

Diversity of Pectobacteriaceae Species in Potato Growing Regions in Northern Morocco

Saïd Oulghazi, Mohieddine Moumni, Slimane Khayi, Kévin Robic, Sohaib Sarfraz, Céline Lopez-Roques, Céline Vandecasteele, Denis Faure

▶ To cite this version:

Saïd Oulghazi, Mohieddine Moumni, Slimane Khayi, Kévin Robic, Sohaib Sarfraz, et al.. Diversity of Pectobacteriaceae Species in Potato Growing Regions in Northern Morocco. Microorganisms, 2020, 8 (6), pp.895. 10.3390/microorganisms8060895 . hal-02877933

HAL Id: hal-02877933

https://hal.science/hal-02877933

Submitted on 22 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Diversity of *Pectobacteriaceae* species in potato growing regions in Northern

2 Morocco

- 3 Saïd Oulghazi^{1,2}, Mohieddine Moumni¹, Slimane Khayi³, Kévin Robic^{2,4}, Sohaib Sarfraz⁵,
- 4 Céline Lopez-Roques⁶, Céline Vandecasteele⁶, Denis Faure²*
- 5 1 Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Moulay Ismaïl University, Meknes, Morocco; s.oulghazi@yahoo.fr
- 6 (S.O.); mmoumni02@yahoo.fr (M.M.)
- 7 2 Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette,
- 8 France; Kevin.ROBIC@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr (K.R.)
- 9 3 Biotechnology Research Unit, National Institute for Agronomic Research (INRA), Morocco; khayi@inra.org.ma
- 10 (S.K.)
- 4 National Federation of Seed Potato Growers (FN3PT-RD3PT), Paris, France.
- 12 5 Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture sub-campus Depalpur, OKARA, Pakistan;
- 13 sohaib002@gmail.com (S.S.)
- 14 6 INRA, US 1426, GeT-PlaGe, Genotoul, Castanet-Tolosan, France; celine.lopez-roques@inra.fr (C.L.R.);
- 15 celine.vandecasteele@inra.fr (C.V.).

16

17 Correspondence: denis.faure@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr

18

22

Abstract

23 Dickeya and Pectobacterium pathogens are causative agents of several diseases that affect 24 many crops worldwide. This work investigated the species diversity of these pathogens in Morocco, where Dickeya pathogens have only been isolated from potato fields recently. To 25 this end, samplings were conducted in three major potato growing areas over a three-year 26 27 period (2015-2017). Pathogens were characterized by sequence determination of both the 28 qapA gene marker and genomes using Illumina and Oxford Nanopore technologies. We 29 isolated 119 pathogens belonging to P. versatile (19%), P. carotovorum (3%), P. polaris (5%), 30 P. brasiliense (56%) and D. dianthicola (17%). Their taxonomic assignation was confirmed by draft genome analyses of 10 representative strains of the collected species. D. dianthicola 31 32 were isolated from a unique area where a wide species diversity of pectinolytic pathogens 33 was observed. In tuber rotting assays, D. dianthicola isolates were more aggressive than 34 Pectobacterium isolates. The complete genome sequence of D. dianthicola LAR.16.03.LID was obtained and compared with other D. dianthicola genomes from public databases. 35 Overall, this study highlighted the ecological context from which some Dickeya and 36 Pectobacterium species emerged in Morocco and reported the first complete genome of a D. 37 dianthicola strain isolated in Morocco that will be suitable for further epidemiological 38 39 studies.

40

Keywords: Pectobacterium; Dickeya; plant pathogen; potato tuber; genome; field sampling

1. Introduction

Pectinolytic *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya spp.* are causative agents of severe diseases on a wide range of plants of high economic value [1,2]. On potato tubers and stems, the diseases caused by pectinolytic pathogens are soft rot and blackleg, respectively. These pathogens produce a large set of extracellular enzymes that degrade the plant cell wall, resulting in plant tissue decay and maceration. This rotting process causes losses in the production of potato tubers sold both the food market and as certified seed tubers [3]. The pathogens may be acquired by the host plants from soil and/or from contaminated seed tubers [4]. On plants, pathogen populations remain at a low level in asymptomatic plant tissues and may become particularly destructive when environmental conditions favor their proliferation and the expression of virulence factors.

P. atrosepticum was considered as the primary pathogen responsible for rotting of stored potato tubers and wilting of potato plants under temperate climates [4]. Other Pectobacterium species frequently associated with damage on potato crops are P. carotovorum, P. brasiliense, P. parmentieri and P. polaris [5–9]. Some Pectobacterium species have also been characterized in some specific areas such as P. peruviense strains isolated from tubers in Peru and P. punjabense species, from symptomatic potato plants in Pakistan [10,11]. P. odoriferum exhibits a very wide host range including potato plants [12], while some other species were characterized by a more restricted host range at least in the fields. Thus, P. wasabiae was isolated from symptomatic Japanese horseradish [13]; P. betavasculorum was reported almost exclusively on sugar beet [14]; P. aroidearum exhibits preference for some monocotyledonous plants [15]; P. zantedeschiae strains were isolated from Zantedeschia spp. (Calla lily) [16]; and, P. actinidiae from symptomatic Actinidia

chinensis (kiwi fruit) [17]. Recently, some other species, isolated from surface waters, have also been described: *P. fontis, P. aquaticum* and *P. versatile* [7,18,19]. Altogether, 16 *Pectobacterium* species are described so far [7].

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

A limited number of Dickeya species, i.e. D. dianthicola, D. dadantii and D. solani, have been associated with symptoms on potato. D. dianthicola was first reported on potato in the Netherlands in the 1970s and has been detected since then in many other European countries [20]. *D.* dadantii causes soft rot disease in several members of the Solanaceae family, including the potato [21]. Another virulent species, namely D. solani, spread rapidly throughout Western Europe [22] and in Russia [23] and other countries such as Turkey [24], Georgia [25] and Brazil [26]. During the past decade, taxonomy of Dickeya and Pectobacterium species was revisited following genomic studies bearing on international culture collections and diverse ecosystems around the world [27,28]. By now, the genus Dickeya encompasses ten species: D. aquatica, D. chrysanthemi, D. dadantii, D. dianthicola, D. fangzhongdai, D. lacustris, D. paradisiaca, D. solani, D. undicola, and D. zeae [22,29–34]. Bacteria belonging to this genus cause plant diseases in temperate, tropical and subtropical climates [35].

The unambiguous identification of *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* species is crucial for epidemiological purposes, to develop appropriate prophylactic approaches and quality controls in national and international trade exchanges. Multi-Locus Sequence Analysis (MLSA) provides relevant information for a better understanding of speciation, hence for proposing pertinent taxa delineations [15,36]. MLSA may exploit gene sequences obtained by PCR-sequencing of several loci or by whole genome sequencing. Among the loci commonly included in MLSA, the *rrs* sequence is poorly informative at a species level while the *gapA* gene appeared as an appropriate marker to discriminate the different *Dickeya* and

Pectobacterium species [10,18,19,31,32,37]. Taxonomy of *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* gained precision and robustness with additional genome analyses such as average nucleotide identity (ANI) and *in silico* DNA-DNA hybridization (*is*DDH) [38]. Comparative genomics is also used to identify species-specific DNA regions. Analysis of the functions encoded by these DNA regions allows the prediction of species-specific metabolic traits. This knowledge contributes to the understanding of both the taxonomy and ecology of the *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* pathogens [22,30–32,39].

P. atrosepticum, P. carotovorum and P. brasiliense were described in Morocco as the main causative agents of blackleg and soft rot diseases in potato crop [40–43]. In 2016, D. dianthicola was described for the first time in the North of Morocco [44]. In this respect, the main objectives of this study were: (i) to investigate the species composition of the Moroccan Dickeya and Pectobacterium populations collected between 2015 and 2017 from diseased potato tubers and stems, (ii) to compare aggressiveness of some identified pathogens belonging to different species, and (iii) to propose a complete genome of the emerging pathogen D. dianthicola in Morocco that could be used for further studies as a reference genome. This work represents the most important sampling effort of the Pectobacterium and Dickeya potato pathogens in Morocco over the past decade.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling and isolation of pectinolytic bacteria

In 2015, 2016 and 2017, blackleg symptoms were searched in potato fields in four regions (Meknes, Guigo, Boumia and Larache) in Northern Morocco. Pectinolytic bacteria were isolated from symptomatic plant tissues using crystal violet pectate (CVP) medium as described previously [45]. The CVP plates were incubated at 28°C for 3 days and colonies

that had formed pits were re-streaked onto Tryptone (5 g/L) Yeast extract (3 g/L) agar medium (TY). The purified isolates were spotted again on CVP to confirm the pectinolytic activity. The obtained cultures from single colonies were stored in 25% glycerol at -80°C.

2.2. Molecular characterization of Pectobacterium and Dickeya isolates

The primer couples Y1/Y2 and ADE1/ADE2 (Table S1) were used for the identification of isolates belonging to *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* genera [46,47]. The reaction was carried out in a final volume of 25 μ l, containing 1 μ l of bacterial DNA (50 ng/ μ l), 2.5 μ l of PCR buffer (10×), 2 μ l of MgCl2 (25 mM), 2.5 μ l of dNTPs (1 mM), 1U Taq polymerase and 1 μ l of each primer (1 μ M) and water. The temperature settings for PCR were the same as described before [46,47]. The analysis of PCR products was done by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels, using PCR products of *P. atrosepticum* CFBP1526^T and *D. solani* IPO2222^T as control along with the 1 Kb DNA ladder.

Positive strains for either Y1/Y2 or ADE1/ADE2 PCR were further characterized using the *gapA* barcode procedure [37]. All the *gapA* PCR products obtained with gapA-F/gapA-R primers (Table S1) were sequenced using Sanger technology (GATC Biotech, Germany). The sequences were trimmed using the CLC genomic workbench (V10.1.1) and aligned using ClustalW. The phylogenetic analysis of the *gapA* gene was performed as follow: the evolutionary distances were computed using the maximum composite Likelihood method (Mega7 software) with 1000 bootstrap. The obtained sequences were deposited in GenBank (TableS2).

2.3. Genome sequencing

Ten strains representing five species identified with *gapA* sequencing were selected for genome sequencing (Table 1). DNA of the ten isolates (listed in Table 1) was extracted from overnight cultures in TY medium using the MasterPure™ Complete DNA and RNA Purification Kit (Epicenter, Madison, WI, USA) followed by an ethanol precipitation. The quantity and quality control of the DNA was completed using a NanoDrop device and 1.0 % agarose gel electrophoresis.

Paired-end libraries (500 bp in insert size) were constructed for each strain, and DNA sequencing was performed by Illumina NextSeq technology. Sequencing of the library was carried out using the 2×75 bp paired-end read module. Illumina sequencing was performed at the I2BC sequencing platform (Gif-sur-Yvette, France).

In the case of the *D. dianthicola* strain LAR.16.03.LID, Nanopore sequencing was also performed. Library preparation and sequencing were performed at the GeT-PlaGe core facility, INRA Toulouse, using the "1D Native barcoding genomic DNA kit (EXP-NBD103 and SQK-LSK108)", according to the manufacturer's instructions. At each step, DNA was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies). DNA purity was tested using a NanoDrop device (Thermofisher) and size distribution and degradation was assessed using the fragment analyzer (AATI) High Sensitivity DNA Fragment Analysis Kit. Purification steps were performed using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Five micrograms of each DNA (five samples) were purified then sheared at 20 kb using the Megaruptor1 system (Diagenode). A DNA damage repair step was performed on 3 µg of sample. Then END-repair and dA tailing of double stranded DNA fragments were performed on 1 µg of each sample. Then, a specific index was ligated to each sample. The library was generated by an equimolar pooling of these barcoded samples. Then adapters were ligated to the library. The library

was loaded on a R9.4.1 flowcell and sequenced on MinION instrument at 0.15 pmol within 48 hours.

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

161

162

2.4. Genome assembly

Assembly of the Illumina reads was performed using the CLC Genomics Workbench v10.1.1 software (CLCInc, Aarhus, Denmark). After quality (quality score threshold 0.05) and length (above 40 nucleotides) trimming of the reads, contigs were generated by *de novo* assembly (CLC parameters: automatic determination of the word and bubble sizes with no scaffolding). The draft genome sequences of each strain were deposited at NCBI and annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Statistics of all the ten draft genomes are presented in Table 1.

Assembly of the Nanopore reads was performed as follows. Fast5s from Nanopore sequencing were obtained with MinKNOW version 1.10.23 and were basecalled with ONT Albacore Sequencing Pipeline Software version 2.1.10 and reads passing the internal test were used for subsequent analysis. Porechop 0.2.1 (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop) was used for adaptor trimming. Illumina paired-end reads were processed with trim galore 0.4.0 (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) to trim adaptor sequences. Nanopore reads were assembled using Canu 1.7 [48] with the "genomeSize=5 m" "minReadLength=3000" options. For Nanopore-only assembly, one output contig was obtained, then polished three times using Pilon 1.22 (https://github.com/broadinstitute/pilon) with the "--mindepth 25" option. The contig was finally circularized using Circlator 1.5.1 (https://github.com/sanger-pathogens/circlator).

2.5. Genome analysis

Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses were conducted using MEGA, version 7. An MLSA was performed using 13 concatenated housekeeping genes (fusA, rpoD, acnA, purA, gyrB, recA, mdh, mtlD, groEL, secY, glyA, gapA, rplB) retrieved from all the Pectobacterium spp. and Dickeya spp. strains to confirm their phylogenetic position within the reference strains P. atrosepticum ICMP1526^T, P. betavasculorum NCPPB2795^T, P. parmentieri RNS 08-42.1A^T, P. wasabiae CFBP 3304^T, P. actinidiae KKH3, P. brasiliense LMG21371^T, P. odoriferum BCS7, P. aroidearum PC1, D. dianthicola NCPPB 453^T, D. dadantii NCPPB 898^T, D. solani IPO2222^T. The average nucleotide identity (ANI) value was calculated as previously proposed using the ANI calculator (http://enveomics.ce.gatech.edu/ani/). The insilico DNA-DNA hybridization (isDDH) was evaluated using genome sequence-based species delineation (http://ggdc.dsmz.de/) (Table2). The genome map of the D. dianthicola strain LAR.16.03.LID was generated using CGView Server [44]. Synteny analysis of the complete genomes of *D. dianthicola* LAR.16.03.LID, *D.* dianthicola ME23 and D. dianthicola RNS049 was performed using the MAUVE software [45]. Paired end reads for the strain LAR.16.03.LID were mapped against the two complete genome sequences of D. dianthicola strains ME23 and RNS049 with threshold set as 0.8 of identity on 0.5 of read length using CLC Genomics Workbench version 10.1.1 software. The mappings were used for detection of variations (SNPs and InDels) using the basic variant calling tool from the CLC genomic workbench version 10.1.1. The presence of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) was determined using CRISPRfinder (http://crispr.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/Server/) [46]. The prophage identification tool PHAge Search Tool - Enhanced Release (PHASTER) was used to check for the regions containing prophage-like elements in bacterial genomes (http://phaster.ca/) [47]. The Predicted resistome was checked using Resistance Gene Identifier tool

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

(https://card.mcmaster.ca/analyze/rgi). Finally, genomic regions containing secondary metabolite biosynthesis gene clusters were identified using the AntiSMASH server (version 4.1.0, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv437).

To investigate the phylogenetic position of the Moroccan *D. dianthicola* strain against the available genomes of this species in NCBI, an MLSA was generated using fifteen housekeeping genes (*fusA*, *rpoD*, *leuS*, *rpoS*, *purA*, *infB*, *gyrB*, *recA*, *groEL*, *secY*, *glyA*, *gapA*, *rplB*, *dnaX*, *gyrA*) with the MEGA7 software.

2.6. Potato tuber rotting assays

Bacterial strains from Morocco were cultivated in TY broth for 24h at 28°C in a rotary shaker set at 125 rpm. Bacterial cultures were washed twice, resuspended in 0.8% NaCl, and the optical density was adjusted to OD_{600} = 1.0. Potato tubers (cv. Bintje) were surface-disinfected by submerging them into a 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 min. They were subsequently rinsed twice in distilled water and air dried at room temperature one day before inoculation. Ten potato tubers were infected with 10 μ l of cell suspension of each strain, along with 10 tubers with NaCl 0.8% alone as a negative control. After 5 days of incubation at 24 °C, the tubers were cut vertically through the inoculation points. Disease symptoms were evaluated to define five aggressiveness classes [52]. Significance of the observed differences was assessed using a Kruskal-Wallis test (p < 0.05).

3. Results

3.1. Diversity of the pectinolytic Dickeya and Pectobacterium in the Northern Morocco
From 2015-2017, our field inspections revealed the occurrence of blackleg symptoms in
several potato growing areas located in many townships distributed in three regions

(Meknes, S1; Guigo, S2; Larache, S4) in Northern Morocco (Fig. 1). No symptoms were found in fields in the Boumia region (S3). Out of 200 strains isolated from plant symptoms, 140 provoked cavities on pectate-containing medium. These were tested by PCR to evaluate whether they belong to *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* genera: 119 isolates generated amplification signals for either the Y1/Y2 or ADE1/ADE2 primer couples. Most of the isolates (83%) generated a signal with the *Pectobacterium* primers Y1/Y2, while the others (17%) did so with the *Dickeya*-specific ADE1/ADE2 primers.

All these PCR-positive *Pectobacterium/Dickeya* isolates were further characterized at species level based on their *gapA* gene sequence. Phylogenetic analyses using Neighbor-Joining method (Mega7) of the *gapA* sequences are presented in the Figure S1. The regional diversity of the *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* isolates is summarized in Figure1 (a detailed list is given in Table S2). The samples of the Larache region (S4) showed the highest diversity of taxons with the presence of *D. dianthicola* (20 isolates), *P. polaris* (6 isolates), *P. brasiliense* (5 isolates) and *P. carotovorum* (23 isolates). On the other hand, our investigations revealed the presence of only two species, *P. brasiliense* (53 isolates) and *P. carotovorum* (3 isolates) in the Meknes region (S1), and that of a single one, *P. brasiliense* (9 isolates), in the Guigo region (S2).

Important information was also collected from the farmers including the potato variety, origin of the seed tubers (local production or importation), irrigation mode (surface water from a dam or underground water from wells) and geography (the sampled regions). We tested whether a correlation between these different parameters and the diversity of pathogens (the combination of *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* species) existed. Statistical analysis with the SAS (Statistical Analysis System, version 9.00, SAS Institute, 2002, Cary, USA) software (Qui2 test with p < 0.05) revealed that the higher diversity of pathogens was

associated to three confounding factors, geography (the unique Larache region), surface water irrigation and imported seed tubers (Table S1).

3.2. Draft genomes of 10 pectinolytic bacteria from Northern Morocco

A draft genome (Illumina technology) was used to consolidate the taxonomic position of ten isolates belonging to the collected taxons. Between 705,755 and 17,016,482 trimmed reads were used for the contigs assembly of each of the ten genomes. Characteristics of the draft genomes are presented in the Table 1. Genome data were exploited to retrieve 13 housekeeping genes from each genome using BLAST. The concatenated genes were used for MLSA. The MLSA tree (Fig. 2) showed a similar topology as the one generated by the *gapA* analysis (Fig. S1).

Genomic data were also used to calculate ANI and *is*DDH values. Most of the Moroccan strains exhibited an ANI value higher than 95% and an *is*DDH value higher than 70% with the closest type strains, confirming their taxonomic assignation. Strains belonging to the *P. versatile* clade showed an *is*DDH lower than 70% but an ANI value higher than 95% with the strain SCC1. Recently, another study confirmed the classification of the strains S4.16.03.3I (= CFBP8660), S4.16.03.3F (= CFBP8659) and SCC1 into the *P. versatile* species [7].

3.3. Aggressiveness of the pectinolytic bacteria from Northern Morocco

Of the ten Moroccan strains whose genome sequence is available (Table 1), all but one (*P. brasiliense* S4.16.03.1C) were tested for aggressiveness on potato tubers. *P. brasiliense* strain S4.16.03.1C has been isolated from the same field as *P. brasiliense* strain S1.16.01.3K and they showed 100% identify by ANI (Table 2). Hence, we retained one of the two for

tuber maceration assays. For each of the nine strains, ten tubers were inoculated and the resulting maceration symptoms were classified into five symptomatic classes (Fig. 3). In addition, ten tubers were used as uninfected control. The aggressiveness was compared between all the strains using a Kruskal-Wallis test. All the pathogens provoked symptoms that are different to the control condition. No significant difference was observed between strains belonging to the same species. In contrast, *D. dianthicola* strains showed a higher aggressiveness when compared with *Pectobacterium* strains (*P value* < 0.05).

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

3.4. Complete genome of D. dianthicola LAR.16.03.LID

The genome of *D. dianthicola* LAR.16.03.LID was the first complete genome of a *D.* dianthicola strain collected in Morocco and the third D. dianthicola genome in the NCBI database that already hosted those of strains ME23 and RNS04.9 (Fig. 4). Phylogenetic relationships between all D. dianthicola genomes available in NCBI were determined using MLSA. In the phylogenetic tree, the two Moroccan strains LAR.16.03.LID and S4.16.03.P2.4 appeared to be highly related. This could be explained by the close isolate locations that were two potato fields separated only by a road. The two Moroccan D. dianthicola strains clustered with D. dianthicola strain ME23 that has been recently collected in a potato field in USA (Fig. 4). The genomic relationship between D. dianthicola ME23 and D. dianthicola LAR.16.03.LID was confirmed using SNP/InDels calling. The SNP and InDel number in the LAR.16.03.LID genome reached 12259 and 16335 using the ME23 and D. dianthicola RNS04.9 genomes as a reference, respectively. The LAR.16.03.LID genome was annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. A graphical genome map is provided in Fig. 5. The D. dianthicola LAR.16.03.LID genome exhibited a high synteny with that of D. dianthicola strains ME23 and RNS049, with the exception of some large insertion/deletions scattered in the genomes (Fig. 6). These regions contained strain-specific genes with no counterpart in the other *D. dianthicola* genomes. In strains LAR.16.03LID and ME23, the analyses evidenced one strain-specific region that contained some mobile elements such as genes from transposons and prophages: strain-specific regions 1 and 2 are presented in Fig. 6. Additional information about these strain-specific regions are available in Table S3-1 and Table S3-2. Strain RNS049 exhibited four strain-specific regions which also contain mobile elements (Fig. 6 and TableS3). Phaster analysis suggested the presence of intact prophages (Fig. 6 and TableS5). The CRISPR elements are very important features of bacterial genomes as they provide acquired immunity against viruses and plasmids [49]. The three *D. dianthicola* genomes hosted three or four CRISPR loci (Fig6 and TableS6).

The *D. dianthicola* LAR.16.03.LID genome exhibited a arsenal of virulence genes similar to that described for *D. dianthicola* RNS049 [39]. All the pectinase-encoding genes described in the model strain *D. dadantii* 3937 [48] were conserved in the three *D. dianthicola* genomes, with the noticeable exceptions of the lacking *pehK* gene (that encodes a predicted polygalacturonase) and the presence of a truncated form of the *pelA* gene (that encodes Pectate lyase A). Aside macerating enzymes determinants, other genes implicated in different stages of the host infection were conserved in the three *D. dianthicola* strains, including those involved in the resistance to oxidative stress, acidic pH (*cfa, asr*) and antimicrobial peptides (*arnB-T, sapABCDF*), synthesis of cell envelope components (such as *bscABCD* and *wza-wzb-wzc*) and siderophore synthesis and uptake (*acsF-A* and *cbrABCDE* for achromobactin and *fct-cbsCEBA* for chrysobactin) [48].

We strengthened this analysis using the resistance gene identifier (RGI) and AntiSMASH softwares. We searched for genes involved in the resistance to different families of antimicrobial compounds. No differences were observed between the three *D. dianthicola*

genomes (TableS7). The AntiSMASH analysis identified many secondary metabolite biogenesis clusters in *D. dianthicola* genomes that were already described in several *Dickeya* species like those responsible for the synthesis of siderophores, cyanobactin with cytotoxic activity, bacteriocin, NRPS (nonribosomal peptide-synthetase) and arylpolyene (Fig. 6 and Table S4).

4. Discussion

The main objective of this study was to characterize the pectinolytic populations isolated from symptomatic potato plants in Morocco between 2015 and 2017. A set of 119 pectinolytic bacteria belonging to the genus *Pectobacterium* or *Dickeya* were isolated and characterized using the *gapA* gene marker in combination with MLSA and ANI. Most of the isolates (83%) belonged to the *Pectobacterium* genus: *P. brasiliense* species dominated in the Meknes and Guigo regions, while *D. dianthicola* was identified in the Larache region only.

P. brasiliense caused major economic losses to several crops (potato, cucumber, paprika...) in many countries including Canada, USA, South Africa, China, Korea and New Zealand [50–55]. The wide host range of this pathogen could facilitate its survival even in harsh environments by parasitizing many alternative host plants. In several studies, *P. brasiliense* isolates have been shown to be more aggressive than other *Pectobacterium* spp., except in the case of three Canadian strains exhibiting low aggressiveness [56]. In our study, the *P. brasiliense* isolates were as virulent as the other *Pectobacterium* strains. *P. brasiliense* was described in Morocco in 2012 and by now was the dominant species in two regions (S1 and S2). In the S2 region, the farmers use seed tubers produced in the S1 region, confirming the effective adaptation of this pathogen to the northern parts of Morocco.

The Northern region (S4) exhibited the highest diversity of the pathogens. *P. versatile* and *P. Polaris*, described for the first time in Morocco, along with *P. brasiliense* and *D. dianthicola* were isolated in the region S4. In this region the majority of seed tubers were imported and the irrigation water was derived from a dam. Either one or both agronomic practices could contribute to the wider diversity of pathogens in the Larache (S4) region than in the other investigated regions. While previous studies identified *P. carotovorum* species as the most prevalent soft rot pathogens in Morocco [41,42], our study extended the diversity to other *Pectobacterium* and *Dickyea* species, including the recently described species *P. versatile* [7]. This species encompasses isolates, including *P. versatile* strain SCC1 isolated in 1980 in Finland [57], which had been collected from a wide diversity of environments (host plants, surface waters) and geographic areas [7]. The presence of members of this clade in the potato field could be linked to irrigation, as *P. versatile* is also able to survive in this environment. This hypothesis remains to be investigated by sampling water from the dam. In addition, our study extended the worldwide distribution of this species to Morocco.

The international distribution of the genus *Pectobacterium* increases concerns about the economic losses caused by this bacterium to the potato growers. A study in the neighboring country Algeria carried out between 2014 and 2015 revealed the presence of pectinolytic bacteria causing soft rot on potato that belonged to *P. brasiliense* and *P. carotovorum* as judged by MLSA [58]. Ozturk *et al.* reported the presence of *P. atrosepticum*, *P. brasiliense*, *P. carotovorum* and *P. parmentieri* species in Turkey [59]. In Europe, prevalence of different species belonging to the genus *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* detected in diseased potato plants differs from year to year and countries, five bacterial species being the main causative agents of blackleg, namely *P. atrosepticum*, *P. parmentieri*, *P. brasilense*, *D. solani* and *D. dianthicola* [60].

D. dianthicola species has been detected in Morocco in 2017 [44] and described as the main species causing losses in potato in North America [61]. More studies are needed for monitoring the spread of this highly aggressive pathogen. To reach this objective, we used Illumina and ONT sequencing technologies to assemble a complete genome of one D. dianthicola isolate that could be used, in the future, as a reference for studying clonal variability of D. dianthicola populations in Morocco and elsewhere. Comparison of the three complete genomes available indicated the presence of several clusters that encode the biosynthesis of a number of secondary metabolites implicated in stress defense, playing a possible important role during plant-bacteria interactions. For instance, bacteriocins are small molecules with bactericidal activity usually restricted to closely related species, increasing the competition during infection, while the production of arylpolyene implicated in the protection against reactive oxygen species [67] has been recently described in D. fangzhongdai genomes [63].

5. Conclusion

This study revealed a wide diversity of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* pathogens in northern Morocco including *P. polaris* and *P. versatile* that are reported for the first time in this country. In tuber maceration assays, the tested isolates of the emerging pathogen *D. dianthicola* were more aggressive than the *Pectobacterium* isolates. This feature should alert stake holders to the threat that this pathogen puts on potato tuber production in Northern Morocco. The nucletotide sequence data of the *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* Moroccan isolates, including a complete genome of *D. dianthicola*, 10 draft genomes and 119 partial sequences of the *gapA* gene were deposited in a public database (NCBI GenBank) to be used

as genetic resources for monitoring the spread of these pathogens in Northern Africa and elsewhere.

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

400

399

Supplementary Materials: Fig.S1: Phylogenetic analysis of the *gapA* barcode, Table S1: Primers used in this study, Table S2: Strains of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* isolated from different regions in Morocco, Table S3-1: Strain-specific region number 1 identified by Mauve, Table S3-2: Strain-specific region number 2 identified by Mauve, Table S3-3: Strain-specific region number 3 identified by Mauve, Table S3-4: Strain-specific region number 4 identified by Mauve, Table S3-5: Strain-specific region number 5 identified by Mauve, Table S3-6: Strain-specific region number 6 identified by Mauve, Table S4: Secondary metabolite gene clusters identified with antismath, Table S5: Prophage in *Dickeya dianthicola* identified using Phaster, Table S6: CRISPR identification in *Dickeya dianthicola* using CRISPER Finder, Table S7: Resistome genes identified in *Dickeya dianthicola* genomes.

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by The French National Agency for Research (ANR-15-CE21-0003) and a cooperative project between France and Morocco (PRAD 14-02, Campus France n°30229ZK). Research in the I2BC was supported by the LabEx Saclay Plant Sciences-SPS (ANR-10-LABX-0040-SPS). Yves Dessaux and Peter Mergaert (I2BC) are thanked for their advice during the preparation of the manuscript of which an uncorrected preprint deposited (04)was Feb, 2020) in Research Square database (https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-13222/v1; DOI 10.21203/rs.2.22648/v1) before a submission to Microorganisms Journal.

421

Author Contributions: DF and MM supervised the data production and analysis, SO carried out isolation, taxonomical characterization and genome assembly and analysis, SK participated in Sanger sequence analysis, SO and SS conducted potato maceration assays, KR and SK conducted statistical analysis, CLR and CV carried out the ONT sequencing and assembly of the complete genome, SO and DF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed on the published version of the manuscript.

428

429 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

430

431

References

- 432 1. Czajkowski, R.; Pérombelon, M.C.M.; Van Veen, J.A.; Van der Wolf, J.M. Control of
- 433 blackleg and tuber soft rot of potato caused by *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* species: A
- review. *Plant Pathol.* **2011**, *60*, 999–1013, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2011.02470.x.
- 435 2. Mansfield, J.; Genin, S.; Magori, S.; Citovsky, V.; Sriariyanum, M.; Ronald, P.; Dow, M.;
- 436 Verdier, V.; Beer, S. V.; Machado, M.A.; et al. Top 10 plant pathogenic bacteria in
- 437 molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2012**, *13*, 614–629.
- 438 3. Leonard, S.; Hommais, F.; Nasser, W.; Reverchon, S. Plant-phytopathogen
- interactions: bacterial responses to environmental and plant stimuli. *Environ*.
- 440 *Microbiol.* **2017**, *19*, 1689–1716, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13611.
- 441 4. Pérombelon, M.C.M. Potato diseases caused by soft rot erwinias: an overview of
- 442 pathogenesis. *Plant Pathol.* **2002**, *51*, 1–12, doi:10.1046/J.0032-
- 443 0862.2001.SHORTTITLE.DOC.X.
- 444 5. Boer, S.H. De; Verdonck, L.; Vruggink, H.; Harju, P.; Bång, H.O.; Ley, J. De Serological
- 445 and biochemical variation among potato strains of *Erwinia carotovora* subsp.
- 446 atroseptica and their taxonomic relationship to other E. carotovora strains. J. Appl.
- 447 *Bacteriol.* **1987**, *63*, 487–495, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.1987.tb02718.x.
- 448 6. Khayi, S.; Cigna, J.; Chong, T.M.; Qu�tu-Laurent, A.; Chan, K.G.; Helias, V.; Faure, D.
- Transfer of the potato plant isolates of *Pectobacterium wasabiae* to *pectobacterium*
- 450 parmentieri sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **2016**, 66, 5379–5383,

- 451 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001524.
- 452 7. Portier, P.; Pédron, J.; Taghouti, G.; Fischer-Le Saux, M.; Caullireau, E.; Bertrand, C.;
- Laurent, A.; Chawki, K.; Oulgazi, S.; Moumni, M.; et al. Elevation of pectobacterium
- carotovorum subsp. odoriferum to species level as pectobacterium odoriferum sp.
- 455 nov., proposal of *pectobacterium brasiliense* sp. nov. and *pectobacterium actinidiae*
- 456 sp. nov., emended description of *pectobacterium carotovorum* and. *Int. J. Syst. Evol.*
- 457 *Microbiol.* **2019**, *69*, 3207–3216, doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003611.
- 458 8. Smith, C. Variation in the Pathogenicity and Aggressiveness of Strains of Erwinia
- carotovora subsp. carotovora Isolated from Different Hosts. Plant Dis. 2007, 74, 505,
- 460 doi:10.1094/pd-74-0505.
- 461 9. Dees, M.W.; Lysøe, E.; Rossmann, S.; Perminow, J.; Brurberg, M.B. Pectobacterium
- 462 polaris sp. nov., isolated from potato (Solanum tuberosum). Int. J. Syst. Evol.
- 463 *Microbiol.* **2017**, *67*, 5222–5229, doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.002448.
- 464 10. Sarfraz, S.; Riaz, K.; Oulghazi, S.; Cigna, J.; Sahi, S.T.; Khan, S.H.; Faure, D.
- 465 Pectobacterium punjabense sp. nov., isolated from blackleg symptoms of potato
- 466 plants in Pakistan. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2018, 68, 3551–3556,
- 467 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003029.
- 468 11. Waleron, M.; Misztak, A.; Waleron, M.; Franczuk, M.; Wielgomas, B.; Waleron, K.
- 469 Transfer of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* strains isolated from
- potatoes grown at high altitudes to *Pectobacterium peruviense* sp. nov. *Syst. Appl.*
- 471 *Microbiol.* **2018**, *41*, 85–93, doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2017.11.005.
- 472 12. Waleron, M.; Waleron, K.; Lojkowska, E. Characterization of *Pectobacterium*
- 473 carotovorum subsp. odoriferum causing soft rot of stored vegetables. Eur. J. Plant
- 474 *Pathol.* **2014**, *139*, 457–469, doi:10.1007/s10658-014-0403-z.
- 475 13. Goto, M.; Matsumoto, K. Erwinia carotovora subsp. wasabiae subsp. nov. Isolated
- from Diseased Rhizomes and Fibrous Roots of Japanese Horseradish (Eutrema wasabi
- 477 Maxim.). Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **1987**, 37, 130–135, doi:10.1099/00207713-37-2-130.
- 478 14. Gardan, L.; Gouy, C.; Christen, R.; Samson, R. Elevation of three subspecies of
- 479 Pectobacterium carotovorum to species level: Pectobacterium atrosepticum sp. nov.,
- 480 Pectobacterium betavasculorum sp. nov. and Pectobacterium wasabiae sp. nov. Int. J.
- 481 *Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2003**, *53*, 381–391, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02423-0.
- 482 15. Nabhan, S.; de Boer, S.H.; Maiss, E.; Wydra, K. Pectobacterium aroidearum sp. nov., a

- 483 soft rot pathogen with preference for monocotyledonous plants. Int. J. Syst. Evol.
- 484 *Microbiol.* **2013**, *63*, 2520–2525, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.046011-0.
- 485 16. Waleron, M.; Misztak, A.; Waleron, M.; Franczuk, M.; Jońca, J.; Wielgomas, B.;
- 486 Mikiciński, A.; Popović, T.; Waleron, K. Pectobacterium zantedeschiae sp. nov. a new
- species of a soft rot pathogen isolated from Calla lily (Zantedeschia spp.). Syst. Appl.
- 488 *Microbiol.* **2019**, *42*, 275–283, doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2018.08.004.
- 489 17. Koh, Y.J.; Kim, G.H.; Lee, Y.S.; Sohn, S.H.; Koh, H.S.; Kwon, S.; Heu, S.; Jung, J.S.
- 490 Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. actinidiae subsp. nov., a new bacterial pathogen
- 491 causing canker-like symptoms in yellow kiwifruit, Actinidia chinensis. New Zeal. J. Crop
- 492 *Hortic. Sci.* **2012**, *40*, 269–279, doi:10.1080/01140671.2012.707129.
- 493 18. Oulghazi, S.; Cigna, J.; Lau, Y.Y.; Moumni, M.; Chan, K.G.; Faure, D. Transfer of the
- 494 waterfall source isolate *Pectobacterium carotovorum* M022 to *Pectobacterium fontis*
- sp. nov., a deep-branching species within the genus *Pectobacterium*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol.*
- 496 *Microbiol.* **2019**, *69*, 470–475, doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003180.
- 497 19. Pédron, J.; Bertrand, C.; Taghouti, G.; Portier, P.; Barny, M.-A. Pectobacterium
- 498 aquaticum sp. nov., isolated from waterways. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2019, 69,
- 499 745–751, doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003229.
- 500 20. Toth, I.K.; van der Wolf, J.M.; Saddler, G.; Lojkowska, E.; Hélias, V.; Pirhonen, M.; Tsror
- 501 (Lahkim), L.; Elphinstone, J.G. Dickeya species: An emerging problem for potato
- 502 production in Europe. *Plant Pathol.* 2011, *60*, 385–399.
- 503 21. Tsror, L.; Erlich, O.; Lebiush, S.; Hazanovsky, M.; Zig, U.; Slawiak, M.; Grabe, G.; Van
- Der Wolf, J.M.; Van De Haar, J.J. Assessment of recent outbreaks of *Dickeya* sp. (syn.
- 505 Erwinia chrysanthemi) slow wilt in potato crops in Israel. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 2009,
- 506 *123*, 311–320, doi:10.1007/s10658-008-9368-0.
- 507 22. van der Wolf, J.M.; Nijhuis, E.H.; Kowalewska, M.J.; Saddler, G.S.; Parkinson, N.;
- Elphinstone, J.G.; Pritchard, L.; Toth, I.K.; Lojkowska, E.; Potrykus, M.; et al. *Dickeya*
- 509 solani sp. nov., a pectinolytic plant-pathogenic bacterium isolated from potato
- 510 (Solanum tuberosum). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **2014**, 64, 768–774,
- 511 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.052944-0.
- 512 23. Ignatov, A..; Karlov, A..; Dzhalilov, F.. Spreading of the blackleg of potatoes in Russia
- caused by bacteria of Dickeya genus. *Zaschita i karantin rastenij* **2014**, *11*, 41–43.
- 514 24. Ozturk, M.; Aksoy, H.M. First report of *Dickeya solani* associated with potato blackleg

- and soft rot in Turkey. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2017**, *99*, 298, doi:10.4454/jpp.v99i1.3807.
- 516 25. Tsror [Lahkim], L.; Erlich, O.; Lebiush, S.; van der Wolf, J.; Czajkowski, R.; Mozes, G.;
- 517 Sikharulidze, Z.; Ben Daniel, B. First report of potato blackleg caused by a biovar 3
- 518 Dickeya sp. in Georgia. New Dis. Reports **2011**, 23, 1, doi:10.5197/j.2044-
- 519 0588.2011.023.001.
- 520 26. Cardoza, Y.F.; Duarte, V.; Lopes, C.A. First report of blackleg of potato caused by
- 521 *Dickeya solani* in Brazil. *Plant Dis.* **2017**, *101*, 243, doi:10.1094/PDIS-07-16-1045-PDN.
- 522 27. Nykyri, J.; Niemi, O.; Koskinen, P.; Nokso-Koivisto, J.; Pasanen, M.; Broberg, M.;
- Plyusnin, I.; Törönen, P.; Holm, L.; Pirhonen, M.; et al. Revised Phylogeny and Novel
- Horizontally Acquired Virulence Determinants of the Model Soft Rot Phytopathogen
- 525 Pectobacterium wasabiae SCC3193. PLoS Pathog. **2012**, 8, e1003013,
- 526 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003013.
- 527 28. Zhang, Y.; Fan, Q.; Loria, R. A re-evaluation of the taxonomy of phytopathogenic
- genera Dickeya and Pectobacterium using whole-genome sequencing data. Syst. Appl.
- 529 *Microbiol.* **2016**, *39*, 252–259, doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2016.04.001.
- 530 29. Brady, C.L.; Cleenwerck, I.; Denman, S.; Venter, S.N.; Rodriguez-Palenzuela, P.;
- Coutinho, T.A.; De Vos, P. Proposal to reclassify *Brenneria quercina* (Hildebrand and
- Schroth 1967) Hauben et al. 1999 into a new genus, Lonsdalea gen. nov., as Lonsdalea
- quercina comb. nov., descriptions of *Lonsdalea quercina* subsp. *quercina* comb. nov.,
- 534 Lonsdalea quercina subsp. ib. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **2012**, 62, 1592–1602,
- 535 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.035055-0.
- 536 30. Tian, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Yuan, X.; Yi, J.; Fan, J.; Xu, Z.; Hu, B.; De Boer, S.H.; Li, X. *Dickeya*
- 537 fangzhongdai sp. nov., a plantpathogenic bacterium isolated from pear trees (Pyrus
- 538 pyrifolia). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **2016**, 66, 2831–2835,
- 539 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001060.
- 540 31. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, N.; Jacot-Des-Combes, C.; Briolay, J. Dickeya lacustris sp.
- nov., a water-living pectinolytic bacterium isolated from lakes in France. Int. J. Syst.
- 542 Evol. Microbiol. **2019**, *69*, 721–726, doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003208.
- 543 32. Oulghazi, S.; Pédron, J.; Cigna, J.; Lau, Y.Y.; Moumni, M.; Van Gijsegem, F.; Chan, K.-G.;
- Faure, D. *Dickeya undicola* sp. nov., a novel species for pectinolytic isolates from
- surface waters in Europe and Asia. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2019,
- 546 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003497.

- 547 33. Parkinson, N.; DeVos, P.; Pirhonen, M.; Elphinstone, J. Dickeya aquatica sp. nov.,
- isolated from waterways. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2014, 64, 2264–2266,
- 549 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.058693-0.
- 550 34. Samson, R.; Legendre, J.; Richard, C.; Fischer-Le Saux, M.; Achouak, W.; Gardan, L.
- Transfer of *Pectobacterium chrysanthemi* (Burkholder, 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and
- Brenneria paradisiaca to the genus Dickeya gen. nov. as Dickeya chrysanthemi comb.
- nov. and Dickeya paradisiaca comb. nov. and delineation of four novel species,
- 554 Dickeya da. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2005**, *55*, 1415–1427.
- 555 35. Golanowska, M.; Galardini, M.; Bazzicalupo, M.; Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, N.;
- Mengoni, A.; Potrykus, M.; Slawiak, M.; Lojkowska, E. Draft Genome Sequence of a
- Highly Virulent Strain of the Plant Pathogen *Dickeya solani*, IFB0099. *Genome*
- 558 Announc. **2015**, *3*, e00109-15, doi:10.1128/genomeA.00109-15.
- 559 36. Hanage, W.P.; Spratt, B.G.; Turner, K.M.E.; Fraser, C. Modelling bacterial speciation.
- 560 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. **2006**, 361, 2039–2044, doi:10.1098/rstb.2006.1926.
- 561 37. Cigna, J.; Dewaegeneire, P.; Beury, A.; Gobert, V.; Faure, D. A gapA PCR-sequencing
- Assay for Identifying the Dickeya and Pectobacterium Potato Pathogens. *Plant Dis.*
- **2017**, *101*, 1278–1282, doi:10.1094/PDIS-12-16-1810-RE.
- 38. Goris, J.; Konstantinidis, K.T.; Klappenbach, J.A.; Coenye, T.; Vandamme, P.; Tiedje,
- J.M. DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence
- 566 similarities. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2007**, *57*, 81–91, doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64483-0.
- 567 39. Raoul des Essarts, Y.; Pédron, J.; Blin, P.; Van Dijk, E.; Faure, D.; Van Gijsegem, F.
- Common and distinctive adaptive traits expressed in Dickeya dianthicola and Dickeya
- solani pathogens when exploiting potato plant host. Environ. Microbiol. 2019, 21,
- 570 1004–1018, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14519.
- 571 40. Anajjar, B.; Aitmhand, R.; Timinouni, M.; Ennaji, M.M. Caractérisation par PCR de deux
- 572 souches d'Erwinia carotovora isolées de la rhizosphère de la pomme de terre dans la
- région du grand Casablanca au Maroc. EPPO Bull. 2007, 37, 175-180,
- 574 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2338.2007.01057.x.
- 575 41. Faquihi, H.; Terta, M.; Amdan, M.; Achbani, E.H.; Ennaji, M.M.; Ait Mhand, R.
- 576 Phenotypic and genotypic diversity of *Pectobacterium* carotovorum subsp
- 577 carotovorum causing soft rot disease of potatoes in Morocco. Eur. J. Plant Pathol.
- 578 **2015**, *143*, 801–811, doi:10.1007/s10658-015-0732-6.

- 579 42. Terta, M.; El Karkouri, A.; Ait M'Hand, R.; Achbani, E.; Barakate, M.; Amdan, M.;
- Annajar, B.; El Hassouni, M.; Val, F.; Bouteau, F.; et al. Occurrence of *Pectobacterium*
- *carotovorum* strains isolated from potato soft rot in Morocco. *Cell. Mol. Biol.* **2010**, *56*,
- 582 OL1324-OL1333, doi:10.1170/151.
- 583 43. Terta, M.; Azelmat, S.; M'Hand, R.A.; Achbani, E.H.; Barakate, M.; Bouteau, F.; Ennaji,
- M.M. Molecular typing of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* isolated from potato tuber
- soft rot in Morocco. Ann. Microbiol. **2012**, 62, 1411–1417, doi:10.1007/s13213-011-
- 586 0391-6.
- 587 44. Oulghazi, S.; Khayi, S.; Lafkih, N.; Massaoudi, Y.; El Karkouri, A.; El Hassouni, M.; Faure,
- D.; Moumni, M. First report of *Dickeya dianthicola* causing blackleg on potato in
- 589 Morocco. In *Plant Disease*; 2017; Vol. 101, pp. 1671–1672.
- 590 45. Hélias, V.; Hamon, P.; Huchet, E.; Wolf, J. V.D.; Andrivon, D. Two new effective
- semiselective crystal violet pectate media for isolation of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya*.
- 592 *Plant Pathol.* **2012**, *61*, 339–345, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2011.02508.x.
- 593 46. Darrasse, A.; Priou, S.; Kotoujansky, A.; Bertheau, Y. PCR and restriction fragment
- length polymorphism of a pel gene as a tool to identify Erwinia carotovora in relation
- 595 to potato diseases. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1994**, *60*, 1437–1443.
- 596 47. Nassar, A.; Darrasse, A.; Lemattre, M.; Kotoujansky, A.; Dervin, C.; Vedel, R.; Bertheau,
- Y. Characterization of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* by pectinolytic isozyme polymorphism
- 598 and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of PCR- amplified fragments of
- 599 pel genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1996**, *62*, 2228–2235.
- 600 48. Grant, J.R.; Stothard, P. The CGView Server: a comparative genomics tool for circular
- genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2008**, *36*, W181–W184, doi:10.1093/nar/gkn179.
- 602 49. Darling, A.C.E.; Mau, B.; Blattner, F.R.; Perna, N.T. Mauve: Multiple alignment of
- conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res. 2004, 14, 1394–
- 604 1403, doi:10.1101/gr.2289704.
- 605 50. Grissa, I.; Vergnaud, G.; Pourcel, C. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered
- regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007, 35, W52-7,
- 607 doi:10.1093/nar/gkm360.
- 608 51. Arndt, D.; Grant, J.R.; Marcu, A.; Sajed, T.; Pon, A.; Liang, Y.; Wishart, D.S. PHASTER: a
- better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016, 44,
- 610 W16–W21, doi:10.1093/nar/gkw387.

- 611 52. Khayi, S.; Blin, P.; Pédron, J.; Chong, T.M.; Chan, K.G.; Moumni, M.; Hélias, V.; Van
- Gijsegem, F.; Faure, D. Population genomics reveals additive and replacing horizontal
- gene transfers in the emerging pathogen Dickeya solani. BMC Genomics 2015, 16,
- 614 788, doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1997-z.
- 615 53. Horvath, P.; Barrangou, R. CRISPR/Cas, the immune system of bacteria and archaea.
- 616 Science (80-.). **2010**, 327, 167–70, doi:10.1126/science.1179555.
- 617 54. Reverchon, S.; Nasser, W. Dickeya ecology, environment sensing and regulation of
- virulence programme. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 2013, 5, 622–636, doi:10.1111/1758-
- 619 2229.12073.
- 620 55. Choi, O.; Kim, J. Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. brasiliense Causing Soft Rot on
- Paprika in Korea. *J. Phytopathol.* **2013**, *161*, 125–127, doi:10.1111/jph.12022.
- 622 56. De Boer, S.H.; Li, X.; Ward, L.J. *Pectobacterium* spp. Associated with Bacterial Stem Rot
- 623 Syndrome of Potato in Canada. Phytopathology 2012, 102, 937–947,
- 624 doi:10.1094/PHYTO-04-12-0083-R.
- 625 57. Meng, X.; Chai, A.; Shi, Y.; Xie, X.; Ma, Z.; Li, B. Emergence of Bacterial Soft Rot in
- 626 Cucumber Caused by Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. brasiliense in China. Plant
- 627 Dis. **2017**, 101, 279–287, doi:10.1094/PDIS-05-16-0763-RE.
- 628 58. Nunes Leite, L.; de Haan, E.G.; Krijger, M.; Kastelein, P.; van der Zouwen, P.S.; van den
- Bovenkamp, G.W.; Tebaldi, N.D.; van der Wolf, J.M. First report of potato blackleg
- caused by *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *brasiliensis* in the Netherlands. *New*
- 631 Dis. Reports **2014**, 29, 24, doi:10.5197/j.2044-0588.2014.029.024.
- 632 59. Panda, P.; Fiers, M.A.W.J.; Armstrong, K.; Pitman, A.R. First report of blackleg and soft
- rot of potato caused by *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *brasiliensis* in New
- Zealand. New Dis. Reports **2012**, 26, 15, doi:10.5197/j.2044-0588.2012.026.015.
- 635 60. van der Merwe, J.J.; Coutinho, T.A.; Korsten, L.; van der Waals, J.E. Pectobacterium
- 636 carotovorum subsp. brasiliensis causing blackleg on potatoes in South Africa. Eur. J.
- 637 Plant Pathol. **2010**, 126, 175–185, doi:10.1007/s10658-009-9531-2.
- 638 61. Li, X.S.; Yuan, K.X.; Cullis, J.; Lévesque, C.A.; Chen, W.; Lewis, C.T.; De Boer, S.H. Draft
- Genome Sequences for Canadian Isolates of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp.
- brasiliense with Weak Virulence on Potato. Genome Announc. 2015, 3, e00240-15,
- doi:10.1128/genomeA.00240-15.
- 642 62. Niemi, O.; Laine, P.; Koskinen, P.; Pasanen, M.; Pennanen, V.; Harjunpää, H.; Nykyri, J.;

- 643 Holm, L.; Paulin, L.; Auvinen, P.; et al. Genome sequence of the model plant pathogen
- Pectobacterium carotovorum SCC1. Stand. Genomic Sci. 2017, 12, 87,
- 645 doi:10.1186/s40793-017-0301-z.
- 646 63. Naas, H.; Sebaihia, M.; Orfei, B.; Rezzonico, F.; Buonaurio, R.; Moretti, C.
- 647 Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. brasiliense and Pectobacterium carotovorum
- subsp. carotovorum as causal agents of potato soft rot in Algeria. Eur. J. Plant Pathol.
- **2018**, *151*, 1027–1034, doi:10.1007/s10658-018-1438-3.
- 650 64. Ozturk, M.; Aksoy, H.M.; Potrykus, M.; Lojkowska, E. Correction to: Genotypic and
- 651 phenotypic variability of *Pectobacterium* strains causing blackleg and soft rot on
- 652 potato in Turkey. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 2018, 152, 1.
- 653 65. van der Wolf, J.; de Haan, E.G.; Kastelein, P.; Krijger, M.; de Haas, B.H.; Velvis, H.;
- Mendes, O.; Kooman-Gersmann, M.; van der Zouwen, P.S. Virulence of
- 655 Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. brasiliense on potato compared with that of
- other *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* species under climatic conditions prevailing in the
- 657 Netherlands. *Plant Pathol.* **2017**, *66*, 571–583, doi:10.1111/ppa.12600.
- 658 66. Charkowski, A.O. The Changing Face of Bacterial Soft-Rot Diseases. Annu. Rev.
- 659 *Phytopathol.* **2018**, *56*, 16éà, doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080417-045906.
- 660 67. Schöner, T.A.; Gassel, S.; Osawa, A.; Tobias, N.J.; Okuno, Y.; Sakakibara, Y.; Shindo, K.;
- Sandmann, G.; Bode, H.B. Aryl Polyenes, a Highly Abundant Class of Bacterial Natural
- Products, Are Functionally Related to Antioxidative Carotenoids. *ChemBioChem* **2016**,
- 663 17, 247–253, doi:10.1002/cbic.201500474.

- 664 68. Alič, Š.; Pédron, J.; Dreo, T.; Van Gijsegem, F. Genomic characterisation of the new
- 665 Dickeya fangzhongdai species regrouping plant pathogens and environmental
- isolates. *BMC Genomics* **2019**, *20*, 34, doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5332-3.

Figure legends

Fig. 1: Species diversity of the Pectobacterium and Dickeya pathogens in the sampling

areas of Northern Morocco.

Sampling of pathogens was performed in four potato growing areas in the Northern Morocco: Meknes (S1), Guigo (S2), Boumia (S3) and Laraache (S4). The map of Morocco was generated by a free and open source geographic information system (https://www.qgis.org/fr/site/index.html). The pie charts represent diversity of the isolated pathogens. None of them was collected from Boumia (S3) region. Legend: Ddi, *Dickeya dianthicola*; Pb, *Pectobacterium brasiliense*; Pc, *Pectobacterium carotovorum*; Pp,

Fig. 2: Phylogeny of the Moroccan strains based on MLSA.

Pectobacterium polaris; Pv, Pectobacterium versatile.

The phylogenetic trees were generated separately (A) for *Pectobacterium* and (B) for *Dickeya* strains. The alignment of the concatenated genes *fusA*, *rpoD*, *rpoS*, *acnA*, *purA*, *recA*, *mdh*, *mtID*, *groEL*, *secY*, *glyA*, *gapA* and *rpIB* was generated using ClustalW; the evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method and the evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA7 software. The name of the Moroccan isolates is underlined.

Fig. 3: Virulence test of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* on potato tubers.

692 The symptoms provoked by each strain were compared by infecting ten tubers per strain.

Ten non-inoculated tubers were used as control. Symptoms were classified into five classes

(CLAO, CLA1, CLA2, CLA3, CLA4 according an increasing severity). The typology of these classes was illustrated by a picture of an example. Data were statistically analyzed by a Kruskal-Wallis test (α = 5%). Lower case letters on the right of the graph indicate statistical differences between the different inoculated pathogens.

Fig. 4: Phylogenetic analysis and characteristics of the Moroccan and NCBI Dickeya

genomes

The gene *fusA*, *rpoD*, *leuS*, *rpoS*, *purA*, *infB*, *gyrB*, *recA*, *groEL*, *secY*, *glyA*, *gapA*, *rplB*, *dnaX* and *gyrA* were concatenated. The alignment was generated using ClustalW; the evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method and the evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA7 software. The Moroccan *D. dianthicola* isolates are indicated in bold face.

Fig. 5: Circular map of the genome of *Dickeya dianthicola* LAR.16.03.LID.

The genome size is 4,976,211 bp with 4,223 predicted protein-coding genes. The GC content and GC skew are represented on the distance scale (in kbp) on the inner map. The arrows around the map indicate deduced ORFs and their orientation.

Fig. 6: Synteny between the complete genomes of D. dianthicola LAR.16.03.LID, RNS049

714 and ME23 strains.

Synteny analysis was performed using MAUVE software. The numbers indicate the position of strain specific genomic regions. The secondary pathway gene clusters were searched

- 717 using AntiSMASH, the prophages were identified using PHASTER and CRISPER loci were
- 718 localized using CRISPER finder.
- 719

722 Table1: Draft genome sequences of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* strains isolated from Northern Morocco.

Organism	Accession N°	Genome size	N50 (pb)	No. of contigs	Coverage	No. of CDS	No. of tRNAs	
Pectobacterium polaris S4.16.03.2B	QZDF00000000	4,862,009	155,865	65	41	4,355	54	
Pectobacterium brasiliense S1.16.01.3k	QZDG00000000	4,946,598	146,844	74	410	4,337	36	
Pectobacterium brasiliense S1.15.11.2D	QZDH00000000	4,818,836	99,392	91	420	4,206	35	
Pectobacterium brasiliense S4.16.03.1C	QZDI00000000	4,944,722	139,665	74	467	4,336	37	
Pectobacterium carotovorum S1-A16	QZDJ00000000	4,835,633	255,206	37	55	4,261	63	
Pectobacterium versatile \$4.16.03.31	QZDK00000000	4,854,084	82,62	108	246	4,247	34	
Pectobacterium versatile S4.16.03.3K	QZDL00000000	4,870,940	90,195	106	237	4,262	34	
Pectobacterium versatile S4.16.03.3F	QZDM00000000	4,852,595	89,731	114	143	4,244	40	
Dickeya dianthicola S4.16.03.P2.4	QZDN00000000	4,865,147	92,028	101	415	4,238	37	
Dickeya dianthicola LAR.16.03.LID	QZDO00000000	4,863,939	71,707	108	344	4,238	37	

Table 2. Pairwise ANI and isDDH values of Pectobacterium and Dickeya strains isolated from Northern Morocco.

								ANI va	lues							
Strains	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1- Pp NIBIO 1006 ^T		96.8	92.9	92.9	93.5	93.7	93.5	93.7	93.4	93.4	93.5	93.5	92.2	79.3	79	79.1
2- Pp S4.16.03.2B	73.30		93	92.9	93.5	93.7	93.5	93.7	93.4	93.5	93.5	93.4	92.2	78.9	79	79
3- Pc ICMP5702 ^T	52.3	52.10		97.2	92.6	92.9	92.8	92.8	95.1	95.2	95.2	95.2	94.8	78.9	78.9	78.9
4-Pc S1-A16	52.2	51.90	76.30		92.6	92.9	92.7	92.9	95.3	95.3	95.3	95.3	94.8	78.8	79.6	79.6
5- Pb LMG 21371 ^T	54.4	54.5	50.9	50.9		96.1	95.9	96.1	92.2	92.2	92.2	92.3	93.00	78.6	79.5	79.5
6-Pb S4.16.03.1C	56	55.7	52	51.7	68.5		96.3	100	92.3	91.2	91.1	91.2	91.9	78.7	79.6	79.6
7-Pb S1.15.11.2D	54.7	54.2	51.3	51	67.1	69.4		96.3	92.3	92.2	92.3	92.3	91.8	79.1	79.1	79.1
8-Pb S1.16.01.3K	56	55.7	76	51.7	68.5	100	71.4		92.4	92.4	92.4	92.3	91.9	79.6	79.6	79.7
9- Pv SCC1	54.3	54.3	63.5	63.9	48.9	49.8	49.5	49.3		99.5	99.5	99.5	94.7	79.2	79.1	79.3
10-Pv S4.16.03.3F	54.4	54.3	63.9	64.3	49.1	49.9	49.5	49.9	96.6		100	100	94.7	79.2	79.2	79.2
11-Pv S4.16.03.3k	54.4	54.3	63.8	64.2	49.2	49.9	49.5	49.9	96.6	99.3		100	94.7	79.3	79.3	79.4
12-Pv S4.16.03.3I	54.4	54.3	63.8	64.2	49.1	49.9	49.5	49.8	96.5	100	99.3		94.7	79.3	79.3	79.3
13- Po BCS7	49.2	49	61.3	60.6	47.4	47.8	47.6	47.8	60.4	60.7	60.6	60.6		79.1	79.1	79.1
14- Ddi NCPPB453 [™]	21.1	20.7	20.5	20.7	20.9	21	20.6	21	21.2	21	21	21	21.1		99.5	99.5
15-Ddi S4.16.03.P2.4	20.8	20.6	20.4	20.9	21.1	20.9	20.7	20.9	21	21	21	21	20.8	95.6		100
16-Ddi LAR.16.03.LID	20.8	20.6	20.4	21	21.1	20.9	20.6	20.9	21	21	21	21	20.8	95.6	100	
	is-DDH															

Strains: **1**, *P. polaris* NIBIO1006^T; **2**, *P. polaris* S4.16.03.2B **3**, *P. carotovorum* ICMP5702^T; **4**, *P. carotovorum* S1-A16; **5**, *P. brasiliense* LMG21371^T; **6**, *P. brasiliense* S4.16.03.1C; **7**, *P. brasiliense* S1.15.11.2D **8**, *P. brasiliense* S1.16.01 3K; **9**, *P. versatile* SCC1; **10**, *P. versatile* S4.16.03.3F; **11**, *P. versatile* S4.16.03.3I; **13**, *P. odoriferum* BCS7; **14**, *D. dianthicola* NCPPB 453T, **15**, *D. dianthicola* S4.16.03.P2.4; **16**, *D. dianthicola* LAR.16.03.LID.