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Abstract. We modeled the African terrestrial carbon balance over the past century using a spatially resolved process based vegetation model (ORCHIDEE). The model is forced by changing climate and by human-induced changes in land use. It includes a simple parameterization of natural fires, but the natural vegetation dynamics was ignored. The period analyzed is 1901–2002. Overall, we found that the African net terrestrial carbon balance (Net Biome Productivity, NBP) increased from a net CO$_2$ source to the atmosphere of 0.14 Pg C yr$^{-1}$ in the 1980s to a net sink of 0.15 Pg C yr$^{-1}$ in the 1990s. The land use flux alone is estimated to be a source of 0.13 Pg C yr$^{-1}$ caused by deforestation. This implies that climatic trends (mainly increasing precipitation) and CO$_2$ increase (fertilization effect), are causing a sink of 0.28 Pg C yr$^{-1}$ which offsets the land-use source. We found that the interannual variability of NBP is large, and mostly driven by photosynthesis variability. Over savannas, photosynthesis changes from one year to the next are strongly correlated with rainfall changes ($R^2=0.77$ in northern Africa, and $R^2=0.42$ in southern African savannas). Over forests, such a control by rainfall is not found. The main spatial pattern of interannual variability in NBP and photosynthesis/ecosystem respiration fluxes is related with ENSO, with dryer conditions prevailing over savannas during El Niño and wetter conditions over forests. Climate induced variations in fire emissions respond to this ENSO forcing, but do not determine strongly the NBP interannual variability. Finally, we model that ecosystem respiration variations (mostly autotrophic respiration) are correlated with those of photosynthesis, on interannual as well as on decadal time scales, but this result is uncertain given the potential for acclimation for autotrophic respiration processes.

1 Introduction

Little is known about the carbon balance of the African continent. One particularity of Africa is the extensive presence of savannas, with $15.1\times10^6$ km$^2$, roughly 50% of the continent (Menaut et al., 1985) and 70% of the World’s savannas. Savannas is a productive vegetation (Lieth and Witetaker, 1975; Scholes and Hall, 1996) as compared to other biomes, with an average Net Primary Productivity (NPP) of $720\pm200$ gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Grace et al., 2006). Scaling up this estimate to the entire African savanna biome gives an NPP of 8.9 Pg C yr$^{-1}$, that is 13.6% of the global NPP. Apart from savanna, forest is also an important biome in Africa. African forests are among the most pristine on Earth and contain large carbon stocks in biomass, up to 400 tC ha$^{-1}$ in Equatorial rainforests (Brown et al., 1996). These forest carbon stocks are vulnerable to human impact and to climate change.

In Africa, the population is increasing faster than in other regions of the globe (source: http://maps.grida.no/go/graphic/population_and_development_in_africa). Further, more than 40% of the population lives in arid, semi arid or dry sub-humid areas, increasing the pressure on ecosystem services, in particular for agricultural production (Sultan et al., 2005; Ingram et al., 2002; De Rouw, 2004). Currently, the human appropriation of NPP in populated regions of Africa is as high as in Europe, although actual NPP is $\approx15\%$ than natural vegetation NPP (Haberl et al., 2007).
Therefore, it is important to quantify the mean productivity of natural ecosystems, as well as its variability and trends, as the NPP of cultivated ecosystems is most likely lower than natural NPP in this region (Haberl et al., 2007). West Africa, the most densely populated region of the continent, is subject to strong interannual and decadal precipitation changes. There is a drought trend in this region since 1950, followed by a reversal to wetter conditions after 1980 but rainfall has not yet reached back the 1950 levels. The recent drought struck the region at the end of the 1960’s till the beginning of the 1990’s. The recent years (1994–2006) are characterized by still dry conditions in the Western Sahel while the Eastern Sahel benefits from wetter conditions (Ali and Lebel, 2009). Further, changes in global and local economic drivers, in population density and wealth are likely to modify regionally the regime of fires, which in turn will change the productivity and the carbon balance of savannas and forests.

A second important motivation for studying the carbon balance of Africa is that deforestation in Central Africa, has been historically less pronounced than in other tropical continents (Houghton et al., 2003), but is now catching up. Although their exploitation is partly regulated, Central African forests are under the threat of accelerated logging, with 30% of these forests being under logging concessions (Laporte et al., 2007). The wood removal from African forest increased from $500 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ (roughly 0.125 Pg C yr$^{-1}$) to $660 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ in 2005 (FAO, 2007). Recently, the area of forest and other woodlands in Africa decreased between 2000 and 2005 at a high rate of $6 \times 10^6$ ha per year, explaining more than half of the global decline in forest area (FAO, 2007). The African forest carbon stocks thus appear to be particularly vulnerable, although they have been spared from massive deforestation so far (Canadell et al., 2009). In the context of the Reduced Emission in Deforestation from Developing countries (REDD) program, it is thus important to quantify both the carbon stocks and the carbon fluxes of African forests, since these stocks may be larger and less affected by clearing than in other regions of the Tropics.

Unfortunately, in situ data are scarce and incomplete to characterize the African carbon balance. Apart from forest inventories in few countries (FAO, 2007), some ecosystem scale measurements (e.g. Abbadie et al., 2006) or regional campaigns like the SAFARI-2000 programme, the IGBP African transects initiated 10-years ago (Koch et al., 1995) have not delivered comprehensive information on carbon stocks and fluxes. In that context, remote sensing data and ecosystem models form the base of our knowledge to tackle the problem of quantifying and understanding the African carbon balance and drivers (e.g. Hiernaux et al., 2008).

In this paper, we use the ORCHIDEE (ORganizing Carbon and Hydrology in Dynamic EcosystEms; Krinner et al., 2005) process-based global vegetation model to simulate the carbon balance of the African continent, and its component fluxes of photosynthesis, respiration and fire emissions. The period of study is the past century, allowing to analyze interannual variability, and to gain further insights on decadal variability. After a description of the modeling framework (Sect. 2), we analyze the impacts of climate variability on carbon fluxes (Sect. 3). The associated continental-scale and regional modes of variability are discussed. Since the ORCHIDEE model also participated to the CAMIC (CarboAfrica Model Inter Comparison) experiment, which covers the past 25 years (Weber et al., 2009), we will give more focus here in the discussion of interannual variability during the past century. Then, the effects of long-term climate trends, rising CO$_2$, and changes in land use are studied (Sect. 4). In that context, the results of ORCHIDEE are evaluated against long-term satellite observation of vegetation greenness and against river runoff observations.

The goal of this preliminary work is not to provide a realistic quantitative assessment of the African carbon balance and its drivers. Neither at this early stage of the CARBOAFRICA project, nor through past projects, we have enough data to falsify or confirm the model predictions. Rather, we seek to gain a deeper understanding of our model’s behavior over Africa, attempting wherever this is possible to check and understand the arising model output against global datasets.

2 Methods

2.1 Model structure

The ORCHIDEE model (Krinner et al., 2005) is a dynamic global vegetation model representing key vegetation processes governing biogeochemistry, soil hydrology, and biogeography. The ORCHIDEE model includes three coupled submodels: a surface-vegetation-atmosphere transfer model SECHIBA (Ducoudré et al., 1993), a carbon dynamics model called STOMATE, and a vegetation dynamics model inspired from LPJ (dealing with fire, sapling establishment, light competition, and tree mortality). This later sub-model is non-activated (except for fires) because we will prescribe vegetation changes. SECHIBA calculates the transfer of radiation, water and heat in the vegetation-soil-atmosphere system at half hourly time step. ORCHIDEE distinguishes over Africa 11 plant functional types with different photosynthetic, phenological and morphological characteristics. Root water uptake ($U$) in ORCHIDEE is function of the biome-dependent constant $c$ and of the dry soil depth $d$, defined as the dry fraction of the first soil moisture layer ($U = e^{-cd}$, where $U$ varies between 0 and 1, $c=0.8$ for forest and $c=4$ for grassland). The STOMATE submodel simulates plant phenology and key processes of ecosystem carbon cycling such as gross primary productivity (GPP), growth and maintenance respiration, NPP allocation, and litter and soil organic matter decomposition. Plant CO$_2$ assimilation interacts with stomatal conductance and is regulated by environmental factors such as air humidity, temperature, radiation, and atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration. Photosynthesis in ORCHIDEE is based on the
leaf model equations of Farquhar et al. (1980) for C3 plants and of Collatz et al. (1992) for C4 plants (savannas). Maintenance respiration is a function of each living biomass pool and temperature. Growth respiration is computed as a fraction of the difference between assimilation inputs and maintenance respiration outputs to plant biomass. Heterotrophic respiration parameterization is taken from the CENTURY model (Parton et al., 1988). ORCHIDEE has altogether eight litter pools and six soil carbon pools.

In ORCHIDEE, fire is one of the main disturbance affecting the terrestrial vegetation. A simple parameterization following McNaughton et al. (1989) is included in ORCHIDEE. The formulation of fire occurrence follows an intermediate approach between the fire history concept (using statistical relationships between the length of the fire season and the area burnt) and a process-oriented methodology (estimation of fire conditions based on litter quantity and moisture) such as for instance in Thonicke et al. (2001). Land use change is another major disturbance that can greatly modify terrestrial carbon storage and flux. The fractions of each land use type (PFT) are updated annually. After a transition in land use, a fraction of the aboveground biomass pool is transferred to three product pools with different turnover times. The carbon contained in other biomass pools which remain in the ecosystem is added to the litter reservoir, and eventually released to the atmosphere by decomposition. For tropical forest, fractions of 59.7%, 40.3%, and 0% of the harvested heartwood and sapwood aboveground biomass are assigned to product decay pools with 1 yr, 10 yr and 100 yr turnover time (Mc Guire et al., 2001). For temperate forest biome (only 12% of African forest) these fractions are 59.7%, 29.9%, and 10.4%. Carbon in these pools decays outside from ecosystems and outside of Africa if wood is exported.

ORCHIDEE was validated against observed seasonal cycles of heat, CO2 and H2O exchanges at various Fluxnet sites around the world (Krinner et al., 2005; Ciais et al., 2005; Chevallier et al., 2006) but not specifically at savanna sites. It was evaluated as well as with the satellite derived spatial distribution of vegetation traits and leaf density at global or regional scales (Krinner et al., 2005; Demarty et al., 2007). The interannual variability in leaf area index (LAI), carbon fluxes, and runoff is also consistent with that of historical satellite-observed LAI, seasonal cycle of atmospheric CO2, and observed runoff, respectively (Piao et al., 2006, 2007, 2008). In the recent Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (DGVM) comparison of Sitch et al. (2008), the ORCHIDEE simulated response of net carbon exchange to future climate and rising CO2 concentration was found to be intermediate among the five participating DGVM, the other models being S-DGVM, HYBRID, TRIFFID and LPJ.

2.2 Input datasets

2.2.1 Climate data

The meteorological data used to drive ORCHIDEE include air temperature, precipitation, wet day frequency, diurnal temperature range, cloud cover, relative humidity of the air and wind speed. Monthly data sets, with a spatial resolution of 0.5°×0.5° for 1901–2002, were supplied by the Climatic Research Unit (CRU), School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, UK (Mitchell and Jones, 2005). Data on annual atmospheric CO2 concentration were obtained from Rayner et al. (2005). The current soil texture map is based on Zobler (1986).

2.2.2 Land use data

Croppland area is prescribed each year from the dataset of Ramankutty and Foley (1999). We have combined this dataset with that of Goldewijk (2001) to account for the extent of pasture. The distribution of natural vegetation at each grid cell is derived from Loveland et al. (2000). The extent of natural vegetation varies with time as a function of the prescribed extent of cropland and pasture. The evolution of area for each type of African vegetation is shown in Fig. 6c.

2.3 Simulations setup

Using transient climate data during the period 1901–1910 and the 1860 atmospheric CO2 concentration of 286.05 ppm, we first ran the model at resolution of 2°×2° until the carbon pools reach equilibrium (spin-up of 10,000 yrs). Within a 2° grid cell, different vegetation types co-exist and each will have a different equilibrium pool value. From this initial equilibrium state, two simulations from 1860 to 2002 were carried out, in order to separate the relative contribution of atmospheric CO2, climate change, and of land use change. In simulation S1, atmospheric CO2 and climate were varied. In simulation S2, atmospheric CO2 concentration, climate and land use were varied. The individual effects of land use change were estimated by subtracting S1 from S2. Due to lack of climate data before 1901, the average climate data during the period of 1901–1910 was used for the 1860–1900 initialization.

In addition, we performed three shorter simulations over the period 1980–2002, to isolate the effects of temperature and precipitation alone, and the role of CO2. We checked that our climate forcing dataset during that period is quite similar to the dataset based upon CRU climate combined with NCEP analysis and TRMM satellite rainfall prepared by Weber et al. (2009) for the CAMIC model intercomparison. In this way, our attribution of flux variations to rising CO2 vs. climate drivers could be extrapolated to the ORCHIDEE results part of the CAMIC intercomparison. To perform the attribution of fluxes to each driving variable, we carried a simulation S3 in which only CO2 is varied, a simulation S4
Table 1. Description of simulations used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>1901–2002</td>
<td>Climate-atmospheric CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>1901–2002</td>
<td>Climate-atmospheric CO₂ + land use change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>1980–2002</td>
<td>atmospheric CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>1980–2002</td>
<td>precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>1980–2002</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in which precipitation alone is varied, and a simulation S5 in which temperature alone is varied.

The definition and abbreviations used in this paper for the different carbon fluxes (gross primary production, GPP, net primary production, NPP, heterotrophic respiration, TER, total ecosystem respiration, RH, autotrophic respiration RA, LUC the net land use change flux) are the same as Krinner et al. (2005). The long-term terrestrial carbon balance or Net Biome Productivity (NBP) is defined by NBP=GPP−TER−fire−LUC. The time period considered for mean fluxes is the last decade of the simulation 1990–1999 unless otherwise specified.

3 Interannual variability

In this section, we analyze C flux interannual variations. Since 1981, the wettest year over the African continent is 1994, and the driest years are 1970 and 1981, with a series of severe droughts in the Sahel during 1983–1984. Over the past century, extreme wet years occurred in 1909, 1940, 1961–1963. The driest years are 1913, 1919, 1950 and poor rainfalls are observed in Sahel during the 1970’s. Every dry year is correlated with an abnormal source of CO₂ to the atmosphere, correlated with a drop in GPP and a drop in TER. An opposite response is found to wet years. We do not discuss in details C flux variations during the earlier part of the past century, because of uncertainties in climate drivers. The authors of the CRU climate data state that for temperature: “Annual values are approximately accurate to ±0.05°C (two standard errors) for the period since 1951. They are about four times as uncertain during the 1850s, with the accuracy improving gradually between 1860 and 1950 except for temporary deteriorations during data-sparse, wartime intervals” and that “Fluctuations over time in the numbers and locations of adjacent stations may lead to abrupt and incorrect changes in the climate of an individual grid box, particularly changes in variability” (Mitchell et al., 2004). In the following, we analyze the results of simulation S1.

Five main results emerge from our analysis. First, the interannual variability in the Net Biome Productivity (NBP) is of smaller magnitude than the variability of each gross flux separates, suggesting a strong coupling causing a positive correlation between Total Ecosystem Respiration (TER) and Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) in the model (Fig. 1). This result, valid over the entire African continent, is similar to the one obtained by Ciais et al. (2005) in their analysis of the summer 2003 drought in Europe. Further, our simulations suggest that TER variations are smaller than GPP variations, although in phase with them. In other words, the GPP anomalies are systematically correlated with TER anomalies of the same sign (Fig. 2). In the model, each strong drought such as 1913, 1984 (the last extreme drought in Western Africa), and 1987 causes a drop in GPP (Fig. 1) and in the subsequent allocation of assimilates to leaves and roots, which limits the production of litter for respiration. In addition, during these droughts, the decomposition of soil organic matter is further reduced by soil dryness. However, the drought induced GPP reductions are always larger in ORCHIDEE than the coincident TER reductions (Fig. 2). In response to extreme drought years (Fig. 2) defined when continental scale precipitation drops by more than 5% below the 9-year average centered over that year, autotrophic respiration (RA) is decreased by 16 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹, more than twice the heterotrophic respiration (RH) decrease.
Highly wet year is the year when precipitation is higher than 5% of the 9-year means over the period surrounding each event by ±4 years; wet year is the year when precipitation is less than 0–5% of the 9-year means over the period surrounding each event by ±4 years; dry year is the year when precipitation is less than 0–5% of the 9-year means over the period surrounding each event by ±4 years; and highly dry year is the year when precipitation is less than 5% of the 9-year means over the period surrounding each event by ±4 years.

The average response of GPP, TER, Ra, Rh, carbon emission driven by fire, and NBP in Africa to the change precipitation. The converse is true for extremely wet years. This shows that, in the ORCHIDEE model, the variations in TER consecutive to those of GPP are two-third explained by changes in the modeled autotrophic respiration and one third explained by heterotrophic respiration processes.

Second, the interannual variability in the Net Biome Productivity (NBP) is driven by the interanuality of GPP rather than by the one of TER (Fig. 3). This feature of the ORCHIDEE model is rather widespread and has been diagnosed in global simulations to extend from the southern half of Europe and North America (Ciais et al., 2006) to tropical regions. The mean coefficient of correlation between NBP and GPP variations over the period 1901–2002 (R²=0.53; p<0.001) is greater than the one of NBP with TER (R²=0.28; p<0.001). The biome where NBP and GPP are best correlated is savanna south of the Equator (R²=0.63). The one where they are the least correlated is croplands in northern Africa (R²=0.41; see Table 2). For rainforests distributed around the Equator, the correlation between NBP and GPP is lower than for savanna. This suggests a stronger decoupling in forests between TER and GPP, likely due to stem respiration and stem growth processes.

Third, the interannual variability of GPP and hence of the carbon balance, is driven by rainfall fluctuations rather than by temperature at the continental scale (Fig. 4). The correlation between GPP and rainfall (P) during the interval 1901–2002 is positive and significant over 70% of the grid points. The dominant driving climate variable also varies between each biome (Table 3). The correlation between GPP and P is the highest for savanna. About 77% and 42% of interannual variations of GPP in savanna is explained by precipitation, respectively in southern and northern savanna. In contrast, rainfall only weakly explains the interannual variability of GPP in forest (7% in northern, and 6% in southern forests), slightly less than temperature (9% in northern and 19% in southern forest). Moreover, the slope of the GPP vs. P regression is smaller for forest (0.5–0.6 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ mm⁻¹) than for savanna (0.9–1 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ mm⁻¹). This result may be related to the fact that, in the hydrology module of ORCHIDEE, forests can access deeper water sources than grasses. In addition, the different growth environments may contribute to the different response of GPP to temperature and rainfall. Forests are always located in areas with relatively abundant rainfall, whereas savannas are distributed in more arid environments, where vegetation growth is more frequently limited by soil moisture (Knapp et al., 2001).

Fourth, the dominant spatial pattern of interannual variability in GPP, TER, fire emissions and NBP over Africa is related to the El Niño mode of the global ENSO oscillation, with less GPP, more fires, and a coincident net carbon loss by ecosystems during El Niño episodes (Fig. 5) where a Principal Component Analysis has been applied to the ORCHIDEE output (ESRI, 1992). In this figure, the first Empirical Orthogonal Function mode (EOF), explaining 52% of the carbon balance variability, is nearly identical to the average NBP anomaly during an El Niño episode.

At the continental scale, the impact of an El Niño episode is however not associated with a uniform change in rainfall/temperature patterns, but rather with regionally warmer and dryer conditions over Southern Africa from December to February and wetter conditions in the Great lakes region (see http://iri.columbia.edu/cgi-test-bin/enso_map-req1.pl). A La Niña event manifests itself by a symmetrical pattern in anomalous rainfall (see also: http://iri.columbia.edu/climate/ENSO/globalimpact/temp_precip/region_lanina.html). Over West Africa, the rainfall variability in Sudan and Sahel is also highly positively correlated with ENSO, but rainfall variability near the Guinean Coast is better predicted by the more local signal of SST anomalies in the Guinean gulf (Joly et

Table 2. ORCHIDEE model derived square of correlation coefficient (R²) between GPP and NBP and TER and NBP for each biome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>GPP-NBP R²</th>
<th>TER-NBP R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Hemisphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croplands</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Hemisphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croplands</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There has been a rather abundant literature on possible carry-over effects of soil moisture on climate in Sahel, without yet a clear evidence for such a mechanism (Doughville et al., 2007). Similarly, vegetation feedbacks on climate in the driest part of Sahel have been suggested (Los et al., 2006; Zeng et al., 1999). From the response of NBP to El Niño shown in Fig. 5, we expect forests in the inner-tropical band from the Congo basin to the Great Lakes region to store more carbon during El Niño episodes, while savannas are exposed to dryer conditions and show a reduced photosynthetic activity (see NDVI anomalies in Fig. 5), and thus a reduced GPP and NBP (Fig. 2). The net carbon balance anomalies of savannas are however not only driven by GPP, but also by fire disturbance as well (e.g. Williams et al., 2007), with wet years being committed to larger fire emissions during the next dry season (Barbosa et al., 1999; Balfour and Howison, 2001). In the fire module of ORCHIDEE, however, the role of fire emission ($R^2=0.15, \ P<0.001$) in explaining the interannual variability of NBP is much lower than that of GPP ($R^2=0.53, \ P<0.001$) or even TER ($R^2=0.28, \ P<0.001$) (Fig. 1). For the magnitude in response to El Niño (5 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$), fire anomaly is much lower than that of GPP (50 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) and TER (20 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$). Finally, although ENSO seems to dominate, other climate modes might explain regional variations in African C fluxes. In particular heavy eastern Africa rainfalls were shown to correlate with the Indian Ocean Dipole mode (Saji et al., 2009). A fifth result is that the African fire emissions in ORCHIDEE are only weakly sensitive to ENSO related climate variability, a feature also observed in satellite burned area records (Van der Werf et al., 2006). Fire emissions are linked to climate variability both directly via a bigger fire risk during the dry season, and indirectly via the controlling effects of climate on the growth of biomass during the former wet season, as a source of fuel. African fire emission proxy data have been analyzed by Chédin et al. (2008) from the

**Table 3.** Square of correlation coefficient ($R^2$) and slope (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ mm$^{-1}$ or gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$) between GPP and precipitation ($P$), GPP and temperature ($T$), NBP and precipitation ($P$), and NBP and temperature ($T$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GPP vs. $P$</th>
<th>GPP vs. $T$</th>
<th>NBP vs. $P$</th>
<th>NBP vs. $T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>Slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Forest</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Forest</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Savannas</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Crop</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of the difference of explained interannual variance ($R^2$) of precipitation ($P$) and temperature ($T$) on the GPP and NBP.

Table 4. Ratio of fire emissions (mainly in savannas) between El Niño and La Niña climate conditions. Comparison between satellite emission datasets and ORCHIDEE modeled fire emissions, as well as NPP and biomass, the two latter variables being taken as a proxy of the fuel available for burning. GFEDv2=van der Werf et al., 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio El Niño to La Niña</th>
<th>87/88</th>
<th>87/89</th>
<th>98/00</th>
<th>98/99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satellite fire emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Africa</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled fire emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Africa</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled NPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Africa</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled biomass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Africa</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diurnal Tropospheric Excess (DTE), a variable derived from the TOVS satellite observations over the period 1987–1991 (Chédin et al., 2003, 2005). We also analyzed the GFEDv2 biomass burning C emission dataset estimated from EOS-Terra-MODIS burned area product (Giglio et al., 2006) prescribed to the CASA vegetation model over the period 1997–2004 (Van der Werf et al., 2006). Both burned-area and DTE datasets indicate consistently over their respective period of coverage that the El Niño to a La Niña ratio of fire emissions is only equal to 1.1 at the continental scale (Table 4), indicating no big change in fire emission between these two contrasted ENSO modes. This feature is well captured by ORCHIDEE (Table 4) over the whole period 1901–2002. Considering only the period 1987–2000, the modeled El Niño to a La Niña ratio of emissions varies between 0.82 and 1.07, also in correct agreement with the satellite datasets.
Fig. 5. Anomalies of GPP, NDVI, TER, Fire emission, and NBP during La Niña years (average of 1976 and 1989) and an El Niño year (average of 1983 and 1998). The bottom panel shows the pattern of the first (i.e. dominant) Empirical Orthogonal Function of the NBP variability, which resembles the El Niño typical anomaly.

Fig. 6. ORCHIDEE modeled carbon emission due to land use change from 1901–2002.

The satellite data further indicate (Chédin et al., 2008) that El Niño to La Niña emission ratios are lower than unity in northern tropical Africa, and higher than unity in southern tropical Africa. This asymmetric response of fire emissions in each hemisphere to ENSO is shown by the DTE fire proxy for the ratio of the 1987 El Niño to the 1989 La Niña. It is also shown by the GFEDv2 burned-area derived emissions for the ratio of the 1997/1998 El Niño to the 1999 La Niña (Table 4). For savannas, Van der Werf et al. (2006) further pointed out that interannual variability in fire emissions is decoupled from the variability in burned area, and thus could be driven instead by the amount of fuel available, by different vegetation structure and by human-controlled fire regimes.
To further test the hypothesis that a distinct El Niño to La Niña ratio of fire emissions in each hemisphere is driven by fuel load availability rather than by climate, we calculated in ORCHIDEE the corresponding ratios of NPP, and of standing biomass before the fire season. But no evidence for an asymmetric response of NPP and biomass to El Niño in each hemisphere was found (Table 4). This could reflect model shortcomings in capturing the interannual dynamics of biomass, more complex processes (lagged effects, nutrients), or regional decoupling between biomass available for fuel and actual fire emissions (human factors, landscape fragmentation, nature of combustions; see Archibald et al., 2009).

4 Decadal terrestrial balance and trends

Overall, we found that African NBP changed from a net carbon source of $0.14 \pm 0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in the 1980s to a net carbon sink of $0.15 \pm 0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in 1990s. There are four main results arising from our set of simulations. The first result is that land use change during the last two decades implies a smaller source of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere than expected from a global bookkeeping model using national land use area statistics (Houghton et al., 2003). The ORCHIDEE modeled LUC flux is a source of $0.08 \pm 0.04 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in the 1980s and of $0.13 \pm 0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in the 1990s. This LUC source is close to the estimate of Jain et al. (2005), based on the same land use area data but using a simpler carbon cycle model ($0.09 \pm 0.04 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in 1980s). Our estimate is only half of the Houghton et al. bookkeeping model ($0.19 \pm 0.04 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in 1980s and $0.24 \pm 0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in 1990s). Over 2000–2005, the Houghton et al. model results give a LUC source of $0.24 \pm 0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, stable compared to the 1990’s estimate (Canadell et al., 2009).

A primary cause at the continental scale for a smaller LUC flux to the atmosphere in our simulation than in the bookkeeping model may be the very different rate of change in cropland area (Jain et al., 2005). The Ramankutty et al. (1999) dataset which we used, gives an African cropland area of $1.4 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ in the 1990’s with a strong decreasing trend, compared to an area of $5.2 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ used by Houghton et al. (2003) with an increasing trend. Jain et al. (2005) found that $0.036 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ more carbon is emitted by land use change in the 1980s when applying the cropland area of Houghton et al. (2003) instead of Ramankutty et al. (1999). The relatively small source contributed by land use changes in Africa as compared to other tropical forest regions reflects smaller deforestation rates before 2000. After 2000 however, forest clearing rates in Africa seem to have increased. In “hot-spot” regions of deforestation like Malaysia, Indonesia or in the Brazilian Amazon, most of forest suppression is driven by large clearings $>500 \text{ ha}$ (G. R. van der Werf, personal communication, 2008). Over these clearings are established palm oil plantations in Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand (ECD, 2000; Stone 2007), and soybean fields followed by ranching in the Amazon (Morton et al., 2006). In Africa, most of the forests remain state-owned and despite widespread low-intensity logging in Cameroon, in the northern part of the Republic of Congo and in the western part of Gabon (Lepers et al., 2005; Laporte et al., 2007), there is no policy or strong population/economic pressure in Africa which favors large scale clearing for agriculture or plantation (Lambin et al., 2003), unlike in Asia and South-America. In particular, agro-industrial scale clearing is absent, unlike in South-East Asia and Latin America.

The difference of $0.14 \pm 0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ between our LUC source and the estimate of Canadell et al. (2009) illustrates the large uncertainties in estimating changes in forest area, forest biomass, and release of C from cleared areas. Grainger (2008) reported that constructing reliable trends in tropical forest area is difficult, with evidence for decline still being unclear. For Africa, based upon different successive Forest Resources Areas census from FAO (1982, 1993, 2001), he reported a mean forest area ranging from $5.28 \pm 0.64 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ in the 1990’s, and a forest area decline between the 1980’s and the 1990’s ranging from $0.41 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ to $0.55 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ over 25 years Using a sampling of high-resolution satellite observations, Hansen et al. (2008) found a decline in Africa’s humid forest biome of 5.4% of the global decline (with a standard error of $\pm 26\%$) during 2000–2005, representing a loss of $0.014 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ over 6 years. One can appreciate the large difference in area loss rate between this satellite based estimate and the census-based numbers above, some being due to different definitions of forest. Such discrepancies in cleared forest area, as well as in forest biomass estimates (see Houghton, 2005), translate into large differences in calculated LUC emissions.

Second, there is an upward trend of GPP and NBP between 1980 and 2002, which is widespread over the continent except for forested regions in Central Africa and around the Great Lakes. At the continental-scale, the trend of GPP is closely matching the trend in satellite observed NDVI (see Fig. 7 and Fig. 8). At the regional scale, our simulated trend in GPP is also consistent with an increased vegetation activity over Sahel reported by Eklhundh and Olsson (2003) and Olsson et al. (2005). This upward trend of GPP over savannas is parallel with a rise in precipitation (Trenberth et al., 2008, their Fig. 3.13), itself maybe associated with a polewards expansion of the Tropical belt (Seidel et al., 2008). At the continental-scale, the trend of GPP can be explained using our factorial simulations (Sect. 2) by the CO$_2$ fertilization effect (70%) ameliorated by the increase in $P$ (44%). Other factors like temperature and solar radiation contributed only marginally to the continental trend of GPP (Fig. 7). In response only to temperature change, the total GPP even shows a statistically significant decreasing trend ($R^2=0.25$, $P<0.05$) likely due to an increase in the transpiration to precipitation ratio. Excluding land use change, carbon sink for savanna is $0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ while that of forest is about $0.3 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ in 1990s. In contrast to the drivers of
The GPP trend, the contribution of CO₂ fertilization (25%) to the trend of NBP is much less than that of precipitation (74%). NBP is the difference between gross fluxes, whose driving forces partly compensate each other. The small extra quantity of carbon fixed from the atmosphere by the fertilization effect is systematically returned to the atmosphere by fires and by microbial decomposition, so that the NBP trend is less sensitive to the effect of rising CO₂ than the GPP trend (Fig. 8).

Third, slow decadal-scale variations of GPP are followed by TER variations. This coupling between gross fluxes on decadal time scales (see Botta et al., 2002) also implies that the spatial patterns of GPP trends are parallel with similar patterns of TER trends, as shown by Fig. 8. This coupling of GPP and TER slow variations can also be seen in Fig. 1, superimposed on the interannual variability signal. In ORCHIDEE, the CO₂ fertilization over forests between 10°S and 10°N, and the effect of rising P elsewhere over the savannas, explain the GPP and TER trends during the past 20 years. On the other hand, the NBP trend during that interval (as well as the NBP slow decadal variations during the past century) is driven by more subtle, second-order controlling variables, like the negative effect of regionally declining precipitation in Central Africa and the Great Lakes (Fig. 8).

Fourth, there is an increase in African river runoff since 1980 which is attributed to increasing rainfall, with a small additional contribution of decreasing forest area rather than to increasing CO₂ in our simulations. At the continental scale, the modeled annual runoff due to the combined effects of climate, land use, and atmospheric CO₂ reveals a significant positive trend of 1.24 mm yr⁻² (R²=0.19, P<0.05) from 1980 to 2002 (Fig. 9). Such a dramatic increase in runoff is mainly due to increasing precipitation (1.39 mm yr⁻²) and land use change (0.09 mm yr⁻²). In contrast, rising atmospheric CO₂ and temperature change caused a slight decrease in runoff by −0.04 mm yr⁻² and 0.06 mm yr⁻², respectively. Such a decrease in runoff in response to rising atmospheric CO₂ suggests that transpiration is not suppressed by higher CO₂ levels, because the increased water use efficiency is offset by faster plant growth and more leaf area (Piao et al., 2007).

5 Concluding remarks

Another paper in this issue by Canadell et al. (2009) quantifies the African fossil fuel emission at 0.26 Pg C yr⁻¹ for 2000–2005. The present study finds a net terrestrial C sink of 0.15 Pg C yr⁻¹ for the 1990s, including LUC emissions of 0.13 Pg C/yr, and a terrestrial C sink excluding LUC of 0.28 Pg C yr⁻¹. Thus Africa is unique among the world's large continents in having a terrestrial sink of similar magnitude (but opposite sign) to total emissions. Our estimate of the terrestrial carbon balance, however, does not include the impact of logging. The amount of harvested wood from African forests is of 0.125 Pg C yr⁻¹, with a high uncertainty (FAO, 2007). This flux will eventually return to the atmosphere in the future. Moreover, we found that that African ecosystems were a source of 0.14 Pg C yr⁻¹ in the 1980s, demonstrating that climate change can tip the African terrestrial carbon balance from sink to source.

If Africa is a net sink of atmospheric CO₂ in our simulation, it is because photosynthesis has increased in excess of respiration and fire emissions during the last decades, increasing carbon sequestration. In savannas, we found that carbon accumulates in the soil (95%). These ecosystems account for 11% of the natural sink over the African continent, excluding land use changes. Note however that this model result is not confirmed by field observations (E. Mouglin, personal communication, 2008) over Sahelian savannas, where the observed soil C sink is very low. The Sudan savannas may have a very different carbon balance than the Sahel savannas, though.

In forest, carbon is mainly sequestered into biomass and accounts for another 89% of the natural sink at the continental scale. The forest sink is thus 7 times larger than the savanna sink. Note however that Africa was a carbon source during the 1980’s, and that even during the 1990s, NBP is not always a carbon sink each year (Fig. 1). We expect the African forest carbon stocks to remain vulnerable in the coming decades. Africa has currently the smallest deforested area...
of tropical regions (Achard et al., 2004), but shows higher forest clearing rate than e.g. South America (Achard et al., 2002; Canadell et al., 2009). This is partly linked to the establishment of plantations (50% of deforested areas being converted to savanna and woodland, not agriculture). Further, there is a trend to intensified logging in Central Africa. The pristine African forests least affected by logging, are located in eastern Central Africa (Laporte et al., 2007), where we found that the recent regional drying trend has decreased GPP. This regional GPP decline is corroborated by satellite observation.

The next logical step will be to evaluate in details the model predictions. This can be done using forthcoming eddy covariance measurements (http://www.fluxnet.ornl.gov/fluxnet/networkname.cfm?networkid=36). Satellite measurements of soil moisture changes (Wagner et al., 2007) could also be used, with the benefits of existing long time series. But a better “observation operator” would be needed in ORCHIDEE to match with surface soil moisture observations from space, the current simple 2-buckets model hydrology being rather ill-adapted to arid and semi-arid regions. Productivity and phenology changes can be further evaluated by using remote sensing visible and infrared reflectance indexes (as briefly attempted here), as well as by compiling existing long time series of NPP. The main structural development that is needed in ORCHIDEE is a better description of the savanna biome functioning, in particular a more realistic hydrology, fire module and phenology. One should account in this context for the co-existence and competition between trees and grasses (Botta et al., 2002) in modelling savannas carbon stocks and fluxes. Our simulations show that ecosystem respiration variations are systematically tailing out with those of photosynthesis, and that 2/3 of the respiration anomalies are caused by autotrophic respiration. In that context, the possible acclimation of autotrophic respiration to high-temperature and drought (Maseyk et al., 2008) should be accounted in new model parameterizations.

The main model input dataset that are needed are a more reliable crop present and historical land-cover dataset for Africa, and more generally, information on the human land use pressure, including grazing and logging trends. Regarding climate forcing data, a monthly time step for precipitation
as in the CRU dataset (that we further interpolated to hourly data with a weather generator), is clearly a big source of error. In dry regions, herbaceous vegetation is composed of annual plants that can grow immediately after rain. The pheno-

logy of this vegetation type will only be captured in models with a more realistic daily rainfall forcing data.
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