Psychosocial risk factors for transition from acute to chronic low back pain in primary care

A systematic review







A. Ramond^{1,2}, C. Bouton^{1,2}, I. Richard¹, Y. Roquelaure¹, J.F. Huez²

Family Practice 2010, in press

¹ Laboratoire d'Ergonomie et d'Epidémiologie en Santé au Travail, université d'Angers

² Département de médecine générale, université d'Angers

INTRODUCTION

- Low back pain « public health problem »
- LBP and primary care
- → Frequent reason for seeking care
- → Transition from acute to chronic LBP
- Decisive factors for chronicity?
- → Traditional medical and biomechanical factors
- → Growing interest for psychosocial issues



OBJECTIVE

→ To review the psychosocial factors identified as risk factors for transition from acute to chronic low back pain in primary care settings

METHODS

Identification of the literature







Selection of the literature

- prospective original studies
- primary care (GP, physiotherapists, occupational P...)
- adults, non specific LBP < 3 months
- follow-up >= 3 months
- « patient-centered outcome » : pain / disability / participation (work++) / global satisfaction
- exclusion: secondary analyses of RCT

METHODS

Assessment of the methodological quality

7 criteria (Cochrane Back Review Group for spinal disorders + national guidelines)

Total score on 20 points : high quality if ≥ 15

Priority to large cohorts and multivariate analyses ++

2 independent reviewers

Data extraction and analysis

Associations between psychosocial factors and outcomes



412 potential articles



23 papers included = 18 studies

Qualitative assessment

including 6 of high quality



Factors often found not to be associated with outcome

FACTORS STUDIED	Association ?	
	High quality	Other studies
Social and socio-occupational factors	1/5	1/6

Most often only univariate association

Social support	0/1	0/2
Anxiety	0/2	0/1
Pain control	0/2	0/1

Lack of data for firm conclusion

Factors often found to be linked to outcome

FACTORS STUDIED	Association?	
	High quality	Other studies
Depression	1/2	1/4
Fear-avoidance beliefs	1/1	2/6
Passive coping strategies	1/1	1/3

Low fraction of explained variability...

Low self-perceived general health status	1/1	2/4
Compensation issues	2/3	1/3

Interpretation?

Factors often found to be linked to outcome

FACTORS STUDIED	Association ?	
	High quality	Other studies
Patient's or care provider's expectations of recovery	2/2 ^{a,b}	1/2

Strong and independent predictive ability, even in multivariate models

^a Henschke N, et al. Prognosis in patients with recent onset low back pain in Australian primary care: inception cohort study. BMJ2008;337:a171.

^b Schiottz-Christensen B, et al. Long-term prognosis of acute low back pain in patients seen in general practice: a 1-year prospective follow-up study. Fam Pract1999 Jun;16(3):223-32.

DISCUSSION

• Strengths:

- originality: primary care
- PRISMA criteria (review process and its reporting)

• Limitations:

- no meta-analysis (heterogeneity ++)
- only quantitative
- 3 major medical and psychological databases (did not address occupational physical factors...)

DISCUSSION

- Main results:
- Several factors = not as linked as expected
- A somewhat unexpected factor = the initial prediction of the patient or the care provider
- Hypotheses?
- Inadequate <u>assessment methods</u>? Need for qualitative approach?
- Inadequate statistical models?
- Need for new <u>theorical models</u> for chronic LBP?

Thank you for your attention!

