

Aquatic carbon fluxes dampen the overall variation of net ecosystem productivity in the Amazon basin: An analysis of the interannual variability in the boundless carbon cycle

Adam Hastie, Ronny Lauerwald, Philippe Ciais, Pierre Régnier

▶ To cite this version:

Adam Hastie, Ronny Lauerwald, Philippe Ciais, Pierre Régnier. Aquatic carbon fluxes dampen the overall variation of net ecosystem productivity in the Amazon basin: An analysis of the interannual variability in the boundless carbon cycle. Global Change Biology, 2019, 25 (6), pp.2094-2111. 10.1111/gcb.14620. hal-02899755

HAL Id: hal-02899755 https://hal.science/hal-02899755

Submitted on 16 Jun2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Edinburgh Research Explorer

Aquatic carbon fluxes dampen the overall variation of net ecosystem productivity in the Amazon basin: An analysis of the interannual variability in the boundless carbon cycle

Citation for published version:

Hastie, A, Lauerwald, R, Ciais, P & Regnier, P 2019, 'Aquatic carbon fluxes dampen the overall variation of net ecosystem productivity in the Amazon basin: An analysis of the interannual variability in the boundless carbon cycle', *Global Change Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14620

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/gcb.14620

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Global Change Biology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	Aquatic carbon fluxes dampen the overall variation of net ecosystem
2	productivity in the Amazon basin: An analysis of the interannual
3	variability in the boundless carbon cycle.
4	Adam Hastie ¹ Ronny Lauerwald ^{1,2} Philippe Ciais ³
5	Pierre Regnier ¹
6	¹ Biogeochemistry and Earth System Modelling, Department of Geoscience, Environment and Society,
7	Universite Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
8	² College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
9	³ Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR8212, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ F-91191
10	Gif sur Yvette, France
11	
12	Abstract
13	The river-floodplain network plays an important role in the carbon (C) budget of the Amazon
14	basin, as it transports and processes a significant fraction of the C fixed by terrestrial
15	vegetation, most of which evades as CO ₂ from rivers and floodplains back to the atmosphere.
16	There is empirical evidence that exceptionally dry or wet years have an impact on the net C
17	balance in the Amazon. While seasonal and interannual variations in hydrology have a direct
18	impact on the amounts of C transferred through the river-floodplain system, it is not known
19	how far the variation of these fluxes affects the overall Amazon C budget.
20	Here, we introduce a new wetland forcing file for the ORCHILEAK model, which improves
21	the representation of floodplain dynamics and allows us to closely reproduce data-driven
22	estimates of net C exports through the river-floodplain network. Based on this new wetland
23	forcing and two climate forcing datasets, we show that across the Amazon, the percentage of
24	NPP lost to the river-floodplain system is highly variable at the interannual timescale and wet
25	years fuel aquatic CO ₂ evasion. However, at the same time overall net ecosystem productivity
26	(NEP) and C sequestration is highest during wet years, partly due to reduced decomposition
27	rates in water-logged floodplain soils. It is years with the lowest discharge and floodplain
28	inundation, often associated with El Nino events, that have the lowest NEP and the highest
29	total (terrestrial plus aquatic) CO ₂ emissions back to atmosphere. Furthermore, we find that

- 30 aquatic C fluxes display greater variation than terrestrial C fluxes, and that this variation
- aquate e nuxes display greater variation than terrestrial e nuxes, and that this variation
- 31 significantly dampens the interannual variability in NEP of the Amazon basin. These results

call for a more integrative view of the C fluxes through the vegetation-soil-river-floodplain
continuum, which directly places aquatic C fluxes into the overall C budget of the Amazon
basin.

35

36 1. Introduction

37 The land-ocean aquatic continuum (LOAC) is now well established as an important component of the global carbon (C) cycle (Ciais et al., 2013). Atmospheric C fixed in 38 terrestrial ecosystems and wetlands can be lost through respiration, and stored in biomass and 39 40 soil, but can also be transferred laterally to the LOAC as dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved CO₂. Along the LOAC this C can in turn 41 undergo biogeochemical transformations, be lost back to the atmosphere via CO₂ evasion, 42 transferred further downstream to estuaries and the coast, or undergo sedimentation in 43 wetlands (incl. lakes and reservoirs). It has been demonstrated at the catchment (Cole & 44 Caraco, 2001) to global scale (Battin et al., 2009; Regnier et al., 2013; Ciais et al. in review), 45 that these fluxes are important and should not be neglected in land C budgets. 46 Globally, there remains a high degree of uncertainty associated with the amounts of C being 47 transferred through and processed within the LOAC. Estimates of the total amount of 48 terrestrial C inputs to inland waters range widely from 1.1 to 5.1 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Cole at al., 2007; 49 Aufdenkampe et al., 2011; Regnier et al., 2013; Drake et al., 2017), reflecting the fact that 50 this flux is indirectly derived by summing estimates of aquatic CO₂ evasion, C exports to the 51 coast and burial in the LOAC. Of the three constituent fluxes, CO₂ evasion is the largest 52 53 (Drake et al., 2017) and thus uncertainties in CO₂ evasion dominate the subsequent uncertainty in the export of terrestrial C to inland waters. Moreover, aquatic CO₂ evasion is 54 highly spatially variable and hotspot regions have been identified; the boreal and tropical 55

regions contributing disproportionately to global CO₂ evasion from lakes (Hastie et al., 2018)
and rivers (Lauerwald et al., 2015), respectively.

In the Tropics, high terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP) and high rainfall drive a large 58 export of C to inland waters and in turn high aquatic CO₂ evasion. In 2002, Richey et al. 59 extrapolated observed pCO₂ measurements to estimate a total CO₂ evasion flux of 0.47 Pg C 60 yr⁻¹ from the inland waters of the Amazon Basin (upstream of Obidos, see Fig. S1), 13 times 61 greater than their 36 Tg C yr⁻¹ estimate of the total organic C (TOC) export to the coast. In 62 2013, Rasera et al. calculated a substantially higher CO₂ evasion of 0.8 Pg C yr⁻¹ over the 63 same basin area, largely as a result of higher values of gas exchange velocity (K_{600}). More 64 recently, Sawakuchi et al. (2017) added observations from the basin area downstream of 65 Obidos and concluded that CO₂ evasion from the entire Amazon Basin (down to mouth) 66 could potentially be as high as 1.39 Pg C yr⁻¹. 67

Previous studies have shown that there is considerable seasonal variation in aquatic CO₂ evasion. Richey et al. (2002), found that the partial pressure of CO₂ (pCO₂) and in turn CO₂ evasion was tightly coupled to discharge, increasing and decreasing with rising and falling water respectively. Moreover, they measured exceptionally high pCO₂ values (>44,000 µatm) on the floodplain of the mainstem of the Amazon, and speculated that the source of the C is likely to be organic matter exported from flooded forests.

This was later confirmed by Abril et al. (2014) who demonstrated that Amazonian wetlands export around 50% of their GPP to inland waters in contrast to the typical values of <2%exported from terrestrial landscapes. They went on to conclude that the lateral C flux from wetlands is enough to account for around 210 Tg C yr⁻¹ of the total CO₂ evasion flux from the inland waters of the Amazon river-floodplain network. A recent study by Almeida et al (2017) demonstrated that in addition to seasonal variation, large flood events also drive

80	interannual variation in CO ₂ evasion from the Madeira River (a tributary of the Amazon),	
81	namely that years with extreme flooding evade 20% more CO_2 to the atmosphere per unit	
82	area than years without. Another flux linked to flood events is C burial and a recent study	
83	estimated the POC burial flux in Amazon floodplain lakes at 16 Tg C yr ⁻¹ (Sanders et al.,	
84	2017), at least an order of magnitude lower than estimates of CO ₂ evasion.	
85	These observed seasonal and interannual signals in C fluxes are particularly important given	
86	that the region is increasingly vulnerable to extreme climatic events such as droughts and	
87	floods (Marengo et al., 2011; Chou et al., 2013; Gloor et al., 2013; Zulkafli et al., 2016).	
88	Indeed, recent studies have shown substantial decreases in terrestrial net primary productivity	
89	(NPP), and in turn C uptake from the atmosphere as a result of the 2005 and 2010 droughts	
90	(Zhao & Running, 2010; Potter et al, 2011; Gatti et al., 2014; Doughty et al., 2015 and	
91	Feldpausch et al., 2016). However, most of these studies do not account for LOAC fluxes.	
92	For these reasons, it is important that we understand the interannual variation in LOAC fluxes	
93	and how they influence the overall net ecosystem production (NEP) of the entire Amazon	
94	Basin.	
95	With this in mind, we aim to tackle the following research questions:	
96	• To what extent do the LOAC fluxes (aquatic CO ₂ evasion and C export to the coast)	
97	vary inter-annually and seasonally throughout the entire Amazon Basin?	
98	• How does interannual variation in discharge and flooding affect the LOAC fluxes,	
99	terrestrial NPP, soil heterotrophic respiration (SHR) and ultimately the NEP of the	
100	Amazon Basin, particularly in the context of increasing climatic extremes? More	
101	specifically, does the incorporation of LOAC fluxes amplify or dampen variation in	
102	NEP?	

Upscaling studies and empirical models are useful in providing estimates of individual
components of the LOAC fluxes for the present day. However, these methods cannot
represent the interaction between the different aspects of the Amazon Basin C cycle. A more
complex and integrated modelling approach is required to understand and, ultimately, predict
the longer-term variation in LOAC fluxes and how this variation affects the net C balance of
these ecosystems.

In 2017, Lauerwald et al. developed the first full Land Surface Model (ORCHILEAK model) 109 approach to represent the lateral C fluxes along the LOAC in the Amazon Basin and similarly 110 demonstrated the significance of wetlands, concluding that 51% of total CO₂ evasion comes 111 from the floodplains. The study estimated a total CO₂ evasion of 379 C Tg yr⁻¹, close to the 112 value produced by Richey et al. from up-scaling of measurements. In addition, they 113 substantiated the idea that wetlands are a disproportionately important source of C to rivers, 114 calculating that the CO₂ inputs from root and heterotrophic respiration in flooded soils are 115 almost twice that from non-flooded soils. 116

The land surface model approach undertaken by Lauerwald et al. (2017) provides a valuable 117 tool for further research, in particular the capability to make future projections of the LOAC 118 119 C fluxes. However, while they were able to reproduce the seasonality in discharge on the main stem of the Amazon, the total flooded area was substantially underestimated when 120 compared to the observed data of Richey et al., 2013 (after Hess et al., 2003). This is because 121 Lauerwald et al. (2017) relied on the coarse (0.25°) global inundation dataset of Prigent et al. 122 (2007), which tends to underestimate the total floodable area (Lauerwald et al., 2017). Given 123 previous estimates of the magnitude of the CO₂ evasion flux from the Amazon floodplain, the 124 importance of wetlands, and the region's increasing vulnerability to climatic extremes; it is 125 vital that we can accurately model its floodplain dynamics. 126

127 In this study, an improved representation of floodplain and wetland dynamics is achieved through the production of a new floodplain forcing file for the ORCHILEAK model, from the 128 high resolution (100m or 0.0008°) synthetic aperture radar (SAR) dataset of Hess et al. 129 130 (2015). We use this new forcing file to improve the simulation of the interannual variation of LOAC fluxes. In turn, we are able to address the research questions previously outlined, and 131 more specifically to evaluate the impact of flood extent on the dynamics of LOAC fluxes, and 132 ultimately how interannual variation in these aquatic C fluxes influences the overall variation 133 in NEP in the Amazon. 134

135

136 2. Methods

137 2.1 A brief description of the ORCHILEAK land surface model

ORCHILEAK (Lauerwald et al., 2017) is a new model branch of ORCHIDEE (Organizing 138 139 Carbon and Hydrology in Dynamic Ecosystems) (Krinner et al. 2005), the land surface component of the Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL) earth system model (ESM). It 140 141 simulates the production of DOC in the canopy and soils, the leaching of DOC and CO₂ from 142 soils to the river network, DOC mineralization and the subsequent CO₂ evasion from the water surface. Crucially, it also simulates the exchange of C between litter, soils and water on 143 floodplains and in swamps. The representation of these fluxes is in turn closely coupled to the 144 hydrology scheme, namely the representation of precipitation, throughfall, surface runoff, 145 drainage, and the routing of discharge along the river-floodplain network. At the same time, 146 ORCHILEAK also simulates vegetation dynamics of 12 plant functional types, 5 of which 147 are present in the Amazon, as well as the C balance of biomass, litter and soils. In short, 148 ORCHILEAK integrates LOAC fluxes within a full representation of the terrestrial C cycling 149 as simulated by ORCHIDEE. However, in its current form ORCHILEAK does not account 150

for the burial of POC in fluvial and floodplain sediments or the evasion of C to the
atmosphere as CH₄. These fluxes are further discussed later. While the model does not
simulate the lateral transport of POC, it does account for the decomposition of submerged
litter as a substantial source of DOC and dissolved CO₂ to the water column; in other words,
POC from submerged litter is assumed to decompose locally in ORCHILEAK. The model is
described in more detail in the proceeding sections. For a full model description, as well as a
discussion on model assumptions and limitations, please refer to Lauerwald et al. (2017).

158 2.2 Overview of the hydrology, soil C scheme, and the transport and transformation of

159 aquatic C fluxes in ORCHILEAK

Precipitation and other meteorological input parameters are prescribed by a forcing file. The 160 hydrology module of ORCHILEAK, just like that of the standard version of ORCHIDEE, 161 162 partitions the precipitation between interception loss in the vegetation canopy and throughfall to the ground. The throughfall is further partitioned into infiltration and surface runoff. The 163 soil water storage is refilled by infiltration and depleted by evapotranspiration and drainage. 164 The soil hydrology is represented using a 2 m soil column vertically discretized into 11 layers 165 of geometrically increasing thickness from top to bottom. These processes are all represented 166 at a 30 min time step (see d'Orgeval et al., 2008, Rosnay et al., 2002 for details). 167

168 ORCHILEAK incorporates a soil C module largely based on ORCHIDEE-SOM (Camino-

169 Serrano, 2018). The soil module uses the hydrological module outputs to simulate microbial

170 production and consumption of DOC, sorption and desorption of DOC on soil organic matter,

the advection and diffusion of DOC and dissolved CO₂ within the soil column and their

subsequent lateral export via runoff and drainage as well as the throughfall of DOC onto the

soil or water surface. There are 3 pools of DOC in the soil which are defined by their source

material and residence times (τ_{carbon}); the active, slow and passive pool. ORCHILEAK

distinguishes between flooded and non-flooded soils; decomposition rates of litter, SOC and
DOC being 3 times lower in flooded soils. Furthermore, it simulates the input of C to the
water column from flooded soils; DOC from litter and SOC decomposition from the top 4.5
cm of the soil column feeds directly to the DOC pool of the overlying waterbody.

The river routing module of ORCHILEAK routes the runoff and drainage from the hydrology 179 module and the corresponding dissolved C fluxes from the soil C module as river flow at a 180 daily time-step along a gridded river routing scheme at 0.5° resolution (Vorosmarty et al., 181 2000). The river network is connected to two sorts of wetland, floodplains and swamps. 182 Where a swamp is present, a constant fraction of the river flow is feeding into the bottom of 183 the soil column. Where a floodplain is present, a temporary water body of time-variant 184 surface and volume may be formed beside the river channel and it is fed by a fraction of river 185 186 flow when bank-full discharge is surpassed. In the case of the Amazon basin, the bankfull discharge threshold was defined as the median discharge simulated over the period 1980-187 2000 (see Lauerwald et al., 2017). From the inundated floodplain, water and dissolved C may 188 infiltrate back into the soil or flow back into the river channel, while water may also 189 evaporate. The maximal floodable area (MFF) and the areal fraction of swamps (MFS) per 190 191 simulation grid is prescribed by a forcing file. The water that infiltrates back into the soil is returned to the hydrology module. The dissolved C contained in that water is returned to the 192 193 soil C module.

ORCHILEAK simulates the transport and decomposition of terrestrial C inputs within the routing scheme, with the assumption that the lateral transport of DOC and CO₂ are proportional to discharge. Within the water column, DOC is separated into a labile and refractory pool, with half-life times of 2 and 80 days, respectively. The labile pool corresponds to the active pool of the soil C scheme, while the refractory pool is derived from the slow and passive soil solution DOC pools combined. In order to ensure numerical 200 precision, CO₂ production and evasion from the water column, as well CO₂ inputs from flooded litter and SOC are simulated at the high temporal resolution of 1/240 day (6 min). 201 pCO_2 is calculated at the same 6 min time-step based on the dissolved CO_2 concentration, and 202 203 the temperature-dependent solubility of CO_2 . pCO_2 is then used along with a gas exchange velocity and a diurnally variable water surface area, to calculate CO₂ evasion. Fixed gas 204 exchange values of 3.5 m d⁻¹ and 0.65 m d⁻¹ are used for rivers (and open floodplains) and 205 forested floodplains, respectively. Flooded forests are given a lower gas exchange velocity 206 due to the reduced impact of wind (i.e. lower wind speeds). For a more detailed explanation, 207 208 see Lauerwald et al. (2017).

209 2.3 New wetland forcing files

The original routing scheme of ORCHIDEE used universal MFF and MFS derived from the 210 211 Global Lakes and Wetlands Database (GLWD, Lehner and Doll, 2004) that were shown to considerably underestimate inundated areas in the Amazon (Guimberteau et al., 2012). As a 212 result, Guimberteau et al. developed new MFF and MFS based on the 0.25° datasets of 213 Prigent et al. (2007) and Martinez and Le Toan (2007), respectively. This led to some 214 improvement but inundation was still substantially underestimated in the Amazon Basin. 215 In the Guimberteau datasets, "swamps", defined as the vegetated part of maximum 216 floodplain, were subtracted from the MFF and used to create the separate swamp (MFS) 217 forcing file. In ORCHILEAK (Lauerwald et al., 2017), swamps were reincorporated into the 218 MFF forcing file, creating a larger, more realistic MFF, and representing the total flooded 219 area from which inland water CO₂ is evading. While these modifications again led to some 220 221 improvement in the representation of floodplains and swamps in ORCHILEAK, it fundamentally still relied on a low resolution (0.25°) dataset, missing smaller areas of 222

inundation, and meaning that the overall maximum floodplain extent was too small(Lauerwald et al., 2017).

225 With these limitations in mind, we created a new maximal fraction of floodplain (MFF, Fig.1) forcing file for the ORCHILEAK model based on the 100m Synthetic Aperture Radar 226 (SAR) data described in Hess et al. (2015, see Fig.1 a). This dataset represents different 227 228 wetland types during the 1996 May-June flood season. Firstly, we merged all of the wetland categories in Fig. 1 a) into one class, with the exception of the 'non-wetland within the 229 Amazon Basin', 'Open water' and 'Elevation ≥ 500 m, in Basin' categories. We then 230 aggregated the merged dataset to a resolution of 0.5° (Fig.1 b). Note that in the MFF we 231 included three classes of land cover that were not flooded during the 1996 flood season, 232 namely 'non-flooded shrubs', 'non-flooded woodlands' and 'non-flooded forest' (classes 44, 233 234 66 and 88). This decision is based on the justification provided in Hess et al. that these "areas not flooded on either date, but adjacent to flooded areas and displaying landforms consistent 235 with wetland geomorphology". In other words, while these areas were not flooded in 1996, 236 they are likely prone to inundation in other years with greater precipitation and thus should be 237 included in maximum flood extent. Across the Amazon basin, the new forcing file prescribes 238 an average MFF of 13.6%, approximately twofold greater than the 6.3% produced with the 239 original ORCHILEAK forcing derived from Prigent et al. (2007) (Fig. 1 c, d). The addition 240 241 of the 44, 66 and 88 land cover classes makes a moderate difference; we produce an average MFF of 10% without these 3 classes. For comparison, we also aggregated the 232m 242 resolution wetland dataset of Gumbricht et al. (2017). Assuming that all of the wetland 243 categories in Gumbricht et al. (2017) contribute to the maximum flood extent, we produce an 244 245 average MFF of 14.9%. However, we chose to use the MFF derived from Hess et al. (2015) as it is measured at a higher resolution and considers wetlands as synonymous with 246 floodplains, while Gumbricht et al. (2017) has a wider definition. In order to account for the 247





Figure 1. a) Wetland classification within the Amazon Basin (Hess et al., 2015), b); the new maximal fraction of floodplain (MFF) forcing file derived from Hess et al. (2015) data, c) the previous MFF forcing file (Guimberteau et al., 2012) and d) the difference between the new and old MFF. In pane d), "+ve" refers to an increase in MFF with the new MF forcing, while "-ve" refers to a decrease. Maps in panels b-d are at a resolution of 0.5°.







Figure 2. a) Swamps and ferns classification within Amazon Basin from Gumbricht et al (2017)) b); the new maximal fraction of swamps (MFS) forcing file derived from Gumbricht et al. (2017) data, c); the previous MFS forcing file (Lauerwald et al., 2017) and d) the

difference between the new and old MFS. In pane d), "+ve" refers to an increase in MFF with
 the new MF forcing, while "-ve" refers to a decrease. Maps in panels b-d are at a resolution
 of 0.5°.

279

280 2.4 Simulation Set-up

281 Model configuration

The model was initially run from 1980 until 2000 using two different climate forcing 282 datasets, namely Princeton GPCC (Sheffield et al., 2006), and a regionally updated version of 283 NCC (Ngo-Duc et al., 2005) which was introduced by Guimberteau et al. (2012). This was 284 done in order to test which dataset is able to better recreate observed discharge and the 285 associated seasonal and interannual variability in floodplain inundation, as well as to account 286 for the uncertainty associated with choice of climate forcing. With the combination of the two 287 climate forcing files and the three MFF forcing files, we ran six different model 288 configurations. Model parameterisation can also cause uncertainty such as the setting of 289 decomposition rate constants for labile and refractory DOC within ORCHILEAK. However, 290 the impact of these parameters was already investigated via a sensitivity analyses in the paper 291 describing the development of the ORCHILEAK model (Lauerwald et al., 2017). As such, 292 we chose to focus on climate forcing and floodplain area as sources of uncertainty in 293 294 combination with substantial validation against observations and model outputs from the literature. 295

The original ORCHILEAK simulation (Lauerwald et al., 2017) used only the updated NCC climate forcing. Here, we ran four simulations with the NCC climate forcing dataset; one with the new versions of MFF (hereafter referred to as "standard MFF") and MFS, two more to account for the uncertainty in MFF (MFF+7 & MFF-7), and another with the old MFF (Lauerwald et al., 2017), in order to determine the impact of the new wetland forcing files. We ran the Princeton GPCC simulations with the new versions of MFF and MFS only (three
runs). Model parameterisation follows Lauerwald et al. (2017).

303 Hydrology statistics

Following Lauerwald et al. (2017), we calculated a series of statistical parameters in order to 304 calibrate the flood dynamics of the model in a robust and consistent manner. After an initial 305 run, we calculated bank-full discharge and the median water storage for each grid cell (1980-306 2000), for each model configuration. Any discharge in excess of the median water storage 307 will overtop and begin to inundate the floodplains. After updating bank-full discharge and re-308 running each model configuration, we calculated the 95th percentile of all simulated water 309 level heights (1980-2000) for each grid cell. This represents the maximum water level, at 310 which the maximum floodable area is inundated. Once this was updated, each model 311 312 configuration was re-run once more.

313 Soil carbon spin up

In order to reach a steady state soil carbon pool, we spun-up the model for a total of 314 approximately 7,000 years, looping over 10 years of climate forcing data (1948-1957). To 315 316 reach steady state more quickly, we first ran the model for 2000 years with the default soil carbon residence time (τ_{carbon}) values halved and a constant atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 317 350 µatm. Land-cover, representative of the first year of climate forcing data (1948), 318 remained constant over these spin-up runs. After this procedure, all of the soil C pools were 319 approximately at steady state (<0.01% change over the last century of the spin up). Note that 320 it is assumed that soil C pools were in quasi steady state before significant human impact. 321

322 Transient simulations

We then performed a transient (industrial) run from 1860, until the year that the particular climate forcing dataset starts from (for example to 1948 for Princeton GPCC), again looping over 10 years of climate data but with transient land-cover (LUH-CMIP5) and atmospheric
CO₂. Finally, we performed a fully transient simulation (land-cover, atmospheric CO₂ and
climate) to the final year of each climate forcing dataset. Note that the NCC climate forcing
data is only available until 2000 while the Princeton GPCC data runs until 2010.

329 2.5 Model evaluation and analysis of simulation results

We started by evaluating the hydrology, concentrating on flooded area as this was 330 underestimated in the original ORCHILEAK model set up (Lauerwald et al., 2017). The new 331 MFF and MFS forcing files meant that we had to re-evaluate both discharge and floodplain 332 inundation dynamics. We firstly focused on recreating observed discharge at Obidos (Fig. 333 S1), the most downstream gauging station for which an observed time-series is available 334 335 (Cochonneau et al., 2006). Total flooded area of the central quadrant of the Amazon basin 336 (Fig. S1) was tested against remote sensing data (Melack et al., 2011). Note that the Melack et al. dataset uses the same wetland mask as we use here, but the seasonality and area of 337 inundation is completely independent. We then performed a model validation for the DOC 338 and aquatic CO₂ evasion fluxes using the same validation data and methodology as described 339 in Lauerwald et al. (2017), as well as an in-depth comparison of our results to those of 340 previous studies. In addition, we examined the interannual variation of both the terrestrial 341 (meaning NPP and SHR) and aquatic C fluxes (also referred to as LOAC fluxes, and meaning 342 CO₂ evasion from the water surface and the export flux of C to the coast) of the Amazon, and 343 assessed how this variation relates to rainfall and temperature variation through linear 344 regression analysis. As we found long-term (decadal) trends in several of the fluxes, most 345 notably NPP (Tables S2 & S3), we detrended the annual times series using the Detrend 346 function within the "SpecsVerification" package in R (R Core Team 2013), before 347 performing the regression analyses using STATISTICATM. Finally, we sum the various C 348

- fluxes to calculate the net C balance of the Amazon Basin (see 2.6) and examine the
- 350 importance of the LOAC fluxes to the overall C balance.
- 351 2.6 Calculating the net carbon balance of the Amazon

352 In order to estimate the net C balance of the Amazon basin, we summed the terrestrial and

aquatic C fluxes to estimate Net Ecosystem Production (NEP) and Net Biome Production

354 (NBP). Positive values of NEP and NBP correspond to a net sink.

355 We define NEP as follows:

$$356 \qquad NEP = NPP + TF - SHR - FCO_2 - LE_{Aquatic} \tag{1}$$

Where *NPP* is terrestrial net primary production, *TF* is the throughfall flux of DOC, *SHR* is soil heterotrophic respiration (only the part evading from the soil surface); *FCO*₂ is CO₂ evasion from the water surface and $LE_{Aquatic}$ is the export flux of C to the coast. *NBP* is the same as *NEP* but with the addition of the C lost (or gained) through land use change (*LUC*, including fires and the export of woody biomass) and crop harvest (*Harvest*):

$$362 NBP = NEP - (LUC + Harvest) (2)$$

363

364 3. Results

365 3.1 Representation of Hydrology

366 The model is able to reproduce river discharge at Obidos (1980-2000), the farthest

367 downstream river gauge (Fig. S1), both in terms of total magnitude and seasonal variability.

- 368 Simulation with the old floodplain/swamp forcing used by Lauerwald et al. (2017) and
- 369 simulations based on the new floodplain/swamp forcing file showed a similarly good
- performance (Fig.3-1a-c, Table 1). There was no substantial difference in the simulated

discharge from the Amazon basin after the implementation of the new floodplain. However,
the new floodplain forcing substantially improved the ability of the model to reproduce the
seasonality in flooded area (Fig. 3-2a-c); Nash Sutcliffe-Efficiency (NSE) and Root Mean
Square Error (RMSE) were 0.91 and 12% respectively with the new floodplain forcing,
compared to -0.75 and 32% with the old (Table 1).

Comparing model runs driven by the two different climate forcing, NCC and Princeton
GPCC climate data, we find a similarly good performance as well. With both forcing data
sets, we were able to recreate the observed mean magnitude and seasonality in discharge at
Obidos (1980-2000) (Fig. 3-1 a, b) and flooded area in the central (Fig. S1) Amazon (19811996) (Fig. 3-2 a, b).

While the model was mostly able to reproduce the observed interannual variation in 381 discharge, there was some minor difference in performance related to the choice of climate 382 forcing (Figure 4, Table S1). The simulation driven by the Princeton GPPC data had an NSE 383 of 0.79 and a RMSE of 4% against observations, compared to 0.50 and 7% for the NCC run 384 (Figure 4, Table S1). The year with the highest observed discharge was 1989 with a mean of 385 199 10³ m³s⁻¹. The Princeton GPCC run correctly simulated 1989 as the year with the highest 386 discharge, with a mean of 194 10³ m³s⁻¹. The NCC run ranks 1989 as the year with the 387 second highest discharge, and actually predicts a higher 1989 mean discharge of 203 10³ m³s⁻ 388 ¹. With NCC, the year with highest discharge is 1982, which is the 5th highest discharge in 389 the observed time series. Conversely, the NCC simulation correctly modelled 1992 as the 390 year with the lowest discharge (146 10³ m³s⁻¹) while the run driven with Princeton ranked 391 1992 second lowest (Figure 4, Table S1). It is important to note that the differences in 392 observed discharge between both the highest (1989) and second highest (1994), and lowest 393 (1992) and second lowest (1983) are minor (Figure 4, Table S1). 394







400		
401	Figure 4. Annual variation of simulated vs	Observed
401	observed discharge (Cochonneau et al.,	
402	2006) at Obidos (1980-2000) for a); run with	— Modelled
	NCC climate forcing with standard MFF, b)	
403	Princeton GPCC climate forcing with	
404	standard MFF and c) NCC climate forcing	
	with old MFF & MFS	
405		

- 407
- 408
- 409
- 410
- 411
- 412

	Table 1. Performance statistics for modelled versus observed discharge Q at Obidos and flooded area in the central Amazon basin for different climate forcing configurations					t Obidos			
	Seasona	lity in Q at	t Obidos	Floode	d area in o	central	Interanı	nual varia	tion in Q
	(1980-2000)		Amazon (1981-1996)			at Obidos (1980-2000)			
Climate	RSME	NSE	R ²	RSME	NSE	R ²	RSME	NSE	R ²
forcing									
NCC	9%	0.91	0.95	12%	0.91	0.91	7%	0.50	0.66
Princeton GPCC	6%	0.94	0.95	13%	0.89	0.90	4%	0.79	0.81
NCC (old MFF & MES)	6%	0.95	0.95	32%	-0.75	0.97	6%	0.62	0.67

414 3.2 Carbon fluxes along the Amazon Basin

We estimate a long-term mean (1980-2000 across six model runs) NPP rate of 1,214 (1,204-415 1,223) g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (range represents the variation caused by the combination of the two 416 climate forcing and the three MFF forcing files; standard, MFF +7 and MFF-7), amounting to 417 a total NPP of 6.81 (6.75-6.86) Pg C yr⁻¹ for the entire Amazon Basin (5.6 x 10^6 km²). If we 418 only consider the uncertainty associated with climate forcing alone, the range is reduced to 419 6.77-6.85 Pg C yr⁻¹. The effect of the new MFF and MFS on NPP was negligible; mean 420 annual NPP being 1,220 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (total of 6.84 Pg C yr⁻¹) and 1,222 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (total of 421 6.85 Pg C yr⁻¹) with the original (Lauerwald et al., 2017) and new forcing files, respectively, 422 both driven by NCC. We estimate a mean annual soil heterotrophic respiration (SHR) of 5.87 423 (5.62-6.16) Pg C yr⁻¹. The new forcing file had a significantly greater effect on SHR than on 424 NPP; the original forcing file (with NCC) produces a higher mean annual SHR of 6.30 Pg C 425

426 yr⁻¹, compared to 5.94 Pg C yr⁻¹ (with NCC) this difference due to the greater suppression of
427 organic matter decomposition with the new MFF (Rueda-Delgado et al., 2006). We estimate
428 a mean annual throughfall DOC flux (TF) of 79 (78-79) Tg C yr⁻¹.

We simulate a mean annual (1980-2000) CO₂ evasion of 746 (526-998) Tg C yr⁻¹ from the

water surfaces of the Amazon basin, a 97% increase from the 379 Tg C yr⁻¹ produced with 430 the original ORCHILEAK configuration (Lauerwald et al., 2017). If we only include the 431 uncertainty associated with climate forcing, we produce a mean of 729 Tg C yr⁻¹ and the 432 range is substantially reduced to 700-758 Tg C yr⁻¹, meaning that the majority of the 433 uncertainty in the evasion flux comes from the MFF forcing. We attribute approximately 75% 434 of the CO₂ evasion flux to the floodplain compared to 51% in the original study (Lauerwald 435 et al., 2017). With the new MFF forcing, we moderately improved the reproduction of 436 437 observed CO₂ evasion fluxes during low (monthly avg. discharge < yearly avg. discharge) and high flow (monthly avg. discharge > yearly avg. discharge) periods at three sites in the 438 Amazon (Rasera et al., 2013, Fig. 5) ($R^2 = 0.80$, RMSE = 1.4 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ vs R² = 0.69. 439 RMSE = 1.9 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, with new (a) and old MFF (c) respectively, both driven by 440 NCC). The performance was further improved with the Princeton GPCC climate data; R² 441 =0.93, RMSE = 1.4 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 5, b). 442

443



444

Figure 5. Observed versus simulated CO₂ evasion rates per water surface area for a); run with NCC climate forcing (standard MFF), b) Princeton GPCC climate forcing (standard MFF) and c) NCC climate forcing with old MFF & MFS. Observed data are from Rasera et al. (2013). Reported are means of the observed values, 2006 -2010. The simulated values refer to the mean evasion rate during low (monthly avg. discharge < yearly avg. discharge) and high flow periods (monthly avg. discharge > yearly avg. discharge) (1981–



2000), see Figure 3. Note that the scale of the axes c) is slightly different to a) and b).

- 451 38 (33-44) Tg C yr⁻¹. In Figure 6, we compare simulated DOC flux against the observations
- 452 at several sites (see Fig. S1 for locations) and find that the model can recreate the temporal

variation in DOC relatively well (Table S5). The effect of the new forcing files is mixed, with 453 the performance improving at some sites but worsening at others (Fig. 6). The largest impact 454 can be seen at Obidos where the new forcing files result in a substantially larger DOC flux 455 during high flow. The model run using the old MFF and MFS appears to perform better at 456 moderate discharge, while the new set up appears to perform better during periods when 457 observed DOC is very high (i.e. 1990). Both appear to overestimate DOC flux at Obidos 458 during low flow. We simulate a mean annual flux (to the coast) of dissolved CO₂ of 7.1 (6.8-459 7.7) Tg C yr⁻¹. 460



461

462

463

- 465 3.3 The net carbon balance of the Amazon Basin
- 466 The long-term mean (1980-2000) C balance; that is the components of the Net Ecosystem
- 467 Production (NEP, equation 1), is presented in Fig. 7. We estimate a mean (1980-2000) NEP
- 468 of 0.23 (0.15-0.33) Pg C yr⁻¹ and a mean Net Biome Production (NBP, equation 2) of 0.04 (-

0.04-0.14) Pg C yr⁻¹. Using the original floodplain and swamp forcing files (with NCC), we
estimate a mean annual NEP of 0.17 Pg C yr⁻¹. Using the same set up (with NCC) but with
the new MFF and MFS forcing files we produce a higher sink of 0.21 Pg C yr⁻¹.



Figure 7. Simulated annual C budget (NEP) for the Amazon basin annual mean (1980-2000), where NEP is net ecosystem production, NPP is terrestrial net primary productivity, TF is throughfall, SHR is soil heterotrophic respiration, FCO_2 is aquatic CO₂ evasion, LOAC is C leakage to the land-ocean aquatic continuum (FCO_2 + to coast), and $LE_{Aquatic}$ is the export C flux to the coast. Numbers refer to mean across the six simulations while numbers in parentheses refer to range.

472

473 3.4 Interannual variation of the C fluxes within the Amazon Basin

474	Our results show considerable interannual variation in NPP, from a mean low of 6.41 (6.29-
475	6.52) Pg C yr ⁻¹ in 1983, to a high of 7.16 (7.14-7.16) Pg C yr ⁻¹ in 1996 (Fig.8-a), though the
476	Princeton GPCC simulation, which runs until 2010, has several years (2006-2009 inclusive)
477	with slightly higher NPP. This variation has a strong positive correlation with precipitation
478	(detrended $R^2 = 0.48$, p<0.001 with NCC; detrended $R^2 = 0.43$, p<0.0001 with Princeton
479	GPCC, Table S6 & S7, Fig. 9-a) and a strong negative correlation with temperature
480	(detrended $R^2 = 0.56$, p<0.0001 with NCC; detrended $R^2 = 0.43$, p<0.0001 with Princeton
481	GPCC, Table S6 & S7, Fig. 9-b). In addition, NPP is inversely correlated with the
482	multivariate ENSO index (MEI, sum of monthly MEI from July of preceding year to June of
483	concurrent year, detrended $R^2 = 0.40$, p<0.01 with NCC; detrended $R^2 = 0.35$, p<0.001 with







Figure 8. Simulated annual variation in NEP and its components over the Amazon Basinfrom 1980-2000 (2010 in case of Princeton GPCC).

502	Our results also show considerable inter-annual (1980-2000) variation in inland water CO_2
503	evasion from a mean low of 571 (402-759) Tg C yr ⁻¹ in 1980 to a high of 920 (633-1,267)
504	Tg C yr ⁻¹ in 1982 (Fig.8-c), strongly correlated with precipitation (detrended $R^2 = 0.55$,
505	p<0.001 with NCC; detrended $R^2 = 0.64$, p<0.0001 with Princeton GPCC, Table S6 & S7)
506	and inversely correlated with temperature (detrended $R^2 = 0.21$, p<0.05 with NCC; detrended
507	$R^2 = 0.18$, p<0.05 with Princeton GPCC, Table S6 & S7). While both model runs rank 1982
508	as having the highest CO ₂ evasion over the simulation period (1980-2000), there is some
509	divergence in regards to the lowest ranking year. The NCC run ranks 1980 lowest with 584
510	(422-759) Tg C yr ⁻¹ whereas the Princeton GPCC run ranks 1998 lowest with a total of 538
511	(399-685) Tg C yr ⁻¹ . In 1980 the Amazon rainy season was exceptionally dry (Andreoli et
512	al., 2012), while 1998 coincides with a strong El Nino event (Fig.9-c) and associated
513	anomalously low precipitation and high temperatures (Wenhong et al., 2011; Gloor et al.,
514	2013, 2015). Conversely, 1982 experienced an exceptionally wet rainy season (Andreoli et
515	al., 2012). These temporal patterns are also exhibited in the rainfall and temperature
516	parameters from both of the climate forcings used in this study (Fig.9).
517	At the interannual timescale, aquatic CO ₂ evasion is only weakly to moderately correlated
518	with NPP (detrended $R^2 = 0.19$, p<0.05 NCC run; detrended $R^2 = 0.28$, p<0.01 with
519	Princeton GPCC run, Table S6 & S7) and therefore the proportion of NPP lost through the
520	LOAC is variable, ranging from 9% to 13%. In contrast, inland water CO ₂ evasion is strongly
521	inversely correlated with SHR (detrended $R^2 = 0.76$, p<0.0001 NCC run; detrended $R^2 =$
522	0.66, p<0.0001 with Princeton GPCC run, Table S6 & S7), indicating that years with less
523	SHR have more evasion, and vice versa. Again, we find considerable interannual variation in

C flux to the coast (Fig. 8 d) displaying a similar pattern to aquatic CO_2 evasion (aquatic CO_2 evasion *versus* C flux to coast $R^2 = 0.48$ for NCC, p<0.001; $R^2 = 0.64$ p<0.0001 for Princeton GPCC, Table S6 & S7). Overall, and in relative terms, the LOAC fluxes show far greater interannual variation than the terrestrial C fluxes. For example, aquatic CO_2 evasion (NCC, 1980-2000) has a coefficient of variation (CV) of 11.7%, while the lateral flux of C to the coast has a CV of 13.6%. In contrast, NPP and SHR have a CV of only 2.9% and 1.5%, respectively.



Figure 9. Interannual variation in a) rainfall andb) temperature. c) Monthly multivariate ENSOIndex from 1980-2010 (Wolter et al., 2011)



534

As with its constituent components, simulated NEP shows considerable interannual variation 535 (Figure 8-e) from a low of -0.05 (-0.11 - 0.03) Pg C yr⁻¹ in 1983 to a high of 0.52 (0.41- 0.64) 536 Pg C yr⁻¹ in 1996. NEP is positively correlated with rainfall (detrended $R^2 = 0.27$, p<0.05 537 NCC run; detrended $R^2 = 0.25$, p<0.01 with Princeton GPCC run, Table S6 & S7) and 538 negatively correlated with temperature (detrended $R^2 = 0.45$, p<0.001 NCC run; detrended R^2 539 = 0.41, p<0.001 with Princeton GPCC run, Table S6 & S7). The association with ENSO 540 (detrended $R^2 = 0.35$, p<0.01 NCC run; detrended $R^2 = 0.26$, p<0.01 with Princeton GPCC 541 run, Table S6 & S7) can be clearly seen in the simulated time series of NEP. Of the top six 542 years with the lowest NEP (largest source of C to the atmosphere), four coincide with strong 543 El Nino events, namely 1983, 1988, 1987 and 1998. Conversely, several of the years with the 544 highest NEP (largest sink of atmospheric CO₂) take place during La Nina events, notably the 545 strong La Nina event of 1988-1989, which results in the second highest simulated NEP; note 546 that 2011 was one of the strongest La Nina on record but is not included in our forcing 547 period. Taking the Princeton GPCC run alone, 2010 has the lowest NEP being a net CO₂ 548 source to the atmosphere of -0.12 Pg C yr⁻¹ (-0.14- -0.07) and coincides with another El Nino 549 event combined with anomalously high Atlantic sea surface temperatures (SSTs) (Lewis et 550 al., 2011). 551

We diagnosed the covariance between aquatic CO₂ evasion and the terrestrial C balance (defined as NPP-SHR) to determine how the variance in aquatic CO₂ evasion contributes to the overall variance in NEP across the simulation period. We find a negative covariance between aquatic CO₂ evasion and the terrestrial C balance of -0.024 and -0.022 for NCC and Princeton GPCC, respectively. Moreover, the terrestrial C balance is substantially more sensitive to changes in both precipitation and temperature than NEP (Tables S8-S11). For example (NCC run, Table S8), across the Amazon basin we find that the terrestrial C balance increases by 120 Tg C yr⁻¹ for every 100mm increase in rainfall, while NEP only increases by 57 Tg C yr⁻¹. Note that these values are based on simple linear regression and thus the sensitivity to rainfall may be exaggerated but this is the case for both values. As a consequence of this change in sensitivity, the variation of the budget is less pronounced

As a consequence of this change in sensitivity, the variation of the budget is less pronounced once the aquatic components are incorporated; the terrestrial C balance has a SD of 0.20 Pg C yr^{-1} and 0.24 Pg C yr^{-1} with NCC and Princeton GPCC respectively, while NEP has a SD of 0.15 Pg C yr^{-1} and 0.17 Pg C yr^{-1} . These results concur with the idea of CO₂ evasion having a moderating effect on overall heterotrophic respiration and suggest that accounting for CO₂ evasion from the river-floodplain network dampens the interannual variation in NEP.

568

569 4. Discussion

570 Our value of mean (across two models) NPP rate of $1,214 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ matches closely to

571 previous estimates in the Amazon. Rodig et al. (2018) estimated a mean annual NPP of 1,130

572 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ using the forest gap FORMIND model, while a value of 1,030 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ was

derived from MODIS remote-sensing data (Zhao & Running, 2010).

574 Our estimate of mean total annual aquatic CO₂ evasion of 746 (526-998) Tg C yr⁻¹ is

relatively close to the 800 Tg C yr⁻¹ proposed by Rasera et al. (2013) from upscaling of

observations, over a larger basin area of 6×10^6 km². If we adjust our estimate (calculated

across a smaller basin area of $5.6 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$) to the same area, then we get a closer estimate

578 of 799 Tg C yr⁻¹. Moreover, if we only base our mean CO_2 evasion estimate on the same

years as Rasera et al. (i.e. 2006- 2010), we actually produce a larger value of 887 Tg C yr⁻¹

580 (based on Princeton GPCC run only). We also estimate a similar distribution of CO₂ evasion

581 between low and high flow periods (Table S4). Like those of Rasera et al. (2013), our results exhibit a strong seasonal cycle in CO₂ evasion, with the high flow season (monthly avg. 582 discharge > yearly avg. discharge) contributing approximately 75% of the annual total. In 583 contrast, our results are considerably higher than those of Richey et al. (Table S4). It is 584 encouraging that our results are similar to those of Rasera et al. (2013) as their upscaling was 585 based on an extensive 5-year field campaign where the flux of CO₂ was directly measured 586 while those of Richey et al. (2002) were derived indirectly from pCO_2 measurements. In 587 terms of flood extent, the Rasera et al. study used the same assumptions for water surface 588 589 area as Richey et al (2002), who in turn used an older version (Hess et al., 2002) of the Hess et al. (2015) floodplain product use in this study. 590

For the central quadrant of the Amazon basin alone (area = 1.77×10^6 km²), we simulate a

mean annual aquatic CO₂ evasion (1980-2000) of 341 and 318 Tg C yr⁻¹ with NCC and

⁵⁹³ Princeton GPPC, respectively, close to the 360 Tg C yr⁻¹ estimated by Rasera et al. (2013),

but considerably higher than the 210 Tg C yr⁻¹ of Richey et al. (2002) and the 229 Tg C yr⁻¹ of r^{-1}

Lauerwald et al. (2017). Our results concur with both previous upscaling studies that the

central Amazon basin contributes approximately 45% of the basin wide aquatic CO₂ evasion

597 (Table S4). The differences between our CO₂ evasion estimates and those of Richey et al.

598 (2002) are largely due to gas exchange velocity; we applied a fixed k_{600} rate of 3.5 m day⁻¹ for

rivers, while they used very conservative gas exchange velocities of 1.2 to 2.3 m day⁻¹.

600 Conversely, the differences between our results and those of Lauerwald et al. (2017) are

601 largely a result of the increase in maximal fraction of floodplain (MFF) across the basin, and

the resultant increase in direct C inputs to inundated areas from canopy through-fall,

submerged litter and soils. Our estimated DOC export to the coast (downstream of Obidos) of

34 (34-44) Tg C yr⁻¹ is relatively high; Lauerwald et al. (2017), Richey et al. (1990) and

Moreira-Turcq et al. (2003) estimated this flux at 23.4 Tg C yr⁻¹, 24.4 Tg C yr⁻¹ and 27 Tg C
yr⁻¹, respectively.

607	Our results for the mean NEP of 0.23 (0.15-0.33) generally concur with previous estimates.
608	Tian et al. (1998) used the Terrestrial Ecosystem Model to estimate a mean annual NEP,
609	without considering the LOAC loop of the carbon cycle (undisturbed ecosystems, 1980-
610	1994), of 0.2 \pm 0.9 Pg C yr ⁻¹ . Another modelling study (S. Sitch, B. Smith and J. Kaplan,
611	unpublished but cited in Prentice and Lloyd, 1998, page 620) also settled on a mean annual
612	NEP of around 0.2 \pm 1.2 Pg C yr ⁻¹ over the same 15-year period. A 2016 review (Grace,
613	2016), compiled all of the existing literature to produce two estimates of the net C balance of
614	the Amazon Basin; one 'bottom-up' approach using "plot data and remote sensing" and one
615	'top-down approach' using "aircraft-based measurements in the planetary boundary layer",
616	the latter based on Gatti et al. (2014). These two approaches include perturbation fluxes such
617	as deforestation and harvesting and evasion emissions in the atmospheric inversion estimate
618	of Gatti et al. and are thus equivalent to our estimate of NBP. The bottom-up approach
619	concludes that the Amazon Basin is a net C source to the atmosphere of 0.11 Pg C yr ⁻¹ when
620	including land use change emissions but with an uncertainty of ± 0.16 , in other words not
621	markedly different from zero. The top-down approach came to a similar conclusion; that the
622	Amazon is a net source to the atmosphere of only 0.06 Pg C yr ⁻¹ in a 'normal year' but only
623	two years (2010 and 2011) were analyzed in Gatti et al. Again, the near neutral balance of
624	Gatti et al. (2014) intrinsically includes aquatic CO ₂ evasion (though not the lateral fluxes of
625	C to the coast). They argue that the impact of riverine CO ₂ evasion on the Amazon C balance
626	is minimal as the "riverine organic carbon loop is very nearly closed". In other words, the
627	vast majority of LOAC export to aquatic systems return to the atmosphere before leaving the
628	Amazon Basin. In summary, the results of Gatti et al. (2014) are arguably the most
629	comparable to our own and it is therefore encouraging that we produce a relatively similar

NBP of 0.04 (-0.04-0.14) Pg C yr⁻¹ (a difference of 100 Tg C⁻¹ but with overlapping
uncertainty ranges). It is important to note that ORCHILEAK does not incorporate methane
fluxes. Indeed, if we include the recent estimate of the annual methane flux of approximately
40 Tg C⁻¹ (Pangala et al., 2017) measured from the lower troposphere via aircraft; our NBP
reduces to a neutral C balance.

While the new maximal fraction of floodplain (MFF) forcing leads to a dramatic increase in 635 aquatic CO₂ evasion, it actually causes an overall decrease in the flux of CO₂ from the entire 636 Amazon basin to the atmosphere. The greater inundation leads to a reduction in 637 decomposition rates of litter, and soil organic matter. This suppression of organic matter 638 decomposition has been observed in further field experiments (Dos Santos & Nelson, 2013), 639 in addition to the study that informed the model configuration (Rueda-Delgado et al., 2006). 640 This means that there is an additional net land C sink of approximately 40 Tg C yr⁻¹ per year 641 with the new floodplain compared to the old floodplain. While in a single year these 642 differences are not so substantial, over long time periods they could lead to significant 643 differences in the long-term net C balance of the Amazon. 644

We found that the interannual variation in NPP is positively correlated with rainfall and 645 negatively correlated with temperature and our results concur with previous research showing 646 that drought years have significantly lower NPP. In our outputs, two of the years with the 647 lowest NPP are 1983 and 1988, coinciding with two strong El Nino events (1982-1983 and 648 1987-1988, Figure 9), and corroborating the findings of Asner & Townsend (2000) based on 649 analysis of remote sensing data from 1982-1993. Previous modelling studies such as Botta et 650 al (2002) have also found 1983 and 1988 to be years with anomalously low NPP in the 651 Amazon. Moreover, a 2011 study that combined remote sensing and modelling (Potter et al., 652 2011) estimated that the 2010 drought caused a reduction in NPP in the Amazon of 7% 653 relative to the La Nina year 2008, and we produce a similar value of 8% (0.58 Pg C). 654

655 However, a more recent study (Doughty et al., 2015) contradicts these findings. Doughty et al. (2015) measured NPP, autotrophic respiration and heterotrophic respiration at thirteen 1ha 656 plots across South America from 2009-2011 and found that NPP remained relatively constant 657 throughout the period. They observed a reduction in CO₂ uptake via photosynthesis by 0.38 658 Pg C yr⁻¹ during the 2010 drought, but this was offset by a concurrent reduction in 659 autotrophic respiration. They observed that the trees prioritised investment in growth (canopy 660 tissue), while they reduced autotrophic respiration investment in tissue maintenance and 661 defence, which ultimately may have caused an increase in tree mortality post drought 662 663 (Doughty et al., 2015). The inability of dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs), as well as remote sensing driven algorithms (Zhao & Running, 2010; Medlyn, 2011; Wang et al., 664 2013) to represent these complex biological interactions is a major limitation in current 665 666 efforts to estimate NPP at the regional to global scale.

Our results show that both the seasonality and interannual variation in aquatic CO_2 evasion, 667 are closely correlated with discharge. In Figure 10 a) we show the relationship between 668 simulated monthly discharge and CO_2 evasion on the Madeira River at Porto Velho ($R^2=0.81$) 669 (see Fig. S1 for location). The Madeira basin contains approximately one fourth of 670 671 Amazonian wetlands (Melack and Hess 2010), including the extensive Llanos de Moxos and was the subject of a recent CO₂ evasion field campaign (Almeida et al., 2017). Our 672 673 relationship follows a sigmoid curve where aquatic CO₂ evasion increases slowly at first while discharge remains in bank. Once the river over-tops its banks, CO₂ evasion increases 674 rapidly before levelling out once the full area of the floodplain is saturated. Thus, at the basin 675 scale, aquatic CO₂ evasion not only increases because of larger floodplain surface area, but 676 677 also because of higher areal rates. This highlights the disproportionate importance of floodplains as a source of C and supports the findings of Almeida et al. (2017, Fig. 10, b). 678 While they found a similarly strong relationship between observed discharge and aquatic CO₂ 679

evasion at Porto Velho ($R^2=0.85$), as well as a similar range of values, the relationship does not follow precisely the same shape as ours. Their increase in evasion rate is more gradual and they do not observe a plateauing of CO_2 evasion above a certain discharge. This perhaps suggests that we underestimate the maximum extent of the floodplain in this specific model grid, and indeed, the location of Porto Velho, is in the minority of model grids where the maximum inundation actually decreases with the implementation of the new MFF forcing file.



688

Figure 10. a); Monthly (1980-2000) simulated (NCC) aquatic CO₂ evasion vs simulated
discharge on the Madeira River at Porto Velho and b); Observed aquatic CO₂ evasion vs
observed on the Madeira River at Porto Velho, measured between 2009 and 2011.

692

The pattern of interannual variation in NEP over the 1980s and 1990s in our results is 693 consistent with that found in previous modelling studies over the same period (Prentice and 694 Lloyd, 1998; Tian et al., 1998). Interestingly we find smaller interannual variation than these 695 696 previous modelling studies that did not include inland water fluxes, further supporting the idea that incorporating aquatic fluxes dampens the interannual variation in NEP. Indeed, a 697 2013 study (Wang et al., 2013) found results to suggest that some DGVMs overestimate the 698 699 sensitivity of net ecosystem exchange (NEE) to precipitation. The relationship between our simulated NEP and precipitation is generally weaker than that found in previous models 700 across the tropical region (Wang et al., 2013, in this case NEE), and the addition of the 701

aquatic C fluxes appears to be at least partly responsible for this; the sum of terrestrial fluxes

(NPP-SHR) is more strongly correlated with precipitation (detrended $R^2 = 0.58$, p<0.0001

NCC run; detrended $R^2 = 0.51$, p<0.0001 with Princeton GPCC run) than NEP (detrended R^2

= 0.27, p<0.05 NCC run; detrended R² = 0.25, p<0.01 with Princeton GPCC run), which

706 includes aquatic components.

Despite some of the limitations of DGVMs discussed, namely their inability to fully capture 707 the complex effects of droughts on NPP, the response of our model to drought events concurs 708 with observational based studies, and most significantly to those based on the measurement 709 of atmospheric CO₂ fluxes. The 2010 Amazon drought was one of the most severe ever 710 recorded and related to another El Nino event, as well as anomalous SSTs (Lewis et al., 711 2011). Gatti et al. (2014) used small aircraft to measure CO₂ fluxes just above the Amazon 712 713 rainforest (lower-troposphere) and found that in 2010, the Amazon basin was a net source to the atmosphere of 0.48 ± 0.18 Pg C yr⁻¹. A 2015 study (van der Laan-Luijkx et al., 2015), 714 further constrained the results of Gatti et al. using remote sensing data and estimated a 715 smaller atmospheric CO₂ source between 0.07 and 0.31 Pg C yr⁻¹ for 2010. Based on our 716 Princeton GPCC run, we similarly estimate that in 2010, the Amazon was an overall CO₂ 717 source for the atmosphere of 0.33 (0.35 - 0.29) Pg C yr⁻¹ (based on NBP). Additionally, using 718 a combined remote sensing and modelling approach, Potter et al. (2011) estimated that the 719 2010 drought caused a loss of biomass in the Amazon of 0.5 Pg C yr⁻¹ relative to the strong 720 La Nina year of 2008, and we produce a similar NEP deficit 0.51 Pg C yr⁻¹. 721 In Figure 11, we show our simulated C budget for a drought year, 1998, and an anomalously 722 wet year, 1989, to illustrate how both terrestrial and aquatic C fluxes react to climatic 723 extremes. In 1989, high aquatic CO₂ evasion to the atmosphere driven by high rainfall and 724

⁷²⁵ large floodplain inundation, partly offsets a relatively large terrestrial sink, caused by high

terrestrial NPP and low SHR. In 1998 the opposite occurs; low rainfall results in a low flux of

727 CO₂ from inland waters to the atmosphere, which moderates a relatively high SHR flux and low terrestrial NPP. As previously noted, aquatic CO₂ evasion is highly sensitive to rainfall 728 and in turn both discharge and inundation, and displays greater interannual variation than the 729 730 terrestrial C fluxes. Aquatic CO₂ evasion is positively correlated with NPP but the two fluxes represent opposite signals in terms of C exchange with the atmosphere, while aquatic CO₂ 731 evasion is inversely correlated to SHR, both fluxes being C sources for the atmosphere. For 732 these two reasons, the aquatic fluxes generally act to compensate the difference between 733 terrestrial NPP and SHR and thus dampen overall interannual variation in the net C balance. 734 735 Another process not accounted for in our model is C sequestration on floodplains. Interestingly, a 2003 study (Aalto et al., 2003) showed that, sediment accumulation on 736 Amazon floodplains is closely linked to the ENSO cycle. Like our findings for aquatic CO₂ 737 738 evasion, sediment accumulation was found to be higher during La Nina years, and most notably in 1988. Despite not accounting for this C sink term in our model, the comparison of 739 our net C balance for the Amazon (NBP) against observations (Grace et al., 2016) suggests 740 that if anything we are still underestimating the net flux of C from the Amazon basin to the 741 atmosphere. 742



743

Figure 11. Simulated annual C budget for left; the Amazon basin for the year 1989, and right; the Amazon basin for the year 1998, where NEP is net ecosystem production, NPP is terrestrial net primary productivity, TF is throughfall, SHR is soil heterotrophic respiration, FCO_2 is aquatic CO₂ evasion, LOAC is C leakage to the land-ocean aquatic continuum (FCO_2 + to coast), and $LE_{Aquatic}$ is the export C flux to the coast. Numbers refer to mean across the six simulations while numbers in parentheses refer to range.

4.2 The importance of integrating the LOAC within the land carbon cycle

The Amazon is facing a number of threats including climate change, land use change and

dam construction (Nobre et al., 2016). Climatic events such as droughts and floods are

becoming more frequent (Marengo et al., 2011; Gloor et al., 2013; Zulkafli et al., 2016),

vhile southern Amazonia has experienced a general lengthening of the dry season (Fu et al.,

2013). The region is also undergoing a boom in dam construction with 140 dams under

construction or already in operation, and a further 288 planned (Latrubesse et al., 2017) with

direct impact on the C retention efficiency within the LOAC (Maavara et al., 2017). In

addition, a recent study demonstrated that the lowland floodplain forests of the Amazon are

resilient to fires than terra firme forests (Flores et al., 2017).

760 For these reasons, it is vital that the flood dynamics of the Amazon can be correctly represented in biogeochemical models. The implementation of a new floodplain forcing file 761 based on high resolution SAR data substantially improves our ability to accurately simulate 762 763 the seasonality in observed flooding. Moreover, it leads to a 97% increase in our estimate of mean annual CO₂ evasion from the river-floodplain aquatic continuum and supports some 764 larger previous estimates based on simple upscaling approaches (Table S4). Our results show 765 that the LOAC fluxes, highly sensitive to hydrological variation, display greater interannual 766 variation than the terrestrial C fluxes (NPP – SHR), and are thus disproportionately important 767 768 to the overall variation of the net C balance, relative to their magnitude. We also find that the percentage of NPP lost to the LOAC is variable at the interannual timescale (Fig. 11). 769 Our results suggest that the linkage between the terrestrial and aquatic environment may be 770 771 larger than previously thought and our estimate of aquatic CO₂ evasion from the Amazon is of a globally significant magnitude in terms of aquatic C fluxes. However, these results must 772 be placed within the context of their overall impact on the net C balance of the Amazon 773 Basin. While greater inundation increases aquatic CO₂ evasion, it simultaneously decreases 774 the decomposition of organic matter in litter and soils and we show that the net impact of 775 776 greater flooding is in fact a reduction in the flux of CO₂ from the Amazon basin to the 777 atmosphere. It is during years with the lowest precipitation, often associated with El Nino 778 events that highest net flux of CO₂ to the atmosphere are simulated. Indeed, we find that aquatic C fluxes partly compensate terrestrial C fluxes, and therefore moderate the overall 779 interannual variation in NEP. Thus, DGVMs that do not account for aquatic fluxes may 780 overestimate the magnitude of interannual variation in NEP. This calls for a fully integrated 781 782 view of the land carbon cycle, which cannot be achieved with empirical studies alone and highlights the value of a model that can integrate the terrestrial and aquatic C cycles. 783

789 Acknowledgments

790 Financial support was received from the European	Union's Horizon 2020 research and
--	-----------------------------------

- 791 innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska- Curie grant agreement No. 643052 (C-
- 792 CASCADES project). RL acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020
- research and innovation program under grant agreement no. 703813 for the Marie
- 794 Sklodowska-Curie European Individual Fellowship "C-Leak." PR acknowledges funding
- from the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BELSPO), project "Global impacts of
- hydrological and climatic extremes on vegetation" (SAT-EX) Belgian research programme
- 797 for Earth Observation Stereo III.

809 References

- Aalto, R., L. Maurice-Bourgoin, T. Dunne, D. R. Montgomery, C. A. Nittrouer, and J. L.
 Guyot (2003), Episodic sediment accumulation on Amazonian flood plains influenced
 by El Nino/Southern Oscillation, Nature, 425, 493–497, doi:10.1038/nature02002
- Abril, G., Martinez, J.-M., Artigas, L. F., Moreira-Turcq, P., Benedetti, M. F., Vidal, L., ...
 Roland, F. (2013). Amazon River carbon dioxide outgassing fuelled by wetlands.
- 815 *Nature*, *505*, 395. Retrieved from <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12797</u>
- Abril, G. and Borges, A. V.: Carbon leaks from flooded land: do we need to re-plumb the
 inland water active pipe?, Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018239, in review, 2018.
- Ahlström, A., Raupach, M. R., Schurgers, G., Smith, B., Arneth, A., Jung, M., ... Zeng, N.
 (2015). The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of the
 land CO2 sink. Science, 348(6237), 895-899. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaa1668
- Andreoli, R. V., Ferreira de Souza, R. A., Kayano, M. T. and Candido, L. A. (2012),
 Seasonal anomalous rainfall in the central and eastern Amazon and associated
 anomalous oceanic and atmospheric patterns. Int. J. Climatol., 32: 1193-1205.
 doi:10.1002/joc.2345
- Almeida, R. M., Pacheco, F. S., Barros, N., Rosi, E., & Roland, F. (2017). Extreme floods
 increase CO2 outgassing from a large Amazonian river. *Limnology and Oceanography*,
 62(3), 989–999. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10480
- Asner, G. P., Townsend, A. R., & Braswell, B. H. (2000). Satellite observation of El Niño
 effects on Amazon Forest phenology and productivity. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 27(7), 981–984. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011113</u>
- Aufdenkampe, A. K., Mayorga, E., Raymond, P. A., Melack, J. M., Doney, S. C., Alin, S. R.,
 ... Yoo, K. (2011). Riverine coupling of biogeochemical cycles between land, oceans,
 and atmosphere. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9(1), 53–60.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/100014</u>
- Battin, T. J., Luyssaert, S., Kaplan, L. A., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Richter, A., & Tranvik, L. J.
 (2009). The boundless carbon cycle. *Nature Geoscience*, *2*, 598. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo618
- Bond-Lamberty, B.P. and A.M. Thomson. 2014. A Global Database of Soil Respiration Data,
 Version 3.0. Data set. Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge National
 Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee,
 USA http://dx.doi.org/10.2224/OPNILDAAC/1225
- 842 USA. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1235</u>
- Botta, A., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A. (2002). Long-term variations of climate and carbon
 fluxes over the Amazon basin. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(9), 33–34.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL013607

- Camino-Serrano, M., Guenet, B., Luyssaert, S., Janssens, I.A., 2018. ORCHIDEE-SOM:
 modeling soil organic carbon (SOC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) dynamics
 along vertical soil profiles in Europe. Geosci. Model Dev. 11, 937–957
- Chou, C., Chiang, J. C. H., Lan, C.-W., Chung, C.-H., Liao, Y.-C., & Lee, C.-J. (2013).
 Increase in the range between wet and dry season precipitation. *Nature Geoscience*, 6, 263. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1744
- Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., . . . (2013). Carbon and
 Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In T. F.. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K.
 Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley (Eds.), Climate
 change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth
 assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (pp. 465–570).
 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Lauerwald, R., Peng, S., Raymond, P. A., Wang, Y., Zhu, D. (2017).
 Observed regional carbon budgets imply reduced soil heterotrophic respiration. Nature, in review.
- Cochonneau, G., Sondag, F., Guyot, J.-L., Geraldo, B., Filizola, N., Fraizy, P., Laraque, A.,
 Magat, P., Martinez, J.-M., Nor iega, L., Oliveira, E., Ordonez, J., Pombosa, R., Seyler,
 F., Sidgwick, J., and Vauchel, P.: The environmental observation and research project,
 ORE HYBAM, and the rivers of the Amazon basin, in: Climate Variability and Change
 Hydrological Impacts, IAHS Publ. 308, edited by: Demuth, S., Gustard, A., Planos, E.,
 Scatena, F., and Servat, E., IAHS Press, UK, 44–50, 2006
- Cole, J. J., Prairie, Y. T., Caraco, N. F., McDowell, W. H., Tranvik, L. J., Striegl, R. G., &
 Melack, J. (2007). Plumbing the global carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the
 terrestrial carbon budget. Ecosystems,10(1), 172–185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-</u>
 006-9013-8
- de Rosnay, P., Polcher, J., Bruen, M., and Laval, K.: Impact of a physically based soil water
 flow and soil-plant interaction representation for modeling large-scale land surface
 processes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, ACL3-1–ACL3-19,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000634, 2002.

- B77 Doughty, C. E., Metcalfe, D. B., Girardin, C. A. J., Amézquita, F. F., Cabrera, D. G., Huasco,
 W. H., ... Malhi, Y. (2015). Drought impact on forest carbon dynamics and fluxes in
 Amazonia. *Nature*, *519*, 78. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14213
 880
- B81
 B82 Dos Santos, A., & Nelson, B. (2013). Leaf decomposition and fine fuels in floodplain forests
 B83 of the Rio Negro in the Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of Tropical Ecology, 29*(5), 455B84 458. doi:10.1017/S0266467413000485
- d'Orgeval, T., Polcher, J., and de Rosnay, P.: Sensitivity of the West African hydrological
 cycle in ORCHIDEE to infiltration processes, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 1387–1401,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-12-1387-2008, 2008.

- B90 Drake, T. W., Raymond, P. A. and Spencer, R. G. (2018), Terrestrial carbon inputs to inland
 waters: A current synthesis of estimates and uncertainty. Limnol. Oceanogr., 3: 132142. doi:10.1002/lol2.10055
- Fasullo, J. T., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., & Stevenson, S. (2018). ENSO's Changing Influence on
 Temperature, Precipitation, and Wildfire In a Warming Climate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 0(ja). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079022</u>
- Feldpausch. T., L., P. O., W., B. R. J., E., G., J., L., G., L., ... A., V. V. (2016). Amazon
 forest response to repeated droughts. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *30*(7), 964–982.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005133</u>
- Foley, J. A., A. Botta, M. T. Coe, and M. H. Costa, El Niño–Southern oscillation and the
 climate, ecosystems and rivers of Amazonia, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 16(4), 1132,
 doi: 10.1029/2002GB001872, 2002.
- Fu, R., Yin, L., Li, W., Arias, P. A., Dickinson, R. E., Huang, L., ... Myneni, R. B. (2013).
 Increased dry-season length over southern Amazonia in recent decades and its
 implication for future climate projection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(45), 18110–18115. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302584110
- Gatti, L. V, Gloor, M., Miller, J. B., Doughty, C. E., Malhi, Y., Domingues, L. G., ... Lloyd,
 J. (2014). Drought sensitivity of Amazonian carbon balance revealed by atmospheric
 measurements. *Nature*, *506*, 76. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12957
- Gloor, M. *et al.* The carbon balance of South America: a review of the status, decadal trends
 and main determinants. *Biogeosciences* 9, 5407–5430 (2012)
- Gloor, M., R. J. W. Brienen, D. Galbraith, T. R. Feldpausch, J. Schöngart, J.-L. Guyot, J. C.
 Espinoza, J. Lloyd, and O. L. Phillips (2013), Intensification of the Amazon
 hydrological cycle over the last two decades, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 1729–1733,
 doi: 10.1002/grl.50377.
- Gloor, M., J. Barichivich, G. Ziv, R. Brienen, J. Schöngart, P. Peylin, B. B. Ladvocat
 Cintra, T. Feldpausch, O. Phillips, and J. Baker (2015), Recent Amazon climate as
 background for possible ongoing and future changes of Amazon humid forests, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 29, 1384–1399, doi:10.1002/2014GB005080.
- Grace J. (2016) The Amazon Carbon Balance: An Evaluation of Methods and Results. In:
 Nagy L., Forsberg B., Artaxo P. (eds) Interactions Between Biosphere, Atmosphere and
 Human Land Use in the Amazon Basin. Ecological Studies (Analysis and Synthesis),
 vol 227. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg
- Guimberteau, M., Drapeau, G., Ronchail, J., Sultan, B., Polcher, J., Martinez, J.-M., ...
 Vauchel, P. (2012). Discharge simulation in the sub-basins of the Amazon using
 ORCHIDEE forced by new datasets. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16(3),
 911–935. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-911-2012</u>
- Gumbricht, T., Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Verchot, L., Herold, M., Wittmann, F., Householder,
 E., Murdiyarso, D. (2017). An expert system model for mapping tropical wetlands and

- peatlands reveals South America as the largest contributor. *Global Change Biology*,
 23(9), 3581–3599. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13689</u>
- Hastie, A., Lauerwald, R., Weyhenmeyer, G., Sobek, S., Verpoorter, C., Regnier, P (2017).
 CO2 evasion from boreal lakes: Revised estimate, drivers of spatial variability, and
 future projections. *Global Change Biology*, 24(2), 711–728.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13902
- Hess, L. L., Melack, J. M., Novo, E. M. L. M., Barbosa, C. C. F., and Gastil, M.: Dual-season
 mapping of wetland inundation and vegetation for the central Amazon basin, Remote
 Sens. Environ., 87, 404–428, 2003
- HESS, L. L., MELACK, J. M., NOVO, E. M. L. M., BARBOSA, C. C. F., & GASTIL, M.
 (2015). LBA-ECO LC-07 JERS-1 SAR Flooded Wetlands and Vegetation, Amazon
 Basin: 1995-1996. ORNL Distributed Active Archive Center.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3334/ornldaac/1284</u>
- 942 Kim, H. (2017). Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3 Atmospheric Boundary Conditions
 943 (Experiment 1) [Data set]. Data Integration and Analysis System (DIAS).
 944 <u>https://doi.org/10.20783/DIAS.501</u>
- Latrubesse, E. M., Arima, E. Y., Dunne, T., Park, E., Baker, V. R., d'Horta, F. M., ...
 Stevaux, J. C. (2017). Damming the rivers of the Amazon basin. *Nature*, 546, 363.
 Retrieved from <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature22333</u>
- Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Hartmann, J., Ciais, P., & Regnier, P. A. G. (2015). Spatial
 patterns in CO2 evasion from the global river network. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*,
 29(5), 534–554. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004941
- Lauerwald, R., Regnier, P., Camino-Serrano, M., Guenet, B., Guimberteau, M., Ducharne,
 A., ... Ciais, P. (2017). ORCHILEAK (revision 3875): a new model branch to simulate
 carbon transfers along the terrestrial--aquatic continuum of the Amazon basin. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10(10), 3821–3859. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3821-2017</u>
- Lehner, B. and Döll, P.: Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs
 and wetlands, J. Hydrol., 296, 1–22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028, 2004.
- Lewis, S. L., Brando, P. M., Phillips, O. L., van der Heijden, G. M. F. & Nepstad, D. he 2010
 Amazon drought. Science 331, 554–554 (2011).
- Li, W., Zhang, P., Ye, J., Li, L., & Baker, P. A. (2011). Impact of two different types of El
 Niño events on the Amazon climate and ecosystem productivity. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 4(1–2), 91–99. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtq039
- Marengo, J. A., J. Tomasella, L. M. Alves, W. R. Soares, and D. A. Rodriguez (2011), The
 drought of 2010 in the context of historical droughts in the Amazon region, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L12703, doi:10.1029/2011GL047436.

- Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Regnier, P., & Van Cappellen, P. (2017). Global perturbation of
 organic carbon cycling by river damming, *8*, 15347. Retrieved from
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15347
- Medlyn, B. E. (2011). Comment on {\textquotedblleft}Drought-Induced Reduction in Global
 Terrestrial Net Primary Production from 2000 Through 2009{\textquotedblright}.
 Science, 333(6046), 1093. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1199544
- Melack, J.M., L.L. Hess, M. Gastil-Buhl, B.R. Forsberg, S.K. Hamilton, I.B.T. Lima, and
 E.M.L.M. Novo. 2011. LBA-ECO LC-07 Monthly Mean Flooded Wetlands Habitat,
 Central Amazon Basin: 1979-1996. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA.
 https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1049
- Moreira-Turcq, P., Seyler, P., Guyot, J. L., & Etcheber, H. (2003). Exportation of organic
 carbon from the Amazon River and its main tributaries. *Hydrological Processes*, *17*(7),
 1329–1344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.1287</u>
- Nemani, R.R., et al., 2003: Climate-driven increases in global terrestrial net primary
 production from 1982 to 1999. Science, 300, 1560–1563.
- Ngo-Duc, T., Polcher, J., and Laval, K (2005).: A 53-year forcing data set for land surface
 models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D06116, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005434</u>
- Nobre, C. A., Sampaio, G., Borma, L. S., Castilla-Rubio, J. C., Silva, J. S., & Cardoso, M.
 (2016). Land-use and climate change risks in the Amazon and the need of a novel
 sustainable development paradigm. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(39), 10759–10768. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605516113
- Pangala, S. R., Enrich-Prast, A., Basso, L. S., Peixoto, R. B., Bastviken, D., Hornibrook, E.
 R. C., ... Gauci, V. (2017). Large emissions from floodplain trees close the Amazon
 methane budget. *Nature*, 552, 230. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24639
- Phillips, O. L., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Lewis, S. L., Fisher, J. B., Lloyd, J., López-González, G.,
 ... Torres-Lezama, A. (2009). Drought Sensitivity of the Amazon Rainforest. *Science*, *323*(5919), 1344–1347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164033
- Potter, C., S. Klooster, C. Hiatt, V. Genovese, and J. C. Castilla-Rubio (2011), Changes in the
 carbon clycle of the Amazon ecosystem during the 2010 drought, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 6,
 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/3/034024.
- Prentice, I., and J. Lloyd. 1998. C-quest in the Amazon Basin. Nature 396:619–620.
- R Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. [Available at http://www.r-project.org.]
- Rasera, M. F. F. L., Krusche, A. V., Richey, J. E., Ballester, M. V. R., and Victória, R. L.
 (2013). Spatial and temporal variability of pCO2 and CO2 efflux in seven Amazonian Rivers. *Biogeochemistry*, *116*(1), 241–259. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9854-0</u>

- Regnier, P., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Mackenzie, F. T., Gruber, N., Janssens, I. A., ...
 Thullner, M. (2013). Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from land to
 ocean. *Nature Geosci*, 6(8), 597–607. Retrieved from
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1830</u>
- 1006 Resplandy, L., Keeling, R. F., Rödenbeck, C., Stephens, B. B., Khatiwala, S., Rodgers, K. B.,
 1007 ... Tans, P. P. (2018). Revision of global carbon fluxes based on a reassessment of
 1008 oceanic and riverine carbon transport. *Nature Geoscience*, *11*(7), 504–509.
 1009 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0151-3
- Richey Jeffrey E., Hedges John I., Devol Allan H., Quay Paul D., Victoria Reynaldo,
 Martinelli Luiz, Forsberg Bruce R., (1990), Biogeochemistry of carbon in the Amazon
 River, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 35, doi: 10.4319/lo.1990.35.2.0352.
- Richey, J. E., Melack, J. M., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Ballester, V. M., & Hess, L. L. (2002).
 Outgassing from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO2. *Nature*, *416*, 617. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/416617a
- 1016 Richey, J.E., R.L. Victoria, J.I. Hedges, T. Dunne, L.A. Martinelli, L. Mertes, and J. Adams.
 1017 2008. Pre-LBA Carbon in the Amazon River Experiment (CAMREX) Data. ORNL
 1018 DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/904
- Rödig E, Cuntz M, Rammig A, Fischer R, Taubert F and Huth A. (2018). The importance of
 forest structure for carbon fluxes of the Amazon rainforest. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(5), 54013. Retrieved from http://stacks.iop.org/1748-9326/13/i=5/a=054013
- Rueda-Delgado, G., Wantzen, K. M., and Tolosa, M. B.: Leaflitter decomposition in an
 Amazonian floodplain stream: Effects of seasonal hydrological changes, J. North Am.
 Benthol. Soc., 25, 233–249, <u>https://doi.org/10.1899/0887</u>
 3593(2006)25[233:LDIAAF]2.0.CO;2, 2006.
- Sanders, L. M., Taffs, K. H., Stokes, D. J., Sanders, C. J., Smoak, J. M., Enrich-Prast, A.,
 Macklin, P. A., Santos, I. R. and Marotta, H. (2017), Carbon accumulation in
 Amazonian floodplain lakes: A significant component of Amazon budgets?. Limnol.
 Oceanogr., 2: 29-35. doi:10.1002/lol2.10034
- Sheffield, J., Goteti, G., & Wood, E. F. (2006). Development of a 50-Year High-Resolution
 Global Dataset of Meteorological Forcings for Land Surface Modeling. *Journal of Climate*, *19*(13), 3088–3111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3790.1</u>
- Tian, H., J. M. Melillo, D. W. Kicklighter, A. D. McGuire, J. V. K. Helfrich, B. Moore, and
 C. J. Vorosmarty. 1998. Effect of interannual climate variability on carbon storage in
 Amazonian ecosystems. Nature 396:664–667.
- Tranvik, L. J., Downing, J. A., Cotner, J. B., Loiselle, S. A., Striegl, R. G., Ballatore, T. J., . .
 Weyhenmeyer, G. A. (2009). Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. Limnology and Oceanography, 54(6 part 2), 2298–2314.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6 part 2.2298

1040 1041 1042 1043 1044	 van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., I. R. van der Velde, M. C. Krol, L. V. Gatti, L. G. Domingues, C. S. C. Correia, J. B. Miller, M. Gloor, T. T. van Leeuwen, J. W. Kaiser, et al. (2015), Response of the Amazon carbon balance to the 2010 drought derived with CarbonTracker South America, <i>Global Biogeochem. Cycles</i>, 29, 1092–1108, doi: 10.1002/2014GB005082.
1045 1046 1047	Vörösmarty, C. J., Fekete, B. M., Meybeck, M., and Lammers, R. B.: Geomorphometric attributes of the global system of rivers at 30-minute spatial resolution, J. Hydrol., 237, 17–39, 2000.
1048 1049 1050 1051	Wang, W., Ciais, P., Nemani, R. R., Canadell, J. G., Piao, S., Sitch, S., White, M. A., Hashimoto, H., Milesi, C., and Myneni, R. B.: Variations in atmospheric CO2 growth rates coupled with tropical temperature, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 13061–13066, doi:10.1073/pnas.1219683110, 2013.
1052 1053 1054	Wolter, K., and M. S. Timlin, 2011: El Niño/Southern Oscillation behaviour since 1871 as diagnosed in an extended multivariate ENSO index (MEI.ext). <i>Intl. J. Climatology</i> , 31 , 14pp., 1074-1087
1055 1056 1057	Zarfl, C., Lumsdon, A. E., Berlekamp, J., Tydecks, L., & Tockner, K. (2015). A global boom in hydropower dam construction. <i>Aquatic Sciences</i> , 77(1), 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-014-0377-0
1058 1059 1060	Zhao, M., & Running, S. W. (2010). Drought-Induced Reduction in Global Terrestrial Net Primary Production from 2000 Through 2009. Science, 329(5994), 940–943. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1192666
1061 1062	Zulkafli, Z., Buytaert, W., Manz, B., Rosas, C. V., Willems, P., Lavado-Casimiro, W., Guyot, JL., and Santini, W.: Projected increases in the annual flood pulse of the Western

1063 Amazon, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 14013, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/1/014013, 2016.