

# Gain in biodiversity but not in phytostabilization after 3 years of ecological restoration of contaminated Mediterranean soils

Lorène Lorène Tosini, Hélène Folzer, A. Heckenroth, P. Prudent, Mathieu Santonja, Anne Marie Farnet da Silva, Marie-Dominique Salducci, L. Vassalo, Yoan Labrousse, Benjamin Oursel, et al.

# ► To cite this version:

Lorène Lorène Tosini, Hélène Folzer, A. Heckenroth, P. Prudent, Mathieu Santonja, et al.. Gain in biodiversity but not in phytostabilization after 3 years of ecological restoration of contaminated Mediterranean soils. Ecological Engineering, 2020, 157, pp.105998. 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105998 . hal-02919816

# HAL Id: hal-02919816 https://hal.science/hal-02919816v1

Submitted on 25 Aug 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Gain in biodiversity but not in phytostabilization after 3 years of ecological restoration
- 2 of contaminated Mediterranean soils
- 3
- 4 Tosini L.<sup>1,2</sup>, Folzer H.<sup>2,3</sup>, Heckenroth A<sup>2,3</sup>, Prudent P.<sup>4</sup>, Santonja M.<sup>2</sup>, Farnet A.-M.<sup>2</sup>, Salducci
- 5 M.-D.<sup>2</sup>, Vassalo L.<sup>4</sup>, Labrousse Y.<sup>5</sup>, Oursel B.<sup>6</sup>, Laffont-Schwob I.<sup>1</sup>
- 6
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, LPED, Marseille, France
- 8 <sup>2</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France
- 9 <sup>3</sup> Fédération de Recherche ECCOREV n° 3098, Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Aix en Provence,
- 10 France
- <sup>4</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LCE, Marseille, France
- <sup>5</sup> LPED, Enseignement et Recherche Publique Française
- <sup>6</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO, Marseille, France
- 14 Corresponding author: isabelle.schwob@univ-amu.fr

# 15 Highlights

- 16 Metal and metalloid soil contamination slows down the ecological restoration dynamics
- 17 Native plants transplanted in Mediterranean contaminated soils persist after 3 years
- 18 Active ecological restoration allows the creation of favorable micro-niches for plants
- 19 Metal and metalloid phytostabilization efficiency is not evidenced after 3 years
- 20

# 21 Abstract

22 Recent studies consider the native flora as a potential source of plant candidates for 23 phytostabilization of metal and metalloid (MM) contaminated soils, but ecological restoration 24 is not the main objective of these researches. However, in contaminated areas, 25 phytostabilization should be considered as a useful tool for ecological restoration. The present 26 study takes stock of 3 years of a Mediterranean pilot site implementation using native plant 27 species to recover plant and microbial communities (diversity and functions) together with 28 soil remediation in the Calanques National Park. To determine the success of this operation, 29 three in situ treatments were compared: ecological restoration plots characterized by the 30 handling of physical environment (creation of cultivation terraces) and plants, negative 31 control plots without vegetation but with the same physical environment handling as 32 ecological restoration plots, and positive control plots with natural vegetation and no 33 handling. The results suggest that an ecological restoration trajectory is initiated in the 34 ecological restoration plots, characterized by a partial permanent plant cover. However, there is no evidence of a significant improvement of soil quality (evaluated by soil texture, pH, 35 nutrients and organic carbon contents, cation exchange capacity, microbial biomass and 36 37 activities) and phytostabilization efficiency after 3 years. Native plant communities and their 38 associated microorganisms may need more time before improving soil quality and MM 39 stabilization under the drastic Mediterranean conditions. Any amendment addition to 40 accelerate restoration and MM immobilization was forbidden in this protected area. Under 41 such conditions, an active restoration need to be carried out in this contaminated area even if 42 resilient dynamics of the native plant communities may sporadically occur over a long period 43 of time.

44

Keywords: active restoration, field experiment, Mediterranean protected area, metals and
metalloids, native plant communities, resilient dynamics

47

# 48 **1. Introduction**

49 Human activities generate a significant degradation of ecosystems and specifically of soils. 50 Indeed, in 2015, 33 % of soils were considered as moderately or severely degraded by 51 erosion, nutrient depletion, acidification, salinization, compaction and chemical pollution 52 (FAO, 2015). The European Strategy for Biodiversity expects at least 15% of degraded 53 ecosystems to be restored by 2020 (EU, 2011). In this context, some scientists are currently 54 working on ecological restoration of polluted environments (Wong, 2003; Li, 2006) 55 particularly in the Mediterranean region (Heckenroth et al., 2016b). Ecological restoration is the intentional activity that assists ecosystem restoration in order to regain its structural and 56 57 functional integrity, allowing it to be resistant and/or resilient to normal stress and 58 environmental disturbance levels (SER, 2004). Ecological restoration can be active or passive 59 depending on the level of ecosystem degradation (SER, 2016). Facing weak degradation, 60 stopping the disturbance is often enough for spontaneous plant recolonization of the site (i.e. 61 spontaneous regeneration through passive ecological restoration). When degradation is 62 significant, active restoration operations are required by restoring abiotic components (i.e. assisted regeneration) or both abiotic and biotic components (i.e. reconstruction). In the case 63 64 of contaminated soils, ecological restoration can be combined with phytoremediation 65 techniques to decontaminate the environment or to avoid pollutants dispersion (Wong, 2003; 66 Li, 2006). Phytostabilization consists of using plants and their associated microorganisms to 67 stabilize contaminants in the roots and/or rhizosphere to limit their transfer via erosion, 68 leaching or runoff processes and their bioavailability (Pilon-Smits, 2005) and to promote 69 restoration of contaminated ecosystem especially by metals and metalloids (MM) (Ma et al., 70 2011; Marchiol et al., 2013). The success of an ecological restoration combined with 71 phytostabilization is based on several criteria. Plant species selection from the local floristic 72 background is essential. The selection must consider plant adaptations to local conditions and 73 their MM tolerance which promote plant survival and development (Baker et al., 2010; Bolan 74 et al., 2011; Cortina et al., 2011). Moreover, permanent and large root systems and plant 75 cover, as well as plant MM immobilization capacities, permit to reduce soil erosion and MM 76 bioavailability, thus limiting contaminant dispersion and transfer into the environment (Pilon-77 Smits, 2005; Bochet et al., 2006; Bolan et al., 2011). In addition, consideration of biotic 78 interactions is essential, in particular in harsh environments (Padilla and Pugnaire, 2006; 79 Cortina, 2011). Facilitation by nurse plants, favoring micro-niche formation by improving 80 microclimatic and edaphic conditions (Callaway, 2007), permits establishment of other plant 81 species on unfavorable environments (Frérot et al., 2006). Plant associated microorganisms, 82 besides potentially participate in MM immobilization, improve plant MM tolerance by 83 enhancing nutrient and water absorption (Khan, 2005; Ma et al., 2011). Better consideration 84 of soil quality, its contamination level and the contamination spatial heterogeneity are 85 necessary to think how to improve soil properties involved in contaminant retention in order to reduce their bioavailability (Adriano et al., 2004; Bolan et al., 2011; Kumar Yadav et al., 86 87 2018). However, the use of ecological restoration tools combined with those of phytostabilization is still very recent and these success criteria are still to be assessed. 88 89 Moreover, ecological restoration in Mediterranean dry habitats is a challenging topic and 90 implies inventiveness in the developed processes to enhance vegetation establishment 91 (Jaunatre et al., 2012; Rey and Burylo, 2014; Ballesteros et al., 2017). However, in addition to 92 biogeoclimatic constraints framing the tools used, accessibility, pollution, topographical and 93 regulatory constraints may restrict possible actions.

94

95 Mining areas are relevant study cases of such approaches and literature on MM 96 phytoremediation by using autochthonous plant species is becoming more important this last 97 decade (Ilunga wa Ilunga et al., 2015; Marrugo-Negrete et al., 2015; Ghazaryan et al., 2019). 98 However, fewer restoration trials are conducted in brownfields generating diffuse pollution of 99 soils. One of those brownfields, the Escalette site, is located on the Mediterranean coast of 100 Marseille (south-eastern France) at the outskirt of the city center. This site sheltered a lead-

101 smelting factory that operated from 1851 to 1925 using galena imported from Mediterranean 102 countries (Daumalin and Raveux, 2016). This activity contributed to a diffuse MM 103 contamination of surface soils of the surrounding area by slag deposits and by dispersion of 104 contaminated ashes from the factory horizontal chimney via erosion and bioalteration 105 processes (Testiati et al., 2013; Heckenroth et al., 2016a; Laffont-Schwob et al., 2016). The 106 brownfield is now included in the protected area of the Calangues National Park (PNCal), the 107 first French peri-urban National Park founded in 2012. This territory gathers several socio-108 environmental issues (environmental health, biodiversity conservation and management, 109 regulatory issues) linked with anthropogenic disturbances (industrial and urban pollution, soil 110 erosion, over-frequentation), affecting this urban and natural protected and biodiversity-rich 111 area (Affre et al., 2015). At the Escalette site, the natural resilience capacity of the original 112 ecosystem has been strongly affected by MM soil contamination, which is currently reflected 113 by the presence of unvegetated scree which can constitute a source of contamination transfer by water erosion and/or wind (Heckenroth et al., 2016b). In November 2015, a joint active 114 115 ecological restoration and phytostabilization trial has been implemented in this site 116 (Heckenroth et al., 2016a). This pilot-scale experiment aims at stabilizing MM soil 117 contamination sustainably by favoring a permanent native vegetation cover to limit its 118 transfer, while considering restoration of plant and soil biodiversity and functionalities. Three 119 years after the implementation of this experiment, two main questions remain: (i) At which 120 step along an ecological restoration trajectory correspond the implemented plant 121 communities? (ii) Do they ensure effective stabilization of MM soil contamination? To 122 answer these questions, a comparative in situ monitoring of soil properties, MM 123 concentrations in soils and in runoff water and characteristics of plant communities was 124 performed. These parameters were monitored over three in situ treatments: ecological 125 restoration plots characterized by the handling of physical environment variables (creation of 126 cultivation terraces) and plants, negative control plots without vegetation but with a physical 127 environment variable handling (terraces) such as ecological restoration plots, and positive 128 control plots with natural vegetation and nor abiotic neither biotic variables handling.

- 129
- 130 **2. Material and methods**
- 131

#### 132 **2.1. Study site**

The study site is located in the Marseilleveyre calcareous massif, characterized by significant
karstic erosion and skeletal oligotrophic soils (Knoerr, 1959). The study site has a semi-arid

135 Mediterranean climate, characterized by hot and dry summers, mild and wet winters (Quézel 136 and Médail, 2003) and by a prevailing cold, dry and often violent wind, called Mistral 137 (Aillaud and Crouzet, 1988), accentuating the environment's aridity (average annual rainfall 138 of 500 mm, average annual temperature of 16 °C). The study site is located at the Escalette 139 industrial brownfield, at the outfall of the horizontal chimney of a former lead smelting 140 factory, in the protected area of the PNCal (WGS84 co-ordinates: 43.22578°N, 5.35059°E, 141 Fig. A). The site corresponds to a steep scree with an average slope of 23 %, located down 142 below a ridge at 140 m of altitude. This site is defined as a hotspot of contamination mainly 143 by lead (Pb) and arsenic (As) but also by copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) (Testiati et al., 2013). The 144 soil, whose depth varies between 10 and 30 cm, corresponds to a mixture of limestone, clayey 145 limestone, marl (Knoerr, 1959) and contaminated ashes. A layer of ashes from a few to 146 several centimeters covers the soil at the outfall of the horizontal chimney, which can then be 147 defined as anthroposol.

148 In November 2015, an active ecological restoration has been carried out at the Escalette site 149 by environmental handling and planting. This operation is in accordance with the PNCal's 150 regulations, which prohibit the introduction of non-local plant material and the use of 151 amendments to conserve local biodiversity. 20 plots of 1 m<sup>2</sup> were created on the unvegetated 152 steep scree. These plots correspond to cultivation terraces made up of two strips, one 153 upstream and one downstream. Limestones present on the site were removed from the soil 154 surface and used to shape the terraces by installing a row of stones between upstream strip 155 and downstream strip of cultivation terraces. Limited soil handling was targeted. Eight plant 156 species from the local floristic background (constituted of calcareous xero-thermophilous 157 shrubland: Mediterranean scrubland, grasslands and stands of Aleppo pine) and defined as 158 pseudo-metallophytes according to the definitions given by Pauwels et al. (2006) and Faucon 159 et al. (2009) were transplanted in each plot: Brachypodium retusum (Pers.) P.Beauv., 1812, 160 Cistus albidus L., 1753, Coronilla juncea L., 1753, Dianthus caryophyllus L., 1753, 161 Globularia alypum L., 1753, Lobularia maritima (L.) Desv., 1815, Rosmarinus officinalis L., 162 1753 and Teucrium polium L., 1753 (Heckenroth et al., 2017, Fig. B). Soil surface was 163 covered with limestones to limit water stress. A unique watering was done to the plant's earth 164 clod at the transplantation. Nor amendment adding neither watering was carried out after 165 transplantation.

166

#### 167 **2.2. Experimental design**

168 Three treatments (E: ecological restoration plots, Cn: negative control plots, Cp: positive 169 control plots), each consisting of 5 replicates, were tested in situ (Fig. 1). E and Cn plots have 170 been located on the unvegetated scree at the beginning of the study and correspond to active 171 restoration with different levels of intervention. E plots are characterized by environmental 172 and plant handling (i.e. creation of cultivation terraces and planting, respectively). E plots 173 correspond to 5 plots selected from those implemented in November 2015 and contain planted 174 and spontaneous plant species. These E plots were selected for their well-established 175 perennial vegetation and the persistence of planted C. juncea individuals, assuming that their 176 highly developed root systems act on soil contamination fixation. Cn plots are characterized 177 by the same environmental handling as E plots, i.e. 1 m<sup>2</sup>-cultivation terraces located on the 178 unvegetated scree, but without planting. E and Cn plots were equipped with runoff water 179 collectors in November 2018. Runoff water collectors consist of a plastic chute placed at the 180 level of the downstream band of the plots, closed at the end and connected to a can of water 181 recovery by a funnel. A plastic tarpaulin was installed at ground level, under the stones of the 182 downstream band of the terraces and, attached to the chute. Another tarpaulin was placed over 183 the chute to recover only runoff water and not rainwater. This installed system can be easily 184 dismantled as required by the PNCal regulation. Cp plots correspond to the reference plant 185 community of this ecological restoration operation, i.e. a natural vegetation of young 186 Mediterranean scrubland that has sporadically persisted during past industrial activities until 187 today. No ecological restoration work was carried out on these plots, they have only been 188 spatially delimited by limestones. Thus, Cp plots have not been structured in cultivation 189 terraces or equipped with runoff water collectors to avoid disturbance and degradation due to 190 abiotic handling. Cp are located close to E and Cn plots to maintain comparable soil 191 contamination levels between the active restoration plots (E and Cn) and reference plots (Cp). 192 This allows a realistic ecological restoration objective to be defined on MM contaminated 193 soil.

194

#### **2.3. Soil analysis**

Soil samples were collected in 4 points at each plot corner in the top 15 cm-layer and pooled (mix of an equal weight of the 4 subsamples on each plot). The obtained composite soil samples for each E, Cp, and Cn plots were sieved at 2 mm and analyses of agronomic characteristics, MM contamination and microbial properties were performed.

- 200
- 201 **2.3.1. Soil agronomic characteristics**

202 Soil agronomic analyses were carried out on 40 °C dried soil. pH was determined with the 203 Orion 2 Star Thermo Scientific SM30B device, in a solution of soil and distilled water (1/5, 204 V/V, NF ISO10390, 2005). Total nitrogen content (TKN) was estimated by the Kjeldahl 205 method (NF ISO 11261, 1995). Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by the difference 206 between total carbon content and inorganic carbon content (NF ISO 10694, 1995). 207 Granulometry and texture (NF X 31-107, 2003), available phosphorus (P, NF ISO 11263, 208 1995) and cation exchange capacity (CEC, NF X 31-130, 1999) measurements were 209 performed in the Laboratoire Développement Méditerranée (Alès, France).

210

#### 211 **2.3.2.** Soil contamination

212 Soil samples were dried at 40 °C and ground at 0.2 mm (RETSCH zm 1000 with tungsten 213 blades and titanium sieve) in order to evaluate soil contamination level and MM mobilization 214 in soil. In order to determine pseudo-total MM concentrations (MM<sub>tot</sub>), samples were digested 215 in a microwave mineralizer (Milestone Start D) using aqua regia (1/3 HNO<sub>3</sub> + 2/3 HCl), then 216 filtered through a 0.45 µm cellulose ester membrane filter (NF ISO 11466, 1995). For mobile 217 MM concentrations (MM<sub>mob</sub>), 0.05 M EDTA (pH 7.0  $\pm$  0.1) solution was used as extractant 218 following the method approved by the Community Bureau of Reference (CBR) 219 (Quevauviller, 1998). A ratio of soil/EDTA solution corresponding to 1/10 w/V was used. 220 The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, then centrifuged during 10 min at 8,000 rpm and filtered at 0.45 µm. MM<sub>tot</sub> and MM<sub>mob</sub> concentrations were determined by ICP-221 222 AES (Jobin Yvon Horiba, Spectra 2000) for As, Cu, Pb and Zn. Quality controls and accuracy 223 were checked using standard soil reference materials (CRM 049-050, from RTC-USA), with 224 accuracies within  $100 \pm 10$  %. Contamination factors (CF) were calculated according to the 225 formula:

226

Eq. 1.  $CF_{MM}=[MM]_{tot}/[MM]_{local background value}$ , where  $[MM]_{local background value}$  are 4.9, 7.5, 42.9, 3.1 and 66 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> for As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn, respectively, as previously reported with the same method used in the present study (Affholder et al., 2014).

230

Then, the multi-contamination level was estimated by calculating the pollution load index(PLI) according to the formula (Rashed, 2010; Affholder et al., 2014):

233

234 Eq. 2.  $PLI=\sqrt[4]{CF_{As} \times CF_{Cu} \times CF_{Pb} \times CF_{Zn}}$ 

237

236 Percentage of mobile MM in soil were calculated according to the formula (Affholder, 2013):

237 238 Eq. 3. %  $MM_{mob} = ([MM]_{mob}/[MM]_{tot}) \times 100$ 

239

# 240 **2.3.3. Soil microbial analysis**

Soil microbial analyses were performed in order to assess the eco-physiological state of soilmicrobial communities.

243 Soil basal respiration (SBR) and substrate-induced respiration (SIR) were measured to assess 244 global microbial activity and biomass (Anderson and Domsch, 1978; Anderson, 2003). Ten 245 grams dry weight equivalent of fresh soil at 30 % of water holding capacity were placed in 246 117 mL slightly open ajar glass jars and incubated for 48 h at 23 °C. For SBR measurement, 247 each sample was oxygenated for 4 min and incubated for 4 h at 23 °C in tightly closed glass 248 jars. Then, 1 mL of their atmosphere was sampled in the headspace with a syringe and 249 injected into a gas chromatograph (Chrompack CHROM 3 - CP 9001) to analyse CO<sub>2</sub> 250 production by microbial oxidation of the soil organic matter. SIR was estimated using a 251 procedure from Anderson and Domsch (1978). Soil samples were amended with 450 mg of 252 talc and 50 mg of powdered anhydrous glucose to maximize the respiration rate and incubated 253 for 2 h at 23 °C in slightly open ajar glass jars. Then, each sample was oxygenated for 4 min 254 and then incubated for 2 h at 23 °C in tightly closed glass jars. Then, 1 mL of their atmosphere was sampled in the head space with a syringe and injected into a gas 255 256 chromatograph (Chrompack CHROM 3 – CP 9001) to analyse CO<sub>2</sub> production by microbial 257 oxidation of glucose. The gas chromatograph was equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a packed column (Porapack). The carrier gas helium flow was regulated at 258 60 mL.h<sup>-1</sup>. Ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were subtracted from sampled CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations 259 260 and resulting values were adjusted at 22 °C according to Ideal Gas Laws using a Q10 = 2. SIR 261 was converted into microbial biomass (MB) using the relation established by Beare et al. 262 (1990). Then, the metabolic quotient  $(qCO_2)$ , which is a sensitive eco-physiological indicator 263 of soil stress induced by environmental conditions (Anderson, 2003), was obtained by 264 calculating the SBR/MB ratio.

Glomalin-related soil protein (GRSP), a fungal glycoprotein synthetized by certain arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi involved in soil quality (Zhu and Miller, 2003; Rillig et al., 2004) and MM chelation (Cornejo et al., 2008; Vodnik et al., 2008), was quantified. Three analytical replicates were made for each sample. GRSP was extracted by repeated autoclaving extraction (Advantage-Lab ALO2-05) from 0.5 g of 40 °C dried soil in 4 mL of 50 mM 270 citrate pH 8.0 at 121 °C for 60 min. After each autoclaving cycle, the supernatant was 271 removed by centrifugation (Hettich Zentrifugen Universal 320R) at 7000 g for 20 min. The 272 extraction cycles were repeated until the supernatant had lost its dark brown-red color (8 273 cycles). Supernatants of the different extraction cycles of the same analytical replica were 274 pooled and then centrifuged at 7000 g for 10 min. GRSP content was measured in 1 mL 275 supernatant by spectrophotometric dosage (595 nm) according to Bradford method, by using a 276 Bovin Serum Albumin solution as standard. Finally, GRSP concentration was converted to g.kg<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil. 277

278

# 279 **2.4. Runoff water analysis**

Runoff water were collected on each E and Cn plots over the period from 24 January 2019 to 15 April 2019 during which there was 97.75 mm of rainfall. MM concentrations in runoff water ( $MM_{water}$ ) were determined by ICP-AES (Jobin Yvon Horiba, Spectra 2000) for As, Cu, Pb and Zn, for each E and Cn plot in order to evaluate MM transfer by hydric erosion. Before that, 20 mL of runoff water were filtered to 0.45 µm, and 0.2 mL of nitric acid (Trace metal grade HNO<sub>3</sub>) were added to them. Quantity of each MM exported in runoff water ( $MM_{water}$ ) was calculated according to the formula:

287

## 288 Eq. 4. $MM_{water} = [MM]_{water} / water volume of the collector$

289

## 290 **2.5. Vegetation analysis**

Vegetation analysis was performed in order to characterize the structure (plant cover), the composition (richness, diversity, equitability) and the sustainability (Raunkier plant life-form, Grime adaptative strategy) of each plant community. Cn plots, which were unvegetated at the beginning of this study, were considered in plant community analysis because plants established during the experiment.

- Plant cover was estimated by different percentage class: < 10 %, 10-25 %, 25-50 %, 50-75 %,</li>
  > 75 % (Braun-Blanquet et al., 1952). The following indices were estimated along with
  presence/absence and abundance field data: specific richness, Shannon's diversity index, and
  Pielou's equitability index (Wheater et al., 2011).
- Plant functional traits (Garnier and Navas, 2013; Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2016) were
  studied from BASECO database (Gachet et al., 2005). Percentages of plant species in a plot
  per Raunkier plant life-form (geophyte, chamaephyte, hemicryptophyte, therophyte,
  phanerophyte) correspond to the ratio of the number of species in a plot with a given Raunkier

304 life-form on the total number of species in a plot. Percentages of plant species in a plot per 305 Grime adaptative strategy (stress tolerant, competitive stress tolerant, ruderal stress tolerant, 306 competitive ruderal stress tolerant) correspond to the ratio of the number of species in a plot 307 with a given Grime adaptative strategy on the total number of species in a plot.

308

## 309 **2.6. Statistical analysis**

310 Statistical analyses were conducted with R (3.4.2 version). *P*-values were considered as 311 significant when they were less than or equal to 0.05.

- The two plant categories in E plots (i.e. planted and spontaneous) have been considered without distinction in statistical analysis because it was not possible to discriminate on field planted individuals from spontaneous individuals which came from vegetative propagation or seedlings from seeds of planted individuals or from the soil seed bank.
- Kruskal-Wallis tests, followed by Dunn's post-hoc tests, were carried out to study the impact of experimental treatment (Cn, E, Cp) on soil (physicochemical and microbial) and plant community characteristics. Mann-Whitney tests were performed to study the impact of experimental treatment (Cn, E) on MM transfer in runoff water.
- 320 A path analysis was performed to evaluate the impacts of MM soil contamination on 321 ecological restoration dynamic and the impacts of vegetal succession on agronomic and 322 microbial soil quality. Path analysis is a particular case of structural equation modelling 323 (SEM). Its permits to represent causal networks between several measured variables and to 324 test model data consistency (Grace, 2006). Path analysis was performed by using the lavaan 325 package (Rosseel, 2012). A conceptual model was developed from a priori knowledge 326 consistent with our data and having biological sense (Fig. C). Five groups of measured 327 variables were created by using axis 1 coordinates of principal component analysis (PCA): 328 MM soil contamination ([As]tot, [Cu]tot, [Pb]tot, [Zn]tot; PCA axis 1 explaining 84.5 % of the variation), MM mobilization in soil and transfer by water erosion ([As]<sub>mob</sub>, [Cu]<sub>mob</sub>, [Pb]<sub>mob</sub>, 329 [Zn]<sub>mob</sub>, [As]<sub>H2O</sub>, [Cu]<sub>H2O</sub>, [Pb]<sub>H2O</sub>, [Zn]<sub>H2O</sub>; PCA axis 1 explaining 49.6 % of the variation), 330 331 agronomic soil quality (pH, TOC, TKN, C/N, P, CEC, clay percentage; PCA axis 1 332 explaining 55.9 % of the variation), microbial activities and biomass (SBR, MB, GRSP; PCA 333 axis 1 explaining 88.2 % of the variation), plant community parameters (plant cover, specific 334 diversity index, Pielou's richness. Shannon's equitability index, chamaephytes, 335 hemicryptophytes and competitive stress tolerant species; PCA axis 1 explaining 74.2 % of 336 the variation). The full model was simplified by stepwise exclusion of non-significant 337 variables until a minimal adequate model was reached. The adequacy of the model was

338 determined by non-significant differences between predicted and observed covariance 339 matrices (chisquared test, P > 0.05), a low Root Mean Squared Error (RMSEA < 0.10), a high 340 Comparative Fit Index (CFI > 0.90) and a low Standardized Root Mean Square Residual 341 (SRMR < 0.08) (Grace, 2006; Rosseel, 2012). The selected model does not consider MM 342 mobilization in soil and transfer by hydric erosion because these variables do not permit to 343 obtain an adequate model. Thus, Spearman correlation tests (correlation between two 344 variables) were performed in order to test the relationship between MM mobilization in soil 345 and transfer by hydric erosion and MM soil contamination, agronomic soil quality, microbial 346 activities and biomass, plant cover, diversity and sustainability.

347

## **348 3. Results**

# 349 **3.1. Soil physicochemical characteristics**

Six parameters were significantly affected by the experimental treatment (Tables 1 and A). pH was 11 % higher for Cn than for Cp plots (Table 1). TOC content was 3.9 and 1.9 times higher in Cp than in Cn and E plots, respectively (Fig. 2a, Table 1). CEC was 2.1 and 1.4 times higher in Cp than in Cn and E plots, respectively (Fig. 2b, Table 1). TKN was 2 times higher in Cp and E than in Cn plots (Fig. 2c, Table 1). As<sub>mob</sub> percentage was 2.1 times higher in Cp than in Cn plots (Table 1). Zn<sub>mob</sub> percentage was respectively 1.7 and 1.4 times higher in Cp and E than in Cn plots (Table 1).

357

#### 358 **3.2. Soil microbial properties**

Two parameters were significantly affected by the experimental treatments (Tables 1 and A). SBR was 4.2 and 5.2 times higher in Cp than in Cn and E plots, respectively (Table 1). GRSP content was 2.8 and 2.1 times higher in Cp than in Cn and E plots, respectively (Fig. 2d, Table 1).

363

## **364 3.3. Vegetation characteristics**

Ten parameters were significantly affected by the experimental treatments (Tables 1 and A). Plant cover was respectively 40.0 and 21.7 times higher in Cp and E plots than in Cn plots (Fig. 3a); specific richness was respectively 6.7 and 9.0 times higher in Cp and E plots than in Cn plots; Shannon's diversity index was respectively 8.3 and 9.6 times higher in Cp and E plots than in Cn plots (Fig. 3b); Pielou's equitability index was respectively 26.3 and 25.0 times higher in Cp and E plots than for Cn plots; percentage of hemicryptophyte species respectively 18.1 and 9.0 times higher in Cp and E plots than for Cn plots (Fig. 3c); 372 percentage of competitive stress tolerant species respectively 83.3 and 29.8 times higher in Cp 373 and E plots than for Cn plots (Fig. 3d); percentage of chamaephyte species respectively 28.7 374 and 25.0 times higher in Cp and E plots than for Cn plots (Table 1). Cp plots presented a 375 percentage of competitive stress tolerant species 2.8 times higher than in E plots (Fig. 3d, 376 Table 1). E and Cn plots presented a percentage of therophyte species respectively 16.7 and 377 14.7 times higher than in Cp plots (Fig. 3e, Table 1). E plots had a percentage of ruderal stress 378 tolerant species 3.3 and 7.0 times higher than in Cp and Cn plots, respectively (Fig. 3f, Table 379 1). In E plots, most of the transplanted native plant species remained and other spontaneous 380 plant species appeared such as Sonchus bulbosus (L.) N. Kilian & Greuter, 2003, Linaria 381 supina (L.) Chaz., 1790 or Centranthus calcitrapae (L.) Dufr., 1811. Spontaneous plant 382 species also appeared in Cn plots (Table B).

383

# 384 **3.4.** Correlations between soil, runoff water and plant community characteristics

Path analysis (Fig. 4) showed that MM soil contamination negatively affected vegetation characteristics (i.e. plant cover, diversity and sustainability) and agronomic soil quality. Vegetation characteristics positively affected agronomic soil quality. Agronomic soil quality positively affected soil microbial properties (i.e. microbial biomass and activities). MM soil contamination and vegetation characteristics showed indirect effects on soil microbial properties mediated by degradation and improvement of agronomic soil quality, respectively.

391 Spearman correlation tests showed that MM soil contamination was positively correlated to 392 MM mobilization in soil and transfer by hydric erosion (r = 0.89, P < 0.001).

393

#### **4. Discussion**

The aim of this study was to evaluate the evolution of the ecological restoration trajectory and the effectiveness of phytostabilization at the peri-urban and protected site of the Escalette by a comparative *in situ* monitoring of soil properties, MM concentrations in soils and in runoff water and, characteristics of plant communities.

399

# 400 **4.1. Evaluation of ecological restoration success after three years**

Three attributes are mainly used to evaluate the success of an ecological restoration operation
(Ruiz-Jaen and Mitchell Aide, 2005) out of the nine defined by the Society of Ecological
Restoration (SER, 2016): diversity of the organism communities (richness, abundance),
vegetation structure (cover, height, biomass) and ecological processes (biotic interactions,

405 nutrient and organic matter cycles). Compared to the reference plant community (i.e. a young 406 Mediterranean scrubland for the present study), these features are milestones enabling to 407 assess the ecosystem position on the restoration trajectory. When ecosystem disturbances are 408 due to industrial pollution such as in this study site, the level of soil contamination is also an 409 important parameter to consider in assessing the environmental physical conditions because it 410 affects the composition, structure and functioning of the ecosystem (Wong, 2003; Li, 2006).

411 At the Escalette site, plant communities in the reference plots (Cp plots, young Mediterranean 412 scrubland) and in the ecological restoration plots (E plots) had similar composition, relatively 413 low diversity and high equitability during the study. However, they differed by their plant 414 community structure and functions. In the reference plots, plant communities formed a 415 completely permanent vegetation cover mainly shaped by hemicryptophytes and 416 chamaephytes with competitive stress tolerant strategy. However, only a partial permanent 417 plant cover occurred in ecological restoration plots (planted and spontaneous 418 hemicryptophytes and chamaephytes with competitive stress tolerant strategy) with also 419 annual species forming a more or less temporary vegetation cover (therophytes with ruderal 420 and tolerant stress strategies) (Garnier and Navas, 2013; Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2016). 421 Establishment of a dense and permanent vegetation cover is a central element for the success 422 of an ecological restoration operation (Cortina et al., 2011). Indeed, this allows the creation of 423 favorable micro-niches to the establishment of new local plant species by improving 424 environmental conditions, notably edaphic ones, thus favoring plant succession and soil 425 microbial functions (Padilla and Pugnaire, 2006; Padilla et al., 2009). At the Escalette site, the 426 establishment of a large, diversified and sustainable plant cover is negatively affected by soil 427 MM contamination. Indeed, soil contamination can constrain plant establishment and persistence because MM may cause toxic, oxidative and/or hydric stresses on plants leading 428 429 to disruption of physiological processes (i.e. respiration, photosynthesis, water and nutrients 430 absorption) essential for plant growth and development (Baryla et al., 2001; Yadav, 2010; 431 Michalak, 2016). Moreover, MM contamination adds to intrinsic abiotic stress (i.e. scarce and 432 scattered rain events, high summer temperatures, wind) which can strongly constraint plant 433 establishment, growth and development (Bolan et al., 2011; Kumar Yadav et al., 2018).

434

Soil quality is also an important parameter to consider in an ecological restoration operation
because soil functioning recovery is essential to pass the resilience threshold (Heneghan et al.,
2008). Overall, the Escalette soils were characterized by poor agronomic quality (low organic

438 matter, nutrient contents, high C/N ratio) (Thomas et al., 2006; Gobat et al., 2013). The high

439 MM soil contamination in this site negatively impacted the agronomic soil quality. A 440 competition for adsorption onto soil particles can occur between toxic MM and essential 441 cations for plant development (Adriano et al., 2004; Bolan et al., 2011; Kumar Yadav et al., 442 2018). This competition is governed by physicochemical soil parameters (MM concentrations, 443 redox potential, cation exchange capacity, organic matter content and in particular pH) which 444 influence MM distribution between solid soil phase and soil solution thus affecting MM 445 mobility and bioavailability (Adriano et al., 2004; Bolan et al., 2011). Moreover, microbial 446 communities were submitted to significant stress (high metabolic quotient whatever the 447 treatment) due to the indirect negative effect of high MM contamination on microbial 448 properties mediated by degradation of soil quality. This resulted in low microbial biomass as 449 previously reported by Kızılkaya et al. (2004) and Gülser and Erdoğan (2008). This low 450 biomass can be explained by a metabolic quotient increase. This indicates that sensitive 451 microorganisms decrease whereas resistant microorganisms increase their respiration activity 452 to maintain their basal metabolism at the expense of biosynthesis, resulting in their biomass 453 decrease (Fließbach et al., 1994; Friedlová, 2010).

454

455 However, plant community differences between the reference plots, the ecological restoration 456 plots and the unvegetated plots (Cn plots) induced soil properties variations. The completely 457 permanent vegetation cover in the reference plots improved agronomic soil quality and 458 therefore soil microbial activities and biomass compared to the ecological restoration and 459 unvegetated plots. This may be due to regular litter input resulting in soil enrichment in 460 organic matter and nutrients which increase microbial activities and biomass as reported by 461 Kızılkaya et al. (2004), Gülser and Erdoğan (2008) and Friedlová (2010). Among these 462 microbial activities, glomalin secretion by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi was higher in the 463 reference plots compared to the ecological restoration and unvegetated plots. This 464 glycoprotein is known to enhance soil organic matter content (Rillig et al., 2001; Zhu and 465 Miller, 2003), soil aggregation and structural stability (Rillig, 2004). In the ecological 466 restoration plots, soil nitrogen content was higher than in the unvegetated ones. The 467 occurrence of C. juncea individuals in the ecological restoration plots, a leguminous engineer 468 plant in association with nitrogen-fixing bacteria and endomycorrhizal fungi (Carrasco et al., 469 2011), may facilitate other plant establishment by enhancing soil quality in particular nitrogen 470 content (Padilla and Pugnaire, 2006).

472 Results of this study suggest that an ecological restoration trajectory was initiated at the 473 ecological restoration plots (Fig. 5) according to the floristic composition and vegetation 474 analysis processed in the Calanques National Park by Heckenroth et al. (2016b). Several 475 hypotheses on ecological restoration trajectory evolution can be emitted. On the one hand, 476 similarities of plant communities between the reference and the ecological restoration plots 477 and presence of a partial annual plant cover at the ecological restoration plots may indicate 478 that these plant communities are at an earlier stage of vegetation succession relative to the 479 reference plots (sensu Aronson et al., 1993). Thus, ecological restoration trajectory may tend 480 towards reference state, i.e. a young Mediterranean scrubland. On the other hand, differences 481 in ecological niches between the reference plots and the ecological restoration plots (MM 482 contamination heterogeneity, the occurrence of Aleppo pines close to Cp plots creating 483 shading and litter inputs) can lead to the establishment of alternative stable states at the 484 ecological restoration plots. Indeed, during an ecological restoration operation in 485 Mediterranean area with limited human intervention, return to the reference state is rarely 486 reached because aridity may keep the ecosystem below the irreversibility threshold (Aronson, 487 1993; Young et al., 2001, 2005).

488

#### 489 **4.2. Evaluation of phytostabilization success after three years**

490 MM mobilization in soil and MM transfer by water erosion were not significantly different 491 between the unvegetated and the ecological restoration plots. It was therefore not possible to 492 demonstrate the stabilizing effect of soil contamination by plant communities and their 493 associated microorganisms. This may be due to the temporary and removable runoff water 494 collectors which were not really adapted to the scarce and scattered rain events which 495 occurred during the study period. However, this system implementation was restricted by 496 PNCal regulations in this protected area. Most of the studies used microcosms equipped with 497 a downstream water collector to recover only runoff water from the plot (Bochet et al., 1998; 498 Zhang et al., 2004; Martínez Raya et al., 2006). But, in this study, plots were not isolated from 499 the local ecosystem. Thus, the runoff water recovered in collectors came from the plots 500 themselves but also may come from upstream areas, generating a response more at the level 501 of the ecosystem watershed than the plots.

502

503 After three years, no significant effect of soil agronomic quality, microbial and plant 504 communities on MM immobilization and transfer were detected, although the literature 505 indicates that improvement of soil quality, microbial functionalities, plant cover and 506 sustainability allow enhancing MM immobilization (Mendez et al., 2007; Mendez and Maier, 507 2008; Valentín- Vargas et al., 2014). This result may be due to several parameters. Firstly, in 508 this study, improvement of soil physicochemical properties was only related to the action of 509 engineering native plant species and associated microorganisms, contrary to most 510 phytostabilization studies using amendments (Rizzi et al., 2004; Radziemska et al., 2018). 511 Thus, at the ecological restoration plots, improving the soil quality exclusively by plants and 512 their impact on MM retention may take more time than when using amendments which hasten 513 MM toxicity reduction and limit their bioavailability by adsorption and complexation 514 processes (Rizzi et al., 2004; Mendez and Maier, 2008; Epelde et al., 2009; Radziemska et al., 515 2018). Then, MM immobilization by microorganisms by biosorption, bioaccumulation and 516 transformation (Adriano et al., 2004; Khan, 2005; Ma et al., 2011) is limited by low biomass 517 and microbial activities at the ecological restoration plots. Finally, the temporary vegetation 518 cover of annual species with shallow roots may have not allowed a sustainable stabilization of 519 MM contamination at the ecological restoration plots. Phytostabilization is enhanced by 520 persistent and dense root systems and plant cover that reduce soil erosion by decreasing 521 runoff water, protecting soil surface, increasing water infiltration and soil quality (Bochet et 522 al., 1998; 2006; Durán Zuazo et al., 2006; 2008; Bolan et al., 2011) and immobilizing MM in 523 roots and/or rhizosphere (Adriano et al., 2004; Pilon-Smits, 2005; Bolan et al., 2011). 524 Therefore, without amendment inputs and under drastic Mediterranean environmental 525 conditions, native plant communities and their associated microorganisms may need more 526 time before improving MM stabilization by enhancing physicochemical soil parameters 527 involved in MM retention and by biological mechanisms. Thus, a longer observation period 528 may be necessary to conclude on a potential phytostabilization effect of these plant 529 communities. It would also be interesting to take into account other aspects of soil microbial 530 communities (litter decomposition, C and N mineralization, functional diversity) which are 531 driving parameters for good management of soil MM contamination (Brookes, 1995; 532 Friedlová, 2010, Colin et al., 2019).

533

## 534 **5.** Conclusions

The objectives of this study were to evaluate the evolution of restoration trajectory at the ecological restoration plots and the effectiveness of soil MM stabilization *via* soil, runoff water and plant community analyses. Three years after the implementation of the ecological restoration plots at the Escalette site, it appears that the mobilized ecological engineering tools have enabled the establishment of perennial and annual plant communities at these plots. 540 However, there is no evidence of a significant improvement of soil quality and 541 phytostabilization efficiency. This may be due to the non-use of amendments and a too short 542 observation period.

543 The active restoration actions carried out in this Mediterranean peri-urban and MM 544 contaminated area, based on abiotic (creation of cultivation terraces) and biotic (native plant 545 species planting) filter handling by less intrusive techniques on the environment adequate 546 with regulations of a protected area, seem to allow the creation of micro-niches favorable to 547 the establishment of resilient dynamics of the native plant communities. The obtained results 548 support the fact that it is preferable to intervene in these environments rather than to leave in 549 place unvegetated screes with risks of erosion of contaminated soil particles and transfer to 550 the food web.

551

#### 552 Acknowledgements

553 The authors thank the Calanques National Park and in particular Lidwine Le Mire-Pécheux 554 for having authorized this research work within the Calanques National Park and for having 555 financially supported the MSc training and the PhD research of Lorène Tosini. Many thanks 556 to the Administrative management of Departmental Areas Service of the Bouches-du-Rhône 557 departmental council and particularly Gwenola Michel for allowing access to the study site. 558 The doctoral school ED 251 in Environmental Sciences from Aix-Marseille University is 559 acknowledged for the PhD grant of Lorène Tosini. Many thanks to the ECCOREV foundation 560 for the financial support (RISK project 2018), to Paul Monsara and the students from the 561 Lycée Agricole des Calanques for the technical help in the implementation of the 562 experimental plots and A\*MIDEX foundation (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), funded by the Investissements d'Avenir French Government program, managed by the French National 563 564 Research Agency (ANR) for financing the SynTerCalM project. We also thank Alexandra 565 Lignereux for revising the English of this text.

566

#### 567 **References**

- Adriano, D.C., Wenzel, W.W., Vangronsveld, J., Bolan, N.S., 2004. Role of assisted natural
  remediation in environmental cleanup. Geoderma. 122, 121–142.
- Affholder, M.C., 2013. Approche des mécanismes de tolérance du romarin aux éléments
  traces métalliques et métalloïdes : perspectives pour une phytostabilisation des sols
  méditerranéens pollués. Doctoral thesis, Aix-Marseille University, 325p.

- Affholder, M.C., Pricop, A.D., Laffont-Schwob, I., Coulomb, B., Rabier, J., Borla, A.,
  Demelas, C., Prudent P., 2014. As, Pb, Sb, and Zn transfer from soil to root of wild
  rosemary: do native symbionts matter? Plant Soil. 382, 219–236.
- Affre, L., Dumas, P.J., Dumas, E., Laffont-Schwob, I., Tatoni, T., 2015. Regard écologique
  sur le recul stratégique : atouts et risques pour la diversité végétale péri-urbaine
  marseillaise. Vertigo. Hors-série 21, Droit des risques littoraux et changement
  climatique : connaissance, anticipation et innovation. 14p.
- Aillaud, G.J., Crouzet, A., 1988. Un milieu difficile, des plantes adaptées : la végétation
  littorale du Sud du golfe de Marseille. Centre Régional de Documentation
  Pédagogique, Marseille. 50p.
- Anderson, J.P.E., Domsch, K.H., 1978. A physiological method for the quantitative
  measurement of microbial biomass in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 10, 215–221.
- Anderson, T.H., 2003. Microbial eco-physiological indicators to asses soil quality. Agr.
  Ecosyst. Environ. 98, 285–293.
- Aronson, J., Floret, C., Le Floc'h, E., Ovalle, C., Pontanier, R., 1993. Restoration and
  rehabilitation of degraded ecosystems in arid and semi-arid lands View from the
  South. Restor. Ecol. 1, 8–17.
- Baker, A.J.M., Ernst, W.H.O., van der Ent, A., Malaisse, F., Ginocchio, R., 2010.
  Metallophytes: the unique biological resource, its ecology and conservational status in
  Europe, central Africa and Latin America, in: Batty, L.C., Hallberg, K.B. (ed.),
  Ecology of industrial pollution. Cambridge University Press, pp. 7–40.
- Ballesteros, M., Cañadas, E.M., Marrs, R.H., Foronda, A., Martín- Peinado, F.J., Lorite, J.,
  2017. Restoration of Gypsicolous Vegetation on Quarry Slopes: Guidance for
  Hydroseeding under Contrasting Inclination and Aspect. Land Degrad. Develop. 28,
  2146–2154.
- Baryla, A., Carrier, P., Franck, F., Coulomb, C., Sahut, C., Havaux, M., 2001. Leaf chlorosis
  in oilseed rape plants (*Brassica napus*) grown on cadmium-polluted soil: causes and
  consequences for photosynthesis and growth. Planta. 212, 696–709.
- Beare, M.H., Neely, C.L., Coleman, D.C., Hargrove, W.L., 1990. A substrate-induced
  respiration (SIR) method for measurement of fungal and bacterial biomass on plant
  residues. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22, 585–594.
- Bochet, E., Rubio, J.L., Poesen, J., 1998. Relative efficiency of three representative matorral
  species in reducing water erosion at the microscale in a semi-arid climate (Valencia,
  Spain). Geomorphology. 23, 139–150.

- Bochet, E., Poesen, J., Rubio, J.L., 2006. Runoff and soil loss under individual plants of a
  semi-arid Mediterranean shrubland: influence of plant morphology and rainfall
  intensity. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms. 31, 536–549.
- Bolan, N.S., Park, J.H., Robinson, B., Naidu, R., Huh K.Y., 2011. Phytostabilization: a green
  approach to contaminant containment. Adv. Agron. 112, 145-204.
- Braun-Blanquet J., Roussine N., Nègre R., 1952. Les groupements végétaux de la France
  méditerranéenne. Dir. Carte Group. Vég. Afr. Nord, CNRS. 297p.
- Brookes, P.C., 1995. The use of microbial parameters in monitoring soil pollution by heavy
  metals. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 19, 269–279.
- 616 Callaway, R.M., 2007. Positive interactions and interdependence in plant communities, ed.
  617 Springer. 415p.
- 618 Carrasco, L., Azcón, R., Kohler, J., Roldán, A., Caravaca, F., 2011. Comparative effects of
  619 native filamentous and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the establishment of an
  620 autochthonous, leguminous shrub growing in a metal-contaminated soil. Sci. Total
  621 Environ. 409, 1205–1209.
- Colin, Y., Goberna, M., Verdú, M., Navarro-Cano, J.A., 2019. Successional trajectories of
  soil bacterial communities in mine tailings: The role of plant functional traits. Journal
  of Environmental Management 241, 284–292.
- 625 Cornejo, P., Meier, S., Borie, G., Rillig, M. C., Borie, F., 2008. Glomalin-related soil protein
  626 in a Mediterranean ecosystem affected by a copper smelter and its contribution to Cu
  627 and Zn sequestration. Sci. Total Environ. 406, 154–160.
- Cortina, J., Amat, B., Castillo, V., Fuentes, D., Maestre, F.T., Padilla, F.M., Rojo, L., 2011.
  The restoration of vegetation cover in the semi-arid Iberian southeast. J. Arid Environ.
  75, 1377–1384.
- Daumalin, X., Raveux, O., 2016. A region scarred by pollution, in: REF2C (ed.), Pollution of
   Marseille's industrial Calanques: the impact of the past on the present. pp. 131–187.
- Durán Zuazo, V. H., Martínez, J. R. F., Pleguezuelo, C. R. R., Martínez Raya, A., Rodríguez,
  B. C., 2006. Soil-erosion and runoff prevention by plant covers in a mountainous area
  (SE spain): implications for sustainable agriculture. Environmentalist. 26, 309–319.
- Durán Zuazo, V. H., Rodríguez Pleguezuelo, C. R., Francia Martínez, J. R., Cárceles
  Rodríguez, B., Martínez Raya, A., Pérez Galindo, P., 2008. Harvest intensity of
  aromatic shrubs vs. soil erosion: an equilibrium for sustainable agriculture (SE Spain).
  CATENA. 73, 107–116.

- Epelde, L., Becerril, J.M., Mijangos, I., and Garbisu, C., 2009. Evaluation of the efficiency of
  a phytostabilization process with biological indicators of soil health. J. Environ. Qual.
  38, 2041–2049.
- European Commission, 2011. The EU biodiversity strategy to 2020. 27p.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2015. Les sols sont une
  ressource non renouvelable. 4p.
- Faucon, M. P., Colinet, G., Mahy, G., Ngongo Luhembwe, M., Verbruggen, N., Meerts, P.,
  2009. Soil influence on Cu and Co uptake and plant size in the cuprophytes *Crepidorhopalon perennis* and *C. tenuis (Scrophulariaceae)* in SC Africa. Plant Soil.
  317, 201–212.
- Fließbach, A., Martens, R., Reber, H., 1994. Soil microbial biomass and microbial activity in
  soils treated with heavy metal contaminated sewage sludge. Soil Biol. Biochem. 26,
  1201–1205.
- Frérot, H., Lefèbvre, C., Gruber, W., Collin, C., Santos, A.D., Escarré, J., 2006. Specific
  interactions between local metallicolous plants improve the phytostabilization of mine
  soils. Plant Soil. 282, 53–65.
- Friedlová, M., 2010. The influence of heavy metals on soil biological and chemical
  properties. Soil Water Res. 5, 21–27.
- Gachet, S., Véla, E., Tatoni, T., 2005. BASECO: a floristic and ecological database of
  Mediterranean French flora. Biodivers. Conserv. 14, 1023–1034.
- 660 Garnier, E., Navas, M.L., 2013. Diversité fonctionnelle des plantes, ed. De Boeck Supérieur.
- Ghazaryan, K.A., Movsesyan, H.S., Minkina, T.M., Sushkova, S.N., Rajput, V.D., 2019. The
  identification of phytoextraction potential of *Melilotus officinalis* and *Amaranthus retroflexus* growing on copper and molybdenum polluted soils. Environ. Geochem.
  Health. pp 1–9.
- Gobat, J.M., Aragano, M., Matthey, W., 2013. Le sol vivant Bases de pédologie Biologie
  des sols, ed. Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes. 817p.
- 667 Grace, J.B., 2006. Structural equation modeling and natural systems, ed. Cambridge
  668 University Press. 378p.
- Gülser, F., Erdoğan, E., 2008. The effects of heavy metal pollution on enzyme activities and
  basal soil respiration of roadside soils. Environ. Monit. Assess. 145, 127–133.
- Heckenroth, A., Prudent, P., Rabier, J., Monsara, P., Desrousseaux, M., Laffont-Schwob, I.,
  2016a. What are the potential environmental solutions for diffuse pollution?, in:

- 673 REF2C (ed.), Pollution of Marseille's industrial Calanques: the impact of the past on
  674 the present, pp. 291–328.
- Heckenroth, A., Rabier, J., Dutoit, T., Torre, F., Prudent, P., Laffont-Schwob, I., 2016b.
  Selection of native plants with phytoremediation potential for highly contaminated
  Mediterranean soil restoration: tools for a non-destructive and integrative approach. J.
  Environ. Manage. 183, 850–863.
- Heckenroth, A., Rabier, J., Monsara, P., Le Mire-Pecheux, L., Prudent, P., Sastre, C., LaffontSchwob, I., 2017. Mise en place d'une approche intégrée pour la restauration
  écologique des milieux pollués du Parc national des Calanques. Naturae. 9, 1–14.
- Heneghan, L., Miller, S.P., Baer, S., Callaham, M.A., Montgomery, J., Pavao-Zuckerman, M.,
  Rhoades, C.C., Richardson, S., 2008. Integrating soil ecological knowledge into
  restoration management. Restor. Ecol. 16, 608–617.
- Ilunga wa Ilunga, E., Mahy, G., Piqueray, J., Séleck, M., Shutcha, M.N., Meerts, P., Faucon
  M.P., 2015. Plant functional traits as a promising tool for the ecological restoration of
  degraded tropical metal-rich habitats and revegetation of metal-rich bare soils: a case
  study in copper vegetation of Katanga, DRC. Ecol. Eng. 82, 214–221.
- Jaunatre, R., Buisson, E., Dutoit, T., 2012. First-year results of a multi-treatment steppe
  restoration experiment in La Crau (Provence, France). Plecevo 145, 13–23.
- Khan, A. G., 2005. Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace metal
  contaminated soils in phytoremediation. J. Trace. Elem. Med. Bio. 18, 355–364.
- Kızılkaya, R., Aşkın, T., Bayraklı, B., Sağlam, M., 2004. Microbiological characteristics of
  soils contaminated with heavy metals. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 40, 95–102.
- Knoerr, A., 1959. Le milieu, la flore, la végétation, la biologie des halophytes, dans l'archipel
  de Riou et sur la côte Sud de Marseille. Thèse de doctorat, Aix-Marseille Université,
  France. 420p.
- Kumar Yadav, K., Gupta, N., Kumar, A., Reece, L.M., Singh, N., Rezania, S., Ahmad Khan,
  S., 2018. Mechanistic understanding and holistic approach of phytoremediation: a
  review on application and future prospects. Ecol. Eng. 120, 274–298.
- Laffont-Schwob, I., Heckenroth, A., Rabier, J., Masotti, V., Oursel, B., Prudent, P., 2016.
  Diffuse and widespread modern-day pollution, in: REF2C (ed.), Pollution of
  Marseille's industrial Calangues: the impact of the past on the present. pp. 204–249.
- Li, M.S., 2006. Ecological restoration of mineland with particular reference to the
  metalliferous mine wasteland in China: a review of research and practice. Sci. Total
  Environ. 357, 38–53.

- Ma, Y., Prasad, M. N. V., Rajkumar, M., Freitas, H., 2011. Plant growth promoting
  rhizobacteria and endophytes accelerate phytoremediation of metalliferous soils.
  Biotechnol. Adv. 29, 248–258.
- Marchiol, L., Fellet, G., Boscutti, F., Montella, C., Mozzi, R., Guarino, C., 2013. Gentle
  remediation at the former "Pertusola Sud" zinc smelter: evaluation of native species
  for phytoremediation purposes. Ecol. Eng. 53, 343–353.
- Marrugo-Negrete, J., Durango-Hernández, J., Pinedo-Hernández, J., Olivero-Verbel, J., Díez,
  S., 2015. Phytoremediation of mercury-contaminated soils by *Jatropha curcas*.
  Chemosphere. 127, 58–63.
- Martínez Raya, A., Durán Zuazo, V.H., Francia Martínez, J.R., 2006. Soil erosion and runoff
  response to plant-cover strips on semiarid slopes (SE Spain). Land Degrad. Develop.
  17, 1–11.
- Mendez, M.O., Glenn, E.P., Maier, R.M., 2007. Phytostabilization potential of quailbush for
  mine tailings: growth, metal accumulation, and microbial community changes. J.
  Environ. Qual. 36, 245–253.
- Mendez, M.O., Maier, R., 2008. Phytostabilization of mine tailings in arid and semiarid
  environments an emerging remediation technology. Enviro. Health Persp. 116, 278–
  283.
- Michalak, A., 2016. Phenolic compounds and their antioxidant activity in plants growing
  under heavy metal stress. Pol. J. Environ. 15, 523–530.
- NF ISO 11263, 1995. Qualité du sol Dosage du phosphore Dosage spectrométrique du
   phosphore soluble dans une solution d'hydrogénocarbonate de sodium. 6p.
- NF ISO 11261, 1995. Qualité du sol Dosage de l'azote total Méthode de Kjeldahl modifiée.
  4p.
- NF ISO 10694, 1995. Qualité du sol Dosage du carbone organique et du carbone total après
  combustion sèche (analyse élémentaire). 7p.
- 733 NF ISO 11466, 1995. Qualité du sol Extraction des éléments en traces solubles dans l'eau
  734 régale. 6p.
- 735 NF ISO 10390, 2005. Qualité du sol Détermination du pH. 7p.
- NF X 31-107, 2003. Qualité du sol Détermination de la distribution granulométrique des
  particules du sol Méthode à la pipette. 6p.
- NF X 31-130, 1999. Qualité des sols Méthodes chimiques Détermination de la capacité
  d'échange cationique (CEC) et des cations extractibles. 7p.

- Padilla, F.M., Ortega, R., Sánchez, J., Pugnaire, F.I., 2009. Rethinking species selection for
  restoration of arid shrublands. Basic Appl. Ecol. 10, 640–647.
- Padilla, F.M., Pugnaire, F.I., 2006. The role of nurse plants in the restoration of degraded
  environments. Front. Ecol. Environ. 4, 196–202.
- Pauwels, M., Frérot, H., Bonnin, I., Saumitou-Laprade, P., 2006. A broad-scale analysis of
  population differentiation for Zn tolerance in an emerging model species for tolerance
  study: *Arabidopsis halleri (Brassicaceae)*. J. Evolution. Biol. 19, 1838–1850
- Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Lavorel, S., Poorter, H., Jaureguiberry, P.,
  Bret-Harte, M.S., Cornwell, W.K., Craine, J.M., Gurvich, D.E., Urcelay, C.,
  Veneklaas, E.J., Reich, P.B., Poorter, L., Wright, I.J., Ray, P., Enrico, L., Pausas, J.G.,
  de Vos, A.C., Buchmann, N., Funes, G., Quétier, F., Hodgson, J.G., Thompson, K.,
  Morgan, H.D., ter Steege, H., Sack, L., Blonder, B., Poschlod, P., Vaieretti, M.V.,
  Conti, G., Staver, A.C., Aquino, S., Cornelissen, J.H.C., 2016. New handbook for
  standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Aust. J. Bot. 61, 167–
- 754

234.

- 755 Pilon-Smits, E., 2005. Phytoremediation. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 56, 15–39.
- Quevauviller, P., 1998. Operationally defined extraction procedures for soil and sediment
  analyzis I. Standardization. Trends Anal. Chem. 17, 289–298.
- Quézel, P., Médail, F., 2003. Ecologie et biogéographie des forêts du bassin méditerranéen,
  ed. Elsevier, Paris. 576p.
- Radziemska, M., Koda, E., Bilgin, A., Vaverková, M. D., 2018. Concept of aided
  phytostabilization of contamined soil in postindustrial areas. Int. J. Environ. Res.
  Public Health. 24, 1–15.
- Rashed, M.N., 2010. Monitoring of contaminated toxic and heavy metals, from mine tailings
  through age accumulation, in soil and some wild plants at Southeast Egypt. J. Hazard.
  Mater. 178, 739–746.
- Rey, F., Burylo, M., 2014. Can bioengineering structures made of willow cuttings trap
  sediment in eroded marly gullies in a Mediterranean mountainous climate?
  Geomorphology 204, 564-572.
- Rillig, M.C., 2004. Arbuscular mycorrhizae, glomalin, and soil aggregation. Can. J. Soil Sci.
  84, 355–363.
- Rillig, M. C., Wright, S. F., Nichols, K. A., Schmidt, W. F., Torn, M. S., 2001. Large
  contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to soil carbon pools in tropical forest
  soils. Plant Soil. 233, 167–177.

- Rizzi, L., Petruzzelli, G., Poggio, G., Vigna Guidi, G., 2004. Soil physical changes and plant
  availability of Zn and Pb in a treatability test of phytostabilization. Chemosphere. 57,
  1039–1046.
- Rosseel, Y., 2012. lavaan: an *R* package for structural equation modeling. J. Stat. Soft. 48, 1–
  36.
- Ruiz-Jaen, M.C., Mitchell Aide, T., 2005. Restoration success: how is it being measured?
  Restor. Ecol. 13, 569–577.
- Society for Ecological Restoration (SER), 2004. The SER international primer on ecological
  restoration. 13p.
- Society for Ecological Restoration (SER), 2016. Standards internationaux pour la restauration
  écologique. 50p.
- Testiati, E., Parinet, J., Massiani, C., Laffont-Schwob, I., Rabier, J., Pfeifer, H.R., Lenoble,
  V., Masotti, V., Prudent, P., 2013. Trace metal and metalloid contamination levels in
  soils and in two native plant species of a former industrial site: evaluation of the
  phytostabilization potential. J. Hazard. Mater. 248–249, 131–141.
- Thomas, R.J., El-Dessougi, H., Tubeileh, A., 2006. Soil system management under arid and
  semiarid conditions, in: Uphoff, N. (ed.), Biological approaches to sustainable soil
  systems. CRC Press, pp. 41–55.
- Valentín-Vargas, A., Root, R.A., Neilson, J.W., Chorover, J., Maier, R.M., 2014.
  Environmental factors influencing the structural dynamics of soil microbial
  communities during assisted phytostabilization of acid-generating mine tailings: a
  mesocosm experiment. Sci. Total Environ. 500–501, 314–324.
- Vodnik, D., Grčman, H., Maček, I., van Elteren, J.T., Kovačevič, M., 2008. The contribution
  of glomalin-related soil protein to Pb and Zn sequestration in polluted soil. Sci. Total
  Environ. 392, 130–136.
- Wheater, C.P., Bell, J.R., Cook, P.A., 2011. Analyzing and interpreting information, in: John
  Wiley & Sons Ltd (ed.), Practical field ecology: a project guide. Wiley-Blackwell,
  Oxford, pp. 235–294.
- Wong, M.H., 2003. Ecological restoration of mine degraded soils, with emphasis on metal
  contaminated soils. Chemosphere. 50, 775–780.
- Yadav, S.K., 2010. Heavy metals toxicity in plants: an overview on the role of glutathione
  and phytochelatins in heavy metal stress tolerance of plants. S. Afr. J. Bot. 76, 167–
  179.

- Young, T.P., Chase, J.M., Huddleston, R.T., 2001. Comparing, contrasting and combining
  paradigms in the context of ecological restoration. Ecol. Restor.19, 5–8.
- Young, T.P., Petersen, D.A., Clary, J.J., 2005. The ecology of restoration: historical links,
  emerging issues and unexplored realms. Ecol. Lett. 662–673.
- Zhang, B., Yang, Y., Zepp, H., 2004. Effect of vegetation restoration on soil and water
  erosion and nutrient losseqs of a severely eroded clayey Plinthudult in southeastern
  China. Catena. 57, 77–90.
- Zhu, Y. G., Miller, R. M., 2003. Carbon cycling by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil-plant
  systems. Trends Plant Sci. 8, 407–409.

- 817 Figure captions
- 818

Figure 1. Location of (a) the Escalette site in the Calanques National Park (modified after Heckenroth et al., 2016b), (b) the Escalette lead smelting factory, horizontal chimney and study area (source: Google Earth), (c) Cn plots (red, negative control), E plots (yellow, ecological restoration plot) and Cp plots (green, positive control) plots in the study area (source: Géoportail) and (d) characteristics of Cn, E and Cp plots.

824

Figure 2. Total organic carbon (TOC) concentration, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) concentration, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and glomalin-related soil protein (GRSP) concentration in Cn plots (negative control, n = 5), E plots (ecological restoration plots, n = 5) and Cp plots (positive control, n = 5). Different letters above boxplots indicate a significant difference between plots for a given parameter ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

830

Figure 3. Plant cover, Shannon's diversity index, percentage of hemicryptophyte species, percentage of therophyte species, percentage of competitive stress tolerant species and percentage of ruderal stress tolerant species in Cn plots (negative control, n = 5), E plots (ecological restoration plots, n = 5) and Cp plots (positive control, n = 5). Different letters above box plots indicate a significant difference between plots for a given parameter ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

837

Figure 4. Path analysis performed between agronomic soil quality, MM soil contamination, microbial activities and biomass, plant cover, diversity and sustainability. The arrows represent the relationships between the different parameters. Red arrow indicates a negative relationship and green arrow a positive relationship. The thicker is the arrow, the greater is the correlation. Correlation coefficients and significance levels of the correlations (\*:  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*:  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*:  $P \le 0.001$ ) are indicated at each arrow.

- 844
- Figure 5. Ecological restoration process and perspectives after three years at the Escalettesite.

#### 848 **Table captions**

849 **Table 1.** Averages  $\pm$  standard errors (n = 5) of soil (TOC: total organic carbon, TKN: Kjeldahl nitrogen, CEC: cation exchange capacity, [As]tot, [Cu]tot, [Pb]tot, [Zn]tot: arsenic, 850 851 copper, lead and zinc pseudo-total concentrations in soil, PLI: pollution load index, [As]<sub>mob</sub>, 852 [Cu]<sub>mob</sub>, [Pb]<sub>mob</sub>, [Zn]<sub>mob</sub>: arsenic, copper, lead and zinc mobile fraction concentrations in soil, and % (in brackets) of mobile versus pseudo-total MM in soil, SBR: soil basal respiration, 853 854 MB: microbial biomass, qCO<sub>2</sub>: metabolic quotient, GRSP: glomalin-related soil protein), 855 runoff water (As<sub>water</sub>, Cu<sub>water</sub>, Pb<sub>water</sub>, Zn<sub>water</sub>: quantities of arsenic, copper, lead and zinc 856 exported in runoff water) and plant communities parameters of Cn plots (negative control, 857 n = 5), E plots (ecological restoration plots, n = 5) and Cp plots (positive control, n = 5). 858 Different letters indicate a significant difference between plots for a given parameter 859  $(P \le 0.05).$ 

860

861

- 863 Appendix captions
- 864

Figure A. Localization of the Escalette study site in Marseilleveyre massif (CalanquesNational Park).

867

Figure B. Schematic representation of Escalette's E plots organized in two terraces and
planted with eight pseudo-metallophytes species from the local floristic background in
November 2015 (modified from Heckenroth, 2017).

871

Figure C. Conceptual model of path analysis taking into account agronomic soil quality, MM
soil contamination, microbial activities and biomass, plant cover, diversity and sustainability.
The arrows represent the relationships between the different parameters. Red arrow indicates
a negative relationship and green arrow a positive relationship.

1 – MM soil contamination impacts negatively plant cover, diversity and sustainability. MM
soil contamination may cause oxidative and hydric stresses disturbing essential physiological
process of plant growth and development (respiration, photosynthesis, water and nutrients
absorption) (Baryla et al., 2001; Yadav, 2010; Michalak, 2016).

2 – MM soil contamination impacts negatively agronomic soil quality. MM soil
 contamination potentially created a competition between essential cations for plant
 development and toxic MM for adsorption to soil particles (Bolan et al., 2011).

3 – MM soil contamination impacts negatively microbial activities and biomass. MM soil
contamination may cause a reduction of microbial number, diversity and activities (Fließbach
et al., 1994; Brookes, 1995; Kızılkaya et al., 2004; Gülser et Erdoğan, 2008).

4 – Increase in plant cover, diversity and sustainability impacts positively agronomic soil
quality. A permanent, dense and diversified plant cover improves soil organic matter, nitrogen
and nutrient contents by regular litter inputs (Gobat et al., 2013).

889 **5** – Improvement of agronomic soil quality, in particular organic matter quantity and quality,

- 890 impacts positively microbial activities and biomass (Kızılkaya et al., 2004; Gülser et Erdoğan,
- 891 2008; Friedlová, 2010; Gobat et al., 2013).
- 6 The higher the MM soil contamination is, the more MM are mobilizable and transferable
  (Bolan et al., 2011).
- 894 **7, 8, 9** Improvement of soil quality, microbial functionalities and plant cover, diversity and 895 sustainability allows to enhance MM immobilization by physicochemical and biological

mechanisms. This reduces MM mobilization in soil and transfer by hydric erosion (Adriano et
al., 2004; Pilon-Smits, 2005; Bolan et al., 2011).

898

The adequacy of the full model was not good (*P* of  $\chi^2 = 0.020$ ). Thus, we removed nonsignificant relationships (*P*-value of relationship 3 = 0.656; *P*-value of relationship 7 = 0.864; *P*-value of relationship 8 = 0.306; *P*-value of relationship 9 = 0.696) from this model. Exclusion of non-significant relationships did not permit to obtain a good adequacy of the new model (*P* of  $\chi^2 = 0.010$ , CFI = 0.850, SRMR = 0.111). Finally, by removing the relationship 6, we obtained an adequate model (*p* of  $\chi^2 = 0.061$ , RMSEA = 0.067, CFI = 0.904 and SRMR = 0.063) and this final model was selected.

906

907 **Table A.** (a) *P*-values of Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests performed for soil characteristics 908 (TOC: total organic carbon, TKN: Kjeldahl nitrogen, CEC: cation exchange capacity, [As]<sub>tot</sub>, [Cu]<sub>tot</sub>, [Pb]<sub>tot</sub>, [Zn]<sub>tot</sub>: arsenic, copper, lead and zinc pseudo-total concentrations in soil, PLI: 909 910 pollution load index, As<sub>mob</sub>(%), Cu<sub>mob</sub>(%), Pb<sub>mob</sub>(%), Zn<sub>mob</sub>(%): percentages of mobile 911 arsenic, copper, lead and zinc in soil, SBR: soil basal respiration, MB: microbial biomass, 912 qCO<sub>2</sub>: metabolic quotient, GRSP: glomalin-related soil protein) and plant communities 913 parameters of Cn plots (negative control, n = 5), E plots (ecological restoration plots, n = 5) 914 and Cp plots (positive control, n = 5), (b) *P*-values of Mann-Whitney tests performed for 915 runoff water parameters (As<sub>water</sub>, Cu<sub>water</sub>, Pb<sub>water</sub>, Zn<sub>water</sub>: quantities of arsenic, copper, lead and 916 zinc exported in runoff water) of Cn and E plots. Significant difference between treatments 917 are indicated by stars (\*:  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*:  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*:  $P \le 0.001$ ).

918

919 **Table B.** Floristic inventory on E plots (ecological restoration plots), Cp plots (positive
920 control) and Cn plots (negative control).





Ecosystem structure







Figure3 Click here to download Figure: Tosini\_et\_al\_Figure\_3.pdf



![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

	Parameters	Cn plots	E plots	Cp plots
	рН	$7.68\pm0.06^a$	$7.41 \pm 0.11^{ab}$	$6.93\pm0.15^b$
Soil characteristics	TOC (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$43.58 \pm 10.25^{b}$	$90.28 \pm 33.25^{b}$	$171.36 \pm 39.58^{a}$
	TKN (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$2.59 \pm 0.63^{b}$	$4.99\pm0.52^a$	$5.15\pm0.27^a$
	C/N	$35.86 \pm 4.53^{a}$	$24.58 \pm 6.78^{a}$	$36.65 \pm 6.35^{a}$
	$P(g.kg^{-1})$	$0.04\pm0.00^a$	$0.04\pm0.00^a$	$0.05\pm0.01^a$
	CEC (méq.100g <sup>-1</sup> )	$11.84 \pm 2.66^{b}$	$17.70 \pm 2.53^{b}$	$25.12 \pm 1.28^{a}$
	Clay (%)	$14.96 \pm 2.75^{a}$	$21.24\pm2.48^a$	$21.18\pm3.75^a$
	Lime (%)	$19.65 \pm 2.57^{a}$	$21.37\pm1.83^a$	$17.65 \pm 1.04^{a}$
	Sand (%)	$22.86 \pm 3.29^{a}$	$18.02 \pm 2.62^{a}$	$21.76\pm1.65^a$
	$[As]_{tot} (mg.kg^{-1})$	$19770.04 \pm 7082.15^a$	$13640.33 \pm 1174.80^a$	$8579.00 \pm 2250.43^a$
	$[Cu]_{tot}(mg.kg^{-1})$	$75.54 \pm 15.98^{a}$	$60.51 \pm 3.28^{a}$	$51.40 \pm 5.73^{a}$
	$[Pb]_{tot} (mg.kg^{-1})$	$63470.68 \pm 10902.03^a$	$75600.15\pm 3780.47^a$	$55685.76 \pm 10653.43^a$
	$[Zn]_{tot} (mg.kg^{-1})$	$33754.54 \pm 11515.45^a$	$25944.16 \pm 2664.65^a$	$14457.50 \pm 4061.24^a$
	PLI	$406.54 \pm 107.11^{a}$	$351.45 \pm 23.02^{a}$	$239.33 \pm 50.23^{a}$
	$[As]_{mob} (mg.kg^{-1}) (\%)$	$3306.47 \pm 987.35 \; {(18.65 \pm 1.49)}^{b}$	$3568.\ 09 \pm 423.65\ {(27.29 \pm 5.12)}^a$	$2821.20 \pm 312.74 \; {(39.35 \pm 7.27)}^a$
	$[Cu]_{mob} (mg.kg^{-1}) (\%)$	$26.62 \pm 5.34 \ {\rm (35.28 \pm 2.30)}^a$	$22.17 \pm 0.78 \ {\rm (36.98 \pm 1.73)}^a$	$21.04 \pm 1.64 \; {(41.62 \pm 1.87)}^a$
	$[Pb]_{mob}(mg.kg^{-1})(\%)$	$40357.01 \pm 8421.02 \left(62.96 \pm 6.78\right)^a$	$45668.26 \pm 2261.84 \left(60.67 \pm 2.90\right)^a$	37579.61 ± 5272.05 (70.29 ± 3.97
	$[Zn]_{mob} (mg.kg^{-1}) (\%)$	$11553.41 \pm 3546.00 \left( 36.17 \pm 2.82 \right)^{b}$	$12398.\ 93 \pm 1075.45\ {\rm (49.37 \pm 5.10)}^a$	$8014.85 \pm 1582.89~(63.34 \pm 8.53)$
	SBR (µg CO <sub>2</sub> -C.g <sup>-1</sup> .h <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.048 \pm 0.024^{b}$	$0.042 \pm 0.010^{b}$	$0.209 \pm 0.024^{a}$
	MB ( $\mu g C_{mic}.g^{-1}$ )	$0.033 \pm 0.015^{a}$	$0.033 \pm 0.011^{a}$	$0.095 \pm 0.009^a$
	$qCO_2 (\mu g CO_2$ -C. $\mu g C_{mic}^{-1}$ . $h^{-1})$	$2.237 \pm 0.333^{a}$	$1.434 \pm 0.147^{a}$	$2.224 \pm 0.353^{a}$
	GRSP (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$11.42 \pm 2.95^{b}$	$14.73 \pm 5.98^{b}$	$31.75 \pm 4.69^{a}$
Water runoff characteristics	As <sub>water</sub> (µg)	$16.09 \pm 5.44^{a}$	$44.65 \pm 20.80^{a}$	NA
	$Cu_{water}(\mu g)$	$3.06 \pm 0.91^{a}$	$3.52 \pm 2.47^{a}$	NA
	$Pb_{water}(\mu g)$	$47.79 \pm 30.05^{a}$	$103.89 \pm 65.44^{a}$	NA
	$Zn_{water} (\mu g)$	$489.72 \pm 188.40^{a}$	$252.77 \pm 40.16^{a}$	NA
Plant communities characteristics	Plant cover (%)	$2.00\pm0.94^b$	$43.50\pm7.87^a$	$80.00\pm5.00^a$
	Specific richness	$0.60 \pm 0.29^{b}$	$5.40\pm0.51^a$	$4.00\pm0.45^a$
	Shannon's diversity index	$0.13 \pm 0.06^{b}$	$1.25 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$1.08 \pm 0.13^{a}$
	Pielou equitability index	$0.03 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.75 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$0.79\pm0.03^a$
	Geophyte (%)	$0.00\pm0.00^a$	$3.10 \pm 3.10^{a}$	$7.00\pm5.17^a$
	Chamaephyte (%)	$0.00\pm0.00^b$	$25.02 \pm 4.36^{a}$	$28.67 \pm 5.13^{a}$
	Hemicryptophyte (%)	$3.33 \pm 3.33^{b}$	$30.07 \pm 4.87^{a}$	$60.17 \pm 8.93^{a}$
	Therophyte (%)	$36.67 \pm 18.56^{a}$	$41.81 \pm 5.73^{a}$	$2.50 \pm 2.50^{b}$
	Phanerophyte (%)	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$	$1.67 \pm 1.67^{a}$
	Stress tolerant (%)	$35.00 \pm 18.71^{a}$	$28.00 \pm 2.76^{a}$	$4.00 \pm 2.45^{a}$
	Competitive Stress tolerant (%)	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$29.83 \pm 3.53^{b}$	$83.33 \pm 8.56^{a}$
	Ruderal Stress tolerant (%)	$5.00 \pm 5.00^{b}$	$34.83 \pm 4.24^{a}$	$10.67 \pm 7.26^{b}$
		$0.00 \times 0.00^{a}$	5 22 · 2 42 <sup>8</sup>	2.00 2.00 <sup>8</sup>