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ABSTRACT: As a symptom of evolution, human beings are always trying to better understand current and past events so as to be able to foresee future situations. In order to do that the development of new tools and/or methods is a must. Currently, the Modern Analog Technique (MAT) is one of the most used techniques in paleoceanography and it is applied for the quantitative reconstruction of environmental conditions of the past. Here we propose a new tool, PaleoAnalogs, a method that combines the MAT technique with an interactive analysis to produce faster and more accurate down core reconstructions of (i.e.) sea surface temperature. The tool is tested here using planktonic foraminifers from Pleistocene sediments in the Mediterranean sea, where a well-build data-base is available. PaleoAnalogs is programmed with flexibility in order to enable the use of this technique for different micropaleontological groups and it is available for any operating system.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last years we have witnessed how the claim of society for accurate climate prediction has increased; therefore, the climate predictability has emerged as one of the most powerful areas of research. Two approaches may be used: (1) the development of new methods that will provide best-guess predictions and, (2) a better understanding of the climate changes in the past that will lead to a more accurate ability of prediction. Along with atmospheric and land processes, ocean dynamics modelling is essential for predicting the impact of climatic change on human activities and vice versa. A crucial point is to provide quantitative data to reconstruct oceanographic parameters to monitor rapid and drastic climate changes.

The information accumulated over thousands of years (mainly in ice and sediment cores) is an impressive source of data that, for instance, help us to model earth and ocean dynamics (Theron et al. 2002), which is the first step to make climatic predictions. When looking for historic climatic data with durations exceeding decades, the oldest and oldest record is found in the oceans. Palaeoceanographers need to manipulate, integrate and analyze time-series that are obtained from a number of independent techniques (such as ocean drilling, ocean tracers, AMC 14C datings, astronomic curves, etc.), which, moreover, are usually produced by different researchers and/or laboratories.

Some of these data that are needed to understand paleoclimate are time-series of specific attributes related to the oceans. Thus, one problem scientists must face is how to know environmental parameters, such as sea surface temperature (SST), that were present at each given past moment. For the reconstruction of these features, isotope measurements ($\delta^{18}$O) or biomarkers ($U_{37}^K$ index) have been used. Some works (Dowsett and Robinson 1998) suggest that isotopic estimates of temperature must be viewed with some degree of uncertainty (ice volume history, for instance). Gratefully, other independent techniques, based on microfossil assemblages, offer the possibility to obtain paleoenvironmental reconstructions.

Beginning in the 1970s with CLIMAP (1976, 1981, 1984), paleoceanographers have been trying to derive quantitative estimates using the distribution of fossil foraminifers present in the sedimentary record. After that, some better approaches have arisen, making the Modern Analog Technique, MAT (Hutson 1980) a standard method in paleoceanography.

The goal of this contribution is to show how the development of ad hoc software tools can improve both the quality and time consumption for obtaining the results that standard techniques in the field, like MAT, provide.

BACKGROUND

As has been stated before, paleoceanographers have been trying to derive quantitative estimates of climatic parameters from the sedimentary record. In general, the procedure is to observe the modern distribution of some component of surface sediments that depends on climate, find an empirical relationship between climate and the character of the sediments, and then extrapolate past climate by studying older sediments in the same way (Schweitzer 1994).

The first attempt to find an empirical relationship between past climate and components of the sediment was proposed by Imbrie and Kipp (1971); in that work, sea-floor sediments were examined to determine the percentage of various species of planktonic foraminifers present in them. The key element was the assumption that the distribution of foraminiferal assemblages depended strongly on the extremes of annual SST. Thus, foraminiferal assemblages, decomposed with the standard statistical method of $Q$ mode principal component analysis, were studied, using a multiple regression technique, against the aver-
age SST during the coolest and warmest seasons. These ideas lead to the development of the Transfer Function Technique that produces a set of transfer functions, i.e., equations that can be used to estimate cool and warm SST from the faunal composition of a sediment sample.

Hutson (1980) developed an alternative approach, MAT, which does not generate a unique calibration formula between faunal data and physical properties. Instead, this method searches the data base of modern faunas for samples with assemblages that most resemble the fossil assemblage. The environment representing the fossil sample is then reconstructed from the physical properties recorded in the best modern analog samples.

In the original work of Hutson (1980), a cosine-theta distance was used to match modern and fossil samples. Later, in Overpeck et al. (1985), eight dissimilarity coefficients were tested to assess how they responded to differences among modern pollen samples. In this study, it was suggested that, whereas all coefficients yielded congruent results, signal-to-noise performed better than unweighted or equal-weight dissimilarity coefficients. Furthermore, a squared chord distance was pointed out as the best choice, making this dissimilarity coefficient a standard in later works.

In the last years, some improvements of MAT have arisen with the introduction of SIMMAX (Pflaumann et al. 1996) and RAM (Waebroeck et al. 1998), and recently, artificial neural networks (ANNs) have been used for estimating past SST from planktonic foraminifer census data (Malmgren et al. 2001).

**PROCEDURE**

**PaleoAnalogs: finding modern analogs**

PaleoAnalogs is a Java-based program (available at http://paleosofttools.usal.es), designed to process the method of modern analogs. It is assumed that the user has faunal census estimates of one or more fossil samples, the core file, and one or more sets of faunal data from modern samples with the related environmental features, the database file. Furthermore, the user
must understand the taxonomic categories represented in the data sets, and be able to recognize taxa that are or may be considered equivalent in the analysis.

The process begins after the selection of the core and database files (text-figure 1); in general, these files will contain different taxa, both because different taxa are prevalent in different regions and because data providers use varying taxonomic categories (species and subspecies), names, and abbreviations. In the example of text-figure 1, Aa and Ab are subspecies of the species A, while B and D are different names for the same species (C and C' represent the case where different abbreviations are used). MAT requires that corresponding variables in different data sets be recognizable as such, otherwise it would be impossible to calculate the distance measures. As it can be seen in figure 1, only after the database and the core files contain equivalent taxa, the dissimilarity computation can be done (diss1-1 is the calculated distance or dissimilarity coefficient between core sample Co1 and database sample Db1; diss1-3 is the distance between core sample Co1 and database sample Db3 and so on).

Other tools, like ANALOG (Schweitzer 1994), solve this problem through the use of complex files of transformation rules. PaleoAnalogs features the taxa association wizard (figure 2). With the help of this wizard the problem is easily worked out, allowing the user to determine which taxa from each modern and fossil data file are compared, calculate proportions if needed, and identify the environmental features. For instance, using the taxa association wizard in figure 2 the name RUBR (Globigerinoides ruber) is used for both the database and the core samples. For the example given in figure 1, the association wizard would permit to add the data of species Aa and Ab in the core and associate the result with the species A in the database by simply selecting the three names and pushing the third arrow button on the left (text-figure 2).

Once the database and the core data are transformed to have the same number of equivalent taxa, each sample in the core is compared with each sample in the database using a dissimilarity coefficient. PaleoAnalogs provides the user with the choice of distance measure among the eight coefficients studied in Overpeck et al. (1985); this is particularly interesting, because since that work, most of the paleoceanographers do use only the squared chord distance because it is the unique distance offered in the program they have (Waelbroeck et al. 1998) and it has become the de facto standard. However, it can be very beneficial to compare the results obtained using different coefficients.

Finally, using the distance measure selected by the user, a dissimilarity matrix (text-figure 3) is built. For each core sample (column 1), N dissimilarity values are given, N being the number of samples in the modern database; these values are ordered increasingly so that each row of the matrix contains, left-to-right, the list of the N best analogs, that is, the database samples ordered by their likeness to that particular core pattern.

For instance, figure 3 shows the application of this technique for a particular case; this table exhibits the dissimilarity matrix for planktonic foraminifer assemblages identified in core MD95-2043 (Alboran Sea –Western Mediterranean- 36º8’598”N; 2º37’269”W; 1841 m water depth) using the Kallel et al. (1997) database. Each cell includes the dissimilarity value and the label of the site for that particular analog.
associated database sample. The best analog for each sample is the one that presents the dissimilarity value closest to zero.

The next step in MAT is to reconstruct the environmental conditions of each core sample based on the environmental data of a number of best analogs (generally ten, although PaleoAnalogs permits the use of any number of analogs). This can be done by calculating the average value of the paleovariable to be reconstructed or by weighting the analogs (the closer an analog is the more similar the reconstructed value should be to it). However, this is somehow very strict, because it could happen that some of the used analogs were not valid (from a geologic point of view) so that they should not be used to reconstruct the paleovariable. This is the reason why an interactive analysis can be a powerful aid.

**PaleoAnalogs: interactive analysis and reconstructing parameters**

By clicking on a row of the dissimilarity matrix (text-figure 3) a 2D-plot for that core sample is shown. Text-figure 4 corresponds to the analogs distribution for the core sample ‘120’. This representation is enriched by adding colors (blue-to-red) covering the range of dissimilarity values for the core sample’s analogs.

On each axis one of three categories, dissimilarity values, species or environmental features, can be represented.

These 3-dimensional plots will help paleoceanographers to gain a better understanding of the reconstructions they are performing. For instance, figure 4 illustrates a February temperature/Latitude/Dissimilarity plot; it shows how the best analogs for core sample ‘120’ are concentrated to latitudes between 32º and 37º and temperatures between 11.5ºC and 13ºC, approximately (blue colored boxes, inside the dotted circle in figure 4).

Also, this representation can be made for a selected number of analogs or for those with dissimilarity values smaller than a preset cut-off. Analogs can be labelled with the associated database sample name, which might be of help when showing small number of analogs (e.g., the analogs that will be used to estimate the temperature for a particular sample).

As a final step, the paleovariable reconstruction is performed. Figure 5 shows the SST reconstructed using the 10 best analogs for each sample in core MD2043 (warm- and cold-season estimates are considered in this example). Each reconstructed variable is accompanied by a standard deviation value in order to provide the palaeontologists with a precise measure of the accuracy in the reconstruction.

**CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

This work is an example of how pattern recognition can be a valuable tool in the paleoclimatology field. Thanks to it, reconstructions of paleoenvironmental conditions can gain in feasibility, simplicity and expeditiousness. However, a means of better understanding of the reconstruction process must be established; this can be accomplished by an interactive analysis of the patterns (analogs) found.

Future improvements of PaleoAnalogs may include addition of other micropaleontologic fossil groups; assess the results in comparison with biogeochemical techniques; addition of more standard methods in the field (RAM, ANNs, etc.); consideration of time as a fourth dimension in the interactive analysis; and development and validation of new methods that include data mining techniques.
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