

Velocity and diffusion constant of an active particle in a one-dimensional force field

Pierre Le Doussal, Satya N. Majumdar, Gregory Schehr

► To cite this version:

Pierre Le Doussal, Satya N. Majumdar, Gregory Schehr. Velocity and diffusion constant of an active particle in a one-dimensional force field. EPL - Europhysics Letters, 2020, 130 (4), pp.40002. 10.1209/0295-5075/130/40002. hal-02881224

HAL Id: hal-02881224 https://hal.science/hal-02881224v1

Submitted on 16 Dec 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Velocity and diffusion constant of an active particle in a one dimensional force field

Pierre Le Doussal,¹ Satya N. Majumdar,² and Grégory Schehr²

¹CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex, France

²LPTMS, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France

(Dated: March 19, 2020)

We consider a run an tumble particle with two velocity states $\pm v_0$, in an inhomogeneous force field f(x) in one dimension. We obtain exact formulae for its velocity V_L and diffusion constant D_L for arbitrary periodic f(x) of period L. They involve the "active potential" which allows to define a global bias. Upon varying parameters, such as an external force F, the dynamics undergoes transitions from non-ergodic trapped states, to various moving states, some with non analyticities in the V_L versus F curve. A random landscape in the presence of a bias leads, for large L, to anomalous diffusion $x \sim t^{\mu}$, $\mu < 1$, or to a phase with a finite velocity that we calculate.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.10.Yn, 02.50.-r

Persistent random walks, where a walker persists in the same direction for a finite time before changing direction, have been studied extensively [1-5]. The recent years have seen a resurgence of interest in this stochastic process in a different reincarnation, namely the "run and tumble particle" (RTP), mostly in the context of active matter [6-15]. While several interesting collective properties of interacting RTPs have been discovered recently, it was realised that even a single RTP exhibits rich and interesting static and dynamic behaviours [16– 28]. For example, the stationary state position distribution for an RTP in an external confining potential has been shown to deviate from the equilibrium Gibbs-Boltzmann form [23, 24]. Other interesting questions such as the relaxation dynamics towards the stationary state in a confining potential [23], the first-passage properties [16, 17, 19, 20, 25, 27, 29] or the distribution of the current of non-interacting RTP's [30] have been recently studied in the one-dimensional geometry. In this paper, we study a single RTP subjected to an external force periodic in space. We show that, due to the presence of a finite persistence time, the position distribution under the periodic force exhibits a rich and nontrivial behaviour, compared to the ordinary diffusion. In particular, we compute explicitly the velocity and the diffusion constant of the RTP for an arbitrary periodic force f(x).

The overdamped dynamics of the RTP is described by the stochastic evolution equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + v_0 \sigma(t) \tag{1}$$

where f(x) is an external force and $\sigma(t) = \pm 1$ represents a telegraphic noise which switches from one state to another at a constant rate γ .

In free space on the line in the case where f(x) = f is uniform, it is well known that the dynamics of the active particle becomes diffusive at large time and can be effectively described on large scale by a Langevin equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f + \sqrt{2D_0} \,\xi(t) \tag{2}$$

where the effective diffusion constant $D_0 = v_0^2/(2\gamma)$ and the mean velocity is V = f. This effective description of (1) is valid above a characteristic persistence time $t^* = O(1/\gamma)$. In fact, the RTP dynamics (1) converges to the Langevin dynamics (2) in the limit where both $v_0 \to +\infty$, $\gamma \to +\infty$ with fixed D_0 [51].

A natural question is what happens to this effective description when the RTP is subjected to an inhomogeneous force f(x)? In particular what is the mean velocity V and the diffusion constant D for arbitrary f(x)? In the case where f(x) = -U'(x) with a confining potential U(x), there exists a stationary solution with zero current [18, 31–35]. This stationary state was analysed in detail in [23] for potentials of the type $U(x) = \alpha |x|^p$ and an interesting "shape transition" in the stationary position distribution was found in the (α, p) plane. In that case the RTP motion is bounded which corresponds to V = 0 and D = 0. In fact this stationary state is typically non-Boltzmann, which shows that the Langevin equation approximation breaks down.

In this paper we consider the RTP dynamics in Eq. (1) on an infinite line subjected to an arbitrary force landscape f(x), periodic in space, of period L, f(x) =f(x+L) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, one would anticipate that, for small f(x), there will not be any stationary position distribution and the particle will keep on moving with time, with a non-zero speed V_L and a non-zero diffusion constant D_L . One of the principal goals of this paper is to compute V_L and D_L . But before we do that for the RTP, it is useful to recall what happens for a simple diffusive particle (2) subjected to this periodic force, which has been studied extensively [43–46]. In this case, the position distribution P(x,t) satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t P = -\partial_x J$$
, where $J = -D\partial_x P + f(x)P$, (3)

which, for bounded potential, does not have a normalisable steady-state solution. However, its periodised version,

$$\tilde{P}(x,t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} P(x+nL,t) , \qquad (4)$$

which satisfies the same Fokker-Planck equation (3), is known to reach a stationary limit $\tilde{P}(x,t) \to \tilde{P}(x)$ as $t \to +\infty$ [43–46]. Indeed $\tilde{P}(x,t)$ corresponds to the position distribution of a diffusive particle on a ring of size L. This stationary periodised solution $\tilde{P}(x)$ can be computed explicitly by setting $\partial_t \tilde{P} = 0$ in (3), looking for a solution with a non-zero constant current J. The constant J can be determined from the normalisation condition $\int_0^L \tilde{P}(x) dx = 1$. Knowing J, one can then find the velocity V_L from the general identity [44]

$$V_L = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \int dx \, x \, P(x,t) = JL \,, \tag{5}$$

where P(x,t) is the non-periodised distribution. Similarly, the diffusion constant D_L , defined as

$$D_L = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\overline{x(t)^2} - \overline{x(t)}^2 \right) \tag{6}$$

where $\overline{x^k(t)} = \int dx \, x^k \, P(x,t)$, was also computed explicitly [43–46]. In addition, if the potential U(x) is itself periodic, U(0) = U(L), the current vanishes, J = 0, and the periodised solution converges to $\tilde{P}(x) = e^{-U(x)/D_0}/Z$ for $x \in [0, L]$ where Z is a normalisation constant. Thus the dimensionless quantity which measures the "tilt" of the potential landscape,

$$G_L = \frac{U(0) - U(L)}{D_0}$$
(7)

can be interpreted as an effective measure of the global bias which determines the sign of the velocity V_L .

In this paper, we carry out a similar procedure for the RTP (1) subjected to this periodic force f(x) = f(x+L) which we assume to be continuous. However, due to the competition between the periodic force f(x) and the noise (with a persistent memory) in Eq. (1), we show that one obtains a much richer behaviour for the periodised stationary solution leading to different phases and transitions between them. Indeed we find four different phases (denoted by A, B, C and D), depending on whether $f(x) = \pm v_0$ has real roots or not, leading to an interesting phase diagram shown in Fig. 1. In addition, we also compute explicitly for any L, the stationary periodised solution $\tilde{P}(x)$, the velocity V_L and the diffusion constant D_L . Furthermore, we also compute the mean first passage time to an arbitrary level X.

As mentioned above, the four phases are as follows (see also Figs. 1 and 2).

Phase A: $|f(x)| < v_0$ for all x. In this case the motion is unbounded and the stationary measure is smooth (if



FIG. 1. Dynamical phase diagram of the RTP with $f(x) = f_0(x) + F$ as a function of the driving force F and of the maximum force f_0^{max} of the environment (assumed to equal minus the minimum one). The V_L versus F characteristics measured along the two dotted lines undergo different sequences of transitions.

f(x) is smooth). We obtain a closed formula for V_L (see Eqs. (20) and (21)) and D_L (see Eqs. (24) and (25)).

Phase B: $f(x) > -v_0$ and there are roots x_i (in increasing order) to $f(x) = v_0$ (see Fig. 2 top panel). Out of these, every alternate ones (denoted by x_i^s in Fig. 2 top panel) are attractive fixed points for the RTP dynamics (1) when it is in the $-v_0$ state. The motion is a bit more complicated in this case. The position remains unbounded but the stationary periodised solution $\tilde{P}(x)$ has singular points (see Eq. (26) and also Fig. 3). We also obtain a formula for V_L given in Eq. (28). A similar phase exists for the symmetric case where $f(x) < v_0$ and there are roots to $f(x) = -v_0$.

Phase C: there exists roots to both $f(x) = +v_0$, denoted by x_i , and to $f(x) = -v_0$, denoted by y_i in increasing order (see Fig. 2 bottom panel). In this case the motion is bounded. The stationary periodised measure $\tilde{P}(x)$ has disjoint supports in a set of intervals with different weights depending on the initial condition. The dynamics is non-ergodic in this case.

Phase D: $f(x) > v_0$ for all x. The RTP moves to the right in both $\pm v_0$ states. A similar situation arises for the symmetric counterpart where $f(x) < -v_0$.

Evidently, one can make transitions between these phases by tuning the maximum of the periodic force f(x). One way to achieve this is to apply an additional constant force F on top of a periodic force landscape $f_0(x) = f_0(x + L)$. This amounts to setting $f(x) = f_0(x) + F$. Let f_0^{\max} denote the maximum of $f_0(x)$, for $x \in [0, L]$ and, for simplicity, we assume that $f_0^{\min} = -f_0^{\max}$. From our analysis, an interesting phase diagram emerges in the plane (F, f_0^{\max}) as shown in Fig. 1. The motion undergoes transitions along the solid lines $f_0^{\max} + F = v_0$ (A to B), $-f_0^{\max} + F = v_0$ (B to D) and $-f_0^{\max} + F = -v_0$ (Cto B). As F is increased along different lines (dotted lines in Fig. 1) the velocity-force characteristics exhibits transitions, with $V_L = 0$ in phase C, and non-analyticities in the B phase as new fixed points appear or disappear.

Another interesting question is whether, for the RTP, there exists a single global measure G_L of the bias as in the diffusive case in Eq. (7). For this, it is useful to define an "active external potential"

$$W(x) = -2\gamma \int_{x_0}^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)} , \qquad (8)$$

where x_0 is an arbitrary position. In the diffusive limit, $v_0, \gamma \to +\infty$ with fixed $D_0 = \frac{v_0^2}{2\gamma}$, $W(x) \to U(x)/D_0$ converges to the standard external potential $U(x) = -\int_{x_0}^x f(x) dx$. We show that in phase A the dimensionless global bias for the RTP, which determines the direction of the velocity, can be expressed in terms of this active potential W(x)

$$G_L = W(0) - W(L) = 2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)} .$$
 (9)

Indeed we show that the sign of V_L is the same as the sign of G_L (and also V_L vanishes when G_L vanishes). Clearly, in the diffusive limit, Eq. (9) reduces to Eq. (7). In addition, we show that in the small bias limit ($G_L \rightarrow 0$), the velocity satisfies an Einstein-like relation (within linear response in G_L)

$$V_L \simeq D_L^{\rm zb} \, \frac{G_L}{L} \,, \tag{10}$$

where D_L^{zb} denotes the diffusion constant D_L in the case of zero bias [given below in (24)].

Let us first outline briefly our derivation of the main results. We first define $P_{\pm}(x,t)$ as the probability densities of the RTP to be in position x at time t and in the state $\sigma(t) = \pm 1$. They satisfy the pair of Fokker-Planck equations corresponding to Eq. (1)

$$\partial_t P_+ = -\partial_x [(f(x) + v_0)P_+] - \gamma P_+ + \gamma P_- \qquad (11)$$

$$\partial_t P_- = -\partial_x [(f(x) - v_0)P_-] + \gamma P_+ - \gamma P_- . \quad (12)$$

The associated periodised distributions, $\tilde{P}_{\pm}(x,t) = \sum_{n} P_{\pm}(x+nL,t)$, satisfy the same pair of equations due to the periodicity of f(x). We also define the total probability $\tilde{P}(x,t) = \tilde{P}_{+}(x,t) + \tilde{P}_{-}(x,t)$, as well as the difference $\tilde{Q}(x,t) = \tilde{P}_{+}(x,t) - \tilde{P}_{-}(x,t)$, which then satisfy the coupled Fokker-Planck equations

$$\partial_t \dot{P} = -\partial_x J(x,t) = -\partial_x [f(x)\dot{P} + v_0\dot{Q}], \quad (13)$$

$$\partial_t \dot{Q} = -\partial_x [f(x)\ddot{Q} + v_0\dot{P}] - 2\gamma \dot{Q} . \qquad (14)$$

At large time, assuming a stationary state to exist, we set $\partial_t \tilde{P}$ to zero in the first equation. This implies that the probability current density $J(x,t) = f(x)\tilde{P}(x,t) + v_0\tilde{Q}(x,t)$ converges to a constant $J = \lim_{t \to +\infty} J(x,t)$ independent of x. Hence, in the stationary state, we have $f(x)\tilde{P} + v_0\tilde{Q} = J$ where J is yet to be determined. Eliminating \tilde{Q} using this relation in Eq. (14), and setting $\partial_t \tilde{Q} = 0$, one obtains a first-order differential equation for \tilde{P}

$$\frac{d}{dx}[(v_0^2 - f^2(x))\tilde{P}(x) + Jf(x)] + 2\gamma J - 2\gamma f(x)\tilde{P}(x) = 0$$
(15)

This equation can be explicitly solved for $\tilde{P}(x)$, using the periodicity condition $\tilde{P}(x+L) = \tilde{P}(x)$, see below. Knowing $\tilde{P}(x)$ and $\tilde{Q}(x)$ from the relation $f(x)\tilde{P} + v_0\tilde{Q} = J$, one gets the stationary distribution for each state $\sigma = \pm$

$$\tilde{P}_{\pm}(x) = \frac{\pm J + (v_0 \mp f(x))\tilde{P}(x)}{2v_0} .$$
(16)

Finally, the unknown constant J is determined from the normalisation condition $\int_0^L \tilde{P}(x) dx = 1$ and consequently the velocity $V_L = J L$ is obtained from Eq. (5). The computation of the diffusion constant D_L is a bit more cumbersome, but it can be derived from a generaliation of the method used for the diffusive case [43–45]. The result for the zero-bias case $G_L = 0$ for phase A, is simpler and is given explicitly in Eq. (24). The detailed derivation can be found in [47].

Phase A. Let us first consider phase A, $|f(x)| < v_0$ for all x, in which the motion of the RTP is unbounded. Assuming a non-zero bias, i.e. $G(L) \neq 0$, and following the procedure outlined above, we obtain the stationary distribution

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{2\gamma J}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \left(\int_0^L \frac{du\Phi_-(x,u)}{A_L} - \int_0^x du\Phi_-(x,u) - \frac{f(x)}{2\gamma} \right),$$
(17)

where we have defined

$$\Phi_{\pm}(x,u) = \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f^2(u)} e^{\pm (W(x) - W(u))} , \qquad (18)$$

$$A_L = 1 - e^{-(W(0) - W(L))} = 1 - e^{-G_L}$$
 (19)

In the limit of zero bias $G_L \to 0$, one can show that $\tilde{P}(x) \to \tilde{A} \Phi_+(0, x)$, for $x \in [0, L]$ and \tilde{A} is a normalisation constant. For arbitrary G_L , by determining J from the normalisation condition $\int_0^L dx \tilde{P}(x) = 1$, we get the velocity V_L from (5)

$$\frac{1}{V_L} = \frac{1}{L} \int_{[0,L]^2} dx du \Psi(x,u) \left(\frac{1}{A_L} - \theta(x-u)\right) - \frac{G_L}{2\gamma L}$$
(20)

where we have further defined

$$\Psi(x,u) = \frac{2\gamma v_0^2 e^{-(W(x) - W(u))}}{(v_0^2 - f^2(x))(v_0^2 - f^2(u))} .$$
(21)

The formula (20) for V_L is exact for any L [52].

To study the $L \to \infty$ limit, it is natural to assume that f(x) satisfies an ergodicity property, namely the existence of translational averages for local observables O[f](x), denoted as $\langle O[f](x) \rangle_x = \lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L dx \, O[f](x)$. In addition [see Eq. (9)] we assume that

$$\lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{G_L}{2\gamma L} = \frac{f_{\text{eff}}}{v_0^2} , \qquad (22)$$

where $f_{\text{eff}} = \langle \frac{v_0^2 f(x)}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \rangle_x$ is an "effective active force" that arises from the global bias G_L . Without loss of generality, we assume $f_{\text{eff}} > 0$. Since $G_L \to \infty$ from Eq. (22) it implies $\lim_{L \to +\infty} A_L = 1$ from (19). Using $A_L = 1$, Eq. (20) can be re-arranged in a more compact form, leading to $\lim_{L \to +\infty} V_L = V$ where

$$\frac{1}{V} = \int_0^{+\infty} dz \langle \Psi(x, x+z) \rangle_x - \frac{f_{\text{eff}}}{v_0^2} .$$
 (23)

In addition, the diffusion constant D_L^{zb} for the case of zero bias G(L) = 0, is obtained as (see [47] for the general case)

$$\frac{D_0}{D_L^{\rm zb}} = \frac{1}{L^2} \int_0^L du \Phi_+(0,u) \int_0^L du' \Phi_-(0,u') \ . \tag{24}$$

In the large L limit, $D_L^{\rm zb} \to D^{\rm zb}$ with

$$\frac{D_0}{D^{\rm zb}} = v_0^4 \left\langle \frac{e^{-W(x)}}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \right\rangle_x \times \left\langle \frac{e^{W(x)}}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \right\rangle_x \ . \ (25)$$

This formula is valid provided each translational average in (25) converges. Finally, in the diffusive limit $v_0, \gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ with fixed $D_0 = \frac{v_0^2}{2\gamma}$, one can check that our formulae (23) and (25) for $L \rightarrow \infty$ reduce to the diffusive results obtained in [45].

Phase B. In this phase, there are 2n roots to the equation $f(x) = v_0$ in a period L. Let us denote them by x_i^s (stable) and x_i^u (unstable), i = 1, ..., n, with $f'(x_i^s) < 0$ and $f'(x_i^u) > 0$ (we assume for simplicity that f(x) is differentiable). We choose the period such that the roots are ordered as $x_1^s < x_1^u < x_2^s < \cdots < x_n^u < x_{n+1}^s = x_1^s + L$, see Fig. 2. The $x_i^{s,u}$ correspond respectively to stable and unstable fixed points when the RTP is in the state $\sigma = -1$. The motion of the RTP in the state $\sigma = +1$ is always to the right. Hence the RTP can not cross any of stable points to the left. Hence, we expect a net drift with $V_L > 0$ since the particle always spends a finite fraction of its time in state +. The stationary measure can be computed from Eq. (15) and has the form

$$\tilde{P}(x) = J \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{[x_j^s, x_{j+1}^s]}(x) F_{x_j^u}(x)$$

$$F_y(x) = \frac{1}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \int_x^y du (2\gamma + f'(u)) e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} .$$
(26)

This expression is smooth around the unstable points x_i^u but has singularities near the stable points x_i^s

$$\tilde{P}(x) \sim (x_{i+1}^s - x)^{\phi_{i+1}} , \quad \phi_{i+1} = -1 + \frac{\gamma}{|f'(x_{i+1}^s)|},$$
(27)



f(x)

0

f(x)

+v

0

FIG. 2. Plots of f(x) in a period $x \in [0, L]$ showing the stable (s) and unstable (u) fixed points, i.e. the roots of $f(x) = -\sigma v_0$, when the RTP is in the $\sigma = -1$ state (top line) and $\sigma = +1$ state (lowest line). The RTP moves along the arrows and changes state with rate γ . Top, phase B: In the – state the RTP moves left or right towards the fixed points x_i^s , and in the + state always to the right, leading to a mean velocity $V_L > 0$. Bottom, phase C: the RTP ends up in either intervals $I_1 = [x_1^s, y_1^s]$ or $I_2 = [x_2^s, y_3^s]$, which are the supports of the stationary measures (up to periodicity L), and $V_L = 0$. Starting points in $[x_2^u - L, y_2^u]$ and $[x_1^u, y_3^u]$ end up in I_1 and I_2 respectively, with probability one. Starting in $[y_2^u, x_1^u]$ or

 y_2^u

assuming that f'(x) is continuous. From (16) we also obtain the singularity associated to each state as $\tilde{P}_{\pm}(x) \sim (x_{i+1}^s - x)^{\phi_{i+1}^{\pm}}$ with $\phi_{i+1}^- = \phi_{i+1} + 1$ and $\phi_{i+1}^+ = \phi_{i+1}$. The velocity is then obtained from normalisation $\int_{x_1^s}^{x_1^s + L} dx \,\tilde{P}(x) = 1$ and $J = V_L/L$ leading to the result for phase B

$$\frac{1}{V_L} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{x_j^s}^{x_{j+1}^s} dx \, F_{x_j^u}(x) \,. \tag{28}$$

To illustrate phase B we consider a simple example, $f(x) = 4|x - \frac{1}{2}| - 1 + F$ with period L = 1 (setting $v_0 = 1$). We first study the case F = 1. The period is $[x_1^s, x_1^s + 1]$ with $x_1^s = \frac{1}{4}$ and $x_u^1 = \frac{3}{4}$ (see Fig. 3). We set $\gamma = 4$, which leads to the simplest expressions. By directly solving (13), (14) one finds that, due to the angular points of f(x) at $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and x = 1, the solution $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_I$ takes different forms depending on the interval I (see Fig. 3)

$$\tilde{P}_{[\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}]}(x) = c_1 + \frac{J}{2}\log\frac{3-4x}{4x-1} , \ \tilde{P}_{[\frac{1}{2},1]}(x) = \frac{4Jx}{(1-4x)^2}$$
$$\tilde{P}_{[1,\frac{5}{4}]}(x) = c_2 + \frac{J}{2}\log\frac{7-4x}{5-4x}$$
(29)

with $c_1 = 2J$, $c_2 = \frac{J}{18}(8 - 9\log 3)$ from continuity of \tilde{P} at the angular points. Normalisation then leads to $V_L = J = \frac{18}{14+9\log(3)}$. One can check these results agree with a direct evaluation of the formula (26) and (28) [53]. The result (29) is compared in Fig. 3 with a numerical simulation of Eq. (1). The exponent (27) predicted for the singularity at $x = x_1^s = \frac{1}{4}$ is $\phi = \frac{\gamma-4}{4}$, in agreement with the logarithmic divergence in (29) for $\gamma = 4$. The case of general γ is solved in [47].



FIG. 3. Stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x)$ versus x, for $f(x) = 4|x-\frac{1}{2}|$ (inset), $\gamma = 4$, $v_0 = 1$. Squares: numerical simulation of the RTP (shown in period [0,1]). Solid line: analytical prediction (29). Note the logarithmic divergence at x = 1/4. The angular point at x = 1/2 arises from the cusp in f(x).

Phase C. In this phase, within the period L, there are 2n solutions to $f(x) = v_0$, called $x_i^{s,u}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ordered as in phase B, and 2m roots to $f(x) = -v_0$, called $y_j^{s,u}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$ ordered similarly with $f'(y_j^s) < 0$ and $f'(y_j^u) > 0$. The roots $y_j^{s,u}$ correspond respectively to stable and unstable fixed points when the RTP is in the state $\sigma = +1$. As a result the particle motion is now bounded, as one can check in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. We now detail the structure of the possible stationary states. Let us define S the subset of indices i such that $[x_i^s, x_i^u]$ contains at least one y_j^s , and define $k(i) = \min(j|x_i^s < y_j^s < x_i^u)$. The stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x)$ has zero current J = 0, and both the velocity V_L and the diffusion constant D_L are zero. It reads

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} A_i \frac{\mathbb{1}_{[x_i^s, y_{k(i)}^s]}(x)}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_{u_i}^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} , \quad (30)$$

where u_i can be chosen as the midpoint $u_i = \frac{x_i^s + y_{k(i)}^s}{2}$. The stationary measure has a support made of a collection of disjoint intervals and is zero elsewhere, which correspond to "downwards travels" of f(x), as represented in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. The coefficients A_i , $i \in S$, are however determined by the initial condition, together with the normalisation condition. Hence if there is more than one element in S the system is non-ergodic.

Phase D. In this case there are no roots to $f(x) = \pm v_0$. However there is a global bias and the velocity $V_L \neq 0$. It turns out that both the stationary $\tilde{P}(x)$ and V_L are given by exactly the same formula as in phase A, namely by Eqs. (17) and (20) respectively.

In the limit $\gamma \to 0^+$, transitions being rare, the velocity simplifies (in all phases) as $V_L \simeq \frac{1}{2}(V_+ + V_-)$, where $V_{\sigma} = L / \int_0^L \frac{dx}{f(x) + v_0 \sigma}$ is the velocity of an RTP frozen in state $\sigma = \pm 1$, with $V_{\sigma} = 0$ if a root to $f(x) = -v_0 \sigma$ exists [47].

Transitions and velocity force characteristics. As mentioned earlier, dynamical transitions can occur between these phases as some external parameters are varied, such that f(x) crosses the levels $\pm v_0$, see e.g. Fig. 1. Let us give a concrete example of this transition for the model $f(x) = f_0(x) + F$ with $f_0(x) = 4|x - \frac{1}{2}| - 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and we set $v_0 = 1$ as well as L = 1. Clearly, in this case, $f_0^{\max} = v_0 = 1$. If we now vary F, we move along the horizontal line $f_0^{\text{max}} = v_0$ in the phase diagram in Fig. 1. For any F > 0, the system is in phase B, a special case of this was discussed before for F = 1. However, exactly at F = 0 the system is in phase C. Thus the critical point in this example is exactly at $F = F_c = 0$. As $F \to 0$, the velocity V_L vanishes as a power law $V_L \sim (F - F_c)^{\beta}$ where the exponent $\beta = \beta(\gamma)$ depends continuously on γ . For example, we find $\beta(4) = 2$ and $\beta(2) = 1$ [47].

Similarly, by varying f_0^{\max} in Fig. 1 one can induce a transition from phase A to phase C along the vertical line at F = 0. Here we provide a concrete example of this transition by considering the attractive logarithmic potential, $f(x) = f_0(x) = -\frac{\alpha x}{x^2+a^2}$, on the interval [-L/2, +L/2], of period $L \gg a$ [54]. Note that in this case the global bias in Eq. (9) vanishes, $G_L = W(-L/2) - W(L/2) = 0$, due to f(x) being an odd function. To proceed, we look for the possible real roots of $f(x) = \pm v_0$. It is easy to verify that the four roots are given by $a (\mp r \pm \sqrt{r^2 - 1})$ where $r = \alpha/(2v_0a)$. Clearly, if r < 1, there is no real root – this corresponds to phase A. In contrast when r > 1 there are four real roots – this corresponds to phase C. Thus, by tuning racross the critical value r = 1, the system can go from phase A to C.

• For r > 1, in phase C, following our general discussion before (see also Fig. 2 bottom panel), there is only one region of space $[x_s, y_s]$ with $x_s = a(-r + \sqrt{r^2 - 1})$ and $y_s = a(r - \sqrt{r^2 - 1})$, where the particle gets trapped in the stationary state, irrespective of the initial condition (since $L \gg 2y_s$). Thus in this phase, both V_L and D_L vanish and the particle position is always localised



FIG. 4. Dynamical phase diagram of the attractive logarithmic potential model, in terms of r (activity parameter) and g (potential strength), exhibiting two binding transitions of different nature. In the A bound phase g > 1, r < 1, the stationary measure $\tilde{P}(x)$ becomes bimodal for $g < 8r^2$.

(bound) at long times.

• In contrast, for r < 1, i.e. in phase A, the particle position at long times may or may not be localised in the limit $L \to \infty$. This can be clearly seen by examining the zero-bias $(G_L = 0)$ stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{A} \Phi_{+}(0, x)$ where Φ_{+} is given in (18). It is easy to check that, for large $1 \ll |x| < L/2$, $\tilde{P}(x) \propto |x|^{-g}$ with the exponent $g = 2\gamma \alpha / v_0^2$. If g > 1, the stationary distribution P(x) becomes independent of L in the large L limit, since it can be normalised on the interval $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Thus the particle position is bound in the large L limit. This can also be seen from the asymptotic behaviour of D_L for large L, where Eq. (24) predicts $D_L \sim d_r L^{1-g}$, with d_r some r-dependent constant. Thus for q > 1, the diffusion constant vanishes asymptotically for large L, confirming the bound state. On the other hand, if q < 1, there is no stationary distribution in the large L limit and the diffusion constant, for large L, approaches a constant $D_L = D_0(1-g^2)$. This leads to the phase diagram in the (r, g) plane as shown in Fig. 4. For g > 1, as $r \to 1^-$ from below (from phase A), the D_L has an essential singularity [47], i.e. $D_L \sim \exp(-\pi g/\sqrt{8(1-r)})$ as $r \to 1^-$. It would be interesting to investigate the behaviour of the diffusion constant D_L around the multicritical point in Fig. 4.

Mean first passage time. One can calculate the mean first passage time at a fixed level X, $T_{\pm}(x)$, for a RTP starting from x in the state \pm . In phase A, for an infinite line (not assuming periodicity) assuming $W(-\infty) = +\infty$, it reads

$$T_{-}(x) = \int_{x}^{X} \frac{2\gamma dy}{v_{0} - f(y)} \int_{-\infty}^{y} \frac{e^{W(y) - W(z)} dz}{v_{0} + f(z)} + T_{-}(X)$$
(31)

Here $T_{-}(X) = \frac{1}{\gamma} + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{X} \frac{e^{W(X) - W(y)} dy}{v_0 + f(y)}$ is the mean first

return time to level X, which, for an RTP started in the – state is non-zero, while $T_+(X) = 0$. In fact the difference is given for general x by

$$T_{-}(x) - T_{+}(x) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{dy \, e^{W(x) - W(z)}}{v_0 + f(z)} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \qquad (32)$$

Note that in the diffusive limit $T_{-}(X) \to 0$, $T_{+}(x) - T_{-}(x) \to 0$ and one recovers the formula given in [46]. These are thus purely active quantities. We have checked [47] that the velocity in (23) can also be obtained from the limit $\lim_{X\to+\infty} T_{\pm}(0)/X = 1/V$.

All our results extend to inhomogeneous transition rates $\gamma \to \gamma(x)$ and velocity $v_0 \to v_0(x)$, see [47] for details. For example, in the absence of an external force f(x) = 0, the velocity vanishes and the diffusion constant is given by

$$D_L = \frac{L^2}{\left(\int_0^L dx \frac{2\gamma(x)}{v_0(x)}\right) \left(\int_0^L dx \frac{1}{v_0(x)}\right)} .$$
 (33)

Random landscape: velocity. Consider now f(x) a random force where each realisation is periodic f(x + L) = f(x), but the probability distribution of f(x) is independent of x. We restrict to the phase A in the large Llimit. Let us define $\tilde{f}(x) = v_0^2 f(x)/(v_0^2 - f^2(x))$, with $\overline{\tilde{f}(x)} = f_{\text{eff}}$, the effective bias defined in (22), which we choose to be non negative (overbars denote averages over the random force). We assume that the translational average $\langle \ldots \rangle_x$ coincides with the disorder average. This implies from (23) that the velocity is given by

$$V^{-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} dz \, K(z) - \frac{f_{\text{eff}}}{v_0^2} \tag{34}$$

in terms of the two point correlator

$$K(z) = \overline{\frac{2\gamma v_0^2 e^{-(W(0) - W(z))}}{(v_0^2 - f^2(0))(v_0^2 - f^2(z))}}$$
(35)

There are thus two possible phases separated by a threshold force f_c : (i) if $\int_0^{+\infty} dz K(z) < +\infty$ there is a non zero velocity V > 0 since the bias is positive, and (ii) $\int_0^{+\infty} dz K(z) = +\infty$, for which the velocity vanishes. The first case occurs for large enough $f_{\text{eff}} > f_c$ since $\overline{W(0) - W(z)} = f_{\text{eff}} z/D_0$.

Random landscape: anomalous diffusion. The existence of a V = 0 phase is a signature of anomalous diffusion. By tuning the random force we first consider the case $f_{\text{eff}} = 0$. Consider the case where f(x) is short range correlated. Then W(x) performs an unbiased random walk as a function of x. From (24), a good estimate, which is also a lower bound $\log \frac{D_L}{D_0} \geq -[\max_{x \in [0,L]} W(x) - \min_{x \in [0,L]} W(x)] + c$, with $c = \log \min_{x \in [0,L]} (1 - \frac{f(x)}{v_0^2})$. If W(x) has bounded moments, it behaves, under rescaling, as a Brownian motion, growing typically as $W(x) \sim \pm \hat{\sigma}\sqrt{x}$, with $\hat{\sigma}^2 =$

 $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \overline{\tilde{f}(0)} \tilde{f}(x)$. This lower bound then leads to the estimate $\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \log D_L \simeq -2\gamma \hat{\sigma} \omega$, where the PDF of $\omega > 0$ is known. The diffusion time T_L on scale L, is thus $\log T_L = \log(L^2/D_L) \sim 2\gamma \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{L}$. This is similar to the Sinai problem [48] for a passive particle, as noted in [28].

Let us return to the case of non zero bias, $f_{\rm eff} > 0$, not studied in [28]. As discussed above, V = 0 for $f_{\rm eff} < f_c$. The Brownian approximation for W(x) allows to characterise the anomalous behaviour. Discarding the preexponential factors in (35) one obtains $V \sim f_{\rm eff} - f_c$ for $f_{\rm eff} > f_c$, with $f_c = \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{v_0^2}$. In the zero velocity phase, anomalous diffusion $x \sim t^{\mu}$ is expected, as in the Sinai problem [48]. Qualitatively, from Eq. (20), $1/V_L \sim \int_{x < u} e^{W(u) - W(x)} \sim e^{\Delta_m} \sim L^{\mu - 1}$, where Δ_m is the maximum drawdown of the Brownian motion with $\overline{\Delta_m} = \mu \log L$ where $\mu = \frac{\sigma^2}{2m} = f_{\rm eff}/f_c$ [49].

In conclusion, we have obtained analytical expressions for the stationary measure, the velocity and the diffusion constant for a single RTP in an arbitrary 1D periodic force field with period L. We obtained exact results both for finite L and in the large L limit. We showed that, even for a single particle, the dynamics exhibits interesting phase transitions, with power law or exponential singularities in these observables. We also investigated [47] how the Fick's law gets modified for non-interacting RTP's subjected to a concentration gradient. It would be interesting to explore how these results are modified for interacting RTP's.

Acknowledgments: This research was partially supported by ANR grant ANR-17-CE30-0027-01 RaMa-TraF.

- [1] M. Kac, Mt. Rocky J. Math. 4, 497 (1974).
- [2] J. Masoliver, K. Lindenberg, B. J. West, Phys. Rev A 34, 1481 (1986); ibid. 34, 2351 (1986).
- [3] G. H. Weiss, J. Masoliver, K. Lindenberg, B. J. West, Phys. Rev. A 36, 1435 (1987).
- [4] G. H. Weiss, Physica A **311**, 381 (2002).
- [5] J. Masoliver, Random Processes: First-passage and Escape (World Scientific, 2018).
- [6] J. Tailleur, M. E. Cates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 218103 (2008).
- [7] S. Ramaswamy, Annu. Rev. Conden. M. P. 1, 323 (2010).
- [8] M. E. Cates, J. Tailleur, Annu. Rev. Conden. M. P. 6, 219 (2015).
- [9] M. E. Cates, J. Tailleur, Annu. Rev. Conden. M. P 6, 219 (2015).
- [10] G. De Magistris, D. Marenduzzo, Physica A 418, 65 (2015).
- [11] C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe, G. Volpe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 045006 (2016).
- [12] A. B. Slowman, M. R. Evans, R. A. Blythe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 218101 (2016).
- [13] A. B. Slowman, M. R. Evans, R. A. Blythe, J. Phys. A: Math, Theor. 50, 375601 (2017).

- [14] E. Fodor, C. Marchetti, Physica A 504, 106 (2018).
- [15] E. Mallmin, R. A. Blythe, M. R. Evans, J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. 013204, (2019).
- [16] L. Angelani, R. Di Lionardo, M. Paoluzzi, Euro. J. Phys. E 37, 59 (2014).
- [17] L. Angelani, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 48, 495003 (2015).
- [18] A. P. Solon, Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, M. E. Cates, Y. Kafri, M. Kardar, J. Tailleur, Nature Phys. 11, 673 (2015).
- [19] K. Malakar, V. Jemseena, A. Kundu, K. Vijay Kumar, S. Sabhapandit, S. N. Majumdar, S. Redner, A. Dhar, J. Stat. Mech. P043215 (2018).
- [20] T. Demaerel, C. Maes, Phys. Rev. E 97, 032604 (2018).
- [21] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 51, 475003 (2018).
- [22] J. Masoliver, Phys. Rev. E 99, 012121 (2019).
- [23] A. Dhar, A. Kundu, S. N. Majumdar, S. Sabhapandit, G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. E 99, 032132 (2019).
- [24] F. J. Sevilla, A. V. Arzola, E. P. Cital, Phys. Rev. E 99, 012145 (2019).
- [25] F. Mori, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 090603 (2020).
- [26] G. Gradenigo and S. N. Majumdar, J. Stat. Mech. 5, 053206 (2019).
- [27] P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. E 100, 012113 (2019).
- [28] Y. B. Dor, E. Woillez, Y. Kafri, M. Kardar, A. P. Solon, Phys. Rev. E 100, 052610 (2019).
- [29] P. Singh, A. Kundu, J. Stat. Mech. 083205 (2019).
- [30] T. Banerjee, S. N. Majumdar, A. Rosso, G. Schehr, preprint arXiv:2001.01923
- [31] W. Horsthemke, R. Lefever, Noise-Induced Transitions: Theory and applications in Physics, Chemistry and Biology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1984).
- [32] V I. Klyatskin, Radiophys. Quantum El. 20, 382 (1978).
- [33] V. I. Klyatskin, Radiofizika **20**, 562 (1977).
- [34] R. Lefever, W. Horsthemke, K. Kitahara, I. Inaba, Prog. Theor. Phys. 64, 1233 (1980).
- [35] P. Hänggi, P. Jung, Adv. Chem. Phys. bf 89 239, (1995).
- [36] J. Toner, Y. Tu, S. Ramaswamy, Ann. Phys. 318, 170 (2005).
- [37] H. G. Othmer, S. R. Dunbar, W. Alt, J. Math. Biol. 26, 263 (1988).
- [38] J. Masoliver, G. H. Weiss, Physica A, 183, 537 (1992).
- [39] H. J. Leydolt, Phys. Rev. E 47, 3988 (1993).
- [40] D. J. Bicout, Phys. Rev. E 56, 6656 (1997).
- [41] K. Martens, I. Angelani, R. Di Leonardo, L. Bocquet, Eur. Phys. J. E 35, 84 (2012).
- [42] A. Sim, J. Liepe, M. P. H. Stumpf, Phys. Rev. E 91, 042115 (2015).
- [43] B. Derrida, Y. Pomeau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 627 (1982).
- [44] B. Derrida, J. Stat. Phys. **31**, 433 (1983).
- [45] P. Le Doussal, V. M. Vinokur, Physica C 254, 63 (1995)
- [46] D. A. Gorokhov, G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. B 58, 213 (1998).
- [47] See supplemental material, which also cites [50].
- [48] See e.g. J.P. Bouchaud, A. Georges, Phys. Rep. 195 127 (1990); D. S. Fisher, P. Le Doussal, C. Monthus, Phys. Rev. E, 59 4795 (1999) and references therein.
- [49] M. Magdon-Ismail, A. F. Atiya, A. Pratap, Y. S. Abu-Mostafa, J. App. Prob. 41, 147 (2004).
- [50] S. F. Burlatsky, G. Oshanin, A. Mogutov, M. Moreau, Phys. Rev. A 45, R6955 (1992); G. Oshanin, A. Mogutov, M. Moreau, J. Stat. Phys. 73, 379 (1993); A. Comtet, C. Monthus, M. Yor, J. Appl. Prob. 35, 255 (1998); G. Oshanin, A. Rosso, G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110,

100602 (2013).

- [51] This property remains true for arbitrary $f \to f(x)$.
- [52] For uniform f(x) = f it gives V = f, as obvious from (1).
- [53] f'(x) is discontinuous but the integral (26) is well defined.
- [54] For $L/a \gg 1$ we can neglect the small jump in f(x) at $x = \pm L/2$.

Supplementary Material for Active particle in a one dimensional force field

We give the principal details of the calculations described in the main text of the Letter.

A. Calculation of the velocity

Stationary distribution. As in the text we consider a RTP moving on the infinite line according to Eq. (1), and submitted to a periodic force f(x) = f(x + L). One defines $P_{\pm}(x,t)$ as the probability densities of the RTP to be in position x at time t and in the state $\sigma(t) = \pm 1$, which obey the equations (53), (12). One defines the periodised distributions, $\tilde{P}_{\pm}(x,t) = \sum_{n} P_{\pm}(x + nL,t)$, and the total probability $\tilde{P}(x,t) = \tilde{P}_{+}(x,t) + \tilde{P}_{-}(x,t)$, as well as the difference $\tilde{Q}(x,t) = \tilde{P}_{+}(x,t) - \tilde{P}_{-}(x,t)$.

Let us first obtain the stationary distributions in the phase A, i.e. $|f(x)| < v_0$. Inserting the stationarity condition $\partial_t \tilde{P}(x) = \partial_t \tilde{Q}(x) = 0$ in (13) we recall that the first one is solved as $f(x)\tilde{P}(x) + v_0\tilde{Q}(x) = J$, where J is a constant, equal to the total current. Solving for $\tilde{Q}(x)$ and inserting in (14) one obtains that $\tilde{P}(x)$ must satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dx}[(v_0^2 - f^2(x))\tilde{P}(x) + Jf(x)] + 2\gamma J - 2\gamma f(x)\tilde{P}(x) = 0$$
(36)

We then obtain the stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x)$ as

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{J}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \left(K e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} - \int_0^x du (2\gamma + f'(u)) e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \right)$$
(37)

Imposing $\tilde{P}(0) = \tilde{P}(L)$ one obtains

$$K = \frac{\int_0^L du(2\gamma + f'(u))e^{-2\gamma \int_0^u dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}$$
(38)

One can recapitulate the formula in the form

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{J}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \int_0^L du(2\gamma + f'(u)) e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}} - \theta(x - u))\right)$$
(39)

There is a useful alternative formula for $\tilde{P}(x)$ which does not contain derivatives of the force. Integrating by part the above formula one obtains

$$\tilde{P}(x) = J p(x) \text{ with } p(x) = \frac{2\gamma}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} \left(\frac{\int_0^L du \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2} e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}} - \int_0^x du \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2} e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} - \frac{f(x)}{2\gamma} \right)$$

$$\tag{40}$$

which is the formula given in the text in (17), together with the definitions (18) for $\Phi_{-}(x, u)$, (19) for A_{L} and the definition (8) of W(x). Note that although we have used here the interval [0, L] as the elementary period, a similar formula exists for any other choice of the elementary interval (such as [-L/2, L/2] see below).

Diffusive limit for the stationary distribution. In the limit $v_0, \gamma \to +\infty$ with $v_0^2/(2\gamma) = D_0$ this formula becomes

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{J}{D_0} \left(\frac{\int_0^L du e^{-\frac{1}{D_0} \int_x^u dy f(y)}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{D_0} \int_0^L dy f(y)}} - \int_0^x du e^{\frac{1}{D_0} \int_u^x dy f(y)} \right)$$
(41)

which is exactly the equation (7) in [45].

Velocity. We now calculate the velocity $V_L = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \int dx x P(x,t)$. We assume that $P_{\pm}(x,t)$ vanishes fast at $x \to \pm \infty$, i.e. localised initial condition. Let us define $P(x,t) = P_{+}(x,t) + P_{-}(x,t)$, as well as the difference $Q(x,t) = P_{+}(x,t) - P_{-}(x,t)$. The mean instantaneous velocity of the particle (irrespective of its internal state) is calculated as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{x(t)} = \frac{d}{dt}\int dx x P(x,t) = -\int dx x \frac{d}{dx} [f(x)P(x,t) + v_0Q(x,t)]$$

$$= \int dx [f(x)P(x,t) + v_0Q(x,t)] = \int_0^L dx [f(x)\tilde{P}(x,t) + v_0\tilde{Q}(x,t)] \to_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^L dx [f(x)\tilde{P}(x) + v_0\tilde{Q}(x)] = JL ,$$
(42)

where in the last line we have considered the large time limit and used that $f(x)\tilde{P}(x) + v_0\tilde{Q}(x) = J$ in the stationary state, where the current J is a constant. This shows Eq. (5), i.e. that the velocity is $V_L = JL$. Since the current Jis obtained by imposing the normalisation condition $\int_0^L dx \tilde{P}(x) = 1$, we obtain V_L by integrating the formula (40) as $\frac{1}{V_L} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L dx p(x)$. This leads to (20) in the text.

We now show that, as announced in the text the sign of V_L is the same as the sign of W(0) - W(L). Assume first that $\int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)} > 0$. Since we consider phase A) one has $f(x) < v_0$ for all x. The following inequalities hold

$$f(0) < v_0 = v_0 \frac{2\gamma \int_0^L du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2} e^{-2\gamma \int_0^u dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}} < \frac{2\gamma \int_0^L du \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2} e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}$$
(43)

where the equality in the middle is obtained by integration. This implies that p(0) given by (40) is strictly positive. Since we know that as a probability density $\tilde{P}(x) \leq 0$, it implies J > 0, hence $V_L > 0$. Similarly if $\int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)} < 0$ one has the inequalities

$$f(0) > -v_0 = -v_0 \frac{2\gamma \int_0^L du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2} e^{-2\gamma \int_0^u dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}} > \frac{2\gamma \int_0^L du \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2} e^{2\gamma \int_u^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}$$
(44)

which implies p(0) < 0 and hence $V_L < 0$. Note that since the point x = 0 has nothing special one can similarly show that $\tilde{P}(x) > 0$ for all x in phase A.

Limit $\gamma \to 0$. In the limit $\gamma \to 0$ the particle changes state only rarely. If the particle is frozen in state $\sigma = \pm 1$, i.e. never changes state, it is easy to see that its velocity is

$$V_{\sigma} = \frac{L}{\int_0^L \frac{dx}{f(x) + v_0 \sigma}} \tag{45}$$

Since the particle spends on average the same time in each state $\sigma = \pm 1$, in the limit $\gamma \to 0$ its total velocity is

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 0} V_L = \frac{1}{2} (V_+ + V_-) = \frac{L}{2 \int_0^L \frac{dx}{v_0 + f(x)}} - \frac{L}{2 \int_0^L \frac{dx}{v_0 - f(x)}}$$
(46)

a formula which is valid in all phases, provided one interprets it by setting $V_{\sigma} = 0$ if there is at least one root to the equation $f(x) = -v_0 \sigma$ with $\sigma = \pm 1$.

Stationary current for a collection of independent RTP's. Let us study a collection of independent RTP's between two reservoirs in a segment [0, L] (with no assumption here of periodicity) and determine the stationary

$$P(0) = K \frac{J}{v_0^2 - f(0)^2}$$
(47)

$$P(L) = -\frac{J}{v_0^2 - f(L)^2} \left(\int_0^L du (2\gamma + f'(u)) e^{2\gamma \int_u^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} - K e^{2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \right)$$
(48)

$$= -\frac{J}{v_0^2 - f(L)^2} \left(\int_0^L du (2\gamma + f'(u)) e^{2\gamma \int_u^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \right) + \frac{v_0^2 - f(0)^2}{v_0^2 - f(L)^2} P(0) e^{2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}$$
(49)

The current is then

$$J = -\frac{(v_0^2 - f(L)^2)P(L)}{\int_0^L du(2\gamma + f'(u))e^{2\gamma\int_u^L dy\frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}} + \frac{(v_0^2 - f(0)^2)P(0)}{\int_0^L du(2\gamma + f'(u))e^{2\gamma\int_u^0 dy\frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}.$$
(50)

This result, which gives back the standard formula in the diffusive limit [50], allows to study the modification of Fick's law $J \sim 1/L$, induced by an arbitrary force landscape for a RTP.

B. Calculation of the diffusion constant

General formula

In addition to the functions defined in the text, to calculate the diffusion constant for an infinite periodic medium, we need the additional periodic functions defined as

$$\tilde{S}_{\pm}(x,t) = \sum_{n} (x+nL)P_{\pm}(x+nL,t)$$
(51)

where $P_{\pm}(x+nL,t)$ satisfy the evolution equations (12). One easily show that the functions S_{\pm} satisfy the following equations

$$\partial_t \tilde{S}_+ = -\partial_x [(f(x) + v_0)\tilde{S}_+] - \gamma \tilde{S}_+ + \gamma \tilde{S}_- + (f(x) + v_0)\tilde{P}_+$$
(52)

$$\partial_t \tilde{S}_{-} = -\partial_x [(f(x) - v_0)\tilde{S}_{-}] + \gamma \tilde{S}_{+} - \gamma \tilde{S}_{-} + (f(x) - v_0)\tilde{P}_{-}.$$
(53)

It is also convenient to define

$$\tilde{S}(x,t) = \tilde{S}_{+}(x,t) + \tilde{S}_{-}(x,t) \quad , \quad \tilde{R}(x,t) = \tilde{S}_{+}(x,t) - \tilde{S}_{-}(x,t)$$
(54)

which satisfy

$$\partial_t \tilde{S} = -\frac{d}{dx} [f(x)\tilde{S} + v_0\tilde{R}] + f(x)\tilde{P} + v_0\tilde{Q}$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{R} = -\frac{d}{dx} [f(x)\tilde{R} + v_0\tilde{S}] - 2\gamma\tilde{R} + f(x)\tilde{Q} + v_0\tilde{P}$$
(55)

where \tilde{P} and \tilde{Q} are defined in the text. One expects that in the large time limit, as is the case in the diffusive problem [44]

$$\tilde{P}(x,t) \to \tilde{P}(x) \quad , \quad \tilde{Q}(x,t) \to \tilde{Q}(x)$$

$$\tag{56}$$

$$\tilde{S}(x,t) \to a(x)t + s(x)$$
 , $\tilde{R}(x,t) \to b(x)t + r(x)$ (57)

Note that all these functions are periodic in x of period L.

Injecting this form into (55) and collecting the terms proportional to t, we see that a(x) and b(x) satisfy the same equation as the stationary solutions $\tilde{P}(x)$ and $\tilde{Q}(x)$ given in the text in (13). Hence we set

$$a(x) = A\tilde{P}(x) \quad , \quad b(x) = A\tilde{Q}(x) \tag{58}$$

where A is for now un unknown constant.

The equations which determine s(x) and r(x) are then

$$A\tilde{P} = -\frac{d}{dx}[f(x)s + v_0r] + f(x)\tilde{P} + v_0\tilde{Q}$$
(59)

$$A\tilde{Q} = -\frac{d}{dx}[f(x)r + v_0s] - 2\gamma r + f(x)\tilde{Q} + v_0\tilde{P}.$$
(60)

We will study these equations below. If one knows the solution, one can obtain the diffusion constant as follows. One can write the instantaneous velocity, and its large time limit as

$$\overline{x(t)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, x \, P(x,t) = \int_0^L dx \tilde{S}(x,t) \to t \int_0^L dx \, a(x) + \int_0^L dx \, s(x) \tag{61}$$

where we have used that $\tilde{S}(x,t) = \sum_{n} (x+nL)P(x+nL,t)$. The above equations allows to identify both A and JL with the velocity V,

$$JL = V = \int_{0}^{L} dx a(x) = A \int_{0}^{L} dx \,\tilde{P}(x) = A$$
(62)

Note that $\int_0^L dx s(x)$ is a shift at large time. Next, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{x(t)^2} = \int dx x^2 \partial_t P(x,t) = -\int dx x^2 \partial_x (f(x)P + v_0Q) \tag{63}$$

$$= 2 \int dx x [f(x)P + v_0 Q] = 2 \int_0^L dx [f(x)\tilde{S}(x,t) + v_0\tilde{R}(x,t)]$$
(64)

$$\rightarrow 2\int_{0}^{L} dx [f(x)a(x) + v_0b(x)]t + 2\int dx [f(x)s(x) + v_0r(x)]$$
(65)

$$=2A\int_{0}^{L}dx[f(x)\tilde{P}(x)+v_{0}\tilde{Q}(x)]t+2\int dx[f(x)s(x)+v_{0}r(x)]=2A^{2}t+2\int dx[f(x)s(x)+v_{0}r(x)]$$
(66)

The diffusion constant D_L is now given by

$$D_{L} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \overline{x(t)^{2}} - \frac{d}{dt} \overline{x(t)}^{2} \right) = \int dx [f(x)s(x) + v_{0}r(x)] - A \int_{0}^{L} dxs(x)$$
(67)

hence it requires the knowledge of s(x) and r(x).

Diffusion constant in phase A, and in the absence of a bias

Let us first study the phase A, i.e. $|f(x)| < v_0$, with zero bias, i.e. W(L) = W(0) i.e. $\int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)} = 0$, for which $V_L = JL = A = 0$. The stationary distribution is given by

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{A} \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \quad , \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{A}} = \int_0^L dx \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \tag{68}$$

where the equation for \tilde{A} is determined by the normalisation condition $\int_0^L dx \tilde{P}(x) = 1$. Using that $f(x)\tilde{P}(x) + v_0\tilde{Q}(x) = J = 0$, the equations (59), (60), simplify into

$$0 = -\frac{d}{dx}[f(x)s + v_0r] \tag{69}$$

$$0 = -\frac{d}{dx}[f(x)r + v_0s] - 2\gamma r + \frac{v_0^2 - f^2(x)}{v_0}\tilde{P}$$
(70)

The first equation gives

$$f(x)s(x) + v_0 r(x) = c (71)$$

where c is a constant. From c one obtains from (67) (with A = 0) the diffusion constant as D = cL. Solving for s(x) and inserting in (70) we obtain an equation for r(x)

$$0 = -\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{f^2(x) - v_0^2}{f(x)} r(x) \right] + c v_0 \frac{f'(x)}{f^2(x)} - 2\gamma r(x) + \tilde{A} v_0 e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}$$
(72)

Let us define the auxiliary function $\tilde{r}(x)$ as

$$r(x) = \tilde{r}(x) \frac{f(x)}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} .$$
(73)

Note that since r(x) and f(x) are periodic and W(L) = W(0), the function $\tilde{r}(x)$ is also periodic of period L. From (72) we see that it satisfies

$$\tilde{r}'(x) = cv_0 \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \right] e^{-2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} - \tilde{A} v_0 .$$
(74)

We find by integrating from 0 to L and using $\tilde{r}(0) = \tilde{r}(L)$

$$c = \frac{D_L}{L} = \frac{\tilde{A}L}{\int_0^L dx \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{1}{f(x)}\right] e^{-2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}}$$
(75)

which can be integrated by parts (with a vanishing boundary term since the bias is zero). Using the formula (68) for \tilde{A} we finally obtain

$$D_L = \frac{L^2}{2\gamma \left(\int_0^L dx \frac{1}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{-2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}\right) \left(\int_0^L dx \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}\right)}$$
(76)

This gives the formula (24) of the text, where we used $D_0 = \frac{v_0^2}{2\gamma}$. For f(x) = 0 one recovers $D_L = D_0$. In the diffusive limit, $v_0, \gamma \to \infty$ with D_0 fixed, this formula becomes

$$D_{L} = D_{0} \frac{L^{2}}{\left(\int_{0}^{L} dx e^{-\frac{1}{D_{0}} \int_{0}^{x} dy f(y)}\right) \left(\int_{0}^{L} dx e^{\frac{1}{D_{0}} \int_{0}^{x} dy f(y)}\right)}$$
(77)

which is the standard formula in the diffusive case.

Diffusion constant in phase A, and in the presence of a bias

Let us consider now the case where the bias is non zero, i.e. $V_L \neq 0$. Let us recall the expression (67) for the diffusion constant where we use that $A = V_L$, namely

$$D_L = \int_0^L dx [f(x)s(x) + v_0 r(x)] - V_L \int_0^L dx s(x)$$
(78)

Let us also recall that the equations which determine s(x) and r(x) are

$$V_L \tilde{P} = -\frac{d}{dx} [f(x)s + v_0 r] + f(x)\tilde{P} + v_0 \tilde{Q}$$

$$V_L \tilde{Q} = -\frac{d}{dx} [f(x)r + v_0 s] - 2\gamma r + f(x)\tilde{Q} + v_0 \tilde{P}$$
(79)

and that we can use that $f(x)\tilde{P} + v_0\tilde{Q} = J$. We should also remember that r(x) and s(x) are periodic of period L. The first equation gives

$$f(x)s(x) + v_0 r(x) = J \int_0^x dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)) + c$$
(80)

where c is an integration constant. We will see below that its value is immaterial for calculating D_L , but for now we keep it. We can thus express r(x) as a function of s(x) and insert its expression in the second equation in (79). Replacing $V_L = JL$, we obtain the following equation for s(x)

$$0 = -\frac{d}{dx}[(v_0^2 - f^2(x))s(x)] + 2\gamma f(x)s(x) - c(f'(x) + 2\gamma) + B(x)$$
(81)

$$B(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} [Jf(x) \int_0^x dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)))]$$
(82)

$$-2\gamma J \int_0^x dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)) + (v_0^2 - f^2(x) + JLf(x))\tilde{P}(x) + f(x)J - LJ^2$$
(83)

To solve this equation one defines the auxiliary function $\tilde{s}(x)$ via

$$s(x) = \tilde{s}(x) \frac{1}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}$$
(84)

One finds that it satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{s}'(x) = (B(x) - c(f'(x) + 2\gamma))e^{-2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}$$
(85)

which we integrate as follows

$$\tilde{s}(x) = \tilde{s}(0) + \int_0^x dy (B(y) - c(f'(y) + 2\gamma)) e^{-2\gamma \int_0^y du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2}}$$
(86)

Hence we now have a second unknown integration constant, $\tilde{s}(0)$. It can be fixed however from the periodicity of s(x). Writing s(0) = s(L) we obtain the condition

$$\tilde{s}(0)(1 - e^{2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}) = \int_0^L dy (B(y) - c(f'(y) + 2\gamma)) e^{-2\gamma \int_L^y dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f(y)^2}}.$$
(87)

Substituting we write the final result for s(x)

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{2\gamma \int_0^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{2\gamma \int_0^L dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}} \int_0^L dy (B(y) - c(f'(y) + 2\gamma)) e^{-2\gamma \int_L^y du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2}} + \int_0^x dy (B(y) - c(f'(y) + 2\gamma)) e^{-2\gamma \int_0^y du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2}} \right)$$
(88)

From (78) and (80) the diffusion constant is given by (upon insertion of the result for s(x) and various manipulations)

$$D_L = J \int_0^L dx \int_0^x dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)) + cL - JL \int_0^L dx s(x)$$
(89)

$$= J \int_{0}^{L} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)) + cL$$
(90)

$$-JL \int_{0}^{L} dx \int_{0}^{L} dy \frac{e^{2\gamma \int_{y}^{x} du \frac{f(u)}{v_{0}^{2} - f(u)^{2}}}}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(x)} (B(y) - c(f'(y) + 2\gamma)) [\theta(x - y) - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_{0}^{L} du \frac{f(u)}{v_{0}^{2} - f(u)^{2}}}]$$
(91)

Now we note, remarkably, that the terms proportional to c cancel because of the normalisation condition $\int_0^L dx \tilde{P}(x) = 1$ applied on Eq. (39). Hence we simply obtain

$$D_{L} = J \int_{0}^{L} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)) - JL \int_{0}^{L} dx \int_{0}^{L} dy \frac{e^{2\gamma \int_{y}^{x} du \frac{f(u)}{v_{0}^{2} - f(u)^{2}}}}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(x)} B(y) [\theta(x - y) - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_{0}^{L} du \frac{f(u)}{v_{0}^{2} - f(u)^{2}}}}] (92)$$

where B(y) is given in (82) which we rewrite as

$$B(x) = -J(2\gamma + f'(x)) \int_0^x dy (1 - L\tilde{P}(y)) + (v_0^2 - f^2(x) + 2JLf(x))\tilde{P}(x) - LJ^2$$
(93)

The final result for the diffusion constant in the presence of a drift is given by substituting the expression (40) (equivalently (39)) for $\tilde{P}(x)$ in Eqs. (92), (93). Although it is a complicated formula, it is valid for any force landscape such that $|f(x)| < v_0$ (phase A).

We can now check that in the limit of zero bias this formula crosses over smoothly to formula (76) (i.e. (24) in the text) for the zero bias diffusion constant. First it is easy to see from Eq. (40) that the stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x)$ converges to the one given by formula (68) in the zero bias limit where both J and $\int_0^L du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2}$ tend to zero. This can be seen since in that limit the r.h.s. in (76) is dominated by the first term. The normalisation condition $\int_0^L dx \tilde{P}(x) = 1$ in Eq. (76) further implies that, in the limit $J \to 0$ the following ratio goes to a constant

$$\frac{J}{1 - e^{-2\gamma \int_0^L du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2}}} \to \frac{D_L^{\text{zb}}}{L^2}$$
(94)

where D_L^{zb} is the zero bias diffusion constant given by Eq. (76). This is nothing but the Einstein relation [see Eq. (10) in the main text], i.e., $V_L \simeq D_L^{zb} \frac{G_L}{L} = D_L^{zb} \frac{1}{L} (W(0) - W(L))$ in the small bias limit, where we have used $G_L = W(0) - W(L)$. We note that when $J \to 0$ one has

$$B(y) \simeq (v_0^2 - f^2(y))\tilde{P}(y) \simeq \tilde{A} v_0^2 e^{2\gamma \int_0^y du \frac{f(u)}{v_0^2 - f(u)^2}} , \qquad (95)$$

where \hat{A} is given in (68). Substituting in (92) one sees that the integrand in the last term (which is the only remaining term in the limit $J \to 0$) does not depend on y. This produces a factor L^2 and one can check that the remaining integral over x cancels exactly the factor \tilde{A} , with the result $D_L \to D_L^{zb}$ when $J \to 0$.

C. Piecewise linear force model

We provide additional information on the study of the model $v_0 = 1$ and $f(x) = 4|x - \frac{1}{2}| - 1 + F$ in the interval $x \in [0, 1]$, i.e. we set L = 1 here. For $\gamma = 4$ and F = 1 this model was studied in the text. The stationary measure is given in (29). Here we study other values of γ and F.

The case $\gamma = 2$, F = 1. Consider first the case $\gamma = 2$ and F = 1 where more explicit formulae can be given. We find, by solving explicitly (36) in each subinterval where f(x) is linear

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{(1-4x)(3-4x)}} \quad , \quad 0 < x < 1/4$$
(96)

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{(4x-1)(3-4x)}} \quad , \quad 1/4 < x < 1/2 \tag{97}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{2J\left(\sqrt{(3-4x)(4x-1)}(1-2x) + \sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}-2x}\right)\right)}{((3-4x)(4x-1))^{3/2}} \quad , \quad 1/2 < x < 3/4$$
(98)

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{J\left(2(2x-1)\sqrt{16x^2 - 16x + 3} - \log\left(\sqrt{16x^2 - 16x + 3} + 4x - 2\right)\right)}{((4x-3)(4x-1))^{3/2}} , \quad 3/4 < x < 1$$
(99)

where c_1 and c_2 are integration constants. The singularity at the unstable fixed point (see text) x = 3/4 is only apparent on these formula. Note that the general solution on the interval $x \in [1/2, 1]$ involves an additional term multiplied by an integration constant. This term however has a non-integrable divergence at x = 3/4, hence the coefficient can be set to zero. There is no change at x = 3/4 since we have chosen the integration constant to be zero leading to the third line. In fact the last two lines in (114) are analytical continuations of each other. This is a general feature of the problem, valid for any γ . Imposing the continuity of $\tilde{P}(x)$ at x = 1/2, the periodicity $\tilde{P}(0) = \tilde{P}(1)$, and the normalisation $\int_0^1 dx \, \tilde{P}(x) = 1$ we can determine all the unknown constants c_1 , c_2 and J as

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{3}J\left(2\sqrt{3} - \log\left(2 + \sqrt{3}\right)\right) \tag{100}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{\pi J}{2} \tag{101}$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{48}J\left(3\pi^2 + 4\left(4\sqrt{3} + \log\left(2 - \sqrt{3}\right)\right)\cosh^{-1}(2)\right)$$
(102)



FIG. 5. Plot of the velocity V_L for the potential f(x) = 4|x - 1/2| vs γ , as given by the exact formula in Eq. (107). In particular, in the limit $\gamma \to 0$, one has $V_L = 1/\log 3 = 0.910239...$ [see Eq. (108)].

which, using $V_L = JL$ with L = 1, leads to the result for the velocity

$$V_L = \frac{48}{3\pi^2 + 4\left(4\sqrt{3} + \log\left(2 - \sqrt{3}\right)\right)\cosh^{-1}(2)} = 0.811251\dots$$
(103)

with $\cosh^{-1}(2) = \log(2 + \sqrt{3}).$

The case of arbitrary γ for F = 1. Performing the same steps as before we obtain the stationary measure as

$$\tilde{P}(x) = c_1 \left((1-4x)(3-4x) \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{4}-1} + \frac{(\gamma-2)J_2 F_1 \left(1, 2-\frac{\gamma}{2}; 2-\frac{\gamma}{4}; 2x-\frac{1}{2} \right)}{\gamma-4} \quad , \quad 0 < x < 1/4$$
(104)

$$\tilde{P}(x) = c_2 \left((4x-1)(3-4x) \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{4}-1} + \frac{(\gamma-2)J_2 F_1 \left(1, 2-\frac{\gamma}{2}; 2-\frac{\gamma}{4}; 2x-\frac{1}{2} \right)}{\gamma-4} \quad , \quad 1/4 < x < 1/2 \tag{105}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{(\gamma+2)J_2F_1\left(1,\frac{\gamma+4}{2};\frac{\gamma+8}{4};\frac{3}{2}-2x\right)}{\gamma+4} \quad , \quad 1/2 < x < 1 \; , \tag{106}$$

where ${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z)$ denotes the Gauss hypergeometric function. Proceeding as before we determine the integration constants c_{1}, c_{2}, J and finally obtain the velocity for any $\gamma > 0$ as

$$\frac{1}{V_L} = \frac{(\gamma - 2) \left({}_{3}F_2 \left(1, 1, 2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}; 2, 2 - \frac{\gamma}{4}; -\frac{1}{2} \right) + {}_{3}F_2 \left(1, 1, 2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}; 2, 2 - \frac{\gamma}{4}; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)}{4(\gamma - 4)} + \frac{(\gamma + 2) \left({}_{3}F_2 \left(1, 1, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 2; 2, \frac{\gamma}{4} + 2; -\frac{1}{2} \right) + {}_{3}F_2 \left(1, 1, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 2; 2, \frac{\gamma}{4} + 2; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)}{4(\gamma + 4)} + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\cos \left(\frac{\pi\gamma}{4} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{4} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{4} + 1 \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\gamma}{4} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\gamma + 2}{4} \right)} - \pi \cot \left(\frac{\pi\gamma}{4} \right) \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}} \left(\frac{(\gamma + 2) {}_{2}F_1 \left(1, \frac{\gamma + 4}{2}; \frac{\gamma + 8}{4}; -\frac{1}{2} \right)}{\gamma + 4} - \frac{(\gamma - 2) {}_{2}F_1 \left(1, 2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}; 2 - \frac{\gamma}{4}; -\frac{1}{2} \right)}{\gamma - 4} \right) {}_{2}F_1 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}, \frac{\gamma}{4}; \frac{\gamma + 4}{4}; -\frac{1}{2} \right) ,$$
(107)

where ${}_{3}F_{2}(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}; b_{1}, b_{2}; z)$ denotes a generalised hypergeometric function. This formula is plotted in Fig. 5. We see that V_{L} is a decreasing function of γ . In the limit $\gamma \to 0$ we can use the prediction given in (45), (46), i.e.

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 0} V_L = \frac{1}{2\int_0^L \frac{dx}{v_0 + f(x)}} = \frac{1}{2\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1 + 4|x - \frac{1}{2}|}} = \frac{1}{\log 3} = 0.910239\dots,$$
(108)

which is found to be in very good agreement with the numerical evaluation of V_L (see also Fig. 5) as well as with the Taylor expansion $V_L = 0.910239... - 0.0654649... \gamma + O(\gamma^2)$ performed with Mathematica.



FIG. 6. Plot of V_L for the potential f(x) = 4|x - 1/2| + F - 1 as a function of $F \in [0, 2]$ for two different values of γ : **a**) for $\gamma = 4$, as given in Eq. (112) and **b**) for $\gamma = 2$ as given in Eq. (117). While the two formulae in Eq. (112) and (117) are quite different, the two curves shown in panel a) and panel b) look very similar on that scale. However, they actually differ by their behaviour near F = 0, with $V_L \propto F^2$ for $\gamma = 4$ (left panel) while $V_L \propto F$ for $\gamma = 2$ (right panel).

The case $\gamma = 4$ and 0 < F < 2. Consider $\gamma = 4$ and vary the external force F while remaining in phase B (see the upper panel of Fig. 2), i.e. 0 < F < 2. The critical points are $x_s = \frac{F}{4}$ and $x_u = \frac{4-F}{4}$. We find that the stationary measure is given by

$$\tilde{P}(x) = c_1 + \frac{1}{2}J(\log(F - 4x + 2) - \log(F - 4x)) \quad , \quad 0 < x < \frac{F}{4} \; , \tag{109}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x) = c_2 + \frac{1}{2}J(\log(F - 4x + 2) - \log(4x - F)) \quad , \quad \frac{F}{4} < x < 1/2 \; , \tag{110}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{J(F+4x-1)}{(F+4x-2)^2} \quad , \quad 1/2 < x < 1 \; .$$
(111)

One finds the constants c_1, c_2, J from the continuity of $\tilde{P}(x)$ at x = 1/2, the periodicity $\tilde{P}(0) = \tilde{P}(1)$ and the normalisation, leading to the following formula for the velocity

$$V_L = \frac{2F^2(F+2)^2}{2((F-1)(F+5)+7) - F^2(F+2)^2\log F + F^2(F+2)^2\log(F+2)} .$$
(112)

This formula for V_L vs F for $\gamma = 4$ is plotted in the left panel of Fig. 6. From this formula (112), one obtains that the velocity vanishes quadratically as $F \to 0^+$ as

$$V_L = 2F^2 - 2F^3 + F^4 \left(2\log(F) + \frac{7}{2} - \log(4) \right) + O\left(F^5\right) , \qquad (113)$$

with some subdominant logarithmic singularities.

The case $\gamma = 2$ and 0 < F < 2. We now find the stationary measure

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{(F - 4x)(2 + F - 4x)}} \quad , \quad 0 < x < \frac{F}{4} \; , \tag{114}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{(4x - F)(2 + F - 4x)}} \quad , \quad \frac{F}{4} < x < 1/2 \; , \tag{115}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{(\gamma+2)J_2F_1\left(1,\frac{\gamma+4}{2};\frac{\gamma+8}{4};2(\frac{4-F}{4}-x)\right)}{\gamma+4}|_{\gamma=2} \quad , \quad 1/2 < x < 1 \; , \tag{116}$$



FIG. 7. Plot of the force $f(x) = -\frac{\alpha x}{x^2 + a^2}$ vs x with a = 1 and for two different values of the parameter r: r < 1 (orange solid line), corresponding to the phase A and r > 1 (black solid line) corresponding to the phase C. In the latter case, x_s and x_u denote respectively the stable and unstable fixed points in the state $\sigma = -1$, while y_s and y_u denote their counterpart in the state $\sigma = +1$ (see Fig. 2 in the main text). In phase C (black solid line), the stationary measure $\tilde{P}(x)$ is supported on the interval $[x_s, y_s]$.

which leads to the formula for the velocity

$$\frac{1}{V_L} = \frac{\sqrt{F(F+2)}}{4F^{3/2}(F+2)^{3/2}} \left(2\left(\sqrt{F}\sqrt{F+2}(F+1) + \log\left(F - \sqrt{F(F+2)} + 1\right)\right) \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$
(117)

$$-\sqrt{F}(F+1)\sqrt{F+2}\log\left(F-\sqrt{F(F+2)}+1\right)\right)$$
(118)
$$4\left((F-1)\sqrt{-(F-2)F}-\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{F}{2}}\right)\right)\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{F}{2}}\right)$$

This formula for V_L vs F for $\gamma = 2$ is plotted in the right panel of Fig. 6. Around F = 1 it reproduces the result given above, i.e. one finds $V_L \simeq 0.811251... + 0.854383...(F-1)$. Now we find that it vanishes linearly as $F \to 0^+$

(F-2)F

$$V_L = \frac{8F}{\pi^2} - \frac{4F^2}{\pi^2} + \frac{64\sqrt{2}F^{5/2}}{3\pi^3} - \frac{64F^3}{\pi^4} - \frac{208\sqrt{2}F^{7/2}}{15\pi^3} + \frac{1600F^4}{9\pi^4} + O\left(F^{9/2}\right) , \qquad (119)$$

with subdominant half integer powers.

D. RTP in a logarithmic potential

Stationary distribution. Consider, as in the text, the attractive logarithmic potential $U(x) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \log(x^2 + a^2)$, i.e. $f(x) = -U'(x) = -\frac{\alpha x}{x^2 + a^2}$, and define the dimensionless parameters $r = \frac{\alpha}{2v_0 a}$, and $g = 2\gamma \alpha/v_0^2$ (see Fig. 7). We choose the period [-L/2, L/2]. Note that there is then a jump of the force at $x = \pm L/2$ in order to ensure periodicity. Since we are interested in the large L limit, this jump is very small and does not change our results below in that limit. One can calculate, denoting $\tilde{x} = x/a$ and $\tilde{x}_0 = x_0/a$,

$$W(x) - W(x_0) = -2\gamma \int_{x_0}^x dy \frac{f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)} = \frac{g}{2} \int_{\tilde{x}_0^2}^{\tilde{x}^2} \frac{dz(1+z)}{1 + 2z(1-2r^2) + z^2} , \qquad (120)$$

which holds for (i) r < 1 and any x, x_0 (ii) r > 1 and $-y_s < x, x_0 < y_s$ with $y_{s,u} = a(r \mp \sqrt{r^2 - 1})$ (see Fig. 7). For r < 1 one sets $z = 2r^2 - 1 + u'2r\sqrt{1 - r^2}$ and $x = 2r^2 - 1 + u2r\sqrt{1 - r^2}$ and obtains

$$W(x) - W(x_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{g}{2} \int_{u_0}^{u} du' \frac{u' + \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}}}{1 + (u')^2} &, \quad \tilde{x}^2 = 2r^2 - 1 + u2r\sqrt{1 - r^2} &, \quad r < 1 \\ \frac{g}{2} \int_{\tilde{x}_0^2}^{\tilde{x}^2} \frac{dz(1 + z)}{(1 - z)^2} &, \quad r = 1 \\ \frac{g}{2} \int_{u_0}^{u} du' \frac{u' + \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}}{(u')^2 - 1} &, \quad \tilde{x}^2 = 2r^2 - 1 + u2r\sqrt{r^2 - 1} &, \quad r > 1 \end{cases}$$
(121)



FIG. 8. Plot of the stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x)$ corresponding to the force $f(x) = -\alpha x/(x^2 + a^2)$ for a = 1 (see Fig. 7) at the critical point r = 1, as given by the exact formula in Eq. (125). It exhibits a shape transition as g crosses the value $g_c = 8$. For $g = 4 < g_c$, $\tilde{P}(x)$ is bi-modal (panel **a**) with two maxima close to the boundaries at $x = \pm 1$ while for $g = 12 > g_c$, $\tilde{P}(x)$ is unimodal with a maximum at x = 0 (panel **c**). Exactly at the critical value $g = g_c = 8$ (panel **b**), the stationary distribution has a very flat maximum at x = 0.

where in the last formula $u, u_0 < -1$ (u = -1 corresponds to $x = \pm y_s$). Performing the integrals this leads to

$$\Phi_{\pm}(0,x) = \frac{v_0^2}{v_0^2 - f^2(x)} e^{\mp (W(x) - W(0))} = \begin{cases} \frac{(u + \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}})^2}{1 + u^2} e^{\mp \frac{a}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\log(1 + u^2) + \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}}\arctan u) \pm K} &, r < 1\\ \frac{(u + \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}})^2}{u^2 - 1} e^{\mp \frac{a}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\log(u^2 - 1) - \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}\operatorname{arccoth}u) \pm K} &, r > 1 \end{cases}$$
(122)

where K is a r dependent integration constant which cancels out in the observables of interest. Note that the ratio between the two species is

$$\frac{\ddot{P}_{-}(x)}{\tilde{P}_{+}(x)} = \frac{v_0 + f(x)}{v_0 - f(x)} = \frac{x^2 + a^2 - 2rxa}{x^2 + a^2 + 2rxa} \,. \tag{123}$$

For $r \ge 1$ it vanishes near the stable point y_s for the + species (where they outnumber the - species).

Phase A. For r < 1 (phase A) we thus obtain the stationary distribution as

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{A}\Phi_{+}(0,x) \quad , \quad \Phi_{\pm}(0,x) = \frac{\left(u + \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right)^{2}}{(1+u^{2})^{1\pm\frac{g}{4}}} e^{\mp\frac{g}{2}\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\arctan u \pm K} \quad , \quad u = \frac{(x/a)^{2} + 1 - 2r^{2}}{2r\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} \tag{124}$$

where K is an immaterial constant and $\tilde{A}e^{K}$ is determined by the normalisation condition $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \tilde{P}(x) = 1$ [54]. Since $\Phi_{+}(0,x) \propto |x|^{-g}$ for $|x| \to +\infty$, this stationary measure is normalisable only for g > 1. Hence for g > 1 the particle is bound by the logarithmic potential, which corresponds to the phase bound A in Fig. 4 of the text. In that phase $D_L \sim d_r L^{1-g}$ at large L from (24) and (124). For g < 1, the above stationary distribution is not normalisable in the infinite space. This corresponds to the phase unbound A in Fig. 4 of the text. Using L as an upper cutoff one finds from (24), $D = D_0(1-g^2)$ in that phase.

Critical point. At r = 1, i.e. the critical point between the A and C phases, the stationary distribution reads for any g > 1

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{A}\Phi_{+}(0, x) \quad , \quad \Phi_{\pm}(0, s) = \frac{(a^{2} + x^{2})^{2}}{(a^{2} - x^{2})^{2 \pm \frac{g}{2}}} e^{\mp \frac{-ga^{2}}{a^{2} - x^{2}} \pm K} \quad , \quad -a < x < a$$
(125)

and vanishes outside the interval [-a, a]. It thus vanishes with an essential singularity at $x = \pm a$. This distribution is bimodal for g < 8 and, in that case, with two symmetric maxima close to the boundaries at $x = \pm a$, see Fig. 8. **Phase** C. For r > 1 the formula (122) gives, up to a normalisation prefactor, the stationary distribution $\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{A}\Phi_+(0, x)$ in the bound phase C (see Fig. 4 in the text). The stationary distribution has a finite support, which is the interval $[x_s, y_s]$ with $y_s = a(r - \sqrt{r^2 - 1}) > 0$ and $x_s = -y_s$. It is an even function of x and vanishes at the edges of the support (stable fixed points) as a power law, $\tilde{P}(x) \sim (y_s - x)^{\phi}$. Note that for r > 1, $f'(y_s) = -\frac{2rv_0}{a} \frac{r(\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + r) - 1}{2r^2}$, hence the singularity exponent ϕ , given in (27) in the main text, reads

$$\phi = -1 + \frac{g}{4} \frac{1}{r\left(\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + r\right) - 1} = -1 + \frac{g}{4} \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}} - 1\right)$$
(126)

Within the phase C there is thus a transition line $g = g_c(r) = 4(r(\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + r) - 1)$ where the exponent ϕ changes sign (for $g < g_c(r) \phi < 0$).

Vanishing of diffusion constant at the transition from phase A to phase C. Note that in the bound phase C the diffusion constant vanishes $D_L = 0$ for any $L > 2y_s$ since the particle is blocked by the first absorbing region that it encounters (remembering that the force f(x) is chosen periodic, hence the RTP will move at most by one period). Let us see how it vanishes as $r \to 1^-$, coming from the bound A phase. From (24) we see that we can take the constants K = 0 since they cancel in the product. Let us consider g > 1, i.e. the bound A phase. From (122) for r < 1 we see that $u \simeq \frac{\tilde{x}^2 - 1}{2\sqrt{2(1-r)}}$ as $r \to 1^-$, hence for any fixed $\tilde{x} \neq 1$ we can use the large $u \to \pm \infty$ asymptotics. Hence we have, as $r \to 1^-$

$$\Phi_{\pm}(0,x) \simeq (2\sqrt{2(1-r)})^{\pm g/2} \frac{(\tilde{x}^2+1)^2}{(\tilde{x}^2-1)^{2\pm\frac{g}{2}}} e^{\mp \operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{x}^2-1)\frac{\pi g}{4}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}}} e^{\pm\frac{g}{\tilde{x}^2-1}} .$$
(127)

From (24), in order to calculate D_L we need to compute the integrals $2 \int_0^{L/2} dx \, \Phi_{\pm}(0, x)$ (recalling that the functions $\Phi_{\pm}(0, x)$ are even). Both integrals are found to be exponentially diverging as $\sim e^{\frac{\pi g}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}}}$, for $\Phi_{+}(0, x)$ from the region $\tilde{x} < 1$ and for $\Phi_{-}(0, x)$ from the region $\tilde{x} > 1$. Indeed one has

$$2\int_{0}^{L/2} dx \Phi_{\pm}(0,x) \simeq 2e^{\frac{\pi g}{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}} a \left(2\sqrt{2(1-r)}\right)^{\pm g/2} \int_{\tilde{x}\in I_{\pm}} d\tilde{x} \frac{(\tilde{x}^{2}+1)^{2}}{(\tilde{x}^{2}-1)^{2\pm\frac{g}{2}}} e^{\pm\frac{g}{\tilde{x}^{2}-1}}$$
(128)

where $I_{+} = [0, 1]$ and $I_{-} = [1, L/a]$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{D_0}{D_L} \simeq 4a^2 L^{-2} e^{2\frac{\pi g}{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}} \int_0^1 d\tilde{x} \frac{(\tilde{x}^2+1)^2}{(\tilde{x}^2-1)^{2+\frac{g}{2}}} e^{-\frac{g}{1-\tilde{x}^2}} \times \int_1^{L/a} d\tilde{x} \frac{(\tilde{x}^2+1)^2}{(\tilde{x}^2-1)^{2-\frac{g}{2}}} e^{-\frac{g}{\tilde{x}^2-1}} \sim \left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{g-1} e^{-\frac{\pi g}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}} .$$
(129)

We see that the first integral is convergent, while the second behaves at large L/a as $(L/a)^{1+g}$. Putting all factors together we obtain that $\frac{D_L}{D_0} \sim (L/a)^{1-g} e^{-\frac{\pi g}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}}}$ with an amplitude that can be obtained from the above integrals. This leads, for g > 1, to the essential singularity in the limit $r \to 1^-$ for the diffusion constant, as given in the text. Note that it is multiplied by the estimate for the diffusion constant in the unbound A phase, $(L/a)^{1-g}$.

Let us indicate a qualitative, but more general argument for the vanishing of the diffusion constant upon entering phase C, valid for any smooth enough f(x) (twice differentiable). For simplicity and by choice of coordinate (thus without loss of generality), we fix the position of the minimum of f(x) to be at x = 0, and define $f(0) = -v_0(1 - \rho)$. Upon approaching phase C, coming from phase A, we have $\rho \to 0^+$. In that limit, we can approximate $f(y) = -v_0(1-\rho) + \frac{1}{2}f''(0)y^2$, with f''(0) > 0. One has, denoting $y = \rho z \sqrt{v_0/f''(0)}$, the following divergence of the active potential

$$W(x) - W(x_0) \simeq 2\gamma \int dy \frac{1}{2\rho v_0 + f''(0)y^2} \simeq \frac{2\gamma}{\sqrt{2\rho v_0 f''(0)}} \int dz \frac{1}{1+z^2}$$
(130)

where for any fixed x, x_0 , the boundaries of the integrals are pushed to infinity. If one integrates the last integral in (130) from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ (which corresponds to x, x_0 each on one side of x = 0) and substitute in the formula for the diffusion constant, one finds again the estimate $D_L \sim e^{-\frac{\pi g}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-r)}}}$, in agreement with the previous computation (129).

E. Mean first-passage time

We consider the particle in $x \in [-L_1, +\infty)$ and denote $T_{\pm}(x)$ the mean first-passage times to the level X, starting initially at x in the velocity state $\sigma = \pm$. We focus on phase A here, i.e. $|f(x)| < v_0$. Note that here we do not assume

any periodicity of f(x). For convenience, we consider reflecting boundary conditions at $x = -L_1$. Then $T_{\pm}(x)$ satisfy the following pair of backward Fokker-Planck equations in $x \in [-L_1, X]$

$$[v_0 + f(x)] \frac{dT_+}{dx} - \gamma T_+(x) + \gamma T_-(x) = -1 , \qquad (131)$$

$$\left[-v_0 + f(x)\right] \frac{dT_-}{dx} - \gamma T_-(x) + \gamma T_+(x) = -1.$$
(132)

The boundary conditions for $T_{\pm}(x)$ are

$$T_{+}(X) = 0$$
 , $\left. \frac{dT_{-}(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=-L_{1}} = 0$. (133)

The first condition comes from the fact that if the particle starts at X with a positive state $\sigma = +1$, and given that $|f(L)| < v_0$, it implies that the particle crosses X immediately. The second condition is more tricky to derive. By writing down the backward Fokker-Planck equation exactly at $x = -L_1$ and imposing that if the particle tries to go to the left of $-L_1$ it remains stuck at $x = -L_1$, we can show that this second condition emerges. Since eventually we will be interested in the limit $L_1 \to -\infty$, with a non negative drift, this second boundary condition is expected to be unimportant.

One can eliminate $T_+(x)$ and write a single differential equation for $T_-(x)$ as follows. We re-write the two equations in the operator form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{+}T_{+}(x) \equiv \left[(v_{0} + f(x))\partial_{x} - \gamma \right] T_{+}(x) = -1 - \gamma T_{-}(x) , \qquad (134)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{-}T_{-}(x) \equiv \left[(-v_0 + f(x))\partial_x - \gamma \right] T_{-}(x) = -1 - \gamma T_{+}(x) \,. \tag{135}$$

Operating with \mathcal{L}_+ on the left and right hand side of Eq. (135) and using (134) we get, denoting $Z_-(x) = dT_-/dx$, the first order differential equation

$$\left[v_0^2 - f^2(x)\right] \frac{dZ_-}{dx} + \left[2\gamma f(x) - v_0 f'(x) - f(x) f'(x)\right] Z_-(x) = -2\gamma.$$
(136)

Integrating, and taking into account the boundary condition at $x = -L_1$ (133), we obtain

$$Z_{-}(x) = \frac{dT_{-}}{dx} = -\frac{2\gamma}{v_0 - f(x)} \int_{-L_1}^{x} \frac{dy}{v_0 + f(y)} e^{-2\gamma \int_{y}^{x} \frac{f(u) \, du}{v_0^2 - f^2(u)}} \,. \tag{137}$$

Integrating we get

$$T_{-}(x) = \int_{-L_{1}}^{x} dy \, Z_{-}(y) + c \tag{138}$$

where c is an arbitrary constant yet to be fixed. Substituting this result into Eq. (132) we obtain $T_+(x)$. Using the boundary condition $T_+(X) = 0$ gives us the constant c, and finally we obtain

$$T_{-}(x) = T_{-}(X) + \int_{x}^{X} dy \frac{2\gamma}{v_{0} - f(y)} \int_{-L_{1}}^{y} \frac{dz}{v_{0} + f(z)} e^{-2\gamma \int_{z}^{y} \frac{f(u) \, du}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(u)}}, \qquad (139)$$

where

$$T_{-}(X) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(1 + 2\gamma \int_{-L_{1}}^{L} \frac{dy}{v_{0} + f(y)} e^{-2\gamma \int_{y}^{L} \frac{f(u) \, du}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(u)}} \right) \,. \tag{140}$$

Interestingly, note that $T_{-}(X)$, which is the mean first return time to x = X of a RTP starting in the state $\sigma = -1$ (which implies that it travels first to the left), is non zero, contrarily to the diffusive limit where both $T_{\pm}(X)$ vanish. From this result we also obtain

$$T_{-}(x) - T_{+}(x) = 2 \int_{-L_{1}}^{x} \frac{dy e^{W(x) - W(y)}}{v_{0} + f(y)} + \frac{1}{\gamma} .$$
(141)

In the case where the bias is to the right (i.e. meaning here $W(-\infty) \to +\infty$ where W(x) is defined in Eq. (8) of the main text), we can safely take $-L_1 \to -\infty$ and obtain our final result for the first mean passage time from x to X on the infinite line in phase A, as given in the text [see Eqs. (31) as well as (32)].

We now show that if there is a finite velocity V in the large X limit, which can be extracted from the mean first passage time as

$$\lim_{X \to +\infty} \frac{T_{-}(x)}{X} = \frac{1}{V}$$
(142)

for arbitrary fixed x then it coincides with the one obtained in formula (23) of the text.

To show this we choose x = 0, and we can also choose $L_1 = 0$, as it does not affect the (positive) velocity in the large X limit. We obtain

$$\frac{1}{X}T_{-}(0) \simeq \frac{1}{X}\int_{0}^{X} dy \frac{2\gamma}{v_{0} - f(y)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{dz}{v_{0} + f(z)} e^{-2\gamma \int_{z}^{y} \frac{f(u) \, du}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(u)}}$$
(143)

since $T_{-}(X)$ remains bounded as $X \to +\infty$. Multiplying the numerator and the denominator by $(v_0 + f(y))(v_0 - f(z))$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{X}T_{-}(0) \simeq \frac{1}{X}\int_{0}^{X} dy \frac{2\gamma}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(y)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{dz}{v_{0}^{2} - f(z)^{2}} (v_{0}^{2} + f(y)v_{0} - f(z)v_{0} - f(y)f(z))e^{-2\gamma \int_{z}^{y} \frac{f(u) \, du}{v_{0}^{2} - f^{2}(u)}}, \qquad (144)$$

which we can then expand into the sum of four terms. One can check that the first term is exactly the first term in (23). We can further show using integration by parts that the sum of the second and the third term gives the second term in (23). Finally the fourth term vanishes in the large X limit, using again integration by parts. This shows that the exchange of limits (large spatial period and large time) used to obtain (23) is legitimate.

F. Fully inhomogeneous model

All our formula extend easily to the case where the RTP velocity v_0 and transition rate γ depend also on space $v_0 \rightarrow v_0(x)$ and $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma(x)$, with the same periodicity of period L. The model is now defined by the pair of Fokker-Planck equations which generalise the Eqs. (12)

$$\partial_t P_+ = -\partial_x [(f(x) + v_0(x))P_+] - \gamma(x)P_+ + \gamma(x)P_-$$
(145)

$$\partial_t P_- = -\partial_x [(f(x) - v_0(x))P_-] + \gamma(x)P_+ - \gamma(x)P_-.$$
(146)

We can now follow all the steps presented in the paper and check that they generalise straightforwardly to this fully inhomogeneous case. Let us illustrate it in the case of phase A, $|f(x)| < v_0(x)$ (the phases A, B, C, D generalise also straightforwardly and we assume that $v_0(x) > 0$, $\gamma(x) > 0$ for all x). The equations (13) still hold with the substitutions $v_0 \to v_0(x)$ and $\gamma \to \gamma(x)$. From them one obtains that the equations for the stationary distributions now read

$$f(x)\tilde{P}(x) + v_0(x)\tilde{Q}(x) = J \tag{147}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[\frac{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)}{v_0(x)}\tilde{P}(x) + J\frac{f(x)}{v_0(x)}\right] + 2\frac{\gamma(x)}{v_0(x)}J - 2\frac{\gamma(x)f(x)}{v_0(x)}\tilde{P}(x) = 0$$
(148)

where the second equation generalises Eq. (36). Solving it one finds that for $J \neq 0$, the formula (17) of the text for the stationary distribution becomes

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \frac{J}{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)} \left(\int_0^L \frac{du \, 2\, \gamma(u) \Phi_-(x, u)}{A_L} - \int_0^x du \, 2\, \gamma(u) \Phi_-(x, u) - f(x) \right)$$
(149)

with now $\Phi_{\pm}(x,u) = \frac{v_0(x)v_0(u)}{v_0(u)^2 - f(u)^2} e^{\pm (W(x) - W(u))}$ and A_L given by (19), where from now on the definition of the "active potential" (8) becomes

$$W(x) = -2 \int_0^x dy \frac{\gamma(y)f(y)}{v_0^2(y) - f^2(y)} \,. \tag{150}$$

The formula for the velocity V_L remains the same as (20) where one substitutes in the last term $\frac{W(L)}{2\gamma L} \rightarrow \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L dx \frac{f(x)}{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)}$ and the function $\Psi(x, u)$ is now $\Psi(x, u) = \frac{2\gamma(u)v_0(x)v_0(u)e^{-(W(x)-W(u))}}{(v_0^2(x) - f^2(x))(v_0^2(u) - f^2(u))}$.

Consider now the diffusion constant in the absence of a bias, i.e. W(0) = W(L). The equations (52), (53), (55), (59) again still hold with the substitution $v_0 \to v_0(x)$ and $\gamma \to \gamma(x)$. From (147), the equation for the stationary distribution in the case J = 0 is slightly modified as compared to (68) and becomes

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{A} \frac{v_0(x)}{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)} e^{2\int_0^x dy \frac{\gamma(y)f(y)}{v_0^2(y) - f^2(y)}} , \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{A}} = \int_0^L dx \frac{v_0(x)}{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)} e^{2\int_0^x dy \frac{\gamma(y)f(y)}{v_0^2(y) - f^2(y)}}$$
(151)

The equations (69), (70) and (71) still hold setting $v_0 \to v_0(x)$ and $\gamma \to \gamma(x)$. The equation (72) becomes

$$0 = -\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{f^2(x) - v_0^2(x)}{f(x)} r(x) + c \frac{v_0(x)}{f(x)} \right] - 2\gamma(x)r(x) + \tilde{A} e^{2\int_0^x dy \frac{\gamma(y)f(y)}{v_0^2(y) - f^2(y)}} .$$
(152)

Defining again (73), integrating and using the periodicity to determine the integration constant c, we obtain after an integration by part, the following expression for the diffusion constant D_L in the fully inhomogeneous case at zero bias

$$D_L = \frac{L^2}{\left(\int_0^L dx \frac{2\gamma(x)v_0(x)}{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)} e^{-2\int_0^x dy \frac{\gamma(y)f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}\right) \left(\int_0^L dx \frac{v_0(x)}{v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)} e^{2\int_0^x dy \frac{\gamma(y)f(y)}{v_0^2 - f^2(y)}}\right)}$$
(153)

Note the non-trivial limit for the diffusion constant in the absence of an external force f(x) = 0 but in the presence of inhomogeneities in the velocity and rate

$$D_L = \frac{L^2}{\left(\int_0^L dx \frac{2\gamma(x)}{v_0(x)}\right) \left(\int_0^L dx \frac{1}{v_0(x)}\right)} , \qquad (154)$$

as given in Eq. (33) in the text.

For the mean first passage time, Eqs. (131), (132), (134), (135), still hold with the substitutions $v_0 \rightarrow v_0(x)$ and $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma(x)$. We need now to operate with \mathcal{L}_+ on the left and right hand side of Eq. (135) divided by $\gamma(x)$. This leads to the following equation for $Z_-(x) = dT_-/dx$, which generalises (136)

$$\left[v_0^2(x) - f^2(x)\right] \frac{dZ_-}{dx} + \left[2\gamma(x)f(x) - \gamma(x)(v_0(x) + f(x))\frac{d}{dx}\left[\frac{-v_0(x) + f(x)}{\gamma(x)}\right]\right]Z_-(x)$$
(155)

$$= -2\gamma(x) + \gamma(x)(v_0(x) + f(x))\frac{d}{dx}\left[\frac{1}{\gamma(x)}\right]$$
(156)

This leads to

$$Z_{-}(x) = \frac{dT_{-}}{dx} = -\frac{2\gamma(x)}{v_{0}(x) - f(x)} \int_{-L_{1}}^{x} dy \left(\frac{1}{v_{0}(y) + f(y)} - \partial_{y}\frac{1}{2\gamma(y)}\right) e^{-2\int_{y}^{x} \frac{\gamma(u)f(u)du}{v_{0}^{2}(u) - f^{2}(u)}}$$
(157)

Hence we obtain

$$T_{-}(x) = T_{-}(L) + \int_{x}^{L} dy \frac{2\gamma(y)}{v_{0}(y) - f(y)} \int_{-L_{1}}^{y} dz \left(\frac{1}{v_{0}(z) + f(z)} - \partial_{z} \frac{1}{2\gamma(z)}\right) e^{-2\int_{z}^{y} \frac{\gamma(u)f(u) \, du}{v_{0}^{2}(u) - f^{2}(u)}} .$$
(158)

Substituting this form (158) in Eq. (135) we obtain $T_+(x)$ and by imposing the boundary condition $T_+(L) = 0$, we finally obtain

$$T_{-}(L) = \frac{1}{\gamma(L)} + 2\int_{-L_{1}}^{L} dy \left(\frac{1}{v_{0}(y) + f(y)} - \partial_{y}\frac{1}{2\gamma(y)}\right) e^{-2\int_{y}^{L} \frac{\gamma(u)f(u)du}{v_{0}^{2}(u) - f^{2}(u)}}.$$
(159)

In presence of a bias to the right one can take the limit $L_1 \to -\infty$, which generalises the formula in the text.