

## Evaluation of the Quality of French Hospital Data for Perinatal Algorithms

Karine Goueslard, Jonathan Cottenet, Éric Benzenine, Pascale Tubert-Bitter,

Catherine Quantin

## ▶ To cite this version:

Karine Goueslard, Jonathan Cottenet, Éric Benzenine, Pascale Tubert-Bitter, Catherine Quantin. Evaluation of the Quality of French Hospital Data for Perinatal Algorithms. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 2020, 270, pp.213-217. 10.3233/SHTI200153 . hal-02889988

## HAL Id: hal-02889988 https://hal.science/hal-02889988

Submitted on 12 Oct 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Digital Personalized Health and Medicine L.B. Pape-Haugaard et al. (Eds.) © 2020 European Federation for Medical Informatics (EFMI) and IOS Press. This article is published online with Open Access by IOS Press and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). doi:10.3233/SHTI200153

# Evaluation of the Quality of French Hospital Data for Perinatal Algorithms

Karine GOUESLARD *a*, Jonathan COTTENET *a*, Eric BENZENINE *a*, Pascale TUBERT-BITTER *b*, Catherine QUANTIN *a*, *b*,*c*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Biostatistics and Bioinformatics (DIM), University Hospital, Dijon, France; Bourgogne Franche-Comté University, Dijon, France

bBiostatistics Biostatistics, Biomathematics, Pharmacoepidemiology and Infectious Diseases, Inserm, UVSQ, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris-Saclay, Villejuif, France cInserm, CIC 1432, Dijon, France ; Dijon University Hospital, Clinical Investigation Center, clinical epidemiology/ clinical trials unit, Dijon, France

**Abstract.** The aim of our validation study was to assess the quality of hospital data for perinatal algorithms on a national level. In each hospital, we selected 150 discharge abstracts of delivery (after 22 weeks of gestation), in 2014, and their corresponding medical records. Overall, 23 hospitals were included and 3,246 discharge abstracts were studied.

This first national validation study of several case-funding algorithms using various perinatal variables suggests that the French national hospital discharge abstracts database is an appropriate data source for epidemiological studies.

Keywords: hospital data, perinatal indicators, validation study.

#### 1. Introduction

Administrative data, such as hospital or care consumption, that are collected primarily for reimbursement, contain several clinical diagnoses and procedures, which can be used to provide epidemiologic information (1). In France, 99.6% of the 800,000 annual births in France take place in hospitals (2). This renders the French hospital database particularly interesting for the investigation of perinatal Nonetheless, the researchers using the medico-administrative databases are required to evaluate data quality via a validation study (3–7). In validation studies of case-finding algorithms, validity indices are used to assess data quality by comparing an algorithm in the simpler and easier-to-use data set versus a more elaborate, robust and reliable data set that is considered to be a "gold standard". Furthermore, other validity indices are used to aid the selection of the best algorithms. In contrast to the less frequently conducted national surveys, the use of routinely collected health data in hospitals saves time and money when identifying infrequent and unfavorable delivery outcomes and improves health surveillance of women and their offspring (1).

The aim of our validation study was to assess the hospital data quality for perinatal algorithms on a national level.

## 2. Methods

The principle of this transversal multicenter study was to compare discharge abstract data entered into the French hospital database with the corresponding data from medical records, where we considered the latter to be the gold standard.

## 2.1. Population

Fifty health hospitals with a maternity unit were randomly selected in metropolitan France (other than Paris and Paris region), irrespective of the level of the unit. We developed a software program to randomly select 150 perinatal discharge abstracts per hospital ( $\geq 22$  weeks of gestation) in 2014, from all discharge abstracts that contained a Z37 code and/or a delivery procedure. The same investigator collected data in each hospital from hospital paper-based or electronic medical records of pregnancy (prenatal care, the delivery and the post-delivery stay, and a discharge letter). Then, a comparison between data from medical records and data from discharge abstracts was made.

## 2.2 Statistical analysis

We explored several algorithms, including different combinations of codes in discharge abstracts from delivery stay, pregnancy stay, or hospitalization over the past 2 years before delivery. To evaluate the quality of the hospital database of discharge abstracts versus the hospital medical records (our gold standard), two validity indices the positive predictive value (PPV) and the sensitivity were calculated for dichotomous data. Continuous data were assessed by the concordance rate as the validity index. The rates of false negatives (FN) and false positives (FP) were also calculated in order to select the best algorithms with regards to the likelihood ratio (balancing specificity and sensitivity). This study was approved by the French National Committee for Data Protection (registration number 9132091). To meet the requirement of the data protection agency, the family names and first names were removed, the date of birth was replaced by the age at delivery, and the dates of admission and discharge were replaced by the length of stay.

## 3. Results

Twenty-two hospitals were finally included. Among them, 5 maternity units had less than 1,000 deliveries, 4 units had between 1,000 and 2,000 deliveries, 5 units had between 2,000 and 3,000 deliveries and 8 maternity units had more than 3,000 deliveries. Overall, 3,246 discharge abstracts were compared with their corresponding medical records.

## 3.1. Maternal indicators

The concordance rate of maternal age at delivery was 94.8%, with a 95% confidence interval (CI) [93.8-95.4]. The maternal characteristics and several types of morbidity are presented in Table 1.

214

Table	1:	Quality	of the	e hospital	database of	f discharge	abstracts	versus t	he hospital	medical re	cords

-	Medical records		Discharge abstracts		PPV		FP		FN		Sensitivity	
-	n	%	n	%	%	95% CI	n	%	n	%	%	95% CI
Maternal characteristics and comorbidities								,.		,.	,,	
Parity												
Primiparous women	981	40.2	971	39.8	93.3	92.3-94.3	65	2.7	75	3.1	92.4	91.3-93.5
Multiparous women	1,459	59.8	1,458	59.8	95.5	94.7-96.3	66	2.7	67	2.7	95.5	94.7-96.3
Overweight or obesity $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2 *$	1,104	37.6	220	7.5	98.6	98.2-99.0	3	0.1	887	30.2	19.7	18.3-21.1
Obesity≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> *	507	17.3	216	7.4	91.7	90.7-92.7	18	0.6	309	10.5	39.1	37.3-40.9
Uterus scar**	464	14.4	384	11.9	94.8	94.0-95.6	20	0.6	100	3.1	78.5	77.1-79.9
Diabetes Mellitus												
Type 1 diabetes ***	98	3.0	143	4.4	50.4	48.7-52.1	71	2.2	26	0.8	73.5	72.0-75.0
Type 2 diabetes ***	51	1.6	31	1.0	67.7	66.1-69.3	10	0.3	30	0.9	41.2	39.5-42.9
Type 1 or type 2 diabetes	148	4.6	170	5.2	65.9	64.3-67.5	58	1.8	36	1.1	75.7	74 2-77 2
High blood pressure	29	0.9	34	1.0	32.4	30.8-34.0	23	0.7	18	0.6	37.9	36.2-39.6
Pregnancy-related disorders												
Gestational diabetes												
Code O24.4	482	14.9	469	14.5	80.6	79.2-82.0	91	2.8	104	3.2	78.4	77.0-79.8
Codes O24.4-O24.9	482	14.9	474	14.6	80.8	79.4-82.2	91	2.8	99	3.1	79.5	78.1-80.9
Hypertensive disorders												
Previous or during pregnancy	213	6.6	239	7.4	69.5	67.9-71.1	73	2.3	47	1.4	77.9	76.5-79.3
Moderate or severe pre-eclampsia	96	3.0	106	3.3	70.8	69.2-72.4	31	1.0	21	0.6	78.1	76.7-79.5
Eclampsia	2	0.1	8	0.2	12.5	11.4-13.6	7	0.2	1	0.0	50.0	48.3-51.7
Premature labor*** (O60.0)	141	4.3	87	2.7	57.5	55.8-59.2	37	1.1	91	2.8	35.5	33.9-37.1
Premature labor***¥	141	4.3	326	10.0	32.5	30.9-34.1	220	6.8	35	1.1	75.2	73.7-76.7
Premature delivery	434	13.4	295	9.1	85.8	84.6-87.0	42	1.3	181	5.6	58.3	56.6-60.0
Placental abruption	41	1.3	40	1.2	75.0	/3.5-/6.5	10	0.3	11	0.3	73.2	/1.7-/4./
Denvery Turne of anomaly set												
1 ype 01 pregnancy Singleton	2 1 2 4	06.5	3 170	07.0	08.3	07.0.08.7	54	17	0	0.2	00.7	00 5 00 0
Twin	105	3.2	106	33	94.3	93 5-95 1	6	0.2	5	0.2	95.2	94 5-95 9
Triple	5	0.2	8	0.2	50.0	48 3-51 7	4	0.2	1	0.0	80.0	78 6-81 4
Delivery	2	0.2	0	0.2	20.0	10.5 51.7		0.1		0.0	00.0	-
Vaginal	2,508	77.3	2,51	77.3	99.5	99.3-99.7	13	0.4	11	0.3	99.6	99.4-99.8
Operative	343	10.6	373	11.5	88.2	87.1-89.3	44	1.4	14	0.4	95.9	95.2-96.6
Caesarean	731	22.5	738	22.7	98.5	98.1-98.9	11	0.3	4	0.1	99.5	99.3-99.7
Emergency caesarean	450	13.9	516	15.9	83.0	81.7-84.3	88	2.7	22	0.7	95.1	94.4-95.8
Planned caesarean	238	7.3	250	7.7	80.4	79.0-81.8	49	1.5	37	1.1	84.5	83.3-85.7
Episiotomy***	350	14.0	334	13.4	90.1	88.9-91.3	33	1.3	49	2.0	86.0	84.6-87.4
Perineal tears***	1,231	49.3	1,092	43.7	86.2	84.8-87.6	151	6.0	290	11.6	76.4	74.7-78.1
Postpartum hemorrhage*** (PPH)												-
Immediate (072.0, 072.1)	286	8.8	278	8.6	77.7	76.3-79.1	62	1.9	70	2.2	75.5	74.0-77.0
Diagnosis codes + manual removal of the placenta*	191	5.9	31	1.0	80.7	79.3-82.1	6	0.2	166	5.1	13.1	11.9-14.3
Severe PPH												-
Relevant advanced interventional procedures	120	3.7	143	4.4	67.8	66.2-69.4	46	1.4	23	0.7	80.8	79.4-82.2
Relevant or general advanced interventional procedures	120	3.7	158	4.9	68.4	66.8-70.0	50	1.5	12	0.4	90.0	89.0-91.0
Medical abortion	153	4.7	153	4.7	91.5	90.5-92.5	13	0.4	13	0.4	91.5	90.5-92.5
Medical abortion, fetal pathology	137	4.2	117	3.6	88.9	87.8-90.0	13	0.4	33	1.0	75.9	74.4-77.4
Stillbirth												-
Relevant Z37 codes¥¥, or O36.4 or O31.2	239	7.4	255	7.9	89.4	88.3-90.5	27	0.8	11	0.3	95.4	94.7-96.1
Relevant Z37 codes + P95	239	7.4	391	12.0	60.6	58.9-62.3	154	4.7	2	0.1	99.2	98.9-99.5
Tranfer in utero	61	1.9	30	0.9	56.7	55.0-58.4	13	0.4	44	1.4	27.9	26.4-29.4

PPV (Positive predictive value), FP (false positives), FN(false negatives), Cl(confidence Interval); \* Missing Data (MD) = 309; \*\* MD=24; \*\*\* MD=20

¥ codes 060.0, 060.1, 060.2, 047.0 ; ¥¥ codes Z37.1, Z37.3, Z37.4, Z37.6, Z37,7

The pregnancy-related disorders are presented in Table 1. The concordance rate of gestational age at delivery was 91.8% [90.9-92.7]. Rounding up or down to the nearest whole number of WG (< 1 week), the concordance rate increased to 98.3%.

K. Goueslard et al. / Evaluation of the Quality of French Hospital Data

The indicators of delivery are presented in Table 1. Regardless of the algorithm explored, the PPV for vaginal delivery was over 99%. In order to select severe postpartum hemorrhage, we explored advanced interventional procedures which indicated a second-line therapy (arterial embolization, uterine or hypogastric artery ligation, hemostasis hysterectomy).

The concordance rate between the vital status and the diagnosis codes for stillbirth from newborn discharge abstracts was 95.4% [94.7-96.1] for singleton pregnancy. For multiple pregnancies, the rate was 99.7% for the first- or the second-birth child, and 100% for the third-born child.

### 3.2. Newborn indicators

The concordance rate of newborn weight was 91.3% [90.3-92.3] in singleton pregnancy. The rate was 79.1% [70.5-87.5] for first- and second-born in cases of multiple pregnancies. As regards the first-born child, the median gap between the newborn weight mentioned in the medical record and the weight specified in the discharge abstract was 100g.

### 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Main findings

Our study has shown that the French hospital perinatal discharge abstracts database, when compared with hospital medical records (our gold standard), regarding parturient women, pregnancy and delivery is highly accurate. Gestational diabetes had a higher metrological quality than the other types of diabetes. Several algorithms were studied using the data of hospital stay during pregnancy or during delivery. They did not improve the identification of women who had gestational diabetes. The algorithm including one of two gestational diabetes diagnosis codes (O24.4, O24.9) from the hospital stay of delivery remains the most performant. The algorithms for stillbirths and termination of pregnancy for medical reasons were found to be accurate.

### 4.2. Strengths and limitations

Our national study included maternity units of all types and all volumes of deliveries. Moreover, in France almost all deliveries occur in a hospital. We explored a large number of perinatal indicators: 70 items were collected for each hospital stay by a single technician specialized in clinical studies. Some limitations also have to be acknowledged. The data collection was performed by a technician, perfectly trained, but not by a health professional. The maternity units included in our study were geographically not distributed uniformly throughout the French territory. It is very important to assess the data quality in case-finding algorithms so that the design of an envisaged study can be adjusted according to the quality of data (4,8). Our results suggest that it seems possible and worthwhile to conduct studies on women with a history of gestational diabetes. The use of a complementary French database for ambulatory care (treatment, biology) has been suggested as a means to identify women with gestational diabetes or pre-existing diabetes, but it is necessary to assess the data quality of this algorithm (9,10). The quality of the French hospital database for stillbirth and medically indicated abortion was high. Thus, it seems possible to use these two indicators not only for descriptive studies, but also for longitudinal studies.

### Conclusion

This first national validation study of a large set of perinatal algorithms has shown that French hospital database is an appropriate data source for subsequent epidemiological studies. The choice of the algorithm may vary, depending on the aim of the study. Nevertheless, the data quality of the algorithms should be taken into account to define the design of the study.

#### References

- Garrison LP, Neumann PJ, Erickson P, Marshall D, Mullins CD. Using real-world data for coverage and payment decisions: the ISPOR Real-World Data Task Force report. Value Health J Int Soc Pharmacoeconomics Outcomes Res. oct 2007;10(5):326-35.
- [2] Quantin C, Cottenet J, Vuagnat A, Prunet C, Mouquet M-C, Fresson J, et al. [Quality of perinatal statistics from hospital discharge data: comparison with civil registration and the 2010 National Perinatal Survey]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). nov 2014;43(9):680-90.
- [3] Remontet L, Mitton N, Couris CM, Iwaz J, Gomez F, Olive F, et al. Is it possible to estimate the incidence of breast cancer from medico-administrative databases? Eur J Epidemiol. 2008;23(10):681-8.
- [4] Quantin C, Benzenine E, Hägi M, Auverlot B, Abrahamowicz M, Cottenet J, et al. Estimation of national colorectal-cancer incidence using claims databases. J Cancer Epidemiol. 2012;2012:298369.
- [5] Couris CM, Polazzi S, Olive F, Remontet L, Bossard N, Gomez F, et al. Breast cancer incidence using administrative data: correction with sensitivity and specificity. J Clin Epidemiol. juin 2009;62(6):660-6.
- [6] Benchimol EI, Manuel DG, To T, Griffiths AM, Rabeneck L, Guttmann A. Development and use of reporting guidelines for assessing the quality of validation studies of health administrative data. J Clin Epidemiol. août 2011;64(8):821-9.
- [7] De Coster C, Quan H, Finlayson A, Gao M, Halfon P, Humphries KH, et al. Identifying priorities in methodological research using ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data: report from an international consortium. BMC Health Serv Res. 15 juin 2006;6:77.
- [8] Wentzell N, Schink T, Haug U, Ulrich S, Niemeyer M, Mikolajczyk R. Optimizing an algorithm for the identification and classification of pregnancy outcomes in German claims data. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2018;27(9):1005-10.
- [9] Fosse-Edorh S, Rigou A, Morin S, Fezeu L, Mandereau-Bruno L, Fagot-Campagna A. [Algorithms based on medico-administrative data in the field of endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases, especially diabetes]. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique. oct 2017;65 Suppl 4:S168-73.
- [10] Billionnet C, Mitanchez D, Weill A, Nizard J, Alla F, Hartemann A, et al. Gestational diabetes and adverse perinatal outcomes from 716,152 births in France in 2012. Diabetologia. 2017;60(4):636-44.