

The downward transport of momentum to the surface in idealized sting-jet cyclones

Gwendal Rivière, Didier Ricard, Philippe Arbogast

► To cite this version:

Gwendal Rivière, Didier Ricard, Philippe Arbogast. The downward transport of momentum to the surface in idealized sting-jet cyclones. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 2020, 146 (729), pp.1801-1821. 10.1002/qj.3767. hal-02920016

HAL Id: hal-02920016 https://hal.science/hal-02920016

Submitted on 8 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2 Journal Section

The downward transport of momentum to the
 surface in idealized sting-jet cyclones

5	Gwendal Rivière ¹ Didier Ri Arbogast ³	card ² Philippe
6	¹ LMD/IPSL, École Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, Sorbonne Université,	Processes leading to the formation of strong surface wind
	Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Paris, France	
	² CNRM, Université de Toulouse,	
	Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France	

²Météo-France, Toulouse, France

Correspondence

G. Rivière, LMD/IPSL, Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 Rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France Email: griviere@Imd.ens.fr

Funding information

gusts within an idealized sting-jet extratropical cyclone are investigated using a high-resolution mesoscale model. It is motivated by real case studies that have shown that damaging surface winds ahead of the bent-back warm front of an extratropical cyclone are often due to the presence of a sting jet, which is a low-level mesoscale jet whose air masses descend from the cloud head to the top of the boundary layer. Different numerical simulations show that surface winds below the leading edge of the sting jet increase with increased horizontal resolution and surface roughness. For typical land surface roughness, the intensity of the near-surface wind gusts rapidly increases with horizontal resolution while the sting-jet intensity above the boundary layer does not vary with resolution. A focus on the 1-km grid-spacing simulation with land surface roughness is then made. It shows stronger surface winds ahead of the bent-back warm front than near the cold-conveyor-belt jet. It also exhibits multiple bands of strong surface wind speed similarly to real sting-jet cyclones. These multiple bands are closely linked with multiple resolved convective rolls in the boundary layer whose descending branches are responsible for the downward transfer of momentum. Sensitivity experiments and a stability analysis show that the cooling due to sublimation and melting of precipitating ice hydrometeors below the leading edge of the sting jet trigger and invigorate the boundary-layer convective rolls by reducing the buoyancy of air masses near the precipitation base and below. Closer to the surface, the transfer of momentum is predominantly taken over by subgrid-scale turbulent fluxes.

KEYWORDS

Sting-jet, wind, gust, bent-back warm front, convective rolls, evaporating precipitation

1 | INTRODUCTION

Damaging surface winds inside extratropical cyclones may happen in different regions relative to the cyclones center
 and may originate from different dynamical processes. Moderate to strong winds may occur ahead of the cold front

along the warm conveyor belt when the cyclone develops toward its mature stage (Browning and Pardoe, 1973; Grønås, 11 1995; Lackmann, 2002). Another source of strong winds is the cold-conveyor-belt jet (CCBJ) in the vicinity of the warm 12 front as it wraps cyclonically around the cyclone center (Carlson, 1980; Browning, 1990; Schultz, 2001). At a later stage, 13 in the so-called Shapiro-Keyser cyclone types (Shapiro and Keyser, 1990), damaging winds may occur southwestward of 14 the cyclone center along the cold conveyor belt (CCB) or south of the cyclone center ahead of the CCB in the presence 15 of a sting jet (hereafter denoted as SJ; see Figs. 1, 2 of Hewson and Neu, 2015; Hart et al., 2017; Schultz and Browning, 16 2017, for an illustration). The SJ phenomenon has been introduced by Browning (2004) when studying the Great 17 Storm of October 1987 that led to huge damages in northwest France and southeast England. The SJ is a mesoscale jet 18 above the CCB jet associated with air masses descending from the cloud head in the midtroposphere to the top of the 19 boundary layer. Then, boundary-layer processes help to transfer high momentum down to the surface. Most studies on 20 SJ analyzed real wind storms in the North Atlantic sector (Clark and Gray, 2018) but this does not mean that it does not 21 occur in other storm-track regions. For instance, a recent paper by Brâncus et al. (2019) showed the occurrence of a SJ 22 in a Mediterranean extratropical cyclone. Very few studies directly observed SJs or their effects at the surface. Parton 23 et al. (2009) observed the detailed vertical structure of the SJ of windstorm Jeanette, thanks to the VHF wind profiler at 24 Aberystwyth. Direct airborne in-situ measurements of SJ were made during the DIAMET campaign for Friedhelm storm 25 (Baker et al., 2013; Martinez-Alvarado et al., 2014a; Vaughan et al., 2015). The CCB jet and SJ were shown to merge in 26 some regions even though the associated air streams had different origins. More recently, 1-minute resolution wind 27 measurements made with the Chibolton Doppler radar provided information on the downward transfer of momentum 28 from the SJ toward the surface in the St-Jude storm (Browning et al., 2015). 29

There are no climatologies of directly detected SJs and very few climatologies of SJ precursors exist. The resolution 30 of models producing reanalysis data being too coarse to represent mesoscale jets like sting jets only indirect information 31 on the existence of SJ can be provided through the detection of large-scale precursors (Martinez-Alvarado et al., 2012; 32 Hart et al., 2017). The first climatology by Martinez-Alvarado et al. (2012) (see also Martinez-Alvarado et al., 2014b) 33 showed that a third of 100 intense storms in the North Atlantic exhibited SJ precursors. This proportion was confirmed 34 by the more extensive climatology from Hart et al. (2017) based on seasons from 1979 to 2012 with a slightly greater 35 percentage found for explosively developing cyclones than nonexplosively developing cyclones. Also, SJ cyclones 36 represent 42% of the population of explosively cyclones and half of the strong wind cyclones crossing the British 37 Isles. A recent study by Martinez-Alvarado et al. (2018) showed that the number of cyclones exhibiting the sting-jet 38 precursors increases from 32% to 45% between present-day and future climate simulations under the most extreme 39 climate-change scenario. 40

Most existing studies on SJs investigated mechanisms for the formation of SJs. Since SJ air masses exhibit slantwise 41 descending motions, the release of conditional symmetric instability (CSI Bennetts and Hoskins, 1979; Schultz and 42 Schumacher, 1999) was first hypothesized to play a key role in these motions by Browning (2004). Since then, different 43 diagnostics have been applied to check the relevance of CSI such as the downdraft slantwise convective available 44 potential energy (Gray et al., 2011) or the saturated moist potential vorticity (Martinez-Alvarado et al., 2010; Baker 45 et al., 2014; Martinez-Alvarado et al., 2014a; Coronel et al., 2016; Volonté et al., 2018). Most studies found evidence 46 of unstable conditions for CSI within the cloud head in the upstream region of the descending SJ air masses (Gray 47 et al., 2011; Baker et al., 2014; Martinez-Alvarado et al., 2014a; Volonté et al., 2018), and Volonté et al. (2018) also 48 showed evidence of unstable conditions for symmetric and inertial instabilities. Coronel et al. (2016) identified neutral 49 conditions for CSI in their idealized SJ cyclones but this could be the signature of already released CSI according to 50 Clark and Gray (2018). It is likely that real SJ cyclones exist without being strongly unstable to CSI (Smart and Browning, 51 2014; Clark and Gray, 2018). Another branch of studies made an emphasis on the role played by balanced dynamics. 52 Regions of SJ descents are co-located with geostrophically-forced descending motions as diagnosed by the divergence 53

of the Q-vector (Coronel et al., 2016; Slater et al., 2017) and could be in large part connected to the frontolytic descent 54 in the SJ region (Schultz and Sienkiewicz, 2013; Slater et al., 2015, 2017). The strong horizontal wind speed occurring in 55 the co-located regions of the CCB jet and SJ southwest and south of a Shapiro-Keyser cyclone are mainly due to the 56 along-flow pressure gradient force (Slater et al., 2015) or equivalently the pressure work (Rivière et al., 2015b). A third 57 potential mechanism is the evaporative cooling that could reinforce both the SJ descent and horizontal wind speed 58 but idealized and more realistic simulations showed that its effect is rather weak (Baker et al., 2014; Coronel et al., 59 2016; Smart and Browning, 2014). The review paper by Clark and Gray (2018) concluded that there is a continuum of 60 mechanisms explaining the presence of SJ in which the balanced descents associated with synoptic-scale dynamics can 61 be reinforced by mesoscale moist instabilities like CSI. 62

One aspect which has been less studied is the dynamics of downward transfer of high momentum from the sting jet 63 to the surface (Clark and Gray, 2018). Strong surface winds between the head of the bent-back warm front and the 64 cold front might be due to the presence of the CCB jet or SJ or both (Smart and Browning, 2014). Analyzing satellite 65 imagery from Meteosat, Browning and Field (2004) identified different arc-shaped and chevron-shaped clouds within 66 the boundary layer of the Great Storm of October 1987 suggesting the presence of boundary-layer convergence lines 67 ahead of the downward transport of momentum. Most studies showed that regions of strong surface winds below 68 SJs were collocated with regions of weak boundary-layer static stability. For instance, Clark et al. (2005) found that 69 below SJs, the wet-bulb potential temperature was quite constant leading to neutral conditions for moist convection. In 70 another context, Kelley et al. (2019) showed that the momentum of an elevated jet of a lee cyclone can be brought down 71 to the surface where the static stability below is neutral to unstable. However, in most places, the top of the boundary 72 layer just below SJs is characterized by enhanced static stability as seen in observed cases (Browning and Field, 2004) 73 and idealized cases (Baker et al., 2014; Coronel et al., 2016). What is happening near the top of the boundary layer in a 74 region of strong vertical shear is still unclear (Clark and Gray, 2018). Within the boundary layer, ahead of the bent-back 75 warm front, the static stability is probably reduced compared to other regions which seems to favor the transfer of 76 momentum by convective rolls (Clark et al., 2005; Schultz and Sienkiewicz, 2013; Browning et al., 2015; Hewson and 77 Neu, 2015; Slater et al., 2017). In their study of the St-Jude storm, Browning et al. (2015) identified different convective 78 cells some of them being shallow and confined in the boundary layer, some others being more elevated. They also 79 emphasize the importance of evaporative precipitation residues and showers in bringing down high momentum to the 80 surface. Finally, Slater et al. (2017) showed how surface fluxes destabilize the boundary layer and help transferring 81 momentum down to the surface. The present study aims at investigating processes responsible for the downward 82 transfer of momentum within the same idealized framework as that of Coronel et al. (2016) which does not contain any 83 surface fluxes. 84

In order to simulate idealized sting jets, it is necessary to get a bent-back warm front which is likely to form in 85 cyclones crossing the slowly-varying large-scale jet (Rivière et al., 2015a,b; Coronel et al., 2016). It also requires enough 86 horizontal and vertical resolutions. A 10-km grid-spacing simulation is usually enough to represent SJs and most 87 numerical studies have used this kind of resolution (see table 3 of Clark and Gray, 2018). An appropriate ratio between 88 the vertical and horizontal grid spacings of about 1/50 were also mentioned to be the key to correctly simulate SJ and 89 their associated slantwise descending motions (Persson and Warner, 1993; Lean and Clark, 2003; Clark et al., 2005). 90 However, in Coronel et al. (2016), this ratio was not found to be crucial once the horizontal resolution is high enough. 91 Only three studies have looked at better horizontal grid spacing than 10 km: 7-km in Martinez-Alvarado et al. (2010), 92 5-km in Smart and Browning (2014) and 4-km in Coronel et al. (2016). In the present study, we will decrease the grid 93 spacing to 1 km. The aim is to resolve boundary-layer convective rolls of few kilometers similar to those described for 94 the St-Jude storm by Browning et al. (2015). 95

⁹⁶ The paper is organized as follows. The model and setup of the simulations are presented in section 2. Section 3 is

dedicated to the results. It includes analysis of sensitivity experiments for which the horizontal grid spacing and surface
 roughness are changed. The way high momentum is transferred downward is analyzed by computing passive tracers and
 by separating the downward momentum fluxes into subgrid-scale and resolved components. The effect of evaporative
 cooling is then studied by turning off this process in one simulation. Finally, instability criteria facilitating mixing are
 computed such as the Richardson number and the criterion for cloud-base detrainment instability. A summary of the
 results is provided in section 4.

103 2 | METHOD

104 2.1 | Model

The model used to simulate the idealized SJ cyclone is the non-hydrostatic mesoscale research model Meso-NH 105 (Lafore et al., 1998; Lac et al., 2018). It has the same configuration as in Coronel et al. (2016). It includes the one-106 moment bulk-cloud microphysical scheme ICE3 of Pinty and Jabouille (1998) with five prognostic hydrometeors (cloud 107 droplets, rain, ice crystals, snow and graupel mixing ratios, in addition to water vapour). Subgrid-scale transports are 108 parametrized by the mass-flux scheme for deep convection of Bechtold et al. (2001) and a 1.5-order closure scheme 109 for turbulence (Cuxart et al., 2000) with the turbulence mixing length formulation of Bougeault and Lacarrère (1989). 110 Surface conditions are specified at the lower boundary with a prescribed roughness length. There is no radiation scheme, 111 the surface sensible and latent heat fluxes are set to zero and the shallow convection scheme is disabled. 112

113 2.2 | Set up of simulations

The model domain is 10 000 km long in the zonal direction and 6000 km wide in the meridional direction (Figure 1(a)).
 The vertical extension is 16 km. Zonal boundaries are cyclic and the northern and southern boundaries have wall
 boundary conditions. The vertical resolution has an irregular vertical level spacing and increases with altitude. It is fixed
 for all simulations of the present study and corresponds to 50-150 m spacing between the ground and 2 km altitude,
 150-290 m between 2 and 5 km altitude and 290-430 m between 5 and 8 km.

A 20-km grid-spacing simulation is first performed over the whole domain up to t = 96 h starting from the same 119 initial conditions as those shown in Figure 1 of Coronel et al. (2016). The simulation is denoted S20sea_parent and its 120 state at t = 72 h is used to initialize the other simulations described in the present paper (Figure 1(a)). S20sea parent 121 has a roughness length of 2×10^{-4} m corresponding to a typical value for sea surface. The initial flow consists of a zonal 122 baroclinic jet and two synoptic-scale disturbances located near the tropopause and the surface. The jet axis is located 123 halfway between the southern and northern boundaries and the two disturbances are located south of the jet axis 124 which is a favorable condition to form a Shapiro-Keyser cyclone (Rivière et al., 2015a,b). During their evolution, the two 125 disturbances baroclinically interact with each other and the zonal jet. A deep surface cyclone forms, crosses the jet axis 126 and reaches a maximum deepening of about 940 hPa once located north of the jet axis after 3 to 4 days (Figure 1(a)). 127

Two sets of three simulations with 20-km, 4-km and 1-km grid spacings are then performed. Only the 20-km grid-spacing simulation uses the mass-flux scheme for deep convection as convective motions are explicitly resolved for the 4-km and 1-km grid-spacing simulations. For the first set, the roughness length is 2×10^{-4} m corresponding to typical sea surface. The associated three simulations are respectively called S20sea, S4sea and S1sea. S20sea and S4sea are initialized with the S20sea_parent state at t = 72 h (Figure 1(a)) and last until t = 96 h. S20sea is run over the large domain and S4sea over the red square domain shown in Figure 1(a). The red square domain is chosen to include the mature stage of the cyclone during which a SJ is formed and the seclusion stage occurs (Coronel et al., 2016). The

Simulations	grid spacing	Roughness	Suppressed processes	two-way nesting
S20sea_parent	20 km	0.0002	none	no
S20sea	20 km	0.0002	none	yes
S4sea	4 km	0.0002	none	yes
S1sea	1 km	0.0002	none	yes
S20land	20 km	0.01	none	yes
S4land	4 km	0.01	none	yes
S1land	1 km	0.01	none	yes
S1land_nocl	1 km	0.01	no evaporative cooling from precipitation	yes
S1land_nocl_noevap	1 km	0.01	no evaporative cooling and no evaporation	yes

interactions between the S20sea and S4sea simulations is made using a two-way nesting, meaning that both simulations run at the same time and exchange information in both directions, to obtain the most accurate state for S4sea. S20sea and S4sea are the same as the low-high and high-high resolution simulations discussed in Coronel et al. (2016). S1sea is performed from t = 84 h to 96 h and nested in the domain shown by the black square in Figure 1. To get S1sea, a 20-km grid spacing run is performed over the whole domain, interacting with a 4-km grid-spacing run in the red domain, which itself interacts with a 1-km grid spacing run in the black domain from t = 84 h to 96 h. The latter run is called S1sea.

Exactly the same procedure is applied for the second set of 20-km, 4-km and 1-km grid-spacing simulations for 141 which the roughness length is set to 10^{-2} m corresponding to a typical smoothed land surface. The runs are respectively 142 called S20land, S4land and S1land and are performed in the same domains as S20sea, S4sea and S1sea, respectively. 143 The choice of the 1-km grid spacing domain is such that it includes the southern part of the cyclone during the time 144 interval between t = 84 h and 96 h. During that time interval, the domain contains a low-level jet above the boundary 145 layer at z = 2 km that moves from the southwest to the south of the cyclone center, that is, between the leading edge 146 of the bent-back warm front and the cold front (Figures 1(b),(c)). This low-level jet has been identified as being a SJ in 147 Coronel et al. (2016). Indeed, backward trajectories starting from the wind maximum at z = 1.8 km to the south of the 148 cyclone center at t = 96 h confirm that the air masses have undergone rapid descending motions from the cloud head 149 situated at z = 3.5 km with increased wind speed and dryness. 150

A last set of two simulations is performed in the black square domain from t = 84 h to 96 h. S1land_nocl is the same simulation as S1land but for which the evaporative cooling from precipitating hydrometeors (rain, graupel, and snow) is turned off but the conversion into vapour is allowed to happen. S1land_nocl_noevap is the same simulation as S1land but both the evaporative cooling and evaporation transition are suppressed. Table 1 summarizes all the experiments analysed in the present paper.

156 **3** | **RESULTS**

157 3.1 | Resolution effects and roughness effects

Figure 2 shows the horizontal wind speed above the boundary layer at z = 1.6 km and the cloud structure at t = 93 h for S20land, S4land and S1land. The SJ extends from the west to the south of the cyclone center and reaches a maximum

value of about 42 m s⁻¹ at x = 8400 km, y = 3000 km for the three simulations. A secondary maximum of the SJ is also 160 visible for the three simulations near the cloud head with values around 37 m s⁻¹. To conclude on Figure 2, there is no 161 major difference in the amplitude and location of the SJ between the different horizontal grid spacings. As noticed in 162 Coronel et al. (2016), differences appear when the vertical grid is too coarse and the structure of the SJ disappears for a 163 500-m vertical grid spacing. 164

165

Near the surface, at z = 25 m, a local maximum of horizontal wind speed of about 23 m s⁻¹ appears below the maximum wind speed of the SJ and CCB jet at roughly x = 8450 km, y = 3100 km for all resolutions (Figures 3(a),(c),(e)). 166 This maximum is located 100 km southwest of the bent-back warm front. More downstream, for the 20-km grid spacing, 167 the wind speed continuously decreases (Figure 3a) whereas for the 4-km and 1-km grid spacings, bands of wind speed 168 maxima appear in a region of weak thermal contrasts around x = 8900 km (Figures 3(c),(e)). For the 1-km grid spacing, 169 wind speed maxima in these bands reach values as high as 26 m s^{-1} which is stronger than the upstream maximum near 170 the bent-back warm front. 171

The different bands of wind speed maxima for S4land and S1land have a rather barotropic structure in the boundary 172 layer. They correspond to descending air masses starting a bit below the SJ, which is located around z = 1.5 km, and finish 173 near the surface. These descending regions are separated by ascending ones with local wind speed minima (Figures 174 3(d),(f)). In S11and, the alternating resolved ascents and descents have typical intensities of about 0.5 m s⁻¹ and typical 175 wavelength of 5-20 km. The correlation between the vertical velocity and the horizontal wind speed in the region where 176 these bands of wind speed maxima appear and inside the boundary layer is rather high: it varies between 0.6 and 0.8 177 depending on the region. In S4land, the ascents and descents are 2 to 3 times weaker than in S1land and the typical 178 wavelength is about 20 km or larger. In S20land, some bands of wind speed maxima are only slightly visible and their 179 associated vertical motions are much weaker than for the other two simulations (this is the reason why no contour 180 appears in Figure 3b). The peaks of vertical motions in S20land are roughly 3 times smaller than those of S4land. To 181 conclude on the land surface roughness simulations, the intensity of the near-surface wind gusts below the leading edge 182 of the sting jet rapidly increases with horizontal resolution while the sting-jet intensity above the boundary layer does 183 not vary with resolution. 184

For the simulations with typical sea surface roughness (Figure 4), the multiple near-surface wind speed maxima 185 farther ahead of the bent-back warm front are less intense and much less visible than those with typical land roughness. 186 For S20sea (Figures 4(a),(b)), they are almost absent; for S4sea they start to appear (Figures 4(c),(d)) and for S1sea 187 (Figures 4(e),(f)) they are visible but less intense than for S1Iand. On the contrary, the maximum along the CCB jet 188 near x = 8400 km, y = 3100 km is greater for S20sea, S4sea and S1sea than for S20land, S4land and S1land and 189 reaches values close to 32 m s^{-1} as a result of a weaker friction near the surface. The SJ is also stronger for sea surface 190 roughness than land surface roughness, about 40 m s⁻¹ for the former and 36 m s⁻¹ for the latter along the cross section 191 AA'. Another interesting difference is that along the same cross section, the CCB jet is still visible for sea roughness 192 simulations and not at all for land surface simulations. Finally, even though S1sea exhibits alternating bands of local 193 maxima and minima in wind speed along AA', the maxima are still significantly weaker than the large-scale maximum 194 along the CCB jet. 195

For all simulations performed with a sea surface roughness, the global maximum wind speed found at the surface 196 was along the CCB jet and not farther ahead of it as in Coronel et al. (2016). It is only in the case of the 1-km grid-spacing 197 simulation with land roughness that maxima ahead of the CCB jet are stronger than the maximum located along the 198 CCB jet. Both the resolution and the roughness are responsible for setting the maximum wind speed in the region of 199 weak thermal contrasts between the head of the bent-back warm front and the cold front. 200

The evolution of multiple bands of near-surface wind speed maxima for S1 land is shown in Figure 5 between t = 91 h 201 and t = 96 h. Between t = 91 h and t = 93 h (Figures 5(a)-(f)), many bands such as those inside or near the yellow squares 202

are located below the leading edge of the SJ at z = 1.6 km. Some others are more to the northeast of the SJ main core 203 region as those located in the domain x = 8800-8900 km and y = 2900-3000 km at t = 91.5 h (Figure 5(b)). They also 204 appear below high values of wind speed at z = 1.6 km but the values are weaker than below the SJ main core region. 205 We noticed that on its northeastern flank the SJ is very thin in the vertical direction and peaks around z = 1.5 km. This 206 small vertical extension is well visible in Figure 3(f) close to A' between y = 2900 km and y = 3000 km while the SJ is 207 deeper and more intense south of y = 2900 km. So most of the bands of wind speed maxima are found below the leading 208 edge of the SJ but not necessarily where the sting jet is the strongest. Between t = 94 h and t = 96 h (Figures 5(g)-(i)), 209 small-scale bands of near-surface wind speed maxima appear roughly 100 km upstream of the previously described 210 ones (see e.g., near x = 8700 km y = 2850 km at t = 94 h). These newly created bands appear below the leading edge of 211 another wind speed maximum at the SJ level (z = 1.6 km) which is visible in the figure from t = 92 h. Between t = 92 h 212 and t = 96 h, we clearly see two large-scale wind speed maxima within the SJ region: the first bands of near-surface wind 213 speed maxima are located below the first SJ maximum while the second bands below the leading edge of the second SJ 214 maximum. The origins of these two types of bands are hereafter analyzed. 215

3.2 | The distinct role of subgrid-scale and resolved circulations in downward transfer of momentum

To check that part of the air masses reaching the surface is indeed coming from the sting jet, passive tracers are initialized from t = 90 h to t = 93 h at the grid point x = 8550 km y = 2800 km and its 8 adjacent neighbours between z = 1.5 km and 2.0 km. This grid point was chosen by using backward Lagrangian trajectories starting at t = 93 h in the free troposphere just above a particular near-surface wind speed maximum at x = 8920 km y = 2820 km (not shown). Figure 5 shows the evolution of the passive tracer at the level of the SJ (z = 1.6 km). At t = 91 h, the leading edge of the green contour is in a region of maximum wind speed of the SJ while at t = 93 h it is more ahead of it. The eastward advection of the passive tracer is thus faster than the displacement of the SJ wind speed maxima.

Figure 6 exhibits a zoom of the evolution of the passive tracer. At t = 91 h (Figure 6(a)), there is no trace of the 225 passive tracer in the boundary layer. At t = 91.5 h, a first pinch of passive tracer appears in the middle of the boundary 226 layer around x = 8690 km y = 2790 km (see blue shadings in Figure 6(b)). The passive tracer content entering the 227 boundary layer locally increases with time as seen around x = 8740 km y = 2795 km at t = 92 h (Figure 6(c)) and 228 x = 8770 km y = 2800 km at t = 92.25 h (Figure 6(d)). Downstream of this peak in passive tracer content, one can easily 229 see a local maximum in horizontal wind speed at z = 200 m located around x = 8720 km y = 2790 km at t = 91.5 h, 230 x = 8775 km y = 2800 km at t = 92 h and x = 8800 km y = 2805 km at t = 92.25 h. This maximum increases with time 231 between t = 91.5 h and t = 92 h and then keeps constant until t = 93 h. 232

At t = 92.25 h (Figure 6(d)), a second pinch of passive tracer enters the middle of the boundary layer at x = 8810 km 233 y = 2800 km in connection with a local wind speed maximum located more downstream. Fifteen minutes later (Figure 234 6(e), this new intrusion of passive tracer forms a long zonal band extending from x = 8790 km to x = 8860 km 235 which terminates into two small branches, one near the previously mentioned wind speed maximum at x = 8850 km 236 y = 2805 km and a more intense wind speed maximum at x = 8880 km y = 2805 km. The latter maximum slightly 237 increases with time from t = 91.5 h to t = 92.5 h (Figures 6(b)-(e)) and then slightly decreases between t = 92.5 h and 238 t = 93 h (Figures 6(e)-(f)). All the intrusions of passive tracer within the boundary layer move more rapidly to the north 239 than the passive tracer at the top of the boundary layer because of more intense northward winds within the boundary 240 layer than at the top (not shown). 241

Figure 7 shows different vertical cross sections at t = 92.5 h in the region of the second burst of downward transport of passive tracer to identify key processes allowing such a downward transport. The cross section xx' is made along the

8

main direction of the wind in the boundary layer and has been chosen in such a way that it crosses the near-surface wind 244 maximum located around x=8880 km y=2805 km. Above this near-surface wind maximum, a peak value of downward 245 momentum fluxes is well visible and located below the edge of high passive tracer content at the top of the boundary 246 layer (Figure 7(b)). Some passive tracer content has been transported downward by this cell of downward motion but 247 the amount of passive tracer transported to the surface is rather small: the ratio between the peaks at the surface and 248 those at the sting jet level is of the order of a few percent (see blue contours in Figure 7). The peak values of passive 249 tracer near the surface are located upstream of the near-surface wind maximum. This can be explained by the fact that 250 the eastward displacement of the passive tracer at the SJ level (roughly z = 1.5 km) is more rapid than the eastward 251 displacement of the convective rolls of the boundary layer. Once the high passive tracer content at the SJ level reached 252 a cell of downward motion, the passive tracer starts to descend at the western edge of the descending cell. A bit later, 253 the high passive tracer content at the SJ level covers the whole descending cell and there is therefore more passive 254 tracer content at the western edge of the descending cell than at the eastern edge. This explains why the peak in passive 255 tracer content near the surface appears behind the descending cell in Figure 7(b). The same observations can be made 256 257 when looking at the latitudinal cross sections of Figures 7(c)-(e): the passive tracer is just starting to descend in the most eastern section (cc') while it is already much closer to the surface in the most western section (aa'). In the latitudinal 258 cross sections, the downward intrusion of passive tracer is better co-located with the intense downward momentum 259 fluxes than in section xx' (Figure 7(b)) because the bands of downward motion are longitudinally oriented and the flow is 260 mainly zonal. However, there is a slight northward shift of the passive tracer content relative to the downward motion 261 in the latitudinal cross sections (aa'), (bb') and (cc') because there are slightly more northward winds inside the boundary 262 layer compared to the top as noticed in the previous paragraph. In all cross sections, a good co-location of regions of 263 high wind speed and downward momentum fluxes in the boundary layer is seen. Finally, turbulent fluxes are more active 264 very near the surface where they are homogeneously oriented downward and reach values as large as the resolved 265 fluxes below z=500 m (see shadings in Figure 7(a)). The comparison between the black contours of Figures 7(a) and 266 (c), which represent the resolved and total momentum fluxes respectively, shows that the subgrid-scale fluxes have a 267 significant contribution to the downward transfer of momentum in the first hundred meters of the atmosphere only. 268

To better quantify the relative roles of the resolved and subgrid-scale fluxes, Figure 8 shows their average in two 269 distinct regions of the boundary layer at t = 92.5 h, one located near the bent-back warm front (pink square in Figure 270 5(e)) and the other further downstream below the leading edge of the SJ (yellow square in Figure 5(e)). The averages 271 are made in these two regions only where the horizontal wind speed near the surface (z = 200 m) exceeds 28 m s⁻¹ 272 in order to focus on the downward transport of high momentum. In the downstream region, such a selection leads 273 to a wind profile with a peak near z = 400 m as seen in the red curve of Figure 8(a). In the downstream region, the 274 subgrid-scale fluxes are an order of magnitude smaller than the resolved ones at altitude higher than 300 m. Below 300 275 m, the resolved fluxes become smaller and smaller closer to the surface while the subgrid-scale fluxes become more and 276 more intense. In that region, it is only in the last 50 m above the surface that the subgrid-scale fluxes dominate in the 277 downward transport of momentum. In the upstream region, the wind profile monotonically increases with height in the 278 boundary layer (red curve in Figure 8(b)) and the amplitude of the resolved momentum fluxes is seven times as small as 279 the same quantity in the downstream region. Because the resolved fluxes are much less intense in the upstream region 280 and because the subgrid-scale fluxes are stronger at higher altitude, the role played by the latter fluxes is relatively 281 more important in the upstream region than in the downstream one. The sum of the two fluxes, corresponding to the 282 total transport of momentum, is much larger in the downstream region than in the upstream one because of the large 283 difference in the resolved fluxes. This explains why there is higher momentum closer to the surface in the downstream 284 region despite a weaker SJ there (compare the red curves in Figures 8(a),(b)). 285

286

To conclude, at the leading edge of the SJ, the downward transfer of momentum occurs sporadically through bursts

of resolved downward circulations starting near the top of the boundary layer. In the lowest part of the boundary layer,
 below 100 m of altitude, it mainly occurs through subgrid-scale turbulent fluxes.

289 3.3 | Effect of evaporative cooling from precipitating hydrometeors

As mentioned in the introduction, the role of evaporative cooling in the intensity of the descent and horizontal wind 290 speed of the SJ has been quantified in the idealized simulations of Baker et al. (2014) and Coronel et al. (2016) by 291 turning off the evaporative cooling. In both cases, the impact was shown to be negligible or small. For instance, the 292 effect does not exceed 2 m s^{-1} within the core of the SJ. Evaporative cooling process has also been hypothesized to be 293 the key process for the downward transfer of momentum from the SJ down to the surface by Browning et al. (2015). 294 The idea is that evaporative cooling of precipitation residues could decrease the buoyancy of air masses near the top 295 of the boundary layer and help the air masses to descend and bring down high momentum all the way to the surface. 296 Figure 9(b) shows that precipitation maxima occur in regions of local minima of horizontal wind speed at the top of the 297 boundary layer at z = 1.0 km, in agreement with Vaughan et al. (2015). However, closer to the surface, the peaks in 298 precipitation gets closer to the peaks of wind speed as seen for instance at z = 0.5 km from abscissa 180 to 220 km. The 299 role of evaporative cooling of these precipitation residues in the wind acceleration nearby is questioned. 300

To test the hypothesis of the role of evaporative cooling in the downward transport of momentum inside the 301 boundary layer, S1land_nocl has been performed in which the evaporative cooling from precipitating hydrometeors 302 (rain, snow, graupel) has been turned off at t = 84 h. Since the sum of snow and graupel largely dominates over rain, the 303 suppressed cooling is mainly due to the sublimation and melting of solid precipitation. The impact is indeed quite large 304 in the bands of maximum wind speed near the cloud head at the boundary-layer level. Almost all the bands of wind gusts 305 of about 32–36 m s⁻¹ located along a moderate-intensity near-surface cold front are suppressed (see the axis BB' in 306 Figures 9(a),(b) and compare with Figures 9(c),(d)). The cold front disappears in that region and the temperature is more 307 homogeneous when the evaporative cooling of precipitating hydrometeors is turned off (compare the black contours in 308 Figures 9(a) and (c)). The sensitivity run S1land_nocl clearly shows that evaporative cooling of the leading edge of the 309 boundary-layer cloud head and its associated precipitation is an important process for the formation of multiple bands 310 of wind gusts. On the contrary, the wind speed maximum within the CCB jet increases by up to 2-4 m s⁻¹ (upper-left 311 quadrant of Figures 9(a),(c)). Suppressing the evaporative cooling tends to reinforce the depression (the SLP minimum 312 is 2 hPa lower in S1land_nocl than in S1land) and the winds to the southwest of the cyclone center in the CCB region. 313 There is also a long band of strong wind gust near x = 8700 km, y = 2920 km that exist in S1land_nocl and not in S1land. 314 So the suppression of evaporative cooling does not prevent the formation of bands of strong wind gusts but almost 315 entirely suppresses those located at the leading edge of the boundary-layer cloud filaments. 316

In the simulation where the evaporative cooling is suppressed and the evaporation is prevented (S1land_nocl_noevap), 317 precipitation cannot evaporate and therefore reach the ground as seen in Figure 9(f). In that case, the bands of wind 318 gusts along the axis BB' are less numerous than in S1 land but do not completely disappear in contrast with S1 land_nocl 319 (compare Figures 9(a) and (e)). Regions where these bands disappear are those located further away from the maximum 320 wind speed of the sting jet, around x = 8650-8800 km and y = 2650-2750 km (see Figures 5(f) and 9(a),(b)). More 321 upstream, in the CCB region, surface wind gusts in S1land_nocl_noevap are weaker than in S1land_nocl but slightly 322 stronger than in S1Iand. The comparison between S1Iand_nocl_noevap and S1Iand_nocl indicates that the newly created 323 water vapor via evaporation helps intensifying the winds below the CCB jet but prevents the downward transfer of 324 momentum from the sting jet to the surface at the leading edge of the cloud. The boundary layer is also warmer in 325 S1land_nocl than in S1land_nocl_noevap, especially near the top of the boundary layer (not shown), because it leaves 326 the possibility for the newly created water vapor via evaporation to condense once again. 327

11

To conclude, the effect of the evaporating precipitation below the leading edge of the sting jet is twofold. On the one hand, the evaporative cooling itself tends to strongly invigorate the convective rolls within the boundary layer. On the other hand, the newly formed water vapor via evaporation, which can condense once again, acts to diminish the intensity of the rolls. The first effect overwhelms the second effect and the net effect of evaporating precipitation is to multiply the number of convective rolls in the boundary layer and hence to reinforce the downward transfer of momentum at the leading edge of the sting jet. Further insights on the evaporative cooling effect is provided in next section.

335 3.4 | Richardson number, static stability and cloud-base detrainment instability

To determine why the downward transport of high momentum is more efficient below the leading edge of the sting 336 jet than more upstream, different quantities have been computed and shown in Figures 10 and 11. The strategy is 337 first to look at t = 90 h (Figure 10) when convective rolls start to be formed. Three types of quantities are computed 338 to detect favorable conditions for turbulence and instabilities: (i) the Richardson number (or its bulk formula) which 339 provides information on turbulence and mixing activity when it is positive and small and on convective stability when 340 it is negative, (ii) the static stability parameter which more directly provides information on convective instability (iii) 341 a criterion satisfying the cloud-base detrainment instability (CDI) as initially proposed by Emanuel (1981). As shown 342 hereafter, these quantities closely depend on the cooling effect on the buoyancy associated with the sublimation and 343 melting of precipitating ice hydrometeors. 344

Figure 10(a) shows the Bulk Richardson number $R_{iB} = (g/T_v)(\Delta\theta_v\Delta z)/((\Delta u)^2 + (\Delta v)^2)$ computed at t = 90 h in 345 S1land between z = 0.1 km and z = 1.2 km, where g is the gravitational acceleration, T_v is the virtual temperature, 34/ $\Delta \theta_{v}$ is the virtual potential temperature difference across the chosen layer of thickness $\Delta z = 1.1$ km, and Δu and Δv 347 are the changes in horizontal wind components across that same layer. The time t = 90 h has been chosen because 348 it is just prior to the occurrence of the convective rolls and we want to check what are the favorable environmental 349 conditions triggering the rolls. A zone of relatively low values of Ri_B appears below the leading edge of the SJ in the 350 area limited by x = 8600-8800 km and y = 2700-2900 km. This area also corresponds to the separation area between 351 high ice water content (sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel) (zone with green asterisks) and low ice water content. This 352 region of relatively weak Ri_B is due to lower values of the static stability parameter there and not due to stronger shear. 353 Stronger shears are found in another region more upstream in the vicinity of the bent-back warm front. Therefore, the 354 variations of the static parameter dominates over that of the shear in the Bulk Richardson number of S1land. There is 355 also a region of rather low values of Rig below the leading edge of the SJ in S1land nocl (Figure 10(d)) but it is less well 356 localized than in S1land and extends further upstream. 357

Vertical cross sections YY' are made inside the area of low values of $R_{i_{B}}$ (Figures 10(b),(d)). They show the local 358 Richardson number $(R_i = N_v^2/|\partial \mathbf{u}/\partial z|^2$ with $N_v^2 = (g/\theta_v)(\partial \theta_v/\partial z))$ in shadings and the zone of high ice water content 359 (sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel mixing ratios) in green contour. The lower interface between high ice water content 360 and no ice water content is hereafter called the precipitation base because it corresponds to a strong gradient of the 361 sum of snow and graupel mixing ratios. For S1land, Ri is always negative from the precipitation base to the surface 362 (Figure 10(b)), due to negative static stability parameter N_{ν}^2 . There are some exceptions where convective cells have 363 already formed at y = 2720 km and y = 2840 km. The negative values appearing below the precipitation base can be 364 attributed to the cooling associated with the sublimation and melting of precipitating solid hydrometeors. This is shown 365 by comparing with S1land_nocl (Figure 10(e)). In a layer of 200 m depth below the precipitation base, Ri is systematically 366 positive in S1Iand_nocl and only becomes negative closer to the surface. The effect of cooling by sublimation and melting 367 of precipitation on the static stability was confirmed by looking at S1land_nocl_noevap which does not present strong 368

negative values of static stability below the precipitation base because the evaporative cooling is turned off in that
 simulation too. The reason why S1land_nocl_noevap presents more convective rolls than S1land_nocl (see Figures
 9(c),(e)) might be due to the slightly more negative values of static stability found in the former run compared to the
 latter (not shown). Indeed, the newly formed water vapor via sublimation, can condense again and warm the air masses.
 Such a warming was found to be more important near the top of the boundary layer which has the effect of stabilizing
 the boundary layer in S1land_nocl compared to S1land_nocl_noevap.

Farther upstream, along the cross section ZZ', the high values of ice water content are found at a lower altitude due to a lower cloud base and lower precipitating hydrometeors (Figures 10(c),(f)). The low values of *Ri* are only found in a limited layer close to the surface in both runs. However, S1land presents negative values just below the high values of ice water content while S1land_nocl still presents positive values there. As above, this reflects the effect of evaporative cooling of precipitating hydrometeors in S1land.

Another mechanism for vertical destabilization of the air masses below the leading edge of the sting jet could be the 380 destabilization of cloud-base air masses via cloud-base detrainment instability (CDI) as initially introduced by Emanuel 381 (1981) to explain mammatus formation. CDI corresponds to an instability of the interface between cloudy air above and 382 subsaturated air below. Mixing triggers the instability by bringing cloudy air into the subsaturated environment below 383 and the condensate inside the mixed air is evaporated or sublimated. The associated cooling effect helps to decrease 384 the buyoancy of the air masses that then extend their descent. Kanak et al. (2008) showed that the CDI criterion is 385 necessary to form mammatus and that the sublimation of ice is a key process to get mammatus in their simulations. 386 Even though the considered scales are larger in the present case, the configuration is similar with the leading edge of 387 the cloud base overlying a region of rather low humidity in the boundary layer. The CDI criterion has been applied here 388 as in Kanak et al. (2008) for ice clouds because liquid contents are small compared to solid contents in the present case. 380 We define the ice water virtual potential temperature as 390

$$\theta_{iv} = T_v \left(\frac{p_0}{p}\right)^{\chi} \left(1 - \frac{q_i}{1 + q_t}\right) \left(1 - \frac{q_i}{0.622 + q_t}\right)^{\chi - 1} \left(1 - \frac{q_i}{q_t}\right)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{-L_s q_i}{(C_p + q_t C_{pv})T}\right),\tag{1}$$

391 and

$$\chi \equiv \frac{R_d + R_v q_t}{C_\rho + C_{\rho v} q_t},\tag{2}$$

392

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{R_{\nu}q_t}{C_{\rho} + C_{\rho\nu}q_t}.$$
(3)

The ice water virtual potential temperature corresponds to the virtual potential temperature an air parcel would have if all ice in the parcel were sublimated. q_v is the water mixing ratio, $q_i = q_{snow} + q_{ice} + q_{graupel}$ is the ice water content, sum of all ice species, q_{snow} is the snow mixing ratio, q_{ice} is the cloud-ice mixing ratio and $q_t = q_v + q_i$ is the sum of all mixing ratios (kg kg⁻¹). L_s is the latent heat of sublimation (J kg⁻¹), T is the temperature (K), C_p is the heat capacity at constant pressure for dry air (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹), C_{pv} is the heat capacity at constant pressure of vapor (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹), R_d is the gas constant of dry air, R_v is the gas constant of water vapor, p_0 is the reference pressure and p is the pressure. Following Emanuel (1994, p220-221) and Kanak et al. (2008) the CDI criterion can be expressed as

$$\Delta \theta_{iv} = (\theta_{iv})_c - (\theta_{iv})_e < 0, \tag{4}$$

where *c* and *e* refers to the cloud and clear air below the cloud, respectively. In the present case, the criterion is applied everywhere as follows

$$\theta_{i\nu}(z + \Delta z/2) - \theta_{i\nu}(z - \Delta z/2) < 0,$$

$$q_i(z + \Delta z/2) > 5 \, 10^{-3} \mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}},$$
(5)

where $\Delta z/2 \simeq 100$ m is the approximate level spacing of the simulations in the boundary layer. The regions where the 400 criterion is satisfied are shown in yellow shadings in Figures 10(b),(c),(e),(f). The two simulations clearly show that at 401 t = 90 h, the CDI criterion is met at the precipitation base. Such a criterion has been applied with the total ice content, 402 that is, with q_i being equal to the sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel mixing ratios. If the same computation is made by 403 replacing q_i by the cloud ice mixing ratio only (not shown), the areas satisfying the CDI criterion entirely disappear. It 404 confirms that the precipitating hydrometeors and their sublimation are the key for the destabilization of the air masses. 405 In that sense, the CDI criterion in the present study cannot be thought as corresponding to the instability of the cloud 406 base air masses only as it is closely related to the presence of precipitating hydrometeors. 407

Since variations of the Richardson number are dominated by fluctuations of the static stability in the region of 408 interest, Figure 11 shows the static stability parameter. It is computed in two ways: one is N_{ν}^2 (Figures 11(a),(c)) and the 409 other $N_{iv}^2 = (g/\theta_{iv})(\partial \theta_{iv}/\partial z)$ (Figures 11(a),(c)). For S1land, N_v^2 is positive above the precipitation base and negative 410 from the precipitation base down to the surface. In contrast, for S1Iand_nocl, it is positive in a layer of few hundred 411 meters below the precipitation base. As already mentioned, it reveals the cooling effect of sublimating hydrometeors. 412 The main difference between N_{v}^{2} and N_{iv}^{2} occurs at the precipitation base where the latter is negative. This corresponds 413 to the yellow areas of Figure 10 and highlight the potential instability the air masses have if some precipitation is 414 mixed with the more subsaturated air and sublimate. In other words, the negative values of N_v^2 below the precipitation 415 base correspond to the cooling of precipitation that happened before t = 90 h while the negative values of N_{iv}^2 at 416 the precipitation base correspond to the potential cooling effect of precipitation that may occur after t = 90 h. In 417 S1land_nocl, negative values of N_{iv}^2 also appear at the precipitation base and the CDI criterion is also satisfied in that 418 region. However, since the sublimation cooling is suppressed in that simulation, the instability cannot be released. 419

At t = 93 h, once the convective cells have fully developed, the CDI criterion is almost not satisfied in the down-420 stream vicinity of the cells (see cross section in Figure 12(b) and compare to the one shown in Figure 10(b)) and the static 421 stability is not homogeneously negative anymore. It suggests that the first round of convective rolls have consumed the 422 instabilities. At the same time, the CDI criterion is met more upstream of the first round of cells near the new cross 423 section ZZ' (Figures 12(a),(c)). As mentioned earlier and shown in Figures 5(g),(h),(i), after t = 93 h, a second round 424 of convective cells appear. Therefore, our analysis suggests that the CDI and the convective instability below help to 425 destabilize the air below the leading edge of the SJ first but also farther upstream at later times. This could explain the 426 occurrence of the two clusters of convective cells. 427

To conclude, the criteria for CDI at the precipitation base and convective instability below the precipitation base 428 are satisfied before the occurrence of the convective cells and disappear once they are fully mature, suggesting that 429 the instabilities are consumed. Both instabilities are set by the cooling effect of sublimation and melting of snow and 430 graupel hydrometeors. These sublimation and melting processes more easily happen, and the instabilities are more 431 easily satisfied, at the leading edge of the sting jet because it is the region marked by advection of cloudy air above 433 subsaturated air. It is still not clear if sublimation and melting of precipitating hydrometeors is triggered by mixing 433 as required in the CDI theory. In that case, the mixing could be initiated because of the weak positive values of the 434 Richardson number at the precipitation base. Another possibility is that sublimation and melting naturally occur once 435 the precipitation falls into the subsaturated air. 436

437 4 | CONCLUSION

The present study investigates processes leading to the formation of maximum surface wind gusts within an idealized 438 sting-jet cyclone simulated with the mesoscale model Meso-NH. A focus is made on surface wind gusts appearing 439 between the head of the bent-back warm front and the cold front in a region of weak thermal contrasts. Different 440 numerical simulations performed at different horizontal grid spacings with different surface roughness values show 441 that surface winds ahead of the bent-back warm front and below the leading edge of the sting jet increase by increasing 442 both the horizontal resolution and the surface roughness. For a given surface roughness, the intensity of these surface 443 winds increases with resolution while the sting-jet intensity above the boundary layer stays almost the same. Only the 444 1-km grid-spacing simulation with typical land surface roughness (S1land) exhibits stronger surface winds ahead of 445 the bent-back warm front than near the cold-conveyor-belt jet. For coarser resolution and/or weaker roughness, the 446 maximum surface wind gusts occur below the cold-conveyor-belt jet. 447

The downward transfer of momentum in the boundary layer of the S1land simulation is organized by along wind 448 convective rolls where the maximum surface wind gusts are correlated with the descending branches of the convective 449 rolls. The correlation varies between 0.6 and 0.8 depending on the regions. Also, at the top of the boundary layer peaks 450 in precipitation correspond to minima of horizontal wind speed and are co-located with the upper part of the ascending 451 branches of the rolls. The distance between two consecutive bands of maximum surface winds typically varies between 452 5 km and 10 km. The S1 land simulation rather adequately reproduces the multiple bands of strong surface wind speed 453 reported in the literature for sting-jet cyclones. For instance, it brings similarities with the "St-Jude" or "Christian" storm 454 studied by Browning et al. (2015) even though the spacing between the individual bands of maximum wind speed was 455 found to be smaller for the real storm (between 500 m and 3 km). 456

Passive tracers initiated in the SJ region were computed to better understand how the momentum is transferred 457 to the surface. The tracer can stay for few hours in the vicinity of the SJ near the top of the boundary layer without 458 being transferred further downward. But once the descending branch of a convective roll approaches the region of high 459 passive tracer content, a rapid downward transfer happens and in almost half an hour some passive tracer content is 460 transported from the top of the boundary layer to the surface. Therefore, these are the resolved convective rolls that 461 initiate and transport the tracer until reaching a few hundred meters above the surface. Then, the turbulent fluxes take 462 over the downward transport of tracer and momentum in the last hundred meters of the atmosphere. More upstream, 463 closer to the bent-back warm front, such convective activity is almost absent and the downward transfer of momentum 464 much less efficient and less localized. 465

Then, the paper addressed the question of why such downward transfer of momentum by convective rolls happen 466 below the leading edge of the sting jet in the vicinity of the head of the boundary-layer clouds. Before the formation of 467 the convective rolls in S1land, we show this region is marked by low values of the Bulk Richardson number computed 468 between the top of the boundary layer and the surface. These low values are not related to greater values of the wind 469 shear but rather to lower values of the static stability. The static stability presents negative minima just below the 470 precipitation base and stays negative down to the surface. Such a feature is identified as being due to the cooling due 471 to sublimation and melting of snow and graupel near and below the cloud base. This is confirmed by turning off the 472 cooling associated to these processes in a sensitivity experiment. In that simulation, the convective rolls completely 473 disappear and the static stability is slightly positive in the few hundred meters below the precipitation base. It confirms 474 that evaporating precipitation, mostly sublimation in the present case, is a key process for the downward transfer of 475 momentum inside the boundary layer as suggested by Browning et al. (2015). It is also in good agreement with the 476 role of evaporation in facilitating convection in the boundary layer as described in Browning and Smart (2018) in a 477 different context. An analysis of the cloud-base detrainment instability (CDI) has been also performed showing that 478

RIVIÈRE ET AL.

the CDI criterion is satisfied before the occurrence of the convective cells and largely disappear once the cells are 479 well formed, suggesting that the instability is consumed after a while. However, the CDI criterion has been applied 480 to the sum of all ice species and such an instability is not satisfied in the present case by considering cloud ice only. 481 The instability comes from the potential sublimation of snow and graupel. In that sense, it cannot be strictly seen as a 482 pure cloud-base destabilization as precipitation must be taken into account. In the simulation where the evaporative 483 cooling of precipitating hydrometeors is turned off, the CDI criterion is still satisfied but the instability cannot be 484 released because it precisely requires sublimation and melting of ice. Our conclusion is that before the occurrence 484 of the convective rolls, both criteria for CDI at the precipitation base and convective instability in the subsaturated 486 air below are satisfied. Conditions for convective instability below the precipitation base are met because there was 487 a cooling due to sublimation and melting of solid precipitation that led to that condition. Conditions for CDI at the 488 precipitation base are met because there is the potential for subsequent cooling as soon as precipitation will be mixed 489 with the subsaturated air below. Hence, our interpretation is that the convective rolls are formed by destabilization of 490 the air masses at the precipitation base via CDI and the associated air masses continue their descent because they met 491 favorable conditions for convective instability further below the precipitation base. 492

The present study provides new insights on the boundary-layer processes responsible for the downward transfer 493 of momentum from the sting jet to the surface. One nice result is that it provides guidance of how to form multiple 494 bands of strong surface winds below the leading edge of the sting jet in an idealized numerical set up. The two key 495 identified parameters are horizontal resolution and surface roughness. We also show that, with a very simple setup 496 of the boundary layer, it is possible to trigger mixing and downward transport of momentum just by destabilization of 497 air masses from the top of the boundary layer. This strong vertical mixing by convective rolls was found in different 498 observational studies (e.g., Parton et al., 2009; Browning et al., 2015) but not well represented or absent in simulations of 499 real cases (Parton et al., 2009) or in simulations of idealized cases (Baker et al., 2014; Coronel et al., 2016). In the present 500 study, we were able to represent this strong vertical mixing by convective plumes in our model and to identify the 501 dominant processes that help to form such intense convective plumes. These are mainly the sublimation and melting of 502 precipitating ice hydrometeors. We also show that, even though a 10-15 km horizontal grid spacing with a 200-300 m 503 level spacing is enough to represent sting jets themselves (Clark and Gray, 2018), much higher resolution is required to 504 accurately represent the downward transfer of momentum inside the boundary layer. The next step will be to consider 505 a more realistic boundary layer that will include surface fluxes of heat and moisture by imposing a forcing by sea surface 506 temperature. This will destabilize the boundary layer from below and this will also facilitate the downward transfer of 507 momentum as shown in the real case study of Slater et al. (2017).

509 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the French national programme LEFE/INSU. The authors would like to acknowledge
 Suzanne Gray for fruitful discussions on various occasions over the course of this study and in particular for her
 suggestion of using passive tracers. We thank the two reviewers, David Schultz and an anonymous one, for their helpful
 comments that allowed us to significantly improve the paper.

514 REFERENCES

Baker, L., Gray, S. L. and Clark, P. A. (2014) Idealised simulations of sting-jet cyclones. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 140, 96–110.

Baker, L., Martinez-Alvarado, O., Methven, J. and Knippertz, P. (2013) Flying through extratropical cyclone friedhelm. Weather,
 68, 9–13.

- Bechtold, P., Bazile, E., Guichard, F., Mascart, P. and Richard, E. (2001) A mass flux convection scheme for regional and global
 models. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **127**, 869–886.
- Bennetts, D. and Hoskins, B. J. (1979) Conditional symmetric instability a possible explanation for frontal rainbands. *Quart. J.* Roy. Meteor. Soc., 105, 945–962.
- Bougeault, P. and Lacarrère, P. (1989) Parameterization of orography-induced turbulence in a mesobeta-scale model. Mon.
 Wea. Rev., 117, 1872–1890.
- Brâncus, M., Schultz, D. M., Antonescu, B., Dearden, C. and Stefan, S. (2019) Origin of strong winds in an explosive mediter ranean extratropical cyclone. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **147**, 3649–3671.
- Browning, K. A. (1990) Organization of clouds and precipitation in extratropical cyclones, vol. : extratropical cyclones, Erik Palmén
 memorial volume, chap. 8, 129–153. American Meteorological Society.
- (2004) The sting at the end of the tail: Damaging winds associated with extratropical cyclones. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.,
 130, 375–399.
- Browning, K. A. and Field, M. (2004) Evidence from meteosat imagery of the interaction of sting jets with the boundary layer.
 Meteor. Appl., 11, 277–289.
- Browning, K. A. and Pardoe, C. (1973) Structure of low-level jet streams ahead of mid-latitude cold fronts. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor.* Soc., 99, 619–638.
- Browning, K. A. and Smart, D. J. (2018) Invigoration of convection by an overrunning diabatically modified cloud-top layer.
 Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 144, 142–155.
- Browning, K. A., Smart, D. J., Clark, M. R. and Illingworth, A. J. (2015) The role of evaporating showers in the transfer of sting-jet
 momentum to the surface. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 141, 2956–2971.
- ⁵³⁸ Carlson, T. (1980) Airflow through midlatitude cyclones and the comma cloud pattern. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 1498–1509.
- ⁵¹⁹ Clark, P. A., Browning, K. and Wang, C. (2005) The sting at the end of the tail: Model diagnostics of fine-scale three-dimensional
 ⁵⁴⁰ structure of the cloud head. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **131**, 2263–2292.
- 541 Clark, P. A. and Gray, S. L. (2018) Sting jets in extratropical cyclones: a review. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 144, 943–969.
- ⁵⁴² Coronel, B., Ricard, D., Rivière, G. and Arbogast, P. (2016) Cold-conveyor-belt jet, sting jet and slantwise circulations in ideal ⁵⁴³ ized simulations of extratropical cyclones. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **182**, 1781–1796.
- Cuxart, J., Bougeault, P. and Redelsperger, J. L. (2000) A turbulence scheme allowing for mesoscale and large-eddy simulations.
 Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 126, 1–30.
- 546 Emanuel, K. A. (1981) A similarity theory for unsaturated downdrafts within clouds. J. Atmos. Soc., 38, 1541–1557.
- 547 (1994) Atmospheric convection. Oxford University Press. 580 pp.
- Gray, S. L., Martinez-Alvarado, O., Baker, L. H. and Clark, P. A. (2011) Conditional symmetric instability in sting-jet storms.
 Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 1482–1500.
- ⁵⁵⁰ Grønås, S. (1995) The seclusion intensification of the New Year's day storm 1992. *Tellus*, **47A**, 733–746.
- Hart, N., Gray, S. and Clark, P. (2017) Sting-jet windstorms over the North Atlantic: climatology and contribution to extreme
 wind risk. J. Climate, 30, 5455–5471.
- Hewson, T. and Neu, U. (2015) Cyclones, windstorms and the imilast project. Tellus A, 67, 27128.

- Kanak, K. M., Straka, J. M. and Schultz, D. M. (2008) Numerical simulation of mammatus. J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 1606–1621.
- Kelley, J. D., Schultz, D. M., Schumacher, R. and Durran, D. R. (2019) Can mountain waves contribute to damaging winds far
 away from the lee slope? *Wea. Forecasting.*

Lac, C., Chaboureau, P., Masson, V., Pinty, P., Tulet, P., Escobar, J., Leriche, M., Barthe, C., Aouizerats, B., Augros, C., Aumond, P., Auguste, F., Bechtold, P., Berthet, S., Bieilli, S., Bosseur, F., Caumont, O., Cohard, J.-M., Colin, J. M., Couvreux, F., Cuxart, J., Delautier, G., Dauhut, T., Ducrocq, V., Filippi, J.-B., Gazen, D., Geoffroy, O., GHEUSI, F., Honnert, R., Lafore, P.,
 Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Libois, Q., Lunet, T., Mari, C., Maric, T., Mascart, P., Mogé, M., Molinié, G., Nuissier, O., Pantillon, F.,
 Peyrillé, P., Pergaud, J., Perraud, E., Pianezze, J., Redelsperger, J.-L., Ricard, D., Richard, E., Riette, S., Rodier, Q., Schoetter, R.,
 Seyfried, L., Stein, J., Suhre, K., Taufour, M., Thouron, O., Turner, S., Verrelle, A., Vié, B., Visentin, F., Vionnet, V. and Wautelet,
 P. (2018) Overview of the Meso-NH model version 5.4 and its applications. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*,

- ⁵⁶⁴ **1929–1969**.
- Lackmann, G. (2002) Cold-frontal potential vorticity maxima, the low-level jet, and moisture transport in extratropical cyclones. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 59–74.
- Lafore, J.-P., Stein, J., Asencio, N., Bougeault, P., Ducrocq, V., Duron, J., Fischer, C., Héreil, P., Mascart, P., Masson, V., Pinty, J.-P.,
 Redelsperger, J. L., Richard, E. and de Arellano, J. V.-G. (1998) The meso-nh atmospheric simulation system. part i: adiabatic
 formulation and control simulations. *Ann. Geophysicae*, 16, 90–109.
- Lean, H. W. and Clark, P. A. (2003) The effects of changing resolution on mesocale modelling of line convection and slantwise
 circulations in fastex iop16. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **129**, 2255–2278.
- Martinez-Alvarado, O., Baker, L. H., Gray, S. L., Methven, J. and Plant, R. S. (2014a) Distinguishing the cold conveyor belt and
 sting jet airstreams in an intense extratropical cyclone. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **142**, 2571–2595.
- Martinez-Alvarado, O., Gray, S., Hart, N., P.A. Clark, K. H. and Roberts, M. (2018) Increased wind risk from sting-jet windstorms
 with climate change. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 044002.
- Martinez-Alvarado, O., Gray, S. L., Catto, J. L. and Clark, P. A. (2012) Sting jets in intense winter north-atlantic windstorms.
 Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 024014, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024014.
- (2014b) Corrigendum: Sting jets in intense winter north-atlantic windstorms (2012 environmental research letters 7, 024014). Environ. Res. Lett., 9, 039501, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/039501.
- Martinez-Alvarado, O., Weidle, F. and Gray, S. L. (2010) Sting jets in simulations of a real cyclone by two mesoscale models.
 Mon. Wea. Rev., **138**, 4054–4075.
- Parton, G., Vaughan, G., Norton, E. G., Browning, K. A. and Clark, P. A. (2009) Wind profiler observations of a sting jet. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **135**, 663–680.
- Persson, P. O. G. and Warner, T. T. (1993) Nonlinear hydrostatic conditional symmetric instability: Implications for numerical
 weather prediction. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **121**, 1821–1833.
- Pinty, J.-P. and Jabouille, P. (1998) A mixed-phase cloud parameterization for use in a mesoscale non-hydrostatic model: Simulations of a squall line and of orographic precipitations, in paper presented at conference on cloud physics, *Am. Meteorol.* Soc., Everett, WA. 217–220.
- Rivière, G., Arbogast, P. and Joly, A. (2015a) Eddy kinetic energy redistribution within idealized extratropical cyclones using a
 two-layer quasi-geostrophic model. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 141, 207–223.
- (2015b) Eddy kinetic energy redistribution within windstorms Klaus and Friedhelm. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 141, 925–938.
- 592 Schultz, D. (2001) Reexamining the cold conveyor belt. Mon. Wea. Rev., 2205–2225.
- ⁵⁹³ Schultz, D. and Browning, K. A. (2017) What is a sting jet? *Weather*, **72**, 63–66, doi: 10.1002/wea.2795.

594	Schultz, D. and Sienkiewicz, J. (2013) Using frontogenesis to identify sting jets in extratropical cyclones. N	Nea. Forecasting, 28 ,
595	603-613.	

Schultz, D. M. and Schumacher, P. N. (1999) The use and misuse of conditional symmetric instability. Mon. Wea. Rev., 127,
 2709-2732, Corrigendum 128: 1573.

Shapiro, M. and Keyser, D. (1990) Fronts, jet streams and the tropopause, vol. Extratropical cyclones, Newton and Holopainen
 eds, chap. 10, 167–191. American Meteorological Society.

- Slater, T., Schultz, D. and Vaughan, G. (2015) Acceleration of near-surface strong winds in a dry, idealized extratropical cyclone.
 Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 141, 1004–1016.
- (2017) Near-surface strong winds in a marine extratropical cyclone: acceleration of the winds and the importance of surface
 fluxes. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 143, 321–332.
- Smart, D. and Browning, K. (2014) Attribution of strong winds to a cold conveyor belt and sting jet. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*,
 140, 595–610.
- Vaughan, G., Methven, J. and co authors (2015) Cloud banding and winds in intense European cyclones: Results from the
 DIAMET project. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 249–265.
- Volonté, A., Clark, P. A. and Gray, S. L. (2018) The role of mesoscale instabilities in the sting-jet dynamics of windstorm *tini*.
 Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 144, 877–899.



FIGURE 1 (a) Initial state of S20land, S20sea, S4land, S4sea experiments: the temperature field at z = 25 m is represented in shadings (units: K), the pressure at z = 25 m in blue contours (interval: 10 hPa). (b),(c) Horizontal wind speed at z = 1.6 km (shadings; units: m s⁻¹), sea level pressure (blue contours; interval: 10 hPa), and equivalent potential temperature at z = 1.6 km (black contours; interval: 5 K) for the simulation S20land for (a) t = 84 h and (b) t = 96 h. The black square corresponds to the domain of the 1-km grid spacing simulations.



FIGURE 2 Maximum of the sum of cloud liquid and ice water contents between z = 0.5 km and z = 2.0 km (grey shadings; units: g kg⁻¹), horizontal wind speed at z = 1.6 km (30 m s⁻¹ in red and 35 and 40 m s⁻¹ in magenta), and equivalent potential temperature at z = 1.6 km (light blue contours; interval: 4 K) at t = 93 h for different simulations: (a) S20land, (b) S4land and (c) S1land.



FIGURE 3 (Left column) Horizontal wind speed (shadings; units: $m s^{-1}$), relative humidity greater than 60% (blue contour and stippled areas), and equivalent potential temperature at z = 25 m (black contour; interval: 2 K) at t = 93 h. The latter two fields are smoothed for the 4-km and 1-km grid-spacing simulations but not the wind speed. (Right column) Vertical cross section of the horizontal wind speed (shadings; units: $m s^{-1}$), 80% relative humidity (blue contour), equivalent potential temperature (magenta contour; interval: 2 K) and vertical velocity (black dashed and solid contours for negative and positive values respectively; interval: 0.1 m s^{-1}). Simulations with land roughness: (upper panels) S20land, (middle panels) S4land and (lower panels) S1land.



FIGURE 4 Same as Figure 3 but for simulations with sea surface roughness: (upper panels) S20sea, (middle panels) S4sea and (lower panels) S1sea. Note that the vertical cross sections A1A1' are 50 km ahead of the vertical cross sections AA' shown in Figure 3 to select the small-scale bands of near-surface wind speed maxima. SJ and CCBJ correspond to the sting jet and cold-conveyor-belt jet locations, respectively.



FIGURE 5 Horizontal wind speed at z = 1.6 km (shadings; units: m s⁻¹) and z = 200 m (28 and 30 m s⁻¹ in blue contours and 32 m s⁻¹ in light blue contours) at (a) t = 91 h, (b) t = 91.5 h, (c) t = 92 h, (d) t = 92.25 h, (e) t = 92.5 h, (f) t = 93 h, (g) t = 94 h, (h) t = 95 h, and (i) t = 96 h for S1land. The thick green contour corresponds to a given value of the passive tracer injected around x = 8550 km and y = 2800 km. The yellow squares are zoomed areas shown in Figure 6.



FIGURE 6 Passive tracer at z = 500 m (shadings) and z = 1.6 km (blue contour; value equals to 50), horizontal wind speed at z = 200 m (28 and 30 m s⁻¹ in red contours and 32 m s⁻¹ in magenta contours) at (a) t = 91 h, (b) t = 91.5 h, (c) t = 92 h, (d) t = 92.25 h, (e) t = 92.5 h and (f) t = 93 h for the zoomed areas shown in Figures 5(a)-(f).



FIGURE 7 Vertical cross sections at t = 92.5 h shown in Figure 6(e). (a) cross section aa' of the vertical turbulent fluxes of zonal momentum (shadings; units: m^2s^{-2}), resolved vertical fluxes of zonal momentum (black dashed and solid contours for negative and positive values; interval: $2 m^2 s^{-2}$) and passive tracer (blue contour for a given value of 4). (b) cross section xx' of horizontal wind speed (shadings; units: $m s^{-1}$), total vertical fluxes of zonal momentum (black dashed and solid contours for negative and positive values; interval: $2 m^2 s^{-2}$) and passive tracer (blue contour for a given value of 4). (b) cross section xx' of horizontal wind speed (shadings; units: $m s^{-1}$), total vertical fluxes of zonal momentum (black dashed and solid contours for negative and positive values; interval: $2 m^2 s^{-2}$) and passive tracer (blue contours for 4, 100 and 400 values). (c), (d) and (e) same as in (b) but for the cross sections aa', bb' and cc' respectively.



FIGURE 8 Vertical profiles of horizontal wind speed (red) and resolved (solid black) and turbulent (dash black) vertical fluxes of zonal momentum at t = 92.5 h in (a) downstream and (b) upstream regions shown respectively by the yellow and pink squares in Figure 5(e) where horizontal wind speed at z = 200 m exceeds 28 m s⁻¹.



FIGURE 9 (Left column) Maximum of the sum of cloud liquid and ice water contents between z = 0.0 km and z = 2.0 km (grey shadings; units: g kg⁻¹), surface wind gusts (28 and 30 m s⁻¹ in red contours and 32 and 34 m s⁻¹ in magenta contours), and potential temperature at z = 25 m (light blue contours; interval: 2 K) at t = 93 h. The surface wind gusts is a linear function of the 10-m wind speed and the square root of the 10-m turbulent kinetic energy. The temperature field is spatially smoothed for clarity purposes. (Right column) Vertical cross sections BB' of the total precipitation (sum of snow, graupel and rain mixing ratios in black contours; int: 0.01 g kg⁻¹), horizontal wind speed (shadings; units: m s⁻¹), and potential temperature (grey contours: interval: 2K). (Upper panels) S1land, (middle panels) S1land_nocl_noevap.



FIGURE 10 (a), (d) Bulk Richardson number computed between z = 0.1 km and z = 1.2 km (shadings), horizontal wind speed at z = 1.6 km (black contours; int: 5 m s⁻¹ and a spatial smoothing is applied for clarity purposes) and z = 200 m (28 and 30 m s⁻¹ in blue contours and 32 m s⁻¹ in light blue contours), and ice water content (sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel) greater than 5 10^{-3} g kg⁻¹ (green contours with asterisks). (b), (e) Vertical cross sections YY' of the Richardson number (shadings), ice water content (sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel) greater than 5 10^{-3} g kg⁻¹ (green contours with asterisks). (b), (e) Vertical cross sections YY' of the Richardson number (shadings), ice water content (sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel) greater than 5 10^{-3} g kg⁻¹ (green contours with asterisks). (b), (e) Vertical cross sections YY' of the cross section ZZ'. (Upper panels) S1land, (lower panels) S1land_nocl. All panels are computed at t = 90 h. Note that regions where the Richardson number exceeds 0.5 are in white.



FIGURE 11 Vertical cross sections YY' of the square of the static stability (shadings; units: s^{-2}) for (a),(b) S1land and (c),(d) S1land_nocl at t = 90 h computed in two ways: (a), (c) $N_v^2 = (g/\theta_v)(\partial \theta_v/\partial z)$ and (b), (d) $N_{iv}^2 = (g/\theta_{iv})(\partial \theta_{iv}/\partial z)$. The ice water content (sum of cloud ice, snow and graupel) greater than 5 10⁻³ g kg⁻¹ is represented in green contours with asterisks.



FIGURE 12 Same as Figures 10(a)-(c) but at t = 93 h.