



HAL
open science

Simplified Assessment of Antiretroviral Adherence and Prediction of Virological Efficacy in HIV-Infected Patients in Cambodia

Olivier Segeral, Yoann Madec, Boroath Ban, Vara Ouk, Chan Roeurn Hak, Clotilde Le Tiec, Eric Nerrienet, Cécile Goujard, Anne Marie Taburet, Jean Francois Delfraissy, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Olivier Segeral, Yoann Madec, Boroath Ban, Vara Ouk, Chan Roeurn Hak, et al.. Simplified Assessment of Antiretroviral Adherence and Prediction of Virological Efficacy in HIV-Infected Patients in Cambodia. *AIDS Research and Treatment*, 2010, 2010, pp.142076. 10.1155/2010/142076 . hal-02868326

HAL Id: hal-02868326

<https://hal.science/hal-02868326>

Submitted on 7 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Research Article

Simplified Assessment of Antiretroviral Adherence and Prediction of Virological Efficacy in HIV-Infected Patients in Cambodia

Olivier Segeral,¹ Yoann Madec,² Boroath Ban,³ Vara Ouk,³ Chan Roern Hak,³ Clotilde Le Tiec,⁴ Eric Nerrienet,⁵ Cécile Goujard,¹ Anne Marie Taburet,⁴ Jean Francois Delfraissy,¹ and Arnaud Fontanet²

¹ Service de Médecine Interne, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bicêtre, AP-HP, 94270 Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France

² Unité d'Epidémiologie des maladies émergentes, Institut Pasteur, 75015 Paris, France

³ Service de Maladies Infectieuses, Hôpital Calmette, 12100 Phnom-Penh, Cambodia

⁴ Service de Pharmacologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bicêtre, AP-HP, 94270 Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France

⁵ Laboratoire VIH/Hépatites, Institut Pasteur, 12100 Phnom-Penh, Cambodia

Correspondence should be addressed to Olivier Segeral, oliseg@hotmail.com

Received 18 August 2009; Accepted 24 November 2009

Academic Editor: Patrice K. Nicholas

Copyright © 2010 Olivier Segeral et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Adherence to antiviral therapy is important for HIV-infected people living in low- and middle-income countries, because of poor access to alternative regimens. **Methods.** We conducted a cross-sectional survey of adherence in Cambodian patients enrolled in the ESTHER program and treated with WHO first-line regimen for at least 6 months. The survey was based on a self-report questionnaire, drug assay, MCV measurement, visual analog scale, and viral load HIV RNA. **Results.** Two hundred fifty-nine patients treated for a median of 16 months participated in the survey. At inclusion in the program, 158 patients (61%) were ARV-naïve. The virological success rate was 71% overall and 81% in previously ARV-naïve patients. Considered individually, the measures suggested perfect adherence in 71% to 93% of patients. In multivariate analysis adjusted for sex and therapeutic status before HAART initiation, only the biological markers were associated with virological efficacy. Self-funded treatment before entry to the program was highly predictive of virological failure. **Conclusion.** Adherence was excellent in these Cambodian patients. Biological markers were predictive of virological efficacy. MCV might thus serve as a simple alternative for assessing adherence and predicting virological efficacy among patients receiving AZT- or d4T-based regimens.

1. Introduction

Since highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) became widely available in industrialized countries, mortality and morbidity among patients living with HIV/AIDS have been substantially reduced [1]. Recently, access to ARV has improved in low- and middle-income countries. In late 2007, three million people in such countries were receiving HAART [2]. Numerous initiatives have shown that countries such as Brazil, Thailand, and Senegal can provide HAART on a large scale by using produced generic drugs [3–5], and that smaller programs can provide HAART in local healthcare centres [6–10]. These cohort studies also demonstrated the efficacy of World Health Organization-(WHO-)recommended first-line HAART regimens, mainly thanks to excellent adherence to treatment [10–12].

It is particularly important to assess adherence during HAART programs, mainly owing to the limited availability of alternative regimens [13]. Indeed, poor adherence can lead to the emergence of drug resistance [14–16], notably to first-line nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens recommended by WHO. In countries with poor access to laboratory monitoring (CD4 cell count and viral load), it has been suggested that treatment monitoring could be based simply on physical examination and adherence evaluation [17]. Educational and support programs can improve adherence [18–20].

Adherence is difficult to evaluate, however. Self-report questionnaires have been widely used, for their low cost and simplicity, in both industrialized and developing countries [21, 22]. Visual analog scales have also recently been used to assess adherence [23, 24]. However, the accuracy of these

TABLE 1: Description of the tools used to assess adherence.

	N (%)
HAART dose missed in the last four days*	
Yes	5 (2)
No	252 (98)
Dose delayed by >2 hours in the last four days*	
Yes	22 (8.5)
No	235 (91.5)
HAART dose missed the previous weekend*	
Yes	17 (6.6)
No	240 (93.4)
Self-report questionnaire (combining the above three items)*	
At least one missed HAART dose	34 (13.2)
100% adherent	223 (86.8)
Visual analog scale*	
<9	11 (4.3)
9	62 (24.1)
10	184 (71.6)
Antiretroviral drug concentrations (in ng/mL)	
<1000 for EFV or <3000 for NVP	18 (7)
≥1000 for EFV or ≥3000 for NVP	241 (93)
Macrocytosis (MCV > 100 fL)**	
No	23 (9.1)
Yes	229 (90.9)

* Available for 257 patients, ** Available for 252 patients.

methods can be undermined by issues of recall bias and social desirability [24]. Antiretroviral (ARV) drug assay [25–27] and electronic monitoring [28, 29] are more objective but may be too complex and costly for use in developing countries. Macrocytosis, defined as a mean corpuscular volume (MCV) exceeding 100 fL, is common during treatment with AZT- and d4T-containing regimens and has been proposed as an alternative and less expensive way of assessing adherence [30].

We therefore conducted a cross-sectional survey of HIV-infected patients receiving NNRTI-based regimens through the ESTHER program in Phnom Penh (Cambodia) in order to identify simpler tools for the identification of nonadherent patients and for the prediction of virological failure.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Patients. In February 2003, the Cambodian Ministry of Health and the French ESTHER initiative implemented a free treatment program for patients living with HIV/AIDS at Calmette hospital, Phnom Penh. HAART was prescribed according to WHO recommendations (WHO stages III and IV, irrespective of the CD4 cell count, or asymptomatic patients with CD4 cell counts $\leq 200/\mu\text{L}$) both to ARV-naïve patients and to patients having previously paid for ARV themselves. In keeping with national guidelines, first-line

therapy consisted of two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) plus one NNRTI. The HAART combination was (AZT or d4T)/3TC/efavirenz (EFV) initially, but was then switched to (AZT or D4T)/3TC/nevirapine (NVP) after July 2004, owing to EFV supply problems. Patients were seen in the hospital every month after enrolment, for a physical examination and to record clinical and therapeutic information. On HAART initiation, the patients also entered a therapeutic education program run by nurses. The patients were seen monthly for three months, or more if the educational objectives were not reached (median duration 4.6 months, IQR: 3–6). The adherence study was restricted to patients who had been on HAART for at least six months, to increase the odds that they had met their educational objectives.

2.2. Adherence Assessment. Adherence was assessed in an outpatient clinic. A self-report questionnaire focusing on recent drug intake was administered. The questionnaire consisted of the following three items: (i) “did you miss any HAART doses during the last four days?” (ii) “Were you late for any of your intakes by more than two hours during the last four days?” and (iii) “did you miss any HAART doses last week-end?” Because of the low educational level of many patients, one-third of the questionnaires were administered by nurses.

A visual analog scale similar to that developed for pain evaluation was also used. The patients were asked to position a cursor between “never” (score 1) and “always” (score 10) in response to the question: “In general, would you say you take your treatment...?” Any answer different from 10 was considered to represent nonadherence.

EFV and NVP plasma concentrations were measured by using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Patients were asked to come to the clinic in the morning without having taken their daily dose of NVP, and 12 hours after their last dose of EFV. Patients with EFV and NVP concentrations below 1000 ng/mL and 3000 ng/mL, respectively, were considered nonadherent.

As all the patients took AZT or D4T, those with an MCV of ≤ 100 fL were considered nonadherent.

A blood sample was collected to determine the CD4 cell count (CyFlow, Partec, Germany) and HIV RNA viral load (ANRS second-generation (G2) real-time RT-PCR) [31].

2.3. Statistical Methods. All patients who had at least one adherence assessment were included in the analysis. Among the 341 patients meeting the inclusion criteria (including more than 6 months of HAART), 13 patients (3.8%) had died, 14 (4.1%) had been lost to follow-up, 9 (2.6%) had been directed to others centers, 12 were on an LPV/r-containing regimen, 8 were on a triple NRTI combination, and 25 could not be evaluated. A total of 259 patients were finally included in the analysis.

The association between each measure of adherence and virological failure (defined as >400 HIV RNA copies/mL) was tested for significance by using the Chi-square test. A logistic regression model was used to evaluate the contribution of

TABLE 2: Association between the four measures of adherence and virological failure in the overall population.

	N	Virological failure: N (%)	OR [95% CI]	P
Self-report questionnaire (all three items)*				
100% adherent	223	63 (28.2)	1	
At least one missed HAART dose	34	11 (32.3)	1.21 [0.56–2.64]	.62
Visual analog scale*				
10	184	54 (29.3)	1	
9	62	18 (29.1)	0.98 [0.52–1.85]	.70
<9	11	2 (18.2)	0.54 [0.11–2.55]	
Antiretroviral drug concentrations (ng/mL)				
≥1000 for EFV or ≥3000 for NVP	241	61 (25.3)	1	
<1000 for EFV or <3000 for NVP	18	13 (72.2)	7.67 [2.63–22.40]	<.0001
Macrocytosis (MCV ≥100 fL)**				
Yes	229	62 (27.1)	1	
No	23	12 (52.1)	2.94 [1.23–7]	.015
Self-report questionnaire plus macrocytosis				
100% adherent	200	56 (28)	1	
At least one mistake	50	18 (36)	1.44 [0.75–2.78]	.27
Self-report questionnaire plus drug assays				
100% adherent	209	54 (25.8)	1	
At least one mistake	48	20 (41.7)	2.05 [1.07–3.93]	.031

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval, *Available for 257 patients, ** Available for 252 patients.

the different measures of adherence to predict virological failure. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 8 software (Stata Corporation, College Station; Texas, USA); all tests were two-sided and *P* values <.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

Among the 259 patients evaluated, 151 (58%) were male. Median age was 35 years (interquartile range (IQR), 31–41). At inclusion in the program, the median CD4 cell count was 93/ μ L (IQR, 38–173); 158 patients (61%) were ARV-naïve and 101 (39%) had already taken ARV before entry to the program (dual NRTI therapy in 63 cases and a fixed-dose combination of D4T/3TC/NVP in 38 cases). At the time of the evaluation, HAART consisted of AZT/3TC/NVP in 183 (71%) patients, AZT/3TC/EFV in 46 patients (18%), d4T/3TC/NVP in 17 patients (6%), and d4T/3TC/EFV in 14 patients (5%). The median CD4 cell count increments at 6 and 12 months were, respectively, 54/ μ L (IQR, 18–105) and 92/ μ L (31–144). At the study visit, the median treatment duration was 16.1 months (IQR, 14.3–17.7). Viral load was below 400 HIV RNA copies/mL in 71.5% ($n = 185$) of the patients overall, and in 81% ($n = 128$) of the 158 ARV-naïve patients.

As shown in Table 1, the different measurements showed that most patients were adherent. In particular, 241 patients (93%) had drug concentrations above the thresholds used to define nonadherence. The visual analog scale gave the lowest level of adherence (71%).

The association between virological failure and the different measures of adherence was first investigated in all

the patients (Table 2), and then in the previously ARV-naïve patients only (Table 3). Except for the visual analog scale, the different measures were associated with virological failure in univariate analysis, and the association was stronger in ARV-naïve patients. In an attempt to improve the identification of nonadherent patients, we tested various combinations of the measures of adherence. A patient was considered nonadherent if any single measure indicated they were nonadherent. When added to the self-report questionnaire, MCV and drug assay each strengthened the association with the virological response.

After adjustment for sex and therapeutic status at entry to the ESTHER program, logistic regression showed that the results of the self-report questionnaire did not correlate with virological failure. Only the biological measures were associated with virological failure (Table 4). Exposure to ARV before entry to the ESTHER program was strongly associated with virological failure.

4. Discussion

Resistance to NNRTI-based regimens emerges rapidly when adherence is poor. In our study, adherence was considered “perfect” in more than 90% of patients, whatever the method used to estimate it, and 81% of previously ARV-naïve patients were virological responders. Similar good results have been obtained in Cambodia by an MSF team [32, 33], as well as in other low- to middle-income countries with similar regimens [10, 11].

Several factors could explain this good adherence. First, treatment was free of charge for all the patients, thanks to the financial stability of the program. Second, good drug

TABLE 3: Association between the four measures of adherence and virological failure in the previously HAART-naïve population.

	N	Virological failure: N (%)	OR [95% CI]	P
Self-report questionnaire (all three items)*				
100% adherent	134	22 (16.4)	1	
At least one missed HAART dose	22	8 (36.4)	2.91 [1.09–7.76]	.034
Visual analog scale*				
10	110	20 (18.1)	1	
9	39	8 (20.5)	1.16 [0.46–2.90]	.78
<9	7	2 (28.6)	1.8 [0.32–9.95]	
Antiretroviral drug concentrations (ng/mL)				
≥1000 for EFV or ≥3000 for NVP	149	24 (16.1)	1	
<1000 for EFV or <3000 for NVP	9	6 (66.7)	10.42 [2.43–44.54]	.002
Macrocytosis (MCV ≥ 100 fL)**				
Yes	141	23 (16.3)	1	
No	14	7 (50)	5.13 [1.64–16.02]	.007
Self-report questionnaire and macrocytosis				
100% adherent	121	18 (14.9)	1	
At least one mistake	32	12 (37.5)	3.43 [1.43–8.22]	.006
Self-report questionnaire and drug assays				
100% adherent	129	20 (15.5)	1	
At least one mistake	27	10 (37)	3.20 [1.28–8]	.015

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval, *Available for 156 patients, ** Available for 155 patients.

TABLE 4: Multivariate logistic regression: factors associated with virological failure (N = 250).

	OR [95% CI]	P
Sex		
Female	1	
Male	0.59 [0.32–1.09]	.094
Previously treated patients		
No	1	
Yes	3.98 [2.15–7.36]	<.0001
Self-report questionnaire		
100% adherent	1	
At least one mistake	0.88 [0.36–2.14]	.77
Macrocytosis		
Yes	1	
No	3.09 [1.17–8.18]	.023
Plasma drug concentrations		
≥1000 for EFV or ≥3000 for NVP	1	
<1000 for EFV or <3000 for NVP	10.46 [3.06–35.78]	<.0001

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

procurement and distribution practices avoided drug supply disruption. Both points have been shown to be a significant cause of drug resistance and ARV failure in Uganda [34]. Third, the patients received therapeutic education aimed at improving adherence [19]. The patients had been following this program for between three and six months before being evaluated for virological outcome and simultaneously, for adherence.

In low- to middle-income countries, where access to viral load assays is limited, HAART efficacy is monitored by using clinical and immunological criteria that have relatively low positive predictive value for virological failure [35, 36]. In order to improve the prediction of virological efficacy, we evaluated different measures of adherence, both individually and in combination. The questionnaire assessing drug intake during the previous four days (two items) and the previous week-end (one item) showed a high level of adherence, as reported elsewhere [21, 33]. Nevertheless, the association with virological failure was weak and was only significant in previously ARV-naïve patients. Moreover, this association disappeared in multivariate analysis. These results may be explained partly by issues of social desirability and the loss of anonymity when the patient needed help from a nurse to answer the questionnaire [25].

We also evaluated MCV and plasma drug assay, as more objective measurements of adherence [25, 26]. We found that 93% of patients had drug concentrations within the target range, suggesting a high level of adherence. The favorable pharmacokinetic properties of NNRTIs, which have long half-lives and good oral absorption, ensure consistent drug concentrations and could thus explain this result. Nevertheless, even if the association between virological success and optimal therapeutic drug concentrations was strong in univariate and multivariate analysis, drug assays are difficult to implement in Cambodia, especially in the province where most of patients lived. Furthermore, although drug assay is readily available in low- to middle-income countries, it is unlikely that it would be cost-effective for monitoring HAART.

The absence of macrocytosis was associated with virological failure, as all the patients were on AZT- or d4T-based regimens. The association was strong in previously ARV-naïve patients and persisted in multivariate analysis. In Cambodia, as well as in many other low- to middle-income countries, AZT and d4T are the most widely used NRTIs, in keeping with WHO recommendations. MCV was determined during standard automated blood analyses, which are widely available in many district hospitals in Cambodia. This parameter might thus be used in combination with clinical and immunological criteria to monitor HAART exposure and efficacy.

Forty percent of our patients had taken dual NRTI therapy or a fixed dose combination of D4T/3TC/NVP before entry to the ESTHER program, and the efficacy of the study regimens was lower in these patients than in previously ARV-naïve patients. Self-funded treatment in the private sector was significantly associated with subsequent virological failure, even after adjustment for other factors [37]. This was confirmed by our logistic regression analysis. These patients probably had NRTI and/or NNRTI resistance mutations (data not shown), and subsequent HAART was often ineffective despite good adherence.

Resistance to NNRTIs and NRTIs is the most important issue in low- to middle-income countries, where access to protease inhibitors is limited. WHO-approved first-line HAART must be maintained as long as possible, and the identification of patients at risk of resistance must be a priority. Unfortunately, access to viral load assay and genotypic resistance tests is currently limited. As self-report questionnaires may lack accuracy and as drug monitoring is difficult to implement, MCV could be an interesting alternative marker of adherence. Viral load assay could be added if MCV results suggest poor adherence.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the patients and staff of Calmette Hospital in Phnom-Penh who participated in this study, the National Center for HIV/AIDS Dermatology and STDs (NCHADS), Phnom-Penh, Cambodia, the staff of the Internal Medicine Department of Bicêtre Hospital, the staff of Pharmacia, the Format Santé association in Bobigny Hospital who trained the educators, David Young for editing the manuscript, Dr. Patrizia Carrieri for her helpful advice, and particularly the ESTHER program for their continued support and funding.

References

- [1] F. J. Palella Jr., K. M. Delaney, A. C. Moorman, et al., "Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. HIV Outpatient Study Investigators," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 338, pp. 853–860, 1998.
- [2] "Towards universal access: scaling up priority HIV/AIDS interventions in the health sector," Progress Report, WHO, UNAIDS, UNICEF, Geneva, Switzerland, 2008.
- [3] C. Laurent, N. Diakhate, N. F. Gueye, et al., "The Senegalese government's highly active antiretroviral therapy initiative: an 18-month follow-up study," *AIDS*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1363–1370, 2002.
- [4] J. Galvao, "Access to antiretroviral drugs in Brazil," *The Lancet*, vol. 360, no. 9348, pp. 1862–1865, 2002.
- [5] P. Phanuphak, "Antiretroviral treatment in resource-poor settings: what can we learn from the existing programmes in Thailand?" *AIDS*, vol. 18, supplement 3, pp. S33–S38, 2004.
- [6] C. Laurent, C. Kouanfack, P. S. Koulla-Shiro, et al., "Effectiveness and safety of a generic fixed-dose combination of nevirapine, stavudine, and lamivudine in HIV-1-infected adults in Cameroon: open-label multicentre trial," *The Lancet*, vol. 364, no. 9428, pp. 29–34, 2004.
- [7] L. Ferradini, A. Jeannin, L. Pinoges, et al., "Scaling up of highly active antiretroviral therapy in a rural district of Malawi: an effectiveness assessment," *The Lancet*, vol. 367, no. 9519, pp. 1335–1342, 2006.
- [8] D. Katzenstein, M. Laga, and J.-P. Moatti, "The evaluation of the HIV/AIDS drug access initiatives in Cote d'Ivoire, Senegal and Uganda: how access to antiretroviral treatment can become feasible in Africa," *AIDS*, vol. 17, supplement 3, pp. S1–S4, 2003.
- [9] A. Calmy, L. Pinoges, E. Szumilin, R. Zachariah, N. Ford, and L. Ferradini, "Generic fixed-dose combination antiretroviral treatment in resource-poor settings: multicentric observational cohort," *AIDS*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1163–1169, 2006.
- [10] L. C. Ivers, D. Kendrick, and K. Doucette, "Efficacy of antiretroviral therapy programs in resource-poor settings: a meta-analysis of the published literature," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 217–224, 2005.
- [11] C. Akileswaran, M. N. Lurie, T. P. Flanagan, and K. H. Mayer, "Lessons learned from use of highly active antiretroviral therapy in Africa," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 376–385, 2005.
- [12] J.-P. Moatti, B. Spire, and M. Kazatchkine, "Drug resistance and adherence to HIV/AIDS antiretroviral treatment: against a double standard between the north and the south," *AIDS*, vol. 18, supplement 3, pp. S55–S61, 2004.
- [13] O. Galárraga, M. E. O'Brien, J. P. Gutiérrez, et al., "Forecast of demand for antiretroviral drugs in low and middle-income countries: 2007–2008," *AIDS*, vol. 21, supplement 4, pp. S97–S103, 2007.
- [14] P. R. Harrigan, R. S. Hogg, W. W. Y. Dong, et al., "Predictors of HIV drug-resistance mutations in a large antiretroviral-naïve cohort initiating triple antiretroviral therapy," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 191, no. 3, pp. 339–347, 2005.
- [15] M. P. Carrieri, F. Raffi, C. Lewden, et al., "Impact of early versus late adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy on immuno-virological response: a 3-year follow-up study," *Antiviral Therapy*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 585–594, 2003.
- [16] A. K. Sethi, D. D. Celentano, S. J. Gange, R. D. Moore, and J. E. Gallant, "Association between adherence to antiretroviral therapy and human immunodeficiency virus drug resistance," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 1112–1118, 2003.
- [17] A. D. Harries, E. J. Schouten, and E. Libamba, "Scaling up antiretroviral treatment in resource-poor settings," *The Lancet*, vol. 367, no. 9525, pp. 1870–1872, 2006.
- [18] C. Goujard, N. Bernard, N. Sohler, et al., "Impact of a patient education program on adherence to HIV medication: a randomized clinical trial," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 191–194, 2003.
- [19] C. Marchand, H. Himmich, A. Maaroufi, N. Sohler, J. F. Chambon, and R. Gagnayre, "Implementation and assessment

- of an HIV treatment training program (2000-2001) for patients in Casablanca (Morocco)," *Sante*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 73-80, 2005.
- [20] J. Iguenane, C. Marchand, D. Bodelot, et al., "Implementation of therapeutic education programmes for patients living with HIV in four low-income countries: an evaluative approach," *Sante Publique*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 323-333, 2007.
- [21] M. I. B. Nemes, H. B. Carvalho, and M. F. M. Souza, "Antiretroviral therapy adherence in Brazil," *AIDS*, vol. 18, supplement 3, pp. S15-S20, 2004.
- [22] T. R. Glass, S. De Geest, R. Weber, et al., "Correlates of self-reported nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 385-392, 2006.
- [23] T. P. Giordano, D. Guzman, R. Clark, E. D. Charlebois, and D. R. Bangsberg, "Measuring adherence to antiretroviral therapy in a diverse population using a visual analogue scale," *HIV Clinical Trials*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 74-79, 2004.
- [24] K. R. Amico, W. A. Fisher, D. H. Cornman, et al., "Visual analog scale of ARV adherence: association with 3-day self-report and adherence barriers," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 42, pp. 455-459, 2006.
- [25] S. Duran, G. Peytavin, P. Carrieri, et al., "The detection of non-adherence by self-administered questionnaires can be optimized by protease inhibitor plasma concentration determination," *AIDS*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1096-1099, 2003.
- [26] C. S. Alexander, J. J. Asselin, L. S. L. Ting, et al., "Antiretroviral concentrations in untimed plasma samples predict therapy outcome in a population with advanced disease," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 188, no. 4, pp. 541-548, 2003.
- [27] M. Duong, L. Piroth, G. Peytavin, et al., "Value of patient self-report and plasma human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor level as markers of adherence to antiretroviral therapy: relationship to virologic response," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 386-392, 2001.
- [28] J. H. Arnsten, P. A. Demas, H. Farzadegan, et al., "Antiretroviral therapy adherence and viral suppression in HIV-infected drug users: comparison of self-report and electronic monitoring," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 1417-1423, 2001.
- [29] P. W. H. Hugen, N. Langebeek, D. M. Burger, et al., "Assessment of adherence to HIV protease inhibitors: comparison and combination of various methods, including MEMS (electronic monitoring), patient and nurse report, and therapeutic drug monitoring," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 324-334, 2002.
- [30] F. Romanelli, K. Empey, and C. Pomeroy, "Macrocytosis as an indicator of medication (zidovudine) adherence in patients with HIV infection," *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 405-411, 2002.
- [31] F. Rouet, D. K. Ekouevi, M.-L. Chaix, et al., "Transfer and evaluation of an automated, low-cost real-time reverse transcription-PCR test for diagnosis and monitoring of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection in a West African resource-limited setting," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 2709-2717, 2005.
- [32] L. Ferradini, D. Laureillard, N. Prak, et al., "Positive outcomes of HAART at 24 months in HIV-infected patients in Cambodia," *AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 17, pp. 2293-2301, 2007.
- [33] B. Spire, P. Carrieri, P. Sopha, et al., "Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in patients enrolled in a comprehensive care program in Cambodia: a 24-month follow-up assessment," *Antiviral Therapy*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 697-703, 2008.
- [34] J. H. Oyugi, J. Byakika-Tusiime, K. Ragland, et al., "Treatment interruptions predict resistance in HIV-positive individuals purchasing fixed-dose combination antiretroviral therapy in Kampala, Uganda," *AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 965-971, 2007.
- [35] P. Mee, K. L. Fielding, S. Charalambous, G. J. Churchyard, and A. D. Grant, "Evaluation of the WHO criteria for antiretroviral treatment failure among adults in South Africa," *AIDS*, vol. 22, no. 15, pp. 1971-1977, 2008.
- [36] A. N. Phillips, D. Pillay, A. H. Miners, D. E. Bennett, C. F. Gilks, and J. D. Lundgren, "Outcomes from monitoring of patients on antiretroviral therapy in resource-limited settings with viral load, CD4 cell count, or clinical observation alone: a computer simulation model," *The Lancet*, vol. 371, no. 9622, pp. 1443-1451, 2008.
- [37] H. O. Ramadhani, N. M. Thielman, K. Z. Landman, et al., "Predictors of incomplete adherence, virologic failure, and antiviral drug resistance among HIV-infected adults receiving antiretroviral therapy in Tanzania," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 1492-1498, 2007.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

