

The C-Terminal Domain of the Sudan Ebolavirus L Protein Is Essential for RNA Binding and Methylation

Coralie Valle, Baptiste Martin, Francoise Debart, Jean-Jacques Vasseur,

Isabelle Imbert, Bruno Canard, Bruno Coutard, Etienne Decroly

▶ To cite this version:

Coralie Valle, Baptiste Martin, Francoise Debart, Jean-Jacques Vasseur, Isabelle Imbert, et al.. The C-Terminal Domain of the Sudan Ebolavirus L Protein Is Essential for RNA Binding and Methylation. Journal of Virology, 2020, 94 (12), pp.e00520-20. 10.1128/JVI.00520-20. hal-02890587

HAL Id: hal-02890587 https://hal.science/hal-02890587v1

Submitted on 20 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

The C-Terminal Domain of the Sudan Ebolavirus L Protein Is

2

Essential for RNA Binding and Methylation

3 Coralie Valle^{1#}, Baptiste Martin^{1#}, Françoise Debart², Jean-Jacques Vasseur², Isabelle Imbert¹, Bruno

- 4 Canard¹, Bruno Coutard³ & Etienne Decroly^{1*}
- ¹AFMB, CNRS, Aix-Marseille University, UMR 7257, Case 925, 163 Avenue de Luminy, 13288
- 6 Marseille Cedex 09, France
- ² IBMM, UMR 5247 CNRS, Montpellier University, ENSCM, Montpellier, France

8 ³ Unité des Virus Emergents (UVE: Aix-Marseille Univ-IRD 190-Inserm, 1207-IHU Méditerranée

- 9 Infection), Marseille, France
- # These authors contribute equally to this work.* Corresponding author (etienne.decroly@afmb.univ-mrs.fr)

12 ABSTRACT

The large protein (L) of mononegaviruses is a key player of virus infection as its N-terminal region 13 bears the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity, which is essential for replication/transcription, and 14 15 its C-terminus contains a cap assembly line composed of a capping domain, and a methyltransferase 16 domain followed by a C-terminal domain (CTD) of unknown function. For viruses belonging to the 17 *Mononegavirales* order, the capping domain, which harbours a polyribonucleotidyltransferase activity, 18 has been shown to transfer a GTP molecule to the 5' end of nascent viral RNA forming the cap structure. 19 The MTase activity of L protein next sequentially methylates the 2'O and the N7 position of the cap 20 structure. In addition, the MTase of Sudan ebolavirus (SUDV) induces also internal adenosine 2'Omethylations, which might prevent viral RNA sensing by innate immunity. In this work, we addressed 21 22 the regulating role of the CTD of SUDV L protein on the MTase activity. We demonstrated that the 23 CTD, which is enriched in basic amino acids, plays a key role in the RNA recognition. Consequently, 24 we showed that the MTase domain is inactive when expressed in absence of CTD (MTase- Δ CTD) whereas the MTase+CTD is enzymatically active. The role of the CTD in the RNA recognition and in 25 26 the regulation of the MTase activity was further confirmed by mutagenesis. We demonstrated that single 27 mutations in the CTD domain can uncouple or abolish the different MTase activities. Altogether, our 28 results elucidate the role of the SUDV L protein CTD domain in the RNA capping pathway, which is 29 essential for RNA translation into viral proteins and virus escape from detection by innate immunity.

30

31 INTRODUCTION

1 Ebola virus is an emerging virus causing severe epidemics such as the unprecedented devastating 2 outbreak of 2015 in West Africa, which killed more than 11,000 people [1]. Although Ebola faded from 3 public attention, outbreaks continue to occur especially in Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) 4 where the current epidemic, which has been declared in August 2018, has already killed more than 5 1,000 citizens [2]. This virus infects human and non-human primates to provoke a massive viremia 6 leading to haemorrhagic fever, which is fatale in most cases. Despite a vaccine is currently in 7 development [3][4] and some therapeutic antibodies have shown antiviral activities [5], we urgently 8 need to develop new therapeutic compounds to treat infected people and contact patients.

9 Ebolavirus genus contains five strains: Zaire ebolavirus (Ebola virus, EBOV), Sudan ebolavirus 10 (Sudan virus, SUDV), Tai Forest ebolavirus (Tai Forest virus, TAFV), Bundibugyo ebolavirus (Bundibugyo virus, BDBV), and Reston ebolavirus (Reston virus, RESTV). These filamentous viruses 11 together with Marburg marburgvirus (Marburg virus, MARV), also responsible of acute haemorrhagic 12 13 fever, and Lloviu cuevavirus form the Filoviridae family [6]. They are enveloped virus with non-14 segmented negative strand RNA genome (NNS) belonging to the Mononegavirales order. These 15 mononegaviruses, which contain several important human pathogens such as measles, RSV and rabies 16 viruses, display similar genetic organization and share common replication strategies.

17 The genome of filoviruses is about 19 kb long and codes for seven major proteins: the nucleoprotein 18 (NP), viral proteins VP35 and VP40, the glycoprotein (GP), viral proteins VP30 and VP24 and the 19 "large" protein L [7][8]. The viral life cycle is initiated by the interaction of the GP envelope protein 20 with cell surface determinants allowing virus entry into the cell by macropinocytosis. The NP-21 encapsidated viral genome is next released in the host cell cytoplasm where the virus replication cycle 22 is initiated. The large protein L, associated with NP, VP35 and VP30 first transcribes viral mRNAs by 23 using a discontinuous transcription mechanism that generates seven monocistronic capped and poly-24 adenylated RNAs [9][10]. The polymerase complex initiates the mRNA synthesis at a conserved gene-25 start (GS) sequence and transcribes the genes to the gene-stop sequence (GE) [11]. The poly-A tail is then added by a slippage mechanism of the polymerase occurring in a conserved $poly(U)_6$ tract in the 26 27 GE sequence [12]. The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) then scans the intergenic sequences 28 and reinitiates the RNA transcription at the next GS sequence. The viral mRNAs of mononegaviruses 29 are co-transcriptionally capped by an unusual sequence of capping reactions. This process was 30 elucidated for vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) and takes place when the nascent RNA chain has reached 31 31 nucleotides length [13]. The cap synthesis starts with the formation of a covalent adduct between a 32 conserved histidine residue of the polyribonucleotidyltransferase (PRNTase) embedded into the Cap domain and the 5'-phosphate of viral mRNA. In presence of GDP, the PRNTase transfer a GMP moiety 33 34 to the 5'-diphosphate of the covalently bound RNA, yielding to the formation of the cap structure 35 (GpppN). The 5' cap structure is subsequently methylated at the 2'O position of the first nucleotide 36 (N1) and at the N7 position of the guanosine (^mGpppN_m or cap-1) [14]. This cap-1 structure is 37 undistinguishable from cellular caps and plays a critical role for virus replication as it protects viral

1 mRNAs from cellular 5' exonucleases and it allows the eIF4e-dependent initiation of mRNA 2 translation. Additionally, the cap-2'O methylation is a self-marker that limits the detection of infecting 3 viral RNAs by the innate immunity sensors of the retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I) like family. 4 Thus, viruses expressing RNAs lacking cap 2'O methylation (^mGpppN-RNA or cap-0) are early detected by RIG-I receptors in infected cells leading to the secretion type I interferon (INF $_{\alpha/\beta}$). 5 Furthermore, INFs stimulate the expression of INF-stimulated genes (ISG) such as INF Induced Protein 6 7 with Tetratricopeptide Repeats (IFIT) that sequesters miss-capped RNAs (ie: cap-0) leading to 8 restriction of virus propagation (for review see [15]). Capping enzymes such as cap-MTases are thus 9 considered as potent antiviral targets as the inhibition of N7 MTase is supposed to limit RNA translation 10 into viral proteins and 2'O MTase inhibitors should unmask viral RNA to the intracellular self-sensors.

11 The structure of VSV L protein, recently solved by cryo-electromicroscopy (cryo-EM) at 3.8 Å, 12 reveals its organization in 5 main topological domains [16]. The RdRp, that contains three conserved regions (CRI, CRII, and CRIII), is intimately associated with the PRNTase domain (CRIV) forming a 13 "donut-like" structure observed by negative staining [17]. The RdRp is organised as a right-hand 14 15 "fingers-palm-thumb" structure, typical of polymerases. The Cap domain (PRNTase), which harbours 16 an original fold, projects a loop near the catalytic site of the polymerase. This structural interplay 17 suggests that the PRNTase domain participates to the initiation of polymerisation similarly to polymerases having a priming loop. In addition, the cryo-EM structure corroborates biochemical 18 19 analysis showing the interplay between RdRp and capping activities [13]. The EM studies shows that 20 the "donuts-like" structure (RdRp and PRNTase domains) is followed by 3 globular structures 21 corresponding to the connector domain (CD), the MTase domain and a small C-terminal domain (CTD), 22 respectively. The CD forms a globular domain, separating the PRNTase domain from the MTase domain, which is composed of a bundle of eight helices. It is likely that the CD domain plays an 23 24 organizational role as it interacts with the viral P protein. Conversely, the MTase domain contains a K-25 D-K-E catalytic tetrad, characteristic of 2'O MTases. The VSV cryo-EM structure reveals its 26 organization in a typical Rossman fold of S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) dependent methytransferases. 27 The 2.2 Å X-ray structure of human metapneumovirus (hMPV) MTase+CTD domain confirms the methyltransferase fold with a SAM-binding site positioning the released methyl group face to the 28 catalytic tetrad (Paesen et al.). In contrast, the MTase+CTD lacks a canonical cap-binding site like 29 30 observed in flavivirus MTases [18][19][20]. Thus, it is likely that the RNA substrate accommodates an 31 unusually narrow RNA-binding groove formed by the MTase domain overlayed by the CTD enriched 32 in basic amino acids.

The MTase activity of VSV L protein was demonstrated *in vitro* for VSV L protein that sequentially methylates the RNA cap structure at the ribose 2'O and the guanosine N7 positions [21]. The catalytic activity of the MTase domain has been confirmed *in vitro* using MTase+CTD constructs of hMPV and filovirus (SUDV) [22][23]. The MTase+CTD domains of these viruses have also been reported to

1 methylate the 2'O position of the N1 of capped and triphosphate RNAs. The subsequent methylation of 2 the N7 guanosine was evidenced using longer RNA substrates using SUDV MTase+CTD. Besides this, 3 we also demonstrated recently that the SUDV MTase and hMPV MTase (in a lesser extend) harbour a 4 cap-independent methyltransferase activity inducing internal adenosine 2'O methylations. The role of 5 such epitranscriptomic RNA modification is not yet fully understood, but similar internal 2'O 6 methylations observed inside the HIV RNA genome have been shown to protect viral RNAs from 7 detection by the RIG-like receptor Melanoma Differentiation-Associated protein 5 (MDA5) [24]. 8 Altogether, these results suggest that the filovirus MTase evolved towards a dual activity with distinct 9 substrate specificities. The cap-N7 MTase activity that promotes viral protein translation, the cap-10 dependent and independent 2'O MTase activities inducing cap 2'O methylation and internal 2'O 11 methylation limiting the viral RNA sensing by RIG-I and MDA5 respectively [25][26][27][28].

12 In this work, we addressed the functional role of the SUDV CTD domain by comparing the MTase 13 activity of MTase+CTD and MTase- Δ CTD constructs. After expression and purification of various 14 SUDV MTase constructs, we demonstrated the critical role of the CTD domain for RNA substrate 15 recognition and thereby controlling the MTase activity. The importance of CTD basic residues in RNA recognition and MTase activity was confirmed by directed mutagenesis and we identified single 16 17 mutations uncoupling the different activities carried by SUDV MTase. These results highlight the key 18 regulation role of the CTD domain in the RNA methylation process and raise the possibility to identify 19 compounds inhibiting specifically these viral MTases by targeting the CTD.

20

21 **RESULTS**

The CTD domain of SUDV L protein is enriched in basic amino acids and stabilizes the MTase domain.

24 The C-terminal part of SUDV L protein contains a conserved MTase domain including the conserved 25 region VI (CRVI) followed by a CTD domain of unknown function (Fig. 1A). The MTase harbours a K-D-K-E catalytic tetrad, canonic of 2'O MTases, and a SAM binding GxGxG motif highlighted in the 26 27 multi-alignment of filovirus C-terminal part of L proteins (Fig. S1). The MTase domain (residues 1693 28 to 2036) is followed by the CTD (residues 2037 to 2210), which greatly varies in length within the 29 Mononegavirales order (from ~120 residues in Pneumoviridae to ~240 residues in Rhabdoviridae) (Fig. 30 **S2**). Despite this weak conservation, CTDs are enriched in basic residues in most of *Mononegavirales*. 31 Calculated isoelectric points of each CTD vary between 8.33 and 9.94 except for rubelavirus and 32 bornavirus (Fig. S2). The alignment of filovirus CTDs also reveals the presence of 3 strictly conserved basic amino acids (arginine and lysine, indicated by *) and 2 other conserved positions with either 33 34 arginine or lysine residues (indicated by x) (Fig. S1). Two conserved aromatic residues (histidine and 35 phenylalanine, indicated by *), which might participate to RNA recruitment by interacting with

1 nucleobases by staking, are also present in the CTD. We also analysed the conservation of residues in 2 the protein alignment of the MTase+CTD domains of Mononegavirales. Results are represented onto 3 the hMPV structure (Fig. 1B&C). The conservation model indicates that the most conserved residues 4 are localized around the catalytic pocket, the SAM binding site and in a groove enriched in basic amino 5 acids previously proposed to accommodate the RNA substrate [22]. These observations together with 6 the structural analysis of hMPV MTase+CTD showing that MTase domain is overlayed by the CTD to

7 form a narrow RNA binding groove suggest that the CTD plays a key role in RNA recognition.

8

The CTD domain L protein is essential for the SUDV MTase activity.

9 We expressed in bacteria the MTase adjoining the CTD (MTase+CTD) and the SUDV MTase 10 domain (MTase- Δ CTD). Both proteins were purified by affinity chromatography on NTA column 11 combined with exclusion chromatography. The purity of both proteins was assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis upon Coomassie blue staining. Fig. 2A shows that MTase+CTD and SUDV MTase- Δ CTD 12 migrate at their expected apparent molecular weight of 58 and 39 kDa, respectively. Their identity was 13 14 confirmed by MALDI-TOF (not shown). Both proteins were also analysed by thermal shift assay (TSA) 15 in order to assess their folding and compare their stability (Figure 2B). The proteins revealed typical denaturation curve of folded proteins with a T_m value of 54.3°C and 46.0°C for the MTase+CTD and 16 17 the MTase- Δ CTD, respectively. These data suggest that the CTD domain increases the stability of the 18 MTase domain. We next demonstrated the involvement of the CTD in the MTase activity by comparing 19 the enzymatic activity of both proteins on a capped RNA (GpppN-RNA) mimicking the conserved 5' 20 sequence of SUDV 5' transcripts. The 13-nucleotides length-capped RNA substrate was incubated with 21 both enzymes in presence of radiolabeled methyl donor (3H-SAM). The reaction products were filtered 22 through a DEAE membrane, and the radioactivity transferred to the RNA substrate was determined 23 (filter binding assay). Fig. 2C shows that the MTase- Δ CTD domain was not active on the short capped-24 RNA substrate whereas the MTase+CTD domain induced the methylation of the capped-RNA 25 substrate.

26 We also compared RNA binding properties of MTase+CTD and MTase-ACTD proteins by 27 fluorescence polarization assay (FP). For this purpose, the capped RNA substrate was labelled at its 3'end by ligation of a pCp-Cy5 residue (GpppN-RNA-pCp-Cy5) and was incubated with increasing 28 29 concentration of MTase+CTD or MTase- Δ CTD. The interaction of RNA with both proteins was next 30 determined by FP measurement. Fig. 2D demonstrates that MTase- Δ CTD does not interact with the 31 capped RNA substrate. Conversely, the MTase+CTD induces an increased FP signal confirming its 32 interaction with the synthetic capped RNA substrate with a K_m value of 740 nM. Altogether, these 33 results demonstrated that the CTD domain of SUDV plays a key role in RNA recognition and, in turn, 34 promotes the MTase activity.

35 Effect of a single mutation of conserved residues in the SUDV CTD on the MTase activity.

1 We previously demonstrated that the SUDV MTase+CTD induces 2'O methylation of internal 2 adenosines in addition to N7 and 2'O methylations of the cap [23]. To further characterize the role of 3 the CTD in the RNA recruitment and unravel the involvement of CTD in the regulation of the different 4 MTase activities carried by MTase+CTD, we mutated conserved residues of filoviruses (Fig.S1) into 5 alanine. The mutant proteins were expressed in bacteria and purified by affinity chromatography on 6 NTA column. Figure 3A shows that the mutated proteins migrate at a molecular weight similar to the 7 WT MTase+CTD. The mutated proteins were also analysed by TSA and show typical denaturation 8 curve of folded proteins (Fig.S3) with T_m value ranging from 50.6 °C to 55.6 °C (Table 1). Table 1 9 indicates that most mutants display T_m values similar to the wild-type MTase+CTD protein. In contrast, 10 we observed that the mutant R2068A has a slightly decreased melting temperature ($\Delta Tm = -3.7^{\circ}C$) suggesting that this mutation affects the protein stability. We next tested the effect of mutations in the 11 12 CTD on the RNA binding properties by FP assay. We performed FP measurements using the GpppG-RNA-Cy5 and mGpppGm-RNA-Cy5 SUDV-RNA (Table 1 and Fig. S4). Mutations K2043A and 13 14 K2189A lead to an alteration of interactions between the substrate $(GpppG-SUDV_{12})$ and the protein 15 and a loss of recognition for the product ${}^{m}GpppG_{m}-SUDV_{12}$ (Kd > 9µM). We next tested the effect of mutations on cap-dependent and cap-independent MTase activities using different RNA substrates. By 16 incubating the WT and mutated MTase+CTD proteins with either GpppG_m(A_m)-SUDV₁₂, 17 18 ^mGpppA(A_m)-SUDV₁₂ or ^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂ in presence of radiolabelled methyl donor (3H-SAM), we determined the effect of CTD mutations on cap-N7 MTase, cap-2'O MTase and internal 2'O-A MTase 19 20 activities, respectively (Fig. 3B). We identified three categories of mutations. (i) Mutations leading to 21 the reduction (H2112A and F2113A) or the lost (R2068A, K2118A and K2189A) of all MTase 22 activities. Interestingly, the RNA recognition feature of R2068A, K2118A and K2189A is also strongly 23 impaired and R2068A mutation is also associated to a reduced protein stability compared to the WT 24 (ΔTm - 3.7°C). (ii) Mutations decreasing the 2'O MTase activities (cap-2'O MTase and internal 2'O-A 25 MTase), but not the cap-N7 MTase activity (K2043A). This result could be correlated with the alteration of recognition observed in FP, where the interaction with the RNA substrate ^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂ is more 26 27 impaired in comparison to the interaction between mutated protein and substrate $GpppG-SUDV_{12}$. (iii) Mutation reducing more specifically the internal 2'O-A MTase activity (H2067A) than the cap-MTase 28 29 activities. Altogether, these results demonstrate that mutations in the SUDV CTD of L protein regulate 30 the RNA recognition and the MTase activities. We highlighted mutations uncoupling cap methylations 31 (cap-2'O MTase and cap-N7 MTase) from internal 2'O-A methylation or N7 methylation from 2'O methylations (cap-2'O MTase and internal 2'O-A MTase) demonstrating that the CTD plays a key role 32 33 in the fine tuning of the N7 and 2'O MTase activities of the L protein.

34

35 **DISCUSSION**

The mononegavirus L protein plays a key role in replication/transcription and RNA capping. Cryo-EM and biochemical studies have evidenced its organization in 5 main topological domains [16] embedding the RdRp, the PRNTase and the MTase activities. Nevertheless, the role of the Connector Domain (CD) and the CTD, framing the MTase domain, is still elusive. In this work, we focused on the regulation role of the CTD on the SUDV MTase activities.

6 The CTD domain, the less conserved domain of mononegavirus L protein, is enriched in basic amino 7 acid suggesting their involvement in RNA recruitment. This hypothesis is also supported by the X-ray 8 structure of hMPV MTase+CTD showing that the CTD together with the MTase form a kind of 9 conserved RNA binding groove at immediate proximity of the MTase catalytic site (Fig. 1B &C). In this work, we experimentally demonstrated that the CTD domain is essential for the RNA recognition 10 11 as the recognition of capped RNA is strongly impaired in absence of the CTD (Fig.2D). The key role 12 of the CTD in RNA recognition was further confirmed by alanine mutagenesis of the CTD. A single 13 mutation of conserved basic and hydrophobic residues was engineered and different mutated proteins 14 were produced and purified. We next demonstrated by TSA that these mutations barely affect the 15 protein folding and stability (Table 1). In addition, all these single mutations decrease the recognition of capped RNAs (Table 1), but less than observed with the MTase- Δ CTD protein. Our results indicate 16 17 that some mutations strongly impair the interaction with ^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂, more than with GpppG-SUDV₁₂ (K2043A and K2189A) suggesting that these mutations might regulate in a different way the 18 19 MTase activity targeting the cap structure or adenosine residues within RNA sequence. Thus, all these 20 results demonstrate the crucial role of CTD conserved residues in MTase substrate recognition. These 21 data agree with the X-ray structure of hMPV and the cryo-EM structure of VSV full length protein 22 indicating that the canonical cap binding domain is virtually absent in these proteins. Conversely, it was 23 suggested that the RNA substrate might accommodate a groove enriched in basic amino acids at the 24 interface between the MTase and the CTD domain.

25 We next evaluated the effect of CTD deletion and single mutations on the MTase activities. The cap-N7 MTase and the cap-2'O MTase activities were followed by incubating the different mutants with 26 27 specific RNA substrates previously described [23]. In addition, we followed the methylation of 28 adenosines within RNA sequence using a cap-1 RNA substrate (^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂). We observed that 29 MTase activities are completely abrogated by the deletion of the CTD that hampers the RNA 30 recognition. In contrast, single mutations in the CTD induce more contrasted effects on the SUDV 31 MTase activities. We identified mutations decreasing or abrogating the overall MTase activities 32 (F2113A, H2112A, R2068A, K2118A and K2189A), as well as one mutation uncoupling the N7 MTase 33 activity from the 2'O MTase activities (K2043A), and one mutation affecting internal methylation 34 rather than cap methylations (H2067A). These results are consistent with the RNA binding assay as 35 mutations decreasing efficiently RNA recognition (MTase- Δ CTD > K2118A > R2068A) also strongly 36 impair MTase activities. Conversely, we identified one mutant (K2189A), which still binds partially to 37 GpppG-SUDV₁₂, but is barely active, suggesting that such mutation affects the RNA position in the

catalytic site. Finally, we also observed that mutants keeping partially the capacity to recognize RNA
 (K2043A, H2112A, F2113A, H2067A) also keep cap-N7 and/or cap-2'O MTase activities.

3 Altogether, these results elucidate one function of the CTD domain of SUDV, which regulates RNA 4 substrate recognition and in turns, participate to the fine-tuning of the MTase activity. Interestingly, we 5 recently demonstrated that the SUDV MTase induces in vitro internal adenosine 2'O methylation in 6 addition to the N7 and 2'O methylation of the cap structure [23]. On the other hand, other viruses 7 belonging to the Mononegavirales order such as hMPV seem to induce preferentially cap-N7 and cap-8 2'O methylations beside internal methylation. The different specificities between these enzymes might 9 depend on their capacity to recognize and position the RNA substrate into the MTase catalytic site linked to the CTD recognition properties, which is barely conserved among the mononegavirus families. 10 We do not know yet whether SUDV induces the methylation of adenosines inside its own genomic or 11 12 messenger RNAs in infected cells. In addition, the role of such methylations in viral RNA sensing, mRNA translation into viral protein, or RNA encapsidation is still elusive. In contrast to other viral 13 systems such as HIV infection, it was recently demonstrated that internal 2'O methylations of RNAs 14 15 induced by a cellular MTase recruited by the virus during infection (FTSJ3) limits RNA detection by the MDA5 pathway yielding to reduction of interferon secretion [24]. The identification of mutations 16 17 in the CTD of SUDV uncoupling the different MTase activities of the L protein opens the way to 18 elucidate the function of internal methylations in filovirus infection and would participate to develop 19 new antiviral strategies leading to an activation of the innate immunity system, especially through RIG-20 I/MDA5 pathway.

21

- 1 **Supplementary Information** is available in the online version of the paper.
- 2

3 Acknowledgements

The research was made possible by funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under SILVER grant agreement no. 260644 and from an MRC grant (MR/L017709/1). The authors thank Diamond Light Source for beamtime (proposal mx8423), and the staff of the MX beamlines for assistance. This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust administrative support grant 075491/Z/04.

9

Author Contributions. B.M., C.V., B.Co., and E.D. conceived and designed the study. B.M. C.V,
B.Co., and E.D. performed experiments, using material prepared by F.D. and J-J.V. B.M., C.V, B.Co
and E.D analysed data and wrote the paper. I.I. and B.Ca. participated in the review of the paper.

13

14

1 Methods

2 Cloning and expression

Codon-optimized CRVI-CTD synthetic gene (Biomers) was designed for expression in c2566
pRARE2. N-terminal truncated construct of CRVI-CTD was synthetized by cloning the sequence of
interest from full-length CRVI-CTD into a pDEST14 plasmid with Gateway system for expression in
c2566 bacteria. After transformation, bacteria were cultured at 30°C until a OD of 0.6, and induced
overnight with 500 mM IPTG (Sigma) at 17°C. Finally, cultures were centrifuged at 8,000 xg for 10
min at 4°C using a Sorval Lynx 6000 centrifuge (Thermo), and pellets were conserved at -80°C until
purification.

11 **Purification**

12 Pellets were thawed on ice, and lysed in a 10 times final culture OD volume of optimized lysis buffer 13 (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 1 mM PMSF, 100 µg/mL lysozyme, 1 µg/mL DNase, 0.1% Triton X100) supplemented with BugBuster (Merck Millipore) for MTase+CTD. 14 15 After clarification (18,000 xg, 30 min, 4 °C), the lysate was incubated with CoNTA resin (Thermo; 0.5 16 mL/L culture) for 1 h at 4 °C, with gentle shaking. The beads were transferred to a 25-mL column and 17 washed with 2 x 20 mL of buffer W1 (50 mM Tris pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 5% glycerol, 30 mM imidazole) and 2 x 10 mL of buffer W2 (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol). Protein was eluted in 18 19 buffer E (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol) supplemented with 150 mM imidazole in the 20 case of MTase- Δ CTD and 1 M arginine for CRVI+CTD. Imidazole was removed by gel filtration using 21 a Superdex S75 16/60 (GE Healthcare) for MTase- Δ CTD. Finally, proteins were concentrated on Amicon Ultra (EMD Millipore), and conserved in 50% glycerol at -20°C. 22 23

24 Synthesis of RNA substrates

RNA sequences were chemically synthesized on solid support using an ABI 394 25 26 oligonucleotidessynthesizer. After RNA elongation with 2'-O-pivaloyloxymethyl phosphoramidite ribonucleotides,¹⁰⁻¹¹ and 2'-O-methyl phosphoramidite ribonucleotides (Chemgenes, USA), the 5'-27 hydroxyl group was phosphorylated and the resulting H-phosphonate derivative¹² was oxidized and 28 29 activated into a phosphoroimidazolidate derivative to react with guanosine diphosphate (GpppRNA)¹³⁻ 30 ¹⁴. After deprotection and release from the solid support, GpppRNAswere purified by IEX-HPLC and 31 validated to be >95 % pure by MALDI-TOF spectrometry. N7-methylation of the purified GpppRNA 32 was performed enzymatically using N7-hMTase¹³⁻¹⁴. 33

34 MTase activity assays

35 To evaluate methyltransferase activities, a radioactive test was set up by mixing 4 μ M of protein with

1 μM of purified and validated synthetic RNAs (see all RNAs in Table S1), 10 μM of SAM and 0.5

μM of ³H-SAM (Perkin Elmer) in an optimized MTase assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl variable pH, 10
 mM arginine). Reactions were stopped by a 10-fold dilution in water after 3 h at 30°C. Samples were
 transferred to DEAE filtermats (Perkin Elmer) using a Filtermat Harvester (Packard Instruments).
 Methyl transfer was then evaluated as described before [29]. Briefly, the RNA-retaining mats were

- 5 washed twice with 10 mM ammonium formate pH 8, twice with water and once with ethanol. They
- 6 were then soaked with liquid scintillation fluid, allowing the measurement of ³H-methyl transfer to the
- 7 RNA substrates using a Wallac MicroBeta TriLux Liquid Scintillation Counter13.
- 8

9 Fluorescence polarization (PF)

10 Using T4 RNA ligase 1 (20 units; New England Biolabs), cyanine 5-cytidine-5-phosphate-3-(6aminohexyl)phosphate (12.5 µM, Jena Bioscience) was ligated to the 3'ends of the RNA substrates (10 11 12 μM) in T4 RNA ligase 1 buffer (NEB), 1 mM ATP (16 °C, overnight). Ligase was removed by RNA precipitation in 3 M sodium acetate supplemented with $1 \mu g/\mu L$ of glycogen (Thermo Scientific). The 13 14 fluorescent RNA was incubated (5 min at room temperature) with increasing concentrations of 15 CRVI+CTD, in 20 mM Tris pH 8 or pH 8,5 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol. Fluorescence polarization (FP) measurements were performed in a microplate reader (PHERAstar FS; BMG Labtech) with an 16 17 optical module equipped with polarizers and using excitation and emission wavelengths of 590 and 675 18 nm, respectively. Dissociation constants (K_d) were determined using Hill slope curve fitting (Prism).

19

20 Thermal shift assay (TSA)

A mix of 4 μM protein, buffered by resuspension buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol)
with 0,02% (v/v) SYPRO Orange dye (Thermo Scientific) was made up to a total volume of 20 μL.

23 Samples were placed in a semi skirted 96 well PCR plate (BioRad), sealed and heated in an Mx3005p

24 qPCR machine (BioRad) from 25 to 95°C at a rate of 1 °C.min⁻¹. Fluorescence changes were monitored

with excitation and emission wavelengths at 492 and 610 nm respectively.

26

1 References

- I. M. Shultz, Z. Espinel, M. Espinola, and A. Rechkemmer, "Distinguishing epidemiological features of the 2013-2016 West Africa Ebola virus disease outbreak.," *Disaster Heal.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 78–88, 2016.
- 5 [2] "Ebola | Ebola situation reports: Democratic Republic of the Congo," *WHO*, 2019.
- 6 [3] A. M. Henao-Restrepo *et al.*, "Efficacy and effectiveness of an rVSV-vectored vaccine
 7 expressing Ebola surface glycoprotein: interim results from the Guinea ring vaccination
 8 cluster-randomised trial," *Lancet*, vol. 386, no. 9996, pp. 857–866, Aug. 2015.
- 9 [4] C. R. Wells *et al.*, "Ebola vaccination in the Democratic Republic of the Congo," *Proc. Natl.*10 *Acad. Sci.*, vol. 116, no. 20, p. 201817329, Apr. 2019.
- E. O. Saphire, S. L. Schendel, B. M. Gunn, J. C. Milligan, and G. Alter, "Antibody-mediated protection against Ebola virus.," *Nat. Immunol.*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 1169–1178, Nov. 2018.
- I. H. Kuhn *et al.*, "Proposal for a revised taxonomy of the family Filoviridae: classification, names of taxa and virus abbreviations.," *Arch. Virol.*, vol. 155, no. 12, pp. 2083–103, Dec. 2010.
- A. Sanchez, M. P. Kiley, B. P. Holloway, and D. D. Auperin, "Sequence analysis of the Ebola virus genome: organization, genetic elements, and comparison with the genome of Marburg virus," *Virus Res.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 215–240, Sep. 1993.
- [8] L. H. Elliott, A. Sanchez, B. P. Holloway, M. P. Kiley, and J. B. McCormick, "Ebola protein analyses for the determination of genetic organization.," *Arch. Virol.*, vol. 133, no. 3–4, pp. 423–36, 1993.
- E. Mühlberger, S. Trommer, C. Funke, V. Volchkov, H. D. Klenk, and S. Becker, "Termini of all mRNA species of Marburg virus: sequence and secondary structure.," *Virology*, vol. 223, no. 2, pp. 376–80, Sep. 1996.
- [10] M. Weik, J. Modrof, H.-D. Klenk, S. Becker, and E. Mühlberger, "Ebola virus VP30-mediated transcription is regulated by RNA secondary structure formation.," *J. Virol.*, vol. 76, no. 17, pp. 8532–9, Sep. 2002.
- [11] S. P. J. Whelan, J. N. Barr, and G. W. Wertz, "Transcription and replication of nonsegmented negative-strand RNA viruses.," *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.*, vol. 283, pp. 61–119, 2004.
- P. C. Jordan *et al.*, "Initiation, extension, and termination of RNA synthesis by a
 paramyxovirus polymerase," *PLOS Pathog.*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. e1006889, Feb. 2018.
- [13] G. Tekes, A. A. Rahmeh, and S. P. J. Whelan, "A freeze frame view of vesicular stomatitis
 virus transcription defines a minimal length of RNA for 5" processing.," *PLoS Pathog.*, vol. 7,
 no. 6, p. e1002073, Jun. 2011.
- 35 [14] A. J. Shatkin, "Capping of eucaryotic mRNAs.," *Cell*, vol. 9, no. 4 PT 2, pp. 645–53, Dec.
 36 1976.
- E. Decroly, F. Ferron, J. Lescar, and B. Canard, "Conventional and unconventional
 mechanisms for capping viral mRNA.," *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 51–65, Dec.
 2011.
- 40 [16] B. Liang *et al.*, "Structure of the L Protein of Vesicular Stomatitis Virus from Electron
 41 Cryomicroscopy," *Cell*, vol. 162, no. 2, pp. 314–327, Jul. 2015.
- 42 [17] A. A. Rahmeh *et al.*, "Molecular architecture of the vesicular stomatitis virus RNA
 43 polymerase.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 107, no. 46, pp. 20075–80, Nov. 2010.
- M.-P. Egloff, D. Benarroch, B. Selisko, J.-L. Romette, and B. Canard, "An RNA cap (nucleoside-2'-O-)-methyltransferase in the flavivirus RNA polymerase NS5: crystal structure and functional characterization.," *EMBO J.*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 2757–68, Jun. 2002.
- 47 [19] D. Ray *et al.*, "West Nile virus 5'-cap structure is formed by sequential guanine N-7 and ribose
 48 2'-O methylations by nonstructural protein 5.," *J. Virol.*, vol. 80, no. 17, pp. 8362–70, Sep.
 49 2006.
- 50 [20] Y. Zhou *et al.*, "Structure and Function of Flavivirus NS5 Methyltransferase," *J. Virol.*, vol.
 51 81, no. 8, pp. 3891–3903, Apr. 2007.
- A. A. Rahmeh, J. Li, P. J. Kranzusch, and S. P. J. Whelan, "Ribose 2'-O Methylation of the
 Vesicular Stomatitis Virus mRNA Cap Precedes and Facilitates Subsequent Guanine-N-7
 Methylation by the Large Polymerase Protein," *J. Virol.*, vol. 83, no. 21, pp. 11043–11050,

Nov. 2009.

1

- [22] G. C. Paesen *et al.*, "X-ray structure and activities of an essential Mononegavirales L-protein domain.," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 6, p. 8749, Nov. 2015.
- 4 [23] B. Martin *et al.*, "The methyltransferase domain of the Sudan ebolavirus L protein specifically targets internal adenosines of RNA substrates, in addition to the cap structure," *Nucleic Acids*6 *Res.*, vol. 46, no. 15, pp. 7902–7912, Sep. 2018.
- [24] M. Ringeard, V. Marchand, E. Decroly, Y. Motorin, and Y. Bennasser, "FTSJ3 is an RNA 2'O-methyltransferase recruited by HIV to avoid innate immune sensing," *Nature*, vol. 565, no.
 7740, pp. 500–504, Jan. 2019.
- R. Züst *et al.*, "Ribose 2'-O-methylation provides a molecular signature for the distinction of self and non-self mRNA dependent on the RNA sensor Mda5.," *Nat. Immunol.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 137–43, Feb. 2011.
- [26] K. Karikó, M. Buckstein, H. Ni, and D. Weissman, "Suppression of RNA recognition by Toll-like receptors: the impact of nucleoside modification and the evolutionary origin of RNA.," *Immunity*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 165–75, Aug. 2005.
- [27] C. Schuberth-Wagner *et al.*, "A Conserved Histidine in the RNA Sensor RIG-I Controls
 Immune Tolerance to N1-2'O-Methylated Self RNA.," *Immunity*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 41–51,
 Jul. 2015.
- [28] S. C. Devarkar *et al.*, "Structural basis for m7G recognition and 2'-O-methyl discrimination in capped RNAs by the innate immune receptor RIG-I," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 113, no. 3, pp. 596–601, Jan. 2016.
- [29] M. Bollati *et al.*, "Recognition of RNA cap in the Wesselsbron virus NS5 methyltransferase
 domain: implications for RNA-capping mechanisms in Flavivirus.," *J. Mol. Biol.*, vol. 385, no.
 1, pp. 140–52, Jan. 2009.



<u>Figure 1</u> – Bioinformatic analysis of the C-terminal domain (MTase+CTD) of mononegavirus L protein based on hMPV structure.

(A) Sequence organization of the mononegavirus L protein revealed six conserved regions (CRI to CRVI, black boxes) that contain motifs responsible for the different activities of the L (motifs mapped with asterisks). The SUDV MTase domain was defined as the fragment encompassing amino acids 1713–2046 using the alignments with the VSV L protein. The SAM-binding site motifs (GxGxG) and the 2'O catalytic tetrad K-D-K-E have also been identified (asterisks). The CTD of SUDV L follows the MTase domain (amino acids 2047–2211). (B) The X-ray structure of hMPV methyltransferase (MTase, orange) and C-terminal (CTD, red) domains at a resolution of 2.2 Å is presented (Paesen *et al.*, 2015). The residues involved in the catalytic tetrad, SAM-binding site and RNA-binding groove are mapped respectively in blue, green and purple. (C) Conservation model of hMPV MTase+CTD domains of mononegavirus L based on proteins alignment presented in Fig. S1. Colors indicate the level of residue conservation (white: not conserved, pink: barely conserved, red: highly conserved).



<u>Figure 2</u> – Production and purification of SUDV MTase+CTD and MTase- Δ CTD and effect of CTD on MTase activity and RNA binding.

(A) MTase+CTD (58 kDa) and MTase- Δ CTD (39 kDa) of *Sudan ebolavirus* (SUDV), purified by two-steps chromatography, were separated by SDS-PAGE analysis before Coomassie blue staining. (**B**) Thermostability assay of SUDV MTase+CTD and MTase- Δ CTD constructs (n=1). Melting temperature indexes (T_m) have been calculated following a Boltzmann sigmoidal regression and evaluated at both 54,6°C and 46°C, respectively. Data represent raw data. (**C**) MTase activity evaluation of *Sudan ebolavirus* (SUDV) MTase- Δ CTD (orange) and MTase+CTD (red) incubated with a 13-mers capped RNA substrate mimicking the capped 5' extremity of viral mRNA (GpppG-SUDV₁₂). MTase activity was determined by filter binding assay (**n=6**). Data represent mean ± standard deviation. (**D**) RNA-binding assay by fluorescence polarization of a 13-mers capped SUDV RNA substrate labelled in 3' by pCp-Cy5 on SUDV methyltransferase domain (MTase- Δ CTD, grey) and SUDV MTase associated with the C-terminal domain (MTase+CTD, black) (n=3). A dissociation coefficient (K_d) has been calculated by one site specific binding regression with Hill slope. The MTase+CTD domain affinity for GpppG-SUDV₁₂ is estimated to be 740 ± 1,1 nM. Data represent mean ± standard deviation.



Figure 3- Production and purification of mutated MTase+CTD and effect of a single mutation of conserved residues in CTD on MTase activity and RNA binding.

(A) Mtase+CTD and mutated MTase+CTD (58 kDa) of *Sudan ebolavirus* (SUDV), purified by chromatography, were separated by SDS-PAGE analysis before Coomassie blue staining. (B) MTase activity evaluation of *Sudan ebolavirus* (SUDV) wild-type (WT) and mutated SUDV MTase+CTD incubated with either GpppGm(Am)-SUDV₁₂, ^mGpppA(A_m)-SUDV₁₂ or ^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂ in presence of radiolabelled methyl donor (3H-SAM), to get access to cap-N7 MTase, cap-2'O MTase and internal 2'O-A MTase activities, respectively . MTase activity was determined by filter binding assay.

	Therr	nal Shift Δssa	av.	Fluorescence polarization			
	linen		• 9	GpppG-SUDV ₁₂	mGpppGm-SUDV ₁₂		
	Mean	SD	ΔTm	Kd (μM)	Kd (μM)		
WT	54.3	0.13	-	0.740	0.570		
K2043A	55.7	0.03	1.4	2	> 9		
H2067A	54.7	0.58	0.4	5	4.4		
R2068A	50.6	0.01	-3.7	> 9	> 9		
H2112A	54.0	0.39	-0.3	4.5	5.4		
F2113A	53.5	0.33	-0.8	6.6	3.1		
K2118A	53.7	0.24	-0.6	> 9	> 9		
K2189A	55.0	0.83	0.7	1.9	8.6		

<u>Table 1</u>- Effect of a single mutation of conserved residues in CTD on MTase activity and RNA binding.

Thermostability assay (TSA) of SUDV MTase+CTD and SUDV MTase+CTD mutated proteins. Melting temperature indexes (T_m) have been calculated following a Boltzmann sigmoidal regression. RNA-binding assay by fluorescence polarization of a 13-mers SUDV-specific capped RNA (GpppG-SUDV₁₂ and ^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂) labelled at the 3'end by pCp-Cy5 on SUDV MTase+CTD and mutated MTase+CTD proteins. A dissociation coefficient (K_d) has been calculated by one site specific binding regression.

175	50	1760	1770	1780	1790	1800	1810	1820 1830
SUDV EBOV RESTV BDBV TAFV MARV MENGV LLOV	EF	PHRLVVPFFKI SHRLVLPFFTI PHRLILPFFKI SHRIVLPFFTI SHRIVLPFFTI VDCSNIPSISI VQCSNISSACI GCLRLNVFKTE	TKDGEYSIEF SQGTRQLTSS GNHNHAHDQI SQNDYRTPSA SHNYNERPSI DPGFQNMS HFKSKKQKAN QDET	PSPEESRSNT SNESQTQDEI DAQELMNQNI AKKSEYITEI IRKSEGTTEI SDQNQVQMLI VDQVVLNDFL .GLSTEETI	KGLLQHIRT SKYLRQIRS KQYLHQIRS TKLIRQIKA VRLTRQIRA NTYKRDIHA HQFKQSIQR EQLLARIKM	VDTTIYCRFTG ALDTTIYCRFTG LDTTIYCRFTG IPDTTIYCRFTG IPDTTIYCRFTG IPDSNOFCRFTG APESNDYCRFTG APDTTSYCRFTG	IVSSMHYKLDF IVSSMHYKLDF IVSSMHYKLDF VVSSMHYKLDF IVSSMHYKLDF VVSSMHYKLYI VVSSMHYKLSI VIASMHYKLDF	EVIWEYNKFESAVTIA SVIWEIESFRSAVTIA SVIWEIESFRSAVTIA SVIWEFDSFRIAVTIA SVIWEFDSFRIAVTIA SVIWEFDNFRSAITIA IIP.FGELRKAICIA SIIP.KRKFNSIVCIA SVIYFNEIPTVALIA
SUDV EBOV RESTV BDBV TAFV MARV MENGV LLOV	1840 EGEGSGALLDIOK EGEGSGALLDIOK EGEGSGALLDIOK EGEGSGALLDIK EGEGSGARIDIK EGEGGGARYDIMT EGEGSGALLDIK	1850 YGVKKLELNTI YQVKTLEENTI YSTRLLENTI YKVRTIEENTI YKVETLEENTI QDVKFLEENTI YRARQIEENTI	1860 ATE HSIESE ATESSIESE ATEHSIESE ATEHSIESE ATEHSIESE ATEHSIESE ATENSIESE ATENSIESE ATENSIESE ATENSIESE	1870 VISCYIITPRM VSCMITPRM VSCFSTPRM VSCFSTPRM VSCGTITPRM ISCRVIPRM ILSCRVIPRM VLMCESVPRM	1880 LPIMPKT G LPVMSKF G LPVMAKL G LPVMAKL G LPIMSKF G VNIDRLNAG VSCTPLNAG LPLLPSI G	1890 RGELEVILNNS NDOIEIIINNS GCOUTVILNNS GGOINVILNNS GGOINVILNNS LESRRLINNL NKEIKIFFNTS	1900 ASQITDITHR ASQITDITHR ASQITDITSON ASQITDITSON ASQITDITSON SSQITDITOP SSQITDITOP VTMKTDITOP	1910 1920 MFSNQKNRIENDADI MFKDQRARLEKQVEY USNGVNDGQVY USNQKVNDGQVY USNQVNDGQVY USNQVDGVY USNQVDGV USNQVDGVD USVIQVDEDSDI MIGLVKSLEKDIDL MFDSIEDRLERSLDL
SUDV EBOV RESTV BDBV TAFV MARV MARV MENGV LLOV	1930 ITMDAETTENLDE ITMDAETTENLNE ITMDAETTENLNE MTMDAETTENLNE LTMDAETTENENE LTMDAETTENENE LVMDAETIGNSIE	1940 SRLYEAVYTII SKLYEAVYKLI SKLYEAVQOLI SKLYEAVQOLI SKLYEAVQOLI LEQLYKTIVNI VPLYEVILNLI IPLYNAVIKCI	1950 CNHINPKTL LHHIDPSVL USHIDTRVL VSHIDTRVL VSHIDTRVL Q.LYLGHLP DRDKMQDKP	1960 VVVILKVFLS AVVIKVFLS VVVIKVFLS VVVIKVFLS VVVIKVFLS VVIKVFLL VVILKIFLF CVIVKLFLN	1970 DIDGMCWINN DIEGLIWINN DIEGLLWINN DIEGLLWINN DYEGTLWINN DYEGTLFIM DYEGTCWILN	1980 NLAPEFATCYL DYLAPEFATCYL DYLAPEFATCYL NLAPEFGAGYL NLAPEFGGGYL NLTPEFGGGYL NAIQYYGCVQL RLINYYWKIDL NHTTLRYNKVEI	1990 IKPITSSARS IKPITSSARS IKPITSSARS IKPITSSPRS IKPITSSPRS KKPYSSSART IKPLSSNARS	2000 2010 SEWYLCISMLUSTIRK SEWYLCISMLUSTIRK SEWYLCISMLISTNR SEWYLCISMLISSASR SEWYLCGRIRRIO.RL SEWYLKAEQPVLSSEL
SUDV EBOV RESTV BDBV TAFV MARV MENGV LLOV	2020 TOHOTQANCLHVV MPHQNHLSCKQVI SAHOTHKACLGVI RPHQGHATCMQVI LPHQSHTTCMHVI KIDFSDQVGTFLI TIIIPDEALDGYL NYINVNWEGHTTK	2030 C (A L) Q (Q) V (R (C) L TA L) Q LQ I (Q R R DA L) Q A (Q V (R C) Q TA LR L) Q V (R C) Q TA LR L) Q V (R C) Q TA LL Q L) I (A T) I TAMR RQ I LA I I KKA LH C (L S)	2040 SYMLSHLTKN PYMLSHLTON SYMLSHLVON SYMLSHLVON PYMLKHLVON PYMLKHLEKN PYWFSYIEKN ROSYWVTHLN	2050 YTTSRUHUSY YADKEUHLSY YATKNUHCEY YATKNUHLSY YATHNUHLSY YTRDUHLSY ASYANUDLHR	2060 IAFGFPSLEI IRLGFPSLEI IRLGFPSLEI IRLGFPSLEI IRLGFPSLEI FKLGFPLIEI SVIRMGFPPI	2079 (VLYHRYNLYDS (VLYHRYNLYDS (VLYHRYNLYDS VLYHRYNLYDS SSFCHRYTIPFS AFYHRYYLPFY JSVLHYRYHWY	2080 RNGPLVS.ITT KRGPLVS.ITT GLGPLVS.ITV GLGPLVS.ITV QKGPLVS.ITV QKGPLVS.ITV EGKALFHKVQS KDLTIYSRIKK ATESSPLLTI	2099 RILALLQT.EIRELVT NHLAHLRA.EIREITN HLTHLQA.BIRDIVL HLTHLQA.BIRELVC HLAHLQT.EIRELVC HLAHLQT.RIFELVC NUNQGKO.HLHSIML XVITDGRN.VIRKIIN RHTNLLLQEIRELVR
2 I SUDV EBOV RESTV BDBV TAFV MARV MENGV LLOV	LOO 2110 DYNQLRQSRTCTY DYNQQRQSRTCTY DYNQQRQSRTCTY DYNQQRQSRTCTY DYNQQRQSRTCTY DYNQQRQSRTCTY DYNQQRQSRTCTY DYSNNSPLLDLRN ELQSGEALTELKQ DYFLSRCTRTQAF	2120 HFIKTSKCRIT HFIKTAKCRIT HFIKTKCRIT HFIKTIKCRIT HFICSLRCKIT HFILSSKCRVN HFILSSKCRVN	2130 KLVNDYLRFE KLVNDYLKFE KLVNDFLKFS KLVNDYLKFE KYYNDILKLN KVYNDLIKI KLANDYLKWE	2140 LVIRALKNN LVQALKHN LVQALKHN LVVQALKHN LIVALKHN LILAKHN LUIKAVEKG LILVAVETKI LVLSALERY	2150 STWHHELYL STWQAEFKK SSWYTELKK CLWQEELRA CTWQEELRA KNWSQLVET KTWSQLKQQ TLFEQALNN	2160 PELIGVCHRFN PELISVCHRFY PEVINVCHRFY PDLINVCHRFY PDLISVCTRFY PDLISVCTRFY PDLISVCTRFY PDFQIICKHL EPYIQVCILGP	2170 HTRNCTCSER HIRDCNCEER HIRDCSCEDR HTRNCSCEDR HTRNCSCER CHCCTNAOC CNKALCSNDH	2180 LVQ TLYLHRMSDAEI LVQ TLYLHRMQDSEV FVQ TLYLHRMQDSE LVQ TLYLTRMQDSEA LVQ TLYLSRMQDSEA LVQ TLYLSRMQDSE LLKLDFIRMTKIAEQ LVK LDFIRMTKIAEQ LVK LDFIRMTTVAE PIP LLYLTRTQAER
2 1 SUDV EBOV RESTV	L90 2200 KLMDRLISLVNMF KLIERLIGLISLF KLIERLIGLMRFY	2210 Pegfrsssv. Pdglyrfd Pegliysnht.						

Supplementary figure 1 - Alignment of MTase+CTD domain of filovirus L protein

FR..... FKSESLTA N.....

Sequences of filovirus methyltransferase (MTase) and C-terminal (CTD) domains have been identified from L protein sequences picked up on NCBI Protein data bank: EBOV (Zaire ebolavirus, AAG40171.1), SUDV (Sudan ebolavirus, YP_138527.1), TAFV (Tai Forest ebolavirus, ALT19766.1), BDBV (Bundibugyo ebolavirus, AKB09568.1), RESTV (Reston ebolavirus, APA16576.1), MARV (Marburg virus, CAA82542.1), LLOV (Lloviu cuevavirus, YP_004928143.1) and MENGV (Mengla dianlovirus, AZL87829.1). Alignment has been generated with Seaview, then treated with ESPript. Orange and red lines model MTase and CTD domains, respectively. The 2'O MTase-specific catalytic tetrad K-D-K-E (Ferron *et al.*, 2002) and SAM-binding motif GxGxG have been indicated by blue and green respectively. Strictly conserved amino acids are indicated by *, and conserved positions with either arginine or lysine residues are indicated by X.

1

BDBV TAFV MARV MENGV LLOV

Order	Family	Genus	Species	Domain	Total residue number	Basic residue number	% basic residues	pl	Δpl
				MTase	363	37	10	7,73	
			EBOV	CTD	171	24	14	9,19	1,46
			(AAG401/1.1)	MTase-CTD	534	61	11	8,81	
				MTase 346 35 10	10	6,49			
			SUDV	CTD	172	23	13	9,71	3,22
			(1P_138527.1)	MTase-CTD	518	58	11	8,68	
		Ebolavirus	TAFV (ALT19766.1)	MTase	354	35	10	8,50	0,66
				CTD	169	20	12	9,16	
				MTase-CTD	523	55	11	8,93	
			BDBV	MTase	354	39	11	7,66	1,12
	Filoviridae			CTD	169	20	12	8,78	
			(AKB09508.1)	MTase-CTD	523	59	11	8,53	
				MTase	351	33	9	7,20	
			RESTV	CTD	173	22	13	9,14	1,94
			(APA105/0.1)	MTase-CTD	524	55	10	8,65	
				MTase	445	53	12	8,48	
		Marburgvirus	MARV	CTD	176	24	14	9,36	0,88
		-	(CAA82542.1)	MTase-CTD	621	77	12	8,95	
			LLOV (YP_004928143.1)	MTase	3 3 9	43	13	8,55	
		Cuevavirus		CTD	167	21	13	9,41	0.86
				MTase-CTD	506	64	13	8,99	
	Paramyxoviridae	Respirovirus		MTase	343	37	11	5,69	3,85
			SeV	CTD	205	31	15	9,54	
			(AAB06283.1)	MTase-CTD	D 205 31 15	12	7.26		
Mononegavirales			MeV (BAB60955.1)	MTase	338	34	10	7,78	
		Morbillivirus		CTD	165	27	16	9,94	2,16
				MTase-CTD	503	61	12	9.32	
			MuV (BAA01432.1)	MTase	340	19	6	4.95	0,49
		Rubulavirus		CTD	227	26	11	5.44	
				MTase-CTD	567	45	8	5.13	
		Henipavirus		MTase	342	34	10	6.02	3,61
			HeV (089344.3)	CTD	165	27	16	9,63	
				MTase-CTD	503	61	12	8.62	
	Pneumoviridae	Metapneumovirus	hMPV	MTase	285	34	12	8,57	0,92
				CTD	124	19	15	9,49	
			(Q91L20)	MTase-CTD	409	53	13	8,97	
				MTase	323	36	11	8,94	0,48
		Orthopneumovirus	hRSV	CTD	127	16	13	9,42	
			(AAX23996.1)	MTase-CTD	450	52	12	9.14	
				MTase	317	30	9	5,81	
		Vesiculovirus	VSV	CTD	197	24	12	9,35	3,54
	Rhabdoviridae		(5A22_A)	MTase-CTD	514	54	11	7,58	
		Lyssavirus		MTase	285	27	9	6,98	1,36
			RABV	CTD	217	24	11	8.34	
			(ABZ 81226.1)	MTase-CTD	502	51	10	8.02	
	Bornaviridae	Bornavirus	BDV (P52639.2)	MTase	184	18	10	6.21	0,12
				CTD	88	9	10	6.33	
				MTase-CTD	272	27	10	6.25	

<u>Supplementary figure 2</u>– Analysis of the residue content of mononegavirus MTase and CTD domains

Based on alignment of different Mononegavirales, residue content of the methyltransferase (MTase) and C-terminal (CTD) domains of mononegavirus L proteins has been analysed. For each virus, MTase, CTD and MTase+CTD total number of residues, number of basic residues and the percentage of basic residues have been determined. Isoelectric points have also been estimated using ProtParam. Differences between MTase and CTD pIs have been calculated and modeled by a blue gauge normalized to the greater difference.



Supplementary figure 3 - Thermal Shift Assay

Thermostability assay of SUDV MTase+CTD and SUDV MTase+CTD mutated proteins. Melting temperature indexes (T_m) have been calculated following a Boltzmann sigmoidal regression.



<u>Supplementary figure 4</u> - RNA-binding assay by fluorescence polarization of SUDV-specific capped RNA of 13 mers (GpppG-SUDV₁₂ and ^mGpppG_m-SUDV₁₂) labelled at the 3'end by pCp-Cy5 on SUDV MTase+CTD and mutated MTase+CTD proteins. A dissociation coefficient (K_d) has been calculated by one site specific binding regression.

RNA	Sequence	Origin	
GpppG-SUDV ₁₂	GpppGAUGAAGAUUAAG	chemical synthesis	
$GpppG_m(A_m)$ -SUDV ₁₂	GpppGA _m UGA _m A _m GA _m UUA _m A _m G	chemical synthesis	
^m GpppG _m -SUDV ₁₂	^m GpppG _m AUGAAGAUUAAG	chemical synthesis	
^m GpppA(A _m)-SUDV ₁₂	m GpppAUGA _m UGA _m A _m GA _m UUA _m	chemical synthesis	

Table S1–List of synthetic RNAs