

A curiosity about $(-1)^{[e]} + (-1)^{[2e]} + \cdots + (-1)^{[Ne]}$. Francesco Amoroso, Moubinool Omarjee

▶ To cite this version:

Francesco Amoroso, Moubinool Omarjee. A curiosity about $(-1)^{[e]} + (-1)^{[2e]} + \cdots + (-1)^{[Ne]}$. 2020. hal-02872415

HAL Id: hal-02872415 https://hal.science/hal-02872415

Preprint submitted on 17 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A CURIOSITY ABOUT $(-1)^{[e]} + (-1)^{[2e]} + \dots + (-1)^{[Ne]}$.

FRANCESCO AMOROSO AND MOUBINOOL OMARJEE

ABSTRACT. Let α be an irrational real number; the behavior of the sum $S_N(\alpha) := (-1)^{[\alpha]} + (-1)^{[2\alpha]} + \cdots + (-1)^{[N\alpha]}$ depends on the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha/2$. Since the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{2}/2$ has bounded partial quotients, $S_N(\sqrt{2}) = O(\log(N))$ and this bound is best possible. The partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of e grow slowly and thus $S_N(2e) = O(\frac{\log(N)^2}{\log\log(N)^2})$, again best possible. The partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of e/2 behave similarly as those of e. Surprisingly enough $S_N(e) = O(\frac{\log(N)}{\log\log(N)})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let α be an irrational real number; we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the sum

$$S_N(\alpha) := (-1)^{[\alpha]} + (-1)^{[2\alpha]} + \dots + (-1)^{[N\alpha]}.$$

The origin of this question seems to go back to [12], where it is remarked that $S_N(\sqrt{2}) = O(\log N)$. More accurate estimates for $S_N(\sqrt{2})$ are available in [5] and were already implicit in [9], where the authors gave an unexpected explicit formula¹ for $S_N(\sqrt{2})$ in terms of the continued fraction expansion² $\sqrt{2} = [1; \overline{2}]$.

The behavior of $S_N(\alpha)$ is closely related to the uniform distribution mod 1 of the sequence $(n\alpha/2)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Indeed, $[n\alpha]$ is even if and only if the fractional part $\{n\alpha/2\}$ is in [0, 1/2). Thus,

$$S_N(\alpha) = |\{n = 1, \dots, N \mid [n\alpha] \text{ even }\}| - |\{n = 1, \dots, N \mid [n\alpha] \text{ odd }\}|$$

(1.1)
$$= 2|\{n = 1, \dots, N \mid \{n\alpha/2\} \in [0, 1/2)\}| - N$$
$$= 2D_N(\alpha/2, 1/2).$$

Here D_N is the local discrepancy:

$$D_N(\alpha, x) = |\{n = 1, \dots, N \mid \{n\alpha\} \in [0, x)\}| - Nx$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in [0, 1]$. A lazy way to bound $D_N(\alpha)$ is to put in the picture the global discrepancy³

$$D_N(\alpha) := \sup_{0 \le x < y \le 1} \Big| |\{n = 1, \dots, N \mid \{n\alpha\} \in [x, y)\}| - N(y - x) \Big|.$$

Date: June 17, 2020.

¹which can be viewed as an equality between non absolutely convergent Fourier series.

²Here and below, $\overline{a_1, \ldots, a_k}$ means $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a_1, \ldots, a_k, \ldots$

³Note that some authors, as [3], divide by N in the definition of D_N .

Thus $|D_N(\alpha, 1/2)| \leq D_N(\alpha)$. For an irrational α , the sequence $(n\alpha)$ is uniform distribution mod 1 by a well known theorem attributed ([3], p.21) independently to Bohl, Sierpiński and Weyl. This means that $D_N(\alpha) = o(N)$. More precise estimates for D_N depend on the diophantine approximation properties of α . We recall that the irrationality exponent $\mu(\alpha)$ of an irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is the infimum (possibly $+\infty$) of the set of positive real numbers μ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with q > 0 we have

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| > \frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{q^{\mu + \varepsilon}}.$$

It is well known that $\mu(\alpha) \geq 2$ with equality for almost all α . It is also well known that μ is invariant by integral Möbius transformations $\alpha \mapsto \frac{a\alpha+b}{c\alpha+d}$ $(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc \neq 0).$

From⁴ [3, Theorem 3.2, p.123] $D_N(\alpha) = O_{\gamma}(N^{\gamma})$ for any $\gamma > 1 - \frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)-1}$. In particular, if $\mu(\alpha) = 2$ we have $D_N(\alpha) = O_{\gamma}(N^{\gamma})$ for any $\gamma > 0$. A more precise result holds for irrational numbers α whose continued fraction expansion has bounded partial quotients (and hence irrationality measure 2). In this case we have (see [3, Theorem 3.4, p.125]) $D_N(\alpha) = O(\log N)$.

This last estimate cannot be improved. Indeed the global discrepancy D_N of every infinite sequence $(u_n)_n$ is⁵ $\Omega(\log N)$ (see [13, Theorem 1, p.45]).

Nevertheless, we can construct irrational α such that $|D_N(\alpha, 1/2)|$ is as small as we wish. Our first result is:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\delta \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function which tends to infinity. Then there exists an irrational number α such that

$$D_N(\alpha, 1/2) = O(\delta(N)).$$

Equivalently, we can find an irrational α such that $S_n(\alpha) = O(\delta(N))$.

By [2, Theorem 8, p.237], for any irrational α there exists a positive constant $A = A(\alpha)$ such that $|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(n\alpha)| \ge AN$, where $f(t) = \{t\} - 1/2$. By Theorem (1.1) we cannot replace in this statement $\{t\} - 1/2$ with $(-1)^{[t]}$, even taking instead of N any function $\delta(N)$ which tends to infinity. See also [10] for a related question.

We then show that for some classical number the local discrepancy $D_N(\alpha, 1/2)$ can be substantially smaller than $D_N(\alpha)$ and even $o(\log N)$.

Theorem 1.2.

(1.2)
$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2) \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{8}$$

and

(1.3)
$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} |D_N(e/2, 1/2)| \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

⁴The authors state this result in terms of the type of α which is equal to $\mu(\alpha) - 1$.

⁵Here Ω is the Landau symbol: if f, g are two functions with g > 0 then $f = \Omega(g)$. means $f \neq o(g)$

⁶Note that for any irrational α we have $\overline{\lim} |S_n(\alpha)| = +\infty$ ([7, Theorem 1]).

Let's come back to the sum in the title. The question of providing good bound for $S_N(e)$ goes back to H. Pépin [7], who, in the nice self-contained treatement of this matter [8], already get $S_N(e) = O((\log N)^2)$. Equations (1.1) and (1.2) show that $S_N(e)$ is smaller than what one would expect:

(1.4)
$$S_N(e) = (-1)^{[e]} + (-1)^{[2e]} + \dots + (-1)^{[ne]} = O(\log(N)/\log\log(N)).$$

Note that

$$S_N(2e) = (-1)^{[2e]} + (-1)^{[4e]} + \dots + (-1)^{[2Ne]} = O((\log(N) / \log\log(N))^2)$$

is best possible, by (1.1) and by (1.6) of Theorem 1.3 below.

Bounds for $S_N(\alpha)$ are useful to study the convergence of sums of the shape $\sum_n (-1)^{[n\alpha]} u_n$. Let $\Delta u_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$. By partial sommation (as in the solution to [6] proposed by R. Tauraso [14]) we see that such a sum converges if $S_N(\alpha)u_N \to 0$ and $\sum_N S_N(\alpha)\Delta u_N$ converges. By (1.4) both conditions are satisfied when $\alpha = e$ and $u_n = \frac{\log \log(n+1)}{\log(n+1)^2}$. To get more precise and general results, it might be suitable to make a second partial summation, since the arithmetic mean of $S_N(\alpha)$ behave more regularly.

The gain of the factor $\frac{\log \log N}{\log N}$ in (1.3) heavily depends on the particular structure of the continued expansion of e/2. Let us give a short explication. Both estimates (1.2) and (1.3) for the global and local discrepancy of (ne/2) depend on the partial quotients $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of the continued fraction expansion of e/2. This sequence is unbounded. But in the estimate (1.3) only the a_n with $n \not\equiv 2 \mod 3$ come in. The corresponding sequence is now bounded. This phenomenon does not occurr if we replace e/2 by e, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 1.3.

(1.5)
$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e) \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

and

(1.6)
$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} |D_N(e, 1/2)| \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Relations (1.3) and (1.6) show that the order of growth of $\alpha \mapsto D_N(\alpha, 1/2)$ is *not* invariant with respect to Möbius transformations, contrary to what happen for the global discrepancy.

Although our theorems are straightforward applications of known results ([1] and [11]), it seems that they deserve to be remarked.

2. Computations

Proof of of Theorem 1.1. The proof is an easy application of [11, Example, p.1497]. Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function taking odd values and which increases to infinity sufficiently fast. We choose

$$\alpha = \alpha_f = [0; 1, 1, f(1), 1, 1, f(2), \ldots].$$

Let a_j and q_m be the partial quotients and the denominators of the convergents of α . For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $m(N) \in \mathbb{N}$ by the property

$$q_{m(N)} \le N < q_{m(N)} + 1.$$

Put

$$a_j^+ = \begin{cases} a_j, & \text{if } q_{j-1} \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$a_j^- = \begin{cases} a_j, & \text{if } q_{j-1} \text{ and } j \text{ are even;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define the following sums:

$$S_m^+ = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{2|j \le m \\ 2 \nmid q_j}} a_{j+1} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^m a_{j+1}^+, \qquad S_m^- = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{2|j \le m \\ 2 \nmid q_j}} a_{j+1} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^m a_{j+1}^-.$$

Then from [11, Example, p.1497] we have (as in the deduction of Corollary 1.2 from Theorem 1.1 in [1]):

(2.1)
$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2, 1/2) / S^+_{m(N)} = -\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2, 1/2) / S^-_{m(N)} = 1.$$

From the usual recursive definition of q_m we easily see that q_{j-1} is even iff $j \equiv 0 \mod 3$. Thus

$$\{a_j^+\}_{j\geq 1} = \{\overline{1,0,0}\}, \quad \{a_j^-\}_{j\geq 1} = \{\overline{0,1,0}\}$$

and

(2.2)
$$S_m^+ \sim S_m^- \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{[m/3]} 1 \sim \frac{m}{12}.$$

Moreover, from the recursive definition of q_m we have

$$q_m \ge \prod_{j=1}^{[m/3]} f(j).$$

Thus, if f grows sufficiently fast, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $q_{12[\delta(N)]} \geq N$ and, by definition, $m(N) \leq [12\delta(N)]$. By (2.1) and (2.2) we have $D_N(\alpha, 1/2) = O(\delta(N))$ as desired.

⁷ To check this property we can of course reduce modulo 2 all the partial coefficients, thus reduce ourselves to compute the well-known convergents of the golden ratio.

Proof of of Theorem 1.2. To prove (1.2) we follow the proof of [1, Theorem 3.2(2), p.286] taking now (*cf* (2.3)) a_1, a_2, \ldots be the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion⁸ of e/2

 $(2.3) \quad e/2 = [1; 2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3, 5, 3, 1, 5, 1, 3, 7, 3, 1, 7, 1, 3, \ldots].$

We easily find

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i+1} \sim 2 \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} (2k-1) \sim \frac{1}{18}m^2$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \log a_{i+1} \sim 2 \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} \log(2k-1) \sim \frac{1}{3}m \log m.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2) \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N}\right)^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{18}}{4(\frac{1}{3})^2} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

To prove (1.3) we apply again the formula in [11, Example, p.1497]. Let a_j and q_m be the partial quotients and the denominators of the convergents of (2.3). Let m(N), a_j^{\pm} and S_m^{\pm} be as in the the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then

(2.4)
$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2, 1/2) / S^+_{m(N)} = -\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2, 1/2) / S^-_{m(N)} = 1.$$

From (2.3) and from the usual recursive definition of q_m we see $(cf \text{ note}^7)$ that q_{j-1} is even iff $j \equiv 2 \mod 3$. Thus

$$\{a_j^+\}_{j\geq 1} = \{2, \overline{0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 3}\}, \qquad \{a_j^-\}_{j\geq 1} = \{2, \overline{0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0}\}$$

and

$$S_m^+ \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} (3+3) \sim \frac{1}{4}m, \qquad S_m^- \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} (1+1) \sim \frac{1}{12}m.$$

Moreover (cf (2.3))

$$\log q_m \sim \sum_{i=1}^m \log a_i \sim 2 \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} \log(2k-1) \sim 2\frac{m}{6} \log m = \frac{1}{3}m \log m$$

which, by definition of m(N), easily implies $m(N) \sim 3 \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}$. Replacing these estimates in (2.6) we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2, 1/2) / (\frac{3}{4} \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}) = -\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e/2, 1/2) / (\frac{3}{12} \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}) = 1.$$

Equation (1.3) follows.

⁸which can be easily computed from the well-known Euler continued fraction of e, e.g. by known algorithms [4].

Proof of of Theorem 1.3. Equation (1.5) is a special case of [1, Theorem 3.2(2), p.286]. The deduction of (1.6) follows the same lines as that of (1.3). Let a_j and q_m be the partial quotients and the denominators of the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of e

$$(2.5) e = [2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, 8, \dots]$$

Let m(N), a_j^{\pm} and S_m^{\pm} as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. From [11, Example, p.1497]:

(2.6)
$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e, 1/2) / S^+_{m(N)} = -\lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e, 1/2) / S^-_{m(N)} = 1.$$

From (2.5) and from the usual recursive definition of q_m we easily see that q_{j-1} is even iff $j \equiv 0, 4 \mod 6$. Thus (cf (2.5))

$$\{a_j^+\}_{j\geq 1} = \{1, 0, 1, 0, 4, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 8, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 12, 0, \ldots\}; \{a_j^-\}_{j\geq 1} = \{0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 10, 0, 0, 0, 0, \ldots\}$$

and

$$S_m^+ \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} (1+1+4k) \sim \frac{1}{72}m^2, \qquad S_m^- \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{[m/6]} (4k-2) \sim \frac{1}{72}m^2.$$

Moreover (cf again (2.5))

$$\log q_m \sim \sum_{i=1}^m \log a_i \sim \sum_{k=1}^{[m/3]} \log(2k) \sim \frac{1}{3}m \log m$$

which implies $m(N) \sim 3 \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}$. Replacing these estimates in (2.6) we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e, 1/2) / \left(\frac{1}{8} (\frac{\log N}{\log \log N})^2 \right) = - \lim_{N \to +\infty} D_N(e, 1/2) / \left(\frac{1}{8} (\frac{\log N}{\log \log N})^2 \right) = 1.$$

Equation (1.6) follows.

References

- [1] C. Baxa and J. Schoissengeier, "Minimum and maximum order of magnitude of the discrepancy of $(n\alpha)$ ", Acta Arithmetica **67**, 281–290 (1994)
- [2] G. H. Hardy and J. E Littlewood, "The lattice-points of a right-angled triangle. (Second memoir.)." Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 1 211–248 (1922).
- [3] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter "Uniform Distribution of Sequences". Dover Books on Mathematics. 2006.
- [4] P. Liardet and P. Stambul, "Algebraic computations with continued fractions". J. Number Theory 73 92–121 (1998).
- [5] K. O'Bryant, B. Reznick and M. Serbinowska "Almost Alternating Sums", *The Amer. Math. Monthly*, **113**, 673–688 (2006)
- [6] M. Omarjee, "Problem 11384." Amer. Math. Monthly 115, 757 (2008)
- [7] H. Pépin, "Question et Réponses. Q86", Revue de mathématiques spéciales, Octobre 1987, page 116.
- [8] H. Pépin, "Question et Réponses. R86", Revue de mathématiques spéciales, Janvier 1989, pages 229–232.
- [9] A. Perelli e U. Zannier, "On the parity of [n√2]". Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6), 2 77–83 (1983).

- [10] A. Perelli and U. Zannier, "An Ω result in uniform distribution theory". Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 38 95–102(1987).
- [11] L. Roçadas and J. Schoissengeier, "On the local discrepancy of $(n\alpha)$ -sequences", J. Number Theory **131**, 1492–1497 (2011)
- [12] H. D. Ruderman and D. Borwein, "Problems and Solutions: Solutions of Advanced Problems: 6105", Amer. Math. Monthly 85, 207–208 (1978).
- [13] W. M. Schmidt, "Irregularities of distribution.VII", Acta Arith. 21 45–50 (1972).
- [14] R. Tauraso, "Solution to Problem 11384 (American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.115, October 2008)". https://www.mat.uniroma2.it/~tauraso/AMM/ AMM11384.pdf

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME, CNRS UMR 6139, UNIVER-SITÉ DE CAEN NORMANDIE. BP 5186, 14032 CAEN CEDEX, FRANCE

Lycée Henri IV. 23 Rue Clovis, 75005 Paris, France