- [6] M. Costabel, E. Stephan and W. L. Wendland, On boundary integral equations of the first kind for the bi-Laplacian in a polygonal plane domain, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 4<sup>e</sup> serie, **10** (2) (1983), 197-241.
- [7] G. Da Prato and P. Grisvard, Sommes d'opérateurs linéaires et équations différentielles opérationnelles, *J. Math. pures et appl.*, **54** (1975), 305-387.
- [8] G. Dore and A. Venni, On the closedness of the sum of two closed operators, *Math. Z.*, **196** (1987), 189-201.
- [9] A. Favini, R. Labbas, K. Lemrabet, S. Maingot and H. Sidibé, Transmission Problem for an Abstract Fourth-order Differential Equation of Elliptic Type in UMD Spaces, *Advances in Differential Equations*, **15** (1-2) (2010), 43-72.
- [10] A. Favini, R. Labbas, A. Medeghri and A. Menad, Analytic semigroups generated by the dispersal process in two habitats incorporating individual behavior at the interface, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **471** (2019), 448–480.
- [11] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [12] P. Grisvard, Spazi di tracce e applicazioni, *Rendiconti di Mat.*, Serie VI, **5** (4) (1972), 657-729.
- [13] Z. Guo, B. Lai and D. Ye, Revisiting the biharmonic equation modelling electrostatic actuation in lower dimensions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142** (2014), 2027-2034.
- [14] M. Haase, *The functional calculus for sectorial Operators*, Birkhauser, 2006.
- [15] F. Hassine, Logarithmic stabilization of the Euler–Bernoulli transmission plate equation with locally distributed Kelvin–Voigt damping, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **455** (2) (2017), 1765-1782.
- [16] A. F. Hrustalev and B. I. Kogan, A boundary-value problem for the biharmonic equation in elasticity theory, (*Russian*) *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika*, **4** (3) (1958), 241-247.
- [17] H. Komatsu, Fractional powers of operators, *Pac. J. Math.*, **19** (2) (1966), 285-346.

- [18] M. Kotschote, Maximal  $L^p$ -regularity for a linear three-phase problem of parabolic–elliptic type, *Journal of Evolution Equations*, **10** (2) (2010), 293-318.
- [19] R. Labbas, S. Maingot, D. Manceau and A. Thorel, On the regularity of a generalized diffusion problem arising in population dynamics set in a cylindrical domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **450** (2017), 351-376.
- [20] R. Labbas, K. Lemrabet, S. Maingot and A. Thorel, Generalized linear models for population dynamics in two juxtaposed habitats, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. - A*, **39** (5) (2019), 2933-2960.
- [21] K. Limam, R. Labbas, K. Lemrabet, A. Medeghri and M. Meisner, On Some Transmission Problems Set in a Biological Cell, Analysis and Resolution, *J. Differential Equations*, **259** (7) (2015), 2695-2731.
- [22] F. Lin and Y. Yang, Nonlinear non-local elliptic equation modelling electrostatic actuation, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, **463** (2007), 1323-1337.
- [23] J.-L. Lions and J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, *Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, **19** (1964), 5-68.
- [24] A. Lunardi, *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*, Birkhauser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1995.
- [25] F. L. Ochoa, A generalized reaction-diffusion model for spatial structures formed by motile cells, *BioSystems*, **17** (1984), 35-50.
- [26] K.-M. Perfekt, The transmission problem on a three-dimensional wedge, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **231** (3) (2019), 1745-1780.
- [27] J. Prüss and H. Sohr, On operators with bounded imaginary powers in Banach spaces, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **203** (1990), 429-452.
- [28] J. Prüss and H. Sohr, Imaginary powers of elliptic second order differential operators in  $L^p$ -spaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **23** (1) (1993), 161-192.
- [29] J. L. Rubio de Francia, Martingale and integral transforms of Banach space valued functions, *Probability and Banach Spaces: Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1221** (1986), 195-222.
- [30] H. Saker and N. Bouselsal, On the bilaplacian problem with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **47** (3) (2016), 425–435.
- [31] A. Thorel, Operational approach for biharmonic equations in  $L^p$ -spaces, *Journal of Evolution Equations*, **20** (2020), 631-657.
- [32] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function Spaces, differential Operators*, North-Holland publishing company Amsterdam New York Oxford, 1978.
- [33] J. Wang, J. Lang and Y. Chen, Global dynamics of an age-structured HIV infection model incorporating latency and cell-to-cell transmission, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. - B*, **22** (10) (2017), 3721-3747.
- [34] C.-F. Yanga and S. Buterin, Uniqueness of the interior transmission problem with partial information on the potential and eigenvalues, *J. Differential Equations*, **260** (6) (2016), 4871-4887.