# The Fermat classes and the proof of Beal conjecture 

## Mohamed Sghiar

## To cite this version:

Mohamed Sghiar. The Fermat classes and the proof of Beal conjecture. IOSR Journal of Mathematics(IOSR-JM), In press. hal-02878292

HAL Id: hal-02878292
https://hal.science/hal-02878292
Submitted on 23 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The Fermat classes and the proof of Beal conjecture Par :<br>Mohamed Sghiar<br>msghiar21@gmail.com<br>Présenté à :<br>UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE DIJON<br>Faculté des sciences Mirande<br>Département de mathématiques et informatiques<br>9 Av Alain Savary<br>21078 DIJON CEDEX


#### Abstract

If after 374 years the famous theorem of Fermat-Wiles was demonstrated in 150 pages by A. Wiles [4], The purpose of this article is to give a proofs both for the Fermat last theorem and the Beal conjecture by using the Fermat class concept.

Résumé : Si après 374 ans le célèbre théorème de Fermat-Wiles a été démontré en 150 pages par A. Wiles [4], le but de cet article est de donner des démonstrations à la fois du dernier théorème de Fermat et de la conjecture de beal en utilisant la notion des classes de Fermat.
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## 1 Introduction, notations and definitions

Set out by Pierre de Fermat [3], it was not until more than three centuries ago that Fermat's great theorem was published, validated and established by the British mathematician Andrew Wiles [4] in 1995.

In mathematics, and more precisely in number theory, the last theorem of Fermat [3], or Fermat's great theorem, or since his Fermat-Wiles theorem demonstration [4], is as follows: There are no non-zero integers $a$, $b$, and $c$ such that: $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$, as soon as n is an integer strictly greater than $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$. The Beal conjecture [2] is the following conjecture in number theory: If $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are positive integers with $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}>2$, then $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c have a common prime factor. Equivalently, There are no solutions to the above equation in positive integers $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ with $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c being pairwise coprime and all of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ being greater than 2 .

The purpose of this article is to give a proofs both for the Fermat last theorem and the Beal conjecture by using the Fermat class concept.
Let be two equations $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in E^{3}$ and $(a, b, c) \in F^{3}$, and $X^{A}+Y^{B}-Z^{C}=0$ with $(X, Y, Z) \in E^{\prime 3}$ and $(A, B, C) \in F^{\prime 3}$, in the following $F=F^{\prime}=\mathbb{N}$ and E and $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ are subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.
The two equations $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in E^{3}$ and $(a, b, c) \in F^{3}$; and $X^{A}+Y^{B}-Z^{C}=0$ with $(X, Y, Z) \in E^{\prime 3}$ and $(A, B, C) \in F^{\prime 3}$, are said to be equivalent if the resolution of one is reduced to the resolution of the other. In the following, an equation $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in E^{3}$ and $(a, b, c) \in F^{3}$ is considered at close equivalence, we say $x^{a}+y^{b}-z^{c}=0$ is

## a Fermat class.

Example: The equation $x^{15}+y^{15}-z^{15}=0$ with $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Q}^{3}$ is equivalent to the equation $X^{3}+Y^{3}-Z^{3}=0$ with $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{Q}_{5}^{3}$ and where $\mathbb{Q}_{5}=$ $\left\{q^{5}, q \in \mathbb{Q}\right\}$

## 2 The proof of Fermat's last theorem

Theorem 1. There are no non-zero $a, b$, and $c$ three elements of $E$ with $E \subset \mathbb{Q}$ such that: $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$, with $n$ an integer strictly greater than 2

Lemma 1. If $n \in \mathbb{N}, a, b$ and $c$ are a non-zero three elements of $\mathbb{R}$ with $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ then:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0
$$

Proof.

$$
a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n} \Longleftrightarrow \int_{0}^{a} n x^{n-1} d x+\int_{0}^{b} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x
$$

But as :

$$
\int_{0}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{a} n x^{n-1} d x+\int_{a}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x
$$

So :

$$
\int_{0}^{b} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{a}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x
$$

And as by changing variables we have :

$$
\int_{a}^{c} n x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{b} n\left(\frac{c-a}{b} y+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d y
$$

Then :

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x^{n-1} d x=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\frac{c-a}{b} y+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d y
$$

It results:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+a\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0
$$

Corollary 1. If $N, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, a, b$ and $c$ are a non-zero three elements of $\mathbb{R}$ and $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ then :

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{b}{N}} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0
$$

Proof. It results from lemma 1 by replacing a, b and c respectively by $\frac{a}{N}, \frac{b}{N}$ and $\frac{c}{N}$

Lemma 2. If $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ is a Fermat class, where $n \in \mathbb{N}, a, b$ and $c$ are a non-zero three elements of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $n>2$ and $0<a \leq b \leq c$. Then we can choose a not zero integer $N, a, b, c$ and $n$ in the class, such that :

$$
f(x)=x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} \leq 0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b}{N}\right]
$$

Proof.

$$
\frac{d f}{d x}=(n-1) x^{n-2}-(n-1)\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{2}
$$

The function f decreases to the right of 0 in $[0, \epsilon[$.
But $f(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow x=\frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}$
So $f(x) \leq 0 \forall x$ such that $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}$
And $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x$ such that $x \geq \frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}$
Otherwise if $\mu \in] 0,1]$ we have $\frac{b(1-\mu)}{N} \nsupseteq \frac{\frac{a}{N}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}} \Longleftrightarrow 1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}\right) \nsupseteq$ $\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}+\frac{a}{b}\left(\frac{c-a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$
By replacing a, b and c respectively with $a^{\prime}=a^{\frac{1}{k}}, b^{\prime}=b^{\frac{1}{k}}$, and $c^{\frac{1}{k}}$, we get another Fermat class : $a^{\prime k n}+b^{\prime k n}=c^{\prime k n}$
we will show for this class and for k large enough that $1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}\right) \leq$ $\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}+\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}:$
First we have : $1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}\right) \leq 1-\mu\left(1-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right) \supsetneqq 1$
And as $\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{k n-1}}+\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}} \geq\left(\frac{c^{\frac{1}{k}}-a^{\frac{1}{k}}}{b_{\frac{1}{k}}^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}} \geq\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}$
By using the logarithm, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n-1}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k n}}=$ 1 because :
$\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}=e^{\frac{1}{k} \ln \left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)}$, by posing : $1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}=e^{-\mathcal{N}}$, we will have : $\frac{1}{k}=\frac{\ln \left(1-e^{-\mathcal{N}}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}=\lim _{\mathcal{N} \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-\mathcal{N} \frac{\ln \left(1-e^{-\mathcal{N}}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}}=1$ which shows the result.

So, for k large enough, we deduce that there exists a class $a^{\prime k n}+b^{\prime k n}=c^{\prime k n}$ such that:

$$
f(x)=x^{k n-1}-\left(\frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} x+\frac{a^{\prime}}{N}\right)^{k n-1} \frac{c^{\prime}-a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} \not \supsetneqq 0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]
$$

## independently of $\mathbf{N}$.

Let's fix an N and put $S=\sup \left\{f(x), x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]\right\}$
By replacing $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime}$ respectively with $a^{\prime}(1-\mu)=a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime}(1-\mu)=b^{\prime \prime}$, and $c^{\prime}(1-\mu)=c^{\prime \prime}$, we get another Fermat class : $a^{\prime \prime k n}+b^{\prime \prime k n}=c^{\prime \prime k n}$

And we will have for M large enough :

$$
f(x)=x^{k n-1}-\left(\frac{c^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime \prime}} x+\frac{a^{\prime \prime}}{M}\right)^{k n-1} \frac{c^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime \prime}}{b^{\prime \prime}} \supsetneqq 0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime \prime}}{M}\right]
$$

Because $f(x) \leq S+\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\frac{P(x, \mu)}{M}, x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]\right\}$ where P is a polynomial, and as for M large enough $\left|\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\frac{P(x, \mu)}{M}, x \in\left[0, \frac{b^{\prime}(1-\mu)}{N}\right]\right\}\right| \varsubsetneqq|S|$ and $S \supsetneqq 0$, the result is deduced.

## Proof of Theorem:

Proof. If $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ is a Fermat class, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are a non-zero three elements of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $n>2$ and $0<a \leq b \leq c$. Then, by the lemma 2 , for well chosen N , and $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, and n in the class, we will have :

$$
f(x)=x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} \leq 0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b}{N}\right]
$$

And by using the corollary 1 , we have :

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{b}{N}} x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b} d x=0
$$

So

$$
x^{n-1}-\left(\frac{c-a}{b} x+\frac{a}{N}\right)^{n-1} \frac{c-a}{b}=0 \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{b}{N}\right]
$$

And therefore $\frac{c-a}{b}=1$ because $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ is a null polynomial as it have more than n zeros. So $c=a+b$ and $a^{n}+b^{n} \neq c^{n}$ which is absurde .

## 3 The proof of Beal conjecture

Corollary 2 (Beal conjecture). If $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ where $a, b, c, x, y$ and $z$ are positive integers with $x, y, z>2$, then $a, b$, and $c$ have a common prime factor.

Equivalently, there are no solutions to the above equation in positive integers $a, b, c, x, y, z$ with $a, b$ and $c$ being pairwise coprime and all of $x, y, z$ being greater than 2.

Proof. Let $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$
If $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are not pairwise coprime, then by posing $a=k a^{\prime}, b=k b^{\prime}$, and
$c=k c^{\prime}$.
Let $a^{\prime}=u^{\prime y z}, b^{\prime}=v^{\prime x z}, c^{\prime}=w^{\prime x y}$ and $k=u^{y z}, k=v^{x z}, k=w^{x y}$
As $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$, we deduce that $\left(u u^{\prime}\right)^{x y z}+\left(v v^{\prime}\right)^{x y z}=\left(w w^{\prime}\right)^{x y z}$.
So :

$$
k^{x} u^{\prime x y z}+k^{y} v^{\prime x y z}=k^{z} w^{\prime x y z}
$$

This equation does not look like the one studied in the first theorem.
But if $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are pairwise coprime, we have $k=1$ and $u=v=w=1$ and we will have to solve the equation :

$$
u^{\prime x y z}+v^{\prime x y z}=w^{\prime x y z}
$$

The equation $u^{\prime x y z}+v^{\prime x y z}=w^{\prime x y z}$ have a solution if and only if at least one of the equations : $\left(u^{\prime x y}\right)^{z}+\left(v^{\prime x y}\right)^{z}=\left(w^{\prime x y}\right)^{z},\left(u^{\prime x z}\right)^{y}+\left(v^{\prime x z}\right)^{y}=\left(w^{\prime x z}\right)^{y}$, $\left(u^{\prime y z}\right)^{x}+\left(v^{\prime y z}\right)^{x}=\left(w^{\prime y z}\right)^{x}$ have a solution .

So by the proof given in the proof of the first Theorem we must have : $z \leq 2$ or $y \leq 2$, or $x \leq 2$.

We therefore conclude that if $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z are positive integers with $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}>2$, then $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c have a common prime factor.

## 4 Important notes

1- If $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c are not pairwise coprime, someone, by applying the proof given in the corollary like this : $a=u^{y z}, b=v^{x z}, c=w^{x y}$ we will have $u^{x y z}+v^{x y z}=w^{x y z}$, and could say that all the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are always smaller than 2 . What is false: $7^{3}+7^{4}=14^{3}$

The reason is sipmle: it is the common factor k which could increase the power, for example if $k=c^{\prime r}$ in the proof, then $c^{z}=\left(k c^{\prime}\right)^{z}=c^{\prime(r+1) z}$. You can take the example : $2^{r}+2^{r}=2^{r+1}$ where $k=2^{r}$.

2- These techniques do not say that the equation $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ where $a, b, c \in$ $] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ has no solution since in the proof the Fermat class $X^{2}+Y^{2}=Z^{2}$ can have a sloution( We take $a=X^{\frac{2}{n}} b=Y^{\frac{2}{n}}$ and $C=Z^{\frac{2}{n}}$ ).

3 - In [1] I proved the abc conjecture which implies only that the equation $a^{x}+b^{y}=c^{z}$ has only a finite number of solutions with $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ a positive integers and $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c being pairwise coprime and all of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ being greater than 2.

## 5 Conclusion

The Fermat class used in this article have allowed to prove both the Fermat' last theorem and the Beal' conjecture and have shown that the Beal conjecture is only a corollary of the Fermat' last theorem.
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