

The local properties of bold signal fluctuations at rest monitor inhibitory control training in adolescents

Emilie Salvia, Cloélia Tissier, Sylvain Charron, Paul Herent, Julie Vidal, Stéphanie Lion, Mathieu Cassotti, Catherine Oppenheim, Olivier Houdé, Grégoire Borst, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Emilie Salvia, Cloélia Tissier, Sylvain Charron, Paul Herent, Julie Vidal, et al.. The local properties of bold signal fluctuations at rest monitor inhibitory control training in adolescents. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 2019, 38, pp.100664. 10.1016/j.dcn.2019.100664. hal-02901954

HAL Id: hal-02901954 https://hal.science/hal-02901954

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1878929318303414 Manuscript_315bc55884b13fb39da04d0b18c6f973

1	THE LOCAL PROPERTIES OF BOLD SIGNAL FLUCTUATIONS AT REST MONITOR
2	INHIBITORY CONTROL TRAINING IN ADOLESCENTS.
3	
4	Emilie SALVIA ^{1,2} , Cloélia TISSIER ^{1,2,4} , Sylvain CHARRON ^{4,2,1} , Paul HERENT ^{1,2} , Julie VIDAL ^{1,2} ,
5	Stéphanie LION ⁴ , Mathieu CASSOTTI ^{1,2,3} , Catherine OPPENHEIM ^{4,2} , Olivier HOUDÉ ^{1,2,3*} , Grégoire
6	BORST ^{1,2,3*} , Arnaud CACHIA ^{1,2,3*}
7	
8	¹ Laboratory for the Psychology of Child Development and Education, Sorbonne, CNRS UMR8240,
9	Paris, France
10	² Paris Descartes University, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
11	³ Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France
12	⁴ Biomarkers of brain development and disorders, Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Paris,
13	INSERM UMR894, Paris, France
14	
15	* Authors contributed equally
16	
17	Corresponding author:
18	Emilie SALVIA
19	Laboratory for the Psychology of Child Development and Education, CNRS UMR 8240.
20	Sorbonne, 46 rue Saint-Jacques, 75005 Paris, France.
21	Tel. / Fax: +33 (0)140463012 / +33 (0)140462993.
22	Email address: emilie.salvia@parisdescartes. <u>fr</u>
23	

24 HIGHLIGHTS

- ReHo and fALFF signals differentially predict Cool and Hot IC in adolescents.
- Cool and Hot IC efficiency did not improve after IC training.
- ReHo and fALFF signals in subcortical areas changed after IC training.
- ReHo and fALFF signal changes precede behavioural changes.
- 29

30 ABSTRACT

31 Inhibitory control (IC) plays a critical role in cognitive and socio-emotional development. Short-term 32 IC training improves IC abilities in children and adults. Surprisingly, few studies have investigated the 33 IC training effect during adolescence, a developmental period characterized by high neuroplasticity and 34 the protracted development of IC abilities. We investigated behavioural and functional brain changes 35 induced by a 5-week computerized and adaptive IC training in adolescents. We focused on the IC 36 training effects on the local properties of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) signal 37 fluctuations at rest (i.e., Regional Homogeneity [ReHo] and fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency 38 Fluctuations [fALFF]). Sixty adolescents were randomly assigned to either an IC or an active control 39 training group. In the pre- and post-training sessions, cognitive ('Cool') and emotional ('Hot') IC 40 abilities were assessed using the Colour-Word and Emotional Stroop tasks. We found that ReHo and 41 fALFF signals in IC areas (IFG, ACC, Striatum) were associated with IC efficiency at baseline. This 42 association was different for Cool and Hot IC. Analyses also revealed that ReHo and fALFF signals 43 were sensitive markers to detect and monitor changes after IC training, while behavioural data did not, 44 suggesting that brain functional changes at rest precede behavioural changes following training. 45 46 Keywords: Inhibitory control, Training, Adolescence, IFG, ACC, Striatum

48 1. INTRODUCTION

49 Inhibitory control (IC) — the ability to resist automatisms, temptations, distractions or 50 interferences and to adapt to conflicting situations (Diamond, 2013) — plays a critical role in cognitive 51 and socio-emotional development (Borst et al., 2015). Indeed, IC abilities in childhood and adolescence 52 predict later academic and professional success (Diamond, 2013; Kim et al., 2013). Several studies have 53 also shown that the development of complex skills, such as arithmetic or reading (Blair & Razza, 2007; 54 Christopher et al., 2012; Cragg & Gilmore, 2014), reasoning (Houdé & Borst, 2015), theory of mind 55 (Marcovitch et al., 2015), decision-making (Cassotti et al., 2014) and creativity (Cassotti et al., 2016), 56 rely on the increased efficiency of IC. IC is thus considered a core mechanism of typical as well as 57 atypical development (Borst et al., 2015; Casey & Caudle, 2013; Diamond, 2013).

58 Several studies have shown that the ability to solve a conflict in affectively neutral contexts 59 (also referred to as 'Cool' IC, Aïte et al., 2018; Prencipe et al., 2011) develops linearly from childhood 60 to adulthood in close relation to the protracted maturation of the prefrontal cortex (PFC, Gogtay et al., 61 2004; Luna et al., 2010, 2015). Indeed, IC relies on the activation of a number of PFC sub-regions (see 62 recent fMRI meta-analyses: Criaud & Boulinguez, 2013; Simmonds et al., 2008; Swick et al., 2011), 63 namely, the dorsolateral PFC, the ventrolateral PFC, the inferior frontal cortex (IFG), especially in the 64 right hemisphere, the anterior part of the insula, and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). IC also elicits 65 activation in other brain regions, such as the parietal cortex (Criaud & Boulinguez, 2013), the sub-66 thalamic nucleus (Aron & Poldrack, 2006; Aron et al., 2016) and the striatum (i.e., caudate nucleus and 67 putamen) (Beste et al., 2018). Striatal regions, classically involved in learning (Seger, 2006, 2008; Seger 68 & Cincotta, 2005, 2006), are also involved in IC partly because of their structural and functional 69 connectivity to the PFC (Beste et al., 2018).

70 The early development of limbic regions, such as the ventral striatum and the amygdala (Chein 71 et al., 2010), contributes to making adolescence a specific period with higher emotional reactivity and 72 lower IC abilities in affectively charged contexts (Hare et al., 2008; Somerville et al., 2011). Several 73 studies have investigated the developmental trajectory of the abilities to solve a conflict in an affectively 74 charged context (also referred to as 'Hot' IC). In contrast to the linear development of Cool IC, Hot IC 75 follows a non-linear inverted U-shaped developmental trajectory, in which adolescents are less effective 76 than children and adults in solving conflicts in affectively charged contexts (Aïte et al., 2018). These 77 results are consistent with the dual system neurodevelopmental models (Shulman et al., 2016) 78 supporting an adolescent-specific developmental trajectory of Hot IC. For instance, the "maturational 79 imbalance" model (Casey & Caudle, 2013) proposes that lower Hot IC at adolescence would result from 80 an imbalance between a hypersensitivity of the limbic network (involved in emotions) and the 81 immaturity of the PFC (involved in the control of emotions). More recently, the "driven dual systems" 82 model (Luna & Wright, 2016) emphasizes the role of a hyperactive socioemotional system associated 83 with an inverted U-shaped trajectory of the subcortical limbic system (e.g., ventral striatum) but with cognitive control that would plateau in mid-adolescence rather than continuing to increase until earlyadulthood.

86 IC abilities develop with age but may also be improved by cognitive training (Dowsett &
87 Livesey, 2000; Zhao et al., 2018).

88 Previous studies on typically developed children used IC training of medium duration (5 to 10 89 h over several weeks) and child friendly versions of the Stop Signal or the Go-NoGo tasks. Some studies 90 reported no transfer, namely, IC training induced improvements on the trained tasks but not on the non-91 trained tasks (Thorell et al., 2009), while other studies detected a transfer, namely, an increased 92 performance after IC training in not only the trained tasks but also the non-trained tasks (non-trained 93 tasks involving either other executive functions such as working memory and task switching (Zhao et 94 al., 2018) or abstract reasoning abilities (Liu, Zhu et al., 2015)). Of note, the results showed that such 95 transfers on non-trained tasks had short-lived effects, i.e., they did not persist and were no longer evident 96 6 months after the IC training (Zhao et al., 2018). IC training in children was also associated with brain 97 changes. Using EEG, Liu, Zhu et al. (2015) found, particularly in girls, a specific effect on the N2 98 component, a classical neuro-functional index of IC (Daurignac et al., 2006; Jonkman et al., 2007).

99 In adults, previous studies showed that IC training of short (i.e., 1 h) to medium duration (i.e., 100 5 to 10 h over several weeks) - based on the Stop Signal, Go-NoGo, Stroop or Simon tasks - led to 101 increased performance either in the trained task only (Berkman et al., 2014; Manuel et al., 2013; 102 Talanow & Ettinger, 2018; Zhao et al., 2018) or also in non-trained tasks, involving IC abilities (Millner 103 et al., 2012) or decision-making processes (Verbruggen et al., 2012). Such IC training in adults also led 104 to functional brain changes in regions of the IC network (Berkman et al., 2014; Chavan et al., 2015; 105 Manuel et al., 2013; Spierer et al., 2013) and parietal cortices (Manuel et al., 2010). Furthermore, 106 behavioural changes in a trained task, *i.e.*, the Stop Signal Task (SST), were found to be related to 107 activity changes in the right IFG (Berkman et al., 2014). No transfer of such a non-affective training 108 task to a non-trained affective task (emotion regulation task) was observed at the behavioural level 109 (Beauchamp et al., 2016). However, brain activation changes, in regions associated with training on the 110 SST (Berkman et al., 2014), during the execution of an emotion regulation task, appear to be the result 111 of an SST-based IC training (Beauchamp et al., 2016), suggesting that the brain is subject to fast plastic 112 changes. Brain changes following IC training are not limited to changes (either increases or decreases) 113 in local activity but also to changes in long-distance functional connectivity during IC tasks (Hu et al., 114 2017). In addition to studying the effects of IC training on functional connectivity during an IC task, 115 resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI) studies also reported the effects of IC training on functional connectivity 116 at rest (Cao et al., 2016).

117 Recent brain imaging studies also showed that (i) local properties of BOLD signal oscillations 118 at rest, namely, the Regional Homogeneity (ReHo, Jiang & Zuo, 2016; Zang et al., 2004) and the fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations (fALFF, Zou et al., 2008) of the spatio-temporal coherence and amplitude of the local BOLD fluctuations, can serve as non-invasive proxies of brain metabolism (Aiello et al., 2015); and (ii) individual differences in these BOLD fluctuations at rest are related to individual differences in Cool IC efficiency in adults (Liu, Chen et al., 2015 for ReHo and Hu et al., 2014 for fALFF).

124 In this context, we tested whether ReHo and fALFF at baseline (before training) are associated 125 with Cool and Hot IC efficiency in adolescents aged 16 to 17 years old. We focused on the critical role 126 of two cortical regions (i.e., the IFG and ACC) and two subcortical regions (i.e., the caudate nucleus 127 and putamen) playing a critical role in IC. In line with previous findings, we anticipated correlations at 128 baseline between IC efficiency and ReHo and fALFF signals in these regions (Hu et al., 2014; Liu, Chen 129 et al., 2015). We also anticipated different correlation patterns in Cool IC compared to Hot IC tasks. In 130 addition, we also tested the effect of a computerized 5-week IC training compared to an active control 131 training in adolescents on Cool and Hot cognitive control efficiency and on the local properties of BOLD 132 fluctuations at rest (i.e., ReHo and fALFF). Note that comparing the effect of IC to an active control 133 training group allowed us to control for test-retest effects (see, e.g., Diamond & Ling, 2016). In line 134 with previous studies, we anticipated behavioural changes after IC training on the trained task (i.e., Cool 135 Stroop task) (Berkman et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2018) but not on the affective non-trained task (i.e., Hot 136 Stroop task) (Beauchamp et al., 2016). We also expected functional changes after IC training in the 137 cortical (i.e., the ACC and IFG) and subcortical (i.e., the caudate nucleus and putamen) regions of the 138 IC (e.g., Beauchamp et al., 2016; Berkman et al., 2014).

139

140 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

141

142 **2.1. Participants**

143 Sixty healthy adolescents, aged 16 to 17 years old (mean age16.78 \pm 0.49 y.o, 21 males), were recruited 144 from high schools in Paris (France), coming from high-income families (mean income / month: 4375€ 145 \pm 1100€). The recruited participants were all of the regular age for their grade. Participants were 146 randomly assigned to two different trainings: the Inhibitory Control (IC) group ($n = 32, 16.85 \pm 0.53$ 147 y.o, 11 males) and the Active Control (AC) group (n = 28, 16.71 \pm 0.44 y.o, 10 males; for more 148 information, see the 'Training procedure'). A computerized algorithm (randoweb) was used to ensure a 149 balanced male to female ratio between the two training groups. Eight participants were discarded from 150 the training analyses because they did not reach the minimum number of 15 training sessions and thus 151 (i) did not have sufficient training (i.e., at least 15 sessions of 15 min, which means a minimum of 3 h 152 45 min) and / or (ii) stopped the training too early before the post-training session. Therefore, the sample 153 for these training analyses included 52 participants (IC: n = 28, 16.83 ± 0.53 y.o., 8 males; AC: n = 24, 154 16.72 ± 0.44 y.o, 7 males). The participants in the AC and the IC groups completed an average of 24.21 155 \pm 1.72 ([20 - 26]) and 24.96 \pm 2.10 ([21 - 33]) training sessions, respectively. There was no performance 156 difference at baseline (pre-training session) between the groups for the Cognitive Stroop Task (AC: 86.56 ± 88.45 vs. IC: 61.95 ± 89.55 , F(1,50) = 0.987, p = .325, $\eta_p^2 = 0.019$) or for the emotional version 157 158 of the Stroop task (AC: 62.61 ± 123.81 vs. IC: 49.94 ± 80.10 , F(1,50) = 0.197, p = .659, $\eta^2_p = 0.004$). 159 All participants were right-handed, as determined by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield, 160 1971), born full-term, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, had no history of neurological disease, 161 and had no cerebral abnormalities. Parents or legal guardians gave written consent, and all adolescents 162 agreed to participate. All participants were tested in accordance with the national and international 163 norms that govern the use of human research participants. This study was approved by a national ethics 164 committee (IRB 2015-A00811-48).

165

166 **2.2. Experimental procedure**

167 During the training period, each participant performed 25 training sessions on electronic tablets at 168 home, 15 minutes per day, 5 days a week for 5 weeks. The participants were randomly assigned to the 169 IC group or the AC group using a single-blind design. During the training period, each participant in the 170 IC group performed both the classic version of the Stroop task (Colour-Word Stroop task / Cool Stroop 171 task) and the Stop Signal Task (SST), and each participant in the AC group answered knowledge- and 172 vocabulary-based questions (Jaeggi et al., 2011). To limit assessor-related bias, instructions and 173 responses were given through the electronic tablets. This procedure ensured that all participants were 174 exposed to similar experimental demands and similar levels of motivation (Zhao et al., 2018). This

175 training programme was designed by the authors.

176 In before (pre-test) and after (post-test) training sessions, participants performed a battery of cognitive 177 tests (~ 2 h) and a multimodal MRI acquisition (~ 1 h 30). During the cognitive evaluation, the 178 participants individually performed different cognitive tests involving executive functions (i.e., working 179 memory, flexibility and inhibition), including an affectively neutral (Colour-Word Stroop Task / Cool 180 Stroop) and an affectively charged (Emotional Stroop Task / Hot Stroop) version of the Stroop task, 181 following instructions displayed on the computer screen. We focused on these two versions of the Stroop 182 task because they are sensitive to developmental differences in Cool versus Hot IC (Aïte et al., 2018). 183 Indeed, Aïte et al. (2018) reported a linear development of Cool IC abilities and a quadratic 184 developmental trajectory of Hot IC abilities from childhood to adulthood, showing that adolescence is 185 a critical developmental period during which adolescents are less efficient than both children and adults 186 in solving conflicts in affectively-charged contexts. The MRI session included anatomical and resting 187 state functional sequences. Other MRI acquisition sessions — functional MRI during the Stop-Signal 188 Task, Attention Network Task, Dot Task and Delayed Discounting Task along with a diffusion MRI 189 sequence — out of the scope of the present study, were also acquired.

2.2.1. Training sessions

In both IC and AC trainings, the level of difficulty was increased progressively and adapted in real time to the learning curve of each participant in order to maintain the motivation of the participant and to prevent automaticity (Buschkuehl et al., 2012; Green & Bavelier, 2008; Klingberg, 2010). All tasks were implemented on tactile tablets using E-prime 2.0.10 (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA).

Since (i) IC is a multidimensional construct (Diamond, 2013) and (ii) transfer effects can be
potentially larger when the same cognitive function is trained with different tasks (Buschkuehl et al.,
2012; Green & Bavelier, 2008), IC training included two tasks involving interference control (*Colour- Word Stroop task / Cool Stroop task*) and response inhibition (*Stop Signal Task*).

201 In the Colour-Word Stroop task, participants were instructed to identify the ink colour (e.g., 202 blue) of a printed word denoting a colour by pressing one of the four colour buttons (i.e., blue, green, 203 yellow, red) on the tactile tablet. The word colour and the ink colour could be either congruent (e.g., 204 "blue" written in blue) or incongruent (e.g., "blue" written in red). In addition, we designed control 205 items (i.e., colour word written in black) in which participants were instructed to determine the colour 206 denoted by the word. Control items were intended to force participants to encode the colour of the word 207 throughout the training sessions. Words were presented on the centre of the screen in a 24-pt Bold Arial 208 Font. The level of difficulty increased in the congruent and incongruent Stroop items as the stimulus 209 onset asynchrony (SOA) decreased between the presentation of the word (written in light grey) and the 210 colouring of this word in one of the four ink colours (blue, yellow, green or red, see, e.g., Appelbaum 211 et al., 2014). At the beginning of the training, the SOA was set at 450 ms (Level 1) and decreased by 50 212 ms intervals at each level of task difficulty (up to an SOA of 100 ms in Level 8). At each level, 213 participants performed 13 control, 13 congruent, and 13 incongruent Stroop items.

214 In the SST, participants were instructed to press one of two response buttons on the tactile tablet 215 to indicate the direction of an arrow presented on the screen and to withhold their responses if an auditory 216 signal (i.e., stop signal) was presented after the presentation of the arrow (e.g., Aron et al., 2014). The 217 task difficulty was also adjusted to the participants' level. The time interval between the presentation of 218 the arrow and the stop signal (i.e., the SOA) was manipulated. To increase the difficulty, the SOA was 219 increased, and to decrease it, the SOA was reduced. On Level 1, the SOA was set at 100 ms and was 220 increased by 50 ms intervals at each level of task difficulty (up to an SOA of 450 ms in Level 8). At the 221 beginning of each training session, participants performed 16 Go trials. Then, each level in each training 222 session consisted of 30 Go trials and 10 Stop trials.

The AC training consisted of knowledge- and vocabulary-based tasks of increasing difficulty (see Jaeggi et al., 2011). In each task, 4-choice trivia-like questions were presented, and participants were asked to answer by pressing one of the four answers presented on the screen. A maximum of 30 s was allowed for participants to answer each question. An online pre-test, administered to more than
1600 adolescents, was used to categorize the questions into 8 difficulty levels for each task. Ten
questions were finally chosen for each difficulty level.

229 On any given level, for the four tasks, the task difficulty was increased when participants 230 achieved 90% accuracy, decreased when participants failed to achieve 70% accuracy, and remained the 231 same when participants reached between 70% and 90% accuracy. Each training session consisted of 232 several blocks during which the participants had to earn a maximum number of points to upgrade level. 233 For each task and each training session, participants started the session with the difficulty level just 234 below the one they achieved during the previous training session. At the end of each training session, 235 the participants were asked to complete a short auto-evaluation questionnaire on their motivation and 236 engagement during the session, which provided the experimenters with details regarding (i) the number 237 of sessions performed during the training phase and (ii) the quality of the training.

To determine whether the adolescents progressed in the IC and AC training groups, we ran separate t-tests on the average level of difficulty reached by the participants in the first and last sessions. We found a significant difference between the two sessions in the AC group (first session: 2.26 ± 0.22 , last session: 6.44 ± 0.40 ; t (26) = -12.71, p < .001, d= 2.45) and in the IC group (first session: 2.33 ± 0.13 , last session: 5.08 ± 0.20 ; t (31) = -14.05, p < .001, d = 2.48). Importantly, participants made progress from the first to the last training session in both the AC and the IC training groups.

- 244
- 245

2.2.2.Evaluation of Cool and Hot inhibitory control

Both tasks were implemented with E-prime 2.0.10 (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA)
and performed individually on a laptop computer with a resolution of 1366 x 768 pixels and a refresh
rate of 60 Hz.

For the *Colour-Word Stroop task*, we designed sixteen standard Stroop items combining four different colour names (red, green, blue and yellow) with the four corresponding ink colours (RGB colour codes: 255;0;0, 0;255;0, 0;255, and 255;255;0, respectively). Four items were congruent (e.g., "red" written in red), and 12 were incongruent (e.g., "red" written in blue). Items were displayed in the centre of the screen in 24-pt Bold Courier New on a grey background (RGB code 135;135;135). The participants were instructed to identify the ink colours while ignoring the meaning of the words presented.

In the *Emotional Stroop task*, four black-and-white photographic images depicting happy, angry, sad, and fearful faces (two males and two females), taken from the *NimStim* set of facial expressions (Tottenham et al., 2009), were combined with four words naming the corresponding emotions (e.g., happiness, anger, sadness and fear) to create four congruent items (e.g., the fearful face with "fear" written underneath) and 12 incongruent items (e.g., the fearful face with "anger" written underneath). The faces with the word written underneath were presented in the centre of the screen on a grey background (RGB code 135;135;135). The participants were instructed to indicate the emotionof the facial expressions while ignoring the word written underneath.

264 For both the Cool Stroop and the Hot Stroop tasks, the participants responded by manually 265 pressing two keyboard buttons with their left and right index fingers (the 's' button for yellow or blue 266 and the 'l' button for red or green in the Cool Stroop task; the 'd' button for happiness or sadness and 267 the 'k' button for anger or fear in the Hot Stroop task). Each key was labelled with a sticker of the 268 corresponding colour or emotion. Each trial started with the presentation of a black fixation cross on a 269 grey background for 1500 ms, and then one of the colour words or faces / emotional words was displayed 270 for a maximal duration of 3000 ms. The participants were asked to answer as quickly as possible while 271 maintaining high accuracy. The response times (RTs) were measured from the stimulus onset to the 272 button press. For each Stroop task, participants performed a block of 64 trials (i.e., 32 congruent and 32 273 incongruent trials), preceded by two training blocks. In the first training block, participants learned to 274 associate each response button to the corresponding colour or emotion by pressing the key on the 275 keyboard corresponding to the colour dot or facial expression presented on the screen (each colour dot 276 or facial expression was presented two times for a total of 8 trials). In the second training block, 277 participants performed 6 congruent and 6 incongruent Stroop items and received feedback on the 278 correctness of their answers. The presentation of the trials was randomized in both training and 279 experimental blocks. For both tasks, for each participant, we computed the mean RT for each condition 280 (i.e., congruent [C] and incongruent [I]) on correctly performed trials. Then, the Stroop score (i.e., the 281 interference score [IS], which is the difference in RTs between congruent and incongruent items), was 282 computed for each participant, where a higher Stroop score revealed lower IC efficiency. Stroop scores 283 were screened and cleaned for possible aberrant values using a nonparametric approach: values lower 284 than median - 2 MAD (MAD: median absolute deviation) or greater than median + 2 MAD were 285 considered outliers. Data imputation, based on the median value over the whole sample, was also applied 286 to replace any missing data and outliers. Receptivity of cognitive training was assessed from the relative 287 change after training (i.e., relative change = (post -pre) / pre) for both Stroop scores (i.e., Colour-Word 288 Stroop task and Emotional Stroop task). For each task, the relative change after training was computed 289 from Stroop scores already screened and cleaned for aberrant values. Then, data imputation, based on 290 the median value over the whole sample, was applied to replace any missing data and outliers. This 291 procedure was used to obtain as much data as possible and thus to increase the statistical power of our 292 statistical analyses.

293 294

2.3. MRI acquisition

295 Functional MRI data were acquired at the CIREN facility using a 3T MRI scanner (GE, DISCOVERY

296 MR750 3T MR System, United Sates). We acquired anatomical MRI data using a high-resolution 3-D

297 T1-weighted gradient sequence (field of view = 256 mm, slice thickness = 1 mm, matrix size = 256 x

- 256 mm, resolution = 1 mm³, flip angle = 11° , repetition time = 8.2 ms, echo time = 3.2 ms, inversion 299 time = 400 ms) and an rs-fMRI using a T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (field of 300 view = 192 mm, slice thickness = 3 mm, 42 slices, matrix size = 64 x 64 mm, resolution = 3 x 3 x 3 301 mm³, flip angle = 90°, repetition time = 2000 ms, echo time = 23 ms). During the rs-fMRI sequence, the 302 participants were asked to lay still with their eyes closed, but not to fall asleep, instead to try to think of 303 nothing at all, and in the case of a sticky thought, to think of a starry sky.
- 304

305 **2.4. MRI analysis**

- 306
- 307

2.4.1. Preprocessing of rs-fMRI data

308 MRI preprocessing and statistical analyses were performed using SPM12 (Wellcome Department of 309 Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK) running on MATLAB 2014b (Mathworks Inc., Natick, USA) with 310 dedicated toolboxes (the advanced version of Data Processing Assistant for Resting-State fMRI, 311 DPARSF; Yan & Zang, 2010, http://www.restfmri.net) and ArtRepair 312 (http://cibsr.stanford.edu/tools/human-brain-project/artrepair-software.html; Mazaïka et al., 2007). 313 These software were used to pre-process the fMRI data and compute the ReHo and fALFF images. An 314 in-house MATLAB function was used to estimate the median value of the ReHo and fALFF signals 315 within individual Regions of Interest (ROIs) derived from the AAL2 atlas (Rolls et al., 2015; Tzourio-316 Mazoyer et al., 2002).

A seven-step approach was used to preprocess the rs-fMRI data. The first 10 time points were removed
to avoid the non-equilibrium effects of magnetization, and the remaining 168 time points of functional
BOLD images were then processed as follows:

- 320 1. Slice time corrected for interleaved acquisition.
- 3212. Realigned to correct for head movement by coregistering all the subsequent volumes to the first322 time point.
- 323 3. Repaired if necessary, using ArtRepair, for volumes with movements (rapid motion threshold
 324 > 0.5 mm / TR) using linear interpolation from the nearest unrepaired scans.
- Spatially normalized. To normalize functional images, each participant's T1-weighted images
 were coregistered to the mean functional images and were subsequently segmented into grey
 matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). The parameters obtained from
 the segmentation were used to normalize each participant's functional images onto the Montreal
 Neurological Institute (MNI) space.
- 330 These functional images were then processed as follows:
- 331 5. Resampled to a voxel size of 3 x 3 x 3 mm³.

- Smoothed with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian Kernel. This smoothing step, during preprocessing,
 was applied only for fALFF analysis, and not ReHo analysis, to prevent artificially increasing
 the regional similarity (Yan & Zang, 2010). The smoothing of ReHo maps was thus performed
 after ReHo calculation.
- To remove BOLD signal fluctuations unrelated to neural activity, the 6 head motion parameters
 plus their derivatives and the corresponding squared items (Friston 24; Friston et al., 1996) as
 well as WM, CSF and global mean signal were regressed out as nuisance variables; linear trend
 was also removed from each voxel's time course.
- 340
- 341

2.4.2. Estimation of ReHo and fALFF signals

342 If low-frequency fluctuations (0.01 - 0.1 Hz) of the BOLD signal at rest reflect spontaneous 343 neural activity (Lu et al., 2007), then high-frequency oscillations capture physiological signals such as 344 cardiac and respiratory signals (Cordes et al., 2001). Before ReHo estimation, data were therefore 345 bandpass filtered (0.01-0.1 Hz) to minimize any confounding physiological effects. ReHo was then 346 computed at the voxel level by calculating the Kendall's coefficient of concordance (Kendall & 347 Gibbons, 1990) of the BOLD time series of 27 neighbouring voxels (Zang et al., 2004). ReHo is thus 348 defined as the spatio-temporal coherence of the signal of a voxel with the signal of its nearest neighbours 349 (Zang et al., 2004). The ReHo maps were finally smoothed with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian Kernel.

The regional spontaneous activity was also examined using the complementary ALFF measure (Biswal et al., 1995). ALFF reflects the intensity of regional spontaneous brain activity (Zou et al., 2008) and is particularly sensitive to physiological noise. The fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations (fALFF) — defined as the total signal amplitude within the low-frequency range (0.01 -0.1 Hz) divided by the total signal amplitude of the entire detectable frequency range (i.e., 0.01 - 0.25 Hz; Song et al., 2011) — was therefore used as it improves the sensitivity and specificity in detecting spontaneous brain activities (Zou et al., 2008).

To increase the signal-to-noise ratio, ReHo and fALFF values were extracted from the AAL2 atlas (Rolls et al., 2015; Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) and averaged over the critical brain regions of the IC network: two cortical regions (the ACC and the IFG) and two subcortical regions (the caudate nucleus and the putamen) (see Figure 2).

361

2.5. Statistical analysis

To confirm that ReHo and fALFF signals were associated with IC efficiency (Hu et al., 2014; Liu, Chen et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2012), we conducted univariate linear models with Stroop interference scores as the co-variable and brain hemispheres (i.e., "right" vs. "left") as the within-factor. Both the Colour-Word Stroop task (Cool IC) and the Emotional Stroop task (Hot IC) were analysed. Data from participants in both the AC and IC training groups were pooled into a common sample as was done inthe pre-test session; participants had not yet followed any training.

Univariate linear models were used to test whether the relative changes in Stroop scores after training in both the Cool and the Hot Stroop tasks differed between the training groups (i.e., CA vs. CI). Relative (i.e., relative change = (post -pre) / pre)), instead of absolute (i.e., post - pre), changes were used in the analyses to control for baseline variability.

373 We then tested whether the ReHo and fALFF signals changed after 5 weeks of IC training, i.e., 374 whether ReHo and fALFF might also be sensitive biomarkers of IC training. Thus, for each ROI, a 375 univariate linear model analysis was conducted with group ("AC" vs. "IC") as the between-factor and 376 brain hemisphere ("right" vs. "left") as the within-factor. The dependent variable corresponded to the 377 relative difference in ReHo or fALFF signals between the pre-test and post-test. To test whether the 378 whole pattern of relative regional changes (i.e., pattern of relative changes including all the ROIs 379 selected) differed between the two groups (i.e., "AC" vs. "IC"), we then carried out multivariate linear 380 models for ReHo and fALFF separately.

The main effects and interactions were investigated with F-tests. A two-tailed p-value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were not corrected for multiple comparisons but were controlled for the age and sex. We also controlled for the high school attended by the participants to control for possible fixed effects associated with the affiliation to specific high schools. All statistical analyses were carried out with R software version 3.3.2 software (http://www.rproject.org/) and the 'car' and 'effects' packages.

387

388 **3. RESULTS**

389

390 3.1. Behavioural analyses

391 The analysis of the cognitive changes after training, tested by comparing the relative changes after 392 training for both Cool and Hot Stroop scores between the AC and IC groups, did not reveal significant 393 group-specific training effects for the Cool Stroop (F(1,50) = 0.379, p = .541, η^2_p = 0.008) or for the 394 Hot Stroop (F(1,50) = 0.214, p = .645, η^2_p = 0.004) scores (see Figure 1 and Supplementary Material). 395



Figure 1: Relative cognitive changes after training. These violin plots represent the relative changes after training [relative change = (post -pre) / pre)] in both the Cool Stroop (first row) and the Hot Stroop (second row) scores, for both training groups: Active Control (left) and Inhibitory Control (right). Each dot represents a participant data. Data imputation, based on the median value over the whole sample, was applied to replace any missing data and outliers.

396

403 **3.2. ReHo / fALFF and IC efficiency**

404 Univariate linear model analyses revealed the main effects of the Cool Stroop score on the fALFF 405 signal, with positive associations between the Cool Stroop score and the fALFF signals in bilateral ACC 406 (F(1,45) = 6.16, p = .017, $\eta_p^2 = 0.12$) and IFG (F(1,45) = 8.01, p = .0069, $\eta_p^2 = 0.15$) (see Figure 2). 407 There was no significant association between the Cool Stroop score and the ReHo signals.

408 Regarding Hot IC, analyses revealed the main effects of the Hot Stroop score on the ReHo signal 409 with positive associations between the Hot Stroop score and the ReHo signals in the bilateral caudate 410 nucleus (F(1,45) = 4.25, p = .045, η^2_p = 0.08), putamen (F(1,45) = 4.19, p = .047, η^2_p = 0.08) and ACC $(F(1,45) = 6.45, p = .015, \eta^2_p = 0.12)$ (Figure 2). Similar results were observed for fALFF, with positive 411 412 associations in the bilateral caudate nucleus (F(1,45) = 7.95, p = .0071, $\eta_p^2 = 0.15$) putamen (F(1,45) = 413 8.16, p = .0065, η_p^2 = 0.15) and ACC (F(1,45) = 10.77, p = .0020, η_p^2 = 0.19) (Figure 2). For both ReHo 414 and fALFF, analyses also revealed an interaction between the Hot Stroop interference score and 415 hemisphere within the putamen (ReHo: F(1, 45) = 5.05, p = .030, η^2_p = 0.10; fALFF: F(1,45) = 8.56, p = .0054, η_{p}^{2} = 0.16), showing stronger associations between ReHo / fALFF signals and interference 416 417 score within the left rather than the right hemisphere (Figure 2).



420 Figure 2: Correlations between ReHo / fALFF signals and Inhibitory Control (IC) efficiency 421 assessed with the Cognitive Stroop Task (Cool IC) and the Emotional Stroop task (Hot IC). 422 Regions of Interest (ROI), represented on an axial slice (middle panel), were derived from the standard 423 AAL2 atlas and colour coded as follows: caudate nucleus in yellow, putamen in purple, ACC in green, 424 and IFG in orange. Graphs on the top of the figure correspond to the ReHo signal, and graphs in the 425 middle and bottom correspond to the fALFF signal. Blue lines / dots on the graphs are used for Cool 426 Stroop data and orange lines / dots for Hot Stroop data. Box colours are as described above, with the 427 dispersion graph ReHo / fALFF versus interference score of Cool / Hot Stroop task codes for the 428 analysed ROI. Dark and light lines / dots represent data from the left and right hemispheres, respectively. 429

431 **3.3. ReHo and fALFF sensitivity to IC training**

432 Univariate linear model analysis of relative changes in ReHo signal revealed a significant groupby-hemisphere interaction in the caudate nucleus (F(1, 37) = 5.01, p = .031, $\eta^2_p = 0.12$) (see Figure 3 433 and Table 1). A similar group-by-hemisphere interaction (F(1,37) = 5.75, p = .022, $\eta_p^2 = 0.13$) was 434 435 detected for the relative change of the fALFF signal within this region (see Figure 3 and Table 2). In the 436 right hemisphere, ReHo and fALFF signals increased after training in the AC group and decreased in 437 the IC group, while in the left hemisphere, ReHo and fALFF signals increased in both AC and IC groups 438 (see Figure 3 and Tables 1-2). A main group effect was also detected for the fALFF relative change 439 within the putamen (F(1, 37) = 4.50, p = .041, $\eta_p^2 = 0.11$), with a fALFF signal increasing after training 440 for participants in the AC group and decreasing for participants in the IC group (see Figure 3 and Table 441 2). Univariate analysis did not reveal other significant results in the subcortical or the cortical brain 442 regions.

The multivariate analysis on the whole pattern of relative changes after training indicated a significant main group effect for ReHo (F(8, 30) = 2.77, p = .02, $\eta_p^2 = 0.42$) and fALFF (F(8, 30) = 2.45, p = .03, $\eta_p^2 = 0.39$) (Figure 3), showing that ReHo and fALFF change patterns differed between the IC and AC groups.



448

Figure 3: Global patterns of the relative changes of ReHo and fALFF after training. The radar
graphs represent relative changes in the ReHo signal (left panel) and the fALFF signal (right panel) in
the subcortical (caudate nucleus, putamen) and cortical (ACC, IFG) regions of the IC network in the
Inhibitory Control (in red) and Active Control (in blue) training groups.

- 453
- 454

ROIs	AC	IC	Statistics			
	Mean (± SE)	Mean (± SE)	Group effect		Group x Hemisphere	
			F-value	p-value	F-value	p-value
R ACC	0.024 (0.028)	0.061 (0.032)	0.60	0.443	0.64	0.429
L ACC	0.040 (0.023)	0.053 (0.033)				
R IFG	0.004 (0.24)	0.015 (0.020)	0.42	0.522	0.55	0.464
L IFG	0.028 (0.021)	0.056 (0.026)				
R Caudate	0.035 (0.029)	-0.046 (0.024)	1.42	0.241	5.01	0.031
L Caudate	0.016 (0.027)	0.012 (0.034)				
R Putamen	0.072 (0.026)	-0.013 (0.031)	1.61	0.213	0.59	0.446
L Putamen	0.042 (0.029)	0.002 (0.037)				

456 Table 1: Relative changes in ReHo after training in the Active Control (AC) and Inhibitory Control

457 groups (IC). Data for the AC and IC training groups are represented as the means \pm standard errors.

458 The main and interactive effects are derived from univariate linear models with group ("AC" vs. "IC")

459 as the between-factor and brain hemisphere ("right" vs. "left") as the within-factor. Analyses were

460 controlled for the age, sex, and high school of the participants.

461

ROIs	AC	IC	Statistics			
	(Mean ± SE)	(Mean ± SE)	Group effect		Group x Hemisphere	
			F-value	p-value	F-value	p-value
R ACC	0.024 (0.015)	0.030 (0.014)	0.05	0.828	2.95	0.094
L ACC	0.026 (0.017)	0.016 (0.015)				
R IFG	0.008 (0.015)	0.027 (0.013)	0.40	0.530	1.14	0.293
L IFG	0.022 (0.020)	0.030 (0.012)				
R Caudate	0.021 (0.019)	-0.006 (0.013)	1.25	0.271	5.75	0.022
L Caudate	0.018 (0.020)	0.015 (0.015)				
R Putamen	0.041 (0.019)	-0.0007 (0.014)	4.50	0.041	1.21	0.279
L Putamen	0.052 (0.023)	-0.001 (0.015)				

462

Table 2: Relative changes in fALFF after training in the Active Control (AC) and Inhibitory
Control groups (IC). Data for the AC and IC training groups are represented as the means ± standard
errors. The main and interactive effects are derived from univariate linear models with group ("AC" vs.
"IC") as the between-factor and brain hemisphere ("right" vs. "left") as the within-factor. Analyses were
controlled for the age, sex, and high school of the participants.

469 4. **DISCUSSION**

Consistent with the findings of previous studies in adults (Deng et al., 2016; Liu, Chen et al.,
2015; Takeuchi et al., 2015), we found that ReHo and fALFF signals were associated with IC efficiency
during adolescence. Importantly, we are the first to provide evidence that the relation between ReHo
and fALFF signals differ according to the type of IC tasks, namely, cognitive (Cool) or emotional (Hot)
Stroop tasks, in adolescents.

475 We found that the fALFF signal in the IFG and ACC, a non-invasive proxy of regional brain 476 metabolism (Aiello et al., 2015), was positively correlated with the Cool Stroop interference score. 477 Hence, a lower fALFF signal was associated with a higher IC efficiency in adolescents. In the current 478 study, neural activity at rest was investigated from the analysis of local properties of BOLD fluctuations, 479 complimenting previous studies on IC at adolescence, based on functional and structural connectivity. 480 Resting-state fMRI studies reported functional connectivity changes within the executive network, 481 encompassing the fronto-parietal and the cingulo-opercular networks, with decreased short-range 482 connections (correlations between close regions, i.e., 'segregation') and increased long-range 483 connections (correlations between distant regions, i.e., 'integration') during development (Fair et al., 484 2007, 2009). Recently, a diffusion MRI study investigated the structural connectivity during 485 development and revealed that both modular segregation and network efficiency are associated with 486 enhanced executive performance and also mediate the improvement of executive functioning with age 487 (Baum et al. 2017; Satterthwaite et al., 2013). At the cellular level, the myelination process, which 488 enables more effective long-distance neural pathways, favours integration, whereas synaptic pruning 489 favours segregation (Fair et al., 2007, 2009).

490 A previous study on adults using a Multi-Source Interference Task (combining the Stroop, 491 Simon and flanker effects) reported a negative correlation between fALFF signal and interference score 492 (Deng et al., 2016). We suspect that such inversion of the relation between fALFF and IC efficiency 493 from adolescence to adulthood might stem from the protracted maturation of the prefrontal regions 494 (Gogtay et al., 2004; Luna et al., 2010, 2015), and in particular of the non-linear cortical thickness 495 changes characterized by a thickening of the cortex from childhood to adolescence followed by cortical 496 thinning from adolescence to adulthood (Giedd & Rapoport, 2010; Gogtay & Thompson, 2010) 497 associated with functional segregation (Fair et al., 2007, 2009).

ReHo and fALFF signals in subcortical (caudate nucleus and putamen) and cortical (ACC) regions were positively correlated with the Hot Stroop interference score, showing that adolescents with higher ReHo and fALFF signals within these brain regions of the IC network had lower IC efficiency. These findings provide additional evidence for the role of the caudate nucleus and the putamen, subregions of the striatum, in solving conflict in an affectively charged context (Chein et al., 2010; Hare et al., 2005). We also found a stronger association between Hot IC efficiency and ReHo / fALFF signals in the putamen in the left compared to the right hemisphere. This leftward asymmetry may be associated 505 with the hemisphere-specific maturational changes that occur during adolescence, with a greater 506 decrease of putamen volume in the left hemisphere compared to that of the right hemisphere (Dennison 507 et al., 2013). Hence, the putamen in the left hemisphere, by maturing earlier than the putamen in the 508 right hemisphere, might play a greater role in solving conflict in an emotional context during 509 adolescence. The association between performance in the Hot Stroop task and the ReHo and fALFF 510 signals within the dorsal part of the ACC suggests that the Hot Stroop task might also require conflict 511 detection and conflict resolution processes similar to those involved in affectively neutral contexts 512 (Botvinick, 2007, Simon et al., 2015).

513 In addition to the association observed between the ReHo and fALFF signals and the Cool and 514 Hot IC efficiencies at baseline, we also found IC training-related changes in these two measures of 515 BOLD signal fluctuations at rest in the sub-regions of the striatum (i.e., caudate nucleus and putamen), 516 key regions of the inhibitory control network (Beste et al., 2018; Grahn et al., 2008, Rubia et al., 2006) 517 but also involved more generally in learning (Seger, 2006, 2008; Seger & Cincotta, 2005, 2006). These 518 changes in striatal regions (i.e., the caudate nucleus and putamen) after a IC training are consistent with 519 previous studies reporting (i) an increased caudate activation in the Stop versus Go condition during an 520 SST task (Berkman et al., 2014) and (ii) fronto-basal changes by SST practice (Manuel et al., 2013; 521 Spierer et al., 2013). These results are thus in line with fMRI studies reporting activations within the 522 sub-thalamic nucleus (Aron & Poldrack, 2006; Aron et al., 2016) and the striatum (Beste et al., 2018) 523 during IC tasks. The involvement of the striatal regions in IC is partly due to their structural and 524 functional connectivity to the PFC (Beste et al., 2018). These ReHo and fALFF changes related to IC 525 training were also found to be hemisphere-dependent. This hemispheric specificity is in line with 526 previous studies in adolescents (Houdé et al., 2010; Rubia et al., 2006) and in adults (Garavan et al., 527 1999), which reported a rightward hemispheric dominance for response inhibition. For instance, Rubia 528 et al. (2006) reported increased fronto-striatal activations, especially in the right caudate and putamen, 529 from adolescence to adulthood in motor and cognitive IC tasks. Moreover, a meta-analysis of functional 530 MRI studies in children and adolescents reported an age- and hemisphere-specific activation during 531 executive tasks, with a larger activation in the right than in the left anterior insular cortex (AIC, a region 532 involved in cognitive control; Bunge et al., 2002; Dosenbach et al., 2006) in adolescents but not in 533 children (Houdé et al., 2010). Taken together, these different studies support the notion that the IC neural 534 network is right-lateralized with an increasing lateralization with age (Houdé et al., 2010; Rubia et al., 535 2006).

Given that a 5-week computerized IC training induced changes in brain function, assessed by ReHo and fALFF signals at rest, we argue that such proxies of regional metabolism (Aiello et al., 2015) could provide more sensitive markers of the potential effect of intensive and sustained cognitive training compared to behavioural outcomes. This combination of brain changes associated with the lack of behavioural changes after cognitive training was recently observed by Beauchamp et al. (2016), who did not find behavioural changes but detected activation changes, during an emotion regulation task, in 542 the left IFG and supramarginal gyrus, after an SST-based IC training. Notably, unlike the study by 543 Beauchamp et al. (2016), which investigated task-evoked BOLD signal changes, the present study 544 measured spontaneous BOLD signal fluctuation changes at rest. Such functional changes at rest may 545 reflect the consolidation of previous experience (Lewis et al., 2009), which in turn may lead to 546 behavioural changes in the near future. On the other hand, the lack of training-induced cognitive changes 547 may have also resulted from slight differences between the cognitive Stroop trained task and the 548 cognitive version of the Stroop task performed during the pre- and the post-training sessions. Indeed, 549 while improvements are often observed in the trained tasks, little or no transfer may be detected for non-550 trained tasks even if they are very similar to the trained tasks (Green & Bavelier, 2008). Regarding the 551 emotional IC, the lack of performance increase in the Hot Stroop task after a Cool Stroop training is 552 consistent with a previous study reporting no transfer effect from a non-affective training task to a non-553 trained affective task (Beauchamp et al., 2016). As already suggested by previous studies (Green & 554 Bavelier, 2008; Schmidt & Bjork, 1992), we may have to vary the task items to be learned and the 555 context in which they appear during the training phase to attempt to facilitate the transfer from the 556 trained task to non-trained tasks (e.g., Hot Stroop task). Indeed, this may force the participants to extract 557 general principles about the trained task and thus may ensure that the learned skills are reused. 558 Moreover, given that functional changes in the brain following IC training seem to precede behavioural 559 changes, we suspect that increasing the training dose by increasing the session duration and / or the 560 number of sessions (Beauchamp et al., 2016; Jolles & Crone, 2012; Kelly & Garavan, 2005) may 561 facilitate the training transfer and thus enable the detection of changes at the behavioural level.

562 The present study has several limitations that call for caution when interpreting the results. First, 563 despite the large sample size associated with a longitudinal design, the statistical effects are moderate. 564 Our findings should thus be taken with caution and replicated with a larger group of independent 565 samples. Future studies should investigate the effects of IC training at both the brain and behavioural 566 levels not only on adolescents but also on younger and older participants (i.e., children and young adults) 567 to determine whether the IC training-related ReHo and fALFF signal changes are training- or age-568 specific. In addition to an immediate post-training effect, future work should also test the possible lasting 569 effects (e.g., 3- or 6-months follow-up sessions) of an IC training. Furthermore, developmental studies, 570 involving children, adolescents and adults should also investigate to what extent age can, or not, 571 modulate these effects (Zhao et al., 2018).

In conclusion, we demonstrated for the first time that ReHo and fALFF signals, i.e., local properties of the BOLD signal fluctuations, provide information on the relationship between individual differences in the activity of the brain at rest and individual differences in both Cool and Hot IC efficiency and may also help monitor the effects of IC training. Future studies should determine whether ReHo and fALFF signals before training could possibly constitute reliable predictors of potential IC efficiency gain after training in both typical and clinical populations.

580 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 581 The study was supported by research grants from the French National Research Agency (ANR-14-
- 582 CE30-0014-01 APEX) and personal grants from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale and Institut
- 583 Universitaire de France.

585 **REFERENCES**

- Aiello, M., Salvatore, E., Cachia, A., Pappatà, S., Cavaliere, C., Prinster, A., ... Quarantelli, M. (2015).
 Relationship between simultaneously acquired resting-state regional cerebral glucose
 metabolism and functional MRI: A PET/MR hybrid scanner study. NeuroImage, 113, 111-121.
- Aïte, A., Cassotti, M., Linzarini, A., Osmont, A., Houdé, O., & Borst, G. (2018). Adolescents' inhibitory
 control: keep it cool or lose control. Developmental Science, 21(1), e12491.
- Appelbaum, L. G., Boehler, C. N., Davis, L. A., Won, R. J., & Woldorff, M. G. (2014). The Dynamics
 of Proactive and Reactive Cognitive Control Processes in the Human Brain. Journal of
 Cognitive Neuroscience, 26(5), 1021-1038.
- Aron, A. R., Herz, D. M., Brown, P., Forstmann, B. U., & Zaghloul, K. (2016). Frontosubthalamic
 Circuits for Control of Action and Cognition. Journal of Neuroscience, 36(45), 11489-11495.
- Aron, A. R., & Poldrack, R. A. (2006). Cortical and Subcortical Contributions to Stop Signal Response
 Inhibition: Role of the Subthalamic Nucleus. Journal of Neuroscience, 26(9), 2424-2433.
- Aron, A. R., Robbins, T. W., & Poldrack, R. A. (2014). Inhibition and the right inferior frontal cortex:
 one decade on. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18(4), 177-185.
- Baum, G. L., Ciric, R., Roalf, D. R., Betzel, R. F., Moore, T. M., Shinohara, R. T., ... Satterthwaite, T.
 D. (2017). Modular Segregation of Structural Brain Networks Supports the Development of
 Executive Function in Youth. Current Biology, 27(11), 1561-1572.e8.
- Beauchamp, K. G., Kahn, L. E., & Berkman, E. T. (2016). Does inhibitory control training transfer?:
 behavioral and neural effects on an untrained emotion regulation task. Social Cognitive and
 Affective Neuroscience, 11(9), 1374-1382.
- Berkman, E. T., Kahn, L. E., & Merchant, J. S. (2014). Training-Induced Changes in Inhibitory Control
 Network Activity. Journal of Neuroscience, 34(1), 149-157.
- Beste, C., Moll, C. K. E., Pötter-Nerger, M., & Münchau, A. (2018). Striatal Microstructure and Its
 Relevance for Cognitive Control. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 22(9), 747 751.
- Biswal, B., Yetkin, F. Z., Haughton, V. M., & Hyde, J. S. (1995). Functional connectivity in the motor
 cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar mri. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 34(4),
 537-541.
- Blair, C., & Razza, R. P. (2007). Relating Effortful Control, Executive Function, and False Belief
 Understanding to Emerging Math and Literacy Ability in Kindergarten. Child Development,
 78(2), 647-663.

- Borst, G., Aïte, A., & Houdé, O. (2015). Inhibition of misleading heuristics as a core mechanism for
 typical cognitive development: evidence from behavioural and brain-imaging studies.
 Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 57(s2), 21-25.
- Botvinick, M. M. (2007). Conflict monitoring and decision making: Reconciling two perspectives on
 anterior cingulate function. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 7(4), 356-366.
- Bunge, S. A., Dudukovic, N. M., Thomason, M. E., Vaidya, C. J., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2002). Immature
 Frontal Lobe Contributions to Cognitive Control in Children: Evidence from fMRI. Neuron,
 33(2), 301-311.
- Buschkuehl, M., Jaeggi, S. M., & Jonides, J. (2012). Neuronal effects following working memory
 training. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 2, S167-S179.
- 626 Cao, W., Cao, X., Hou, C., Li, T., Cheng, Y., Jiang, L., ... Yao, D. (2016). Effects of Cognitive Training
 627 on Resting-State Functional Connectivity of Default Mode, Salience, and Central Executive
 628 Networks. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 8.
- 629 Casey, B. J., & Caudle, K. (2013). The Teenage Brain: Self Control. Current Directions in Psychological
 630 Science, 22(2), 82-87.
- Cassotti, M., Agogué, M., Camarda, A., Houdé, O., & Borst, G. (2016). Inhibitory Control as a Core
 Process of Creative Problem Solving and Idea Generation from Childhood to Adulthood. New
 Directions for Child and Adolescent Development, 2016(151), 61-72.
- Cassotti, M., Aïte, A., Osmont, A., Houdé, O., & Borst, G. (2014). What have we learned about the
 processes involved in the Iowa Gambling Task from developmental studies? Frontiers in
 Psychology, 5.
- Chavan, C. F., Mouthon, M., Draganski, B., van der Zwaag, W., & Spierer, L. (2015). Differential
 patterns of functional and structural plasticity within and between inferior frontal gyri support
 training-induced improvements in inhibitory control proficiency. Human Brain Mapping, 36(7),
 2527-2543.
- 641 Chein, J., Albert, D., O'Brien, L., Uckert, K., & Steinberg, L. (2010). Peers increase adolescent risk
 642 taking by enhancing activity in the brain's reward circuitry. Developmental Science, 14(2),
 643 F1-F10.
- 644 Christopher, M. E., Miyake, A., Keenan, J. M., Pennington, B., DeFries, J. C., Wadsworth, S. J., ...
 645 Olson, R. K. (2012). Predicting word reading and comprehension with executive function and
 646 speed measures across development: a latent variable analysis. Journal of Experimental
 647 Psychology. General, 141(3), 470-488.

- 648 Cordes, D., Haughton, V. M., Arfanakis, K., Carew, J. D., Turski, P. A., Moritz, C. H., ... & Meyerand,
 649 M. E. (2001). Frequencies Contributing to Functional Connectivity in the Cerebral Cortex in
 650 "Resting-state" Data. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 22(7), 1326-1333.
- 651 Cragg, L., & Gilmore, C. (2014). Skills underlying mathematics: The role of executive function in the
 652 development of mathematics proficiency. Trends in Neuroscience and Education, 3(2), 63-68.
- 653 Criaud, M., & Boulinguez, P. (2013). Have we been asking the right questions when assessing response
 654 inhibition in go/no-go tasks with fMRI? A meta-analysis and critical review. Neuroscience &
 655 Biobehavioral Reviews, 37(1), 11-23.
- Daurignac, E., Houdé, O., & Jouvent, R. (2006). Negative Priming in a Numerical Piaget-like Task as
 Evidenced by ERP. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 18(5), 730-736.
- Deng, Y., Wang, Y., Ding, X., & Tang, Y.-Y. (2016). The relevance of fractional amplitude of lowfrequency fluctuation to interference effect. Behavioural Brain Research, 296, 401-407.
- Dennison, M., Whittle, S., Yücel, M., Vijayakumar, N., Kline, A., Simmons, J., & Allen, N. B. (2013).
 Mapping subcortical brain maturation during adolescence: evidence of hemisphere- and sexspecific longitudinal changes. Developmental Science, 16(5), 772-791.
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive Functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64(1), 135-168.
- Diamond, A., & Ling, D. S. (2016). Conclusions about interventions, programs, and approaches for
 improving executive functions that appear justified and those that, despite much hype, do not.
 Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 18, 34-48.
- Dosenbach, N. U. F., Visscher, K. M., Palmer, E. D., Miezin, F. M., Wenger, K. K., Kang, H. C., ...
 Petersen, S. E. (2006). A Core System for the Implementation of Task Sets. Neuron, 50(5),
 799-812.
- Dowsett, S. M., & Livesey, D. J. (2000). The development of inhibitory control in preschool children:
 Effects of "executive skills" training. Developmental Psychobiology, 36(2), 161-174.
- Fair, D. A., Cohen, A. L., Power, J. D., Dosenbach, N. U. F., Church, J. A., Miezin, F. M., ... Petersen,
 S. E. (2009). Functional Brain Networks Develop from a "Local to Distributed" Organization.
 PLOS Computational Biology, 5(5), e1000381.
- Fair, D. A., Dosenbach, N. U. F., Church, J. A., Cohen, A. L., Brahmbhatt, S., Miezin, F. M., ...
 Schlaggar, B. L. (2007). Development of distinct control networks through segregation and
 integration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104(33), 13507-13512.

- Friston, K. J., Williams, S., Howard, R., Frackowiak, R. S. J., & Turner, R. (1996). Movement-Related
 effects in fMRI time-series. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 35(3), 346-355.
- Garavan, H., Ross, T. J., & Stein, E. A. (1999). Right hemispheric dominance of inhibitory control: An
 event-related functional MRI study. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 96(14),
 8301-8306.
- 683 Giedd, J. N., & Rapoport, J. L. (2010). Structural MRI of Pediatric Brain Development: What Have We
 684 Learned and Where Are We Going? Neuron, 67(5), 728-734.
- Gogtay, N., Giedd, J. N., Lusk, L., Hayashi, K. M., Greenstein, D., Vaituzis, A. C., ... & Thompson, P.
 M. (2004). Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early
 adulthood. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(21), 8174-8179.
- 688 Gogtay, N., & Thompson, P. M. (2010). Mapping gray matter development: Implications for typical
 689 development and vulnerability to psychopathology. Brain and Cognition, 72(1), 6-15.
- 690 Grahn, J. A., Parkinson, J. A., & Owen, A. M. (2008). The cognitive functions of the caudate nucleus.
 691 Progress in Neurobiology, 86(3), 141-155.
- Green, C. S., & Bavelier, D. (2008). Exercising Your Brain: A Review of Human Brain Plasticity and
 Training-Induced Learning. Psychology and aging, 23(4), 692-701.
- Hare, T. A., Tottenham, N., Davidson, M. C., Glover, G. H., & Casey, B. J. (2005). Contributions of
 amygdala and striatal activity in emotion regulation. Biological Psychiatry, 57(6), 624-632.
- Hare, T. A., Tottenham, N., Galvan, A., Voss, H. U., Glover, G. H., & Casey, B. J. (2008). Biological
 Substrates of Emotional Reactivity and Regulation in Adolescence During an Emotional GoNogo Task. Biological Psychiatry, 63(10), 927-934.
- Houdé, O., & Borst, G. (2015). Evidence for an inhibitory-control theory of the reasoning brain.
 Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 9.
- Houdé, O., Rossi, S., Lubin, A., & Joliot, M. (2010). Mapping numerical processing, reading, and
 executive functions in the developing brain: an fMRI meta-analysis of 52 studies including 842
 children. Developmental Science, 13(6), 876-885.
- Hu, S., Chao, H. H.-A., Zhang, S., Ide, J. S., & Li, C.-S. R. (2014). Changes in cerebral morphometry
 and amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations of BOLD signals during healthy aging: correlation
 with inhibitory control. Brain Structure and Function, 219(3), 983-994.
- Hu, M., Wang, X., Zhang, W., Hu, X., & Chen, A. (2017). Neural interactions mediating conflict control
 and its training-induced plasticity. Neuroimage, 163, 390-397.

- Jaeggi, S. M., Buschkuehl, M., Jonides, J., & Shah, P. (2011). Short- and long-term benefits of cognitive
 training. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(25), 10081-10086.
- Jiang, L., & Zuo, X.-N. (2016). Regional Homogeneity: A Multimodal, Multiscale Neuroimaging
 Marker of the Human Connectome. The Neuroscientist, 22(5), 486-505.
- Jolles, D., & Crone, E. A. (2012). Training the developing brain: a neurocognitive perspective. Frontiers
 in Human Neuroscience, 6.
- Jonkman, L. M., Sniedt, F. L. F., & Kemner, C. (2007). Source localization of the Nogo-N2: A
 developmental study. Clinical Neurophysiology, 118(5), 1069-1077.
- Kelly, A. M. C., & Garavan, H. (2005). Human Functional Neuroimaging of Brain Changes Associated
 with Practice. Cerebral Cortex, 15(8), 1089-1102.
- 719 Kendall, M., & Gibbons, J. D. (1990). Rank Correlation Methods. 5th Edition, Edward Arnold, London.
- 720 Kim, S., Nordling, J. K., Yoon, J. E., Boldt, L. J., & Kochanska, G. (2013). Effortful Control in "Hot"
- and "Cool" Tasks Differentially Predicts Children's Behavior Problems and Academic
 Performance. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 41(1), 43-56.
- Klingberg, T. (2010). Training and plasticity of working memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 14(7),
 317-324.
- Lewis, C. M., Baldassarre, A., Committeri, G., Romani, G. L., & Corbetta, M. (2009). Learning sculpts
 the spontaneous activity of the resting human brain. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences, 106(41), 17558-17563.
- Liu, C., Chen, Z., Wang, T., Tang, D., Hitchman, G., Sun, J., ... Chen, A. (2015). Predicting Stroop
 Effect from Spontaneous Neuronal Activity: A Study of Regional Homogeneity. PLOS ONE,
 10(5), e0124405.
- Liu, Q., Zhu, X., Ziegler, A., & Shi, J. (2015). The effects of inhibitory control training for preschoolers
 on reasoning ability and neural activity. Scientific Reports, 5, 14200.
- Lu, H., Zuo, Y., Gu, H., Waltz, J. A., Zhan, W., Scholl, C. A., ... & Stein, E. A. (2007). Synchronized
 delta oscillations correlate with the resting-state functional MRI signal. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences, 104(46), 18265-18269.
- Luna, B., Marek, S., Larsen, B., Tervo-Clemmens, B., & Chahal, R. (2015). An Integrative Model of
 the Maturation of Cognitive Control. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 38(1), 151-170.
- Luna, B., Padmanabhan, A., & O'Hearn, K. (2010). What has fMRI told us about the Development of
 Cognitive Control through Adolescence? Brain and Cognition, 72(1), 101-113.

- Luna B., & Wright, C. (2016). Adolescent brain development: Implications to the juvenile criminal
 justice system. In: K. Heilbrun (Ed.), APA Handbooks in Psychology: APA Handbook of
 Psychology and Juvenile Justice. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Manuel, A. L., Bernasconi, F., & Spierer, L. (2013). Plastic modifications within inhibitory control
 networks induced by practicing a stop-signal task: an electrical neuroimaging study. Cortex,
 49(4), 1141-1147.
- Manuel, A. L., Grivel, J., Bernasconi, F., Murray, M. M., & Spierer, L. (2010). Brain dynamics
 underlying training-induced improvement in suppressing inappropriate action. Journal of
 Neuroscience, 30(41), 13670-13678.
- Marcovitch, S., O'Brien, M., Calkins, S. D., Leerkes, E. M., Weaver, J. M., & Levine, D. W. (2015). A
 longitudinal assessment of the relation between executive function and theory of mind at 3, 4,
 and 5 years. Cognitive Development, 33, 40-55.
- Mazaïka, P. K., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Reiss, A., & Glover, G. (2007). Artifact Repair of fMRI data
 from High Motion Clinical Subjects. NeuroImage, 36(S142).
- Millner, A. J., Jaroszewski, A. C., Chamarthi, H., & Pizzagalli, D. A. (2012). Behavioral and
 electrophysiological correlates of training-induced cognitive control improvements.
 NeuroImage, 63(2), 742-753.
- Oldfield, R. C. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory.
 Neuropsychologia, 9(1), 97-113.
- Prencipe, A., Kesek, A., Cohen, J., Lamm, C., Lewis, M. D., & Zelazo, P. D. (2011). Development of
 hot and cool executive function during the transition to adolescence. Journal of Experimental
 Child Psychology, 108(3), 621-637.
- Rolls, E. T., Joliot, M., & Tzourio-Mazoyer, N. (2015). Implementation of a new parcellation of the
 orbitofrontal cortex in the automated anatomical labeling atlas. NeuroImage, 122, 1-5.
- Rubia, K., Smith, A. B., Woolley, J., Nosarti, C., Heyman, I., Taylor, E., & Brammer, M. (2006).
 Progressive increase of frontostriatal brain activation from childhood to adulthood during eventrelated tasks of cognitive control. Human Brain Mapping, 27(12), 973-993.
- Satterthwaite, T. D., Wolf, D. H., Erus, G., Ruparel, K., Elliott, M. A., Gennatas, E. D., ... Gur, R. E.
 (2013). Functional Maturation of the Executive System during Adolescence. Journal of
 Neuroscience, 33(41), 16249-16261.
- Schmidt, R. A., & Bjork, R. A. (1992). New Conceptualizations of Practice: Common Principles in
 Three Paradigms Suggest New Concepts for Training. Psychological Science, 3(4), 207-218.

- Shulman E.P., Smith A.R., Silva K., Icenogle G., Duell N., ..., & Steinberg L. (2016). The dual systems
 model: Review, reappraisal, and reaffirmation. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 17, 103
 117.
- 775 Seger, C. A. (2006). The Basal Ganglia in Human Learning. The Neuroscientist, 12(4), 285-290.
- Seger, C. A. (2008). How do the basal ganglia contribute to categorization? Their roles in generalization,
 response selection, and learning via feedback. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 32(2),
 265-278.
- Seger, C. A., & Cincotta, C. M. (2005). The Roles of the Caudate Nucleus in Human Classification
 Learning. Journal of Neuroscience, 25(11), 2941-2951.
- Seger, C. A., & Cincotta, C. M. (2006). Dynamics of Frontal, Striatal, and Hippocampal Systems during
 Rule Learning. Cerebral Cortex, 16(11), 1546-1555.
- Simon, G., Lubin, A., Houdé, O., & Neys, W. D. (2015). Anterior cingulate cortex and intuitive bias
 detection during number conservation. Cognitive Neuroscience, 6(4), 158-168.
- Somerville, L. H., Hare, T., & Casey, B. J. (2011). Frontostriatal Maturation Predicts Cognitive Control
 Failure to Appetitive Cues in Adolescents. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 23(9),
 2123-2134.
- Song, X.-W., Dong, Z.-Y., Long, X.-Y., Li, S.-F., Zuo, X.-N., Zhu, C.-Z., ... Zang, Y-F. (2011). REST:
 A Toolkit for Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Data Processing. PLOS
 ONE, 6(9), e25031.
- Spierer, L., Chavan, C. F., & Manuel, A. L. (2013). Training-induced behavioral and brain plasticity in
 inhibitory control. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, 427.
- Swick, D., Ashley, V., & Turken, U. (2011). Are the neural correlates of stopping and not going
 identical? Quantitative meta-analysis of two response inhibition tasks. NeuroImage, 56(3),
 1655-1665.
- Takeuchi, H., Taki, Y., Nouchi, R., Sekiguchi, A., Hashizume, H., Sassa, Y., ... Kawashima, R. (2015).
 Degree centrality and fractional amplitude of low-frequency oscillations associated with Stroop
 interference. NeuroImage, 119, 197-209.
- Talanow, T., & Ettinger, U. (2018). Effects of task repetition but no transfer of inhibitory control training
 in healthy adults. Acta Psychologica, 187, 37-53.
- Thorell, L. B., Lindqvist, S., Nutley, S. B., Bohlin, G., & Klingberg, T. (2009). Training and transfer
 effects of executive functions in preschool children. Developmental Science, 12(1), 106-113.

- Tian, L., Ren, J., & Zang, Y. (2012). Regional homogeneity of resting state fMRI signals predicts Stop
 signal task performance. NeuroImage, 60(1), 539-544.
- Tottenham, N., Tanaka, J. W., Leon, A. C., McCarry, T., Nurse, M., Hare, T. A., ... Nelson, C. (2009).
 The NimStim set of facial expressions: Judgments from untrained research participants.
 Psychiatry Research, 168(3), 242-249.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., ... Joliot,
 M. (2002). Automated Anatomical Labeling of Activations in SPM Using a Macroscopic
 Anatomical Parcellation of the MNI MRI Single-Subject Brain. NeuroImage, 15(1), 273-289.
- 811 Verbruggen, F., Adams, R., & Chambers, C. D. (2012). Proactive Motor Control Reduces Monetary
 812 Risk Taking in Gambling Proactive Motor Control Reduces Monetary Risk Taking in
 813 Gambling. Psychological Science, 23(7), 805-815.
- Yan, C., & Zang, Y. (2010). DPARSF: a MATLAB toolbox for « pipeline » data analysis of restingstate fMRI. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 4.
- Zang, Y., Jiang, T., Lu, Y., He, Y., & Tian, L. (2004). Regional homogeneity approach to fMRI data
 analysis. NeuroImage, 22(1), 394-400.
- Zhao, X., Chen, L., & Maes, J. H. R. (2018). Training and transfer effects of response inhibition training
 in children and adults. Developmental Science, 21(1), e12511.
- Zou, Q.-H., Zhu, C.-Z., Yang, Y., Zuo, X.-N., Long, X.-Y., Cao, Q.-J., ... Zang, Y-F. (2008). An
 improved approach to detection of amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) for resting-
- state fMRI: Fractional ALFF. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 172(1), 137-141.