



HAL
open science

Bottom of the L^2 spectrum of the Laplacian on locally symmetric spaces

Jean-Philippe Anker, Hong-Wei Zhang

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Philippe Anker, Hong-Wei Zhang. Bottom of the L^2 spectrum of the Laplacian on locally symmetric spaces. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 2022, 216 (1), paper 3. hal-02865274v2

HAL Id: hal-02865274

<https://hal.science/hal-02865274v2>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

BOTTOM OF THE L^2 SPECTRUM OF THE LAPLACIAN ON LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES

JEAN-PHILIPPE ANKER AND HONG-WEI ZHANG

In memory of Michel Marias (1953-2020), who introduced us to locally symmetric spaces

ABSTRACT. We estimate the bottom of the L^2 spectrum of the Laplacian on locally symmetric spaces in terms of the critical exponents of appropriate Poincaré series. Our main result is the higher rank analog of a characterization due to Elstrodt, Patterson, Sullivan and Corlette in rank one. It improves upon previous results obtained by Leuzinger and Weber in higher rank.

1. INTRODUCTION

We adopt the standard notation and refer to [Hel00] for more details. Let G be a semi-simple Lie group, connected, noncompact, with finite center, and K be a maximal compact subgroup of G . The homogeneous space $X = G/K$ is a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra of G . The Killing form of \mathfrak{g} induces a K -invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{p} , hence a G -invariant Riemannian metric on G/K . Fix a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{p} . We identify \mathfrak{a} with its dual \mathfrak{a}^* by means of the inner product inherited from \mathfrak{p} . Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of G that acts freely and properly discontinuously on X . Then $Y = \Gamma \backslash X$ is a locally symmetric space, whose Riemannian structure is inherited from X . We denote by $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ the joint Riemannian distance on X and Y , by n their joint dimension, and by ℓ their joint rank, which is the dimension of \mathfrak{a} .

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}$ be the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ and let W be the associated Weyl group. Choose a positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and let $\Sigma^+ \subset \Sigma$ be the corresponding subsystem of positive roots. Denote by $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} m_\alpha \alpha$ the half sum of positive roots counted with their multiplicities. Occasionally we shall need the reduced root system $\Sigma_{\text{red}} = \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid \frac{\alpha}{2} \notin \Sigma\}$.

Consider the classical Poincaré series

$$P_s(xK, yK) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd(xK, \gamma yK)} \quad \forall s > 0, \forall x, y \in G \quad (1.1)$$

and denote by $\delta(\Gamma) = \inf\{s > 0 \mid P_s(xK, yK) < +\infty\}$ its critical exponent, which is independent of xK and yK . Recall that $\delta(\Gamma) \in [0, 2\|\rho\|]$ may be also defined by

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log N_R(xK, yK)}{R} \quad \forall x, y \in G,$$

where $N_R(xK, yK) = |\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid d(xK, \gamma yK) \leq R\}|$ denotes the orbital counting function. Finally, let Δ_Y be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Y and let $\lambda_0(Y)$ be the bottom of the L^2 spectrum of $-\Delta_Y$. The following celebrated result, due to Elstrodt ([Els73a], [Els73b], [Els74]), Patterson [Pat76], Sullivan [Sul87] and Corlette [Cor90], expresses $\lambda_0(Y)$ in terms of ρ and $\delta(\Gamma)$ in rank one.

Theorem 1.1. *In the rank one case ($\ell = 1$), we have*

$$\lambda_0(Y) = \begin{cases} \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq \|\rho\|, \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 & \text{if } \|\rho\| \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E40, 11N45, 35K08, 47A10, 58J50.

Key words and phrases. Locally symmetric space, L^2 spectrum, Poincaré series, critical exponent, Green function, heat kernel.

This result was extended in higher rank as follows by Leuzinger [Leu04] and Weber [Web08]. Let $\rho_{\min} = \min_{H \in \mathfrak{a}^+, \|H\|=1} \langle \rho, H \rangle \in (0, \|\rho\|]$. Notice that $\rho_{\min} = \|\rho\|$ in rank one and thus the following theorem reduces to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *In the general case ($\ell \geq 1$), the following estimates hold:*

• *Upper bound:*

$$\lambda_0(Y) \leq \begin{cases} \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq \|\rho\|, \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 & \text{if } \|\rho\| \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|. \end{cases}$$

• *Lower bound:*

$$\lambda_0(Y) \geq \begin{cases} \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq \rho_{\min}, \\ \max\{0, \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \rho_{\min})^2\} & \text{if } \rho_{\min} \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|. \end{cases}$$

In other terms,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0(Y) = \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } \delta(\Gamma) \in [0, \rho_{\min}], \\ \lambda_0(Y) \in [\|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \rho_{\min})^2, \|\rho\|^2] & \text{if } \delta(\Gamma) \in [\rho_{\min}, \|\rho\|], \\ \lambda_0(Y) \in [\|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \rho_{\min})^2, \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2] & \text{if } \delta(\Gamma) \in [\|\rho\|, \|\rho\| + \rho_{\min}], \\ \lambda_0(Y) \in [0, \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2] & \text{if } \delta(\Gamma) \in [\|\rho\| + \rho_{\min}, 2\|\rho\|]. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we first improve the lower bound of $\lambda_0(Y)$ in Theorem 1.2 by a slight modification of the classical Poincaré series (1.1). Let $\delta'(\Gamma)$ denote the critical exponent of the modified Poincaré series (2.7) associated to the polyhedral distance (2.1).

Theorem 1.3. *The following lower bound holds for the bottom $\lambda_0(Y)$ of the L^2 spectrum of $-\Delta$ on $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$:*

$$\lambda_0(Y) \geq \begin{cases} \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \delta'(\Gamma) \leq \|\rho\|, \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta'(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 & \text{if } \|\rho\| \leq \delta'(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|. \end{cases}$$

We obtain next a plain analog of Theorem 1.1 by considering a more involved family of Poincaré series. We denote by $\delta''(\Gamma)$ the critical exponent of $P_s''(xK, yK)$, see (3.3).

Theorem 1.4. *The following characterization holds for the bottom $\lambda_0(Y)$ of the L^2 spectrum of $-\Delta$ on $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$:*

$$\lambda_0(Y) = \begin{cases} \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \delta''(\Gamma) \leq \|\rho\|, \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta''(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 & \text{if } \|\rho\| \leq \delta''(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.5. *If Γ is a lattice, i.e., $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ has finite volume, then $\lambda_0(Y) = 0$ and $\delta''(\Gamma) = 2\|\rho\|$, hence $\delta'(\Gamma) = 2\|\rho\|$. Furthermore $\delta(\Gamma) = 2\|\rho\|$ [Alb99, Theorem 7.4]. As pointed out by Corlette [Cor90] in rank one and by Leuzinger [Leu03] in higher rank, if G has Kazhdan's property (T), then the following conditions are actually equivalent:*

$$(a) \Gamma \text{ is a lattice, } (b) \lambda_0(Y) = 0, \quad (c) \delta(\Gamma) = 2\|\rho\|, \quad (d) \delta''(\Gamma) = 2\|\rho\|.$$

Remark 1.6. *As for the Green function, the heat kernel*

$$h_t^Y(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h_t(Ky^{-1}\gamma^{-1}xK)$$

on a locally symmetric space $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ can be expressed and estimated by using the heat kernel h_t on the symmetric space $X = G/K$, whose behavior is well understood [AnJi99; AnOs03]. By adapting straightforwardly the methods carried out in [DaMa88; Web08], and by applying Theorem 1.4 instead of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we refine the Gaussian bounds of h_t^Y

and get rid in particular of ρ_{\min} . The following estimates hold for all $t > 0$ and all $x, y \in G$:

(i) Assume that $\delta''(\Gamma) < \|\rho\|$ and let $\delta''(\Gamma) < s < \|\rho\|$. Then

$$h_t^Y(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK) \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1+t)^{\frac{n-D}{2}} e^{-\|\rho\|^2 t} e^{-\frac{d(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK)^2}{4t}} P_s''(xK, yK).$$

(ii) Assume that $\|\rho\| \leq \delta''(\Gamma) < 2\|\rho\|$ and let $\delta''(\Gamma) - \|\rho\| < s_1 < s_2 < \|\rho\|$. Then

$$h_t^Y(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK) \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-(\|\rho\|^2 - s_2^2)t} P_{\|\rho\| + s_1}''(xK, yK).$$

(iii) Assume that $\delta''(\Gamma) < 2\|\rho\|$. Let $s > \delta''(\Gamma)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$h_t^Y(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK) \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-(\|\rho\|^2 - (\delta''(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 - 2\varepsilon)t} e^{-\frac{d(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK)^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)t}} P_s''(xK, xK)^{\frac{1}{2}} P_s''(yK, yK)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

2. FIRST IMPROVEMENT

In this section, we replace the Riemannian distance d on X by a *polyhedral* distance

$$d'(xK, yK) = \langle \frac{\rho}{\|\rho\|}, (y^{-1}x)^+ \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in G, \quad (2.1)$$

where $(y^{-1}x)^+$ denotes the $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ -component of $y^{-1}x$ in the Cartan decomposition $G = K(\exp \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+})K$. The corresponding balls, which reflect the volume growth of X at infinity, played an important role in [Ank90] and [Ank91]. More general polyhedral sets were considered in [Ank92] and [AAS10].

Proposition 2.1. *d' is a G -invariant distance on X .*

Proof. Notice first that (2.1) descends from $G \times G$ to $X \times X$, as the map $z \mapsto z^+$ is K -bi-invariant on G . The G -invariance of d' is straightforward from the definition (2.1). The symmetry

$$d'(xK, yK) = d'(yK, xK)$$

follows from

$$(y^{-1})^+ = -w_0 \cdot y^+ \quad \text{and} \quad -w_0 \cdot \rho = \rho, \quad (2.2)$$

where w_0 denotes the longest element in the Weyl group. Let us check the triangular inequality

$$d'(xK, yK) \leq d'(xK, zK) + d'(zK, yK).$$

By G -invariance, we may reduce to the case where $zK = eK$. According to Lemma 2.2 below,

$$x^+ + (y^{-1})^+ - (y^{-1}x)^+$$

belongs to the cone generated by the positive roots. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For every $x, y \in G$, we have the following inclusion*

$$\text{co}[W.(xy)^+] \subset \text{co}[W.(x^+ + y^+)] \quad (2.3)$$

between convex hulls.

Proof. The inclusion (2.3) amounts to the fact that

$$x^+ + y^+ - (xy)^+$$

belongs to the cone generated by the positive roots or, equivalently, to the inequality

$$\langle \lambda, (xy)^+ \rangle \leq \langle \lambda, x^+ \rangle + \langle \lambda, y^+ \rangle \quad \forall \lambda \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is enough to prove (2.4) for all highest weights λ of irreducible finite-dimensional complex representations $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ with K -fixed vectors. According to Weyl's unitary trick (see for instance [Kna02, Proposition 7.15]), there exists an inner product on V such that

$$\begin{cases} \pi(k) \text{ is unitary} & \forall k \in K, \\ \pi(a) \text{ is self-adjoint} & \forall a \in \exp \mathfrak{a}. \end{cases}$$

As λ is the highest weight of π , then

$$e^{\langle \lambda, (xy)^+ \rangle} = \|\pi(xy)\| \leq \|\pi(x)\| \|\pi(y)\| = e^{\langle \lambda, x^+ \rangle} e^{\langle \lambda, y^+ \rangle} = e^{\langle \lambda, x^+ + y^+ \rangle}.$$

□

Remark 2.3. The distance d' is comparable to the Riemannian distance d . Specifically,

$$\frac{\rho_{\min}}{\|\rho\|} d(xK, yK) \leq d'(xK, yK) \leq d(xK, yK) \quad \forall x, y \in G. \quad (2.5)$$

This follows indeed from

$$\frac{\rho_{\min}}{\|\rho\|} \|H\| \leq \langle \frac{\rho}{\|\rho\|}, H \rangle \leq \|H\| \quad \forall H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}.$$

The volume of balls

$$B'_r(xK) = \{yK \in X \mid d'(yK, xK) \leq r\}$$

was determined in [Ank90, Lemma 6]. For the reader's convenience, we recall the statement and its proof.

Lemma 2.4. For every $x \in G$ and $r > 0$, we have ¹

$$|B'_r(xK)| \asymp \begin{cases} r^n & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ r^{\ell-1} e^{2\|\rho\|r} & \text{if } r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.5. Notice the different large scale behavior, in comparison with the classical ball volume

$$|B_r(xK)| \asymp \begin{cases} r^n & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ r^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} e^{2\|\rho\|r} & \text{if } r \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

see for instance [Str81] or [Kni97].

Proof. By translation invariance, we may assume that $x = e$. Recall the integration formula

$$\int_X dx f(x) = \text{const.} \int_K dk \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} dH \omega(H) f(k(\exp H)K), \quad (2.6)$$

in the Cartan decomposition, with density

$$\omega(H) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh \langle \alpha, H \rangle)^{m_\alpha} \asymp \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \left(\frac{\langle \alpha, H \rangle}{1 + \langle \alpha, H \rangle} \right)^{m_\alpha} e^{2\langle \rho, H \rangle},$$

since $\sinh t \sim \frac{t}{1+t} e^t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus

$$|B'_r(eK)| = \text{const.} \int_{\{H \in \mathfrak{a}^+ \mid \langle \rho, H \rangle \leq \|\rho\|r\}} dH \omega(H) \asymp \int_{\{H \in \mathfrak{a}^+ \mid \|H\| \leq r\}} dH \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \langle \alpha, H \rangle^{m_\alpha} \asymp r^n$$

if r is small. Let us turn to r large. On the one hand, we estimate from above

$$|B'_r(eK)| \lesssim \int_{\{H \in \mathfrak{a}^+ \mid \langle \rho, H \rangle \leq \|\rho\|r\}} dH e^{2\langle \rho, H \rangle} \asymp \int_0^{2\|\rho\|r} ds s^{\ell-1} e^s \asymp r^{\ell-1} e^{2\|\rho\|r}.$$

On the other hand, let $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. As

$$\omega(H) \asymp e^{2\langle \rho, H \rangle} \quad \forall H \in H_0 + \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+},$$

we estimate from below

$$|B'_r(eK)| \gtrsim \int_{\{H \in H_0 + \mathfrak{a}^+ \mid \langle \rho, H \rangle \leq \|\rho\|r\}} dH e^{2\langle \rho, H \rangle} \gtrsim \int_{C_0}^{2\|\rho\|r} ds s^{\ell-1} e^s \asymp r^{\ell-1} e^{2\|\rho\|r},$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is a constant depending on H_0 . □

¹The symbol $f \asymp g$ between two non-negative expressions means that there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < +\infty$ such that $Ag \leq f \leq Bg$.

Consider now the modified Poincaré series

$$P'_s(xK, yK) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd'(xK, \gamma yK)} \quad \forall s > 0, \forall x, y \in G \quad (2.7)$$

associated with d' , its critical exponent

$$\delta'(\Gamma) = \inf\{s > 0 \mid P'_s(xK, yK) < +\infty\} \quad (2.8)$$

and the modified orbital counting function

$$N'_R(xK, yK) = |\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid d'(xK, \gamma yK) \leq R\}| \quad \forall R \geq 0, \forall x, y \in G. \quad (2.9)$$

The following proposition shows that (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) share the properties of their classical counterparts.

Proposition 2.6. *The following assertions hold:*

- (i) $\delta'(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of x and y .
- (ii) $0 \leq \delta'(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|$.
- (iii) For every $x, y \in G$,

$$\delta'(\Gamma) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log N'_R(xK, yK)}{R}. \quad (2.10)$$

Remark 2.7. *It follows from (2.5) that*

$$P_s(xK, yK) \leq P'_s(xK, yK) \leq P_{\frac{\rho_{\min}}{\|\rho\|}s}(xK, yK)$$

and

$$N_R(xK, yK) \leq N'_R(xK, yK) \leq N_{\frac{\|\rho\|}{\rho_{\min}}R}(xK, yK).$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq \delta'(\Gamma) \leq \frac{\|\rho\|}{\rho_{\min}} \delta(\Gamma).$$

Proof. (i) follows from the triangular inequality. More precisely, let $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in G$ and $s > 0$. Then

$$d'(x_2K, \gamma y_2K) \leq d'(x_2K, x_1K) + d'(x_1K, \gamma y_1K) + \underbrace{d'(\gamma y_1K, \gamma y_2K)}_{d'(y_1K, y_2K)} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

hence

$$\underbrace{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d'(x_1K, \gamma y_1K)}}_{P'_s(x_1K, y_1K)} \leq e^{s\{d'(x_1K, x_2K) + d'(y_1K, y_2K)\}} \underbrace{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d'(x_2K, \gamma y_2K)}}_{P'_s(x_2K, y_2K)}.$$

(ii) According to (i), let us show, without loss of generality, that $P'_s(eK, eK) < +\infty$ for every $s > 2\|\rho\|$. According to Lemma 2.9 below, there exists $r > 0$ such that the balls $B'_r(\gamma K)$, with $\gamma \in \Gamma$, are pairwise disjoint in G/K . Let us apply the integration formula (2.6) to the function

$$f_s(xK) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd'(\gamma K, eK)} \mathbf{1}_{B'_r(\gamma K)}(xK).$$

On the one hand, as

$$|d'(xK, eK) - d'(\gamma K, eK)| \leq r \quad \forall xK \in B'_r(\gamma K),$$

we have

$$\int_X d(xK) f_s(xK) \asymp \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd'(\gamma K, eK)} \frac{|B'_r(\gamma K)|}{|B'_r(eK)|} \asymp P'_s(eK, eK).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X d(xK) f_s(xK) &\lesssim \int_X d(xK) e^{-sd'(xK, eK)} \\ &\asymp \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} dH \omega(H) e^{-s\langle \frac{\rho}{\|\rho\|}, H \rangle} \lesssim \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} dH e^{-(\frac{s}{\|\rho\|} - 2)\langle \rho, H \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

is finite if $s > 2\|\rho\|$. Thus $P'_s(eK, eK) < +\infty$ in that case, and consequently $\delta'(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|$.

(iii) Denote the right hand side of (2.10) by $L(xK, yK)$ and let us first show that $L(xK, yK)$ is finite. By applying Lemma 2.9 below to $y^{-1}\Gamma y$, we deduce that there exists $r > 0$ such that the balls $B'_r(\gamma yK)$, with $\gamma \in \Gamma$, are pairwise disjoint. Set

$$\Gamma'_R(xK, yK) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid d'(xK, \gamma yK) \leq R\} \quad \forall R \geq 0, \forall x, y \in G.$$

Then the ball $B'_{R+r}(xK)$ contains the disjoint balls $B'_r(\gamma yK)$, with $\gamma \in \Gamma'_R(xK, yK)$. By computing volumes, we estimate

$$N'_R(xK, yK) = |\Gamma'_R(xK, yK)| \leq \frac{|B'_{R+r}(xK)|}{|B'_r(eK)|} \asymp (1+R)^{\ell-1} e^{2\|\rho\|R}.$$

Hence $L(xK, yK) \leq 2\|\rho\|$. Let us next show that $L(xK, yK)$ is actually independent of $x, y \in G$. Given $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in G$ and $R_1 > 0$, let

$$R_2 = R_1 + d'(x_1K, x_2K) + d'(y_1K, y_2K).$$

Then the triangular inequality

$$d'(x_2K, \gamma y_2K) \leq d'(x_2K, x_1K) + d'(x_1K, \gamma y_1K) + \underbrace{d'(\gamma y_1K, \gamma y_2K)}_{d'(y_1K, y_2K)}$$

implies successively

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_{R_1}(x_1K, y_1K) &\subset \Gamma'_{R_2}(x_2K, y_2K), \\ N'_{R_1}(x_1K, y_1K) &\leq N'_{R_2}(x_2K, y_2K), \\ L(x_1K, y_1K) &\leq L(x_2K, y_2K). \end{aligned}$$

Let us finally prove the equality between $\delta'(\Gamma)$ and $L = L(eK, eK)$. For this purpose, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P'_s &= 1 + \sum_{R \in \mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'_R \setminus \Gamma'_{R-1}} e^{-s d'(eK, \gamma K)} \\ &\asymp 1 + \sum_{R \in \mathbb{N}^*} (N'_R - N'_{R-1}) e^{-sR} \\ &\asymp \sum_{R \in \mathbb{N}} N'_R e^{-sR}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where we have written for simplicity

$$P'_s = P'_s(eK, eK), \quad \Gamma'_R = \Gamma'_R(eK, eK) \quad \text{and} \quad N'_R = N'_R(eK, eK).$$

One the one hand, let $s > L$ and set $\varepsilon = \frac{s-L}{2}$. By definition of L ,

$$N'_R \lesssim e^{(L+\varepsilon)R} \quad \forall R \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$P'_s \lesssim \sum_{R \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-\varepsilon R} < +\infty.$$

One the other hand, let $s < L$. By definition of L , there exists a sequence of integers $1 < R_1 < R_2 < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$N'_{R_j} \geq e^{sR_j} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Hence the series (2.11) diverges. \square

Remark 2.8. Here is an example where $\delta < \delta'$. Consider the product

$$\Gamma \backslash G / K = (\Gamma_1 \backslash G_1 / K_1) \times (\Gamma_2 \backslash G_2 / K_2)$$

of two locally symmetric spaces of rank one, with parameters ρ_1, δ_1 and ρ_2, δ_2 . Then

$$\delta \leq \sqrt{\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\delta' \geq \sqrt{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2} \max \left\{ \frac{\delta_1}{\rho_1}, \frac{\delta_2}{\rho_2} \right\}. \quad (2.13)$$

Hence $\delta < \delta'$ if $\frac{\delta_1}{\rho_1} \neq \frac{\delta_2}{\rho_2}$. Notice that there are plenty of such products, starting with the case where $\delta_1 = 2\rho_1$ and $\delta_2 = 0$. Let us first prove (2.12) and begin with some notation. Write for simplicity

$$N_{1,R} = (N_1)_R(eK_1, eK_1), \quad N_{2,R} = (N_2)_R(eK_2, eK_2) \quad \text{and} \quad N_R = N_R(eK, eK),$$

for every $R \geq 0$. Moreover, for every $D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$, denote by $N(D)$ the number of $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ in $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ such that $(d_1(\gamma_1 K_1, eK_1), d_2(\gamma_2 K_2, eK_2))$ belongs to D . In \mathbb{R}_+^2 we consider the covering of $D_R = \{(R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid R_1^2 + R_2^2 \leq R^2\}$ by the two segments $[0, R] \times \{0\}$, $\{0\} \times [0, R]$ and by the squares $Q_{j_1, j_2} = (j_1, j_1 + 1] \times (j_2, j_2 + 1]$, with $j_1^2 + j_2^2 < R^2$. Then

$$N_R = N(D_R) \leq N_{1,R} + N_{2,R} + \sum_{j_1^2 + j_2^2 < R^2} N(Q_{j_1, j_2}), \quad (2.14)$$

with

$$N(Q_{j_1, j_2}) = (N_{1, j_1+1} - N_{1, j_1})(N_{2, j_2+1} - N_{2, j_2}) \leq N_{1, j_1+1} N_{2, j_2+1}. \quad (2.15)$$

Given $s_1 > \delta_1$ and $s_2 > \delta_2$, there exist $C \geq 1$ such that

$$N_{1,R} \leq C e^{s_1 R} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{2,R} \leq C e^{s_2 R} \quad (2.16)$$

for every $R \geq 0$. By combining (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), we get

$$N_R \leq C e^{s_1 R} + C e^{s_2 R} + C^2 \sum_{j_1^2 + j_2^2 < R^2} e^{s_1(j_1+1) + s_2(j_2+1)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Up to a multiplicative constant, the right hand side of (2.17) is bounded above by the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap B(0, R+2)} dR_1 dR_2 e^{s_1 R_1 + s_2 R_2} = \int_0^{R+2} dr r \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta e^{r(s_1 \cos \theta + s_2 \sin \theta)}. \quad (2.18)$$

As the function $\theta \mapsto s_1 \cos \theta + s_2 \sin \theta$ reaches its maximum $\sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}$ at $\theta_0 = \arctan \frac{s_2}{s_1}$, the latter integral is itself bounded above by

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{R+2} dr r e^{r\sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{R+2}{\sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}} e^{(R+2)\sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}} \quad (2.19)$$

In conclusion, we obtain

$$\frac{\log N_R}{R} \leq \frac{2 \log C + \log \pi - \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}{R} + \frac{\log(R+2)}{R} + \frac{R+2}{R} \sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}$$

by combining (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19), hence $\delta \leq \sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}$ by letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$, and finally $\delta \leq \sqrt{\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2}$ by letting $s_1 \searrow \delta_1$ and $s_2 \searrow \delta_2$. Let us turn to the proof of (2.13). Set $\|\rho\| = \sqrt{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2}$ and assume that $\frac{\delta_1}{\rho_1} \geq \frac{\delta_2}{\rho_2}$. As

$$d'(\gamma K, eK) = \frac{\rho_1}{\|\rho\|} d_1(\gamma_1 K_1, eK_1) + \frac{\rho_2}{\|\rho\|} d_2(\gamma_2 K_2, eK_2),$$

the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid d'(\gamma K, eK) \leq R\}$ contains the product

$$\{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1 \mid d_1(\gamma_1 K_1, eK_1) \leq \frac{\|\rho\|}{\rho_1} R\} \times \{e\},$$

for every $R \geq 0$. Hence $N'_R \geq N_{1, \frac{\|\rho\|}{\rho_1} R}$, where $N'_R = N'_R(eK, eK)$, and consequently $\delta' \geq \frac{\|\rho\|}{\rho_1} \delta_1$.

Lemma 2.9. ² *There exists $r > 0$ such that the balls $B'_r(\gamma K)$, with $\gamma \in \Gamma$, are pairwise disjoint in G/K .*

Proof. Let $r > 0$. As Γ is discrete in G , its intersection with the compact subset

$$G'_r = \{y \in G \mid d'(yK, eK) \leq r\} = K(\exp\{H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} \mid \langle \rho, H \rangle \leq \|\rho\|r\})K$$

is finite. Moreover, as Γ is torsion-free,

$$\gamma^+ \neq 0 \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}.$$

Hence there exists $r > 0$ such that $\Gamma \cap G'_{2r} = \{e\}$, which implies that the sets $\gamma G'_r$ are pairwise disjoint in G . In other words, the balls $B'_r(\gamma K)$ are pairwise disjoint in G/K . \square

By using $\delta'(\Gamma)$, we prove Theorem 1.3, which improves the lower bound in Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us resume the approach in [Cor90, Section 4] and [Leu04, Section 3]. It consists in studying the convergence of the positive series

$$g_\zeta^\Gamma(\Gamma xK, \Gamma yK) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_\zeta(Ky^{-1}\gamma^{-1}xK), \quad (2.20)$$

which expresses the kernel g_ζ^Γ of $(-\Delta - \|\rho\|^2 + \zeta^2)^{-1}$ on the locally symmetric space $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ in terms of the corresponding Green function g_ζ on the symmetric space $X = G/K$. Here $\zeta > 0$ and $\Gamma xK \neq \Gamma yK$. Recall [AnJi99, Theorem 4.2.2] that

$$g_\zeta(\exp H) \asymp \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\text{red}}^+} (1 + \langle \alpha, H \rangle) \right\} \|H\|^{-\frac{\ell-1}{2} - |\Sigma_{\text{red}}^+|} e^{-\langle \rho, H \rangle - \zeta \|H\|} \quad (2.21)$$

for $H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ large, let say $\|H\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, while

$$g_\zeta(\exp H) \asymp \begin{cases} \|H\|^{-(n-2)} & \text{if } n > 2 \\ \log \frac{1}{\|H\|} & \text{if } n = 2 \end{cases}$$

for H small, let say $0 < \|H\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus (2.20) converges if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\text{red}}^+} (1 + \langle \alpha, (y^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x)^+ \rangle) \right\} \times \\ & \times d(xK, \gamma yK)^{-\frac{\ell-1}{2} - |\Sigma_{\text{red}}^+|} e^{-\|\rho\|d'(xK, \gamma yK) - \zeta d(xK, \gamma yK)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

converges. Let us compare the series (2.22) with the Poincaré series (1.1) and (2.7). On the one hand, as $\|(y^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x)^+\| = d(xK, \gamma yK)$, (2.22) is bounded from above by $P'_{\|\rho\|+\zeta}(xK, yK)$. On the other hand, as

$$d(xK, \gamma yK)^{-\frac{\ell-1}{2} - |\Sigma_{\text{red}}^+|} \gtrsim e^{-\varepsilon d(xK, \gamma yK)}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, (2.22) is bounded from below by $P_{\|\rho\|+\zeta+\varepsilon}(xK, yK)$. Hence (2.22) converges if $\|\rho\| + \zeta > \delta'(\Gamma)$, i.e., $\zeta > \delta'(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|$, while (2.22) diverges if $\zeta < \delta(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|$. We conclude by using the fact [Cor90, Section 4] that $\lambda_0(Y)$ is the supremum of $\|\rho\|^2 - \zeta^2$ over all $\zeta > 0$ such that (2.20) converges. \square

Next statement is obtained by combining this lower bound with the upper bound in Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 2.10. *The following estimates hold for $\lambda_0(Y)$:*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0(Y) = \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } \delta'(\Gamma) \leq \|\rho\|, \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta'(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 \leq \lambda_0(Y) \leq \|\rho\|^2 & \text{if } \delta(\Gamma) \leq \|\rho\| \leq \delta'(\Gamma), \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta'(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 \leq \lambda_0(Y) \leq \|\rho\|^2 - (\delta(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|)^2 & \text{if } \|\rho\| \leq \delta(\Gamma). \end{cases}$$

²As observed by the referee, Lemma 3 still holds without the torsion-free assumption, provided that γ runs through $\Gamma \setminus (\Gamma \cap K)$.

3. SECOND IMPROVEMENT

In this section, we obtain the actual higher rank analog of Theorem 1.1 by considering a further family of distances on X , which reflects the large scale behavior (2.21) of the Green function. Specifically, for every $s > 0$ and $x, y \in G$, let

$$\begin{aligned} d_s''(xK, yK) &= \min\{s, \|\rho\|\} d'(xK, yK) + \max\{s - \|\rho\|, 0\} d(xK, yK) \\ &= \begin{cases} s d'(xK, yK) & \text{if } 0 < s \leq \|\rho\|, \\ \|\rho\| d'(xK, yK) + (s - \|\rho\|) d(xK, yK) & \text{if } s \geq \|\rho\|. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Then (3.1) defines a G -invariant distance on X such that

$$s d'(xK, yK) \leq d_s''(xK, yK) \leq s d(xK, yK) \quad \forall s > 0, \forall x, y \in G. \quad (3.2)$$

Consider the associated Poincaré series

$$P_s''(xK, yK) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-d_s''(xK, \gamma yK)} \quad \forall s > 0, \forall x, y \in G \quad (3.3)$$

and its critical exponent

$$\delta''(\Gamma) = \inf\{s > 0 \mid P_s''(xK, yK) < +\infty\}.$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$0 \leq \delta(\Gamma) \leq \delta''(\Gamma) \leq \delta'(\Gamma) \leq 2\|\rho\|. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. In the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 2.10, we compared the series (2.20), or equivalently (2.22), with the Poincaré series (1.1) and (2.7). If we consider instead the Poincaré series (3.3), we obtain in the same way that (2.22) is bounded from above by $P_{\|\rho\|+\zeta}''(xK, yK)$ and from below by $P_{\|\rho\|+\zeta+\varepsilon}''(xK, yK)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence (2.22) converges if $\zeta > \delta''(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|$, while (2.22) diverges if $\zeta < \delta''(\Gamma) - \|\rho\|$. We conclude as in the above-mentioned proof. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referee for checking carefully the manuscript and making several helpful suggestions of improvement. The second author acknowledges financial support from the University of Orléans during his Ph.D. and from the Methusalem Programme *Analysis and Partial Differential Equations* during his postdoc stay at Ghent University.

REFERENCES

- [Alb99] P. Albuquerque, Patterson-Sullivan theory in higher rank symmetric spaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 9 (1999), 1–28, [MR1675889](#).
- [AAS10] B. Amri, J.-P. Anker, and M. Sifi, Three results in Dunkl analysis, *Colloq. Math.* 118 (2010), 299–312, [MR2600531](#).
- [AnJi99] J.-P. Anker and L. Ji, Heat kernel and Green function estimates on noncompact symmetric spaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 9 (1999), 1035–1091, [MR1736928](#).
- [Ank90] J.-P. Anker, L_p Fourier multipliers on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 132 (1990), 597–628, [MR1078270](#).
- [Ank91] J.-P. Anker, The spherical Fourier transform of rapidly decreasing functions. A simple proof of a characterization due to Harish-Chandra, Helgason, Trombi, and Varadarajan, *J. Funct. Anal.* 96 (1991), 331–349, [MR1101261](#).
- [Ank92] J.-P. Anker, Sharp estimates for some functions of the Laplacian on noncompact symmetric spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 65 (1992), 257–297, [MR1150587](#).
- [AnOs03] J.-P. Anker and P. Ostellari, The heat kernel on noncompact symmetric spaces, in: Lie groups and symmetric spaces, vol.210, 27–46, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, [MR2018351](#).

- [Cor90] K. Corlette, Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets. I, *Invent. Math.* 102 (1990), 521–541, [MR1074486](#).
- [DaMa88] E. B. Davies and N. Mandouvalos, Heat kernel bounds on hyperbolic space and Kleinian groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 57 (1988), 182–208, [MR940434](#).
- [Els73a] J. Elstrodt, Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. I, *Math. Ann.* 203 (1973), 295–300, [MR360472](#).
- [Els73b] J. Elstrodt, Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. II, *Math. Z.* 132 (1973), 99–134, [MR360473](#).
- [Els74] J. Elstrodt, Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. III, *Math. Ann.* 208 (1974), 99–132, [MR360474](#).
- [Hel00] S. Helgason, Groups and geometric analysis, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2000, [MR1790156](#).
- [Kna02] A. W. Knaupp, Lie groups beyond an introduction, Progress in Mathematics, *Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA*, 2002, [MR1920389](#).
- [Kni97] G. Knieper, On the asymptotic geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 7 (1997), 755–782, [MR1465601](#).
- [Leu03] E. Leuzinger, Kazhdan’s property (T), L^2 -spectrum and isoperimetric inequalities for locally symmetric spaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 78 (2003), 116–133, [MR1966754](#).
- [Leu04] E. Leuzinger, Critical exponents of discrete groups and L^2 -spectrum, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 919–927, [MR2019974](#).
- [Pat76] S. J. Patterson, The limit set of a Fuchsian group, *Acta Math.* 136 (1976), 241–273, [MR450547](#).
- [Str81] J.-O. Strömberg, Weak type L^1 estimates for maximal functions on noncompact symmetric spaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 114 (1981), 115–126, [MR625348](#).
- [Sul87] D. Sullivan, Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry, *J. Differential Geom.* 25 (1987), 327–351, [MR882827](#).
- [Web08] A. Weber, Heat kernel bounds, Poincaré series, and L^2 spectrum for locally symmetric spaces, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 78 (2008), 73–86, [MR2458299](#).

JEAN-PHILIPPE ANKER: anker@univ-orleans.fr

Institut Denis Poisson, Université d’Orléans, Université de Tours & CNRS, Orléans, France

HONG-WEI ZHANG: hongwei.zhang@ugent.be

Department of Mathematics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Institut Denis Poisson, Université d’Orléans, Université de Tours & CNRS, Orléans, France