

Global trends in carbon sinks and their relationships with CO2 and temperature

M. Fernández-Martínez, J. Sardans, F. Chevallier, Philippe Ciais, M.

Obersteiner, S. Vicca, J. Canadell, A. Bastos, P. Friedlingstein, S. Sitch, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

M. Fernández-Martínez, J. Sardans, F. Chevallier, Philippe Ciais, M. Obersteiner, et al.. Global trends in carbon sinks and their relationships with CO2 and temperature. Nature Climate Change, 2019, 9 (1), pp.73-79. 10.1038/s41558-018-0367-7. hal-02895281

HAL Id: hal-02895281 https://hal.science/hal-02895281

Submitted on 22 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Global trends in carbon sinks and their relationships with CO₂ and

2 temperature

3 Authors: M. Fernández-Martínez^{*1}. J. Sardans^{2,3,} F. Chevallier⁴, P. Ciais⁴, M. Obersteiner⁵, S.

Vicca¹, J. G. Canadell⁶, A. Bastos⁴, P. Friedlingstein⁷, S. Sitch⁷, S.L. Piao^{8,9}, I.A. Janssens¹, J.
Peñuelas^{2,3}.

6 Affiliations:

¹ Centre of Excellence PLECO (Plant and Vegetation Ecology), Department of Biology,
University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.

² CSIC, Global Ecology Unit, CREAF-CSIC-UAB, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona,
 Catalonia, Spain

³ CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

⁴ Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA CNRS UVSQ, 91191 Gif-sur Yvette, France

⁵ International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Schlossplatz 1, 2361 Laxenburg, Austria

⁶ Global Carbon Project, CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

⁷ College of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF,
 UK

⁸Sino-French Institute of Earth System Sciences, College of Urban and Environmental
Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁹ Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

- 21 *Correspondence to: M. Fernández-Martínez, marcos.fernandez-martinez@uantwerpen.be
- 22

Elevated CO₂ increases photosynthesis and, potentially, net ecosystem production 24 25 (NEP) meaning greater CO₂ uptake. Climate, nutrients, and ecosystem structure, however, influence the effect of increasing CO₂. Here, we analysed global NEP from 26 MACC-II and Jena CarboScope atmospheric-inversions and 10 dynamic global 27 vegetation models (TRENDY), using statistical models to attribute the trends in NEP to 28 29 its potential drivers: CO2, climatic variables and land-use change. We find that increasing CO₂ was consistently associated with increased NEP (1995-2014). 30 31 Conversely, increasing temperatures were negatively associated with NEP. Using the 32 two atmospheric inversions and TRENDY, the estimated global sensitivities for CO₂ 33 were 6.0 ± 0.1, 8.1 ± 0.3 and 3.1 ± 0.1 Pg C per 100 ppm (~1 °C increase), and -0.5 ± 0.2, -0.9 \pm 0.4 and -1.1 \pm 0.1 Pg C °C⁻¹ for temperature. These results indicate a 34 positive CO₂ effect on terrestrial C sinks that is constrained by climate warming. 35

In recent decades, terrestrial ecosystems have been absorbing 15–30% of all anthropogenic CO_2 emissions^{1,2}. Direct and indirect anthropogenic impacts on the biosphere, however, can alter terrestrial sinks in the short and long terms^{3–6}. Identifying the factors that affect the capacity of the biosphere to absorb carbon (C) and quantifying the magnitude of the sensitivity of this C sink to its driving factors helps to increase confidence in future projections of the coupled C cycle/climate system.

Increasing plant growth is a robust response to increasing CO_2 concentrations under experimental conditions (CO_2 fertilization effect)^{7,8}. The extent to which increases in CO_2 can enhance large-scale photosynthesis and ultimately net ecosystem production (NEP) remains uncertain^{5,7}. Detecting this effect in the real world is much more difficult than under controlled experiments. However, recent efforts using eddy-covariancebased data and statistical models have been successful in detecting positive effects of CO_2 on water-use efficiency (WUE)⁹, photosynthesis, and NEP⁵.

50 The potential positive effect of elevated CO₂ on productivity could be influenced by global warming⁶ and altered precipitation patterns¹⁰ since both water availability and 51 temperature are strong drivers of photosynthesis and respiration worldwide¹¹⁻¹³. Land-52 53 use change also alters the capacity of the biosphere to sequester C because land use 54 causes a drastic change in C turnover and productivity. Atmospheric deposition of 55 nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S) from the use of fossil fuels and fertilisers may also alter 56 ecosystem biodiversity, function, productivity and NEP^{5,14-17}. N deposition is usually positively correlated with ecosystem productivity and NEP¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Conversely, S 57 deposition may reduce ecosystem carbon sinks, this has rarely been investigated in 58 field studies^{20,21} and absent from global models. Soil acidification, caused by acid 59 deposition, of N and S, often decreases the availability of soil nutrients²² and potentially 60 reduces NEP²³. 61

The observations underlying the driver analysis of NEP described above were largely 62 limited to temperate and boreal study sites, making it difficult to assess global 63 64 scalability. Additionally, until recently, the only way to assess terrestrial C sink was from 65 ensembles of dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs) or as a residual sink, by subtracting atmospheric and ocean sinks to the estimates of CO₂ emissions. Currently, 66 inversion models, as well as long-term remotely sensed data²⁴, can be used to test the 67 generality of the patterns derived from ground-based measurements. Inversion models 68 provide continuous gridded estimates for the net flux of land-atmosphere CO2 69 exchange (i.e. NEP) with global coverage^{25,26}. The gridded NEP results from 70 inversions, combined with CO₂-concentration records, gridded fields for climate, land-71

use change, and atmospheric deposition, are arguably the best observation-based data to attempt a first empirical study of the combined effects of CO_2 , changes in climate and land use, and atmospheric N and S deposition on terrestrial NEP patterns at the global scale. Given that previous site level studies revealed that increasing CO_2 is a dominant driver of trends in NEP, we expect that it will also be the dominant driver at larger spatial scales and across the globe.

78 Here we investigate if the trends of NEP from the two most widely used multi-decadal 79 inversion models (MACC-II and Jena CarboScope) and DGVMs (TRENDY) from 1995 to 2014 are related to increasing atmospheric CO_2 and changing climate (temperature, 80 precipitation, and drought). Additionally, the effect of land-use on NEP at the global 81 82 scale was investigated using statistical models to assess the sensitivity of NEP to the 83 abovementioned predictors. We also analysed the effect of changing rates of 84 atmospheric deposition of oxidised and reduced N and S on NEP, combined with 85 increasing CO₂ and changing climate and land use, over Europe and the USA.

86 CO₂ and climate effects on global NEP

Global land (excluding Antarctica) mean annual NEP was 2.3 ± 0.9, 2.3 ± 1.5 and 1.6 ± 87 0.5 Pg C v^1 (mean ± 1 σ), respectively, for MACC-II, Jena CarboScope and the 88 TRENDY ensemble during the period 1995-2014, similar in magnitude to the recent 89 global carbon budget². Both inversions and the TRENDY ensemble showed an overall 90 positive trend in NEP from 1995 to 2014. The estimated NEP increased by (mean ± 91 1SE) 116.9 \pm 6.1 Tg C y⁻¹ for the MACC-II dataset, by 178.0 \pm 8.1 Tg C y⁻¹ for the Jena 92 93 CarboScope dataset, and by 22.5 \pm 3.1 Tg C y¹ for the TRENDY ensemble (**Figure 1**). 94 This supports the increases in the global carbon budget², with a lower increase of the 95 DGVMs than those shown by the inversion models. The large differences between 96 inversion models and DGVMs may arise because of the lack of information on river fluxes, inadequate parameterisations concerning land management and degradation in 97 the process models or because of potential biases in inversion models. Both inversion 98 99 model datasets produced similar trends for many parts of the world, an increasing NEP 100 for Siberia, Asia, Oceania, and South America, and a decreasing NEP for the southern 101 latitudes of Africa. Differences between inversions emerged for Europe and North 102 America, possibly because Jena CarboScope inversion uses a larger spatial error 103 correlation of prior fluxes than MACC-II or because of other inversion settings². 104 However, their different flux priors did not drive differences in the trends between both 105 datasets, given that priors did not change over the studied period. Jena CarboScope showed largely positive trends for Europe and largely negative trends for North 106

America; MACC II showed more variation in the trends for both continents. The trends identified by the TRENDY ensemble agreed with atmospheric inversions for the northernmost latitudes, indicating an increase in C-sink capacity, but differed from those in many other regions.

Our analyses on temporal contributions, using the temporal anomalies of our 111 predictors, attributed the increases in global NEP to increasing CO₂ but found a 112 113 consistent negative impact of temperature on NEP, which limited the positive effect of 114 increasing CO₂ (Figure 1). These results were consistent for both datasets and most of 115 the DGVMs of the TRENDY ensemble. The predictors used in this study explained a 116 modest proportion of the variance in NEP, in contrast to the variance explained by 117 spatial variability (i.e., the pixel), which was rather high (Supplementary Information 118 (SI), Section 2). Unknown contributions to trends in NEP, the difference between all 119 contributions and the observed trend, were very close to zero for the analyses on 120 inverse models and the TRENDY ensemble (Figure 1). This result suggests that trends were very well captured by our analyses, indicating that the methodology was able to 121 122 disentangle spatial from temporal variability. The sensitivity of NEP to increasing CO_2 123 averaged 0.45 \pm 0.01, 0.61 \pm 0.03 and 0.23 \pm 0.01 g C m⁻² ppm⁻¹ for MACC-II, Jena 124 CarboScope and TRENDY, respectively (Table 1), representing sensitivities over the entire terrestrial surface of 60.4 \pm 1.2, 81.4 \pm 3.4 and 30.7 \pm 1.2 Tg C ppm⁻¹, 125 respectively. Despite lower temporal attributions for temperature than CO₂, the 126 127 sensitivity of NEP to temperature was high, at -3.8 ± 1.1 , -6.4 ± 2.9 and -8.1 ± 0.9 g C 128 m⁻² y⁻¹ °C⁻¹ for the MACC-II, Jena CarboScope and TRENDY models, respectively, 129 equivalent to global sensitivities of -515.7 ± 152.4 , -859.2 ± 386.3 and -1088.0 ± 118.1 Tg C °C⁻¹, respectively. Trends in NEP and the effect of CO₂ and temperature on NEP 130 significantly differed in magnitude amongst the datasets used, however, they all point 131 132 towards the same conclusion: global NEP has increased during the study period and 133 increasing CO₂ has been the most likely driving factor despite increasing temperatures 134 constraining this positive effect. The exact magnitude of the effect of increasing CO_2 135 and temperatures on global carbon cycle remains to be established

136 Spatial variability on CO₂ and climate change effects on NEP

Our statistical models for the MACC-II and Jena CarboScope datasets indicated that the positive effect of CO₂ on NEP was higher in regions with higher annual precipitation and that this positive effect increased with increasing temperatures (**Figure 2, SI Section 1.1**). In contrast, our analyses using the TRENDY ensemble did not show a significant interaction between CO₂ and precipitation or with temperature, highlighting the different behaviour in the DGVMs compared to inversion models. We also found a
significant positive interaction between mean annual temperature and CO₂ for Jena
CarboScope and TRENDY. However, the same interaction was negative for MACC-II.
On the other hand, increasing temperatures reduced NEP in warm regions but
increased NEP in cold regions (Figure 2).

147 The analyses on temporal contributions performed for inversion and TRENDY NEP 148 averaged over latitudinal bands (boreal, >55°; temperate, 35-55°; subtropical, 15-35°; 149 and tropical, 15°N-15°S), further supported previous results obtained at the global 150 scale (Table 2, SI Sections 2.2–2.7). Increasing CO₂ was the main factor accounting for increasing trends in NEP, with a consistent positive temporal contribution for almost 151 152 all latitudinal bands considered and for all three datasets. However, contributions 153 estimated from the TRENDY ensemble were generally lower than those of the 154 inversion models. Proportionally, increasing CO₂ accounted for more than 90% of the 155 trends in NEP in MACC-II and Jena CarboScope datasets. For the TRENDY ensemble, 156 the estimated contribution of CO_2 to the trends in global NEP was more than 2.7 times 157 higher than the estimated trends. Increasing temperatures had a negative effect for all latitudinal bands for the inversion models, but most effects were not statistically 158 significant and need to be interpreted as such. Instead, our analyses for the TRENDY 159 160 ensemble indicated a significant negative effect for all latitudinal bands, except for the 161 temperate southern hemisphere. Similarly, the proportional contribution of temperature to the trends in NEP was less than 10% for the inversion models, but accounted for 162 163 almost 95% of the trends estimated using the TRENDY ensemble. These results 164 suggest that the parameterisation of temperature in the DGVMs does not accurately 165 reproduce the estimation of the inverse models.

Despite all regions presented, on average, positive trends, the tropical regions clearly 166 had the highest contribution, across all three datasets, to global NEP trends accounting 167 168 for almost half of the increase (Table 2). Similarly, the tropical regions had the highest 169 sensitivity to CO₂ increase, accounting for more than half of the total global sensitivity (Table 1). A similar pattern was found for temperature, although the sign of the 170 171 contribution was positive for MACC-II but negative for Jena CarboScope and TRENDY. 172 The contribution of the southern hemisphere to the global trends was very modest compared to the contribution of the northern hemisphere using all datasets. Our results 173 174 using the MACC-II dataset showed that subtropical, temperate and boreal regions of 175 the northern hemisphere accounted for 44.2% of the global trends in NEP, while only 176 9.5% was attributed to subtropical and temperate regions of the southern hemisphere. 177 Using the Jena CarboScope dataset these regions accounted for 63.3% and 6.1%,

178 respectively. Differences on the regional attributions between inversion models may 179 emerge from the different interhemispheric transport models or other inversion settings². Results from the TRENDY ensemble were more extreme, because they 180 indicated a negative contribution of the subtropical and temperate regions to the global 181 trends in NEP. Differences between the global estimates (trends and contributions of 182 CO₂ and temperature) and the sum of every region were low for all datasets. 183 184 Contribution of other variables to the trends in NEP (precipitation, drought, land-use 185 change, and unknown variables) were on average also low for most of the latitudinal 186 bands, despite the variability amongst datasets (Table 2).

187 Atmospheric deposition

The MACC-II and Jena CarboScope datasets showed that NEP increased over Europe 188 and the USA by 0.45 \pm 0.13 and 0.68 \pm 0.16 g C m⁻² y⁻¹, respectively (**Figure S1**). Our 189 190 temporal contribution analyses suggested that increasing atmospheric CO₂ in both 191 datasets contributed significantly to increasing NEP. NEP sensitivity to CO₂ was more 192 than two-fold higher in the Jena CarboScope than the MACC-II dataset (Table S1), similar to the temporal contributions, at 0.22 \pm 0.06 and 0.46 \pm 0.07 g C m⁻² y⁻¹ ppm⁻¹ 193 194 for the MACC-II and Jena CarboScope models, respectively. The temporal contribution 195 of decreasing Nox deposition to NEP differed between the two datasets; the 196 contribution was positive for MACC-II and negative for Jena CarboScope. Our analyses 197 consequently estimated a negative sensitivity of NEP to Nox for the MACC-II dataset 198 but a positive sensitivity for the Jena CarboScope dataset. Additionally, neither MACC-199 II, nor Jena CarboScope indicated a strong impact of land use change.

200 These statistical models indicated that, in both datasets, the positive effect of CO₂ on 201 NEP was higher in regions with higher NRED deposition but lower in regions with high S 202 deposition (means for MACC-II and annual anomalies for Jena CarboScope; see SI 203 section 2.8). The results for Nox deposition, however, differed between the models. 204 The positive effect of CO₂ on NEP for the MACC-II dataset was constrained by the 205 annual anomalies of Nox but was higher for the Jena CarboScope dataset. We also 206 estimated an overall negative but not significant sensitivity of NEP to S deposition for 207 both inversion models.

208 CO₂ fertilisation and global NEP

The positive effect of atmospheric CO_2 on NEP must originate from a stronger positive effect on photosynthesis than on the sum of all respiratory processes. Increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations have been widely reported to increase ecosystem 212 photosynthesis, mainly by two mechanisms: i) increasing carboxylation rates and 213 decreasing photorespiration²⁷, and ii) decreasing stomatal conductance and therefore increasing WUE^{9,28}, which would theoretically increase photosynthesis under water 214 limitation. An increase in GPP by either mechanism may thus account for the higher 215 NEP due to increasing atmospheric CO₂. A recent global analysis suggested that most 216 217 of the GPP gains from CO₂ fertilization are associated with ecosystem WUE²⁹. The positive interaction between CO₂ and annual precipitation that we found may not 218 219 support this hypothesis (Figure 2), given that plants living under wet conditions are 220 usually less efficient in water use. However, plants having higher water availability may 221 benefit from increasing CO₂ more than those suffering drought because photosynthesis 222 would not be water-limited.

223 Our estimates of global NEP sensitivity to CO_2 were 0.45 ± 0.01, 0.61 ± 0.03 and 0.23 \pm 0.01 g C m⁻² ppm⁻¹ (globally 60.4 \pm 1.2, 81.4 \pm 3.4 and 30.7 \pm 3.4 Tg C ppm⁻¹) for the 224 225 MACC-II, Jena CarboScope and TRENDY datasets, respectively, but these estimates 226 varied amongst the latitudinal bands and were inconsistent between datasets (Table 227 1). These estimates were similar to those reported in CO2-enrichment FACE 228 experiments³⁰, despite the fact that FACE values were calculated for a much higher CO₂ range for which the effect of CO₂ may saturate³¹. However, they were much lower 229 than the 4.81 \pm 0.52 g C m⁻² ppm⁻¹ reported in a study using eddy-covariance flux 230 towers for a similar period⁵. The much larger areas analysed by the inverse models 231 232 than the footprints covered by the eddy-covariance flux towers, and FACE 233 experiments, may explain these differences between the estimates. Flux towers are usually located in relatively homogenous, undisturbed ecosystems, while each pixel in 234 235 the inverse model aggregates information from several ecosystems (and even biomes), often including non-productive land such as bare soil or cities. 236

Our results indicated that the variability of the estimates of NEP sensitivity to CO2 237 238 amongst the latitudinal bands might be associated with differences in climate and 239 atmospheric N and S deposition. The two atmospheric inversion models indicated that the effect of CO₂ fertilisation was stronger in wet climates (high annual precipitation) 240 (Figure 2), supporting the estimates provided by the latitudinal bands, with the highest 241 242 sensitivity estimates for the tropical band (Table 1). However, analyses based on the 243 TRENDY ensemble did not show the same results. The positive effect of CO₂ tended to 244 increase with temperature anomalies in both inversion models, but, again, the DGVMs 245 did not show the same behaviour. These differences between inversion models and 246 process-based models suggest that DGVMs still fail to capture some of the interactions 247 occurring in nature. The MACC-II and Jena CarboScope datasets further agreed on a stronger positive effect of increasing CO_2 in regions with higher N_{RED} deposition, which confirms previous studies suggesting that the effect of CO_2 fertilisation is stronger in nitrogen-rich sites^{32–34}.

251 Climate, land-use and C sinks

252 Climatic warming clearly had a secondary effect on the trends in NEP from 1995 to 253 2014. The MACC-II, Jena CarboScope and TRENDY datasets estimated that NEP 254 decreased globally by around -0.5 \pm 0.2, -0.9 \pm 0.4 and -1.1 \pm 0.1 Pg C for every degree of increase in the Earth's temperature. Assuming that a CO₂ increase of 100 255 ppm is equivalent to an increase of global temperature of 1 °C, the effect of the 256 257 increasing CO₂ concentrations largely outweighs the negative effect of increasing temperature on NEP (global estimates: 6.0 \pm 0.1, 8.1 \pm 0.3 and 3.1 \pm 0.1 Pg C for a 258 100 ppm of CO₂ increase according to MACC-II, Jena CarboScope and TRENDY). The 259 260 difference, though, is much lower for TRENDY than for the inversion models, having a 261 higher negative impact of temperature and a lower positive effect of CO₂. This 262 difference in the effects of temperature and CO₂ may explain the lower trends observed 263 in TRENDY datasets compared to MACC-II and Jena CarboScope. It also suggests 264 that a different parameterisation of temperature, CO₂ and their interaction may be 265 needed on DGVMs to capture the observed trends in the inversion models.

266 The quasi monotonically increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have been more 267 important than temperature in driving NEP trends. Increasing temperature, however, 268 did not have the same effect on NEP around the world. The analyses of both inverse 269 models indicated that increasing temperatures had a positive effect on NEP only in cold 270 regions (when MAT \leq 1.5, 9 and -5.9 °C for MACC-II and Jena CarboScope and TRENDY respectively, when $CO_2 = 400$ ppm, see SI section 2.1, and Figure 2). 271 272 These findings support previous literature reporting a positive effect between 273 temperature increase and NEP in temperate and boreal forests³⁵. Instead, the general 274 negative effect of temperature on NEP could be due to a greater stimulation of Re than photosynthesis by higher temperatures^{36,37}. The potential benefit to C sequestration of 275 276 increased photosynthesis would then be negated by a greater increase in Re. 277 Increasing temperatures can also be linked to heat waves and drier conditions, which may decrease GPP more than Re³⁸. 278

The effects of land-use change on NEP trends differed greatly amongst the datasets, both at the global scale and when using latitudinal bands. Our statistical models identified several significant relationships between NEP and land-use change, but the large differences in effects (direction and magnitude) amongst the datasets preclude drawing firm conclusions. The coarse resolution of analysis likely blurred the effects ofland-use change on the NEP trends.

285 Our study highlights the dominant role of rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations triggering an increase in land C sinks over the entire planet from 1995 to 2014, with the 286 tropics accounting for around half of this increase in NEP despite being only around 287 288 22% of the global land (excluding Antarctica, **Table 2**). Therefore, preserving tropical 289 ecosystems should be a global priority in order to mitigate anthropogenic CO2 emissions. Temperature has diminished the capacity of terrestrial ecosystems to 290 291 sequester C, which jeopardises future C sink capacity in light of global warming. So far, our results suggest that the benefit of increasing atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ 292 293 are still compensating the negative ones of temperature rise, in terms of C 294 sequestration. However, if it has not started to change already⁶, this pattern may eventually reverse with saturation of land C sinks^{5,31} or because warm ecosystems tend 295 296 to decrease NEP as temperature rises (Figure 2). Additionally, the comparison between model results indicated that the DGVMs were unable to reproduce several 297 298 features of the global land C sinks observed in inversion models. Process-based earth 299 system models will need to improve their parameterisation to capture these features in order to better predict the future of land C sinks. 300

302 **References:**

- Canadell, J. G. *et al.* Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO2 growth from
 economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 18866–70 (2007).
- Le Quéré, C. *et al.* Global Carbon Budget 2017. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 10, 405–
 448 (2018).
- 308 3. Ciais, P. *et al.* Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat
 309 and drought in 2003. *Nature* 437, 529–533 (2005).
- Crowther, T. W. *et al.* Quantifying global soil carbon losses in response to
 warming. *Nature* 540, 104–108 (2016).
- 5. Fernández-Martínez, M. *et al.* Atmospheric deposition, CO2, and change in the
 land carbon sink. *Sci. Rep.* **7:9632**, 1–13 (2017).
- Peñuelas, J. *et al.* Shifting from a fertilization-dominated to a warming dominated
 period. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 1438–1445 (2017).
- Ainsworth, E. A. & Long, S. P. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air
 CO2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of
 photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2. *New Phytol.* 165, 351–71 (2005).
- Medlyn, B. E. *et al.* Using ecosystem experiments to improve vegetation models.
 Nat. Clim. Chang. 5, 528–534 (2015).
- 322 9. Keenan, T. F. *et al.* Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric
 323 carbon dioxide concentrations rise. *Nature* 499, 324–327 (2013).
- Alexander, L. *et al. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis - Summary for Policymakers. Fifth Assessment Report* (Intergovernmental Panel
 on Climate Change, 2013).
- Fernández-Martínez, M. *et al.* Spatial variability and controls over biomass
 stocks, carbon fluxes and resource-use efficiencies in forest ecosystems. *Trees, Struct. Funct.* 28, 597–611 (2014).
- Beer, C. *et al.* Terrestrial gross carbon dioxide uptake: global distribution and
 covariation with climate. *Science (80-.).* 329, 834–8 (2010).

- Luyssaert, S. *et al.* CO 2 balance of boreal, temperate, and tropical forests
 derived from a global database. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13, 2509–2537 (2007).
- de Vries, W. & Posch, M. Modelling the impact of nitrogen deposition, climate
 change and nutrient limitations on tree carbon sequestration in Europe for the
 period 1900–2050. *Environ. Pollut.* **159**, 2289–2299 (2011).
- Wamelink, G. W. W. *et al.* Modelling impacts of changes in carbon dioxide
 concentration, climate and nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration by
 European forests and forest soils. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **258**, 1794–1805 (2009).
- Wamelink, G. W. W. *et al.* Effect of nitrogen deposition reduction on biodiversity
 and carbon sequestration. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **258**, 1774–1779 (2009).
- de Vries, W., Du, E. & Butterbach-Bahl, K. Short and long-term impacts of
 nitrogen deposition on carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* 9–10, 90–104 (2014).
- 18. Luyssaert, S. *et al.* The European carbon balance. Part 3: forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16, 1429–1450 (2010).
- Magnani, F. *et al.* The human footprint in the carbon cycle of temperate and
 boreal forests. *Nature* 447, 848–50 (2007).
- Thomas, R. B., Spal, S. E., Smith, K. R. & Nippert, J. B. Evidence of recovery of
 Juniperus virginiana trees from sulfur pollution after the Clean Air Act. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 15319–24 (2013).
- Oulehle, F. *et al.* Major changes in forest carbon and nitrogen cycling caused by
 declining sulphur deposition. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **17**, 3115–3129 (2011).
- Truog, E. Soil Reaction Influence on Availability of Plant Nutrients1. Soil Sci.
 Soc. Am. J. 11, 305 (1946).
- Fernández-Martínez, M. *et al.* Nutrient availability as the key regulator of global
 forest carbon balance. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 4, 471–476 (2014).
- Zhu, Z. *et al.* Greening of the Earth and its drivers. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 6, 791–795
 (2016).
- 25. Chevallier, F. *et al.* CO 2 surface fluxes at grid point scale estimated from a
 global 21 year reanalysis of atmospheric measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115,

362 D21307 (2010).

- Rödenbeck, C., Houweling, S., Gloor, M. & Heimann, M. CO₂ flux history 1982–
 2001 inferred from atmospheric data using a global inversion of atmospheric
 transport. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 3, 1919–1964 (2003).
- Aber, J. *et al.* Forest Processes and Global Environmental Change: Predicting
 the Effects of Individual and Multiple Stressors. *Bioscience* 51, 735 (2001).
- Prentice, I. C., Heimann, M. & Sitch, S. The carbon balance of the terrestrial
 biosphere: Ecosystem models and Atmospheric observations. *Ecol. Appl.* 10,
 1553–1573 (2000).
- 29. Cheng, L. *et al.* Recent increases in terrestrial carbon uptake at little cost to the
 water cycle. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 110 (2017).
- 373 30. Norby, R. J., Warren, J. M., Iversen, C. M., Medlyn, B. E. & McMurtrie, R. E.
 374 CO2 enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen
 375 availability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 19368–73 (2010).
- 376 31. Norby, R. J. *et al.* Forest response to elevated CO2 is conserved across a broad
 377 range of productivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 18052–18056 (2005).
- 378 32. Van Groenigen, K. J. *et al.* The Impact of Elevated Atmospheric CO2 on Soil C
 379 and N Dynamics. *Ecol. Stud.* 187, 374–391 (2006).
- 38. Terrer, C. *et al.* Mycorrhizal association as a primary control of the CO₂
 fertilization effect. *Science* 353, 72–4 (2016).
- 382 34. McCarthy, H. R. *et al.* Re-assessment of plant carbon dynamics at the Duke
 383 free-air CO2enrichment site: interactions of atmospheric [CO2] with nitrogen and
 384 water availability over stand development. *New Phytol.* 185, 514–528 (2010).
- 385 35. Hyvönen, R. *et al.* The likely impact of elevated [CO2], nitrogen deposition,
 increased temperature and management on carbon sequestration in temperate
 and boreal forest ecosystems: a literature review. *New Phytol.* **173**, 463–80
 (2007).
- 389 36. Ryan, M. G. Effects of climate change on plant respiration. *Ecol. Appl.* 1, 157–
 390 167 (1991).
- 391 37. Amthor, J. S. Scaling CO2 Photosynthesis Relationships from the Leaf to the

392		Canopy. Photosynth. Res. 39, 321–350 (1994).
393 394 395	38.	Wu, Z., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G. W., Peñuelas, J. & Hungate, B. a. Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental manipulation. <i>Glob. Chang. Biol.</i> 17 , 927–942 (2011).
396 397 398	39.	Chevallier, F. <i>et al.</i> Toward robust and consistent regional CO2 flux estimates from in situ and spaceborne measurements of atmospheric CO2. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> 41 , 1065–1070 (2014).
399 400	40.	Olivier, J. G. J. & Berdowski, J. J. M. in <i>The Climate System</i> (eds. Berdowski, J., Guicherit, R. & Heij, B. J.) 33–78 (2001).
401 402	41.	Sitch, S. <i>et al.</i> Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. <i>Biogeosciences</i> 12 , 653–679 (2015).
403 404 405	42.	Harris, I., Jones, P. D. D., Osborn, T. J. J. & Lister, D. H. H. Updated high- resolution grids of monthly climatic observations - the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. <i>Int.</i> <i>J. Climatol.</i> 34 , online, update (2013).
406 407 408	43.	Vicente-serrano, S. M., Beguería, S. & López-Moreno, J. I. A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. <i>J. Clim.</i> 23 , 1696–1718 (2010).
409 410	44.	Zuur, A., Ieno, E., Walker, N., Saveliev, A. & Smith, G. <i>Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R</i> . (Springer science, 2009).
411 412 413	45.	Mathias, J. M. & Thomas, R. B. Disentangling the effects of acidic air pollution, atmospheric CO2, and climate change on recent growth of red spruce trees in the Central Appalachian Mountains. <i>Glob. Chang. Biol.</i> 24 , 3938–3953 (2018).
414	46.	R Core Team. R: A Lenguage and Environment for Stasitical Computing. (2016).
415 416	47.	Barton, K. MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.17.1. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn. (2015).
417 418 419	48.	Nakagawa, S. & Schielzeth, H. A general and simple method for obtaining R 2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. <i>Methods Ecol. Evol.</i> 4 , 133–142 (2013).
420 421	49.	Breheny, P. & Burchett, W. Visualization of Regression Models Using visreg, R package version 2.2-0. (2015).

422 **Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to:**

423 Marcos Fernández-Martínez: marcos.fernandez-martinez@uantwerpen.be

424 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Spanish Government project CGL2016-79835-P (FERTWARM), the European Research Council Synergy grant ERC-2013-726 SyG-610028 IMBALANCE-P, and the Catalan Government project SGR 2017-1005. M.F-M and S.V. are a postdoctoral fellows of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO). J.G.C. thanks the support of the National Environmental Science Programme ESCC Hub. We thank Christian Röedenbeck for his advice and for distributing Jena CarboScope and all the modellers that contributed to the TRENDY project.

432

433 Author Contributions

M.F-M., J.S., I.A.J., and J.P. conceived, analyzed and wrote the paper. F.C., P.F., and
S.S., provided data. All authors contributed substantially to the writing and discussion
of the paper.

438 **Figure captions**

Figure 1: Global trends in NEP and their contributing factors. Global temporal 439 440 contributions of CO₂, climate and land-use change to the trends in NEP (annual change) are shown on the right side of each panel. The difference between the 441 442 modelled temporal contributions and the trends (shaded) has been treated as an 443 unknown contribution to the temporal variation in NEP. Statistically significant (P < 444 0.01) temporal variations of the predictors are shown in square brackets. Error bars 445 indicate 95% confidence intervals. The boxplots in panel c indicate the estimated contributions of the 10 DVGMs used in the TRENDY ensemble. Units are ppm y⁻¹ for 446 CO₂, °C y⁻¹ for temperature, mm y⁻² for precipitation, standard deviation for SPEI, and 447 percentage of land-use cover per pixel for forests, crops, and urban areas. See the 448 Materials and Methods section for information about the methodology used to calculate 449 the contributions. Significance levels: *, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.005; ***, P < 0.001. 450

- Figure 2: Plots showing the estimated effects of the interactions of the statistical models. The graphs show interactions between CO₂ and climate (mean annual precipitation [MAP] and temperature [MAT], and annual anomalies in temperature [MAT.an]) on NEP for the MACC-II and Jena CarboScope inversion models and the TRENDY ensemble. Shaded bands indicate the 95% confidence intervals of the slopes. Non-significant interactions are indicated by "n.s.".
- Table 1: Global and latitudinal analyses of sensitivity of NEP to changes in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and mean annual temperature. The "%" columns indicate the contribution of the latitudinal band to the global estimate. Differences are calculated as the difference between the sum of all latitudinal bands and the global estimate. Bold coefficients differ significantly from 0 at the 0.01 level. Empty cells indicate that anomalies in temperature were not a significant predictor in the models predicting NEP. Units are Tg C y⁻¹ ppm⁻¹ for CO₂ and Tg C y⁻¹ C⁻¹ for temperature.

464 Table 2: Global and latitudinal trends and temporal contributions of changes in 465 atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and mean annual temperature to NEP trends. The "%" columns indicate the percentage of contribution of each latitudinal band to the 466 global estimate. Columns "Cont." show the percentage of contribution of CO2 and 467 temperature to the trends in NEP. Column "Other" shows the difference between the 468 NEP trend and the sum of contributions of CO₂ and temperature. If different from zero, 469 470 it indicates that other factors are contributing to the trends in NEP. The "differences" 471 rows are calculated as the difference between the sum of all latitudinal bands and the

472 global estimate. NH and SH indicate Northern and Southern Hemispheres, 473 respectively. Bold coefficients differ significantly from 0 at the 0.01 level. Empty cells 474 indicate that anomalies in temperature were not a significant predictor in the models 475 predicting NEP. Units are Tg C y⁻¹ for trends, Tg C y⁻¹ ppm⁻¹ for CO₂ and Tg C y⁻¹ C⁻¹ 476 for temperature. Errors were calculated using the error propagation method. See the 477 Materials and Methods section for information about the methods used to calculate the 478 contributions.

479

480







Table 1

MACC	
NH >55° 8.5 ± 0.4 14.1 -35.3 ± 24.1	6.8
NH 35-55° 14.7 ± 1.3 24.3 -132.0 ± 259.9 2	25.6
NH 15-35° -5.0 ± 1.4 -8.3	
NH 15-SH 15° 31.9 ± 0.7 52.9 101.9 ± 216.6 -	19.8
SH 15-35° 2.2 ± 0.9 3.7 -150.2 ± 131.3 2	29.1
SH 35-55° 0.6 ± 0.3 1.0 -13.4 ± 49.3	2.6
Global 60.4 ± 1.2 -515.7 ± 152.4	<u> </u>
Difference -7.4 ± 2.6 -12.3 286.6 ± 397.4 -5	55.6
JENA	
NH >55° -0.3 ± 1.0 -0.3 -49.8 ± 48.2	5.8
NH 35-55° 11.1 ± 3.9 13.6 -213.6 ± 558.1 2	24.9
NH 15-35° 26.3 ± 2.7 32.3 -268.7 ± 400.0 3	31.3
NH 15-SH 15° 54.2 ± 3.6 66.6 -697.6 ± 1136.5 8	31.2
SH 15-35° 5.4 ± 0.9 6.6 -167.0 ± 133.9 1	9.4
SH 35-55° 0.2 ± 0.0 0.3	
Global 81.4 ± 3.4 -859.2 ± 386.3	
Difference 15.4 ± 6.9 19.0 -537.4 ± 1390.2 6	62.5
TRENDY	
NH >55° 2.8 ± 0.1 9.0 17.3 ± 7.3	1.6
NH 35-55° 5.8 ± 0.5 19.0 -251.1 ± 79.3 2	23.1
NH 15-35° 5.9 ± 0.6 19.4 -368.8 ± 51.9 3	33.9
NH 15-SH 15° 16.6 ± 1.1 54.2 -1612.2 ± 213.4 14	48.2
SH 15-35° 4.6 ± 1.2 14.9 -379.2 ± 141.1 3	34.9
SH 35-55° 0.3 ± 0.2 1.0 -36.8 ± 18.1	3.4
	_
Global 30.7 ± 1.2 -1088.0 ± 118.1	

490 Table 2

	Trends	%	CO2	%	Cont.	Temp	%	Cont.	Other
MACC									
NH >55°	20.1 ± 1.2	17.2	17.0 ± 0.8	14.1	84.4	-1.2 ± 0.8	11.5	-5.9	4.3 ± 1.7
NH 35-55°	17.5 ± 5.0	15.0	29.2 ± 2.7	24.3	166.6	-1.7 ± 3.2	16.1	-9.4	-10.0 ± 6.5
NH 15-35°	14.0 ± 3.1	12.0	-9.9 ± 2.8	-8.3	-71.0			0.0	23.9 ± 4.1
NH 15- SH 15°	55.4 ± 2.7	47.4	63.5 ± 1.5	52.9	114.6	0.9 ± 1.9	-8.9	1.6	-9.0 ± 3.6
SH 15-35°	7.6 ± 1.4	6.5	4.4 ± 1.9	3.7	57.6	-2.3 ± 2.0	22.2	-29.8	5.5 ± 3.1
SH 35-55°	2.3 ± 0.6	2.0	1.2 ± 0.7	1.0	49.9	-0.3 ± 1.0	2.5	-11.2	1.4 ± 1.3
Global	116.9 ± 6.1		120.1 ± 2.3		102.7	-10.3 ± 3.0		-8.8	7.1 ± 7.2
Difference	0.0 ± 9.1	0.0	-14.8 ± 5.2	-12.3		5.8 ± 5.4	-56.6		
<u>JENA</u>									
NH >55°	13.8 ± 2.2	7.7	-0.5 ± 2.1	-0.3	-3.8	-1.7 ± 1.7	9.9	-12.4	16.0 ± 3.5
NH 35-55°	49.8 ± 5.9	28.0	22.0 ± 7.7	13.6	44.1	-2.7 ± 6.9	15.4	-5.3	30.5 ± 11.9
NH 15-35°	49.2 ± 4.0	27.6	52.3 ± 5.3	32.3	106.2	-5.0 ± 7.4	29.0	-10.2	1.9 ± 10.0
NH 15- SH 15°	80.4 ± 5.1	45.2	107.7 ± 7.1	66.6	133.9	-5.7 ± 9.2	32.9	-7.0	-21.6 ± 12.7
SH 15-35°	10.4 ± 1.3	5.8	10.7 ± 1.7	6.6	103.1	-2.8 ± 2.2	16.2	-26.9	2.5 ± 3.1
SH 35-55°	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3	0.4 ± 0.1	0.3	87.2				0.1 ± 0.1
Global	178.0 ± 8.1		161.8 ± 6.8		90.9	-17.2 ± 7.7		-9.7	33.4 ± 13.1
Difference	26.1 ± 12.2	14.7	30.7 ± 13.8	19.0		-0.6 ± 16.0	3.4		
<u>TRENDY</u>									
NH >55°	9.3 ± 0.6	41.4	5.5 ± 0.3	9.0	59.0	0.6 ± 0.2	-2.7	6.1	3.3 ± 0.7
NH 35-55°	9.4 ± 1.3	41.5	11.6 ± 0.9	19.0	124.0	-3.0 ± 0.9	13.9	-31.6	0.7 ± 1.8
NH 15-35°	3.3 ± 1.3	14.9	11.8 ± 1.1	19.4	352.9	-7.9 ± 1.0	36.9	-235.0	-0.6 ± 2.0
NH 15- SH 15°	10.1 ± 2.3	45.0	33.0 ± 2.1	54.2	326.2	-17.2 ± 1.8	80.8	-170.2	-5.7 ± 3.6
SH 15-35°	-13.7 ± 1.8	-60.9	0.5 ± 0.1	0.9	-3.8	-0.3 ± 0.1	1.6	2.5	-13.9 ± 1.8
SH 35-55°	-1.0 ± 0.4	-4.7	0.6 ± 0.5	1.0	-55.4	-0.7 ± 0.4	3.5	70.4	-0.9 ± 0.7
Global	22.5 ± 3.1		61.0 ± 2.5		270.7	-21.3 ± 2.2		-94.7	-17.1 ± 4.5
Difference	-5.2 ± 4.7	-22.9	2.1 ± 3.6	3.4		-7.3 ± 3.2	34.0		

493 Methods

494 Datasets

495 NEP data

496 We used gridded global monthly NEP data for 1995–2014 from two inversion models: i) the MACC (Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate) CO₂ (http://www.gmes-497 atmosphere.eu/catalogue/) ^{25,39} database, version v14r2 and ii) the Jena CarboScope 498 499 database version s93_v3.7 using a constant network of towers (http://www.bgcjena.mpg.de/CarboScope/) ²⁶. The MACC CO₂ atmospheric inversion system relies on 500 501 the variational formulation of Bayes' theorem to analyse direct measurements of CO₂ 502 concentrations from 130 sites around the globe for 1979-2014. Optimised fluxes were calculated at a global horizontal resolution of 3.75 × 1.875° (longitude, latitude) and a 503 504 temporal resolution of eight days, separately for daytime and night-time. The underlying transport model was run with interannually varying meteorological data from the 505 506 ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis. The Jena inversion model estimates the interannual 507 variability of CO₂ fluxes based on raw CO₂ concentration data from 50 sites. The model uses a variational approach with the TM3 transport model $(4 \times 5^{\circ})$, using interannually 508 varying winds). Prior terrestrial fluxes were obtained from a modelled mean biospheric 509 pattern and fossil-fuel emissions from the EDGAR emission database⁴⁰. We also used 510 511 NEP data from an ensemble of 10 dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs) compiled by the TRENDY project (version 4, models CLM4.5, ISAM, JSBACH, JULES, 512 LPJG, LPX, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VEGAS, and VISIT) to see if results obtained from 513 514 atmospheric inversions data match those obtained with DGVMs simulations⁴¹. We used 515 the output from simulation experiment S3, which was run with varying atmospheric CO_2 and changing land use and climate⁴¹. 516

517 Meteorological, land-use change and atmospheric CO₂ data

We extracted gridded temperature and precipitation time series from the Climatic 518 Research Unit TS3.23 dataset ⁴². We also used the SPEI (Standardised Precipitation-519 Evapotranspiration Index) drought index⁴³ from the global SPEI database 520 (http://SPEI.csic.es/database.html) as a measure of drought intensity (positive values 521 522 indicate wetter than average meteorological conditions, negative values indicate drier 523 than average conditions). We used annual SPEI1 (monthly SPEI averaged over a year). Mean annual temperature (MAT) and precipitation (MAP) and SPEI were 524 525 calculated for each year and pixel. We used land-use change maps from land-use 526 harmonisation² (LUH2, http://luh.umd.edu/data.shtml) and calculated the percent 527 coverages of forests, croplands, and urban areas per pixel, so we could further 528 estimate whether they increased or decreased from 1995 to 2014. We used the data 529 for atmospheric CO₂ concentration from Mauna Loa Observatory provided by the 530 Scripps Institution of Oceanography (Scripps CO₂ programme).

531 Data for N and S deposition

532 Annual data for N (oxidised N [Nox] from NO3⁻ and reduced N [NRED] from NH4⁺) and S 533 (SO₄) wet deposition were extracted from: i) the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) with a spatial resolution of $0.15 \times 0.15^{\circ}$ for longitude and latitude, 534 ii) the MSC-W chemical-transport model developed to estimate regional atmospheric 535 536 dispersion and deposition of acidifying and eutrophying N and S compounds over 537 Europe, and iii) the National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) covering the USA with a spatial resolution of $0.027 \times 0.027^{\circ}$ for longitude and latitude. We used only 538 data for wet deposition because the NADP database only contained records for dry 539 540 deposition for 2000. Analyses focused on atmospheric deposition and were restricted to Europe and the USA because temporal gridded maps of atmospheric deposition 541 542 were not available for other regions. Maps of atmospheric deposition for the regional 543 analyses were adjusted to the resolution of the C-flux maps (3.75 x 1.875° for the 544 MACC-II model and $4 \times 5^{\circ}$ for the Jena CarboScope model for longitude and latitude).

545 <u>Statistical analyses</u>

546 Gridded, global and regional trend detection on NEP

547 To determine how NEP has changed from 1995 to 2014, we first calculated the trends for each pixel in both inversion models and an average dataset of the TRENDY 548 549 ensemble using linear regressions with an autoregressive and moving-average 550 (ARMA) (autoregressive structure at lag p=1, and no moving average q=0) correlation structure to account for temporal autocorrelation. Trends over larger areas (e.g. the 551 552 entire world, latitudinal bands), either for NEP or the predictor variables, were 553 calculated using generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs) with random slopes, 554 including also random intercepts⁴⁴ (e.g. NEP ~ year). We used pixel as the random factor (affecting the intercepts and slopes of the year), and an ARMA (p=1, q=0) 555 556 correlation structure. All average trends shown were calculated using this methodology.

557 Calculation of temporal contributions on trends of NEP

558 The temporal contributions of increasing CO₂, climate (MAT, MAP, and SPEI), and 559 land-use change (forests, croplands, and urban areas) to the observed trends in NEP 560 were assessed for the MACC-II, Jena CarboScope, and TRENDY datasets for the 561 entire world. We repeated the analysis for five latitudinal bands to determine if the 562 contributions of CO₂, climate, and land-use change were globally consistent using 563 MACC-II, Jena CarboScope, and the mean ensemble of the TRENDY datasets. For the 564 MACC-II and Jena CarboScope datasets, we also determined the temporal contribution of atmospheric deposition of N (N_{OX} and N_{RED}) and S to the trends in NEP in a 565 combined analysis that also included CO₂, climatic, and land-use trends. This latter 566 567 analysis was restricted to Europe and the USA due to the lack of atmospheric-568 deposition time series for the rest of the world.

569 The temporal contributions of the predictor variables were calculated following the 570 methodology established in references^{5,45}, as follows:

571 i) using a GLMM with an autocorrelation structure for lag 1 (AR1) and using the pixel as 572 the random factor affecting only the intercept, we fitted full models for NEP as a function of CO₂, mean MAT per pixel, annual anomaly of MAT, mean MAP per pixel, 573 574 annual anomaly of MAP, the annual SPEI, and mean percentage of forested, cropped, 575 and urban areas per pixel and their annual anomalies. We included the first-order 576 interaction terms between CO₂ and all predictors and between the mean values and 577 the anomalies for all predictors (except SPEI, which interacted with mean MAT and 578 MAP). When the interaction term between the means and the anomalies (e.g. MAT mean x MAT anomaly) was included, the model estimated the effect of the anomaly as 579 a function of the average value. This implies a change in the effect of increasing or 580 decreasing the anomalies, depending on the mean for the site (e.g. increasing 581 582 temperature may have a positive effect in cold climates but a negative effect in warmer climates). For models including atmospheric deposition, we also included the 583 584 interaction between climatic variables and CO₂ and the interactions between the means 585 and the annual anomalies of atmospheric deposition (Nox, NRED, and S). The models 586 were fitted using maximum likelihood to allow the comparison of models with different 587 fixed factors.

ii) We used the stepwise backwards-forwards model selection (*stepAIC* function in R⁴⁶)
from the full models, using the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC), to obtain the
best model. The amount of the variance explained by the models was assessed using
the *r.squaredGLMM* function in R (MuMIn package: ⁴⁷) following the method of
Nakagawa and Schielzeth (2013). Model residuals met the assumptions required in all
analyses (normality and homoscedasticity of residuals).

594 iii) We then used the selected models to predict the changes of the response variables 595 during the study period (1995-2014). We first extracted the observed trend (mean ± 596 SEM, standard error of the mean) in NEP using raw data with GLMMs with an AR1 autocorrelation structure. We then calculated the trend of NEP predicted by the final 597 598 model and the trends of NEP predicted by the same model while maintaining the temporally varying predictors (i.e., anomalies) constant one at a time (e.g. MAT 599 600 anomalies were held constant using the median per pixel, while all other predictors 601 changed based on the observations). The difference between the predictions for the 602 final model and when one predictor was controlled was assumed to be the contribution 603 of that predictor variable to the change in NEP. The differences between all individual 604 contributions and the observed trend in NEP were treated as unknown contributions.

605 Calculation of sensitivities of NEP to temporal predictors

606 Finally, we calculated the average sensitivities of NEP to the predictor changes by dividing the temporal contributions of each predictor of delta NEP by their temporal 607 trends. Spatial variability on the effects of temporal predictors to NEP were assessed 608 using the GLMMs fitted to estimate the temporal contributions of the predictors. To 609 visualise the interactions we used the R package visreg⁴⁹. All errors were calculated 610 using the error-propagation method using the following two equations, for additions and 611 subtractions: $\varepsilon C = \sqrt{(\varepsilon A)^2 + (\varepsilon B)^2}$; and for multiplications and divisions: $\varepsilon C = \sqrt{(\varepsilon A)^2 + (\varepsilon B)^2}$; 612 $C_{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\epsilon A}{A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon B}{B}\right)^2}}$; where ϵ indicates the error associated to each value (A, B or C). To 613 614 calculate global and regional estimates we multiplied the model outputs, in units of gC m⁻², times land area. We considered the land Earth surface area to be 134375000 km² 615 excluding the Antarctic region. Land area for the different latitudinal bands used were: 616 >55° N, 23818000 km²; 35 to 55° N, 31765000 km²; 15 to 35° N, 29213000 km²; 15° S 617 to 15° N, 29926000 km²; 15 to 35° S, 17308000 km²; and 35 to 55° S, 2345600 km². 618

619

620 Data availability

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are publically available in the webpages provided in the article. The TRENDY simulations are available from the corresponding author upon request.