



Kinetic Modeling of the Thermal Destruction of Lewisite

J.-C Lizardo-Huerta, B. Sirjean, Laurent Verdier, R. Fournet,
Pierre-Alexandre Glaude

► To cite this version:

J.-C Lizardo-Huerta, B. Sirjean, Laurent Verdier, R. Fournet, Pierre-Alexandre Glaude. Kinetic Modeling of the Thermal Destruction of Lewisite. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2020, 398, pp.123086. 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123086 . hal-02908202

HAL Id: hal-02908202

<https://hal.science/hal-02908202>

Submitted on 28 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Kinetic Modeling of the Thermal Destruction of Lewisite

J.-C. Lizardo-Huerta¹, B. Sirjean¹, L. Verdier², R. Fournet¹, P.-A. Glaude^{1*}

¹ Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés, CNRS, Université de Lorraine

1 rue Grandville BP 20451 54001 Nancy Cedex, France

² DGA Maîtrise NRBC, Site du Bouchet, 5 rue Lavoisier, BP n°3, 91710 Vert le Petit, France

Corresponding author :

Pierre-Alexandre Glaude

Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés

1 rue Grandville BP 20451 54001 Nancy Cedex,

France

Email: pierre-alexandre.glaude@univ-lorraine.fr

Abstract

Organoarsenic compounds have been widely used as pesticides and chemical agents. Lewisite ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}_3$), a blister agent, is a model of such compounds. A comprehensive detailed kinetic mechanism of combustion has been developed based on theoretical investigations. A benchmark allowed to select an appropriate methodology able to deal with such a heavy atom as As with precision and reasonable computational times. The density functional theory (DFT) method $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ was found to give the best results on target data. Core pseudo potentials were used for arsenic with the cc-pVTZ-PP basis set, whereas Def2-TZVP basis set was used for other atoms. The mechanism of the decomposition of lewisite includes all reactions involved in thermal decomposition and combustion mechanisms, including molecular and radical intermediates, and the decomposition reactions of small species containing arsenic. Simulation shows that lewisite decomposition starts around 700K and is very little sensitive to the presence of oxygen since the radical reactions involve mainly very reactive Cl-atoms as chain carriers. The main reaction paths have been derived. As experimentally observed, AsCl_3 is the main arsenic product produced almost in one-to-one yield, whereas acetylene is an important hydrocarbon product in pyrolysis. In combustion, several arsenic oxides, eventually chlorinated, are produced, which toxicity need to be assessed.

Keywords: lewisite, organoarsenic, decontamination, kinetic modelling, theoretical chemistry.

Introduction

Organic arsenical compounds have been widely used as pesticides or herbicides, e.g. the Blue Agent containing cacodylic acid or the Yellow Agent (HL), which is the eutectic mixture of Sulfur mustard (HD) and Lewisite (L) (Stone et al., 2016). This latter, the 2-chloroethenylarsonous dichloride ($C_2H_2AsCl_3$), has been manufactured as a chemical warfare agent used as vesicant and lung irritant (Baert and Danel, 2004; Gupta, 2015; Radke et al., 2014). Lewisite is also considered in the top of chemical agents for potential small-scale terrorist attacks because of its relatively simple synthesis, its severe health impact and the simplicity of dispersion (Shea and Gottron, 2004). The decontamination of material as well as the destruction of stockpiles and unexploded ammunitions still found on battlefields (Sanderson et al., 2014) by thermal treatments requires the development of highly safe processes based on well-defined kinetics (Bunnett et al., 1998). Lewisite is an oily colorless liquid with a geranium-like smell in its pure form but may have a color ranging from yellow to black depending on the amount of impurities. Lewisite has a high boiling point (about $190^{\circ}C$) and a melting point of $-18^{\circ}C$ which can vary depending on the purity (Radke et al., 2014) and is considered as persistent in contaminated soils (Sanderson et al., 2007). The blistering effect has been estimated to appear with doses as little as 2 ml on skin or vapor exposure in air from 0.06 to 0.33 mg/L, associated with a systemic toxicity, which can be lethal within several hours (National Research Council (US) Subcommittee on Chronic Reference Doses for Selected Chemical Warfare Agents, 1999). LD_{50} has been measured at a value of 50 mg/kg for the rat, while a NOAEL (no-observed-adverse-effect level) between 0.5 and 1.0 mg/kg/day was obtained from the rat for a 90-day oral subchronic toxicity (National Research Council (US) Subcommittee on Chronic Reference Doses for Selected Chemical Warfare Agents, 1999).

Lewisite, formed by the addition of trichloroarsine ($AsCl_3$) to acetylene (C_2H_2) catalyzed by a Lewis acid, is a mixture of the *cis* and *trans* isomers in a proportion of about 10% of the *cis*

isomer. It was found that the toxicity of the two isomers was identical and that it was therefore not necessary to separate them during the manufacture process. Smith *et al.* (Smith *et al.*, 1995) identified in mixtures the "geminal" isomer dichloro (1-chlorovinyl) arsine; this third isomer was not observed previously because of its very low amount (<1%). Urban and von Tersch (Urban and von Tersch, 1999) performed a conformational analysis of lewisite isomers using ab-initio calculations and found that the trans isomer has an electronic energy lower than the cis isomer by about 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹, whereas the geminal isomer, is located at about 1 kcal mol⁻¹ above the trans form. Early works on destruction of lewisite showed that the combustion products include acetylene, acetylene chloride and dichloride, arsenic trichloride AsCl₃, chlorine, methyl and vinyl chloride (Baronian, 1988). AsCl₃ was found to be approximatively produced in a one-to-one ratio with lewisite. This somewhat stable species has been found also in large amounts during the combustion of contaminated sawdust containing both arsenic and chlorine (Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Detoxification of lewisite was theoretically studied by Sahu *et al.* (Sahu *et al.*, 2013), who investigated the use of 2,3-dimercaptopropanol, an antidote called "British anti-lewisite". They found that the main detoxification reaction involves the breaking of a As—Cl bond to form a new As—S bond producing a non-toxic stable species (2-methyl-1,3,2-dithio arsolan-4-yl methanol). Zhang *et al.* (Zhang *et al.*, 2014) investigated theoretically the reaction of OH radicals with lewisite. The reactions envisaged involved H and Cl-atom abstractions as well as an addition followed by an atom elimination. The most favorable reaction was found to be the addition-elimination yielding AsCl₂ radical and CHClCH₂O. The present work aims go beyond these studies by performing a comprehensive theoretical study of the thermal decomposition and of the combustion of lewisite to deduce its chemical pathways of decomposition as well as the most sensitive reactions during its gas-phase reaction.

Computational methods

Arsenic contains a large number of electrons ($Z = 33$) and the calculation methods used for the elements of the first rows for such thermochemical investigations in combustion (Khalifa et al., 2015; Lizardo-Huerta et al., 2018) are unsuitable. It is necessary to consider the relativistic effects due to the core electrons, which are generally described by pseudo-potentials in the orbital bases. A benchmark from methods proposed in the literature to treat heavy atoms such as arsenic was performed to determine the best compromise between computation time and precision. This benchmark focused on the calculation of known enthalpies of formation for molecules containing As. Enthalpies of formation were calculated at 298 K for a set of molecules (As_2 , AsH , AsH_2 , AsH_3 , AsF , AsF_2 and AsF_3) and compared to values from Feller et al. (Feller et al., 2011) taken as references. These authors performed calculations at the CCSD(T)/cc-pV6Z level, which is a very powerful method for taking into account the electron correlation, with a very large basis set and some adjustments to take into account an infinite basis extrapolation as well as the relativistic effects. However, such a calculation cost is far too high for lewisite, which also contains carbon and chlorine atoms.

First, we checked the performance of four density functionals (B3LYP, M06-2X, mPWLYP and ω B97X-D), associated with extended basis sets 6-311++G(3df,3pd) and Def2-TZVP. Enthalpies of formation were derived by atomization and compared to the dataset calculated by Feller et al. Calculations were performed with Gaussian09 (Frisch et al., 2009). Table 1 indicates deviations and the mean quadratic deviations from the reference data for the seven molecules for each level of theory. The ω B97X-D method with the Def2-TZVP basis set appears to produce the lowest deviation from reference data. Furthermore, the Def2-TZVP basis set makes it possible to reach the infinite basis energy (Weigend and Ahlrichs, 2005) for an affordable calculation cost. The Def2-TZVP basis set is recommended for DFT calculations of light elements and transition elements up to krypton. However, a large number of heavy atoms leads to a considerable increase in computation time. A solution is to use the Def2-TZVP basis set for atoms other than arsenic and a specific base for As with a pseudo-

potential for describing the frozen core electrons and which accounts also for relativistic effects. To select the appropriate electron core potential (ECP), tests were performed at the ω B97X-D level of theory considering different basis sets for arsenic, in which the number of frozen core electrons varies.

Table 1: Deviation and mean-square deviation of enthalpies of formation at 298K of the dataset calculated with different functionals and basis sets compared to reference data from (Feller et al., 2011) (kcal mol^{-1}).

| | AsH | AsH ₂ | AsH ₃ | AsF | AsF ₂ | AsF ₃ | As ₂ | MSD |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|
| $\Delta H_{f,298K}(\text{Feller et al., 2011})$ | 56.8 \pm 0.1 | 39.5 \pm 0.1 | 16.9 \pm 0.2 | -9.4 \pm 0.2 | -96.8 \pm 0.3 | -195.0 \pm 0.6 | 45.6 \pm 0.3 | |
| method | Basis set: 6-311++G(3df.3pd) | | | | | | | |
| B3LYP | -0.7 | -2.1 | -1.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 3.2 |
| M06-2X | 2.8 | 6.2 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 11.6 |
| mPWLYP | -1.4 | -3.2 | -2.4 | -7.4 | -13.2 | -13.5 | -4.6 | 8.1 |
| ω B97X-D | -0.7 | -2.9 | -3.0 | -1.1 | -2.4 | -0.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| | Basis set: Def2-TZVP | | | | | | | |
| B3LYP | -0.5 | -1.9 | -1.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 3.5 |
| M06-2X | 2.3 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 20.3 | 9.2 |
| mPWLYP | -1.3 | -3.1 | -2.4 | -7.0 | -12.8 | -13.2 | -4.4 | 7.8 |
| ω B97X-D | -0.6 | -2.6 | -2.6 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 |

Six basis sets have been tested and the corresponding ECPs for arsenic have been retrieved from the EMSL Basis Set Exchange (Schuchardt et al., 2007). The number of core frozen electrons for arsenic are 10, 18 and 28 electrons depending on the basis set. The results presented in Table 2 give the differences between the present calculations and those obtained in (Feller et al., 2011). Remember that for all the elements except As, the Def2-TZVP basis set is used. It can be seen that freezing 28 electrons produces large deviations compared to the values of Feller *et al.* (SDD and LANL2DZ). The CRENBLe base, in which 18 electrons are frozen, gives good results for the lightest species (AsH_n), but becomes much less efficient for the heavier species (As₂ and AsF_n). Methods freezing 10 electrons (cc-pVTZ-PP) lead to a good agreement with the literature, for all the species considered. Eventually, the ω B97X-D/cc-pVTZ-PP level of theory was adopted for arsenic and ω B97X-D/ Def2-TZVP level of theory for other atoms because of the favorable balance between precision and computation

time. This is an important criterion given the number of heavy atoms in lewisite and calculations needed to describe the thermochemistry of this compound.

Table 2: Deviation of enthalpies of formation at 298K calculated at the ω B97X-D level of theory using ECPs compared to data from (Feller et al., 2011) (kcal mol^{-1}).

| Level of theory ω B97X-D/ Number of frozen electrons in As | AsH | AsH ₂ | AsH ₃ | AsF | AsF ₂ | AsF ₃ | As ₂ | <i>MSD</i> | |
|---|-----|------------------|------------------|------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|------|
| cc-pVTZ-PP | 10 | -0.2 | -1.7 | -1.0 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| cc-pVQZ-PP | 10 | -0.4 | -2.0 | -1.4 | -1.3 | -2.9 | -0.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| cc-pV5Z-PP | 10 | -0.4 | -2.0 | -1.5 | -1.5 | -3.2 | -1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| CRENBL | 18 | 0.8 | -0.5 | -0.6 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 11.3 | 27.4 | 11.4 |
| SDD | 28 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 21.5 | 32.9 | 31.9 | 20.2 |
| LANL2DZ | 28 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 13.0 | 21.5 | 42.7 | 68.9 | 36.2 | 35.2 |

Pronicheva et al. (Pronicheva et al., 1992) have experimentally determined the structural parameters of the *trans* isomer of lewisite via gas-phase electron diffraction. These values are presented in Table 3, as well as that calculated by Saeidian and Sahandi (Saeidian and Sahandi, 2015), at the B3LYP/6-311++G(3df, 3pd) level of theory, and our calculations at the ω B97X-D/Def2-TZVP level of theory, which agree with the experimental results.

Table 3: Experimental and calculated structural parameters of *trans*-lewisite and error with respect to experimental data for the ω B97X-D/Def2-TZVP level of theory.

| Geometrical parameters | Experimental (Pronicheva et al., 1992) | B3LYP/6- 311++G(3df,3pd) (Saeidian and Sahandi, 2015) | ω B97X-D/ Def2-TZVP | Error (%) |
|------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Bond lengths (Å) | | | | |
| As—C | 1.891 | 1.943 | 1.933 | 2.2 |
| As—Cl | 2.197 | 2.209 | 2.184 | -0.6 |
| C=C | 1.374 | 1.325 | 1.322 | -3.8 |
| C—H | 0.961 | 1.082 | 1.083 | 12.7 |
| C—Cl | 1.729 | 1.730 | 1.723 | -0.3 |
| Bond angles (°) | | | | |
| CAsCl | 101.1 | 96.7 | 96.7 | -4.4 |
| ClAsC | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 0.2 |
| CCAs | 121 | 117.7 | 117.3 | -3.0 |
| CCH | 127.8 | 122.7 | 122.7 | -4.0 |
| ClCC | 121.3 | 123.8 | 123.7 | 2.0 |
| Dihedral ClAsCC | 162.1 | 129.9 | 129.9 | -19.9 |

Most sensitive reactions were studied in this work, as well as the thermochemical data of reactants and intermediates. Electronic structure of the reactants, products and transition states (TS) have been computed with the method described above. An analysis of vibrational frequencies was systematically performed to confirm the nature of the TS and Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate (IRC) approach has been used, when necessary, to ensure the correct connection between a given transition state structure and the reactant and product. In addition, the low frequency vibration modes, corresponding to internal rotations, were treated as hindered rotors instead of harmonic oscillators, using THERMROT software (Lizardo-Huerta et al., 2016). This in-house code allows a one-dimensional hindered rotor (1D-HR) treatment of internal rotations and avoids the coupling of torsional modes encountered in the frequency analysis made by Gaussian09. Note that quantum tunneling was considered using the Eckart approach for reactions involving H-atom transfers. High pressure limit rate constants were eventually calculated as a function of temperature using the Transition State Theory and were fitted using the three-parameter modified Arrhenius equation ($A \times T^n \times \exp(-E_a/RT)$) over the temperature range 300-2000 K.

Kinetic modelling

The model is composed of 3 sub-mechanisms: (i) a combustion mechanism from the laboratory, for C₀-C₂ hydrocarbons and benzene (Nowakowska et al., 2014); (ii) a mechanism proposed by Leylegian et al. to describe the reactions of chlorinated species (Leylegian et al., 1998), with updates for HCl combustion (Pelucchi et al., 2015); (iii) a mechanism specific to the combustion of lewisite developed in this work. In this latter, molecular eliminations, unimolecular and bimolecular initiations, H and Cl-atom abstractions, additions on the double bond, decomposition of the radicals formed, reactions between chlorine and arsenic, and decomposition reactions of light species containing arsenic were included. The rate constants were either taken directly from the literature or theoretically calculated at the ω B97XD/Def2-

TZVP/cc-pVTZ-PP level of theory. The detailed kinetic mechanism has been developed for *trans* and *cis* isomers of lewisite. The bond dissociation energies (BDE) presented in Figure 1 show that the weakest bond is the C—As bond, followed by the As—Cl bond. On the other hand, the C—Cl and C—H bond dissociation energies are the highest because the Cl and H atoms are linked to vinyl carbon atoms.




Figure 1. Chemical structure of (a) *trans* and (b) *cis* lewisite and bond dissociation energies computed at the ω B97XD/Def2-TZVP/cc-pVTZ-P level of theory (kcal mol⁻¹).

Pericyclic reactions. Four-centered eliminations are possible in *cis* and *trans* lewisite by transferring a H and a Cl-atom on the As atom. Both reactions were investigated theoretically. The second reaction, which transfer a Cl-atom, was found to involve a much lower energy barrier, as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Pericyclic decomposition of (a) *trans* and (b) *cis* lewisite with energy barriers at 298 K and theoretically calculated rate constants in cm³, mol, s, cal.

Radical Initiations. The unimolecular initiations involve the break of the C—As, As—Cl, C—Cl and C—H bonds. The kinetic coefficients were estimated from the correlations used in EXGAS (Buda et al., 2005), considering the inverse reactions of combination of the radicals. Bimolecular initiations with O₂ were included in the case of the H-atom abstractions yielding HO₂.

Atom Abstractions. Abstractions of the arsenic-bound chlorine atoms have been considered because of the low BDE compare to carbon-bound chlorine and hydrogen atoms. Reactions with the most reactive atoms and radicals (H, Cl, O, OH, AsCl₂ and CH₃) have been included. The rate constants were theoretically determined in the case of Cl-atom abstractions by OH and AsCl₂ radicals, while for H, Cl, O and CH₃, rate constants were estimated by analogy with CCl₄ (Louis et al., 2004; Huybrechts et al., 1996). The C—Cl BDE in CCl₄ (71.9 kcal mol⁻¹) is of the same order of magnitude as As—Cl BDE in lewisite (73 kcal mol⁻¹). H and Cl-atom abstractions from the carbon atoms were included in a first guess, but were found negligible and were removed. Rate constant are displayed in Table 4.

Table 4: Rate constants of atom abstraction from lewisite, in the form $k = A T^n \exp(-E / RT)$, in cm³, mol, s, cal.

| Reaction | A | n | E | Ref. |
|---|-----------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
| 1. Lewisite+H = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsCl+HCl | 1.57×10 ⁹ | 1.63 | 4340 | (Louis et al., 2004) |
| 2. Lewisite+Cl = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsCl +Cl ₂ | 5.75×10 ¹³ | 0 | 15500 | (Huybrechts et al., 1996) |
| 3. Lewisite+O = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsCl +ClO | 3.00×10 ¹¹ | 0 | 4370 | (Herron, 1988) |
| 4. Lewisite+OH = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsCl +HOCl | 1.30×10 ⁶ | 2.224 | 18150 | This work |
| 5. Lewisite+AsCl ₂ = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsCl +AsCl ₃ | 6.82×10 ² | 3.021 | 12630 | This work |
| 6. Lewisite+CH ₃ = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsCl +CH ₃ Cl | 1.26×10 ¹² | 0 | 9900 | (Matheson et al., 1982) |

Additions on double bond. Additions of OH, O and Cl on the C=C double bond were considered. Rate constants are presented in Table 5. For the reactions with O and Cl, the rate constants were estimated from the inverse reaction of β -scission by analogy with similar reactions in the literature. The rate constant of the addition of OH on the terminal vinyl carbon was calculated to be $2.55 \times 10^{12} T^{0.307} \exp(-35880/RT) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The subsequent reactions of the adduct were investigated theoretically in a comprehensive way. The main channel was found to be an intramolecular isomerization of the adduct followed by a decomposition to $\text{CH}_2=\text{AsCl}_2$ and CHClO . The addition of O and OH on the carbon atom bounded to As leads to the breaking of the weak C—As bond and the formation of AsCl_2 , while the other fragment undergoes a rearrangement to 2-chloro acetaldehyde, as presented in Figure 3. Rate constants were estimated by analogy with similar reactions, unless the addition of OH on the terminal carbon atom involving a higher barrier, which has been calculated theoretically. Rate constants for *cis*-lewisite were estimated as that of the *trans* isomer.




Figure 3. Addition of OH on C-atom bounded to As in lewisite

Table 5: Rate constants of addition to lewisite, in the form $k = A T^n \exp(-E/RT)$, in cm^3 , mol, s, cal.

| Reaction | A | n | E | Ref. |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
| 1. Lewisite+OH = $\text{CH}_2\text{ClCHO} + \text{AsCl}_2$ | 1.37×10^{12} | 0 | -1040 | (Buda et al., 2005) |
| 2. Lewisite+OH = ClCH(OH)CHAsCl_2 | 2.55×10^{12} | 0.307 | 35880 | This work |
| 3. Lewisite+O = $\text{CH}_2\text{AsCl}_2 + \text{CClO}$ | 1.32×10^8 | 1.55 | 430 | (Tsang and Hampson, 1986) |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|------|-------------------------|
| 4. Lewisite+O = CHClCHO +AsCl ₂ | 3.27×10 ¹² | 0 | 670 | (Zhang et al., 2007) |
| 5. Lewisite+Cl = CCl ₂ HCHAsCl ₂ | 3.16×10 ¹² | 0 | 1000 | (Ashmore et al., 1982) |
| 6. Lewisite+Cl = ClCHCH(Cl)AsCl ₂ | 1.82×10 ¹⁵ | 0 | 3990 | (Ayscough et al., 1961) |

Additions of arsenic radicals on O₂. The main arsenic radicals, i.e. ClCHCHAsCl and AsCl₂, react slowly by decomposition, which permits to react with O₂ to form peroxy radicals ClCHCHAsClOO and AsCl₂OO (reactions 1 and 4 in Table 6). These radicals can isomerize by an internal Cl-atom transfer from arsenic toward the O-atom (reactions 2 and 5) and therefore decompose (reactions 3 and 6). ClCHCHAsClOO radical can either react by an intramolecular H-atom transfer yielding ClC₂HAsClOOH (reaction 7), followed by a radical β-scission (reaction 8). AsClOOH decomposes very easily into AsOCl and OH radical (reaction 9). Rate constants were determined theoretically except the barrierless additions 1 and 4, which were estimated by analogy with the reaction of CCl₃ (Russell et al., 1990).

Table 6: Reactions of arsenic radicals with O₂, rate constants in the form $k=AT^n\exp(-E/RT)$, in cm³, mol, s, cal.

| Reaction | A | n | E | Ref. |
|---|-----------------------|--------|-------|------------------------|
| 1. AsCl ₂ +O ₂ = AsCl ₂ OO | 7.00×10 ²⁰ | -3.6 | 0 | (Russell et al., 1990) |
| 2. AsCl ₂ OO = AsClOOCl | 1.13×10 ¹¹ | 0.437 | 58970 | This work |
| 3. AsClOOCl = AsOCl+ClO | 7.03×10 ¹¹ | 0.517 | 3480 | This work |
| 4. ClCHCHAsCl +O ₂ = ClCHCHAsClOO | 7.00×10 ²⁰ | -3.6 | 0 | (Russell et al., 1990) |
| 5. ClCHCHAsClOO = ClCHCHAsOOCl | 1.13×10 ¹¹ | 0.437 | 58970 | This work |
| 6. ClCHCHAsOOCl = ClC ₂ H ₂ AsO +ClO | 7.03×10 ¹¹ | 0.517 | 3480 | This work |
| 7. ClCHCHAsClOO = ClC ₂ HAsClOOH | 5.25×10 ⁰¹ | 2.758 | 24920 | This work |
| 8. ClC ₂ HAsClOOH = C ₂ HCl+AsClOOH | 1.40×10 ¹⁵ | -0.228 | 14170 | This work |
| 9. AsClOOH = AsOCl+OH | 4.89×10 ¹² | 0.349 | 8960 | This work |

Decomposition of radicals. The different radicals formed in the primary mechanism of the combustion of lewisite are decomposed by isomerization, cis/trans interconversions and β-

scissions. ClCHCHAsCl radical, produced by unimolecular initiation of lewisite, can be converted to cis-ClCHCHAsCl (reaction 1 in Table 7), followed by an internal transfer of Cl (reaction 2). The product then decomposes into acetylene and AsCl₂ by a β -scission (reaction 3). ClCHCHAsCl radical can also we by internal H-atom transfer (reaction 4) followed by a β -scission of the product, into C₂HCl and AsClH (reaction 5). ClCCHAsCl₂ decomposes by β -scission into C₂HCl and AsCl₂ (reaction 6). ClCHCClHAsCl₂ produced by the addition of a Cl-atom on the double bond decomposes to dichloroethylene and AsCl₂ (reaction 7). Theoretically computed rate constants are presented in Table 7.

Terminations. Combinations of the radicals AsCl₂, AsClH and CHCHCl with each other or with H, OH and Cl are considered. The corresponding kinetic parameters are those used in the hydrocarbon studies and involve a zero activation barrier(Buda et al., 2005).

Table 7: Rate constants of decomposition of the radicals derived from lewisite, in the form $k=AT^n\exp(-E/RT)$, in cm³, mol, s, cal.

| Reaction | A | n | E | Ref. |
|--|-----------------------|--------|-------|-----------|
| 1. ClCHCHAsCl = cis-ClCHCHAsCl | 2.08×10^{12} | 0.354 | 29130 | This work |
| 2. cis-ClCHCHAsCl = C ₂ H ₂ AsCl ₂ | 2.45×10^{13} | 0.002 | 51090 | This work |
| 3. C ₂ H ₂ AsCl ₂ = C ₂ H ₂ + AsCl ₂ | 4.36×10^{13} | 0.119 | 8270 | This work |
| 4. ClCHCHAsCl = ClCCHAsClH | 2.79×10^7 | 1,695 | 48470 | This work |
| 5. ClCCHAsClH = C ₂ HCl + AsClH | 1.17×10^{14} | 0.002 | 15110 | This work |
| 6. ClCCHAsCl ₂ = C ₂ HCl + AsCl ₂ | 1.40×10^{15} | -0.228 | 14170 | This work |
| 7. ClCHCClHAsCl ₂ = CHClCHCl+AsCl ₂ | 3.63×10^{12} | 0.175 | 6280 | This work |

The thermochemical properties were taken from the literature in the case of species involved in the hydrocarbon and chlorine sub-mechanisms, and theoretically calculated for lewisite and related arsenic containing products. The theoretically calculated thermochemical data of the main arsenical species are presented in Table 8.


Table 8: Thermochemical properties of lewisite and related species determined at the ω B97XD / Def2-TZVP / cc-pVTZ-PP level of theory.

| $\Delta_f H_{298}^\circ$ | S_{298}° | $C_p^\circ(T)$ (calmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|

| | (kcal mol ⁻¹) | (calmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹) | 300 K | 500 K | 800 K | 1000 K | 1500 K | 2000 K |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| <i>trans</i> -lewisite | -23.96 | 97.23 | 27.47 | 31.82 | 35.60 | 37.12 | 39.43 | 40.69 |
| <i>cis</i> -lewisite | -23.49 | 93.94 | 26.28 | 32.13 | 36.53 | 38.01 | 39.85 | 40.71 |
| <i>trans</i> -ClCH=CH-AsCl | 18.15 | 86.66 | 22.88 | 25.42 | 27.35 | 28.81 | 30.77 | 32.03 |
| <i>cis</i> -ClCH=CH-AsCl | 18.08 | 86.25 | 22.01 | 25.26 | 27.74 | 29.60 | 31.95 | 33.12 |
| ClC=CH-AsCl ₂ | 31.51 | 99.85 | 26.02 | 28.16 | 29.73 | 30.87 | 32.37 | 33.34 |
| <i>trans</i> -ClCH=CH-AsO | 12.90 | 82.57 | 20.93 | 25.93 | 30.36 | 32.00 | 34.12 | 35.22 |
| <i>cis</i> -ClCH=CH-AsO | 14.50 | 81.95 | 21.06 | 26.79 | 30.98 | 32.26 | 34.04 | 35.04 |
| HOC(Cl)=CH-AsCl ₂ | -85.20 | 105.67 | 31.02 | 36.66 | 40.52 | 41.98 | 44.42 | 45.64 |
| AsCl | 48.53 | 58.52 | 8.33 | 8.69 | 8.84 | 8.87 | 8.91 | 8.93 |
| AsCl ₂ | -22.44 | 71.75 | 12.64 | 13.48 | 13.71 | 13.74 | 13.85 | 13.88 |
| AsCl ₃ | -65.29 | 80.28 | 17.88 | 19.22 | 19.56 | 19.60 | 19.78 | 19.82 |
| AsClH | 9.66 | 64.38 | 10.06 | 11.23 | 12.18 | 12.59 | 13.20 | 13.49 |
| AsClH ₂ | -7.18 | 64.19 | 11.27 | 12.66 | 13.79 | 14.71 | 16.08 | 17.02 |
| AsCl ₂ H | -34.57 | 72.50 | 14.15 | 16.35 | 17.77 | 18.27 | 19.04 | 19.40 |
| AsOCl | -36.96 | 66.71 | 11.53 | 12.63 | 13.30 | 13.48 | 13.71 | 13.80 |
| HOAsO | -66.31 | 65.85 | 12.80 | 14.56 | 15.91 | 16.90 | 18.10 | 18.60 |
| AsCl ₂ OH | -94.20 | 79.37 | 19.91 | 22.67 | 23.44 | 23.44 | 23.82 | 24.08 |

Simulations

The model of lewisite combustion is composed of 320 species and 1749 reactions. The reactivity of this toxic under pyrolysis or combustion conditions was simulated in an isothermal closed reactor, with the Chemkin II software (Kee et al., 1993). The conditions were temperatures between 600 and 1100 K, a pressure of 1 bar and the residence time between 0 and 2 s. In pyrolysis, the initial concentration of lewisite (blend 90% *trans* + 10% *cis* lewisite) is 5% in N₂. In combustion, the same concentration was considered in air, which corresponds to an equivalence ratio of 0.67. The stoichiometry in combustion was set according to the following reaction:



Arsenic final products were set according to thermochemical equilibrium and to insure chlorine and hydrogen atom balance.

Figure 4 shows the conversion of lewisite in pyrolysis and combustion as a function of temperature, for a residence time of 2 s. Lewisite shows identical reactivity both in pyrolysis and combustion, with an onset of decomposition at 700 K and a total conversion around

820 K. As discussed below, the absence of difference is due to the importance of unimolecular decomposition and of the role of Cl-atom as chain carrier, whereas oxygen influence mostly the oxidation of light carbon containing products at temperatures, at which lewisite is already decomposed.




Figure 4. Conversion of 5 % lewisite in nitrogen and in air in a close vessel. $P = 1$ bar, $\tau = 2$ s.

The detailed speciation in pyrolysis and in combustion as a function of temperature are presented in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. In pyrolysis, lewisite starts to decompose around 700 K. The major product over all the temperature range is AsCl_3 , which is obtained in about one-to-one ratio observed experimentally in the literature (Baronian, 1988). At high temperature, the amount of the little reactive AsCl_2 radical becomes noticeable. Acetylene is an important product that reaches a maximum around 950 K, and reacts mainly to form vinylacetylene C_4H_4 when temperature increases. Other important intermediates are chloroacetylene C_2HCl , dichloroethylene CHClCHCl and HCl , in accordance with experimental observations (Baronian, 1988). In combustion, the main decomposition product is again AsCl_3 , but the typical products of the combustion of hydrocarbons are produced in large amounts (CO , CO_2 and H_2O). Other important arsenic containing products are AsOCl , HOAsO , while a lot of hydrochloric acid is present at high temperature. Intermediates are C_2HCl , C_2H_2 , CHClCHCl as in pyrolysis, and AsCl_2OH . Note the two maxima of

chloroacetylene C_2HCl profile: a first peak around 850 K results from lewisite decomposition through the formation of the chlorovinyl radical $CHCHCl$, a second around 950 K is due to the decomposition of 1,2-dichloroethylene $CHClCHCl$, which is one the main primary products of lewisite and is known to produces mono chloroacetylene (Goodall and Howlett, 1956).

Most of these products induce environmental and toxicological concerns. Trichloroarsine $AsCl_3$ is at ambient conditions a colorless liquid, with a vapor pressure around 1500 Pa at 25°C (Stull, 1947). The oral rat LD50 is 138 mg/kg and the fatal human dose lies between 70 and 180 mg depending on weight (Cheremisinoff, 1999). $AsCl_3$ produces chromosomal aberrations and reproductive effects on mice (Nagymajtényi et al., 1985). In presence of organic matter, it can lead to organic arsenicals such as methyldichloroarsine, which are vesicant and systemic poisons (Gupta, 2015). Inorganic arsenic oxides are often considered to have a toxicity close to arsenic. Arsenic trioxide As_2O_3 would be fatal to human at a dose of 120 mg (Baronian, 1988). Toxicology of arsenic oxychloride $AsOCl$ and of chloroacetylene C_2HCl have not been studied because of their high reactivity but both are known as toxic. The latter is highly toxic and spontaneously flammable in contact with air (Pohanish, 2008).




Figure 5: Pyrolysis of 5% lewisite in N_2 in a close vessel as a function of temperature. $P = 1$ bar, $\tau = 2$ s.



Figure 6: Combustion of lewisite in air ($\Phi=0.67$) in a close vessel as a function of temperature. $P = 1$ bar, $\tau = 2$ s.

Reaction pathways are investigated in pyrolysis and combustion. A flow analysis is presented in Figure 7, in conditions of Figures 5 and 6, at a temperature of 973 K representative of incineration conditions. The residence time of 0.4 ms corresponds to a conversion around 30%. It appears that *cis* and *trans*-lewisite decompose mainly through three pathways: by unimolecular initiation by breaking the C—As bond, by a Cl-atom abstraction from the arsenic atom by the radical AsCl_2 and by addition of the Cl-atom on the double bond. Unimolecular initiation leads to the formation of AsCl_2 and chlorovinyl radicals. AsCl_2 radicals participates in the conversion of lewisite through the Cl-atom abstractions. The chlorovinyl radical is decomposed by β -scission into C_2H_2 (100% of the flux in pyrolysis and 85% in combustion). In oxidation, a fraction of chlorovinyl (15%) leads to the formation of oxygenated and chlorinated products.




Figure 7: Flow analysis of decomposition of lewisite under pyrolysis and combustion conditions. $T=973$ K, $P = 1$ bar, $\tau = 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ s. Numbers correspond to the percentage in the flux of consumption of a species. Numbers in parenthesis correspond to pyrolysis.

The decomposition by Cl-atom abstraction leads to the formation of the ClCHCHAsCl radical. This radical is stabilized by resonance, which favors a possible *cis/trans* interconversion. The *cis*- $\text{ClC}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}$ radical isomerizes mainly by an internal Cl-atom transfer to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}_2$ radical, which formation is not possible from the *trans*- $\text{ClC}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}$. $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}_2$ decomposes thereafter by β -scission into C_2H_2 and AsCl_2 . Note that part of the *cis*- $\text{ClC}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}$ radical yields back *cis*-lewisite by combination with a chlorine atom. Regarding products of the addition of chlorine to lewisite, CHClCHClAsCl_2 radicals decomposes by β -scission into AsCl_2 and 1,2-dichlorethylene (CHCl=CHCl). The latter decomposes mainly in HCl and C_2HCl by abstractions of a H-atom by a Cl-atom, followed by

the β -scission of the radical produced. In combustion, $\text{CHCl}=\text{CHCl}$ decomposes also by addition of a H atom on the double bond, followed by β -scission, atom abstraction and oxidation reactions to finally yield formaldehyde and CClO . It can be seen that the main reactions pathways of decomposition of lewisite are the unimolecular decomposition and radical reactions involving Cl-atoms and AsCl_2 radicals as chain carriers in both pyrolysis and combustion. This explains the almost identical reactivity of lewisite whatever the oxygen content. This latter reacts only with hydrocarbon products and leads to the formation of combustion products. Note however that some chlorinated arsenic oxides are produced in combustion in addition to AsCl_3 as arsenic containing products, which toxicity needs to be assessed. The most sensitive reaction in all conditions is the initial $\text{C}-\text{As}$ bond breaking, and in a much smaller extend, the Cl-atom abstraction by AsCl_2 , and the decomposition of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Cl}$ into acetylene and Cl, which regenerate the chain carrier. The most inhibiting reactions are the combinations of Cl-atom and $\text{ClC}_2\text{H}_2\text{AsCl}$ yielding back *trans* and *cis*-lewisite.

Another flow analysis was performed in combustion in air at 773K for an equivalent conversion of 30%, corresponding to a residence time of 0.7s. The global reaction scheme remains unchanged (Figure 8), but propagation chain length increases and Cl-atom abstractions by AsCl_2 accounts for 56% of lewisite consumption, while unimolecular decomposition ratio decreases to 20%. Another notable difference is the addition of the produced radical ClCHCHAsCl on O_2 that accounts for 8% of its consumption. After an internal Cl-atom shift, it decomposes into ClO and ClCHCHAs=O . This new channel has no kinetic influence on the global reactivity but is a source of arsenic oxide that can be important at low temperature. Note that the most sensitive reaction remains as at high temperature the Cl-atom abstraction from lewisite and the unimolecular $\text{Ac}-\text{Cl}$ bond breaking.

experimental observation that lewisite yields AsCl_3 as the main arsenic product, but also arsenic oxides of potential high toxicity, such as AsOCl . Reaction of these small species, which will be present together during some solid combustion, such as coal or wastes, would deserve further investigations. The proposed methodology could also be applied to determine kinetics of contaminants such as pesticides or organoarsenic produced by microbial reduction of arsenic salts in municipal landfill (Li et al., 2011).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DGA Maîtrise NRBC. HPC resources were provide by the EXPLOR centre hosted by the University of Lorraine and by IDRIS under the allocation 2018-A0010807249 made by GENCI.

References

- Ashmore, P.G., Owen, A.J., Robinson, P.J., 1982. Chlorine-catalysed pyrolysis of 1,2-dichloroethane. Part 2.—Unimolecular decomposition of the 1,2-dichloroethyl radical and its reverse reaction. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1* 78, 677–693. <https://doi.org/10.1039/F19827800677>
- Ayscough, P.B., Cocker, A.J., Dainton, F.S., Hirst, S., Weston, M., 1961. Excited chloroethyl radicals $C_2H_2Cl_5-x$. *Proc. Chem. Soc., London* 244.
- Baert, A., Danel, V., 2004. *Armes chimiques*. EMC - Toxicol.-Pathol. 1, 117–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emctp.2004.03.001>
- Baronian, C., 1988. Chemical Stockpile Disposal Program: Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. [U.S. Army Ordnance], Program Executive Officer-Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization.
- Buda, F., Bounaceur, R., Warth, V., Glaude, P.A., Fournet, R., Battin-Leclerc, F., 2005. Progress toward a unified detailed kinetic model for the autoignition of alkanes from C4 to C10 between 600 and 1200 K. *Combust. Flame* 142, 170–186.
- Bunnett, J.F., Mikołajczyk, M., Division, N.A.T.O.S. and E.A., 1998. Arsenic and old mustard: chemical problems in the destruction of old arsenical and “mustard” munitions. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Cheremisinoff, N.P., 1999. *Handbook of Industrial Toxicology and Hazardous Materials*. CRC Press.
- Feller, D., Vasiliu, M., Grant, D.J., Dixon, D.A., 2011. Thermodynamic Properties of Arsenic Compounds and the Heat of Formation of the As Atom from High Level Electronic Structure Calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 115, 14667–14676.
- Frisch, M., Trucks, G., Schlegel, H., Scuseria, G., Robb, M., Cheeseman, J., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H., Izmaylov, A., Bloino, J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Montgomery, J., Peralta, J., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K., Staroverov, V., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A., Burant, J., Iyengar, S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J., Klene, M., Knox, J., Cross, J., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R., Yazyev, O., Austin, A., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J., Martin, R., Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V., Voth, G., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A., Farkas, Foresman, J., Ortiz, J., Cioslowski, J., Fox, D., 2009. Gaussian 09, Revision D.01.
- Goodall, A.M., Howlett, K.E., 1956. 520. The pyrolysis of chloroalkenes. Part III. The molecular mode of decomposition of the 1 : 2-dichloroethylenes. *J. Chem. Soc.* 2640–2646. <https://doi.org/10.1039/JR9560002640>
- Gupta, R.C., 2015. *Handbook of toxicology of chemical warfare agents*. Academic Press.
- Herron, J.T., 1988. Evaluated Chemical Kinetic Data for the Reactions of Atomic Oxygen O(3P) with Saturated Organic Compounds in the Gas Phase. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 17, 967–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555810>
- Huybrechts, G., Narmon, M., Van Mele, B., 1996. The pyrolysis of CCl_4 and C_2Cl_6 in the gas phase. Mechanistic modeling by thermodynamic and kinetic parameter estimation. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 28, 27–36.
- Kee, R.J., Rupley, F.M., Miller, J.A., 1993. CHEMKIN II. A Fortran Chemical Kinetics Package for the Analysis of Gas-Phase Chemical Kinetics. Sandia Laboratories Report, SAND 89-8009B.

- Khalifa, A., Ferrari, M., Fournet, R., Sirjean, B., Verdier, L., Glaude, P.A., 2015. Quantum Chemical Study of the Thermochemical Properties of Organophosphorous Compounds. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 119, 10527–10539.
- Leylegian, J.C., Zhu, D.L., Law, C.K., Wang, H., 1998. Experiments and Numerical Simulation on the Laminar Flame Speeds of Dichloromethane and Trichloromethane. *Combust. Flame* 114, 285–293.
- Li, Y., Low, G.K.-C., Scott, J.A., Amal, R., 2011. Microbial transformation of arsenic species in municipal landfill leachate. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 188, 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.093>
- Lizardo-Huerta, J.C., Sirjean, B., Bounaceur, R., Fournet, R., 2016. Intramolecular effects on the kinetics of unimolecular reactions of β -HOROO \cdot and HOQ \cdot OOH radicals. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18, 12231–12251.
- Lizardo-Huerta, J.-C., Sirjean, B., Verdier, L., Fournet, R., Glaude, P.-A., 2018. Thermal Decomposition of Phosgene and Diphosgene. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 122, 249–257.
- Louis, F., Gonzalez, C.A., Sawerysyn, J.-P., 2004. Direct Combined ab Initio/Transition State Theory Study of the Kinetics of the Abstraction Reactions of Halogenated Methanes with Hydrogen Atoms. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 108, 10586–10593.
- Matheson, I., Tedder, J., Sidebottom, H., 1982. Photolysis of carbon tetrachloride in the presence of alkanes. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 14, 1033–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550140908>
- Nagymajtényi, L., Selyes, A., Berencsi, G., 1985. Chromosomal aberrations and fetotoxic effects of atmospheric arsenic exposure in mice. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 5, 61–63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.2550050204>
- National Research Council (US) Subcommittee on Chronic Reference Doses for Selected Chemical Warfare Agents, 1999. Appendix F, Health Risk Assessment for Lewisite, Review of the U.S. Army's Health Risk Assessments For Oral Exposure to Six Chemical-Warfare Agents. National Academies Press (US).
- Nowakowska, M., Herbinet, O., Dufour, A., Glaude, P.-A., 2014. Detailed kinetic study of anisole pyrolysis and oxidation to understand tar formation during biomass combustion and gasification. *Combust. Flame* 161, 1474–1488.
- Pelucchi, M., Frassoldati, A., Faravelli, T., Ruscic, B., Glarborg, P., 2015. High-temperature chemistry of HCl and Cl₂. *Combust. Flame* 162, 2693–2704.
- Pohanish, R.P., 2008. Sittig's Handbook of Toxic and Hazardous Chemicals and Carcinogens, 5th Edition. William Andrew.
- Pronicheva, L.D., Kuchkaev, B.I., Knyazev, B.A., 1992. Electron diffraction study of structure and internal rotation in molecule of β -chlorovinylchloroarsine. *J. Struct. Chem.* 33, 673–677. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00747073>
- Radke, B., Jewell, L., Piketh, S., Namieśnik, J., 2014. Arsenic-Based Warfare Agents: Production, Use, and Destruction. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 1525–1576.
- Russell, J.J., Seetula, J.A., Gutman, D., Danis, F., Caralp, F., Lightfoot, P.D., Lesclaux, R., Melius, C.F., Senkan, S.M., 1990. Kinetics and thermochemistry of the equilibrium trichloromethyl radical + oxygen \rightleftharpoons peroxotrichloromethane. *J. Phys. Chem.* 94, 3277–3283. <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100371a012>
- Saeidian, H., Sahandi, M., 2015. Comprehensive DFT study on molecular structures of Lewisites in support of the Chemical Weapons Convention. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1100, 486–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2015.07.069>
- Sahu, C., Pakhira, S., Sen, K., Das, A.K., 2013. A Computational Study of Detoxification of Lewisite Warfare Agents by British Anti-lewisite: Catalytic Effects of Water and Ammonia on Reaction Mechanism and Kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 117, 3496–3506.
- Sanderson, H., Fauser, P., Rahbek, M., Larsen, J.B., 2014. Review of environmental exposure concentrations of chemical warfare agent residues and associated the fish community risk following the construction and completion of the Nord Stream gas pipeline

- between Russia and Germany. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 279, 518–526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.06.073>
- Sanderson, H., Fauser, P., Thomsen, M., Sørensen, P.B., 2007. PBT screening profile of chemical warfare agents (CWAs). *J. Hazard. Mater.* 148, 210–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.02.027>
- Schuchardt, K.L., Didier, B.T., Elsethagen, T., Sun, L., Gurumoorthi, V., Chase, J., Li, J., Windus, T.L., 2007. Basis Set Exchange: A Community Database for Computational Sciences. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 47, 1045–1052.
- Shea, D.A., Gottron, F., 2004. Small-Scale Terrorist Attacks Using Chemical and Biological Agents: An Assessment Framework and Preliminary Comparisons (CRS Report for Congress No. RL32391), CRS Report for Congress. Congressional Research Service, Washington, DC.
- Smith, J.R., Logan, T.P., Szafraniec, L.L., Jakubowski, E.M., 1995. Spectroscopic Characterization of the Geminal Isomer of Lewisite. *Anal. Lett.* 28, 1541–1554.
- Stone, H., See, D., Smiley, A., Ellingson, A., Schimmoeller, J., Oudejans, L., 2016. Surface decontamination for blister agents Lewisite, sulfur mustard and agent yellow, a Lewisite and sulfur mustard mixture. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 314, 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.04.020>
- Stull, D.R., 1947. Vapor Pressure of Pure Substances. *Organic and Inorganic Compounds. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 39, 517–540. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50448a022>
- Tsang, W., Hampson, R.F., 1986. Chemical Kinetic Data Base for Combustion Chemistry. Part I. Methane and Related Compounds. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 15, 1087–1279. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555759>
- Urban, J.J., von Tersch, R.L., 1999. Conformational analysis of the isomers of lewisite†. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 12, 95–102.
- Weigend, F., Ahlrichs, R., 2005. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 7, 3297–3305.
- Zhang, W., Du, B., Feng, C., 2007. An ab initio dynamics study on the reaction of O(3P) with CH₃CHCH₂ (1A'). *J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM* 806, 121–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2006.11.014>
- Zhang, W., Guo, X., Zhang, Y., Wang, F., Shi, H., Zhang, J., Wang, R., Tang, S., Wang, H., Sun, H., 2014. The mechanistic study of the hydroxyl radical reaction with trans-2-chlorovinylldichloroarsine. *J. Mol. Model.* 20, 2335.
- Zhang, Z., Liu, J., Shen, F., Dong, Y., 2020. Temporal influence of reaction atmosphere and chlorine on arsenic release in combustion, gasification and pyrolysis of sawdust. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 382, 121047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121047>

Supplemental material

“Lewisite_mech”: Detailed kinetic mechanism.