

Ultrafast x-ray diffraction thermometry measures the influence of spin excitations on the heat transport through nanolayers

A. Koc, M. Reinhardt, A. von Reppert, M. Rössle, W. Leitenberger, Karine Dumesnil, P. Gaal, F. Zamponi, M. Bargheer

► To cite this version:

A. Koc, M. Reinhardt, A. von Reppert, M. Rössle, W. Leitenberger, et al.. Ultrafast x-ray diffraction thermometry measures the influence of spin excitations on the heat transport through nanolayers. Physical Review B: Condensed Matter (1978-1997), 2017, 96 (1), pp.014306. 10.1103/Phys-RevB.96.014306 . hal-02889052

HAL Id: hal-02889052 https://hal.science/hal-02889052

Submitted on 3 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ultrafast x-ray diffraction thermometry measures the influence of spin excitations on the heat transport through nanolayers

A. Koc,¹ M. Reinhardt,¹ A. von Reppert,² M. Rössle,² W. Leitenberger,² K. Dumesnil,³

P. Gaal,^{1,4} F. Zamponi,² and M. Bargheer^{2,1,*}

¹Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin, Albert-Einstein-Str. 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany

²Institut für Physik & Astronomie, Universität Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

³Institut Jean Lamour (UMR CNRS 7198), Université Lorraine, Boulevard des Aiguillettes B.P. 239,

F-54500 Vandoeuvre les Nancy cédex, France

⁴Institut für Nanostruktur- und Festkörper Physik, Univesität Hamburg, Jungiusstr. 11,20355 Hamburg, Germany (Received 19 January 2017; revised manuscript received 4 May 2017; published 25 July 2017)

We investigate the heat transport through a rare earth multilayer system composed of yttrium (Y), dysprosium (Dy), and niobium (Nb) by ultrafast x-ray diffraction. This is an example of a complex heat flow problem on the nanoscale, where several different quasiparticles carry the heat and conserve a nonequilibrium for more than 10 ns. The Bragg peak positions of each layer represent layer-specific thermometers that measure the energy flow through the sample after excitation of the Y top layer with fs-laser pulses. In an experiment-based analytic solution to the nonequilibrium heat transport problem, we derive the individual contributions of the spins and the coupled electron-lattice system to the heat conduction. The full characterization of the spatiotemporal energy flow at different starting temperatures reveals that the spin excitations of antiferromagnetic Dy speed up the heat transport into the Dy layer at low temperatures, whereas the heat transport through this layer and further into the Y and Nb layers underneath is slowed down. The experimental findings are compared to the solution of the heat equation using macroscopic temperature-dependent material parameters without separation of spin and phonon contributions to the heat. We explain why the simulated energy density matches our experiment-based derivation of the heat transport, although the simulated thermoelastic strain in this simulation is not even in qualitative agreement.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.014306

I. INTRODUCTION

Heat transport at the nanoscale has become an important problem of contemporary physics [1-3]. The field is driven largely by the need to improve heat transport characteristics in integrated circuits operating at high clock rates [4]. The design length scales approach the physical limits, where wave fundamental properties of phonon-heat conduction play an important role [5,6]. Research on the functionality of interfaces in nanoelectronics is prevalent, and the heat transport characteristics of interfaces depend strongly, e.g., on the roughness of the interface, which is often hard to control in the fabrication process [1,2,7]. In many insulators and semiconductors the heat capacity is dominated by phonons, whereas electrons only contribute significantly at high temperatures. The heat transport in metals in contrast is dominated by the conduction band electrons and the excitation of phonons typically reduces the heat transport, because they act as scatterers for electrons [8]. In some magnetic materials with strong exchange interactions and large magnetic moments the spin correlations can contribute more than half of the specific heat over large temperature ranges [9–11]. One classical example is the rare earth dysprosium, which we are investigating in this article. Similar to phonon excitations, the magnetic excitations are known to reduce the heat conductivity when it is dominated by the electrons [12]. On the other hand, heat conduction by magnons may dominate in antiferromagnets [13]. The transport of heat across interfaces in nanostructures with magnetic and nonmagnetic layers is far beyond what can be safely simulated on an *ab initio* basis. The additional degree of freedom given by the quasiparticles of the magnetic excitations presents a very complex problem [14]. Additional to the basic understanding of heat transport at the nanoscale [15], fundamental studies of ultrafast magnetism regarding the possibility of all optical magnetic switching [16–19] or the role of spin currents [20,21] will profit from a detailed knowledge about transient temperatures and temperature gradients in such systems. Ultrafast x-ray diffraction has only recently become a tool to measure the transient temperatures in multilayers [22] and to assign contributions from electrons and phonons to thermal transport [23].

In this paper we present the results of time-resolved ultrafast x-ray diffraction (UXRD) studies on a complex thin film heterostructure with the layering sequence Y/Dy/Y/Nb/sapphire. We simultaneously measured the relative Bragg peak shifts of all layers as a direct measure of transient strain $\varepsilon(t)$ after optical excitation of the top Y layer. Using the Grüneisen coefficients derived from the thermal expansion, experimentally measured on the same structure, we extract the time dependent energy densities $\rho_Y^Q, \rho_{Dy}^Q, \rho_{Nb}^Q$ in each layer. When only electrons and phonons carry the heat, the transient temperatures $T_{Y,Nb,Dy}(t) \sim \varepsilon_{Y,Nb,Dy}(t)$ can be directly read from the measured strains $\varepsilon_{Y,Nb,Dy}(t)$. In the antiferromagnetic state of Dy, a large fraction of the energy resides in spin excitations and we show how to separate the phonon and spin contributions ($\rho_{S,P}^Q$) via an analytic decomposition of

^{*}bargheer@uni-potsdam.de; http://www.udkm.physik.unipotsdam.de



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the sample. (b) Reciprocal space map at $T_i = 165$ K of the sample with (0002) reflections of two Y and Dy layers, the (220) reflection of Nb layer, and the (22 $\overline{4}0$) substrate (Al₂O₃) reflection, respectively. (c) Bragg reflections along Q_z obtained by integration of the RSM.

the measured signal. The initial temperature T_i is varied from 136 K through the Néel temperature $T_{\rm N} = 180$ K of Dy up to 276 K. We find that the additional presence of antiferromagnetic spin excitations in Dy below T_N speeds up the energy flow from the excited Y layer into the Dy layer, where an additional channel for heat dissipation is present. At the same time, the heat transport through Dy is slowed down as the temperature gradient is decreased when the magnetic excitations scatter the electrons, which are the main heat transporting quasiparticles. A full *ab initio* simulation of this complex heat transport problem seems impossible, since the interface resistances, depending on the perfection of the nanostructure and the coupling constants between electrons, phonons, and spin excitations, are unknown. Still, heat transport simulations using bulk values for the thermal conductivities [24,25] can be compared with our measured total energy densities, although the contributions of individual quasiparticles are neglected. We find the nonequilibrium of spins and phonons in the observable of the measured strain.

The sample shown in Fig. 1(a) is grown epitaxially and consists of a 100 nm thick (0001)-oriented Dy layer encapsulated between two 50 nm thick Y films with (0001) orientation in order to prevent oxidation and to stabilize the helical spin order of Dy [26]. A 100 nm thick Nb buffer layer connects this metallic sandwich structure to an Al_2O_3 substrate. The thickness values are derived from the Laue oscillations around individual Bragg peaks. The penetration depth of 32 nm for our excitation pulses at $\lambda = 1030$ nm wavelength was determined by ellipsometry studies, showing that mainly the upper Y layer is excited. The refractive index is nearly constant in the relevant temperature range with real part $n = 2.7 \pm 0.01$ and imaginary part $\kappa = 2.12 \pm 0.01$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SCHEME

Time-resolved x-ray diffraction measurements were performed at the XPP experimental station at the storage ring BESSY II [27,28]. The x rays probe an ellipsoidal area with a FWHM of about $100 \times 400 \ \mu m$, which is homogeneously pumped by a laser focus of about $290 \times 960 \,\mu$ m. The electron storage ring was operating in the hybrid mode, in which a "camshaft" electron bunch generates x-ray pulses of about 80 ps pulse duration at 1.25 MHz repetition rate [27]. Every sixth of these pulses is accepted by the 60 ns electronic gate of the two-dimensional hybrid pixel x-ray detector (Pilatus 100k, Dectris Inc.), which is synchronized with the 208 kHz repetition rate of the laser pump pulses. The other x-ray pulses, which are emitted in the hybrid mode of the storage ring, are rejected by the electronic gate of the detector and do not contribute to the signal. The time delay between the recorded x-ray pulses and the exciting laser pulses is adjusted by the synchronization electronics with an accuracy of about 1 ps. Reciprocal space mapping (RSM) is performed by recording the x-ray photons diffracted from the sample at various incidence angles ω around the Bragg reflections while the area detector resolves the diffraction angle 2θ [28]. The large extinction length of hard x-ray pulses at $\lambda = 0.138$ nm allows for simultaneous detection of diffraction signals from all layers of this structure that is opaque to optical light. As an example, Fig. 1(b) displays the broad RSM [29] of the thin films Y, Dy, and Nb as well as the sharp RSM of the Al₂O₃ substrate at $T_i = 165$ K. Figure 1(c) shows the diffracted intensity of the out-of-plane scattering vector Q_{z} obtained by integrating the RSM over the in-plane scattering vector components Q_x and Q_y . The signal broadening of the nanolayers in Q_z is due to the limited layer thickness, whereas the mosaic structure of the crystal is mainly observed as a broadening in the in-plane directions.

III. TIME-RESOLVED X-RAY DIFFRACTION DATA

We present the transient response of each nanolayer after ultrafast laser heating with a laser fluence of 2 mJ/cm². To extract this information from the data, we fitted each Bragg peak at any delay time with a Gaussian line profile in Q_z to determine the peak position. Transforming the average reciprocal lattice vector $Q_z(t)$ to lattice constants $c(t) = 2\pi/Q_z(t)$, we obtain the transient strain $\varepsilon(t) = \frac{c(t)-c(t<0)}{c(t<0)}$ in each layer. In Fig. 2(a) the transient strain of both Y layers as the average of the upper and bottom Y layer is shown for different base temperatures T_i . At 276 K the laser-heated Y layer shows a maximal expansion within the 100 ps time-resolution limit given by the pulse duration of the x rays at beamline. It relaxes via heat diffusion into the other thin film layers. At lower T_i the same dynamics are observed, however, the maximal strain value decreases with decreasing T_i . The indirectly heated Dy layer [Fig. 2(b)] shows



FIG. 2. Transient strain $\varepsilon(t)$ for different initial temperatures T_i after ultrafast laser heating at 3 mJ/cm² fluence: solid lines depict the measured transient strain of (a) the two Y layers, (b) the Dy layer ε_{Dy} , and (c) the Nb layer. The dashed lines in panel (b) represent the unsuccessful attempt to simulate the strain $\varepsilon_{Dy}^{av}(t)$ by the heat equation, i.e., without taking into account the fact that magnetic excitations and phonons contribute to the heat propagation and the strain.

very different dynamics depending on the base temperature. At 276 K the paramagnetic Dy layer expands and reaches the maximal expansion after about 300 ps. In the AFM phase below $T_{\text{N\acute{e}el}}$ the Dy layer contracts upon heating. This negative thermal expansion is a signature of spin excitations in the antiferromagnetic spin order [30]. The transient strain in the Nb layer is depicted in Fig. 2(c). A maximal expansion of the Nb layer at $T_i = 276$ K is observed at about 1.8 ns. At lower base temperatures the maximal expansion shifts to larger time delays and the magnitude of the maximal expansion is reduced. The inhomogeneous spatial heat distribution in the three materials not only changes the Bragg peak positions, but also the Bragg peak width. For Dy, a maximum peak width increase of 8% is observed in the first 100 ps, when strain waves propagate from the surface through the film. The inhomogeneous thermal strain in Dy increases the peak width by less than 4%. In the Y layer the peak width change is more pronounced, since both layers above and below Dy contribute equally. Here the peak width increase rapidly decreases from 30% to 8% within 400 ps. In Nb, the peak width increase is always less than 2%.

TABLE I. β constants of Y, Nb, and Dy spin and phonons.

System	β (kJ/cm ³)
Y	69
Dy spin	-20
Dy phonon	95
Nb	206

IV. DATA ANALYSIS IN TWO-THERMAL-ENERGIES MODEL

We analyze the dynamics of the thin film system on time scales larger than the time required for propagating sound through the nanolayer system and smaller than the time for sound propagation over the in-plane length scale given by the laser-excitation spot. Therefore, we assume Hooke's law to be valid, which relates the strain ε to the stress $\sigma = C_{\text{eff}} \varepsilon$ via an effective elastic constant $C_{\rm eff}$ [31-33] that takes into account the in-plane clamping of the film to the substrate. The macroscopic Grüneisen constant $\Gamma_i = \frac{\alpha_i(T)C_{i,\text{eff}}}{c_i(T)}$ measures how efficiently the energy density ρ_i^Q in a subsystem *i* generates stress $\sigma_i = \Gamma_i \rho_i^Q$. We prefer to write an inverse parameter $\beta_i = \frac{c_i}{\alpha_i} = \frac{C_{\text{eff}}}{\Gamma_i}$ which we directly obtained from the bulk specific heat c_i per volume from the literature [9,34,35] and the expansion coefficient α_i determined from the temperature dependent XRD on the investigated thin film structure. The change of the integral heat

$$\Delta Q_i = V_i \cdot \Delta \rho_i^Q = V_i \beta_i \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

in a volume V_i of a system is proportional to the lattice strain ε_i . At temperatures above T_N , the increase of the energy densities $\Delta \rho_{Y,Dy,Nb}^Q$ in Y, Dy, and Nb can be directly found from Eq. (1). Essentially, the energy density of excited phonons in each material drives the lattice expansion, since the electrons carry a negligible fraction of the specific heat, when the electrons have relaxed to approximately the lattice temperature. Table I summarizes the β constants of Nb, Y, as well as the spin and phonon systems of Dy. These β values are essentially independent of temperature, as confirmed exemplarily by the constant linear slopes of the curves $\varepsilon_{P,S} \sim Q_{P,S}$ plotted in Fig. 2 of Ref. [30]. To simplify the analysis we do not separately account for the electron and phonon contributions in each metal, since the specific heat of the electron system is always very small. Above T_N the spin contribution to β_{Dy} remains constant, but the specific heat of the spins above $T_{\rm N}$ is very small.

In contrast, the specific heat of the spin system below T_N is very large. In order to measure the individual contributions of phonons and spins to the energy density and expansion of Dy at temperatures below T_N , we invoke the two-thermalenergies model (TTEM) [30] in Dy. This model assumes that the measured strain ε_{Dy} is a superposition of both thermoelastic strain ε_P and the magnetostrictive strain ε_S :

$$\Delta \rho_{\rm Dy}^{Q} = \Delta \rho_{\rm S}^{Q} + \Delta \rho_{\rm P}^{Q} = \beta_{\rm S} \cdot \varepsilon_{\rm S} + \beta_{\rm P} \cdot \varepsilon_{\rm P}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm Dy} = \varepsilon_{\rm S} \left(\Delta \rho_{\rm S}^{Q} \right) + \varepsilon_{\rm P} \left(\Delta \rho_{\rm P}^{Q} \right). \tag{3}$$

Since the Grüneisen constants and the coefficients $\beta_{P,S}$ are temperature independent, these strains are a robust and linear measure of the local energy densities.

We can combine Eqs. (2) and (3) to

$$\Delta \rho_{Dy}^Q = \beta_p (\varepsilon_{Dy} - \varepsilon_s) + \beta_s \varepsilon_s. \tag{4}$$

Below T_N we have four heat carrying degrees of freedom in the system, namely the spin excitations in Dy and the phonon excitation in Dy, Nb, and Y. In addition to the three measured transient lattice strains $\varepsilon_{Dy,Y,Nb}$ (Fig. 2), we need a fourth equation to find the solution to the heat transport problem. We conducted temperature-dependent ellipsometry measurements proving that the absorbed energy density of the multilayer does not change considerably with temperature. Assuming that no substantial fraction of the initial heat is transported to the substrate, we can identify the total amount of energy deposited in the multilayer at any temperature ΔQ_{tot}^T with the value ΔQ_{tot}^{276K} measured at T = 276 K, where only phonons drive the Dy expansion. This is an excellent approximation for time scales below 1 ns and a very good approximation up 100 ns, because the heat transport into the sapphire substrate is similar for all temperatures.

When we write

$$\Delta Q_{Dy}^{T} = \Delta Q_{\text{tot}}^{276K} - \Delta Q_{Y}^{T} - \Delta Q_{Nb}^{T}, \qquad (5)$$

we only overestimate ΔQ_{Dy}^T at low temperatures by the rather small fraction $\Delta Q_{\text{err}} = \Delta Q_{\text{tot}}^T - \Delta Q_{\text{tot}}^{276K}$ of heat that is transported into the substrate more than it would be transported at 276 K. For convenience, this error can be read from the difference of brown and blue lines in Fig. 3(b), where we use the calculated total energy Q transported into substrate to calculate a change of energy densities $\Delta \rho_{Dy}^2 = \Delta Q_{Dy}^T / V_{Dy}$ in Dy derived for several different base temperatures are plotted in Fig. 3(a). We find that with lower base temperature a larger and larger fraction of the energy is rapidly transferred from the excited Y layer into Dy.

We now solve Eq. (4) to obtain equations for the contractive strain $\varepsilon_{\rm S}$ driven by spin order and the phonon driven expansive strain $\varepsilon_{\rm P}$, which only depend on measured quantities:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm S} = \frac{\left(\Delta \rho_{\rm Dy}^Q - \beta_P \varepsilon_{\rm Dy}\right)}{\left(\beta_{\rm S} - \beta_P\right)},\tag{6}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm P} = \varepsilon_{\rm Dy} - \varepsilon_{\rm S}.$$
 (7)

Here, $\Delta \rho_{Dy}^Q$ is the experimentally determined energy density plotted in Fig. 3(a). We can now use Eq. (1) to derive the contributions to the time-dependent energy densities $\Delta \rho_{S,P}^Q$ in Dy. The corresponding energy densities $\Delta \rho_{Y,Nb}^Q$ of the adjacent layers are determined directly from the measured quantities $\varepsilon_{Y,Nb}$. The resulting energy densities in each material derived from the experiment are plotted in Fig. 3(c) and compared to a simple calculation of the heat transport according to the heat equation [36]. Assuming a small thermal interface resistance of 200 MW/m²K only between Nb and sapphire, we find a very good simultaneous agreement of the experimentally derived total energy density in Dy $\Delta \rho_{Dy}^Q = \Delta \rho_P^Q + \Delta \rho_S^Q$ and the simulations at 276 K and 136 K. In contrast, the simulated



FIG. 3. (a) Transient increase of the energy density in the Dy layer ρ_{Dy}^{Q} after optical excitation derived from the measurement according to Eq. (4). (b) Simulation of the energy density transported into the substrate according to the heat equation. (c) Symbols show the experimentally determined transient energy densities $\rho_{Y,Dy,Nb}^{Q}$ in each material. Solid lines represent simulations according to heat equation. Dotted lines show the experimentally derived energy densities in the spin and phonon system of Dy $\rho_{S,P}^{Q}$.

thermal expansion $\varepsilon_{Dy}^{av}(t)$ averaged over the film thickness [dashed lines in Fig. 2(b)] considerably deviates from the measured strain ε_{Dy} , because the spin and phonon system are not even locally in thermal equilibrium. Closer to the phase transition the deviations get stronger and last longer. In the future, time-resolved resonant x-ray scattering experiments [19,37,38] on the several nanoseconds time scale may reveal the nature of the nonequilibrium.

V. DISCUSSION

Heat transport is driven by temperature gradients. We therefore plot the transient temperature changes of the spins and phonons $\Delta T_{S,P}$ in Dy and $\Delta T_{Y,Nb}$ in Fig. 4 as the



FIG. 4. Temperature change in each layer after excitation at (a) 276 K and (b) 136 K. The temperature in the spin system is only well defined at $T < T_N$. Solid lines represent running averages as a guide to the eye.

experimental solution of the heat transport problem through the three layers as a function of time for two temperatures above and below T_N . We use the specific heats c_i of the individual subsystems to calculate the temperature rise ΔT from $\Delta \rho_Q = \int_{T_0}^{T_0+\Delta T} c(T) dT$. The most striking result is that, within the time resolution of 100 ps, we measure that the Y layer is heated by $\Delta T = 50$ K at low and 68 K at high temperature, although ellipsometry proves that the same amount of energy was deposited by the light pulse. This suggests that the additional energy dissipation channel into spin excitations at low temperatures dramatically speeds up the heat transport across the Y/Dy interface.

Another robust feature seen in Fig. 4 is the delay of the temperature rise in the Nb layer, indicating a reduced heat transport through Dy. The temperature rise in the phonon system of Dy at both base temperatures is nearly the same, and therefore the heat arriving in the spin system effectively is additional to the phonon heat, explaining the observation in Fig. 3(a) that the increase of the energy density in Dy is higher at low temperature. Note that the kinetics of the temperature rise in the spin and phonon systems of Dy are clearly different.

The fact that energy density in the spin system of Dy drives a lattice contraction counteracting the expansion initiated by phonon heating explains the strong deviations of the observed lattice strain $\varepsilon_{Dy}^{av}(t) \neq \varepsilon_{Dy}$ from the simulated strain [Fig. 2(b)] when spins and phonons are not in a thermal equilibrium. The good agreement on the level of comparing the heat transport can be understood, when we identify the electrons as the main heat transporting quasiparticles. This means that a temperature-gradient in the electron system promotes the transport. Immediately after the optical excitation, the energy is essentially stored in the electron system, with a very large temperature gradient according to the small heat capacity of electrons in Dy. Within the time scale of electron-phonon and electron-spin coupling the heat transport should therefore considerably speed up with respect to the simulations using equilibrium parameters. This would then lead to an even better match of the simulations with the data in Fig. 3(a). When the electron, spin, and phonon temperatures have approached each other, the heat is essentially stored in spin excitations and phonons. Nonetheless it is the electron system that transports the energy, explaining why we simulate the heat transport essentially correct, even if the spin and phonon system have not equilibrated.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have exemplified an experimental procedure to measure the heat transport through multilayer systems with thicknesses in the nanometer range in the nontrivial case, where a considerable fraction of the heat of one material (Dy) is dynamically stored in a strongly interacting spin system. At all temperatures, the heat transport is dominated by electron transport, although electrons only contribute negligibly to the heat capacity. Below the Néel temperature, the spin system opens up an additional heat sink. While the heat transport into the phonon system is nearly unchanged, the spins extract additional energy from the adjacent laser heated Y layer and speed up the initial cooling. At the same time the spin excitations slow down the electronic heat transport by electron-magnon scattering, which is evidenced by a delayed rise of the Nb temperature, through which the heat is finally dissipated. For future investigations it would be ideal to correlate the heat flow via the spin system with direct observations of the antiferromagnetic spin system using resonant x-ray scattering [19,37,38].

Although the average heat, experimentally measured in each layer, is in rather good agreement with standard simulations using the heat equation, there are strong deviations of simulated strain from the measured values. This is because a large fraction of the energy is stored in spin excitations, which promote the contraction of the film. In general, for multilayer systems where only electrons and phonons carry the heat, the transient temperatures can be directly read from the measured strains, which may be very useful when simulations fail to predict real situations, e.g., rough interfaces. For even more complex situations, where several quasiparticles contribute to the heat transport and thermoelastic strain, we have shown how to analytically decompose the measured signal and get the correct decomposition of spin and phonon contributions to the strain and the heat. A direct experimental assessment of the heat flow is crucial for understanding the heat transport via various quasiparticles and across interfaces. We believe that such direct experimental cross-checks of theoretical predictions yield valuable information when it comes to optimizing heat transport for real applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the BMBF for financial support via Grant No. 05K12IPA.

- D. G. Cahill, W. K. Ford, K. E. Goodson, G. D. Mahan, A. Majumdar, H. J. Maris, R. Merlin, and S. R. Phillpot, Nanoscale thermal transport, J. Appl. Phys. 93, 793 (2003).
- [2] D. G. Cahill, P. V. Braun, G. Chen, D. R. Clarke, S. Fan, K. E. Goodson, P. Keblinski, W. P. King, G. D. Mahan, A. Majumdar *et al.*, Nanoscale thermal transport. II. 2003–2012, Appl. Phys. Rev. 1, 011305 (2014).
- [3] K. M. Hoogeboom-Pot, J. N. Hernandez-Charpak, X. Gu, T. D. Frazer, E. H. Anderson, W. Chao, R. W. Falcone, R. Yang, M. M. Murnane, H. C. Kapteyn, and D. Nardi, A new regime of nanoscale thermal transport: Collective diffusion increases dissipation efficiency, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **112**, 4846 (2015).
- [4] A. A. Balandin, Nanoscale thermal management, IEEE Potentials 21, 11 (2002).
- [5] M. N. Luckyanova, J. Garg, K. Esfarjani, A. Jandl, M. T. Bulsara, A. J. Schmidt, A. J. Minnich, S. Chen, M. S. Dresselhaus, Z. Ren, E. A. Fitzgerald, and G. Chen, Coherent phonon heat conduction in superlattices, Science 338, 936 (2012).
- [6] S. Xiong, K. Sääskilahti, Y. A. Kosevich, H. Han, D. Donadio, and S. Volz, Blocking Phonon Transport by Structural Resonances in Alloy-based Nanophononic Metamaterials Leads to Ultralow Thermal Conductivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 025503 (2016).
- [7] G. Chen, D. Borca-Tasciuc, and R. G. Yang, Nanoscale heat transfer, Encycl. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 7, 429 (2004).
- [8] N.W. Ashcroft and N.D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Saunders College, Philadelphia, 1976).
- [9] V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and D. Fort, Superheating and other unusual observations regarding the first order phase transition in Dy, Scr. Mater. 35, 843 (1996).
- [10] M. Griffel, R. E. Skochdopole, and F. H. Spedding, The heat capacity of gadolinium from 15 to 355 K, Phys. Rev. 93, 657 (1954).
- [11] B. C. Gerstein, M. Griffel, L. D. Jennings, R. E. Miller, R. E. Skochdopole, and F. H. Spedding, Heat capacity of holmium from 15 to 300 K, J. Chem. Phys. 27, 394 (1957).
- [12] D. W. Boys and S. Legvold, Thermal conductivities and Lorenz functions of Dy, Er, and Lu single crystals, Phys. Rev. 174, 377 (1968).
- [13] C. Hess, B. Büchner, U. Ammerahl, L. Colonescu, F. Heidrich-Meisner, W. Brenig, and A. Revcolevschi, Magnon Heat Transport in Doped La₂CuO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 197002 (2003).
- [14] E. Beaurepaire, J.-C. Merle, A. Daunois, and J.-Y. Bigot, Ultrafast Spin Dynamics in Ferromagnetic Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4250 (1996).
- [15] R. Biele, R. D'Agosta, and A. Rubio, Time-dependent Thermal Transport Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 056801 (2015).
- [16] C. Stamm, T. Kachel, N. Pontius, R. Mitzner, T. Quast, K. Holldack, S. Khan, C. Lupulescu, E. F. Aziz, M. Wietstruk, H. A.

Durr, and W. Eberhardt, Femtosecond modification of electron localization and transfer of angular momentum in nickel, Nat. Mater. **6**, 740 (2007).

- [17] A. Eschenlohr, M. Battiato, R. Maldonado, N. Pontius, T. Kachel, K. Holldack, R. Mitzner, A. Foehlisch, P. M. Oppeneer, and C. Stamm, Ultrafast spin transport as key to femtosecond demagnetization, Nat. Mater. 12, 332 (2013).
- [18] B. Frietsch, J. Bowlan, R. Carley, M. Teichmann, S. Wienholdt, D. Hinzke, U. Nowak, K. Carva, P. M. Oppeneer, and M. Weinelt, Disparate ultrafast dynamics of itinerant and localized magnetic moments in gadolinium metal, Nat. Commun. 6, 8262 (2015).
- [19] L. Rettig, C. Dornes, N. Thielemann-Kühn, N. Pontius, H. Zabel, D. L. Schlagel, T. A. Lograsso, M. Chollet, A. Robert, M. Sikorski, S. Song, J. M. Glownia, C. Schüeßler-Langeheine, S. L. Johnson, and U. Staub, Itinerant and Localized Magnetization Dynamics in Antiferromagnetic Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 257202 (2016).
- [20] J. Li, L. R. Shelford, P. Shafer, A. Tan, J. X. Deng, P. S. Keatley, C. Hwang, E. Arenholz, G. van der Laan, R. J. Hicken, and Z. Q. Qiu, Direct Detection of Pure ac Spin Current by X-ray Pumpprobe Measurements, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 076602 (2016).
- [21] G.-M. Choi, B.-C. Min, K.-J. Lee, and D. G. Cahill, Spin current generated by thermally driven ultrafast demagnetization, Nat. Commun. 5, 4334 (2014).
- [22] H. A. Navirian, D. Schick, P. Gaal, W. Leitenberger, R. Shayduk, and M. Bargheer, Thermoelastic study of nanolayered structures using time-resolved x-ray diffraction at high repetition rate, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 021906 (2014).
- [23] D. B. Xu, C. J. Sun, D. L. Brewe, S.-W. Han, P. Ho, J. S. Chen, S. M. Heald, X. Y. Zhang, and G. M. Chow, Spatiotemporally separating electron and phonon thermal transport in L1₀ FePt films for heat assisted magnetic recording, J. Appl. Phys. 115, 243907 (2014).
- [24] Y. C. Ho, R. W. Powell, and P. E Liley, Thermal conductivity of the elements: A comprehensive review, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 3, 1 (1974).
- [25] E. R. Dobrovinskaya, L. A. Lytvynov, and V. Pishchik, "Sapphire", Micro- and Opto-Electronic Materials, Structures, and Systems (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [26] K. Dumesnil, C. Dufour, Ph. Mangin, G. Marchal, and M. Hennion, Magnetoelastic and exchange contributions to the helical-ferromagnetic transition in dysprosium epitaxial films, EPL (Europhys. Lett.) 31, 43 (1995).
- [27] H. Navirian, R. Shayduk, W. Leitenberger, J. Goldshteyn, P. Gaal, and M. Bargheer, Synchrotron-based ultrafast x-ray diffraction at high repetition rates, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83, 063303 (2012).
- [28] M. Reinhardt, A. Koc, W. Leitenberger, P. Gaal, and M. Bargheer, Optimized spatial overlap in optical pump-x-ray probe experiments with high repetition rate using laser-induced surface distortions, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 23, 474 (2016).

- [29] D. Schick, R. Shayduk, A. Bojahr, M. Herzog, C. von Korff Schmising, P. Gaal, and M. Bargheer, Ultrafast reciprocal-space mapping with a convergent beam, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 46, 1372 (2013).
- [30] A. von Reppert, J. Pudell, A. Koc, M. Reinhardt, W. Leitenberger, K. Dumesnil, F. Zamponi, and M. Bargheer, Persistent nonequilibrium dynamics of the thermal energies in the spin and phonon systems of an antiferromagnet, Struct. Dyn. 3, 054302 (2016).
- [31] J. F. Smith and J. A. Gjevre, Elastic constants of yttrium single crystals in the temperature range 4.2–400 K, J. Appl. Phys. 31, 645 (1960).
- [32] M. Rosen and H. Klimker, Low-temperature elasticity and magnetoelasticity of dysprosium single crystals, Phys. Rev. B 1, 3748 (1970).
- [33] K. J. Carroll, Elastic constants of niobium from 4.2 to 300 K, J. Appl. Phys. 36, 3689 (1965).
- [34] L. D. Jennings, R. E. Miller, and F. H. Spedding, Lattice heat capacity of the rare earths. Heat capacities of yttrium

and lutetium from 15–350 K, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1849 (1960).

- [35] A. von Reppert, Ultrafast magnetostriction in dysprosium studied by femtosecond x-ray diffraction, Master's thesis, Universität Potsdam, 2015.
- [36] D. Schick, A. Bojahr, M. Herzog, R. Shayduk, C. von Korff Schmising, and M. Bargheer, UDKM and SIM—A simulation toolkit for 1D ultrafast dynamics in condensed matter, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 651 (2014).
- [37] N. Thielemann-Kühn, D. Schick, N. Pontius, C. Trabant, R. Mitzner, K. Holldack, H. Zabel, A. Föhlisch, and C. Schüßler-Langeheine, Ultrafast and energy-efficient spin manipulation: Antiferromagnetism beats ferromagnetism, arXiv:1703.03689.
- [38] M. C. Langner, S. Roy, A. F. Kemper, Y.-D. Chuang, S. K. Mishra, R. B. Versteeg, Y. Zhu, M. P. Hertlein, T. E. Glover, K. Dumesnil, and R. W. Schoenlein, Scattering bottleneck for spin dynamics in metallic helical antiferromagnetic dysprosium, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 184423 (2015).