



**HAL**  
open science

## Transcriptional Changes in the Ovaries of Perch from Chernobyl

Adelaide Lerebours, Samuel Robson, Colin Sharpe, Liubov Nagorskaya,  
Dmitri Gudkov, Charlotte Haynes-Lovatt, Jim T Smith

► **To cite this version:**

Adelaide Lerebours, Samuel Robson, Colin Sharpe, Liubov Nagorskaya, Dmitri Gudkov, et al.. Transcriptional Changes in the Ovaries of Perch from Chernobyl. Environmental Science and Technology, In press, 10.1021/acs.est.0c02575 . hal-02913768

**HAL Id: hal-02913768**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02913768v1>**

Submitted on 10 Aug 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 *Transcriptional changes in the ovaries of perch from*  
2 *Chernobyl*

3 <sup>1,2</sup>\*Adélaïde Lerebours, <sup>3</sup>Samuel Robson, <sup>2</sup>Colin Sharpe, <sup>4</sup>Liubov Nagorskaya, <sup>5</sup>Dmitri Gudkov,  
4 <sup>2</sup>Charlotte Haynes-Lovatt and <sup>1</sup>Jim T. Smith

5 <sup>1</sup> School of the Environment, Geography and Geosciences, University of Portsmouth,  
6 Portsmouth, PO1 3QL, United Kingdom

7 <sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, PO1 2DY, United  
8 Kingdom

9 <sup>3</sup>Centre for Enzyme Innovation, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, PO1 2DT, United  
10 Kingdom

11 <sup>4</sup>Applied Science Center for Bioresources of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus,  
12 Minsk, 220072, Belarus

13 <sup>5</sup>Institute of Hydrobiology of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, UA-04210,  
14 Ukraine

15

16 **Keywords:** radiation, fish, gonad development, *de novo* transcriptome assembly, gene  
17 expression.


18 **Corresponding Author**

19 \* Adélaïde Lerebours, phone number: +33 (0) 5 46 50 76 23, e-mail: [adelaide.lerebours@univ-  
21 lr.fr](mailto:adelaide.lerebours@univ-<br/>20 lr.fr), New address: UMR CNRS LIENSs, Université de La Rochelle, Littoral Environnement et  
Sociétés, La Rochelle, 17 000, France



22

23 TOC ART


### IRRADIATED Lakes




Undeveloped



Developed




NGS & De novo transcriptome assembly




Differentially expressed genes

### REFERENCE Lakes



Developed



24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 **Abstract**

34 Fish have been highly exposed to radiation in freshwater systems after the Chernobyl Nuclear  
35 Power Plant (NPP) accident in 1986, and in freshwater and marine systems after the more recent  
36 Fukushima NPP accident in 2011. In the years after the accident, the radioactivity levels rapidly  
37 declined due to radioactive decay and environmental processes, but chronic lower dose  
38 exposures persisted. In order to gain insights into the long-term effects of environmental low  
39 dose radiation on fish ovaries development, a high-throughput transcriptomic approach including  
40 a *de novo* assembly was applied to different gonad phenotypes of female perch: developed  
41 gonads from reference lakes, developed/irradiated from medium contaminated lake and both  
42 developed/irradiated and undeveloped from more highly contaminated lakes. This is the most  
43 comprehensive analysis to date of the gene responses in wildlife reproductive system to  
44 radiation. Some gene responses that were modulated in irradiated gonads were found to be  
45 involved in biological processes including cell differentiation and proliferation (*ggnb2*, *mod5*,  
46 *rergl*), cytoskeleton organization (*k1C18*, *mtpn*), gonad development (*nell2*, *tcp4*), lipid  
47 metabolism (*ldah*, *at11b*, *nltf*), reproduction (*cyb5*, *cyp17A*, *ovos*), DNA damage repair (*wdhd1*,  
48 *rad51*, *hus1*) and epigenetic mechanisms (*dmap1*). Identification of these genes provides a better  
49 understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms underpinning the development of the  
50 gonad phenotypes of wild perch and how fish may respond to chronic exposure to radiation in  
51 their natural environment, though causal attribution of gene responses remains unclear in the  
52 undeveloped gonads.

53 **Introduction**

54 Whilst the biological effects of acute exposure to radiation in laboratory settings is well  
55 documented (Frederica radiation database: [www.frederica-online.org](http://www.frederica-online.org)), little is known about the  
56 long-term effects of low dose exposure to radiation on organisms in their natural environment.  
57 Moreover, results from the literature on the health effects of low doses and the fate of wildlife in  
58 the Chernobyl exclusion zone differ<sup>1,2</sup>. For instance, some studies did not find evidence of  
59 radiation effects on populations of aquatic<sup>3-6</sup>, and terrestrial<sup>7-10</sup> animals whereas others found  
60 adverse effects of radiation on the abundance of invertebrates<sup>11</sup>, birds<sup>12</sup> and mammals<sup>13</sup> at  
61 Chernobyl. Thus, the dose at which significant damage to wildlife populations occurs remains an  
62 open question<sup>2</sup>.

63 Fish have been highly exposed at both Chernobyl and Fukushima, following Nuclear Power  
64 Plant (NPP) accidents in 1986 and 2011 respectively. The highest dose rate to fish after the  
65 Chernobyl accident was estimated to be 400  $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{h}$ <sup>14</sup>. Thereafter, dose rates rapidly declined  
66 mainly due to the decay of short-lived isotopes. Three decades after the Chernobyl Nuclear  
67 Power Plant (NPP) accident, the main radionuclides of concern are <sup>90</sup>Sr (a  $\beta$ -emitter) and <sup>137</sup>Cs (a  
68  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -emitter) due to their long radioactive half-lives (28 and 30 years respectively)<sup>4</sup>. The  
69 contribution of transuranium radioelements to the total dose to fish at Chernobyl is very low<sup>4</sup>.  
70 The total dose to perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) and roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) in 2015 varied from 0.8 to  
71 14.1 and 1.7 to 15.7  $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{h}$  respectively<sup>4</sup>. Biomagnification of <sup>137</sup>Cs was observed between roach  
72 (an omnivorous species) and perch (a carnivorous species) from several lakes in Belarus and  
73 Ukraine, 30 years after the Chernobyl NPP accident<sup>4</sup>.

74 Morphological changes of the reproductive system of fish, such as asymmetry, sterility,  
75 abnormal cells or absence of gonads, were observed several years after both the Chernobyl  
76 accident and the Kyshtym disaster at the Mayak plutonium production site in Russia<sup>15,16</sup>. In a

77 recent large-scale study of the effects of chronic radiation on fish from Chernobyl, the  
78 reproductive system of the European perch, *P. fluviatilis*, appeared to be more sensitive to  
79 radiation than an omnivorous species, the roach, *R. rutilus* for which no effect was recorded<sup>4</sup>. In  
80 female perch remaining at Chernobyl, environmental radiation induced a delay of the maturation  
81 of the gonads as evidenced by the positive correlation between the percentage of immature  
82 oocytes composing the developed gonads of fish from exposed lakes and the gradient of  
83 radiation dose across the seven lakes<sup>4</sup>. In addition, a significant proportion of the females had  
84 undeveloped gonads in two of the three highly contaminated lakes<sup>4</sup>. However, since  
85 undeveloped gonads were not seen in the third highly contaminated lake, it remains unclear  
86 whether this phenotype is a direct result of radiation exposure or instead some other  
87 environmental factors: the two lakes in which the effect was seen were artificial reservoirs  
88 (Chernobyl Cooling Pond and Yanovsky Crawl) whereas the third lake was a natural floodplain  
89 lake (Glubokoye).

90 To our knowledge, the effects of radiation on the transcriptome of fish have been assessed  
91 through a few laboratory studies performed in adult Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)<sup>17</sup>, embryos of  
92 zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)<sup>18,19</sup> and embryos of stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*)<sup>20</sup>. However, the  
93 effects of radiation on the transcriptome of aquatic organisms exposed in their natural  
94 environment are still uncharacterised. Moreover, the effects of chronic environmental radiation  
95 on the molecular mechanisms involved in gonad maturation of fish and potentially underlying  
96 the delay in the gonad maturation process previously observed in perch at Chernobyl are  
97 unknown.

98 In order to address this knowledge gap, we applied a high-throughput transcriptomic approach  
99 to observed gonad phenotypes of female fish exposed to a gradient of radiation at Chernobyl.

100 The transcriptome of perch collected from lakes located inside and outside the Chernobyl  
101 Exclusion Zone (CEZ) was sequenced, assembled *de novo* and annotated. Changes in the  
102 transcriptional responses were assessed between developed female gonads from reference lakes,  
103 developed/irradiated female gonads from lakes characterised by medium (M) (Svyatoye) and  
104 high (H) (Chernobyl Cooling Pond and Yanovsky Crawl) levels of radioactive contamination,  
105 and undeveloped/irradiated female gonads from lakes characterised by high (H) levels of  
106 radioactive contamination.

107

## 108 **Methods**

109 **Fish collection.** 24 female European perch (*P. fluviatilis*) were selected from the September  
110 2014 field work session (as described in Lerebours *et al.*, 2018<sup>4</sup>). Fish were collected from 6  
111 lakes in Belarus and Ukraine using 3 gill nets of 20 m length and 21 mm mesh size to ensure the  
112 capture of homogenous groups of mature fish. Perch were carefully removed from the nets and  
113 placed into tanks containing aerated water. Fish fell unconscious by a blow to the head and were  
114 then killed by performing a concussion of the brain to limit as much as possible the suffering as  
115 recommended by UK Home Office procedures (ASPA, 1986)<sup>21</sup>. Scales were sampled for age  
116 determination. The body weight, total length and presence of external signs of disease were  
117 noted for each fish using methodology specified by the International Council for the Exploration  
118 of the Sea (Feist *et al.*, 2004)<sup>22</sup>. The Fulton condition, hepatosomatic and gonadosomatic index  
119 were determined. The fulton condition (K), hepatosomatic index (HSI), and gonadosomatic  
120 index (GSI) were determined as follow:  $K = \text{body weight} / (\text{total length (cm)}^3) \times 100$ ;  $\text{HSI} = (\text{liver}$   
121  $\text{weight/body weight}) \times 100$  and  $\text{GSI} = (\text{gonad weight/body weight}) \times 100$ , respectively. (Table  
122 1).

123 **Sampling sites.** Six lakes situated in Belarus and Ukraine were selected according to their  
124 hydrological properties and the long-term exposure to a gradient of radiation doses (Table S1).  
125 Lakes were classified into three exposure groups, reference (R) for Gorova, Dvoriche and  
126 Stoyacheye lakes, medium (M) for Svyatoye lake, and high (H) for Yanovsky and Cooling Pond  
127 lakes based on the total dose rates to perch in 2015. The lakes are situated at distances from 1.5  
128 to 225 km of the Chernobyl NPP (Supplementary Table S1).

129 **Water chemistry.** Multiple chemical parameters were measured to assess the presence of  
130 potential confounding abiotic factors in each lake during all sampling sessions. The pH,  
131 temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ), major alkali and alkali-earth element  
132 water concentrations (Na, Mg, S, K and Ca), trace elements concentrations (As, Sr, Cd, Cs, Pb  
133 and U) and nutrients ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ) were measured in the 6 lakes (Table S2).

134 **Activity measurements of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  and dose calculation.** Measurement of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  
135  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  in the body were performed for 5 individual fish from each lake and doses rates were  
136 calculated as described in Lerebours *et al.* (2018)<sup>4</sup> (Table S1).

137 **Histological analyses.** Histological analyses were performed in order to determine the gonadal  
138 maturation stage. A standardised cross section of gonad was removed for each fish and fixed for  
139 24 h in 10% neutral buffered formalin before transfer to 70% ethanol for subsequent histological  
140 assessment. Gonads were processed in a vacuum infiltration processor using standard  
141 histological protocols<sup>22</sup> and embedded in paraffin wax. Using a rotary microtome, sections of 5-7  
142  $\mu\text{m}$  were taken and subsequently stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E). The gonadal stage  
143 was defined by determining the percentage of immature (perinuclear) and mature (cortical  
144 alveolar) oocytes observed in a defined surface area at magnification 10x using a microscope  
145 (Zeiss axiozoom) (Figure 1).



146 **Next generation sequencing (NGS).** For each fish, the section adjacent to the section  
147 dedicated to histological analyses was dissected and immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen  
148 for transcriptomic analyses. 6 pools of female perch gonads were formed as followed: 1 pool of 3  
149 developed/irradiated and 1 pool of 3 undeveloped gonads from fish collected in Yanovsky (H)  
150 lake; 1 pool of 3 developed/irradiated and 1 pool of 3 underdeveloped gonads from fish collected  
151 in Cooling Pond (H); 1 pool of 3 developed/irradiated gonads from fish collected in Svyatoye  
152 (M) and 1 pool of 9 developed/reference gonads from Gorova, Dvoriche and Stoyacheye (R)  
153 lakes (3 gonads from each) (Table S3). Total RNAs were extracted using the High Pure RNA  
154 Tissue kit (Roche Diagnostics Ltd, West Sussex, U.K.) according to the supplier's instructions.  
155 RNA quality and integrity were evaluated using a bioanalyzer. RNA integrity numbers ranged  
156 from 7.8 to 9.3. A total of 6 pools were used for NGS analyses. Sequencing libraries were  
157 prepared for each pool using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA protocol (Illumina, San Diego,  
158 California, USA) and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 analyser using a 125 base  
159 paired-end run (Genepool facility, Edinburgh, UK).

160 **Assembly and Annotation of the European Perch.** The quality of the raw reads was assessed  
161 using FastQC v0.11.7. Sample contamination was assessed by mapping raw reads against the  
162 European Perch (*P. fluviatilis*) genome from Ozerov *et al.*, (2018)<sup>23</sup>, as well as genomes from  
163 multiple species taken from the Ensembl database version 91<sup>24</sup> using Fastq Screen<sup>25</sup>. Adapter  
164 sequences and poor-quality bases (poly-N ambiguous base calls, or contiguous base calls with  
165 quality score less than 20) were trimmed from the raw reads using Trim Galore v0.4.4.  
166 Transcripts from all samples were combined and assembled into a putative transcriptome using  
167 Trinity v2.5.1<sup>26</sup>. The parameters used are described in Supplementary Text. Putative coding  
168 regions within assembled transcripts were identified using TransDecoder v5.0.2 using default

169 parameters to identify open reading frames of 100 amino acids or more. Transcripts were  
170 annotated by using Trinotate v3.02, which combines multiple sources of transcript annotation  
171 including annotation against the Universal Protein Knowledge Base (UniProtKB) database (The  
172 UniProt Consortium) using BLAST, the Protein family (Pfam) database<sup>27</sup> using HMMER  
173 (HMMER Web Server), Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (eggNOG) database<sup>28</sup>, the  
174 Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database<sup>29</sup>, and Gene Ontology (GO)  
175 database<sup>30</sup>. For each transcript, the annotated gene name was taken from either the BLAST  
176 results of the longest TransDecoder peptide sequence (blastp), or from the translated nucleotide  
177 sequence directly if no open reading frame was identified (blastx). The corresponding species  
178 and gene name from UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot were used for annotation and gene filtering.

179 **Differential Expression Analysis.** Transcript abundance was estimated for each of the  
180 individual samples against the assembled transcriptome using Kallisto v0.43<sup>31</sup> with parameters “-  
181 -rf-stranded”. All assembled transcripts were considered in the primary analysis and quality  
182 control stage. After assessing sample quality based on the complete transcriptome, the transcripts  
183 were filtered to keep only the transcripts 1) greater than 500 bases, 2) with a complete open  
184 reading frame, and 3) with a normalised fragment per kilobase mapped (FPKM) abundance  
185 above 1 in at least one of the six samples (to avoid low abundance transcripts). In addition,  
186 transcripts most closely matching Archaeal, Bacterial or Viral species were removed (Figure S1).  
187 Differential expression analysis between the different conditions was conducted using DESeq2<sup>32</sup>  
188 package in R (R Core team 2017)<sup>33</sup>. Comparisons were made between the phenotypes  
189 (developed/reference, developed/irradiated, undeveloped/irradiated) by combining pools  
190 (described in Table S3) from different sampling sites as replicates. Resulting *p*-values were  
191 adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction<sup>34</sup>.

192 Genes were identified as differentially expressed between conditions if they showed a fold  
193 change greater than 2-fold (upregulated or downregulated), with an adjusted  $p$  value less than  
194 0.05. In addition, to avoid over-inflating changes in low abundance transcripts, differentially  
195 expressed genes were chosen such that the normalized FPKM expression measure was greater  
196 than 1 for at least one of the two conditions being compared. Careful attention should be paid  
197 when reading the gene expression results between two phenotypes in Table S8 especially when  
198 the comparison with a FPKM value of 0 is indicated. Indeed, this can result in very high  
199  $\log_2\text{FoldChange}$ . Therefore, the consideration of the sense of the expression (up- or  
200 downregulated) rather than the expression value is recommended. Significantly enriched gene  
201 ontology terms and pathways were identified against the *D. rerio* database by PANTHER  
202 v14.1<sup>35</sup>.

203 **Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR.** For each individual fish gonad, first strand cDNAs were  
204 synthesized from 1  $\mu\text{g}$  of total RNA using the AffinityScript Multiple Temperature cDNA  
205 Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Stockport, U. K.) and according to the supplier's  
206 instructions. Primer pairs and FAM<sup>TM</sup>-TAMRA<sup>TM</sup> dye probes used to amplify the target  
207 sequences (Table S4) were designed using the Prime Express software (Applied Biosystem). 10  
208 ng of the reverse transcribed product was used as a template for subsequent polymerase chain  
209 reaction (PCR) in a 20  $\mu\text{L}$  final volume using 1x of TaqMan® Fast Advanced Master Mix (Life  
210 technologies, Paisley, U.K.), primers and probe at a final concentration of 900 nM and 250 nM  
211 respectively and following the supplier's protocol. PCR reactions were performed in the Applied  
212 Biosystems<sup>TM</sup> ViiA<sup>TM</sup> 7 Real-Time PCR System using the following programme: one cycle at  
213 95°C for 20 s and 40 amplification cycles at 95°C for 3 s and 60°C for 30 s. Primer efficiencies  
214 were determined by 10 times dilution series of the cDNA template and were about 100%. The

215 stability of the expressions of 3 reference genes (*efl*, *actb*, *tbb*) was tested on all the samples and  
216 analysed using NormFinder. The expression of the  $\beta$  actin gene displayed the highest stability.

217 **Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.1.2 (R Core team  
218 2017)<sup>33</sup>. After satisfying the assumptions of the normal distribution of the residuals using the  
219 Shapiro-Wilk test, ANOVA models were used to assess the potential differences. The  
220 significance of parameters at the different sites was assessed using the contrast method with the  
221 esticon function in R (DoBy package)<sup>36,37</sup>. If the normality of the residuals was not respected a  
222 Kruskal-Wallis rank test was applied. When significant, post-hoc tests were performed and a  
223 Bonferroni correction of the  $\alpha$  error was applied.

224 **Data availability.** Raw sequencing data were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive  
225 (SRA) and the complete transcriptome was deposited in the Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly  
226 (TSA) database. These data have been collected under BioProject accession code PRJNA556365  
227 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject>).

## 228 **Results**

229 **General health condition.** The body weight, total length and hepatosomatic index of fish  
230 displaying the different phenotypes were similar across lakes ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table 1). The Fulton  
231 condition (K) index of fish from Cooling Pond (H) and Yanovsky (H) lakes were decreased as  
232 compared to the Fulton condition index of fish with developed gonads from reference lakes ( $p <$   
233  $0.05$ ) (Table 1). There was not any difference between the K index of fish from Svyatoye lake  
234 (M) and fish from the reference lakes (Table 1).

235 **Reproductive status.** Histological analyses showed that the undeveloped gonads were  
236 composed of immature oocytes only, and that developed gonads, from both reference and  
237 irradiated lakes, of both immature and maturing oocytes. The distributions of immature oocytes

238 in the developed gonads were 55 % in fish from the reference lakes, 61 % in fish from the  
239 medium (M) irradiated lake and 68 % in fish from high (H) irradiated lakes (Table 1, Figure 1).  
240 The weights of undeveloped gonads in fish from Cooling pond and Yanovsky lake were  
241 significantly lower than the weights of developed/irradiated gonads in fish from the same lakes,  
242 Svyatoye lake, and the weights of developed gonads in fish from the reference lakes ( $p < 0.05$ )  
243 (Table 1). The gonadosomatic index (GSI) of fish with undeveloped gonads was significantly  
244 lower than the GSI of fish with developed/irradiated and developed/reference gonads ( $p < 0.05$ )  
245 (Table 1). The GSI of fish with developed/irradiated gonads from Cooling Pond, Yanovsky lake  
246 and the GSI of fish with developed gonads from reference lakes are similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table 1).  
247 The GSI of fish with developed/irradiated gonads from Svyatoye is significantly lower than GSI  
248 of fish from reference lakes ( $p = 0.027$ ) (Table 1).

249 **De novo transcriptome assembly.** Illumina sequencing generated a total of 151,785,472  
250 paired-end reads. The number of paired-end reads for each of the 6 sample pools can be seen in  
251 Supplementary Table S5. Reads were aligned against the European Perch (*P. fluviatilis*) genome  
252 from Ozerov *et al.*, (2018)<sup>23</sup>, as well as multiple model genome species taken from the Ensembl  
253 database v91<sup>24</sup>. The vast majority (more than 95 %) of all reads mapped to the perch genome,  
254 with the remaining reads either showing no hits, or mapping to related fish species (Figure S2).  
255 The base calling (method for determining the base sequence of a given nucleotide sequence)  
256 quality scores were consistently high throughout the data set. Trimming to remove adapters,  
257 ambiguous base calls (of unknown value) and poor-quality base calls (below some pre-defined  
258 threshold) removed only a small proportion (0.1%) of reads. In total, 151,590,007 quality  
259 trimmed paired-end reads were used for *de novo* transcriptome assembly. The *P. fluviatilis*  
260 transcriptome was assembled *de novo* as described by combining all paired-end reads from

261 across the dataset. The final assembly consists of 534,099 transcripts (clustered into 309,937  
262 “genes”) ranging from 201 to 24,533 bases in size (further filtered later for short fragment < 500  
263 bases). The mean transcript size was 871.5 bases, the N50 score (a weighted median of the  
264 assembly such that 50% of the assembly is contained within contigs equal to or larger than this  
265 score) was 1,879 bases and the GC content was 46.31 % (Table S6). Mapping of the reads for the  
266 individual samples back to this assembled transcriptome resulted in mapping rates of 73.7-85.1  
267 % (Table S6).

268 In total, 155,437 (29.1 %) of the assembled transcripts were annotated against the  
269 UniProtKB/Swiss-prot database as described in supplementary material. 33,043 filtered  
270 transcripts were produced, of which 31,029 (93.9 %) were annotated to a total of 10,662 unique  
271 genes, suggesting that there is some redundancy in the assembly (Figure S1).

272 **Functional classification of transcriptome.** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was used to  
273 identify enriched categories of functional classes amongst the expressed genes. Potential GO  
274 classes were identified for 9,415 (88.3%) of the 10,662 annotated protein coding genes identified  
275 in the perch transcriptome. Gene ontology analysis showed enrichment for classes such as  
276 chromosome organization, cell cycle, cytoskeleton, response to stress, lipid binding, cell  
277 differentiation and proliferation, anatomical structure development and morphogenesis  
278 (Supplementary text, Figure S4).

279 **Gene expression analysis.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the top changing genes in  
280 the data set showed that the largest source of variation (accounting for 37.7 % of the variance)  
281 was between the undeveloped and developed phenotype (Figure S5). The second greatest source  
282 of variation (18.0 %) highlighted differences between developed/reference and  
283 developed/irradiated samples (Figure S5). The normalised gene expression for the 33,043 filtered

284 transcripts across the samples represented on the heatmap (Figure S6) showed a cluster of the  
285 undeveloped gonads in fish from high irradiated lakes and a cluster of developed gonads in fish  
286 from high exposed, medium exposed and reference lakes. Sub-clusters are observed between the  
287 developed gonads exposed to a gradient of radiation across the lakes (Figure S6).

288 Transcripts expressed in each sample were identified based on a normalised FPKM abundance  
289 greater than 1, and a comparison between all samples showed that 10,897 out of 33,043 filtered  
290 transcripts were expressed in all samples and a smaller proportion of transcripts were expressed  
291 specifically in one sample (Figure S7). The developed/reference samples showed 1,166 uniquely  
292 expressed transcripts. In contrast, the developed/irradiated and undeveloped/irradiated samples  
293 showed 4,770 and 3,690 uniquely expressed transcripts respectively. The irradiated samples  
294 showed an increase in the number of transcripts expressed.

295 **Quantitative real-time PCR validation.** Gene expression changes of five random genes  
296 (*ska1*, *gthb1*, *cp2g1*, *star* and *hus1*) using quantitative real-time PCR technique were of similar  
297 direction to the changes obtained by the NGS technique (Table S7).

298 **Gene expression changes between irradiated phenotypes (undeveloped and developed)**  
299 **and developed/reference gonads.** Analysis of genes showing differential expression between  
300 undeveloped/irradiated gonads and developed/reference gonads identified a total of 1825  
301 transcripts (Figure 2) among which 836 (732 genes) were upregulated and 989 (769 genes) were  
302 downregulated in undeveloped gonads (Table S8).

303 Analysis of genes showing differential expression between developed/irradiated fish gonads  
304 sampled from the three contaminated lakes and developed/reference fish gonads sampled from  
305 the reference lakes identified a total of 610 transcripts (Figure 2) among which 552 (496 genes)  
306 were upregulated and 58 (57 genes) downregulated (Figure S8c, Table S8). The gene expression

307 profiles varied between Svyatoye Lake (M), Yanovsky Lake (H) and Cooling Pond (H) (Figure  
308 S8c).

309 **Common differentially expressed genes between two or three phenotype comparisons,**  
310 **potentially involved in gonadal growth and response to radiation exposure.** Examples  
311 include genes belonging to enriched classes such lipid metabolism (*at11b*, *ldah*, *nltf*),  
312 development (*prgr*, *vegfc*), reproduction (*cytb5*, *cyp17a*, *ovos*, *odo1*), development timing (*nell2*,  
313 *tcp4*), cytoskeleton (*k1c18*, *mtpn*), cell proliferation and differentiation (*ggnb2*, *mod5*, *rerpl*,  
314 *EIF31*, *gps2*), DNA damage repair (*wdh1*, *rad51*, *hus1*) and epigenetic mechanisms (*dmap1*)  
315 (Table 2, S8, S9).

316

## 317 **Discussion**

318 Long-term exposure to stress can inhibit gonad development in fish and explain the presence  
319 of undeveloped phenotypes but causal factors are rarely described in the literature. This  
320 undeveloped phenotype is not necessarily causally connected to radiation as it was not found in  
321 female fish from the third most contaminated lake, Glubokoye, in 2015<sup>4</sup>. Other factors might be  
322 involved such as food availability and social interactions. Populations may also have adapted to  
323 long-term exposure to radiation through generations that have passed since the accident,  
324 potentially having led to the disappearance of the disadvantageous phenotype in some lakes. In  
325 the present study, perch from the medium and highly contaminated lakes are able to form  
326 developed gonads similarly to perch from reference lakes as shown by similar gonadosomatic  
327 index. However, this adaptation may not be without consequences; at the cellular level, a delay  
328 of the maturation of the oocytes was significant and correlated to the gradient of radiation dose to  
329 fish<sup>4</sup>.



330 In order to better understand the long-term effects of exposure to radiation on the significant  
331 delay of oocyte development previously observed in Lerebours *et al.* (2018)<sup>4</sup>, the current study  
332 looked at the differential gene expression changes between developed/irradiated and  
333 developed/reference gonads and some genes in common with the undeveloped/irradiated gonads.

334 Interestingly, some genes were found to be involved in gonad maturation, lipid metabolism,  
335 reproduction, cell structure, development timing, cell proliferation and differentiation, DNA  
336 repair and epigenetics (further bibliographical information on the selected genes can be found in  
337 Supplementary Table S10).

338 **Differential expression of genes potentially involved in gonadal growth.** Some  
339 differentially expressed genes involved in energetic metabolism, may play a role in gonadal  
340 growth by ensuring the supply of energetic demand during this developmental process. For  
341 instance, the protein encoding for *odo1* gene, overexpressed in irradiated samples, catalyzes the  
342 conversion of 2-oxoglutarate, an intermediate of the Krebs cycle. Other genes involved in lipid  
343 metabolism, an essential process ensuring fish oocyte growth and reproduction were found  
344 differentially expressed. The *nntp*, *ldah* and *at11b* genes associated to the transport and  
345 transformation of lipids<sup>38,39</sup> are overexpressed in developed/irradiated gonads compared to  
346 reference. The synthesis of NLTP and LDAH proteins may be stimulated by the mobilisation of  
347 fatty acids and oil droplets required in perch maturing oocytes<sup>38</sup>. Transcripts involved in lipid  
348 metabolism were found modulated in bank voles inhabiting Chernobyl and were suggested to  
349 allow the small mammals to cope with the stress induced by radiation, however it was unclear  
350 whether this mechanism was changed as the result of the different diet available at the different  
351 geographical location or exposure to radiation<sup>40</sup>. Moreover, other confounding factors such as the  
352 reproductive stage of the individuals were not considered.

353 Other genes involved in reproduction such as *cyb5*, *cyp17a* and *ovos* were differentially  
354 expressed. CYB5 regulates the activity of CYP17A<sup>41,42</sup> which play a role in gonadal  
355 steroidogenesis. *Cyp17a* gene was found differentially expressed in female gonads of yellow  
356 catfish (*Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*)<sup>43</sup> and Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*)<sup>44</sup> exposed to  
357 endocrine disruptors. The *ovos* gene has not been previously described in fish but in the  
358 developing reproductive system of hens<sup>45</sup>. *Cyp17a* and *ovos* genes were found downregulated in  
359 the underdeveloped phenotype and may contribute to the inhibition of gonad development. Other  
360 differentially regulated genes appeared to be involved in oocyte growth. *Vegfc* and *Prgr* genes  
361 were found consistently downregulated in underdeveloped gonads. The *vegfc* gene was found  
362 up-regulated in human mature oocytes as compared to immature oocytes<sup>46</sup>. The *prgr* gene  
363 encode the progesterone receptor protein previously found involved in oocyte maturation in the  
364 fish, Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)<sup>47</sup>. A depletion of progesterone (and subsequent receptor  
365 activity) resulted in failure of gonad development in female fish kutum (*Rutilus frisii kutum*)<sup>48</sup>.  
366 Therefore, those results suggest a potential role played by *vegfc* and *prgr* genes in the inhibition  
367 of gonad development of perch. Finally, some genes involved in cytoskeletal changes such as  
368 *kIC18* were found downregulated in the undeveloped phenotypes which is consistent with the  
369 up-regulation described in mature oocytes (vitellogenic) as compared to previtellogenic oocytes  
370 in the Senegalese<sup>49</sup>. Those genes may therefore be linked to a delay of oocyte growth and  
371 differentiation.

372 Transcriptional responses of *nell2* and *tcp4* genes involved in timing of reproduction and organ  
373 development were decreased in the undeveloped phenotype. Interestingly, NELL2 regulates the  
374 release of gonadotropin-releasing hormone<sup>50</sup>, a major regulator of oocyte maturation in teleost

375 fish<sup>51</sup>. Therefore, these results suggest that a biological timing may refrain the gonad maturation  
376 in the undeveloped phenotype.

377 Some genes involved in cell proliferation and differentiation such as *mod5*, *gps2*, *rergl*, *EIF3*  
378 and *ggnb2* were found differentially expressed across the different phenotype comparisons.  
379 *Mod5*, *gps2* and *rergl* genes act as negative regulators in carcinogenesis<sup>52-54</sup>, therefore, their  
380 upregulation observed in the present study may result in a slower cell division and explain the  
381 delay of gonad maturation in both irradiated phenotypes compared to the reference gonads.

382 The percentage of immature oocytes of the developed gonads samples follows a gradient with  
383 55%, 61% and 68% recorded in fish from reference, medium and highly contaminated lakes  
384 respectively. The genes described above may be responsible for this delay of gonad maturation  
385 that was evidenced in female perch exposed to 10-16  $\mu\text{Gy/h}$  at Chernobyl (Lerebours *et al.*,  
386 2018)<sup>4</sup> and the subtle delay in hatching recently highlighted in stickleback embryos waterborne  
387 exposed to 400  $\mu\text{Gy/h}$ <sup>20</sup>.

388 **Differential expression of genes involved in pathways previously found associated to**  
389 **radiation exposure.** DNA damage and oxidative stress have been the focus of many studies led  
390 on the effects of radiation on fauna and reduced animal models, therefore some gene responses  
391 involved in those pathways were sought-after. No gene encoding for the classical oxidative stress  
392 biomarkers of radiation exposure/effect used in previous studies such as the superoxide  
393 dismutase or catalase were found differentially expressed. In the present study, some genes  
394 involved in checkpoint regulation and DNA damage repair, *wdhd1*, *hus1* and *rad51* were found  
395 upregulated in both irradiated gonads compared to reference. Their overexpression has been  
396 shown to confer resistance to DNA-damaging agents<sup>55-57</sup>. Interestingly, HUS1 and RAD51 have  
397 been involved in adaptive response to ionising radiation in female reproductive system of

398 invertebrate<sup>55</sup> and during oocytes maturation in mammals<sup>58,59</sup> respectively. Those findings  
399 suggest that the upregulation of *wdhd1*, *hus1* and *rad51* genes in irradiated gonads may  
400 constitute an adaptive response to radiation exposure by protecting the oocytes of fish from a  
401 potential increase of DNA damage. This is consistent with previous work that did not observe  
402 any genotoxic effects (based on the number of micronuclei) in several fish species living at  
403 Chernobyl<sup>60,4</sup>.

404 The area of epigenetics in radioecology has elicited a recent interest<sup>61-63</sup>. In the present study,  
405 the *dm1* gene encoding for DNA methyltransferase 1-associated protein 1 was found  
406 upregulated in both irradiated gonad phenotypes. DMAT1 maintains methylation pattern during  
407 DNA replication and is involved in fish development<sup>64</sup>. DNA methylation imprints are required  
408 during oocytes maturation to set the developmental programme for embryogenesis<sup>65,66</sup>. The  
409 effect of environmental contaminants and the long-term consequences on the oocyte epigenome  
410 is largely unknown. Certain DNA methylation patterns are expected at different stages of oocytes  
411 development in wild fish exposed to chemical contaminants<sup>67</sup> and have been found in ovaries in  
412 zebrafish exposed to  $\gamma$  radiation but were not passed through generations<sup>62</sup>. Another laboratory  
413 study showed that exposure to  $\gamma$  radiation induces methylation changes in the F2 and F3  
414 generations of the aquatic crustacean *Daphnia magna*<sup>61</sup>. However, no effect on growth, brood  
415 size or survivals on these generations were recorded<sup>61</sup> and how methylation changes affect gene  
416 expressions remain an unanswered question especially in germ cells. The present study  
417 highlights the consistent upregulation of a gene encoding for an enzyme involved in methylation  
418 changes in irradiated gonads but this does not necessary support the hypothesis of a concrete  
419 epigenetic change happening since post-transcriptional changes can occur. However, this area of

420 research would deserve future interest since epigenetic changes occur under exposure to  
421 radiation and could be involved in the transmission of various effects through generations.

422 Fish have become resilient with perch able to produce seemingly normal gonads however the  
423 delay in oocyte development observed in these seemingly developed samples, suggest that  
424 adaptation to environmental radiation may require a higher energy cost to maintain reproduction.  
425 Perch uses a considerable amount of energy, mainly lipids, from endogenous reserves and food  
426 for ovarian maturation and spawning<sup>68</sup> and can expand up to 22,8% of its total body energy  
427 (3,481 kJ) during the spawning period<sup>69</sup>.

428 The present study addresses the effects of long-term environmental exposure to radiation  
429 on the transcriptome of perch collected in high contaminated lakes in the 10 km Chernobyl  
430 exclusion zone as compared to fish collected in reference lakes close to natural radiation  
431 background. The study is the most comprehensive to date of gene responses to radiation in fish  
432 in natural ecosystems. It revealed differences of transcripts abundance involved in gonad  
433 development and pathways previously found modulated under exposure to radiation. There is  
434 good evidence that radiation is the causal factor for the subtle delay observed in oocyte  
435 development in developed/irradiated phenotypes<sup>4</sup>. However, the undeveloped phenotype could  
436 not be causally linked to radiation, though this is a possible cause. The study highlighted a great  
437 number of differentially expressed genes that may point towards additional directions of further  
438 research on the encoded proteins (that represent a higher level of biological significance)  
439 especially those involved the delay of the maturation of oocytes in female fish.

440 **Supporting Information.** The Supporting Information is available online. The file is composed  
441 of pages S1 to S19 and more specifically: Supplementary Text, Supplementary Tables: Tables S1  
442 to S10 and Supplementary Figures: Figures S1 to S8.

443 **Acknowledgments.** Authors are grateful to Vadislav, Viktor Rizewski, Alexander Kaglyan,  
444 Andrey Leshchenko for fish collection and Alexander Zubey for helping with fish processing.  
445 This work was completed as part of the TREE (Transfer-Exposure-Effects) consortium under the  
446 RATE programme (Radioactivity and the Environment), funded by the Environment Agency and  
447 Radioactive Waste Management Ltd (NERC grant NE/L000393/1). Dr Robson was partially  
448 funded through an Expanding Excellence in England (E3) grant from Research England.

449

## 450 **References**

451

- 452 (1) Beresford, N. A.; Scott, E. M.; Coplestone, D. Field Effects Studies in the Chernobyl  
453 Exclusion Zone: Lessons to Be Learnt. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* **2020**, *211*,  
454 105893.
- 455 (2) Smith, J. Field Evidence of Significant Effects of Radiation on Wildlife at Chronic Low  
456 Dose Rates Is Weak and Often Misleading. A Comment on “Is Non-Human Species  
457 Radiosensitivity in the Lab a Good Indicator of That in the Field? Making the Comparison  
458 More Robust” by Beaugelin-Seiller et Al. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* **2020**,  
459 *211*, 105895.
- 460 (3) Murphy, J. F.; Nagorskaya, L. L.; Smith, J. T. Abundance and Diversity of Aquatic  
461 Macroinvertebrate Communities in Lakes Exposed to Chernobyl-Derived Ionising  
462 Radiation. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* **2011**, *102* (7), 688–694.
- 463 (4) Lerebours, A.; Gudkov, D.; Nagorskaya, L.; Kaglyan, A.; Rizewski, V.; Leshchenko, A.;  
464 Bailey, E. H.; Bakir, A.; Ovsyanikova, S.; Laptev, G.; Smith, J. T. Impact of  
465 Environmental Radiation on the Health and Reproductive Status of Fish from Chernobyl.  
466 *Environmental Science & Technology* **2018**, *52* (16), 9442–9450.

- 467 (5) Fuller, N.; Ford, A. T.; Lerebours, A.; Gudkov, D. I.; Nagorskaya, L. L.; Smith, J. T.  
468 Chronic Radiation Exposure at Chernobyl Shows No Effect on Genetic Diversity in the  
469 Freshwater Crustacean, *Asellus Aquaticus* Thirty Years On. *Ecology and Evolution* **2019**,  
470 9 (18), 10135–10144.
- 471 (6) Goodman, J.; Copplestone, D.; Laptev, G. V.; Gashchak, S.; Auld, S. K. J. R. Variation in  
472 Chronic Radiation Exposure Does Not Drive Life History Divergence among *Daphnia*  
473 Populations across the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone. *Ecology and Evolution* **2019**, 9 (5),  
474 2640–2650.
- 475 (7) Lecomte-Pradines, C.; Bonzom, J.-M.; Della-Vedova, C.; Beaugelin-Seiller, K.;  
476 Villenave, C.; Gaschak, S.; Coppin, F.; Dubourg, N.; Maksimenko, A.; Adam-Guillermin,  
477 C.; Garnier-Laplace, J. Soil Nematode Assemblages as Bioindicators of Radiation Impact  
478 in the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone. *Science of The Total Environment* **2014**, 490, 161–170.
- 479 (8) Deryabina, T. G.; Kuchmel, S. V.; Nagorskaya, L. L.; Hinton, T. G.; Beasley, J. C.;  
480 Lerebours, A.; Smith, J. T. Long-Term Census Data Reveal Abundant Wildlife  
481 Populations at Chernobyl. *Current Biology* **2015**, 25 (19), R824–R826.
- 482 (9) Bonzom, J.-M.; Hättenschwiler, S.; Lecomte-Pradines, C.; Chauvet, E.; Gaschak, S.;  
483 Beaugelin-Seiller, K.; Della-Vedova, C.; Dubourg, N.; Maksimenko, A.; Garnier-Laplace,  
484 J.; Adam-Guillermin, C. Effects of Radionuclide Contamination on Leaf Litter  
485 Decomposition in the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone. *Science of The Total Environment* **2016**,  
486 562, 596–603.
- 487 (10) Webster, S. C.; Byrne, M. E.; Lance, S. L.; Love, C. N.; Hinton, T. G.; Shamovich, D.;  
488 Beasley, J. C. Where the Wild Things Are: Influence of Radiation on the Distribution of

- 489 Four Mammalian Species within the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone. *Frontiers in Ecology and*  
490 *the Environment* **2016**, 14 (4), 185–190.
- 491 (11) Møller, A. P.; Mousseau, T. A. Reduced Abundance of Insects and Spiders Linked to  
492 Radiation at Chernobyl 20 Years after the Accident. *Biology Letters* **2009**, 5 (3), 356–359.
- 493 (12) Møller, A. P.; Mousseau, T. A. Species Richness and Abundance of Forest Birds in  
494 Relation to Radiation at Chernobyl. *Biology Letters* **2007**, 3 (5), 483–486.
- 495 (13) Møller, A. P.; Mousseau, T. A. Assessing Effects of Radiation on Abundance of Mammals  
496 and Predator–Prey Interactions in Chernobyl Using Tracks in the Snow. *Ecological*  
497 *Indicators* **2013**, 26, 112–116.
- 498 (14) Kryshev, A. I. Modelling the Accidental Radioactive Contamination and Assessment of  
499 Doses to Biota in the Chernobyl NPP’s Cooling Pond. *Proceedings of the topical meeting*  
500 *of International Union of Radioecologists* **1998**, No. mol 1, 32–38.
- 501 (15) Sazykina, T. G.; Kryshev, A. I. EPIC Database on the Effects of Chronic Radiation in  
502 Fish: Russian/FSU Data. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. **2003**, 68 (1), 65–87.
- 503
- 504 (16) Belova, N. V.; Emel’yanova, N. G.; Makeeva, A. P.; Ryabov, I. N. The State of the  
505 Reproductive System of Several Fish Species from Water Bodies Polluted with  
506 Radionuclides during the Chernobyl Catastrophe. *Journal of Ichthyology* **2007**, 47 (5),  
507 366–384.
- 508 (17) Song, Y.; Salbu, B.; Teien, H.-C.; Heier, L. S.; Rosseland, B. O.; Tollefsen, K. E. Dose-  
509 Dependent Hepatic Transcriptional Responses in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo Salar*) Exposed  
510 to Sublethal Doses of Gamma Radiation. *Aquatic Toxicology* **2014**, 156, 52–64.



- 511 (18) Arcanjo, C.; Armant, O.; Floriani, M.; Cavalie, I.; Camilleri, V.; Simon, O.; Orjollet, D.;  
512 Adam-Guillermin, C.; Gagnaire, B. Tritiated Water Exposure Disrupts Myofibril Structure  
513 and Induces Mis-Regulation of Eye Opacity and DNA Repair Genes in Zebrafish Early  
514 Life Stages. *Aquatic Toxicology* **2018**, *200*, 114–126.
- 515 (19) Hurem, S.; Gomes, T.; Brede, D. A.; Mayer, I.; Lobert, V. H.; Mutoloki, S.; Gutzkow, K.  
516 B.; Teien, H.-C.; Oughton, D.; Aleström, P.; Lyche, J. L. Gamma Irradiation during  
517 Gametogenesis in Young Adult Zebrafish Causes Persistent Genotoxicity and Adverse  
518 Reproductive Effects. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **2018**, *154*, 19–26.
- 519 (20) Lerebours, A.; Robson, S.; Sharpe, C.; Smith, J. T. Subtle Effects of Radiation on Embryo  
520 Development of the 3-Spined Stickleback. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *248*, 126005.
- 521 (21) Hollands, C. The Animal (Scientific Procedure) Act 1986. *Lancet* **1986**, *328* (8497), 32.
- 522 (22) Biological Effects of Contaminants: Use of Liver Pathology of the European Flatfish Dab  
523 (*Limanda Limanda L.*) and Flounder (*Platichthys Flesus L.*) for Monitoring; Feist, S. W.,  
524 Ed.; ICES techniques in marine environmental sciences; International Council for the  
525 Exploration of the Sea: Copenhagen, 2004.
- 526 (23) Ozerov, M. Yu.; Ahmad, F.; Gross, R.; Pukk, L.; Kahar, S.; Kisand, V.; Vasemägi, A.  
527 Highly Continuous Genome Assembly of Eurasian Perch ( *Perca Fluviatilis* ) Using  
528 Linked-Read Sequencing. *G3; Genes/Genomes/Genetics* **2018**, g3.200768.2018.
- 529 (24) Zerbino, D. R.; Achuthan, P.; Akanni, W.; Amode, M. R.; Barrell, D.; Bhai, J.; Billis, K.;  
530 Cummins, C.; Gall, A.; Girón, C. G.; Gil, L.; Gordon, L.; Haggerty, L.; Haskell, E.;  
531 Hourlier, T.; Izuogu, O. G.; Janacek, S. H.; Juettemann, T.; To, J. K.; Laird, M. R.;  
532 Lavidas, I.; Liu, Z.; Loveland, J. E.; Maurel, T.; McLaren, W.; Moore, B.; Mudge, J.;  
533 Murphy, D. N.; Newman, V.; Nuhn, M.; Ogeh, D.; Ong, C. K.; Parker, A.; Patricio, M.;  
534 Riat, H. S.; Schuilenburg, H.; Sheppard, D.; Sparrow, H.; Taylor, K.; Thormann, A.;

- 535 Vullo, A.; Walts, B.; Zadissa, A.; Frankish, A.; Hunt, S. E.; Kostadima, M.; Langridge,  
536 N.; Martin, F. J.; Muffato, M.; Perry, E.; Ruffier, M.; Staines, D. M.; Trevanion, S. J.;  
537 Aken, B. L.; Cunningham, F.; Yates, A.; Flicek, P. Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Research*  
538 **2018**, *46* (D1), D754–D761.
- 539 (25) Wingett, S. W.; Andrews, S. FastQ Screen: A Tool for Multi-Genome Mapping And.  
540 *Fl1000Research* **2018**, *7*, 1338.
- 541 (26) Haas, B. J.; Papanicolaou, A.; Yassour, M.; Grabherr, M.; Blood, P. D.; Bowden, J.;  
542 Couger, M. B.; Eccles, D.; Li, B.; Lieber, M.; MacManes, M. D.; Ott, M.; Orvis, J.;  
543 Pochet, N.; Strozzi, F.; Weeks, N.; Westerman, R.; William, T.; Dewey, C. N.; Henschel,  
544 R.; LeDuc, R. D.; Friedman, N.; Regev, A. De Novo Transcript Sequence Reconstruction  
545 from RNA-Seq Using the Trinity Platform for Reference Generation and Analysis. *Nature*  
546 *Protocols* **2013**, *8* (8), 1494–1512.
- 547 (27) Finn, R. D.; Bateman, A.; Clements, J.; Coghill, P.; Eberhardt, R. Y.; Eddy, S. R.; Heger,  
548 A.; Hetherington, K.; Holm, L.; Mistry, J.; Sonnhammer, E. L. L.; Tate, J.; Punta, M.  
549 Pfam: The Protein Families Database. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2014**, *42* (D1), D222–  
550 D230.
- 551 (28) Huerta-Cepas, J.; Szklarczyk, D.; Forslund, K.; Cook, H.; Heller, D.; Walter, M. C.;  
552 Rattei, T.; Mende, D. R.; Sunagawa, S.; Kuhn, M.; Jensen, L. J.; von Mering, C.; Bork, P.  
553 EggNOG 4.5: A Hierarchical Orthology Framework with Improved Functional  
554 Annotations for Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic and Viral Sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research*  
555 **2016**, *44* (D1), D286–D293.
- 556 (29) Kanehisa, M.; Goto, S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. 4.

- 557 (30) Ashburner, M.; Ball, C. A.; Blake, J. A.; Botstein, D.; Butler, H.; Cherry, J. M.; Davis, A.  
558 P.; Dolinski, K.; Dwight, S. S.; Eppig, J. T.; Harris, M. A.; Hill, D. P.; Issel-Tarver, L.;  
559 Kasarskis, A.; Lewis, S.; Matese, J. C.; Richardson, J. E.; Ringwald, M.; Rubin, G. M.;  
560 Sherlock, G. Gene Ontology: Tool for the Unification of Biology. *Nature Genetics* **2000**,  
561 25 (1), 25–29.
- 562 (31) Bray, N. L.; Pimentel, H.; Melsted, P.; Pachter, L. Near-Optimal RNA-Seq Quantification.  
563 21.
- 564 (32) Love, M. I.; Huber, W.; Anders, S. Moderated Estimation of Fold Change and Dispersion  
565 for RNA-Seq Data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **2014**, 15 (12).
- 566 (33) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical  
567 Computing, Vienna, Austria. *R Core Team* **2017**.
- 568 (34) Benjamini, Y.; Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and  
569 Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*  
570 (*Methodological*) **1995**, 57 (1), 289–300.
- 571 (35) Thomas, P. D. PANTHER: A Library of Protein Families and Subfamilies Indexed by  
572 Function. *Genome Research* **2003**, 13 (9), 2129–2141.
- 573 (36) Hojsgaard, S. Statistical Inference in Context Specific Interaction Models for Contingency  
574 Tables. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **2004**, 31 (1), 143–158.
- 575 (37) Hastie, T. J. *Generalized Linear Models*. In: *Statistical Models in S*. Chambers, J.M.,  
576 Hastie, T. J. Eds.; Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Publishing Inc. Belmont, USA, 1992.
- 577 (38) Źarski, D.; Bokor, Z.; Kotrik, L.; Urbanyi, B.; Horváth, A.; Targońska, K.; Krejszeff, S.;  
578 Palińska, K.; Kucharczyk, D. A New Classification of a Preovulatory Oocyte Maturation

- 579 Stage Suitable for the Synchronization of Ovulation in Controlled Reproduction of  
580 Eurasian Perch, *Perca Fluviatilis* L. *Reproductive Biology* **2011**, *11* (3), 194–209.
- 581 (39) Peretti, D.; Kim, S.; Tufi, R.; Lev, S. Lipid Transfer Proteins and Membrane Contact Sites  
582 in Human Cancer. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* **2020**, *7*.
- 583 (40) Kesäniemi, J.; Jernfors, T.; Lavrinienko, A.; Kivisaari, K.; Kiljunen, M.; Mappes, T.;  
584 Watts, P. C. Exposure to Environmental Radionuclides Is Associated with Altered  
585 Metabolic and Immunity Pathways in a Wild Rodent. *Molecular Ecology* **2019**, *28* (20),  
586 4620–4635.
- 587 (41) Nakamura, Y.; Fujishima, F.; Hui, X.-G.; Felizola, S. J. A.; Shibahara, Y.; Akahira, J.;  
588 McNamara, K. M.; Rainey, W. E.; Sasano, H.  $\beta$ HSD and CYB5A Double Positive  
589 Adrenocortical Cells during Adrenal Development/Aging. *Endocrine Research* **2015**, *40*  
590 (1), 8–13.
- 591 (42) Bhatt, M. R.; Khatri, Y.; Rodgers, R. J.; Martin, L. L. Role of Cytochrome B5 in the  
592 Modulation of the Enzymatic Activities of Cytochrome P450 17 $\alpha$ -Hydroxylase/17,20-  
593 Lyase (P450 17A1). *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **2017**,  
594 *170*, 2–18.
- 595 (43) Xiong, S.; Jing, J.; Wu, J.; Ma, W.; Dawar, F. U.; Mei, J.; Gui, J.-F. Characterization and  
596 Sexual Dimorphic Expression of Cytochrome P450 Genes in the Hypothalamic–Pituitary–  
597 Gonad Axis of Yellow Catfish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **2015**, *216*, 90–  
598 97.
- 599 (44) Sun, L.; Shao, X.; Hu, X.; Chi, J.; Jin, Y.; Ye, W.; Fu, Z. Transcriptional Responses in  
600 Japanese Medaka (*Oryzias Latipes*) Exposed to Binary Mixtures of an Estrogen and Anti-  
601 Estrogens. *Aquatic Toxicology* **2011**, *105* (3–4), 629–639.

- 602 (45) Lim, W.; Jeong, W.; Kim, J.-H.; Lee, J.-Y.; Kim, J.; Bazer, F. W.; Han, J. Y.; Song, G.  
603 Differential Expression of Alpha 2 Macroglobulin in Response to Diethylstilbestrol and in  
604 Ovarian Carcinomas in Chickens. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* **2011**, *9* (1),  
605 137.
- 606 (46) Devjak, R.; Fon Tacer, K.; Juvan, P.; Virant Klun, I.; Rozman, D.; Vrtačnik Bokal, E.  
607 Cumulus Cells Gene Expression Profiling in Terms of Oocyte Maturity in Controlled  
608 Ovarian Hyperstimulation Using GnRH Agonist or GnRH Antagonist. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*  
609 (10), e47106.
- 610 (47) Zhou, L.; Luo, F.; Fang, X.; Charkraborty, T.; Wu, L.; Wei, J.; Wang, D. Blockage of  
611 Progesterone Physiology Disrupts Ovarian Differentiation in XX Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis*  
612 *Niloticus*). *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **2016**, *473* (1), 29–34.
- 613 (48) Bani, A.; Haghi Vayghan, A.; NaserAlavi, M. The Effects of Salinity on Reproductive  
614 Performance and Plasma Levels of Sex Steroids in Caspian Kutum *Rutilus Frisii Kutum*.  
615 *Aquaculture Research* **2016**, *47* (10), 3119–3126.
- 616 (49) Tingaud-Sequeira, A.; Chauvigné, F.; Lozano, J.; Agulleiro, M. J.; Asensio, E.; Cerdà, J.  
617 New Insights into Molecular Pathways Associated with Flatfish Ovarian Development and  
618 Atresia Revealed by Transcriptional Analysis. *BMC Genomics* **2009**, *10* (1), 434.
- 619 (50) Ha, C. M.; Choi, J.; Choi, E. J.; Costa, M. E.; Lee, B. J.; Ojeda, S. R. NELL2, a Neuron-  
620 Specific EGF-Like Protein, Is Selectively Expressed in Glutamatergic Neurons and  
621 Contributes to the Glutamatergic Control of GnRH Neurons at Puberty.  
622 *Neuroendocrinology* **2008**, *88* (3), 199–211.
- 623 (51) Lubzens, E.; Young, G.; Bobe, J.; Cerdà, J. Oogenesis in Teleosts: How Fish Eggs Are  
624 Formed. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **2010**, *165* (3), 367–389.

- 625 (52) Spinola, M.; Galvan, A.; Pignatiello, C.; Conti, B.; Pastorino, U.; Nicander, B.; Paroni, R.;  
626 Dragani, T. A. Identification and Functional Characterization of the Candidate Tumor  
627 Suppressor Gene TRIT1 in Human Lung Cancer. *Oncogene* **2005**, *24* (35), 5502–5509.
- 628 (53) Huang, X.-D.; Xiao, F.-J.; Wang, S.-X.; Yin, R.-H.; Lu, C.-R.; Li, Q.-F.; Liu, N.; zhang,  
629 Y.; Wang, L.-S.; Li, P.-Y. G Protein Pathway Suppressor 2 (GPS2) Acts as a Tumor  
630 Suppressor in Liposarcoma. *Tumor Biology* **2016**, *37* (10), 13333–13343.
- 631 (54) Lou, Y.; Jiang, H.; Cui, Z.; Wang, X.; Wang, L.; Han, Y. Gene Microarray Analysis of  
632 LncRNA and MRNA Expression Profiles in Patients with High- grade Ovarian Serous  
633 Cancer. *International Journal of Molecular Medicine* **2018**.
- 634 (55) Tang, H.; Chen, L.; Chen, L.; Chen, B.; Wang, T.; Yang, A.; Zhan, F.; Wu, L.; Bian, P.  
635 Interaction between Radioadaptive Response and Radiation-Induced Bystander Effect in  
636 *Caenorhabditis Elegans*: A Unique Role of the DNA Damage Checkpoint. *Radiation*  
637 *Research* **2016**, *186* (6), 662.
- 638 (56) Chen, Z; Tran, M; Tang, M; Wang, W; Gong, Z; Chen, J. Proteomic Analysis Reveals a  
639 Novel Mutator S (MutS) Partner Involved in Mismatch Repair Pathway. *The American*  
640 *Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 2016, p1299-1308.
- 641 (57) Laurini, E.; Marson, D.; Fermeiglia, A.; Aulic, S.; Fermeiglia, M.; Pricl, S. Role of Rad51  
642 and DNA Repair in Cancer: A Molecular Perspective. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*  
643 **2020**, *208*, 107492.
- 644 (58) Watson, A. J. Outer Space and Oocyte Developmental Competence. *Biology of*  
645 *Reproduction* **2012**, *86* (3).

- 646 (59) Jin, Z.-L.; Kim, N.-H. RAD51 Maintains Chromosome Integrity and Mitochondrial  
647 Distribution during Porcine Oocyte Maturation *in Vitro*. *Journal of Reproduction and*  
648 *Development* **2017**, *63* (5), 489–496.
- 649 (60) Sugg, D. W.; Bickham, J. W.; Brooks, J. A.; Lomakin, M. D.; Jagoe, C. H.; Dallas, C. E.;  
650 Smith, M. H.; Baker, R. J.; Chesser, R. K. DNA Damage And Radiocesium In CHANNEL  
651 Catfish From Chernobyl. 7.
- 652 (61) Trijau, M.; Asselman, J.; Armant, O.; Adam-Guillermin, C.; De Schamphelaere, K. A. C.;  
653 Alonzo, F. Transgenerational DNA Methylation Changes in *Daphnia Magna* Exposed to  
654 Chronic  $\gamma$  Irradiation. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2018**, *52* (7), 4331–4339.
- 655 (62) Horemans, N.; Spurgeon, D. J.; Lecomte-Pradines, C.; Saenen, E.; Bradshaw, C.;  
656 Oughton, D.; Rasnaca, I.; Kamstra, J. H.; Adam-Guillermin, C. Current Evidence for a  
657 Role of Epigenetic Mechanisms in Response to Ionizing Radiation in an Ecotoxicological  
658 Context. *Environmental Pollution* **2019**, *251*, 469–483.
- 659 (63) Lindeman, L. C.; Kamstra, J. H.; Ballangby, J.; Hurem, S.; Martín, L. M.; Brede, D. A.;  
660 Teien, H. C.; Oughton, D. H.; Salbu, B.; Lyche, J. L.; Aleström, P. Gamma Radiation  
661 Induces Locus Specific Changes to Histone Modification Enrichment in Zebrafish and  
662 Atlantic Salmon. *PLOS ONE* **2019**, *14* (2), e0212123.
- 663 (64) Goll, M. G.; Halpern, M. E. DNA Methylation in Zebrafish. In *Progress in Molecular*  
664 *Biology and Translational Science*; Elsevier, 2011; Vol. 101, pp 193–218.
- 665 (65) Li, E. Chromatin Modification and Epigenetic Reprogramming in Mammalian  
666 Development. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **2002**, *3* (9), 662–673.

- 667 (66) Tomizawa, S.-I.; Nowacka-Woszek, J.; Kelsey, G. DNA Methylation Establishment  
668 during Oocyte Growth: Mechanisms and Significance. *The International Journal of*  
669 *Developmental Biology* **2012**, *56* (10-11-12), 867–875.
- 670 (67) Pierron, F.; Bureau du Colombier, S.; Moffett, A.; Caron, A.; Peluhet, L.; Daffe, G.;  
671 Lambert, P.; Elie, P.; Labadie, P.; Budzinski, H.; Dufour, S.; Couture, P.; Baudrimont, M.  
672 Abnormal Ovarian DNA Methylation Programming during Gonad Maturation in Wild  
673 Contaminated Fish. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2014**, *48* (19), 11688–11695.
- 674 (68) Tocher, D. R. Metabolism and Functions of Lipids and Fatty Acids in Teleost Fish.  
675 *Reviews in Fisheries Science* **2003**, *11* (2), 107–184.
- 676 (69) Aristizabal, E. O. Energy Investment in the Annual Reproduction Cycle of Female Red  
677 Porgy, *Pagrus Pagrus* (L.). *Marine Biology* **2007**, *152* (3), 713–724.
- 678
- 679




680 **Figure and Table Legend.**

681 **Figures**

682 **Figure 1.** Histological sections of ovaries of female perch. The picture on the left shows the  
683 developed gonad phenotype composed of maturing oocytes (cortical alveolar oocytes) and  
684 immature oocytes (perinuclear oocytes) found in fish from reference and irradiated lakes. The  
685 picture on the right shows the undeveloped gonad phenotype composed of immature oocytes  
686 (perinuclear oocytes) found in fish from irradiated lakes. The yellow arrows show maturing  
687 oocytes. The blue arrows show immature oocytes. Each oocyte will form an egg. The light green  
688 rectangles indicate portions of the follicular epithelium (round-shaped structure sheltering the  
689 oocytes).

690




691

692

693

694

695 **Figure 2:** Venn diagram showing the number of unique and common differentially expressed  
696 transcripts between the following comparisons: undeveloped/irradiated *versus*  
697 developed/reference (undev/irr vs dev/ref) and developed/irradiated *versus* developed/reference  
698 (dev/irr vs dev/ref).



699

700

701

702

703

704 **Tables**

705 **Table 1:** Fulton condition, hepatosomatic index, gonadosomatic index, age, liver, gonad and  
 706 body weight (g), and total length (cm) (mean  $\pm$  SD) measured in female perch with  
 707 Developed/Irradiated and Undeveloped gonads from Yanovsky (H) (Developed/Irradiated/:  $n =$   
 708 3; Undeveloped:  $n = 3$ ) and Cooling Pond (H) (Developed/Irradiated:  $n = 3$ ; Undeveloped:  $n =$   
 709 3), Developed/Irradiated gonads from Svyatoye (M) and Developed gonads from Reference (L)  
 710 lakes ( $n = 9$ ).

	Yanovsky (H)		Cooling Pond (H)		Svyatoye (M)	Reference
	<i>Developed/ irradiated</i>	<i>Undeveloped</i>	<i>Developed/ irradiated</i>	<i>Undeveloped</i>	<i>Developed/ irradiated</i>	<i>Developed</i>
Fulton Condition	1 $\pm$ 0.1	1.1 $\pm$ 0.1	1 $\pm$ 0.7	1 $\pm$ 0.2	1.2 $\pm$ 0.1	1.3 $\pm$ 0.1
Body weight (g)	64 $\pm$ 7	85 $\pm$ 38	86 $\pm$ 26	67 $\pm$ 9	93 $\pm$ 8	79 $\pm$ 18
Total length (cm)	18 $\pm$ 1	20 $\pm$ 2	21 $\pm$ 2	19 $\pm$ 1	20 $\pm$ 1	18 $\pm$ 1
Hepatosomatic Index	1.3 $\pm$ 0.3	1.2 $\pm$ 0.6	1.6 $\pm$ 0.3	0.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	1.2 $\pm$ 0.5
Liver weight (g)	0.8 $\pm$ 0.2	1.1 $\pm$ 1.0	1.3 $\pm$ 0.5	0.6 $\pm$ 0.1	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	1 $\pm$ 0.5
Gonadosomatic Index	4.8 $\pm$ 0.3	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1	4.3 $\pm$ 0.5	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	2.4 $\pm$ 0.4	3.9 $\pm$ 1.3
Gonad weight (g)	3.1 $\pm$ 0.5	0.5 $\pm$ 0.2	3.6 $\pm$ 0.7	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	2.3 $\pm$ 0.1	3 $\pm$ 0.8
Immature Oocytes (%)	68	100	68	100	61	55
Age (years)	5.3 $\pm$ 0.7		4.5 $\pm$ 0.6		/	5.6 $\pm$ 0.8

711  
 712  
 713  
 714  
 715  
 716  
 717  
 718  
 719

720 **Table 2:** Table displaying some of the common differentially expressed genes between two or  
 721 three phenotype comparisons and potentially involved in gonad maturation, lipid metabolism,  
 722 development, reproduction, development timing, cytoskeleton, cell proliferation and  
 723 differentiation, DNA damage repair and epigenetic mechanisms.

	<b>IRRADIATED Lakes Undeveloped</b>	<b>IRRADIATED Lakes Developed</b>
<b>REFERENCE Lakes Developed</b>	Up-regulated: 836; Down-regulated: 989. Proposed common selected diff. genes involved in <b>gonad maturation:</b> <i>rpc4</i> (↗10), <b>lipid metabolism:</b> <i>ldah</i> (↗3), <b>development:</b> <i>prgr</i> (↘9), <i>vegfc</i> (↘23), <b>reproduction:</b> <i>cyb5</i> (↗11), <i>cyp17a</i> (↘7), <i>ovos</i> (↘7), <i>odo1</i> (↗9), <b>development timing:</b> <i>nell2</i> (↘5), <i>tcp4</i> (↘10), <b>cytoskeleton:</b> <i>k1C18</i> (↘6), <b>cell prolif. and diff.:</b> <i>gps2</i> (↗9), <i>ggnb2</i> (↗1), <i>mod5</i> (↗10), <i>eif31</i> (↘10), <i>rerpl</i> (↗6), <b>DNA damage repair:</b> <i>wdhd1</i> (↗11), <i>rad51</i> (↗4), <i>hus1</i> (↗10) and <b>epigenetic:</b> <i>dmap1</i> (↗10).	Up-regulated: 552; Down-regulated: 58. Proposed common selected diff. genes involved in <b>gonad maturation:</b> <i>rpc4</i> (↗12), <b>lipid metabolism:</b> <i>ldah</i> (↗3), <i>at11b</i> (↘10), <i>nltpl</i> (↗21), <b>reproduction:</b> <i>cyb5</i> (↘2), <i>odo1</i> (↗9), <b>cytoskeleton:</b> <i>k1C18</i> (↗5), <b>cell prolif. and diff.:</b> <i>mod5</i> (↗10), <i>gps2</i> (↗10), <i>rerpl</i> (↗6), <b>DNA damage repair:</b> <i>wdhd1</i> (↗10), <i>rad51</i> (↗3), <i>hus1</i> (↗10) and <b>epigenetic:</b> <i>dmap1</i> (↗9).

