



HAL
open science

Infinite Dimensional Port Hamiltonian Representation of reaction diffusion processes.

Weijun Zhou, Boussag Hamroun, Yann Le Gorrec, Françoise Couenne

► **To cite this version:**

Weijun Zhou, Boussag Hamroun, Yann Le Gorrec, Françoise Couenne. Infinite Dimensional Port Hamiltonian Representation of reaction diffusion processes.. International Conference on Mathematical Modelling, Feb 2015, Vienna, Austria. hal-02868222

HAL Id: hal-02868222

<https://hal.science/hal-02868222v1>

Submitted on 15 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Infinite Dimensional Port Hamiltonian Representation of reaction diffusion processes ^{*}

W. Zhou^{*} B. Hamroun^{*} Y. Le Gorrec^{**} F. Couenne^{*}

^{*} LAGEP, LAGEP, UMR CNRS 5007, University of Lyon1,
Villeurbanne, France, (e-mails:
{zhou;hamroun;couenne}@lagep.univ-lyon1.fr).

^{**} FEMTO-ST, ENSMM Besançon, Besançon, France (e-mail:
yann.le.gorrec@ens2m.fr)

Abstract: In this paper is proposed a thermodynamically consistent port Hamiltonian formulation of non isothermal reaction diffusion processes. The use of appropriate thermodynamic variables for the definition of the state and the co-state vectors allows to highlight the inherent infinite dimensional interconnection structure linking the different thermodynamic phenomena (entropy production, diffusion, conduction) that is suitable for control purposes. The presentation is given for systems defined on one dimensional spatial domain.

Keywords: Port Hamiltonian Systems, Distributed Systems, Irreversible Thermodynamics

1. INTRODUCTION

The Port Hamiltonian framework is based on a geometric description of physical systems and is particularly useful for the modeling and control of multi-physics, network and distributed parameters systems (Duindam *et al.*, 2002). Since its definition (Maschke *et al.*, 1992) and its extension to distributed parameter systems (Maschke *et al.*, 2000; Le Gorrec *et al.*, 2005) it has been widely and successfully applied to classical mechanical and electrical systems, both in finite and infinite dimensions (Duindam *et al.*, 2002; Hamroun *et al.*, 2010; van der Schaft *et al.*, 2014). The application of Port Hamiltonian formalism to thermodynamic systems (Baaiu *et al.*, 2009) is much more tedious as in the general non isothermal case the link between energy and irreversible phenomena cannot be associated to a linear geometric structure (Eberard *et al.*, 2004). Nevertheless slightly degenerated structures suitable for control purposes can be defined as it has been the case for continuous finite dimensional stirred tank reactors (CSTR) (Hoang *et al.*, 2011).

The idea of this paper is to propose a proper decomposition of thermodynamic phenomena in the case of non isothermal distributed reaction diffusion systems in order to highlight the inherent interconnection structure, called *Stokes Dirac structure* (Maschke *et al.*, 2005). The irreversibility is taken into account through an appropriate non linear closure relation between extended port variables, as it can be done for purely dissipative systems. We discuss how the states and port variables have to be chosen such that geometric properties of the model are emphasized.

It is important to notice that the port based representation proposed in this paper differs from the one in (Zhou *et al.*, 2012) since the skew symmetric operator that defines the geometric structure does not depend on the effort variables. In the present paper all the non linear terms are gathered in the closure relation, accounting for the irreversible phenomena. Such formulation can be advantageously used for control purposes.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the main properties of irreversible thermodynamic distributed parameter systems. In Section 3 we briefly recall the general port Hamiltonian formulation of distributed parameter systems. In Section 4, we present the model of the reaction diffusion process. In Section 5 is given the port Hamiltonian formulation of the overall system.

2. THERMODYNAMIC OVERVIEW FOR DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS

In Thermodynamics, as far as distributed parameter systems are concerned, the following form of the Gibbs equation is used (De Groot *et al.* (1983)):

$$du = Tds - Pdv + \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \mu_i d\omega_i \quad (1)$$

where u, s, v, ω_i are respectively the energy per unit mass, entropy per unit mass, volume per unit mass and the mass fraction of species i . T is the temperature, P the pressure and μ_i the chemical potential of species i . Then the local equilibrium hypothesis for distributed system is expressed in its local form by (see De Groot *et al.* (1983) for details):

$$\frac{Du}{Dt} = T \frac{Ds}{Dt} - P \frac{Dv}{Dt} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \mu_i \frac{D\omega_i}{Dt} \quad (2)$$

where $\frac{D\bullet}{Dt}$ stands for the material derivative $\frac{\partial \bullet}{\partial t} + v_m \frac{\partial \bullet}{\partial z}$ of quantity \bullet per unit mass.

^{*} This contribution has been done within the context of the French National Research Agency sponsored projet HAMECMOP-SYS (ANR-11- BS03-0002)

For simplicity in the remainder of the section we consider that volumes are cylinders of section \bar{S} and that homogeneity properties are assumed such that only the longitudinal coordinate z is necessary. We also assume an incompressible fluid phase and a constant total mass density ρ . This implies that $\frac{Dv}{Dt} = \frac{D(\frac{1}{\rho})}{Dt} = 0$. We consider also that the average velocity v_m is constant. Moreover since no convection transport is assumed we have $v_m = 0$.

Then for any sub domain $z \in [z_a, z_b]$ in the reactor, we obtain the integral form of (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \rho u(z, t) \bar{S} dz &= \int_{z_a}^{z_b} T \frac{\partial \rho s(z, t)}{\partial t} \bar{S} dz \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \mu_i \frac{\partial \rho \omega_i(z, t)}{\partial t} \bar{S} dz \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3) expresses the conservation of energy of the distributed systems without convection.

From the Gibbs equation (2) the pairings of energy conjugated variables are: $(\rho s, T)$ and $(\rho \omega_i, \mu_i)$. The time derivative of Gibbs equation (3) associated with the local equilibrium hypothesis shows the natural power conjugated variables given by $(\frac{\partial \rho s}{\partial t}, T)$ and $(\frac{\partial \rho \omega_i}{\partial t}, \mu_i)$.

3. DISTRIBUTED PORT-HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS WITH DISSIPATION

The port Hamiltonian formulation of distributed parameter systems is based on a generalization of the finite dimensional Dirac structure introduced in (Courant, 1990; Dorfman, 1993). This geometrical structure relates the flow and effort variables variables through a skew symmetric operator which interprets the power conservation principle.

Let us consider the 1-D port Hamiltonian system with dissipation defined on the $\mathcal{Z} = [a, b]$ with distributed control input defined by:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = (\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{G}D\mathcal{G}^*) \frac{\delta H}{\delta x} + \mathcal{B}u \quad (4)$$

where $x(t, z) = (q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is the state, $u(t, z) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})$ is the distributed control, $\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is a formally skew symmetric differential operator, \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{B} are linear bounded operators with their respective adjoint operator \mathcal{G}^* and \mathcal{B}^* , $D \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2m}$ is a non negative definite matrix and $H(x)$ is the Hamiltonian of the system:

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \mathcal{H} dz = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b x^T \mathcal{L} x dz \quad (5)$$

with $\mathcal{L} > 0$ a constant matrix. $\frac{\delta H}{\delta x} = \mathcal{L}x$ represents the variational derivative of the functional H (see Duindam et al. (2002)). Consider the flow variables vector noted $f = (f_q, f_p) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})^{2n}$ and the effort variables vector $e = (e_q, e_p) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta x} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})^{2n}$.

The model (4) can be written in extended form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ e_r \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J} & \mathcal{G} \\ -\mathcal{G}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{J}_e} \begin{pmatrix} e \\ f_r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u \text{ with } f_r = De_r \quad (6)$$

with a specific dissipative port variables $(f_r, e_r) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})^{2m} \times C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})^{2m}$. Let us define extended flow and effort variables $f_e = (f, f_r)$ and $e_e = (e, e_r)$.

We associate to this system the set of boundary conditions at a and b (a part of them can be used for control purpose) in the case of \mathcal{G} is a matrix (0-order differential operator) of:

$$e_\partial = \begin{pmatrix} e_\partial^a(t) \\ e_\partial^b(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -e_q|_a \\ -e_q|_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_\partial = \begin{pmatrix} f_\partial^a(t) \\ f_\partial^b(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_p|_a \\ e_p|_b \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Remark 1. In the case of the operator \mathcal{G} is of higher dimension, the set of corresponding boundary variables $(f_{e_\partial}, e_{e_\partial})$ could be chosen using the parametrization given in Le Gorrec et al. (2005).

The energy balance is given by

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b x^T \mathcal{L} x dz = \int_a^b e^T \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} dz = \langle e, f \rangle \quad (8)$$

which finally leads to equation (9):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt} &= e_\partial^b{}^T f_\partial^b - e_\partial^a{}^T f_\partial^a + \int_a^b e^T \mathcal{B} u - \overbrace{e^T \mathcal{G}}^{-e_r^T} \overbrace{D \mathcal{G}^* e}^{-f_r} dz \quad (9) \\ &= e_\partial^a{}^T f_\partial^a - e_\partial^b{}^T f_\partial^b - \langle y, u \rangle - \langle e_r, f_r \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with $y = -\mathcal{B}^* e$.

First let us define the space of flow variables

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f_e \\ f_\partial \\ u \end{pmatrix} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})^{2(n+m)} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times \{a, b\}} \times C^\infty(\mathcal{Z}) \right\} \quad (10)$$

and the space of effort variables

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e_e \\ e_\partial \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Z})^{2(n+m)} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times \{a, b\}} \times C^\infty(\mathcal{Z}) \right\} \quad (11)$$

endowed with the following non-degenerated bilinear pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} e_e \\ e_\partial \\ y \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} f_e \\ f_\partial \\ u \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle &= \langle e_e, f_e \rangle + \langle y, u \rangle \quad (12) \\ &+ \langle e_\partial^b, f_\partial^b \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \langle e_\partial^a, f_\partial^a \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \end{aligned}$$

which is the duality product defined on the space of effort and flow variables. This power product expresses at the same time the energy balance equation of the system. the notation $\langle, \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ stands for the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . Let us also consider symmetric pairing:

$$\left\langle \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ f_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_2 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle = \langle e_1 | f_2 \rangle + \langle e_2 | f_1 \rangle \quad (13)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ f_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_2 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$

Definition 1. (Maschke et al. (2005)) A Stokes Dirac structure \mathcal{D} is a subspace of $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ that is maximally

isotropic respectively to the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (12) or $\mathcal{D}^\perp = \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}^\perp = \left\{ b \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F} \mid \langle\langle b, b^T \rangle\rangle = 0 \right\}$.

It can be shown that the subset \mathcal{D} :

$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (f_e, f_\partial, u, e_e, e_\partial, y) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F} \text{ with (6), } y = -\mathcal{B}^* e, \text{ and } e_\partial = \begin{pmatrix} e_\partial^a \\ e_\partial^b \end{pmatrix}, f_\partial = \begin{pmatrix} f_\partial^a \\ f_\partial^b \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a Stokes-Dirac structure with respect to (12).

More generally a Stokes Dirac structure can be obtained for linear first order port-Hamiltonian systems with

$$\mathcal{J} = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + P_0 \quad (14)$$

where the matrix P_1 is invertible and self-adjoint, and P_0 is skew-adjoint (see Le Gorrec *et al.* (2005); Jacob *et al.* (2012)).

4. MODEL OF DIFFUSION REACTION PROCESS

We suppose isobaric operating conditions and a constant total mass density ρ inside the jacketed reactor. The reactor is assumed to have a length L .

The chemical reaction r involves two species A and B : $\nu_A A \rightarrow \nu_B B$ with stoichiometric coefficients $\nu_A, \nu_B > 0$. The reaction kinetics is modeled by a Arrhenius law

$$r = k_0 e^{-\frac{E}{RT}} c_A \quad (15)$$

where c_A is the molar concentration of the specie A , E is the activation energy, R the perfect gas constant and k_0 the kinetic constant.

We assume that matter diffusion and heat conduction are occurring inside the reactor.

The diffusion flux f_d^A of species A is given by (see Bird (2002)):

$$f_d^A = -D \partial_z (\mu_A - \mu_B) \quad (16)$$

where x is the state vector and D the diffusion coefficient. Since it is assumed pure diffusion, we have $f_d^A + f_d^B = 0$.

The conduction flux satisfies the Fourier's law:

$$f_F = -\lambda \partial_z (T) \quad (17)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the thermal conductivity of the matter.

Furthermore we consider that there is distributed heat transfer $q(z)$ between the reactor and its jacket. We do not develop this term that is in general linear with respect to reactor temperature $T(z)$.

The model for the reaction diffusion system is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \rho \partial_t \omega_A = -\partial_z f_d^A - M_A \nu_A r \\ \rho \partial_t \omega_B = -\partial_z f_d^B + M_B \nu_B r \\ \rho \partial_t s = -\partial_z f^s - \frac{q}{T_j} + \sigma \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where ω_i represents the mass fraction of the species i ($i = A, B$) and s is the entropy per mass unit. M_i is the molar mass of species i , T_j is the distributed temperature of the jacket, $f^s = \sum_{i=A,B} f_d^i s_i + f_F^s$ is the total entropy

flux where $f_F^s = \frac{f_F}{T}$ is the entropy flux due to conduction $f_F^s = \frac{f_F}{T}$ and s_i the partial mass entropy of species i .

σ is the irreversible production of entropy. This term is computed by equalizing the entropy balance given by eq. (18) and the one deduced from the Gibbs equation (2). For this purpose the energy balance is needed. The energy balance with incompressible and isobaric assumptions is expressed through the enthalpy balance:

$$\rho \partial_t h = -\partial_z f^h - q \quad (19)$$

where $h = u + P \frac{1}{\rho}$ is the enthalpy per mass unit and f^h is the total enthalpy flux given by:

$$f^h = f_d^h + f_F \quad (20)$$

where $f_d^h = \sum_{i=A,B} f_d^i h_i$ is the enthalpy flux due to diffusion. h_i is the partial mass enthalpy of species i .

The irreversible production of entropy is given by:

$$\sigma = q \left(\overbrace{\frac{1}{T_j} - \frac{1}{T}}^{\sigma_{ext}} \right) - \overbrace{\frac{r}{T} \mathcal{A}}^{\sigma_r} - \overbrace{\frac{1}{T} f_d^A \partial_z \mu_A}^{\sigma_A} - \overbrace{\frac{1}{T} f_d^B \partial_z \mu_B}^{\sigma_B} - \overbrace{f^s \frac{\partial_z T}{T}}^{\sigma_s} \quad (21)$$

with $\mathcal{A} = -\nu_A M_A \mu_A + \nu_B M_B \mu_B$ the chemical affinity.

Each term of the irreversible entropy production terms is assumed in the context of irreversible Thermodynamics to be positive (see Callen (1985)). The first term σ_{ext} is related to the exchanges with the jacket of the reactor. The second term σ_r is due to the chemical reaction. σ_A and σ_B are due to diffusion of species A and B in the material domain. Finally σ_s is due to diffusion and conduction in the thermal domain.

5. PORT HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION FOR DIFFUSION REACTION PROCESSES

In the framework of irreversible Thermodynamics the natural state vector x of the system is given by $x^T = (\rho \omega_A \quad \rho \omega_B \quad \rho s)$ corresponding to the variable appearing in the material derivative of the local equilibrium equation (2). The energy is then an implicit variable obtained from eq. (2). We call this representation *Implicit energy representation*.

In the sequel of this section we show this *Implicit energy representation* can be written using a Stokes Dirac structure defined on a particular extended pairings of effort and flow variables given in Table 1.

For that purpose we consider the vectors $E \in \mathbf{E} = (H^2[0, L])^3 \times (H^1[0, L])^3 \times (L^2[0, L])^5$ and $F \in \mathbf{F} = (L^2[0, L])^3 \times (H^1[0, L])^3 \times (L^2[0, L])^5$:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (e_A \quad e_B \quad e_s \quad f_A \quad f_B \quad f_s \quad f_r \quad f_{\sigma_A} \quad f_{\sigma_B} \quad f_{\sigma_S} \quad f_{\sigma_r})^T \\ F &= (F_A \quad F_B \quad F_s \quad E_A \quad E_B \quad E_s \quad E_r \quad e_{\sigma_A} \quad e_{\sigma_B} \quad e_{\sigma_S} \quad e_{\sigma_r})^T \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The subset :

$$(f_A \quad f_B \quad f_s \quad f_r \quad f_{\sigma_A} \quad f_{\sigma_B} \quad f_{\sigma_S} \quad f_{\sigma_r})^T$$

of vectors E and the subset:

$$(E_A \quad E_B \quad E_s \quad E_r \quad e_{\sigma_A} \quad e_{\sigma_B} \quad e_{\sigma_S} \quad e_{\sigma_r})^T$$

Table 1. variables settings

flows		efforts	
F_A	$= \rho \partial_t \omega_A$	e_A	$= \mu_A$
F_B	$= \rho \partial_t \omega_B$	e_B	$= \mu_B$
F_s	$= \rho \partial_t s$	e_s	$= T$
f_A	$= f_d^A$	E_A	$= -\partial_z \mu_A$
f_B	$= f_d^B$	E_B	$= -\partial_z \mu_B$
f_s	$= f_d^s$	E_s	$= -\partial_z T$
f_r	$= r$	E_r	$= A$
f_{σ_A}	$= -\sigma_A$	e_{σ_A}	$= T$
f_{σ_B}	$= -\sigma_B$	e_{σ_B}	$= T$
f_{σ_S}	$= -\sigma_s$	e_{σ_S}	$= T$
f_{σ_r}	$= -\sigma_r$	e_{σ_r}	$= T$
u	$= -\frac{q}{T}$	y	$= T$

of vector F correspond to the extended effort e_r and flow variables f_r described in section 3.

The model of reaction diffusion system given in (18) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} F = J_e E + \mathcal{B}_e u \\ y = -\mathcal{B}_e^* E \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

with $\mathcal{B}_e = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} \\ 0_3 \\ 0_5 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. J is a skew-symmetric differential operator given by:

$$J_e = P_1 \partial_z + P_0 \quad (24)$$

with

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & -I_3 & 0 \\ -I_3 & 0_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_5 \end{pmatrix} \quad P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & 0_3 & P \\ 0_3 & 0_3 & 0 \\ -P^T & 0 & 0_5 \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

where $0_3, I_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, represent the zero matrix and the identity matrix respectively. The two matrices I_3 of P_1 are related to the diffusion and conduction phenomena.

and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} -\nu_A M_A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \nu_B M_B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

Let us consider the following boundary port variables:

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_\partial \\ e_\partial \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f|_{\partial z} \\ e|_{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

with

$$e|_{\partial z} = \begin{pmatrix} e_A \\ e_B \\ e_s \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{0,L}, f|_{\partial z} = \begin{pmatrix} f_A \\ f_B \\ f_s \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{0,L} \quad (28)$$

The proposed representation of the system (18) given in (23) is based on an extension of port variables set (flows and efforts) corresponding to the natural dual variables for diffusion, reaction, conduction phenomena but also for their respective entropy production. The system (23) shows that the externalization of the constitutive equations of the previously cited thermodynamic phenomena as well as the irreversible entropy production terms exhibits the intrinsic interconnection structure for the considered system.

This methodology corresponds to ‘‘open’’ the R and the S bonds of the RS bond graph element (see Duindam et al. (2002) for RS elements). This element is used as soon as the entropy balance is written. This power continuous element is formed by a resistive part R that represents the dissipation of some phenomenon with constitutive law between the effort e_R and the flow variable f_R : $e_R = R(f_R)$. Since the internal energy is conservative this dissipation appears as a source term S in the thermal domain. The additional port which is endowed with a pair of conjugate variables (σ, T) satisfies the power continuity relation: $T\sigma = e_R f_R$, σ is the entropy production associated with the phenomenological law defined by R.

Set $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F \\ u \\ f_\partial \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{F} \times L^2[0, L] \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times \{0, L\}} \right\}$ and

$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} E \\ y \\ e_\partial \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{E} \times L^2[0, L] \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times \{0, L\}} \right\}$. Let us endow

the subspaces \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} with the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} E \\ u \\ e_\partial \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} F \\ u \\ f_\partial \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle &:= \langle E, F \rangle_{L^2} + \langle y, u \rangle_{L^2} \\ &+ \langle e_\partial^L, f_\partial^L \rangle_{R^3} - \langle e_\partial^0, f_\partial^0 \rangle_{R^3} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Proposition 1. The linear subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} F \\ u \\ f_\partial \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} E \\ y \\ e_\partial \end{bmatrix} \right) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F} \mid F = JE + \mathcal{B}_e u, \right. \\ \left. y = -\mathcal{B}_e^* E, \begin{bmatrix} f_\partial \\ e_\partial \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{(0,L)} \right\}$$
 is a Stokes

Dirac structure with respect to the symmetric pairing (29).

Furthermore the irreversible feature of the system is defined by:

$$-E \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_4 \end{pmatrix} F > 0 \quad (30)$$

Proof To prove that \mathcal{D} is a Dirac structure, we follow the same steps given in (van der Schaft *et al.* (2002)) where we have to show that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{D}^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}$.

• $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$:

We define $\langle F_i, u_i, f_{\partial_i}, E_i, y_i, e_{\partial_i} \rangle = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}$, and consider any $d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$.

We consider the following bilinear form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle d_1, d_2 \rangle\rangle &= \int_Z (E_1 F_2 + E_2 F_1 + y_1 u_2 + y_2 u_1) dz \\ &+ [e_{\partial_1} f_{\partial_2}]_0^L + [e_{\partial_2} f_{\partial_1}]_0^L \\ &= \int_Z \mathcal{I} dz + \int_{\partial Z} \mathcal{F} dz \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where \mathcal{I} is the distributed part and \mathcal{F} is the boundary part.

Using the definition (22) of E and F , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} = & e_{A_1}F_{A_2} + e_{B_1}F_{B_2} + e_{s_1}F_{s_2} + f_{A_1}E_{A_2} + f_{B_1}E_{B_2} \\
& + f_{s_1}E_{s_2} + f_{r_1}E_{r_2} + f_{\sigma_{A_1}}e_{\sigma_{A_2}} + f_{\sigma_{B_1}}e_{\sigma_{B_2}} + f_{\sigma_{s_1}}e_{\sigma_{s_2}} \\
& + f_{\sigma_{r_1}}e_{\sigma_{r_2}} + e_{A_2}F_{A_1} + e_{B_2}F_{B_1} + e_{s_2}F_{s_1} + f_{A_2}E_{A_1} \\
& + f_{B_2}E_{B_1} + f_{s_2}E_{s_1} + f_{r_2}E_{r_1} + f_{\sigma_{A_2}}e_{\sigma_{A_1}} + f_{\sigma_{B_2}}e_{\sigma_{B_1}} \\
& + f_{\sigma_{s_2}}e_{\sigma_{s_1}} + f_{\sigma_{r_2}}e_{\sigma_{r_1}} \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting all the flux expressions into (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} = & -\partial_z(e_{A_1}f_{A_2} + e_{B_1}f_{B_2} + e_{s_1}f_{s_2} + e_{A_2}f_{A_1} \\
& + e_{B_2}f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}f_{s_1}) + \underbrace{(e_{s_1}\sigma_2 - e_{s_2}\sigma_1 + e_{s_2}\sigma_1 - e_{s_1}\sigma_2)}_{=0} \\
& + M_{A\nu A}(f_{r_2}(-e_{A_1} + e_{B_1} + e_{A_1} - e_{B_1}) \\
& + f_{r_1}(e_{A_2} - e_{B_2} - e_{A_2} + e_{B_2})) \\
= & -\partial_z(e_{A_1}f_{A_2} + e_{B_1}f_{B_2} + e_{s_1}f_{s_2} + e_{A_2}f_{A_1} \\
& + e_{B_2}f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}f_{s_1}) \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of the third and fourth line is zero. Thus by integration:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Z \mathcal{I} dz = & - \int_{\partial_z} (e_{A_1}f_{A_2} + e_{B_1}f_{B_2} + e_{s_1}f_{s_2} + e_{A_2}f_{A_1} + \\
& e_{B_2}f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}f_{s_1}) dz \\
= & -[e_{A_1}f_{A_2}]_0^L - [e_{B_1}f_{B_2}]_0^L - [e_{s_1}f_{s_2}]_0^L \\
& - [e_{A_2}f_{A_1}]_0^L - [e_{B_2}f_{B_1}]_0^L - [e_{s_2}f_{s_1}]_0^L \\
= & -e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_0 + e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_L - e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}|_0 + e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}|_L \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Z \mathcal{I} dz + \int_{\partial Z} \mathcal{F} dz = & -e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_0 + e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_L - e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}|_0 \\
& + e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}|_L - e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_L + e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_0 \\
& - e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}|_L + e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}|_0 \\
= & 0 \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

As the result, we have $\ll d_1, d_2 \gg = 0$, and thus $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$.

• $\mathcal{D}^\perp \subset \mathcal{D}$:

let us consider $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$, implying that for all elements $d_2 \in \mathcal{D} \ll d_1, d_2 \gg = 0$

First, we assume that the boundary part $e_{\partial}f_{\partial}$ is zero, and the reactor is autonomous so that $\int_Z yudz = 0$, implying that

$$\int_Z (E_1F_2 + E_2F_1) dz = 0 \quad (36)$$

We demonstrate that in this case, F_1 has the same expression as F_2

We replace F_2 by the expression as given in Table 1 into the equation (36) because $d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1F_2 = & f_{A_2}\partial_z e_{A_1} + f_{B_2}\partial_z e_{B_1} + f_{s_2}\partial_z e_{s_1} + e_{A_2}\partial_z f_{A_1} \\
& + e_{B_2}\partial_z f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}\partial_z f_{s_1} - \partial_z(e_{A_1}f_{A_2} + e_{B_1}f_{A_2} \\
& + e_{s_1}f_{s_2} + e_{A_2}f_{A_1} + e_{B_2}f_{A_1} + e_{s_2}f_{s_1}) \\
& M_{A\nu A}(-f_{r_2}e_{A_1} - f_{r_1}e_{A_2} + e_{B_1}f_{r_2} + e_{B_2}f_{r_1}) \\
& + e_{s_1}\sigma_{s_2} - e_{s_2}\sigma_{s_1}
\end{aligned}$$

The equation (36) gives that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Z E_2F_1 dz = & - \int_Z E_1F_2 dz \\
= & - \int_Z (f_{A_2}\partial_z e_{A_1} + f_{B_1}\partial_z e_{B_1} + f_{s_1}\partial_z e_{s_1}) dz \\
& - \int_Z (e_{A_2}\partial_z f_{A_1} + e_{B_2}\partial_z f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}\partial_z f_{s_1}) dz \\
& \int_Z (M_{A\nu A}(f_{r_2}e_{A_1} + f_{r_1}e_{A_2} - e_{B_1}f_{r_2} \\
& - e_{B_2}f_{r_1}) - e_{s_1}\sigma_{s_2} + e_{s_2}\sigma_{s_1}) dz \\
& + [e_{A_1}f_{A_2}]_0^L + [e_{B_1}f_{A_2}]_0^L + [e_{s_1}f_{s_2}]_0^L \\
& + [e_{A_2}f_{A_1}]_0^L + [e_{B_2}f_{A_1}]_0^L + [e_{s_2}f_{s_1}]_0^L
\end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis that on the boundary efforts are zero, we eliminate the boundary part so that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Z E_2F_1 dz = & - \int_Z E_1F_2 dz \\
= & - \int_Z (f_{A_2}\partial_z e_{A_1} + f_{B_1}\partial_z e_{B_1} + f_{s_1}\partial_z e_{s_1}) dz \\
& - \int_Z (e_{A_2}\partial_z f_{A_1} + e_{B_2}\partial_z f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}\partial_z f_{s_1}) dz \\
& \int_Z (M_{A\nu A}(f_{r_2}e_{A_1} + f_{r_1}e_{A_2} - e_{B_1}f_{r_2} \\
& - e_{B_2}f_{r_1}) - e_{s_1}\sigma_{s_2} + e_{s_2}\sigma_{s_1}) dz \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2F_1 = & e_{A_2}(-\partial_z f_{A_1} + M_{A\nu A}f_{r_1}) + e_{B_2}(-\partial_z f_{B_2} \\
& - M_{B\nu B}f_{r_1}) + e_{s_2}(-\partial_z f_{d_2}^s + \sigma_{s_1}) \\
& + f_{A_2}(-\partial_z e_{A_1}) + f_{B_2}(-\partial_z e_{B_1}) \\
& + f_{s_2}(-\partial_z e_{s_1}) + f_{r_2}(-\nu_A M_A e_{A_1} + \nu_B M_B e_{B_1}) \\
& - (\sigma_{A_2} + \sigma_{B_2} + \sigma_{s_2} + \sigma_{r_2})e_{s_1} \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

By identification, we easily find that for F_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{A_1} = & \rho\partial_t\omega_{A_1} & F_{B_1} = & \rho\partial_t\omega_{B_1} & F_{s_1} = & \rho\partial_t\omega_{s_1} \\
E_{A_1} = & -\partial_z\mu_{A_1} & E_{B_1} = & -\partial_z\mu_{B_1} & E_{s_1} = & -\partial_zT_1 \\
E_{r_1} = & \mathcal{A}_1 & e_{\sigma_{A_1}} = & T_1 & e_{\sigma_{B_1}} = & T_1 \\
e_{\sigma_{s_1}} = & T_1 & e_{\sigma_{r_1}} = & T_1 & & \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

which has the same expression as in the table (1).

Secondly, we consider that the boundary is no longer zero, and demonstrate this part of d_1 also belongs to \mathcal{D}

let us substitute eq. (39) into (31):

$$\int_0^L (-\partial_z(e_{A_1}f_{A_2} + e_{B_1}f_{B_2} + e_{s_1}f_{s_2} + e_{A_2}f_{A_1} + e_{B_2}f_{B_1} + e_{s_2}f_{s_1}))dz + [e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}]_0^L + [e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}]_0^L = 0 \quad (40)$$

We obtain easily:

$$\begin{aligned} & [e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}]_0^L + [e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}]_0^L \\ & - [e_{A_1}f_{A_2}]_0^L - [e_{B_1}f_{B_2}]_0^L - [e_{s_1}f_{s_2}]_0^L \\ & - [e_{A_2}f_{A_1}]_0^L - [e_{B_2}f_{B_1}]_0^L - [e_{s_2}f_{s_1}]_0^L = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Substituting boundary conditions (28) into (41), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}]_0^L &= [e_{A_2}f_{A_1}]_0^L + [e_{B_2}f_{B_1}]_0^L + [e_{s_2}f_{s_1}]_0^L \\ & [e_{A_1}f_{A_2}]_0^L + [e_{B_1}f_{B_2}]_0^L + [e_{s_1}f_{s_2}]_0^L \\ & - e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_L + e_{\partial_1}f_{\partial_2}|_0 \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the second and the third line is zero so that:

$$[e_{\partial_2}f_{\partial_1}]_0^L = -[e_{A_2}f_{A_1}]_0^L - [e_{B_2}f_{B_1}]_0^L - [e_{s_2}f_{s_1}]_0^L$$

As the result, by identification we can easily obtain that

$$f|_{\partial z_1} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{A_1} \\ f_{B_1} \\ f_{s_1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ showing that indeed } d_1 \in D.$$

• The third point of the proof concerns the irreversibility feature of the representation

It can be easily checked by using Table 1 and expression (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} -E \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_4 \end{pmatrix} F &= E \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_4 \end{pmatrix} F \\ &= -r\mathcal{A} - f_d^A \partial_z \mu_A - f_d^B \partial_z \mu_B \\ & \quad - f^s \partial_z T > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

□

6. CONCLUSION

This paper is a first attempt to the port Hamiltonian representation of a tubular reactor. It is shown how transport phenomena and chemical reactions can be represented in the energy based representation using a Stokes-Dirac structure. It remains to show how the convection phenomenon can be integrated in such formulation. This formulation could be advantageously used for control purposes using the passivity based methods and thermodynamic availability function introduced in Ruszkowski *et al.* (2005) and used for the control of CSTRs in Hoang *et al.* (2011).

REFERENCES

Baaiu A., Couenne F., Lefèvre L., Le Gorrec Y. and Tayakout M. Structure-preserving infinite dimensional model reduction: Application to adsorption processes. *Journal of Process Control*, 19:3, 394–404, 2009.
Bird R.B., Stewart W.E. and Lightfoot E.N. *Transport phenomena*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 2nd edition, 2002.

Callen H.B. *Thermodynamics and an introduction to thermostatics*. John Wiley & Sons Inc, 2nd ed. New York, 1985.
Couenne F., Jallut, C., Maschke, B., Tayakout, M. and Breedveld, P. C. Structured modeling for processes: a thermodynamical network theory. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 32(6) :1120–1134, 2008.
Courant T.J. Dirac manifolds. *Trans.American Mathematical society*, 319:2, 631–661, 1990.
Dorfman I. *Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations*. John Wiley, Chichester,1993.
Duindam V., Macchelli A., Stramigioli S. and Bruyninckx H. (Eds.). *Modeling and Control of Complex Physical Systems: The Port-Hamiltonian Approach*. Springer Verlag New York inc., 2009.
de Groot S.R. and Mazur P. *Non-equilibrium thermodynamics*. Dover Publications,INC, New york,1983.
Eberard D. and Maschke B.M. An extension of port Hamiltonian systems to irreversible systems. *Proc. Int. IFAC Conf. on Nonlinear Systems' Theory and Control, NOLCOS'04*, 2004.
Hamroun B., Dimofte A., Lefèvre L., Mendes E. Control by Interconnection and Energy-Shaping Methods of Port Hamiltonian Models. Application to the Shallow Water Equations. *European Journal of Control*, 16:5, 545–563, 2010.
Hoang H., Couenne F., Jallut C. and Le Gorrec Y. The port Hamiltonian approach to modeling and control of Continuous Stirred Tank Reactors. *Journal of Process Control*, 21:10, 1449–1458, 2011.
Jacob B. and Zwart H.J. *Linear Port-Hamiltonian Systems on Infinite-dimensional Spaces*. Operator Theory : Advances and Applications , Volume 223, 2012.
Le Gorrec Y., Zwart Z. and Maschke B. Dirac structures and boundary control systems associated with skew-symmetric differential operators. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 44:5, 1864–1892, 2005.
Maschke, B.M. and van der Schaft, A.J. Port controlled Hamiltonian systems: modeling origins and system theoretic properties. *Proc. 3rd Int. IFAC Conf. on Nonlinear Systems' Theory and Control, NOLCOS'92*, 1992.
Maschke, B.M. and van der Schaft, A.J. Hamiltonian representation of distributed parameter systems with boundary energy flow. *Nonlinear Control in the Year 2000*, Eds. A. Isidori, F. Lamnabhi-Lagarrigue, W. Respondek, Springer-Verlag, pages: 137–142, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
Maschke B. and Van der Schaft A. J. , *Advanced Topics in Control Systems Theory, chapter: Compositional modelling of distributed-parameter systems*, Lecture Notes on Control and Information Sciences. Springer, pp 115–154, 2005.
Ruszkowski M., Garcia-Osorio V. and Ydstie B.E. Passivity based control of transport reaction systems. *AIChE Journal*, 51:12 ,3147–3166, 2005.
Van der Schaft A.J. and Maschke B. Hamiltonian formulation of distributed parameter systems with boundary energy flows *Journal of Geometry and physics*, 42:1/2, 166–194,2002.
Van der Schaft A. and Jeltsema D. Port-Hamiltonian Systems Theory: An Introductory Overview. *Foundations and Trends in Systems and Control* 1(2-3): 173–378 (2014)

Zhou W., Hamroun B., Le Gorrec Y. and Couenne F.
Infinite Dimensional Port Hamiltonian Representation
of Chemical Reactors *Lagrangian and Hamiltonian
Methods for Non Linear Control*, Vol 4 Part 1 Location:
University of Bologna, Bertinoro, Italy(pp 248- 253)