

## Comparing fitness measures and the influence of age of first reproduction in Columbian ground squirrels

Kristin Rubach, F Stephen Dobson, Bertram Zinner, Jan Murie, Vincent

Viblanc

## ▶ To cite this version:

Kristin Rubach, F Stephen Dobson, Bertram Zinner, Jan Murie, Vincent Viblanc. Comparing fitness measures and the influence of age of first reproduction in Columbian ground squirrels. Journal of Mammalogy, 2020, 10.1093/jmammal/gyaa086. hal-02916845

## HAL Id: hal-02916845 https://hal.science/hal-02916845

Submitted on 30 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1  | Comparing fitness measures and the influence of age of first                         |
|----|--|
| 2  | reproduction in Columbian ground squirrels   |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | KRISTIN K. RUBACH, F. STEPHEN DOBSON*, BERTRAM ZINNER, JAN O. MURIE, AND             |
| 6  | VINCENT A. VIBLANC   |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA (KKR,    |
| 10 | FSD)   |
| 11 | Université de Strasbourg, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut     |
| 12 | Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7178, 67087 Strasbourg,   |
| 13 | France (FSD, VAV)  |
| 14 | University of Strasbourg Institute of Advanced Sciences (USIAS), 67083 Strasbourg,   |
| 15 | France (FSD)   |
| 16 | Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA   |
| 17 | ( <i>BZ</i> )  |
| 18 | Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, |
| 19 | Canada (JOM)   |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | *Correspondant: fsdobson@msn.com   |

The timing of life-history traits may have strong influences on the evolution of 24 25 lifecycles and on population demography. This is especially true of the age at 26 which females first reproduce (Cole's principle). We examined whether the age 27 at which females first reproduce influences fitness in Columbian ground squirrels, 28 for which females varied in the age at which they initially produce weaned 29 offspring, from ages 1 through 5 years. With 148 females with complete known 30 lifespans in a 28-year data set, we examined four fitness measures: individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ), individual fitness relative to the pattern of growth of the population 31  $(\lambda_{rel})$ , lifetime reproductive success (LRS), and LRS relative to the total LRS for 32 33 each female's cohort (LRS<sub>rel</sub>). These metrics were calculated for offspring 34 produced at the time of weaning and offspring that survived to emerge after their first hibernation period. Individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) was significantly associated 35 with population growth during a female's lifetime ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ) (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.523, P < 36 37 0.0001); indicating the need to adjust individual fitness for demonstrated changes in population growth and thus producing a relative individual fitness index  $(\lambda_{rel})$ . 38 We regressed  $\lambda_{rel}$  on age at first reproduction, and found significant selection 39 favoring earlier reproductive success ( $\beta \pm SE = -0.20 \pm 0.06$ ; R<sup>2</sup> = 0.306, P < 40 41 0.0001). When using an earlier (offspring at weaning) versus later (those that 42 survived their first hibernation) measure of fecundity, we found that the latter 43 introduced considerable variation, likely environmental, into the estimate of 44 selection. This greatly weakened the regression of relative fitness on the age at first successful reproduction. LRS and LRS<sub>rel</sub> exhibited non-significant changes 45 46 with age at first reproduction. Finally, those females that reproduced successfully

| 47 | at younger ages had similar litter sizes but significantly shorter lifespans than     |
|----|---|
| 48 | females that matured when older, perhaps reflecting costs to early reproduction.      |
| 49 |   |
| 50 | Key Words: age at first reproduction, Columbian ground squirrels, individual fitness, |
| 51 | Leslie matrix, lifetime reproductive success  |

53

54 As the size of populations change, demography must change as well (Caswell 1989, 55 2001; Oli, Slade, & Dobson 2001; Dobson and Oli 2001, 2008). Demography is 56 composed of life-history traits, including age at first reproduction, survival, and the 57 magnitude of reproduction at different ages. Life-history traits may evolve, and they can 58 have a strong impact on fitness. Thus, life-history traits are often termed "fitness traits" 59 (e.g., Roff 1996; Merila and Sheldon 2000; Kosova et al. 2010). One life-history trait in 60 particular, the age at which reproduction begins, should be under strong selection to be as 61 early as possible (Cole 1954; Lewontin 1965; Meats 1971; Charnov and Schaffer 1973; 62 Bell 1976, 1980; Sibly and Calow 1986). In iteroparous mammals, however, numerous 63 factors (e.g., the quality or quantity of resources) might cause a delay in reproduction, 64 potentially resulting in fitness declines. In growing populations, individuals are thought 65 to have abundant resources and thus females may begin to reproduce earlier in their 66 lifetimes (Stearns 1992). On the contrary, in declining populations individuals are 67 assumed to have fewer resources, resulting in their devoting more energy to survival and 68 perhaps delaying their first reproduction to a later age. In either case, these expectations 69 might depend on the study species and the choice of fitness measure.

| 70 | In selecting a fitness measure, it is important to remember that what is being            |
|----|---|
| 71 | estimated is the fitness of traits, in our case a female's age at first reproduction. The |
| 72 | relevant comparison is then among females that display alternative forms of the trait, as |
| 73 | estimates of the fitness of the trait forms. Lifetime reproductive success (LRS) is a     |
| 74 | widely used fitness measure, defined as the number of offspring produced over a lifetime  |
| 75 | (after Grafen 1988; examples in Clutton-Brock 1988; Merilä and Sheldon 2000; Jensen et    |
| 76 | al. 2004; Descamps et al. 2006; McLoughlin et al. 2007). LRS, however, does not           |
| 77 | account for the timing of reproduction during the lifecycle, which can have a significant |
| 78 | influence on the estimation of fitness (Cole 1954; Lewontin 1965; Roff 1992; Stearns      |
| 79 | 1992; Brommer et al. 2002).   |
| 00 |   |

80 Another suggested measure of trait fitness, developed by McGraw and Caswell 81 (1996) and termed "individual fitness", was patterned on the Leslie (1945) projection 82 matrix that describes population growth. Individual fitness encompasses an individual's 83 life history parameters over its lifetime, to produce a growth rate similar to that for 84 populations (termed lambda,  $\lambda$ ). Use of this method to estimate fitness assumes that a 85 population of individuals similar in genotype would produce the same lambda value as 86 the individual under study. For example, individual fitness can be estimated for 87 individuals that carry a specific life history trait (i.e., age at first successful reproduction). 88 A lambda value is estimated for each individual that expresses an alternative form of the 89 life history trait, and a mean and variance are calculated for individual fitness of the 90 different trait forms. Previous studies have used LRS and individual fitness to estimate 91 selection on age at maturity, and these two methods often produced very different results 92 (e.g., McGraw and Caswell 1996; Brommer et al. 2002; Oli et al. 2002). Thus, the

efficacy of either method has been questioned (e.g., Brommer et al. 2004; Reid et al.

94 2019), and a unified definition of fitness is lacking (Sæther and Engen 2015).

95 The individual fitness measure of McGraw and Caswell (1996), however, does 96 not give the context of the fitness values of other females in the population, and it is 97 against this larger group of females that an individual female's fitness should be 98 compared. In an increasing population, average fitness is greater than 1.0, and in a 99 decreasing population, less than 1.0. So, a female with individual fitness equal to 1.0 100 could be below or above average, respectively, depending on the mean fitness in the 101 population. During the lifespan of a particular female, mean fitness in the population can 102 be estimated from the growth rate of all co-occurring females, during the years of that 103 female's reproductive life, since the estimate is the result of reproduction and survival of 104 all of the females. By comparing individual fitness to population growth rate during a 105 female's lifetime, a relative measure of how the individual compares to the contemporary 106 population can be obtained. Such an adjusted individual fitness value (i.e., relative 107 individual fitness) can be compared among individuals that express alternative trait forms, 108 and used in a comparative evaluation of the selective advantage of age at first 109 reproduction.

110 Alternative methods of estimating fitness have been used to examine reproduction 111 in long-term studies of marmotine rodents. For instance, Neuhaus et al. (2004) concluded 112 that female Columbian ground squirrels (Urocitellus columbianus) match their 113 reproductive output with environmental circumstances. With fewer individuals, 114 resources were less limiting, leading to better body condition, greater survival and earlier 115 reproduction. Even so, reproductive success of females that first reproduced at ages 2

116 and 3 were not significantly different. However, this study was based on a relatively 117 small sample size and used the LRS approach that does not adjust for population changes 118 or the timing of reproduction during the life cycle when estimating fitness. Using a 119 matrix estimate of individual fitness, Oli and Armitage (2003, 2008) found that selection 120 favored early maturity in Yellow-bellied marmots (Marmota flaviventris). However, they 121 did not adjust their fitness estimates for fluctuations in population size. It would thus be 122 interesting to compare such results to those obtained using alternative fitness evaluation 123 methods that account for the timing of breeding schedules and changes in population size. 124 Here, we used 28 years of longitudinal monitoring of a Columbian ground 125 squirrel population to establish the entire life cycles (from birth to death) of 148 females. 126 Our purpose was four-fold. First, we examined whether earlier age at first successful 127 reproduction led to increased fitness ("Cole's prediction", see Oli and Dobson 2003) for 128 female Columbian ground squirrels. We investigated whether the influence of age at first 129 reproduction on individual fitness changed with population growth. We predicted that 130 individual fitness would be greater for those females that began reproducing earlier, 131 particularly in years of increasing population growth rate, when resources should have 132 been most abundant (Dobson and Kjelgaard 1985a, 1985b). Alternatively, under limited 133 resources most likely associated with years of declining population growth, mean 134 individual fitness for females should be lower, but should remain relatively high for those 135 that reproduce earlier (i.e., above average compared to females reproducing later under 136 similar conditions of population growth). 137 Second, we compared LRS and relative fitness, that is individual fitness adjusted

for population growth, in order to evaluate similarities and differences between them.

| 139   | Third, we regressed relative fitness on the trait (viz., age at first reproduction) to test for  |
|---|--|
| 140   | the presence of a selection differential (Lande and Arnold 1983; Arnold and Wade   |
| 141   | 1984b). We focused our analyses on two periods at which reproductive success could be  |
| 142   | measured, near the time of weaning and when young survived to emerge from their first  |
| 143   | hibernation season. We also conducted these analyses for relative individual fitness and   |
| 144   | an index of relative LRS (LRS $_{rel}$ ) that was based on the lifetime success of the cohort into   |
| 145   | which a female was born. In our fourth and final set of analyses, we compared litter size  |
| 146   | and longevity for females that first reproduced at different ages, to test for possible  |
| 147   | tradeoffs between reproduction and survival for females that began investing in  |
| 148   | reproduction at different points in their lifecycle.   |
| 149   |  |
| 150   | MATERIALS AND METHODS  |
| 150   |  |
| 150   | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane   |
|   |  |
| 151   | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane   |
| 151<br>152  | <i>Study species</i> We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada (50°38'N, 114°39'W,   |
| 151<br>152<br>153   | <i>Study species.</i> - We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada (50°38'N, 114°39'W, elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size   |
| 151<br>152<br>153<br>154  | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane<br>meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada ( $50^{\circ}38^{\circ}N$ , $114^{\circ}39^{\circ}W$ ,<br>elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size<br>for females $\geq 1$ year old fluctuated between 14 and 77, with a mean of 38 (Fig. 1).   |
| 151<br>152<br>153<br>154<br>155   | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane<br>meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada ( $50^{\circ}38^{\circ}N$ , $114^{\circ}39^{\circ}W$ ,<br>elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size<br>for females $\geq 1$ year old fluctuated between 14 and 77, with a mean of 38 (Fig. 1).<br>Squirrels were caught with live traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, WI, USA,  |
| <ol> <li>151</li> <li>152</li> <li>153</li> <li>154</li> <li>155</li> <li>156</li> </ol>  | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane<br>meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada (50°38'N, 114°39'W,<br>elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size<br>for females $\geq 1$ year old fluctuated between 14 and 77, with a mean of 38 (Fig. 1).<br>Squirrels were caught with live traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, WI, USA,<br>15x15x48 cm <sup>3</sup> and 13x13x40 cm <sup>3</sup> ) baited with a small amount of peanut butter. All   |
| <ol> <li>151</li> <li>152</li> <li>153</li> <li>154</li> <li>155</li> <li>156</li> <li>157</li> </ol>                           | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane<br>meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada (50°38'N, 114°39'W,<br>elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size<br>for females $\geq$ 1 year old fluctuated between 14 and 77, with a mean of 38 (Fig. 1).<br>Squirrels were caught with live traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, WI, USA,<br>15x15x48 cm <sup>3</sup> and 13x13x40 cm <sup>3</sup> ) baited with a small amount of peanut butter. All<br>squirrels were trapped in the spring, within about three days of emergence from  |
| <ol> <li>151</li> <li>152</li> <li>153</li> <li>154</li> <li>155</li> <li>156</li> <li>157</li> <li>158</li> </ol>              | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane<br>meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada (50°38'N, 114°39'W,<br>elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size<br>for females $\geq$ 1 year old fluctuated between 14 and 77, with a mean of 38 (Fig. 1).<br>Squirrels were caught with live traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, WI, USA,<br>15x15x48 cm <sup>3</sup> and 13x13x40 cm <sup>3</sup> ) baited with a small amount of peanut butter. All<br>squirrels were trapped in the spring, within about three days of emergence from<br>hibernation, and weighed to the nearest 5 g with a Pesola spring scale (Pesola Ag, Baar,  |
| <ol> <li>151</li> <li>152</li> <li>153</li> <li>154</li> <li>155</li> <li>156</li> <li>157</li> <li>158</li> <li>159</li> </ol> | Study species We studied a colony of Columbian ground squirrels in a montane<br>meadow at the Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada (50°38'N, 114°39'W,<br>elevation 1,550 m). This population was monitored from 1992 to 2019. Population size<br>for females $\geq$ 1 year old fluctuated between 14 and 77, with a mean of 38 (Fig. 1).<br>Squirrels were caught with live traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, WI, USA,<br>15x15x48 cm <sup>3</sup> and 13x13x40 cm <sup>3</sup> ) baited with a small amount of peanut butter. All<br>squirrels were trapped in the spring, within about three days of emergence from<br>hibernation, and weighed to the nearest 5 g with a Pesola spring scale (Pesola Ag, Baar,<br>Switzerland). Each ground squirrel was given a pair of uniquely numbered ear tags |

162 Corporation, Stamford, CT, USA). Above ground activity of squirrels was easily
163 observed using binoculars from 3m tall wooden observation benches that were dispersed
164 throughout the study site.

165 Columbian ground squirrels are medium sized (adults were typically 300-600 g at 166 emergence from hibernation) diurnal rodents that hibernate for approximately eight to 167 nine months of the year (Dobson and Murie 1987; Dobson et al. 1992). At our study site, 168 squirrels became active above ground in mid- to late April and remained active until late 169 July to mid-August. Within a week of emergence from hibernation, female ground 170 squirrels copulated with males on a single day, usually in underground consortships 171 (Murie 1995; Raveh et al. 2010, 2011). After about 24 days of gestation and 27 days of 172 lactation, pups emerged above ground for the first time from the single-entrance nest 173 burrow that was maintained by the mother (Murie and Harris 1982). Newly emerged 174 pups were caught, weighed to the nearest gram, and uniquely marked. Subsequent 175 observations of the complete litter ensured that we captured all young at the time of 176 emergence, which coincided with the process of weaning. Mothers were trapped and 177 examined at the same time that weaned young were caught, to ensure that they had 178 lactated. Thus, both maternal association and weaned litter size were accurately 179 measured.

180

*Population census.* - We conducted a modified post-breeding census using the
long-term data set on female Columbian ground squirrels (after Dobson and Oli 2001).
In a post-breeding census, each individual is counted just after annual reproduction. We
estimated survival from emergence in one spring to the next, since residents from the

previous year remain in the spring populations. Further, the dispersal period, usually of younger males, occurs later in the active season at about the time of weaning (Wiggett and Boag 1989). Spring populations best reflected the individuals that were residents in the population, as indicated by having hibernated in the study colony. The number of weaned young that emerged from nest burrows at the end of the lactation period or that survived to emerge from hibernation in the next spring were used to measure reproduction.

192 For 148 females, we constructed individual age-specific matrices that varied in 193 size (1-14 years; average 4.70 years). For each matrix, we estimated individual fitness 194 (see below), using the individual fitness approach (after McGraw and Caswell 1996; Oli 195 and Armitage 2003, 2008; Viblanc et al. 2010; Dobson et al. 2012). Age at first 196 reproduction was defined as the first time a female successfully weaned a litter. Some 197 females produced weaned litters for the first time when they were yearlings (1-year-old, 198 N =13). Most females weaned their first litter at age 2 (N = 96), and occasionally a 199 female's initial weaning success was prolonged to 3-years-old (N = 30), 4-years-old (N =200 8), and 5-years-old (N = 1). For some analyses we combined the last three groups of 201 mothers into a category of 3 years of and older. 202

Fitness analyses. - The fitness of females that first bred at different ages was estimated using four fitness measures: LRS, LRS relative to the total LRS of the female's cohort, individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ), and relative individual fitness (adjusted for population growth,  $\lambda_{rel}$ ). 207 Lifetime reproductive success (LRS) and relative lifetime success (LRS<sub>rel</sub>): We 208 calculated LRS by simply adding up the number of offspring that were weaned over a 209 female's lifetime. To estimate LRS of individual females relative to their peers, we 210 regressed LRS on the total LRS of the cohort of females into which each individual 211 female was born, and used the residual as the estimate of her relative lifetime success 212 (LRS<sub>rel</sub>). This method avoided the use of ratios, which have statistical shortcomings 213 (Hinkley 1969). For this analysis, only 132 females were included, because only 214 complete cohorts were used (number of years = 20, females born in 1991-2010). Individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) and cohort fitness ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ): To obtain  $\lambda_{rel}$ , we estimated 215 216 both individual fitness (McGraw and Caswell 1996) and population growth rate for each 217 female's cohort during her lifetime. Both of these were modifications of the population 218 growth projection matrix developed by Leslie (1945). Briefly, an example of the Leslie 219 matrix approach for a post-breeding population census is: 220

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_0 & F_1 & F_2 & 0 \\ S_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} N_{0,t} \\ N_{1,t} \\ N_{2,t} \\ N_{3,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{0,t+1} \\ N_{1,t+1} \\ N_{2,t+1} \\ N_{3,t+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

221

Subset 0, 1, 2, and 3 are age groups, and t and t+1 are time periods. Average fertility isacross the top row of the matrix, and is defined as:

224  $F_x = S_x * m_{x+1} * 0.5$ 

225  $S_x$  is the survival rate, calculated as the proportion of females that survive from year x to 226 year x+1. Fecundity,  $m_{x+1}$ , is defined the mean number of offspring at age x+1. Since

227 the analysis is only for the female part of the population,  $m_{x+1}$  is multiplied by a half

228 (Dobson and Oli 2001). In the case of Columbian ground squirrels, we estimated 229 fecundity in two ways; either using half the size of litters at weaning, or using half the 230 young that survived to be 1 year old in the subsequent year (viz., half the number of 231 surviving yearlings). We used both reproductive output measures to test for similarity in 232 fitness patterns, and expected that the latter measure would reflect more closely those 233 surviving to reproductive age, perhaps a better fitness measure (Brommer et al. 2002). 234 The last column represents the year that the female died; hence it is comprised of zeros. 235 The Leslie projection matrix represents age structured information about reproduction 236 and survival for a population. The dominant right eigenvector of this matrix is the finite growth rate of the population, usually called lambda ( $\lambda$ ). 237

Based on McGraw and Caswell (1996), we modified the above population growth matrix to calculate the growth of a specific genotype based on the reproduction and survival of an individual female. In this case, the formulation of the matrix becomes:

| ſ | $F_0$ | $F_1$ | $F_2$ | [0 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|----|
|   | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0  |
|   | 0     | 1     | Ō     |    |
| L | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0] |

241

The top row of the individual matrix is calculated as above by multiplying survival by fecundity,  $m_{x+1}$ , and by one half for an individual female. Survival is binary, however, 1 in the years in which the female lived, zero otherwise. Litter size at each age is used for fecundity, or as above the number of offspring that survive to become yearlings. In this case, the dominant right eigenvalue is the population growth rate for a given genotype, as estimated for a single female, and individual females are then averaged to yield the mean estimate of fitness of the trait form. The dominant right eigenvalue is the individual fitness measure,  $\lambda_{ind}$ . These matrices have different dimension (are of different size) for different females, because individual lifespans vary.

251 We adjusted individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) for changes in population size (i.e., periods 252 of increases and decreases in size as estimated by population "growth") by constructing a 253 Leslie (1945) projection matrix for the specific years of each individual female's lifetime 254  $(\lambda_{Leslie})$ . These estimates were then used to typify mean fitness during the female's 255 lifetime. Using the notation for the modified Leslie matrix above,  $S_x$  was thus the 256 survival from year x to year x+1 for all females in the female's cohort, as in the typical 257 Leslie matrix, and m<sub>x</sub> was the mean litter size for all of the adult females of age x. For 258 example,  $S_1$ , was the mean survival of all females between the ages of 1 and 2, when the 259 individual female under examination was age 1 and then 2. Another example, m<sub>2</sub> was the 260 mean litter size of all females that were age 2 in the year that the individual female was 261 age 2. Individual fitness values ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) were regressed on the resulting modified Leslie 262 matrix estimate of lambda ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ), to produce residuals that represented individual 263 fitness adjusted for population growth during her lifetime. These residuals were added to 264 one to yield adjusted lambda for the Leslie matrix  $(\lambda_{rel})$ , since lambda of 1.0 represents 265 non-growing populations in population ecology (e.g., Stearns 1992). This method is 266 similar to that used by Viblanc et al. (2010) and Dobson et al. (2012), but those studies 267 used changes in population size and the individual fitness matrix in place of our use of 268 the modified Leslie matrix to estimate population growth. In comparison to changes in 269 population size, the modified Leslie matrix is based on a female's cohort and takes actual 270 survival patterns into account.

| 271 | Population growth might influence individual fitness differently for different ages                               |
|-----|---|
| 272 | at first reproduction, if the population were increasing or decreasing. To test this                              |
| 273 | possibility, we regressed individual lambda ( $\lambda_{ind}$ , the dependent variable) on our                    |
| 274 | modified Leslie matrix (viz., population growth during the lifetime of the reproductive                           |
| 275 | adult females, $\lambda_{Leslie}$ , the independent variable), made age at first reproduction a                   |
| 276 | covariate, and included the interaction between $\lambda_{Leslie}$ and age at first reproduction. For             |
| 277 | this analysis, we pooled females that first reproduced at age three and above, because the                        |
| 278 | oldest females were limited in sample size.   |
| 279 |   |
| 280 | Selection analyses To determine if there was directional selection for age at first                               |
| 281 | reproduction, we regressed relative fitness ( $\lambda_{rel}$ ) and separately LRS <sub>rel</sub> on age at first |
| 282 | successful reproduction (Lande and Arnold 1983; Arnold and Wade 1984a, 1984b). The                                |
| 283 | sign of the coefficient ( $\beta$ ) indicates the direction of selection. We also used a quadratic                |
| 284 | regression to estimate stabilizing selection ( $\gamma$ ) as evidenced by significant regression                  |
| 285 | coefficients. Significant directional or stabilizing selection on age at first reproduction                       |
| 286 | occurs when $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are significantly different from 0 (Lande and Arnold 1983; McGraw                |
| 287 | and Caswell 1996).  |
| 288 |   |
| 289 | Statistics We used the statistical program R (version 3.5.2, 2018) to analyze                                     |
|     |   |

matrices and calculate lambda (scripts available on request). Differences among age at
first reproduction, lambda, population growth, and LRS were tested using linear models.
For significant differences among ages at first reproduction, Tukey's honest significant
differences (HSD) tests for multiple comparisons were used. Means were given with

standard errors, and Hedges g was used to estimate effect sizes.

- 295
- 296

## RESULTS

Relationship between individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) and population growth ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ). -297 We regressed individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) on the rate of population growth ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ), both 298 299 calculated over the lives of individual mothers. We included age at first reproduction as a 300 covariate (ages 1, 2, and 3 and above;), and found no significant interaction between age at first reproduction and population growth (Fig. 2a, overall model  $R^2 = 0.523$ , F = 52.6, 301 df = 3, 144, P < 0.0001; interaction  $\beta = -0.063 \pm 0.087$ , df =1,144, t = -0.72, P = 0.47). 302 303 For females that first reproduced as yearlings, 2-year olds, and 3+ year olds, the estimate 304 of individual fitness changed in a similar rate as population growth rate increased (Table 305 1). 306 We then used the number of yearlings that survived to emerge from hibernation in 307 the following spring to estimate offspring production by individual mothers and again regressed individual fitness ( $\lambda_{indY}$ ) on the rate of population growth ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ), accounting 308 309 for age at first reproduction as a covariate (ages 1, 2, and 3 and above), and found no 310 significant interaction between age at first reproduction and population growth (Fig. 2b,

311 overall model  $R^2 = 0.419$ , F = 34.6, df = 3,144, P < 0.0001; interaction  $\beta = -0.005 \pm$ 

312 0.185, df = 1,144, t = -0.03, P = 0.98). For females that first reproduced as yearlings, 2-

313 year olds, and 3+ year olds, this estimate of individual fitness changed in a similar rate as

314 population growth rate increased (Table 1).

316 Age at first reproduction and relative individual fitness ( $\lambda_{rel}$ ). - When using the 317 numbers of weaned offspring to measure fecundity, relative individual fitness was significantly different among females that first reproduced at different ages (Fig. 3a,  $R^2 =$ 318 319 0.309, F = 65.3, df = 1,146, P < 0.0001). Post-hoc Tukey tests revealed that each age 320 group (for ages 1, 2, and 3 and above) were significantly different from the others (all P <321 0.0001). Effect sizes of the comparisons were all large and highly significant (Hedges g and 95% CI: ages 1 vs.  $2 = 1.63_{101-2.25}$ ; 1 vs.  $3 + 2.34_{1.56-3.11}$ ; 2 vs.  $3 + 1.01_{0.61-1}$ 322 323 1.401).

Using the numbers of surviving yearling offspring to measure fecundity, relative individual fitness ( $\lambda_{relY}$ ) was not quite significantly different among females that first reproduced at different ages (Fig. 3b, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.022, F = 3.27, df = 1,146, *P* = 0.07). Posthoc Tukey tests revealed that each age group (ages 1, 2, and 3 and above) was not significantly different from the others (0.18 < P < 0.48). Effect sizes of the comparisons were small to medium (Hedges g and 95% CI:  $\alpha$  at 1 *vs*.2 = 0.34<sub>[-0.24-0.92]</sub>; 1 *vs*. = 0.61<sub>[-</sub>  $_{0.04-1.25]}$ ; 2 *vs*.3+ = 0.22<sub>[-0.16-0.59]</sub>).

331

Age at first reproduction in relation to LRS and LRS<sub>rel</sub>. - Similar analysis of LRS among mothers that first reproduced at different ages were not significant (Fig. 3c;  $R^2 =$ 0.001, F = 0.20, df = 1,146, P = 0.65). Post-hoc Tukey tests revealed that each age group ( $\alpha = 1, 2, \text{ and } 3$  and above) was not significantly different from the others (0.77 < P < 0.98). Effect sizes of the comparisons were small or negligible (Hedges g and 95% CI; ages 1 vs.2 = -0.07<sub>[-0.65 - 0.51]</sub>, 1 vs.3+ = 0.06<sub>[-0.57 - 0.70]</sub>, 2 vs.3+ = 0.13<sub>[-0.25 - 0.50]</sub>). LRSY (lifetime reproductive success measured by surviving yearling offspring) among mothers that first reproduced at different ages was not significant (Fig. 3d;  $R^2 = 0.005$ , F = 0.67,

340 df = 1,146, P = 0.41). Post-hoc Tukey tests revealed that each age group (ages 1, 2, and 3 341 and above) was not significantly different from the others (0.72 < P < 0.98). Estimates of

342 the effect sizes of the comparisons were small to negligible (Hedges g and 95% CI: ages

343 1 
$$vs.2 = 0.05_{[-0.53 - 0.64]}$$
; 1  $vs.3 + = 0.24_{[-0.40 - 0.87]}$ ; 2  $vs.3 + = 0.15_{[-0.23 - 0.52]}$ ).

344 Analyses of LRS<sub>rel</sub> among mothers that first reproduced at different ages were not 345 significant ( $R^2 = 0.001$ , F = 0.19, df = 1, 130, P = 0.67). Post-hoc Tukey tests revealed 346 that each age group (ages 1, 2, and 3 and above) was not significantly different from the 347 others (0.74 < P < 1.00). Effect sizes of the comparisons were all negligible (Hedges g 348 and 95% CI; ages 1 vs.  $2 = 0.13_{[-0.51 - 0.76]}$ , 1 vs.  $3 + = -0.03_{[-0.71 - 0.65]}$ , 2 vs.  $3 + = 0.14_{[-0.54 - 0.54]}$ 349  $_{0.281}$ ). Similar analyses using the number of surviving yearling offspring to calculate relative LRS (LRS<sub>rely</sub>) were also not significant ( $R^2 = 0.000$ , F = 0.01, df = 1, 130, P =350 351 0.92). Post-hoc Tukey tests showed that the age groups (ages 1, 2, and 3 and above) were 352 not significantly different in  $LRS_{relY}$  (0.99 < P < 1.00). Finally, effect sizes of the comparisons were all negligible (Hedges g and 95% CI; ages 1  $vs.2 = 0.03_{[-0.60-0.66]}$ , 1 353 354  $vs.3 + = 0.04_{[-0.64 - 0.72]}, 2 vs.3 + = 0.01_{[-0.38 - 0.40]}).$ 

355

Selection analyses on age at first reproduction. - Linear regression of fitness adjusted for population growth ( $\lambda_{rel}$ , based on numbers of weaned offspring) on age at first reproduction (here varying between 1 and 5) suggested directional selection for early age at first reproduction (Fig. 4a;  $\beta \pm SE = -0.20 \pm 0.06$ ; R<sup>2</sup> = 0.306, F = 64.2, df = 1,146, P < 0.0001). Slight but significant disruptive selection was also evident by quadratic regression ( $\gamma \pm SE$ , 0.05 ± 0.02, P = 0.01; overall R<sup>2</sup> = 0.335, F = 36.5, df = 2,145, P <

| 362 | 0.0001). A similar analysis using $LRS_{rel}$ as a fitness measure regressed on age at first                           |
|-----|--|
| 363 | reproduction was not significant ( $\beta \pm SE = -0.59 \pm 0.75$ ; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.005, F = 0.62, df = 1,130,     |
| 364 | $P = 0.43$ ), nor was evidence of stabilizing selection significant ( $\gamma \pm SE$ , -0.29 ± 0.65, $P =$            |
| 365 | 0.66; overall $R^2 = 0.006$ , $F = 0.40$ , $df = 2,129$ , $P = 0.67$ ). When fitness was based on                      |
| 366 | surviving yearling offspring ( $\lambda_{rely}$ ), linear regression of relative fitness on age at first               |
| 367 | reproduction only approached significance, but revealed a similar pattern to $\lambda_{rel}$ (Fig. 4b;                 |
| 368 | $\beta \pm SE = -0.08 \pm 0.05$ ; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.022, F = 3.25, df = 1,146, P = 0.07), and evidence of             |
| 369 | disruptive selection was trivial ( $\gamma \pm SE$ , 0.02 $\pm$ 0.04, $P = 0.59$ ; overall R <sup>2</sup> = 0.024, F = |
| 370 | 1.77, df = 2,145, $P = 0.17$ ). A similar analysis using LRS <sub>relY</sub> as a fitness measure was not              |
| 371 | significant ( $\beta \pm SE = -0.48 \pm 0.40$ ; R <sup>2</sup> = 0.011, F = 1.44, df = 1,130, P = 0.23), and           |
| 372 | evidence of stabilizing selection was trivial ( $\gamma \pm SE$ , -0.11 ± 0.35, $P = 0.74$ ; overall R <sup>2</sup> =  |
| 373 | 0.012, F = 0.77, df = 2,129, P = 0.47).  |

375 Testing for a trade-off and the cost of reproduction. - We examined litter sizes for 376 females that first reproduced at ages 1, 2, and 3 and above. There were no significant 377 differences in mean litter sizes among females in the year in which they first reproduced 378 (Table 2). There were also no significant differences in mean number of yearling 379 offspring produced from their first litter when females first reproduced at different ages 380 (Table 2). Finally, we examined the length of life for females that first reproduced at 381 ages 1, 2, and 3 and above. Length of life increased significantly for females that 382 matured later in life (Table 2). Post-hoc Tukey tests indicated that this was significant 383 only when comparing females that matured at 2, and 3 and above (1 vs. 2, P = 0.86; 1 384 vs.3+, P = 0.10; 2 vs.3+, P = 0.02), but the effect sizes of all comparisons were relatively

385 large (Hedges g and 95% CI:  $\alpha$  at 1 vs.2 = 1.60<sub>[1.32-1.89]</sub>; 1 vs.3+ = 1.48<sub>[1.13-1.83]</sub>; 2 vs.3+  $= 2.02_{[1.73 - 2.30]}$ ). 386 387 388 DISCUSSION 389 Among life-history traits, reproduction and survival have received substantial 390 research attention (e.g., Stearns 1992; Roff 1992). The timing of lifecycle events is also 391 important in the evolution of life-history tactics (e.g., Oli and Dobson 2003). For long-392 lived species, events such as the age at which females begin to reproduce can have major 393 evolutionary influences on life-history patterns. Not surprisingly, age at first 394 reproduction can have a substantial influence on fitness, with fitness substantially 395 diminished by a late start to breeding (Bell 1976, 1980; especially in avian studies, 396 McGraw and Caswell 1996, Brommer et al. 2002, Oli et al. 2002). We asked whether 397 age at first reproduction made a significant difference to the fitness of individual female 398 Columbian ground squirrels. 399 To examine the importance of first reproducing at different ages to fitness, we 400 were faced with three problems; one was whether to adjust for population growth. It 401 seemed plausible that fitness should be measured differently in increasing versus 402 decreasing populations, considering that our population of Columbian ground squirrels 403 fluctuated substantially from year to year. The second problem was to determine which 404 of two possible techniques to apply to our question: the individual fitness approach of 405 McGraw and Caswell (1996) or the widely used LRS. The third problem was when to 406 measure offspring production. The end of intense period of maternal care (viz., gestation 407 and lactation) that occurs when young are weaned seems reasonable, at least for an initial

| 408 | examination. However, a better measure might be when those offspring become                              |
|-----|--|
| 409 | surviving descendants that themselves reproduce (Boyce and Perrins 1987; Brommer et                      |
| 410 | al. 2004). Thus, we examined the production of yearlings, a subadult age class for most                  |
| 411 | individuals (but an age at which a few females successfully reproduce). We also made a                   |
| 412 | preliminary analysis of the strength of natural selection on the age of first reproduction               |
| 413 | for a species in which it was variable. Finally, we examined litter size at first                        |
| 414 | reproduction and longevity for females that began reproduction at different ages, in a                   |
| 415 | preliminary test of the potential for tradeoffs of the age at which females began to                     |
| 416 | reproduce with initial reproduction or subsequent survival.  |
| 417 | In years of population increase, mean fitness in a population will be relatively                         |
| 418 | higher, and conversely in years of population decline. Thus, it might be reasonable to                   |
| 419 | adjust fitness for changes in population size. We compared individual fitness ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) to the |
| 420 | change in population size over the lifetimes of females using a Leslie matrix ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ).    |
| 421 | This analysis tested whether individual fitness changes significantly with an estimate of                |
| 422 | mean fitness (viz., population growth rate) during the lifetimes of individual females.                  |
| 423 | Both when using the number of weaned offspring and number of yearling offspring to                       |
| 424 | measure fecundity, we found significant differences in $\lambda_{ind}$ (and $\lambda_{indy}$ ) that were |
| 425 | associated with changes in population growth. This was true for females that first                       |
| 426 | reproduced at different ages, and for those females combined, regardless of which                        |
| 427 | measure of fecundity was used. Therefore, we recommend adjustment for population                         |
| 428 | growth ( $\lambda_{rel}$ , see also Viblanc et al. 2010; Dobson et al. 2012).                            |
| 429 | Because LRS is rate insensitive (Brommer et al. 2002), there was no obvious way                          |
| 430 | to adjust LRS for population growth or decline. To compare reproductive success and                      |

| 431 | estimate fitness relative to each female's peers, we regressed LRS for each female on the               |
|-----|---|
| 432 | total reproductive success of the cohort into which she was born, and used the residuals                |
| 433 | of this analyses as an estimate of relative LRS. This estimate was based on the cohort                  |
| 434 | into which females were born, similar to the estimate of relative individual fitness.                   |
| 435 | Relative LRS, however, did not appear to yield additional insight to simply using LRS as                |
| 436 | an estimate of fitness. Estimates of fitness are problematical, as estimates from                       |
| 437 | individual fitness and LRS, and estimates from descendants over an extended pedigree                    |
| 438 | may not closely agree (Brommer et al. 2004; Reid et al. 2019). In addition, the most                    |
| 439 | appropriate measures may depend on both changes in population density and on                            |
| 440 | environmental fluctuations (Sæther and Engen 2015). We accounted for changes in                         |
| 441 | population density among years for individual females by statistically comparing their                  |
| 442 | individual fitness to changes in population growth rate for their cohort.                               |
| 443 | Next, we compared $\lambda_{rel}$ and LRS for similarity of measures. Because $\lambda_{rel}$ is rate-  |
| 444 | sensitive (sensu Brommer et al. 2002), we expected it to show a pattern of decline with                 |
| 445 | age at first reproduction, as in earlier studies (McGraw and Caswell 1996). Similar to                  |
| 446 | those previous results, and when number of offspring at weaning was used to measure                     |
| 447 | fecundity, $\lambda_{rel}$ declined significantly with age at first reproduction. A similar pattern was |
| 448 | found when yearling offspring were used to measure fecundity $(\lambda_{rely})$ , though it only        |
| 449 | approached significance. It is likely that variation in survival introduced by the vagaries             |
| 450 | of living in a fluctuating environment (with both ecological and social changes) rendered               |
| 451 | the association between age at first reproduction and fitness weaker. If we were able to                |
| 452 | track a suitable number of female offspring through their age at first reproduction, we                 |
| 453 | would expect the association with fitness to be even weaker. This phenomenon is                         |

454 particularly important because it is only offspring that survive to reproduce that can pass
455 on any genetic propensity for the trait form to future generations. Our conclusion is that
456 there is likely little or weak selective advantage to earlier onset of reproduction in
457 Columbian ground squirrels, if one measures fitness from those that are most likely to
458 contribute to future generations.

459 LRS (lifetime reproductive success) has been used as a measure of fitness in 460 many species (e.g., Clutton-Brock 1988; Newton 1989; Merilä and Sheldon 2000; Jensen 461 et al. 2004; Descamps et al. 2006; McLoughlin et al. 2007). LRS is limited by the fact 462 that it does not consider the timing of reproduction, which can have a significant 463 influence on the fitness of a trait group (Cole 1954; Lewontin 1965; Roff 1992; Stearns 464 1992). Females who mature later might have a similar LRS value to those maturing 465 earlier, if particular life-history traits exhibit tradeoffs (Brommer et al. 2002). LRS did 466 not show significant evidence of fitness advantage with respect to age at first 467 reproduction in our study, regardless of whether LRS was estimated from offspring near 468 the time of weaning or at their emergence from their first hibernation. These results 469 reflect similar findings in European sparrowhawks (Accipeter nisus; McGraw and 470 Caswell 1996), Ural owls (Strix uralensis; Brommer et al. 1998), and wood ducks (Aix 471 sponsa; Oli et al. 2002).

One might expect females that reproduce later in life to have lower LRS, because each breeding season skipped is a missed chance to reproduce. However, if there were a survival cost to reproduction at earlier ages (e.g., Williams 1966; Reznick 1985), that pattern might be obscured in long-lived species. In any case, there were no significant changes in LRS for female ground squirrels that matured at different ages, whether LRS

477 was measured as weaned offspring or the production of yearling offspring. A slight 478 decline in LRS occurred for females that successfully weaned offspring at ages 3, 4, and 479 5, but this pattern was not significant, regardless of whether LRS was measured at 480 weaning or using the number of yearling offspring. Studies of allelic contributions to 481 future generations suggest that LRS and  $\lambda_{ind}$  produce roughly comparable estimates of 482 long-term fitness measures, though such long-term allele measures require pedigrees that 483 include both males and females (Brommer et al. 2004; Reid et al. 2019). The apparent 484 paradox of differences in patterns of  $\lambda_{ind}$  and LRS with respect to life event timing may 485 be that the seeming advantage of early reproduction degrades somewhat over time, with 486 the two measures becoming more similar as offspring are counted closer to when the 487 offspring themselves become reproductive adults. The pattern of difference between 488 individual fitness and LRS seemed to show a decrease over time in our population of 489 Columbian ground squirrels.

490 Our next goal was to quantify selection on age at first reproduction, by regressing 491  $\lambda_{rel}$  on age at first reproduction (the regression of relative fitness on the trait, after Lande 492 and Arnold 1983). When offspring were counted at weaning, there was significant 493 directional selection for reproducing earlier (see also McGraw and Caswell 1996). 494 Similar results were obtained for yellow-bellied marmots (Oli and Armitage 2003, 2008). 495 In our case, this was accompanied by significant, though very mild disruptive selection. 496 However, while disruptive selection favors extreme phenotypes, the main polynomial 497 influence on age at first reproduction was obtained from yearling females that had 498 somewhat greater success, on average, than expected from the linear model. A similar 499 pattern was evident when fecundity was measured from the number of surviving yearling

500 offspring ( $\lambda_{rely}$ ), although it only approached significance and had a trivial effect size. 501 Measuring fecundity from yearling offspring caught at emergence from hibernation in the 502 next spring in the estimation of individual fitness likely introduced extrinsic variation in 503 our measure of selection. Such variation may be caused by differential offspring 504 mortality due to infanticide, predation, and overwinter mortality during hibernation (e.g., 505 Murie and Boag 1984; Dobson 1990; Maron et al. 2010). Apparent fitness advantages 506 for trait forms that occur early in life, like age at first reproduction, may be diluted by the 507 time that the offspring themselves reproduce, and perhaps render natural selection on 508 such trait forms relatively trivial.

509 Brommer et al. (2002) suggested that individual fitness was only appropriate in 510 non-equilibrium populations, citing Stearn's (1992) book on life histories. The fitness of 511 alternative trait forms, however, is more at issue than the overall growth of the population. 512 Trait frequencies may change largely independently of changes in population growth, 513 despite the fact that both depend on reproduction, survival, and migration. Fitness 514 differences favor alternative trait forms, and the fitness of individuals is only a means of 515 estimating the fitness of trait forms. Thus, in an equilibrium population in terms of 516 population growth, a trait form may increase through a process akin to population growth 517 and eventually reach fixation. This is also true for changes in trait frequency in 518 populations, as most in nature, that exhibit nearly constant periods of increase (from 519 reproduction and immigration) and decrease (from mortality and emigration). This basic 520 concept has led to ideas about selection for traits associated with changes in the balance 521 of reproduction and survival in times of population change (Boyce and Perrins 1987).

| 522 | Yearling females were still growing in structural size (reflected by skeletal                         |
|-----|---|
| 523 | growth; after Dobson 1992), and those that were successful at reproducing had slightly                |
| 524 | smaller litters than females that first produced offspring at older ages. This pattern was            |
| 525 | not significant, nor were there any significant differences among these initial                       |
| 526 | reproductive efforts in yearling offspring production, though 1 <sup>st</sup> -time breeders may have |
| 527 | slightly lower reproductive success than multiparous mothers (Neuhaus et al. 2004;                    |
| 528 | Broussard et al. 2008). Nonetheless, females that were reproducing for the first time had             |
| 529 | comparable fecundity, on average, regardless of their age. There was a significant pattern            |
| 530 | of greater length of life for females that began reproduction later in life; however, that            |
| 531 | might reflect a cost of early commitment to reproductive adulthood. Trade-offs of                     |
| 532 | current reproduction and subsequent reproduction and survival have been termed the                    |
| 533 | "costs of reproduction" in life-history theory (e.g., Williams 1966; Reznick 1985).                   |
| 534 | Columbian ground squirrels appear to lack such a trade-off, at least phenotypically                   |
| 535 | (Murie and Dobson 1987; Hare and Murie 1992; Neuhaus 2000; Skibiel et al. 2013;                       |
| 536 | Rubach et al. 2016). Our results suggest that the age at which females first reproduce                |
| 537 | might be a trait to consider when looking for potential life-history trade-offs.                      |
| 538 |   |
| 539 | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS  |
| 540 | We thank the many research assistants since 1992 for their contributions to the extended              |
| 541 | data file. Animal care conformed to Auburn University IACUC protocols, most recently                  |
| 542 | #2012-2051, 2015-2626, and 2018-3227 (also approved by: University of Calgary –                       |
| 543 | LESACC). M.K. Oli, M.E. Wolak, and an anonymous reviewer provided very helpful                        |
| 544 | comments on versions of the manuscript. Field research was funded in part by a Natural                |

| 545 | Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grant to J.O. Murie and by a           |
|-----|--|
| 546 | National Science Foundation grant (DEB-0089473) to F.S. Dobson. We thank the               |
| 547 | Biogeosciences Institute of the University of Calgary, Alberta, for housing and facilities |
| 548 | at the R.B. Millar Biological Station, Kananaskis Field Stations, especially K. Ruckstuhl  |
| 549 | (faculty organizer and researcher at the R.B. Miller Field Station), J. Mappin-Buchannan   |
| 550 | and A. Cunnings (Station Managers), and E. Johnson (Institute Director). Fieldwork was     |
| 551 | carried out under permits from Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, and               |
| 552 | supported by a CNRS Projet International de Coopération Scientifique (PICS-07143)          |
| 553 | grant to V.A. Viblanc. F.S. Dobson thanks the Institute of Advanced Studies of the         |
| 554 | University of Strasbourg for financial support through an USIAS fellowship, and the        |
| 555 | Région Grand Est and the Eurométropole de Strasbourg for the award of a Gutenberg          |
| 556 | Excellence Chair.  |
| 557 |  |
| 558 | LITERATURE CITED   |
| 559 | ARNOLD, S.J., and M.J. WADE. 1984a. On the measurement of natural and sexual               |
| 560 | selection: theory. Evolution 38:709-719.   |
| 561 | ARNOLD, S.J., and M.J. WADE. 1984b. On the measurement of natural and sexual               |
| 562 | selection: applications. Evolution 38:720-734.   |
| 563 | BATES, D., M. MAECHLER, B. BOLKER, and S. WALKER. 2014. Ime4: Linear mixed-                |
| 564 | effects models using Eigen and S4 R package version 1.1-7, http://CRAN.R                   |
| 565 | project.org/package=lme4.  |
| 566 | BELL, G. 1976. On breeding more than once. American Naturalist 110:57-77.                  |
|     |  |
|     |  |

- 567 BELL, G. 1980. The costs of reproduction and their consequences. American Naturalist
  568 116:45-76.
- BOYCE, M.S., AND C.M. PERRINS. 1987. Optimizing great tit clutch size in a fluctuating
  environment. Ecology 68:42-153.
- 571 BROMMER, J. E., H. PIETIÄINEN, AND H. KOLUNEN. 1998. The effect of age at first
- breeding on Ural owl lifetime reproductive success and fitness under cyclic foodconditions. Journal of Animal Ecology 67:359–369.
- 574 BROMMER, J.E., J. MERILÄ, AND H. KOKKO. 2002. Reproductive timing and individual
  575 fitness. Ecology Letters 5:802–810.
- 576 BROMMER, J.E., L. GUSTAFSSON, H. PIETIÄINEN, AND J. MERILÄ. 2004. Single-
- 577 generation estimates of individual fitness as proxies for long-term genetic578 contribution. American Naturalist 164:505-517.
- 579 BROUSSARD, D.R., F.S. DOBSON, AND J.O. MURIE. 2008. Previous experience and
- reproductive investment of female Columbian ground squirrels. Journal ofMammalogy 89:145-152.
- 582 CASWELL, H. 1989. The analysis of life table response experiments. I. Decomposition of
- 583 treatment effects on population growth rate. Ecological Modelling 46:221–237.
- 584 CASWELL, H. 2001. Matrix population models: construction, analysis, and
- 585 interpretation. Sinauer, Sunderland, Mass.
- 586 COLE, L.C. 1954. The population consequences of life history phenomena. Quarterly
  587 Review of Biology 29:103-137.
- 588 CHARNOV, E.L., AND W.M. SCHAFFER. 1973. Life history consequences of natural
- 589 selection: Cole's result revisited. American Naturalist 107:791-793.

| 590 | CLUTTON-BROCK, T.H. 1988. Reproductive Success. In: Reproductive Success (ed.             |
|-----|---|
| 591 | Clutton-Brock, T.H.). University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 472–485.                  |
| 592 | DESCAMPS, S., S. BOUTIN, D. BERTEAUX, AND JM. GAILLARD. 2006. Red squirrels               |
| 593 | trade a long life for an early reproduction. Proceedings of The Royal Society B,          |
| 594 | Biological Sciences 273:2369-2374.  |
| 595 | DOBSON, F.S. 1990. Environmental effects on infanticide in Columbian ground               |
| 596 | squirrels. Ethology 84:3-14.  |
| 597 | DOBSON, F.S. 1992. Body mass, structural size, and life-history patterns of the           |
| 598 | Columbian ground squirrel. American Naturalist 140:109-125.                               |
| 599 | DOBSON, F.S., M.J. BADRY, AND C. GEDDES. 1992. Seasonal activity in the Columbian         |
| 600 | ground squirrel. Canadian Journal of Zoology 70:1364-1368.                                |
| 601 | DOBSON, F.S., AND J.D. KJELGAARD. 1985a. The influence of food resources on               |
| 602 | population dynamics in Columbian ground squirrels. Canadian Journal of                    |
| 603 | Zoology 63:2095-2104.   |
| 604 | DOBSON, F.S., AND J.D. KJELGAARD. 1985b. The influence of food resources on life          |
| 605 | history in Columbian ground squirrels. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63:2105-               |
| 606 | 2109.   |
| 607 | DOBSON, F.S. AND J.O. MURIE. 1987. Interpretation of intraspecific life history patterns: |
| 608 | evidence from Columbian ground squirrels. American Naturalist. 129:382-397.               |
| 609 | DOBSON, F.S., AND M.K. OLI. 2001. The demographic basis of population regulation in       |

- 610 Columbian ground squirrels. American Naturalist 158:236–247.
- DOBSON, F.S, AND M.K. OLI. 2008. The life histories of Orders of mammals: fast and
  slow breeding. Current Science 95:862–865.

| 613 | DOBSON, F.S., V.A. VIBLANC, C.A. ARNAUD, AND J.O. MURIE. 2012. Kin selection in      |
|-----|--|
| 614 | Columbian ground squirrels: direct and indirect fitness benefits. Molecular          |
| 615 | Ecology 21:524-531.  |
| 616 | GRAFEN, A. 1988. On uses of data on lifetime reproductive success. In: Reproductive  |
| 617 | Success, pp. 454-471, T.H Clutton-Brock (ed.) University of Chicago Press,           |
| 618 | Chicago, Illinois.   |
| 619 | HARE, J.F., AND J.O. MURIE. 1992. Manipulation of litter size reveals no cost of     |
| 620 | reproduction in Columbian ground squirrels. Journal of Mammalogy 73:449-454.         |
| 621 | HINKLEY, D.V. 1969. On the ratio of two correlated normal random variables.          |
| 622 | Biometrika 3:635-639.  |
| 623 | JENSEN, H., BE. SÆTHER, T.H. RINGSBY, J. TUFTO, S.C. GRIFFITH, AND H. ELLEGREN.      |
| 624 | 2004. Lifetime reproductive success in relation to morphology in the house           |
| 625 | sparrow Passer domesticus. Journal of Animal Ecology 73:599-611.                     |
| 626 | KOSOVA, G., M. ABNEY, AND C. OBER. 2010. Heritability of reproductive fitness traits |
| 627 | in a human population. Proceedings of the National Academy of the U.S.A.             |
| 628 | 107:1772-1778.   |
| 629 | LANDE, R., AND S.J. ARNOLD. 1983. The measurements of selection on correlated        |
| 630 | characters. Evolution 37:1210-1226.  |
| 631 | LESLIE, P.H. 1945. On the use of matrices in certain population mathematics.         |
| 632 | Biometrika 33:183-212.   |
| 633 | LEWONTIN, R.C. 1965. Selection for colonizing ability. pp. 77-94. In The genetics of |
| 634 | colonizing species. H.G Baker and G.L Stebbins (eds.) Academic Press, New            |
|     |  |

635 York, New York.

| 636 | MARON, J.L., D.E. PEARSON, AND R. J. FLETHCER, JR. 2010. Counterintuitive effects of |
|-----|--|
| 637 | large-scale predator removal on a midlatitude rodent community. Ecology              |
| 638 | 91:3719-3728.  |

- MCGRAW, J.B, AND H. CASWELL. 1996. Estimation of individual fitness from lifehistory data. American Naturalis 147:47-64.
- 641 MCLOUGHLIN, P. D., J.-M. GAILLARD, M.S. BOYCE, C. BONENFANT, F. MESSIER, P.
- 642 DUNCAN, D. DELORME, S. SÄID, S., AND F. KLEIN. 2007. Lifetime reproductive
- success and composition of the home range in a large herbivore. Ecology
- 64488:3192-3201.
- 645 MEATS, A. 1971. The relative importance to population increase of fluctuations in

646 mortality, fecundity and the time variables of the reproductive schedule.

- 647 Oecologia 6:223-237.
- MERILÄ, J., AND B.C. SHELDON. 2000. Lifetime reproductive success and heritability in
  nature. American Naturalist 155:301-310.
- 650 MIYATAKE, T. 1997. Genetic trade-off between early fecundity and longevity in

651 *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Heredity 78:93–100.

- MURIE, J.O. 1995. Mating behavior of Columbian ground squirrels. 1. Multiple mating
  by females and multiple paternity. Canadian Journal of Zoology 73:1819–1926.
- MURIE, J.O., AND D.A. BOAG. 1984. The relationship of body weight to overwinter
- survival in Columbian ground squirrels. Journal of Mammalogy 65:688-690.
- 656 MURIE, J.O., AND F.S. DOBSON. 1987. The costs of reproduction in columbian ground
- 657 squirrels. Oecologia 73:1-6.

| 658 | MURIE, J.O., AND M.A. HARRIS. 1982. Annual variation of spring emergence and           |
|-----|--|
| 659 | breeding in Columbian ground squirrels (Spermophilus columbianus). Journal of          |
| 660 | Mammalogy 63:431-439.  |
| 661 | NEUHAUS, P., D.R. BROUSSARD, J.O. MURIE, AND F.S. DOBSON. 2004. Age of                 |
| 662 | primiparity and implications of early reproduction on life history in female           |
| 663 | Columbian ground squirrels. Journal of Animal Ecology 73:36–43.                        |
| 664 | NEWTON, I. (ed.). 1989. Lifetime Reproduction in Birds. Academic Press, London.        |
| 665 | NEUHAUS, P. 2000. Weight comparisons and litter size manipulation in Columbian         |
| 666 | ground squirrels (Spermophilus columbianus) show evidence of costs of                  |
| 667 | reproduction. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 48:75-83.                            |
| 668 | NEUHAUS, P., D.R. BROUSSARD, J.O. MURIE, AND F.S. DOBSON. 2004. Age of                 |
| 669 | primiparity and implications of early reproduction on life history in female           |
| 670 | Columbian ground squirrels. Journal of Animal Ecology 73:36-43.                        |
| 671 | OLI, M.K., AND K.B. ARMITAGE. 2003. Sociality and individual fitness in yellow-bellied |
| 672 | marmots: insights from a long-term study (1962-2001). Oecologia 136:543-50.            |
| 673 | OLI, M.K., AND K.B. ARMITAGE. 2008. Indirect fitness benefits do not compensate for    |
| 674 | the loss of direct fitness in yellow-bellied marmots. Journal of Mammalogy             |
| 675 | 89:874-881.  |
| 676 | OLI, M. K., AND F.S. DOBSON. 1999. Population cycles in small mammals: the role of     |
| 677 | age at sexual maturity. Oikos 86:557-565.  |
| 678 | OLI, M.K., AND F.S. DOBSON. 2003. The relative importance of life-history variables to |
| 679 | population growth rate in mammals: Cole's prediction revisited. The American           |
| 680 | Naturalist 161:422-440   |

| 681 | OLI, M. K., G.R. HEPP, AND R.A. KENNAMER. 2002. Fitness consequences of delayed    |
|-----|--|
| 682 | maturity in female wood ducks. Evolutionary Ecology Research 4:563-576.            |
| 683 | OLI, M.K., N.A. SLADE, AND F.S. DOBSON. 2001. The effect of density reduction on   |
| 684 | Uinta ground squirrel populations: an analysis of life table response experiments. |
| 685 | Ecology 82:1921-1929.  |
| 686 | RAVEH, S., D. HEG, F.S. DOBSON, D.W. COLTMAN, J.C. GORRELL, A. BALMER, AND P.      |
| 687 | NEUHAUS. 2010. Mating order and reproductive success in male Columbian             |
| 688 | ground squirrels (Urocitellus columbianus). Behavioral Ecology 21:537-547.         |
| 689 | RAVEH, S., D. HEG, V.A. VIBLANC, D.W. COLTMAN, J.C. GORRELL, F.S. DOBSON, A        |
| 690 | BALMER, AND P. NEUHAUS. 2011. Male reproductive tactics to increase                |
| 691 | paternity in the polygynandrous Columbian ground squirrel (Urocitellus             |
| 692 | columbianus). Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology 65:695-706.                        |
| 693 | REID, J.M., P. NEITLISBACH, M.E. WOLAK, L.F. KELLER, AND P. ARCESE. 2019.          |
| 694 | Individuals' expected genetic contributions to future generations, reproductive    |
| 695 | value, and short-term metrics of fitness in free-living song sparrows (Melospiza   |
| 696 | melodia). Evolution Letters 3:271-285.   |
| 697 | REZNICK, D. 1985. Costs of reproduction: an evaluation of the empirical evidence.  |
| 698 | Oikos 44:257-267.  |
| 699 | ROFF, D.A. 1992. The Evolution of Life Histories: Theory and Analysis. Chapman and |
| 700 | Hall, New York.  |
| 701 | ROFF, D.A. 1996. The evolution of genetic correlations: an analysis of patterns.   |

702 Evolution 50:1392-1403.

- 703 RUBACH, K., M. WU, A. ABEBE, F.S. DOBSON, J.O. MURIE, AND V.A. VIBLANC. 2016.
- Testing the reproductive and somatic trade-off in female Columbian ground
  squirrels. Ecology and Evolution doi:10.1002/ece3.2215.
- 706 SÆTHER, B.-E, AND S. ENGEN. 2015. The concept of fitness in fluctuating environments.
  707 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30:273-281.
- SIBLY, R., AND P. CALOW. 1986. Why breeding earlier is always worthwhile. Journal of
   theoretical Biology 123:311-319.
- 710 SKIBIEL, A.L., J.R. SPEAKMAN, AND W.R. HOOD. 2013. Testing the predictions of
- energy allocation decisions in the evolution of life-history trade-offs. Functional
  Ecology 27:1382-1391.
- 713 STEARNS, S.C. 1992. The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford University Press, London
  714 Xii + 249 Pp.
- 715 VIBLANC, V.A., C.M. ARNAUD, F.S. DOBSON, AND J.O. MURIE. 2010. Kin selection in
- 716 Columbian ground squirrels (*Urocitellus columbianus*): littermate kin provide
- 717 individual fitness benefits. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B,
- 718 Biological Sciences 277:989-994.
- WIGGETT, D.R., AND D.A. BOAG. 1989. Intercolony natal dispersal in the Columbian
  ground squirrel. Canadian Journal of Zoology 67:42-50.
- WILLIAMS, G.C. 1966. Natural selection, the costs of reproduction, and a refinement of
  Lack's principle. The American Naturalist 100:687-690.
- 723

724 Figures

Fig. 1. - Spring population size of females in a colony of female Columbian ground
squirrels (≥ 1 year old) in Sheep River Provincial Park, Alberta Canada from 1992-2018.

| 728 | <b>Fig. 2.</b> - Regression of individual lambda ( $\lambda_{ind}$ ) on finite population growth ( $\lambda_{Leslie}$ ) |
|-----|---|
| 729 | during the lifetimes of individual females, for three ages at which females first                                       |
| 730 | reproduced (ages 1, 2, and 3 and above). a) Fecundity was based on the number of  |
| 731 | offspring at weaning. b) Fecundity was based on the number of these offspring that                                      |
| 732 | survived to emerge from hibernation as yearlings.   |
| 733 |   |
| 734 | Fig. 3 Fitness estimate for females that first reproduced at different ages, from mean                                  |
| 735 | relative fitness with standard errors for: a) fecundity estimated from number of offspring                              |
| 736 | at weaning, and b) fecundity estimated from number of offspring that survive their first                                |
| 737 | hibernation to become yearlings. Fitness estimate for females that first reproduced at                                  |
| 738 | different ages, from mean lifetime reproductive success with standard errors for: c)                                    |
| 739 | lifetime reproductive success based on weaned offspring, and d) lifetime reproductive                                   |
| 740 | success based on numbers of yearling offspring.   |
|     |   |

741

Fig. 4. - Selection on age at first reproduction from regression of fitness (estimated by relative fitness) on age at which females first reproduced (ages 1 through 5), where fecundity was estimated by either a) number of weaned offspring or b) number of surviving yearling offspring. The black line shows the linear least-squares best fit, and the gray line in a) shows the quadratic best fit.