

A laboratory intercomparison of CPC and SMPS measurements of submicron aerosols

Shouwen Zhang, Ari Setyan, Véronique Riffault

► To cite this version:

Shouwen Zhang, Ari Setyan, Véronique Riffault. A laboratory intercomparison of CPC and SMPS measurements of submicron aerosols. European Aerosol Conference, Sep 2013, Prague, Czech Republic. hal-02865790

HAL Id: hal-02865790 https://hal.science/hal-02865790

Submitted on 23 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A laboratory inter-comparison of CPC and SMPS measurements of submicron aerosols



Shouwen Zhang^{1,2}, Ari Setyan^{1,2}, Véronique Riffault^{1,2}

¹ Université Lille Nord de France, Lille, France

² Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Douai, Département Chimie et Environnement, Douai, France



Introduction

- Particle size distribution is one of the most important physical properties of particles. A wide range of instruments have been developed to measure size distributions.
- Four condensation particle counters (CPC) and three scanning mobility particle sizers (SMPS) (all commercial instruments) have been compared in terms of particle number concentration and size distribution.


List of instruments

	ID	Sampling flow [I/min]	Size range [nm]	Max. concentration [#/cm ³]	Neutralizer	Detection	
CPC	CPC#1	0.3	4-3000	10 ⁷	N/A	Butanol	➤SMPS#4 has two different
	CPC#2	0.3	4-3000	10 ⁷	N/A	Butanol	
	CPC#3	0.6	> 23	50000	N/A	Butanol	DMA (a and b), measuring two
	CPC#4	0.3	4-3000	10 ⁷	N/A	Butanol	different size ranges.
				-	244		0

Experimental setup

Setup for monodisperse aerosols

Setup for polydisperse aerosols



	SMPS#4a	0.3	5-350	10 ⁷	²⁴¹ Am	Butanol)	
IPS	SMPS#4b	0.3	10-1110	10 ⁷	²⁴¹ Am	Butanol		
SN	SMPS#5		300-10000	3000	N/A	Optical		
	SMPS#6	0.75	10-420	10 ⁶	Corona discharge	Isopropanol		

Diffusion loss correction has been applied for all the SMPS.

Inter-comparisons:

CPC vs. CPC: comparison of the particle number concentrations given by the CPC. *CPC vs. SMPS:* the SMPS size distribution is integrated over the entire size range. *SMPS vs. SMPS:* the SMPS size distributions are integrated over the same size range.

01 0111 0			Stallness steel tube /4		01 0111 0	
		1]		J

Solutions: $NH_4NO_3 10^{-2}$ M for particles of selected sizes (50, 100, 200, 300 nm) $NH_4NO_3 10^{-3}$ M for polydisperse aerosols PSL spheres (50, 200, 350, 500, 700, and 900 nm)


Results and discussion

Particle number concentration

> CPC vs. CPC:


All the instruments are very well correlated (r² > 0.99). However, CPC#1 measures much more particles than CPC#2 and CPC#4.

For CPC#1, the counting efficiency increases for large particles (>200 nm).



Particle size distribution


Size distributions measured by SMPS#4a agree very well with those of NH_4NO_3 selected by the electrostatic classifier. SMPS#6 has a very wide size distribution, and is obviously not suitable for the measurement of monodisperse aerosols.




> CPC vs. SMPS:


SMPS#4a and SMPS#6 count much more particles than CPC#1 (slopes between 1.4 and 2.7).

SMPS#6 vs. CPC#1 shows very large variation of the slope, as a function of the particle size.



> SMPS vs. SMPS:





SMPS#4b and SMPS#6 have very similar size distributions, with a slight shift in the mode. SMPS#6 seems to measure size distributions of polydisperse aerosols much better than those of monodisperse aerosols.


SMPS have usually very poor size resolution beyond 300 nm. SMPS#4b and SMPS#5 see PSL particles in the range 300-1000 nm, but the integration of these signals has very large uncertainties.

Conclusion

- All the instruments are very well correlated in terms of particle number concentrations (r² > 0.99). However, scatterplots have slopes very far from 1 in some cases.
- Counting efficiencies of several instruments seem to depend highly on the particle size.
- Good agreement between the different SMPS in terms of size distributions, except for SMPS#6 with monodisperse aerosols.

SMPS#4(a and b) and SMPS#6 show different counting efficiencies, as a function of the particle size. For small particles, SMPS#6 counts much more particles than SMPS#4a.

SMPS#5 measures particles larger than 300 nm and uses optical detector. Its correlation towards SMPS#4b, which uses butanol CPC, is low.



These discrepancies in particle counting between different instruments may be a serious problem in field campaigns or lab experiments, since people often bring just one SMPS or one CPC to calibrate instruments, and to measure particle concentrations and size distributions.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge financial support from the CaPPA project (Chemical and Physical Properties of the Atmosphere) which is funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the PIA (Programme d'Investissements d'Avenir) under contract ANR-10-LABX-005.

> S. Zhang is grateful for a PhD scholarship from the Nord-Pas de Calais Regional Council and Armines.





