

Matching zooplankton abundance and environment in the South Indian Ocean and Southern Ocean

Claire Godet, Marine Robuchon, Boris Leroy, Cédric Cotté, Alberto Baudena, Ophélie da Silva, Salomé Fabri-Ruiz, Claire Lo Monaco, Sara Sergi, Philippe Koubbi

► To cite this version:

Claire Godet, Marine Robuchon, Boris Leroy, Cédric Cotté, Alberto Baudena, et al.. Matching zooplankton abundance and environment in the South Indian Ocean and Southern Ocean. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 2020, 163, pp.103347. 10.1016/j.dsr.2020.103347 . hal-02910918

HAL Id: hal-02910918 https://hal.science/hal-02910918

Submitted on 3 Aug 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Matching zooplankton abundance and environment in the South Indian Ocean and
2	Southern Ocean
3	Claire Godet ¹ , Marine Robuchon ^{1,2,3*} , Boris Leroy ¹ , Cédric Cotté ⁴ , Alberto Baudena ^{4,5} ,
4	Ophélie Da Silva ^{5,6} , Salomé Fabri-Ruiz ⁵ , Claire Lo Monaco ⁴ , Sara Sergi ⁴ , Philippe Koubbi
5	7,8
6	
7	¹ Laboratoire de biologie des organismes et écosystèmes aquatiques (BOREA), Muséum
8	national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, IRD, Sorbonne Université, Université de Caen
9	Normandie, Université des Antilles, CP 26, 57 rue Cuvier 75005 Paris, France
10	² Centre d'écologie et des sciences de la conservation (CESCO), Muséum national d'Histoire
11	naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, CP 135, 57 rue Cuvier 75005 Paris, France
12	³ European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy
13	⁴ Sorbonne Université UMR 7159 CNRS-IRD-MNHN, LOCEAN-IPSL, 75005 Paris, France
14	⁵ Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche-sur-Mer, UMR 7093 - CNRS/UPMC - 181
15	Chemin du Lazaret, 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer Cedex, France
16	⁶ Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire
17	naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP 50, 57 rue Cuvier,
18	75005 Paris, France
19	⁷ UFR918 Terre, Environnement, Biodiversité. Sorbonne Université. 4 place Jussieu, 75252
20	PARIS cedex 05, France
21	⁸ IFREMER Centre Manche Mer du Nord - 150, Quai Gambetta - 62200 Boulogne-sur-Mer,
22	France
23	*Corresponding author: marine.robuchon@ec.europa.eu

24 Abstract

25 Distinguishing regions based on the geographic distribution of both abiotic factors and living organisms is an old but still actual central issue for biogeographers. In the Southern Ocean, 26 27 the few existing regionalization studies have been carried out either at very large scales or on the relatively small region around the Sub-Antarctic islands of Kerguelen and the Crozet 28 archipelagos. However, regionalization studies at meso-scales (100-300 km) covering the 29 Indian part of the Southern Ocean and adjacent South Indian Ocean are scarce. These waters, 30 ranging from the Subtropical to the polar region, are home to large populations of well-31 32 studied top predators that depend on the biomass of less known mid-trophic level species such as zooplankton. To fill those gaps, our study aims at conducting bioregional analyses of this 33 transition area at the meso-scale based on the distribution of abiotic factors and chlorophyll-a, 34 35 and to investigate how the abundance of zooplankton varies across the bioregions identified. To that end, we first characterized epipelagic bioregions 30°S in the South Indian Ocean to 36 65°S in the Southern Ocean and from 40° to 85°E including the islands of Crozet, Kerguelen, 37 Saint-Paul and New Amsterdam. We then determined whether these bioregions correspond to 38 variations in the abundance of zooplankton collected by a Continuous Plankton Recorder. 39 40 Finally, we analyzed which environmental parameters influence zooplankton abundance. Our analyses evidenced six regions, providing a synthetic overview of a contrasting environment. 41 The spatial variability of zooplankton abundance was explained by most of the environmental 42 43 variables used in the bioregionalisation and, to a lesser extent, by the bioregions. Copepods are abundant in the colder and physically-energetic regions associated with the Antarctic 44 Circumpolar Current (ACC). Limacina and euphausids are both abundant in regions 45 characterized by a high concentration of chlorophyll-a, although euphausids are also abundant 46 in the subtropical region. This work represents a crucial step forward in the integration of 47 living organism distribution in the regionalization of the Indian part of Southern Ocean and 48

- 49 adjacent South Indian Ocean. This can, ultimately contribute to the optimization of marine
- 50 conservation strategies.

51 Keywords

- 52 Bioregionalization, Southern Ocean, Indian Ocean, pelagic ecosystem, zooplankton,
- 53 Continuous Plankton Recorder

54 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Marine ecoregionalization is a process that aims to divide oceanic areas into distinct spatial 55 regions, using a range of abiotic and biotic – such as chlorophyll-a and species assemblages – 56 information (Foster et al, 2017; Hill et al, 2017; Koubbi et al, 2010; Koubbi et al., 2011; 57 Spalding et al., 2007). When data on species assemblages are not sufficiently available to 58 identify ecoregions accurately, bioregions (Grant et al., 2006) or biogeochemical regions 59 (Longhurst, 2010) can be identified based on the distribution of abiotic factors and 60 chlorophyll-a only, i.e. available satellite gridded products. The process results in a set of 61 62 bioregions, each with relatively homogeneous and predictable ecosystem properties (Grant et al., 2006). Bioregions can be divided at different spatial scales, depending on their physical 63 and environmental characteristics. Bioregions are considered as a proxy of biodiversity spatial 64 patterns through an objective zoning. They constitute a basis for understanding, conserving 65 and managing activities in the marine environment (Grant et al., 2006; Ainley et al., 2010; 66 Hogg et al., 2018). 67

Several studies have proposed regionalizations based on the biogeochemical, 68 hydrological or physical and geographical characteristics of the oceans including the Southern 69 70 Ocean (Grant et al., 2006; Longhurst, 2010; Raymond, 2014; Reygondeau et al., 2014). Four biogeochemical provinces have been identified in the Southern Ocean (Longhurst, 2010) from 71 publications on satellite observations, oceanographic and biotic observations on chlorophyll-72 73 a, phytoplankton or zooplankton collected during oceanographic surveys. Major changes in these biogeochemical provinces are projected by modeling studies, including southward shifts 74 of the provinces and changes in their areas (Revgondeau et al., 2014). Changes in the 75 Southern Ocean are mainly imputed to the consequences of human activities, both direct 76 (exploitation of living resources by fishing) and indirect (increase in temperature, seasonality 77 of sea ice, ocean acidification; Constable et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2014; IPCC, 2019). These 78 alter the functioning of marine systems and food webs because they induce habitat 79

modifications, which affect primary producers up to top predators, coastal organisms down to
deep species, and the Sub-Antarctic Zone up to the sea ice zone (Constable et al., 2014; Gutt
et al, 2015).

83 However, the existing Southern Ocean regionalizations (Grant et al., 2006; Raymond, 2014) did not consider regional features such as phytoplankton plumes linked to island effects 84 and did not include seasonality. In addition, their northern limit (40°S) excluded the 85 Subtropical zone. This paper proposes to delimit bioregions for the South Indian Ocean and 86 the Southern Ocean covering the area between 40°E and 85°E; 30°S and 65°S. These include 87 88 the islands of Crozet, Kerguelen, Saint Paul and New Amsterdam. These islands are linked to important topographic features, the ridges of southwest and southeast Indian Del Cano 89 elevation, Crozet Islands' shelf (archipelago) and the Kerguelen Plateau. The occurrence of 90 91 different water masses and the interaction of the intense ACC with these bathymetric features 92 contribute to the heterogeneity of the region, both from an hydrodynamical point of view and for the subsequent distribution of biogeochemical properties (Roquet et al., 2009; Sokolov 93 and Rintoul, 2007). In this area, the large-scale distribution of primary production and top 94 predators are well known, respectively from remote sensing and biologging data (Cotté et al., 95 2007; Bost et al., 2009; De Monte et al., 2012; Gandhi et al., 2012; Ropert Coudert et al., 96 2014). However, very few studies have examined the regional distribution of zooplankton and 97 intermediate trophic levels such as micronekton which includes small organisms (\sim 1–20 cm 98 or g) that can swim (Koubbi, 1993; Handegard et al., 2013; Duhamel et al., 2014; Lehodey et 99 100 al., 2015; Behagle et al., 2016; Venkataramana et al., 2019). In addition, the main studies on plankton have been mostly conducted in around Kerguelen, either in the coastal zone, above 101 the island shelf or on the eastern edge of the plateau (Blain et al., 2007; Pollard et al., 2007; 102 Sanial et al., 2014). 103

Sampling devices gathering large scale zooplankton information, like the Continuous
Plankton Recorder (CPR), can survey vast geographical region to study zooplankton

distribution (Batten et al., 2019). This plankton sampling device continuously collects
organisms all along a cruise track at the sub-surface and has already been deployed in the
Southern Ocean for past studies (Hosie et al., 2003 and 2014). The CPR was deployed for the
first time in the South Indian Ocean and the Southern Ocean in 2013 on board the R/V
"Marion Dufresne" (Meilland et al., 2016) and since then the surveys have been carried out
every year between January and February (Fig. 1). These new samples at high spatial
resolution fill a geographic gap in both the South Indian Ocean and the Southern Ocean.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were (i) to delimit and characterize bioregions 113 in this area and (ii) to verify whether variations in the abundance of zooplankton can be 114 explained by the environmental characteristics of the bioregions. Specifically, we wanted to 115 define these bioregions at the mesoscale (100-300 km) to provide a better representation of 116 117 oceanographic features, such as water mass dynamics and fronts. To attain our general objectives, we first delimited summer pelagic bioregions on the basis of environmental 118 parameters (sea surface temperature, chlorophyll-a concentration, kinetic energy and 119 bathymetry) describing the main characteristics of the region analyzed. Then, we determined 120 whether these bioregions were precise predictors of variations in zooplankton abundance in 121 122 the Southern Ocean and the South Indian Ocean by using samples collected by the CPR. Finally, we investigated the extent to which changes in zooplankton abundance were 123 explained by the environmental characteristics of bioregions. 124

125

126 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 127 **2.1. Bioregionalization**
- 128 *2.1.1. Study area*

129 Our study area lies between 40°E and 85°E; 30°S and 65°S (Fig. 1) and includes large latitudinal gradients in water masses proprieties with different fronts separating the 130 subtropical waters from the polar waters (Orsi et al., 1995). These fronts are associated with 131 132 the ACC which is very intense in this area. Some of these fronts depict drastic changes in temperature and salinity (Post et al., 2014; Park et al., 1991 and 1993) and delimit large 133 oceanographic regions. The Subtropical Front (STF) defines the southern limit of warm and 134 oligotrophic waters that characterize the subtropical gyre. Further south lies the Sub-Antarctic 135 Front (SAF) associated to the main core of the ACC (Park et al., 2002, 2008), followed by the 136 137 Antarctic Polar Front (PF). The Sub-Antarctic Zone extends between the STF and the SAF, while the Polar Frontal Zone extends between the SAF and the PF. The Subtropical Zone is 138 located to the north of the STF. In this area, the Sub-Antarctic Zone corresponds to a narrow 139 140 band of about 2° of latitude (between 44°S and 46°S) that is formed by the convergence of the 141 SAF and STF, in the East of the Kerguelen shelf the PF is also close to the other fronts (Park et al., 1991 and 1993). The largest extent of the Polar Frontal Zone is found to the south of 142 143 Crozet (between 45°S and 52°S), while it covers only a few degrees in latitude to the northeast of Kerguelen (Sokolov and Rintoul, 2009). The Subtropical Zone also includes the 144 Agulhas Return Current, current which influences species assemblages in the western 145 subtropical part of the area (Koubbi, 1993). On a longitudinal scale, several shallow island 146 shelves and seamounts diversify the geomorphological landscape and shape the ocean 147 circulation. The Kerguelen plateau is a major bathymetric feature extending from the 148 Kerguelen island shelf towards the Antarctic shelf. It deeply, influences the hydrology of the 149 area. It acts as a barrier deflecting the ACC which flows continuously through the Southern 150 Ocean due to the absence of continental lands (Roquet et al., 2009). The study area is also 151 highly heterogeneous in terms of biological productivity. Most of the ice-free polar waters in 152 the Permanent Open Ocean Zone (zone between 50° and 60°S; Pondaven et al., 1998) are 153 characterized by High Nutrient Low Chlorophyll (HNLC; i.e. phytoplankton are not abundant 154

155 whereas there are high macronutrient levels) conditions due to iron limitation. This trace element limits the primary production in the study area (Martin, 1990; De Baar et al., 1995). 156 The HNLC region contrasts with the intense phytoplankton blooms occurring close to iron 157 sources, notably around the Sub-Antarctic islands. There, the iron is delivered by the 158 interaction of the flow and the shallow topography (Boyd and Ellwood, 2010). This physical 159 and biogeochemical process supports recurrent phytoplankton blooms, occurring during 160 spring over the plateau between Kerguelen and Heard Islands (southeast of Kerguelen), north 161 and east of Kerguelen (Blain et al., 2007; Park et al., 2008), as well as north and east of 162 163 Crozet (Pollard et al., 2007; Sanial et al., 2014). Conversely, the upstream waters of Kerguelen and Crozet are generally less productive. 164



Fig. 1. Map of the study area showing the routes of the oceanographic vessel for each year
(2013-2017), the different fronts of the represented area (Subtropical Front, Sub-Antarctic
Front and Polar Front) and the different zones of the area (Subtropical Zone, Sub-Antarctic

169 Zone, Polar Frontal Zone and Antarctic Zone). The position of the fronts follows Park et al.170 (2014).

171 2.1.2. Environmental data

Our first objective was to delineate bioregions on the basis of four environmental parameters: (i) sea surface temperature, which varies latitudinally in the study area, (ii) chlorophyll-*a* concentration, which is an indicator of phytoplankton abundance and iron enrichment zones, (iii) kinetic energy, which identifies physically-energetic zones associated with the ACC and (iv) bathymetry, which distinguish shelf from open ocean zones.

Oceanographic data were obtained from satellite measurements from 2013 to 2017 for the period from November to March (i.e. during Austral summer). The parameters studied were sea surface temperature (SST, in °C), chlorophyll-*a* concentration (Chl-*a*, in mg.m-3), kinetic energy (KE, in m².s-²) and bathymetry (Bat, in m) (Table 1). Each environmental parameter had a different spatial resolution. In order to manage these differences, we carried out bioregionalization at the lowest resolution of the environmental parameters, i.e. the spatial resolution of the kinetic energy at 0.25°.

Table 1. Description of environmental parameters used in this study

Environme	Abbreviati	Source and products	Spatial	Daily
ntal	ons		Resoluti	resoluti
parameters			on	on
[Chlorophy	Chl-a	Copernicus Marine Environmental Monitoring Service	0.04°	8 days
ll-a] (mg.m-		website (http://marine.copernicus.eu/):		mean
3)		"OCEANCOLOUR_GLO_CHL_L4_REP_OBSERVATI		
		ONS_009_082" for 2013 and 2014 data and		
		"OCEANCOLOUR_GLO_CHL_L4_NRT_OBSERVATI		
		ONS_009_033" (satellite products).		
Sea Surface	SST	Copernicus Marine Environmental Monitoring Service	0.05°	Daily

Temperatu		website (http://marine.copernicus.eu/):		mean
re (°C)		"SST_GLO_SST_L4_NRT_OBSERVATIONS_010_001"		
		(satellite products).		
Kinetic	KE	KE data were obtained from the zonal and southern	0.25°	Daily
Energy		velocity (U and V, respectively) which estimate surface		mean
$(m^2.s^{-2}).$		currents derived from altimetry through a geostrophic		
		approximation. U and V are provided in the		
		'SEALEVEL_GLO_PHY_L4_REP_OBSERVATIONS_0		
		08_047' product which was downloaded from the E.U.		
		Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service		
		(CMEMS, http://marine.copernicus.eu/ for the period		
		2013-2017. In this way, an estimation of the total kinetic		
		energy is obtained.		
Bathymetry	Bat	http://www.gebco.net (satellite products)	0.008°	/
(m)				
(11)				

185

186 The KE was calculated from the altimetry-based horizontal current velocities, using187 the following formula:

188 $KE = 0.5*(U^2+V^2)/1000$,

where U and V are the zonal (i.e. longitude) and meridional (i.e latitude) velocities. The
bathymetry of the study area was downloaded from the General Bathymetric Chart of the
Oceans website (www.gebco.net).

Oceanographic data were downloaded for the period November to March for the years 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017. The raw data correspond to daily averages for the SST and KE parameters and weekly averages for the Chl-*a* parameter, due to the very high cloud cover in the study area. Data were then analyzed using the mean value calculated over the summer period (November to March).

Zooplankton was sampled using a CPR on board the R/V "Marion Dufresne" every summer, 198 between January and February, from 2013 to 2017, for a total of 1282 samples corresponding 199 to 6410 nautical miles. The CPR is a mechanical device that allows continuous sampling of 200 201 plankton while being towed in the subsurface behind the vessel (at a speed from 10 to 15 knots). The CPR was towed approximately 100 m behind the vessel at a depth varying 202 between 10 and 30 m. The CPR works as follows: water enters through a square opening 203 (1.62 cm²: 1.27x1.27 cm) into a collecting tunnel (10x5 cm). The plankton then reaches a 204 moving silk band (filter silk) with an average mesh size of 270 µm. A second strip of silk 205 (covering silk) covers the filtering silk and is then wound in the fixing tank, which contains 206 207 diluted formaldehyde. Regardless of the speed of the vessel, the silk advances at a speed of about 1 cm per nautical mile during towing (Hunt and Hosie, 2003; Hosie et al., 2014). 208

In the laboratory, each set of silk is unwound and cut by segments of 5 nautical miles. The entire content of each sample is identified at a coarse taxonomic resolution and assigned to one of the following groups: copepods, euphausids, amphipods, *Limacina*, chaetognaths and ostracods, which are counted under a stereomicroscope. For each major taxon, the individuals are counted in the fraction where at least 100 individuals of that taxon are found. For this a Folsom splitter was used.

Zooplankton abundance data obtained by the CPR (2013-2017) for each taxon and silk sample and the corresponding metadata (GPS coordinates) were stored in a table. The abundances were calculated (number of individuals per nautical mile) and each sample was assigned to the time of day (Day, Dusk, Night, Dawn) which was calculated using the solar angle ("RAtmosphere" package; Biavati, 2014). The number of samples is 552 during the day, 167 at dusk, 338 at night and 225 at dawn.

221 **2.2. Data processing and statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were done using R (R Core Team, 2018) and the maps were realized
using either R or using a Geographic Information System (ArcGIS v. 10.5.1.).

224 2.2.1. Bioregionalization

The first step in the bioregionalization procedure was to conduct a principal component 225 analysis (PCA, Legendre and Legendre, package "FactoMineR", 1998; Lê et al., 2008) on 226 environmental parameters (SST, KE, Bat and Chl-a) to eliminate noise before classification 227 (concentration of information on the first components), resulting in a more stable 228 classification. The second step consisted of clustering sites by applying the method of K-229 means (MacQueen, 1967) on the coordinates of site on the two first PCA axes. The optimal 230 number of clusters (in this study, the environmental envelopes of bioregions) was chosen 231 using the index of Calinski Harabasz (1974) and the elbow method (NG, 2012). The final step 232 233 was to create a map of bioregions based on the clusters obtained.

234

235 2.2.2. Characterization of zooplankton sampling

To study variations in zooplankton abundance (log(abundance+1)) between the different taxa, a Kruskal-Wallis analysis was conducted. The same analysis was performed without zero values of abundance for each taxon, to take into account the fact that some taxa live in schools in the Southern Ocean. After each Kruskal-Wallis analysis, post-hoc pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests were carried out. This consisted of a multiple comparison test with correction by the Holm method (1979) between each pair of taxa to unravel the differences between them.

242 2.2.3. Analyses of variation in plankton abundance

The following analyses were carried out on the total abundance of zooplankton (including data with zero values). To investigate how zooplankton abundance (log(abundance+1)) varied according to the period of the day, a Kruskal-Wallis analysis was performed. The same analysis was performed to test differences in abundances between years. Post-hoc pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests were also carried out to unravel the differences between each pair ofperiods of the day.

These analyses focused on copepods, euphausids and Limacina, which were the most 249 250 abundant taxa. Night samples were used for this analysis because at night, zooplankton abundance and diversity are higher due to nocturnal migrations. A Kruskal-Wallis test was 251 used to study variations in the abundance of copepods, euphausids and Limacina between the 252 different bioregions. A Kruskal-Wallis test was used to study variations in the abundance of 253 copepods, euphausids and *Limacina* in the different bioregions. Subsequent post-hoc pairwise 254 Wilcoxon rank sum tests were carried out to reveal the differences between each pair of 255 bioregions regarding the abundance of each taxon. Then, a generalized linear model (with 256 Poisson distribution) was used to study the fluctuations in the abundance of the different taxa 257 as a function of different environmental parameters (SST, the log of KE, the log of Chl-a and 258 the log of Bat). 259

260

261 **3. RESULTS**

262 **3.1. Characterization of bioregions**

The first two axes of the PCA on environmental variables explained 70% of the total variance 263 (Fig. 2). Axis 1 permitted to discriminate sites according to KE and SST while axis 2 264 permitted to discriminate sites according to Bat and Chl-a. The clustering on the first two axes 265 of the PCA on environmental variables identified six distinct bioregions, a number of clusters 266 267 that allowed us also to describe the study area with sufficient detail to make sense from an ecological point of view (Fig. 2). Indeed, Axis 1 opposes bioregions with relatively high KE 268 and SST (Bioregions 3, 5 and 6) to bioregions with lower KE and SST (Bioregions 4 and 2) 269 270 and axis 2 further opposes one bioregion with high Chl-a and Bat (Bioregion 1) to the others (Fig. 2). The 6 bioregions are mapped and their environmental variability described in Fig. 3, 271

while Table 2 provides a synthetic overview of their localization and environmentalcharacteristics.



Fig. 2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of environmental parameters (SST: Sea Surface
Temperature (°C); KE: Kinetic Energy (m².s-²); Chl-*a*: concentration of Chlorophyll-*a*(mg.m-3) and Bat: Bathymetry (m)) on the two first significant axes of the PCA (Dim1,
Dim2)). V1= longitude and V2= latitude are supplementary variables that do not influence the
PCA. The colour of the 6 clusters is represented on the observations and concentration
ellipses.



282

Fig. 3. Bioregions defined by SST, KE, Chl-*a* and Bat (SST: Sea Surface Temperature; KE: Kinetic Energy; Chl-*a*: concentration of Chlorophyll-*a* and Bat: Bathymetry). Map of the six bioregions and position of the 3 main hydrological fronts (from north to south: STF, SAF and PF), the white dashed lines correspond to the exclusive economic zones (a). Violin plots of environmental parameters (SST, KE, Chl-*a* and Bat) according to the six bioregions (b).

Table 2. Descriptions of the six bioregions identified in the study area and shown in Fig. 2and Fig. 3.

Bioregions	Localization	Characteristics
1	Shelf and high productivity off-shelf waters	-Cold SST (min=0.11°C, mean= 3.57°C, max=16.96°C) -Low KE(min= 0.00 m ² .s ⁻² , mean= 0.00 m ² .s ⁻² , max= 0.09 m ² .s ⁻²) -High Chl- <i>a</i> (min=0.27 mg.m ⁻³ , mean= 0.73 mg.m ⁻³ , max= 1.91 mg.m ⁻³) - Shallow sea (min=-4443.0m, mean=-1434.7m, max=-2.0m)
2	Deep Eastern Part of the Enderby basin	-Cold SST (min=0.19°C, mean=2.59°C, max=8.21°C) -Low KE (min=0,00 m ² .s- ² , mean=0.01 m ² .s- ² , max=0.05 m ² .s- ²) -Low Chl- <i>a</i> (min=0.09 mg.m ⁻³ , mean=0.19 mg.m ⁻³ , max=0.42 mg.m ⁻³) -Deep sea (min=-5680m, mean=- 4499m, max=-2801m)
3	High turbulence areas of SAF and SFT	-Hot SST (min=4.66°C, mean=14.29°C, max=18.93°C)

-High KE (min=0.03 m².s-², mean=0.15 m².s-², max=0.39 m².s-²) -Moderate Chl-*a* (min=0.20 mg.m⁻³3, mean=0.50 mg.m⁻³3, max=0.77 mg.m⁻³3) -Deep sea (min=-5595m, mean=-4271m, max=-1072m) This ecoregion is highly influenced by KE

4	Island shelves less	-Cold SST(min=0.18°C,
	productive areas and	mean=3.16°C, max=17.62°C)
	seamounts	-Low KE (min=0.00 m ² .s- ² ,
		mean=0.01 m ² .s ⁻² , max=0.06 m ² .s ⁻²)
		-Low Chll- <i>a</i> (min=0.10 mg.m ⁻ 3,
		mean=0.26 mg.m ⁻ 3, max=0.80
		mg.m ⁻ 3)
		-Shallow sea (min=-4791m, mean=-
		2087m, max=-13m)
5	Indian Ocean Deep	-High SST (min=1.74°C,
		mean=17.01°C, max=20.69°C)
		-Low KE (min=0.00 m ² .s- ² ,
		mean=0.02 m ² .s ⁻² , max=0.12 m ² .s ⁻²)
		-Low Chl-a (min=0.08 mg.m ⁻ 3,
		mean=0.22 mg.m ⁻ 3, max=0.55

mg.m⁻3)

-Deep sea (min=-5887m, mean=-

4283m, max=-2437m)

6	Indian ridges subtropical	-High SST (min=0.62°C,
		mean=7.98°C, max=20.69°C)
		-Low KE(min=0.00 m ² .s- ² ,
		mean=0.05 m ² .s ⁻² , max=0.16 m ² .s ⁻²)
		-Low Chl- <i>a</i> (min=0.10 mg.m ⁻ 3,
		mean=0.38 mg.m ⁻ 3, max=0.93
		mg.m ⁻ 3)
		-Deep sea (min=-5497m, mean=-
		3433m, max=-319m)

290

3.2. Characterization of zooplankton sampling

The Kruskal-Wallis test showed that the abundance of zooplankton sampled in the surface layer varied significantly between the different taxa (Fig. 4a). Specifically, we found that (i) copepods were more abundant than the other taxa, (ii) the abundance of copepods was not significantly different from the total abundance, (iii) *Limacina* and amphipod taxa present similar abundances.

In addition, when performing the same analyses without the zero abundance values of the different taxa, we found that the abundance of copepods, euphausids and *Limacina* differed significantly from each other (Fig. 4b). As the abundance of euphausids and *Limacina* was significantly higher than the abundance of ostracods, chaetognaths and amphipods (Fig. 4b) and represent the vast majority of the zooplankton sampled, we decided



303



305 Fig. 4. Distribution of values of abundance by taxon (number of individuals per nautical mile) (Amph = Amphipods, Chaet= Chaetognaths, Cop = Copepods, Euph= Euphausiids, Lim = 306 Limacina, Ostr= Ostracods, tot= total zooplankton abundance). On the left the abundance 307 values are transformed into log(x+1) (a) and on the right the abundance values are 308 transformed into log(x+1) and without the zero values (b). The outliers are in red. Following 309 310 the Wilcoxon post-hoc tests, the letters (a, b, c, d, e) correspond to the representation of significance. If two taxa share the same letter then they are not significantly different, and on 311 the contrary, if two taxa do not share the same letter, then they are significantly different. 312

313 **3.3.** Temporal variations in zooplankton abundance

Kruskal-Wallis analyses and the post-hoc tests of Wilcoxon generally showed that the abundance of zooplankton sampled in the surface layer was significantly higher at night and lower during the day (Fig. 5a). Kruskal-Wallis analyses showed that the abundance of 317 zooplankton sampled in the surface layer did not vary significantly from year to year (Fig.

318 5b).

319



Fig. 5. Distribution of abundance value of copepods, euphausids, *Limacina* and total abundance of zooplankton transformed into a log (x+1) (number of individuals per nautical mile (Nm)) according to different periods of the day (a). Distribution of abundance values of copepods, euphausids, *Limacina* and total abundance of zooplankton transformed into a log (x+1) (number of individuals per nautical mile,) according to different years (b). The outliers are in red. Following the Wilcoxon post-hoc tests, the letters (a, b, c, d, e) correspond to the

327 representation of significance. If two periods of the day share the same letter, then they are 328 not significantly different, and, on the contrary, if two periods of the day do not share the 329 same letter, then they are significantly different.

330 **3.4.** Variations in zooplankton abundances across bioregions

The copepod, *Limacina* and total night abundances did not differ significantly between Bioregions 1 to 5 (Fig. 6). Nonetheless, copepod, *Limacina* and total night abundances were the lowest in Bioregion 6, with significant differences with Bioregions 1, 2, and 4 depending on the group (Fig. 6). Euphausid abundance did not differ significantly among Bioregions 1 and 3 to 6, but was significantly lower in Bioregion 2 than in Bioregions 1 and 6 (Fig. 6).

The bioregions identified in the cluster analysis are differently represented by the zooplankton samples. In particular, Bioregion 3 and Bioregion 5 are largely under-sampled with respectively 3 and 11 samples collected within the five campaigns. This may explain why the zooplankton abundance found there did not differ significantly from any bioregion (Fig. 6).



342 343

Fig. 6. Distribution of values of night abundances of copepods, euphausids and Limacina 344 transformed into a log (x+1) (number of individuals per nautical mile (Nm)) according to the 345 different bioregions (the sample sizes for each Bioregion: Bioregion 1: 77 samples; Bioregion 346 2: 84 samples; Bioregion 3: 3 samples; Bioregion 4: 43 samples; Bioregion 5: 11 samples; 347 Bioregion 6: 120 samples). Following the Wilcoxon post-hoc tests, the letters (a, b, c, d, e) 348 349 correspond to the representation of significance. If two bioregions share the same letter then they are not significantly different, and, on the contrary, if two bioregions do not share the 350 same letter, then they are significantly different. 351

352 **3.5.** Variations in zooplankton abundance with environmental parameters

The first GLM model showed that the night abundance of copepods was significantly related to mean SST and KE. Specifically, the abundance of copepods decreased as the mean SST increase, whereas it slightly increased as the mean KE increased (Fig. 7).

The second GLM model showed that the night abundance of euphausids was significantly related to the mean Chl-*a* concentration. Specifically, the abundance of euphausids increased as the mean Chl-*a* increased (Fig. 7).

The third GLM model showed that the night abundance of *Limacina* was significantly related to mean Chl-*a* and Bat. Specifically, the abundance of *Limacina* increased as the mean Chl-*a* increased and decreased as the mean Bat increased (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7. Log(x+1) of night abundances of the different taxa (TOP: copepods, MIDDLE : euphausids, BOTTOM: *Limacina*, all represented as the number of individuals per nautical

mile) as a function of the log of Chl-a: chlorophyll-*a* concentration (mg.m-³), SST: sea surface temperature (° C), Bat: bathymetry (m) and the log of KE: kinetic energy (m².s-²).* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001 (GLM).

369

370 4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we provided a new set of epipelagic bioregions for the South Indian Ocean and the Indian part of the Southern Ocean at macro- and meso-scale according to their environmental characteristics. Furthermore, we highlighted how the abundance of the different zooplankton taxa varied spatially with environmental conditions.

4.1. Bioregionalization of the Indian part of the Southern Ocean and adjacent South Indian Ocean

The six bioregions were determined according to three physical environmental parameters 377 (sea surface temperature, kinetic energy, bathymetry) and chlorophyll-a concentration which 378 is considered as a proxy of the surface primary production. These bioregions provide a 379 synthetic and integrated overview of contrasting environments characterized by different 380 biophysical processes (Table 2). In accordance with previous studies by Grant et al. (2006) 381 and Raymond (2014), the six bioregions we identified follow a latitudinal pattern. However, 382 our study included the Southern part of the Indian Ocean (Bioregions 3, 5 and 6 and the 383 islands of Saint Paul and Amsterdam) in addition to the Southern Ocean (Bioregions 1, 2 and 384 4). Therefore, this study provides details about the transition between these two oceans which 385 are separated by different major fronts, mainly the Sub-Antarctic and the Subtropical Fronts. 386 The main characteristics of the six bioregions are described below. 387

388 Bioregion 1 is mainly located to the east of the Kerguelen Plateau and north of the Heard Plateau, on the central and northern part of the Crozet Plateau and in a small extent, 389 around the St. Paul Islands and the New Amsterdam Plateau. This region corresponds to areas 390 391 with high chlorophyll-a concentrations (Fig. 3). Indeed, the distribution of chlorophyll-a in the Southern Ocean is dominated by a number of recurrent blooms observed downstream of 392 islands (Sokolov & Rintoul, 2007). These blooms are sustained by the iron enrichment of 393 water masses from the Sub-Antarctic Islands and their plateaus (Blain et al., 2001; Sanial et 394 al., 2014; Graham et al., 2015; d'Ovidio et al., 2015). 395

Bioregion 2 is located in the deep eastern part of the Enderby Basin in the Southern 396 Ocean, south of SAF. The kinetic energy is low, as well as the sea surface temperature and 397 chlorophyll-a concentration, thus representing a typical HNLC zone. South of Crozet, the PF 398 399 at about 50° S has a low current velocity (Park et al., 1993; Pollard and Read, 2001; Pollard et al., 2002; Pollard et al., 2007). The low chlorophyll-a concentrations are a consequence of 400 iron deficiency (Boyd et al., 2000; Boyd et al., 2007; Pollard et al., 2007; De Baar et al, 401 2005). Indeed, this area is very deep and remote from islands, shallow plateaus or seamounts 402 (which are the main source of iron in the region) (e.g. Ardyna et al., 2017). 403

404 Bioregion 3 corresponds to the physically-energetic areas of the Indian Ocean characterized by high kinetic energy (Fig. 3). The ACC flows mainly to the north of the 405 Crozet and Kerguelen plateau, the latter being a major obstacle to the eastward flow of the 406 407 ACC (Roquet et al., 2009). High kinetic energies in this region indicate intense horizontal velocities generated by the ACC and the Agulhas Current. After Bioregion 1, Bioregion 3 is 408 the area with the higher chlorophyll-a concentration. Indeed, the intense horizontal velocities 409 410 allow the transport of nutrient from the shallow topographies around the African coasts into the open ocean for thousands of kilometers sustaining the phytoplankton productivity (e.g. 411 412 Ardyna et al. 2017). In addition, this area is characterized by an intense mesoscale activity associate to meanders, eddies, filaments and fronts which may also enhance the primary 413

414 production (e.g. Flierl and Davies, 1993; Oschlies and Graçon, 1998; Machu and Garçon,
415 2001; Lévy, 2008).

Bioregion 4 is characterized by shallow to mid bathymetry and low chlorophyll-a 416 417 concentrations and is located either in the deepest parts of the island shelves, mainly in the western part of these shelves, and over complex seamounts (Figs. 2 and 3). The relative low 418 chlorophyll-a concentration in the western part of the shelf areas (Fig. 3b) may be explained 419 by the zonal location of this bioregion relatively to the plateau. Indeed, due to the main 420 eastward circulation of the ACC, the iron enrichment of the water masses is more intense in 421 422 the eastern part of the shelves and offshore, generating a longitudinal chlorophyll-a gradient upstream and downstream of the plateau (e.g Sanial et al., 2014; d'Ovidio et al., 2015). This 423 difference can be observed with contrasted chlorophyll-a concentrations between Bioregion 4 424 425 (western flank, lower chlorophyll-a concentration) and Bioregion 1 (eastern flank, higher 426 chlorophyll-a concentration). The relative shallow depth of the areas of the Bioregion 4 which 427 are located offshore from the islands is explained by the numerous seamounts included in 428 these areas. These are the large Skif bank to the south-west of Kerguelen and the Elan Bank south-west of Heard at the limit of the study area (Bioregion 4b in Fig. 3). South of Crozet, 429 different seamounts of smaller size such as Ob, Lena and Marion Dufresne are located near 430 the PF (Bioregion 4e in Fig. 3). The presence of shallow seamounts may also stimulate the 431 nutrient input in the euphotic layer thus sustaining higher chlorophyll-a concentrations 432 433 compared to the surrounding water of the abyssal plain (Bioregion 2) (e.g. Pitcher et al., 2008). 434

Bioregion 5 is located in the Subtropical Indian Ocean (5a) and to the north of the STF (5b), two areas of abyssal plains or hills (Harris et al., 2014). They are characterized by higher temperatures and represent the deepest zones of the Indian Ocean. Bioregion 5a is the warmest region and Bioregion 5b is the deepest region (Fig. 3) (Harris et al., 2014). The low

chlorophyll-*a* concentrations found in Bioregion 5 are typical of the hyperoligotrophic waters
of the subtropical gyres (e.g. McClain et al., 2004; Morel et al., 2010).

Bioregion 6 is characterized by a large thermal amplitude and can be considered as an Indian Subtropical region with deep bathymetry and low kinetic energy. This area also includes shallower seamounts at the mid-ocean ridges (Harris et al., 2014). This area is divided into two sub-areas by Bioregion 3. However, the main part is located to the northeast of the study area, around the St Paul and Amsterdam Islands, and includes part of the Southeast Indian Ridge. The northwestern part includes part of the Southwest Indian Ridge in Indian Ocean.

448 **4.2. Spatial variations in zooplankton abundance**

Consistent with previous CPR studies conducted in various regions of the Southern 449 Ocean, we found that copepods account for most of the zooplankton biomass (Hunt and 450 Hosie, 2003; Hunt and Hosie, 2006a; Hunt and Hosie, 2006b; Hosie et al., 2003; Takahashi et 451 al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 2011). Our analyses of copepods, 452 euphausids and Limacina sampled at night permitted to reveal the spatial patterns in the 453 abundance of the different taxa across our study area encompassing the Southern Ocean and 454 455 the South Indian Ocean. These spatial patterns are explained by (i) the high dependence of copepod abundance on sea surface temperature and, to a lesser extent, kinetic energy; (ii) the 456 high dependence of euphausid abundance on chlorophyll-a concentration; (iii) the high 457 458 dependence of *Limacina* abundance on chlorophyll-*a* concentration and shallow bathymetry.

The GLM models indicate that the copepods were most abundant in the cold waters of the Southern Ocean. Accordingly, the abundance of copepods was significantly highest in Bioregions 1, 2 and 4, which are located in the southern part of the study area and correspond to the coldest zones in the Permanent Open Ocean Zones, than in Bioregion 6. This is because temperature has major effects on the physiology of zooplankton in Antarctic and is therefore one of the main factors defining its biogeographic distributions (Pörtner et al., 2007). Furthermore, the GLM models revealed a greater abundance of copepods in physicallyenergetic waters. Although copepods are quite abundant in Bioregion 3, i.e. the most physically-energetic zone of our study area located in the Subtropical and Sub-Antarctic regions, their abundance in this under-sampled bioregion does not differ significantly from the others. Further *in situ* analysis of zooplankton abundance in this high turbulent region could allow us testing this relationship within pelagic bioregions.

The GLM models also revealed that euphausids were more abundant in chlorophyll-a 471 472 rich areas. Specifically, they were significantly less abundant in low productive open ocean waters of Bioregion 2 compared to the shelf and off-shelf high productivity areas of Bioregion 473 1. The higher abundance of euphausids in the Indian ridges subtropical waters of Bioregion 6 474 could also be related to the higher chlorophyll-a found there. This positive relationship 475 476 between the abundance of euphausids and chlorophyll-a is not surprising because primary production corresponds to phytoplankton, the main food of zooplankton. This further supports 477 478 previous studies showing that zooplankton biomass is globally found to be positively related to the phytoplankton biomass (Irigoien et al., 2004). 479

The GLM models also identify a positive relationship between *Limacina* abundance and chlorophyll-*a*, and a positive relationship of *Limacina* abundance with bathymetry. Consequently, the higher abundance of *Limacina* in Bioregion 2 could be related to the more important depths found there. Although not significantly, the shallow shelves of Bioregion 1 also display lower *Limacina* abundance. Further investigations should be done in order to confirm the relationship between *Limacina* abundance and shallow topographies, poorly covered by the existing literature.

487 Overall, although there are correlations between the three groups of zooplankton and 488 environmental conditions, the different zooplankton groups do not map very well onto the 489 bioregions. Several causes could explain this. Firstly, some key transition bioregions essential

for understanding the variability of the studied region - such as Bioregion 3, the high turbulent area between the SAF and the STF - have been under-sampled. Secondly, the coarse taxonomic resolution used in this study could have hampered the identification of clear differences between bioregions. As suggested by previous studies, and given the large temperature gradient sampled, species level data would likely better reveal differences between bioregions in our study area (e.g. Hunt et al., 2011).

496 **4.3. Limits and perspectives**

497 The environmental parameters used in this study come from satellite observations averaged over time and space. Due to their large spatial coverage, these data can be used to distinguish 498 499 spatial environmental variations between areas in two dimensions (latitude and longitude) and 500 to explain surface variation in zooplankton abundance. However, these measurements do not take into account how environmental conditions and zooplankton abundance vary according 501 to the vertical dimension, i.e. the depth of the zone. The environmental properties of the water 502 503 column can influence the ecology of many species along the trophic webs, including top predators (Bost et al., 2009). In addition, we did not consider all the environmental parameters 504 that can affect the abundance of zooplankton. Future studies may consider delineating 505 bioregions in three dimensions and integrating other potentially harmful environmental 506 parameters for zooplankton (e.g. ocean acidification, UV or nutrient levels) to better 507 508 anticipate the impact of environmental changes on zooplankton in each bioregion.

509 4.4. Conclusion

510 In this study, we first characterized bioregions based on physical parameters and chlorophyll-511 *a*. We then investigated the variations in zooplankton abundance across these bioregions in 512 order to move from a bioregionalization procedure towards an ecoregionalization procedure 513 by progressively integrating species assemblages. Initially, the temporal variations in surface abundance are explained by nocturnal migrations, i.e. zooplankton is more abundant at night in the surface layer. The variations in zooplankton abundance are also explained spatially by environmental parameters and, to a lesser degree, by the bioregions. Future campaigns in the under-sampled transition bioregions are needed to determine whether the observed variations could be explained more consistently by the bioregionalization at the meso- and macro-scale, or whether other spatial scales should be considered for their representation.

This study complements previous ecoregionalization work (Koubbi et al., 2016a; 520 2016b) carried out at smaller spatial scales in the ocean zone around Kerguelen and/or Crozet 521 on the pelagic realm, including seabirds and marine mammals. This work is therefore a 522 further step towards the identification of coherent ecoregions. Once constructed and 523 characterized, these ecoregions will serve as a basis for optimizing marine biodiversity 524 conservation strategies in this part of the Southern Ocean where marine reserves around 525 526 Crozet, Kerguelen and St-Paul / New Amsterdam where declared by France in 2016 (Koubbi et al., 2016a; 2016b). 527

An important step forward in ecoregionalization would be the integration of all species 528 in the food web - from phytoplankton to zooplankton, fish and top predators. Indeed, as the 529 different trophic groups do not respond in the same way to spatiotemporal variations in their 530 environment (Koubbi et al., 2011), the way forward to an ecoregionalization of this area is to 531 532 identify indicator or assemblages from distinct trophic levels. Future work should therefore investigate further regionalization of less studied mesopelagic fish. Then, it would be 533 interesting to integrate the regionalizations of the different trophic levels in order to obtain a 534 535 comprehensive regionalization.

536 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by IPEV, the Flotte Océanographique Française, Zone Atelier 537 Antarctique - CNRS, the European H2020 International Cooperation project MESOPP [grant 538 number 692173] and the TAAF (Southern Lands and Antarctic French Territories) natural 539 reserve. It is related to the SCAR Southern Ocean CPR programme and the Global Alliance 540 CPR Surveys We thank the IPEV teams who were in charge of logistics during the 541 oceanographic surveys, as well as the crew members of the R/V "Marion Dufresne". We 542 warmly thank Chloé Mignard and Baptiste Sérandour for participating in processing and 543 identification of samples, and Patrice Pruvost for taking in charge the zooplankton sampling 544 during the 2016 survey. 545

546 **References**

555

547	Ainley, D. G., Ballard, G., & Weller, J. (2010). Ross Sea bioregionalization, part I: validation
548	of the 2007 CCAMLR bioregionalization workshop results towards including the Ross
549	Sea in a representative network of marine protected areas in the Southern Ocean
550	CAMLR reference number: CCAMLR WG-EMM-10/11.

Ardyna, M., Claustre, H., Sallée, J. B., D'Ovidio, F., Gentili, B., Van Dijken, G., ... Arrigo, K.

R. (2017). Delineating environmental control of phytoplankton biomass and phenology

- in the Southern Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(10), 5016-5024.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072428
- 556 C. (2019). A global plankton diversity monitoring program. *Frontiers in Marine Science*,
 557 6, 321.

Batten, S. D., Abu-Alhaija, R., Chiba, S., Edwards, M., Graham, G., Jiyothibabu, R., ... Ostle,

- Béhagle, N., Cotté, C., & Ryan, T. E. (2016). Acoustic micronektonic distribution is
 structured by macroscale oceanographic processes across 20-50°S latitudes in the South-
- 560 Western Indian Ocean. Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers,
- 561 *110*, 20–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.12.007
- 562 Biavati, G. (2014). *RAtmosphere: Standard Atmospheric profiles*. Retrieved from
 563 https://cran.r-project.org/package=RAtmosphere

Blain, S., Tréguer, P., Belviso, S., Bucciarelli, E., Denis, M., Desabre, S., ... Razouls, S.
(2001). A biogeochemical study of the island mass effect in the context of the iron
hypothesis: Kerguelen Islands, Southern Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 48(1), 163–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/S09670637(00)00047-9

- Blain, S., Quéguiner, B., Armand, L., Belviso, S., Bombled, B., Bopp, L., ... Wagener, T.
 (2007). Effect of natural iron fertilization on carbon sequestration in the Southern Ocean. *Nature*, 446(7139), 1070–1074. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05700
- 572 Bost, C. A., Cotté, C., Bailleul, F., Cherel, Y., Charrassin, J. B., Guinet, C., ... Weimerskirch,
- 573 H. (2009). The importance of oceanographic fronts to marine birds and mammals of the
- southern oceans. Journal of Marine Systems, 78(3), 363–376.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.11.022
- Boyd, P. W., Watson, A. J., Law, C. S., Abraham, E. R., Trull, T., Murdoch, R., ... Zeldis, J.
 (2000). A mesoscale phytoplankton bloom in the polar Southern Ocean stimulated by
 iron fertilization. *Nature*, 407(6805), 695–702. https://doi.org/10.1038/35037500
- 579 Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., ... Watson, A.
- J. (2007). Mesoscale Iron Enrichment Experiments 1993-2005: Synthesis and Future
 Directions. *Science*, *315*(5812), 612–617. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1131669
- Boyd, P. W., & Ellwood, M. J. (2010). The biogeochemical cycle of iron in the ocean. *Nature Geoscience*, *3*(10), 675. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo964
- Broad, W. J. (1998). *The Universe Below: Discovering the Secrets of the Deep Sea*. Simon
 and Schuster, New-York, USA.
- Calinsky, T., & Harabasz, J. (1974). A dendrite method for cluster analysis. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 3(1), 1–27. Retrieved from www.bogucki.com.pl,
- 588 Constable, A. J., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Corney, S. P., Arrigo, K. R., Barbraud, C., Barnes,
- 589 D. K. A., ... Ziegler, P. (2014). Climate change and Southern Ocean ecosystems I: How
- 590 changes in physical habitats directly affect marine biota. *Global Change Biology*, 20(10),
- 591 3004–3025. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12623

592	Cotté, C., Park, Y. H., Guinet, C., & Bost, C. A. (2007). Movements of foraging king
593	penguins through marine mesoscale eddies. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
594	Biological Sciences, 274(1624), 2385–2391. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0775

De Baar, H. J., De Jong, J. T., Bakker, D. C., Löscher, B. M., Veth, C., Bathmann, U., &
Smetacek, V. (1995). Importance of iron for plankton blooms and carbon dioxide
drawdown in the Southern Ocean. *Nature*, 373(6513), 412.
https://doi.org/10.1038/373412a0

De Baar, H. J. W., Boyd, P. W., Coale, K. H., Landry, M. R., Tsuda, A., Assmy, P., ... Wong,
C. S. (2005). Synthesis of iron fertilization experiments: From the iron age in the age of
enlightenment. *Journal of Geophysical Research C: Oceans*, *110*(9), 1–24.
https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002601

De Monte, S., Cotté, C., D'Ovidio, F., Lévy, M., Le Corre, M., & Weimerskirch, H. (2012).
Frigatebird behaviour at the ocean-atmosphere interface: Integrating animal behaviour
with multi-satellite data. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 9(77), 3351–3358.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2012.0509

D'Ovidio, F., Della Penna, A., Trull, T. W., Nencioli, F., Pujol, M. I., Rio, M. H., ... Blain, S.
(2015). The biogeochemical structuring role of horizontal stirring: Lagrangian
perspectives on iron delivery downstream of the Kerguelen Plateau. *Biogeosciences*, *12*(19), 5567–5581. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-5567-2015

Duhamel, G., Hulley, P. A., Causse, R., Koubbi, P., Vacchi, M., Pruvost, P., ... Dettai, A.
(2014). Biogeographic patterns of fish. In *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean*,
418–421.

- Flierl, G. R., & Davis, C. S. (1993). Biological effects of Gulf Stream meandering. Journal of
 Marine Research, 51(3), 529-560. https://doi.org/10.1357/0022240933224016
- Foster, S. D., Hill, N. A., & Lyons, M. (2017). Ecological grouping of survey sites when
 sampling artefacts are present. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 66(5), 1031–1047. https://doi.org/10.1111/rssc.12211
- 619 Gandhi, N., Ramesh, R., Laskar, A. H., Sheshshayee, M. S., Shetye, S., Anilkumar, N., ...
- 620 Mohan, R. (2012). Zonal variability in primary production and nitrogen uptake rates in
- 621 the southwestern Indian Ocean and the Southern Ocean. Deep-Sea Research Part I:
- 622 *Oceanographic Research Papers*, 67, 32–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2012.05.003
- Graham, R. M., De Boer, A. M., van Sebille, E., Kohfeld, K. E., & Schlosser, C. (2015).
 Inferring source regions and supply mechanisms of iron in the Southern Ocean from
 satellite chlorophyll data. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, *104*, 9–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.05.007
- Grant, S., Constable, A., Raymond, B., & Doust, S. (2006). *Bioregionalisation of the Southern Ocean*. Hobart.
- Gutt, J., Bertler, N., Bracegirdle, T. J., Buschmann, A., Comiso, J., Hosie, G., ... Xavier, J. C.
 (2015). The Southern Ocean ecosystem under multiple climate change stresses an
 integrated circumpolar assessment. *Global Change Biology*, 21(4), 1434–1453.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12794
- Handegard, N. O., Buisson, L. Du, Brehmer, P., Chalmers, S. J., De Robertis, A., Huse, G., ...
 Godø, O. R. (2013). Towards an acoustic-based coupled observation and modelling
 system for monitoring and predicting ecosystem dynamics of the open ocean. *Fish and Fisheries*, 14(4), 605–615. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2012.00480.x

- Harris, P. T., Macmillan-Lawler, M., Rupp, J., & Baker, E. K. (2014). Geomorphology of the
 oceans. *Marine Geology*, 352, 4–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.01.011
- Hill, N. A., Foster, S. D., Duhamel, G., Welsford, D., Koubbi, P., & Johnson, C. R. (2017).
 Model-based mapping of assemblages for ecology and conservation management: A case
 study of demersal fish on the Kerguelen Plateau. *Diversity and Distributions*, 23(10),
 1216–1230. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12613
- Hogg, O. T., Huvenne, V. A. I., Griffiths, H. J., & Linse, K. (2018). On the ecological
 relevance of landscape mapping and its application in the spatial planning of very large
 marine protected areas. *Science of The Total Environment*, 626, 384–398.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.009
- Holm, S. (1979). Une simple procédure de test multiple à rejet séquentiel. *Journal scandinave de statistiques*, 6, 65–70. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4615733
- Hosie, G. ., Fukuchi, M., & Kawaguchi, S. (2003). Development of the Southern Ocean
 Continuous Plankton Recorder survey. *Progress in Oceanography*, 58(2–4), 263–283.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2003.08.007
- Hosie, G., Mormède, S., Kitchener, J., Takahashi, K., & Raymond, B. (2014). 10.3.Nearsurface zooplankton communities. In *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean* (pp.
 422–430).
- Hunt, B. P., & Hosie, G. W. (2003). The Continuous Plankton Recorder in the Southern
 Ocean: a comparative analysis of zooplankton communities sampled by the CPR and
 vertical net hauls along 140 E. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 25(12), 1561–1579.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbg108

- Hunt, B. P. V., & Hosie, G. W. (2006). The seasonal succession of zooplankton in the
 Southern Ocean south of Australia, part I: The seasonal ice zone. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 53(7), 1182–1202.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.05.001
- 663 Hunt, B. P. V., & Hosie, G. W. (2006). The seasonal succession of zooplankton in the
- 664 Southern Ocean south of Australia, part II: The Sub-Antarctic to Polar Frontal Zones.
- 665 Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 53(7), 1203–1223.
 666 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.05.002</u>
- Hunt, B.P.V., Pakhomov, E.A., Williams, R. (2011). Comparative analysis of 1980's and 2004
- 668 macrozooplankton composition and distribution in the vicinity of Kerguelen and Heard
- Islands: seasonal cycles and oceanographic forcing of long-term change. *Cybium, 35,* 79-
- 670 92. <u>https://doi.org/10.26028/cybium/2011-35SP-008</u>
- Irigoien, X., Huisman, J., & Harris, R. P. (2004). Global biodiversity patterns of marine
 phytoplankton and zooplankton. *Nature*, 429(6994), 863-867.
- 673 IPCC, 2019. IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. H.-O.
- 674 Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K.
- 675 Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.).
 676 In press.
- Koubbi, P. (1993). Influence of the frontal zones on ichthyoplankton and mesopelagic fish
 assemblages in the Crozet Basin (Indian sector of the Southern Ocean). *Polar Biology*, *13*(8), 557–564. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00236398
- Koubbi, P., Ozouf-Costaz, C., Goarant, A., Moteki, M., Hulley, P.-A., Causse, R., ... Hosie,
 G. (2010). Estimating the biodiversity of the East Antarctic shelf and oceanic zone for

- ecoregionalisation: Example of the ichthyofauna of the CEAMARC (Collaborative East
 Antarctic Marine Census) CAML surveys. *Polar Science*, 4(2), 115–133.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2010.04.012
- Koubbi, P., Moteki, M., Duhamel, G., Goarant, A., Hulley, P. A., O'Driscoll, R., ... Hosie, G.
- 686 (2011). Ecoregionalization of myctophid fish in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean:

Results from generalized dissimilarity models. Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical

688 *Studies in Oceanography*, *58*(1–2), 170–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.09.007

- Koubbi, P., Guinet, C., Alloncle, N., Ameziane, N., Azam, C. S., Baudena, A.,
 ...Weimerskirch, H. (2016). Ecoregionalisation of the Kerguelen and Crozet islands
 oceanic zone. Part I: Introduction and Kerguelen oceanic zone. *CCAMLR Document WG-EMM-16/43*.
- Koubbi, P., Mignard, C., Causse, R., Da Silva, O., Baudena, A., Bost, C., ... Fabri-Ruiz, S.
 (2016). Ecoregionalisation of the Kerguelen and Crozet islands oceanic zone. Part II: The
 Crozet oceanic zone. *CCAMLR Report*, 1-50.
- Lê, S., Josse, J. & Husson, F. (2008). FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis.
 Journal of Statistical Software. 25(1). pp. 1-18.
- 698 Legendre, P., & Legendre, L. (1998). Numerical ecology. Springer, New-York, USA.
- Lehodey, P., Conchon, A., Senina, I., Domokos, R., Calmettes, B., Jouanno, J., ... Kloser, R.
- 700 (2015). Optimization of a micronekton model with acoustic data. *ICES Journal of*701 *Marine Science*, 72(5), 1399-1412.
- Lévy, M. (2008). The modulation of biological production by oceanic mesoscale turbulence.
 In Transport and Mixing in Geophysical Flows (pp. 219-261). Springer, Berlin,
 Heidelberg.

- 705 Longhurst, A. R. (2010). *Ecological geography of the sea*. Elsevier.
- Machu, E., & Garçon, V. (2001). Phytoplankton seasonal distribution from SeaWiFS data in
 the Agulhas Current system. *Journal of marine research*, 59(5), 795-812.
 https://doi.org/10.1357/002224001762674944
- 709 Mackey, A. P., Atkinson, A., Hill, S. L., Ward, P., Cunningham, N. J., Johnston, N. M., &
- 710 Murphy, E. J. (2012). Antarctic macrozooplankton of the southwest Atlantic sector and
- 711 Bellingshausen Sea: Baseline historical distributions (Discovery Investigations, 1928–
- 1935) related to temperature and food, with projections for subsequent ocean warming.
- 713 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 59–60, 130–146.
- 714 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.08.011
- MacQueen, J. (1967). Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate
 observations. *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 1(14), 281–297. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-016-2173-6
- Martin, J. H. (1990). Glacial-interglacial CO2 change: The iron hypothesis. *Paleoceanography*, 5(1), 1-13
- McClain, C. R., Signorini, S. R., & Christian, J. R. (2004). Subtropical gyre variability
 observed by ocean-color satellites. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 51(1-3), 281-301.
- Meilland, J., Fabri-Ruiz, S., Koubbi, P., Monaco, C. Lo, Cotte, C., Hosie, G. W., ... Howa, H.
 (2016). Planktonic foraminiferal biogeography in the Indian sector of the Southern
 Ocean: Contribution from CPR data. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, *110*, 75–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.12.014

- Morel, A., Claustre, H., & Gentili, B. (2010). The most oligotrophic subtropical zones of the
 global ocean: similarities and differences in terms of chlorophyll and yellow substance.
- 729 *Biogeosciences*, 7(10), 3139-3151. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-3139-2010

730 NG, A. (2012). *Clustering with the k-means algorithm. Machine Learning.*

- Oschlies, A., & Garcon, V. (1998). Eddy-induced enhancement of primary production in a
 model of the North Atlantic Ocean. Nature, 394(6690), 266.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/28373
- Orsi, A. H., Whitworth, T., & Nowlin, W. D. (1995). On the meridional extent and fronts of
 the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, 42(5), 641–673.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(95)00021-W
- Park, Y. H., Gambéroni, L., & Charriaud, E. (1991). Frontal structure and transport of the
 Antarctic Circumpolar Current in the south Indian Ocean sector, 40–80°E. *Marine Chemistry*, 35(1–4), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(09)90007-X
- 740 Park, Y. H., Gamberoni, L., & Charriaud, E. (1993). Frontal structure, water masses, and
- circulation in the Crozet Basin. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98(C7), 12361–12385.
- 742 https://doi.org/10.1029/93jc00938
- Park, Y. H., Pollard, R. T., Read, J. F., & Leboucher, V. (2002). A quasi-synoptic view of the
- frontal circulation in the Crozet Basin during the Antares-4 cruise. *Deep-Sea Research*
- 745 Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 49(9–10), 1823–1842.
 746 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00014-0
- Park, Y. H., Roquet, F., Durand, I., & Fuda, J. L. (2008). Large-scale circulation over and
 around the Northern Kerguelen Plateau. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 55(5–7), 566–581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.12.030

- Pitcher, T. J., Morato, T., Hart, P. J., Clark, M. R., Haggan, N., & Santos, R. S. (Eds.). (2008). *Seamounts: ecology, fisheries and conservation*. John Wiley & Sons
- Pollard, R. T., & Read, J. F. (2001). Circulation pathways and transports of the Southern
 Ocean in the vicinity of the Southwest Indian Ridge. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *106*(C2), 2881–2898. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC900090
- Pollard, R. T., Lucas, M. I., & Read, J. F. (2002). Physical controls on biogeochemical
 zonation in the Southern Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49(16), 3289–3305. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00084-X
- Pollard, R. T., Venables, H. J., Read, J. F., & Allen, J. T. (2007). Large-scale circulation
- around the Crozet Plateau controls an annual phytoplankton bloom in the Crozet Basin.
- Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 54(18–20), 1915–1929.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.06.012
- Pollard, R., Sanders, R., Lucas, M., & Statham, P. (2007). The Crozet Natural Iron Bloom and
 Export Experiment (CROZEX). *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 54(18–20), 1905–1914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.07.023
- Pondaven, P., Fravalo, C., Ruiz-Pino, D., Tréguer, P., Quéguiner, B., & Jeandel, C. (1998).
 Modelling the silica pump in the Permanently Open Ocean Zone of the Southern Ocean.
 Journal of Marine Systems, 17(1-4), 587-619.
- Pörtner, H. O., Peck, L., & Somero, G. (2007). Thermal limits and adaptation in marine
 Antarctic ectotherms: An integrative view. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* B: Biological Sciences, 362(1488), 2233–2258.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1947

- Post, A. L., Meijers, A. J. S., Fraser, A. D., Meiners, K. M., Ayers, J., Bindoff, N. ., ...
 Raymond, B. (2014). Environmental setting. In *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean* (pp. 418–421).
- R Core Team. (2018). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Retrieved
 from https://www.r-project.org/
- Raymond, B. (2014). Pelagic Regionalisation. In *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean*,
 418–421.
- Reygondeau, G., & Huettmann, F. (2014). Past, present and future state of pelagic habitats in
 the Antarctic Ocean. *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean*, 397–403.
- Ropert-Coudert, Y., Hindell, M. A., Phillips, R. A., Charrassin, J. B., Trudelle, L., &
 Raymond, B. (2014). Biogeographic Patterns of Birds and Mammals. In *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean* (pp. 418–421).
- Roquet, F., Park, Y. H., Guinet, C., Bailleul, F., & Charrassin, J. B. (2009). Observations of
 the Fawn Trough Current over the Kerguelen Plateau from instrumented elephant seals. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 78(3), 377–393.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.11.017
- Sanial, V., van Beek, P., Lansard, B., D'Ovidio, F., Kestenare, E., Souhaut, M., ... Blain, S.
- 789 (2014). Study of the phytoplankton plume dynamics off the Crozet Islands (Southern
- 790 Ocean): A geochemical-physical coupled approach. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 791 *Oceans*, *119*(4), 2227–2237. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009305
- Sokolov, S., & Rintoul, S. R. (2007). On the relationship between fronts of the Antarctic
 Circumpolar Current and surface chlorophyll concentrations in the Southern Ocean.

 794
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 112(7), 1–17.

 795
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JC004072

- Sokolov, S., & Rintoul, S. R. (2009). Circumpolar structure and distribution of the antarctic
 circumpolar current fronts: 1. Mean98 circumpolar paths. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 114*(11), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC005108
- Spalding, M. D., Fox, H. E., Allen, G. R., Davidson, N., Ferdaña, Z. A., Finlayson, M., ...
 Robertson, J. (2007). Marine Ecoregions of the World: A Bioregionalization of Coastal
 and Shelf Areas. *BioScience*, *57*(7), 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1641/B570707
- Takahashi, K. T., Kawaguchi, S., Kobayashi, M., Hosie, G. W., Fukuchi, M., & Toda, T.
- 803 (2002). Zooplankton distribution patterns in relation to the Antarctic Polar Front Zones
 804 recorded by Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) during 1999/2000 Kaiyo Maru cruise.
 805 *Polar Bioscience*, *15*, 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2011.04.003
- Takahashi, K. T., Hosie, G. W., Kitchener, J. A., McLeod, D. J., Odate, T., & Fukuchi, M.
 (2010). Comparison of zooplankton distribution patterns between four seasons in the
 Indian Ocean sector of the Southern Ocean. *Polar Science*, 4(2), 317–331.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2010.05.002
- Takahashi, K. T., Hosie, G. W., McLeod, D. J., & Kitchener, J. A. (2011). Surface 810 zooplankton distribution patterns during austral summer in the Indian sector of the 811 812 Southern Ocean. south of Australia. Polar Science, 5(2), 134–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2011.04.003 813
- Turner, J., Barrand, N. E., Bracegirdle, T. J., Convey, P., Hodgson, D. A., Jarvis, M., ...
 Klepikov, A. (2014). Antarctic climate change and the environment: an update. *Polar Record*, 50(3), 237–259. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0032247413000296

Venkataramana, V., Anilkumar, N., Naik, R. K., Mishra, R. K., & Sabu, P. (2019).
Temperature and phytoplankton size class biomass drives the zooplankton food web
dynamics in the Indian Ocean sector of the Southern Ocean. *Polar Biology*, *42*(4), 823–
829. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02472-w

821 Appendix

Appendix A. Outputs of the elbow method (A) and the method of the Calinski Harabasz
index (B) which were used was used to choose the optimal number of clusters and determined
the 6 bioregions of our study

825



826