

# Accessible Cultural Heritage through Explainable Artificial Intelligence

Natalia Díaz-Rodríguez, Galena Pisoni

# ▶ To cite this version:

Natalia Díaz-Rodríguez, Galena Pisoni. Accessible Cultural Heritage through Explainable Artificial Intelligence. PATCH 2020 - 11th Workshop on Personalized Access to Cultural Heritage, Jul 2020, Genova / Virtual, Italy. hal-02864501

# HAL Id: hal-02864501 https://hal.science/hal-02864501v1

Submitted on 11 Jun 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Accessible Cultural Heritage through Explainable Artificial Intelligence

Natalia Díaz-Rodríguez

natalia.diaz@ensta-paris.fr ENSTA, Institut Polytechnique Paris & INRIA Flowers, France

Galena Pisoni

galena.pisoni@univ-cotedazur.fr, Université Côte d'Azur, Nice, France

#### Abstract

Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI advocate for AI technology that is, among other things, more inclusive. Explainable AI (XAI) aims at making state of the art opaque models more transparent, and defends AI-based outcomes endorsed with a *rationale explanation*, i.e., an explanation that has as target the non-technical users. XAI and Responsible AI principles defend the fact that the audience expertise should be included in the evaluation of explainable AI systems. However, AI has not yet reached all public and audiences, some of which may need it the most. One example of domain where accessibility has not much been influenced by the latest AI advances is cultural heritage. We propose including minorities as special user and evaluator of the latest XAI techniques. In order to define catalytic scenarios for collaboration and improved user experience, we pose some challenges and research questions yet to address by the latest AI models likely to be involved in such synergy.

*Keywords:* Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Generative Models, Natural Language Processing, Image Captioning, Cultural Heritage

# 1 1. Introduction

The European Commission Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence (AI) [1] and Responsible AI principles [2] advocate for lawful

Preprint submitted to PATCH Workshop at the 28th ACM UMAP Conference, May 26, 2020



Figure 1: Left: *3 Graces.* Middle: *Monet* from the series *People matching artworks.* Right: *People touching artworks.* Reproduced with permission from ©Stefan Draschan www.StefanDraschan.com.

AI technology that is, among other things, more inclusive. *EXplainable* AI 4 (XAI) aims at making state of the art opaque models more transparent, and 5 defends AI-based outcomes endorsed with a rationale explanation, i.e., an 6 explanation that has as target the non-technical users. The latest XAI tech-7 niques [2, 3, 4, 5] could bring art closer to new audiences. By increasing 8 the accessibility of cultural heritage to collectives not fully able to enjoy it 9 today, missing gaps in technology could be identified. One example of such 10 innovations is the smartphone app MonuMAI<sup>1</sup>, which has already demon-11 strated how to put together technological innovation to actively approach 12 different perspectives in science and art dissemination to the public [6, 7]. 13 Based on deep neural networks (DNNs), MonuMAI classifies photos taken 14 (e.g. of a facade) according to different architectonic styles, providing visual 15 explanations on the elements contributing to the detected style. 16

Such examples show that technology can yet have a lot more of impact than currently has. Models able to switch among input/output modalities (in terms of the data they are able to process) could have a crucial role. The role is actively approaching art to minorities not having it accessible (since blind people can listen and read, the deaf can read, etc.). The latest advances in natural language processing (NLP), computer vision (CV) and XAI could disruptively innovate the ways in which we teach, learn, and approach art to

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>MonuMAI = Monuments + Maths + AI + Dissemination$ 

<sup>24</sup> society.

For instance, people with visual impairments take and share photographs 25 for the same reasons that sighted people do, but as they find many more 26 difficulties, methods have been developed to assist blind photography (in-27 cluding audio feedback that facilitates aiming the camera) [8]. Generating 28 descriptions helps visually impaired people better browse and select photos 29 based on human-powered photo descriptions and computer-generated photo 30 descriptions. Could such human computation-generated visual explanations 31 also help completely blind users, e.g. to navigate? Could these help any 32 user that wants to learn from first-hand experts how a given artwork is in-33 terpreted, or what it conveys, providing the right context of its time? If 34 the answer is positive, perhaps a DNN could be trained with all generated 35 data to avoid the arduous task of labelling data so that eventually, the blind 36 would not require human assistance. In this paper we put ourselves in the 37 shoes of particular collectives such as the blind, or the deaf, and pose a set 38 of settings we consider worth exploring in the intersection of art and science. 39 In particular, we propose using cultural heritage as a playground for (X)AI, 40 and suggest a list of challenges and research questions (RQs) showing why 41 inclusive art needs XAI, and why XAI may find on minority audiences, the 42 right manner to evaluate where AI can have more impact. 43

# 44 2. EXplainable AI (XAI)

Given an audience, an **eXplainable** AI (XAI) is a suite of machine learning techniques that produces details or reasons to make its functioning clear or easy to understand [2]. XAI draws insights from Social Sciences and the psychology of explanation, and its objective is to (1) produce more explainable models maintaining high level performance, and (2) enable humans to understand, trust, and manage the emerging generation of artificially intelligent partners.

Given the inherent subjectivity of an explanation, current discussions advocate for rethinking interpretability, involving the audience expertise. When AI becomes ubiquitous across domains, it is specially important to follow the EU Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI [1], Guidelines for Responsible AI and interpretable AI models [2]. Equally important is accounting for interests, demands and requirements of the different stakeholders interacting with the system to be explained. In cultural heritage contexts, accounting for the target audience is equally important from both evaluation and personalisation points of view [9].

#### <sup>61</sup> 3. Unconventional interfaces for art accessibility

Groups of visitors inside museums have been a focus of ongoing research 62 for a long time [10, 11, 12]. Some systems allowed for visitor collaboration 63 by supporting shared listening or leaving messages between visitors [11]. In 64 order to facilitate the process of engagement and collaboration between co-65 visitors, narratives are often introduced in museum contexts. Narratives are 66 responsible for mental immersion through which users can be engaged and in-67 volved in the experience, increasing their sense of mediated presence as well. 68 Visitors preferences have been studied [13], and more engaging approaches 60 have been proposed for stimulating the visitor interests by using presenta-70 tions such as film or drama [14]. The drama was adapting to the visitors so 71 that different available independent drama segments were played to be group 72 based on characteristics of the group of visitors, the specific context of the 73 visit, and implicit input from the visitors themselves. Results showed that 74 drama, when designed for small groups, and combined with the raw emotion 75 of onsite visitors being in front of actual original artifacts, can emotionally 76 engage distant visitors with mobility constrains [15]. 77

Another way to alleviate mobility disadvantages for challenged individ-78 uals and to allow them still to enjoy art is through the use of virtual envi-79 ronments. Virtual environments offer the possibility to navigate in new or 80 known environments and contexts, and interacting with people in different 81 locations. Virtual environments can provide a realistic experience, or the 82 participant's feeling of "being there" in an environment, also defined as a 83 sense of presence. Previous studies have investigated if and how challenged 84 individuals can access and appreciate museum contents, and the best suited 85 interface designs for this [16, 17]. The results have been positive with first 86 results indicating that challenged individuals could indeed understand the 87 virtual tours and engage in contextual conversations, while the ability to fol-88 low the tour depended on the level of the "interactivity" of the prototype. 89 The more complex the interaction, the least possible it was for challenged 90 individuals to follow the museum visit. 91

For those with cognitive disabilities and the elderly, the ability to consume cultural contents and to independently consult information about museums from home is even more limited. Previous applications that understand the <sup>95</sup> cognitive barriers and propose solutions to present information so to cope <sup>96</sup> with the reduced cognitive loads have been developed and tested with users <sup>97</sup> [16, 18]. The majority of studies focus on developing or using AR technologies <sup>98</sup> to support blind or visually impaired users. Successful steps towards this <sup>99</sup> future have been made, with the possibilities for shared experiences already <sup>100</sup> available also for people with cognitive disabilities.

#### <sup>101</sup> 3.1. Storytelling and audience engagement

Approaching art to different audiences should consider culture and back-102 ground. Culture traditions can disruptively change the idea of a museum 103 activity since early ages. For instance, opinion towards museums can be seen 104 by kids very differently. A great example is how kids loudly enjoy and see 105 museums as a fun place for kids when allowed to paint and talk inside (as 106 in UK National Gallery). The idea of museum becomes that one of a ludic 107 place, transmitting the idea that art can be a fun activity to play with. Such 108 context makes kids at ease to approach and feel curious about heritage, leav-109 ing room for creativity. A very different idea of art is what often is formed 110 in children when museums do not allow touching, loud speaking, nor interac-111 tion, linking the idea of museum more to a temple, or an activity that many 112 may find boring. 113

Studying mechanisms to bring closer the artistic heritage to a target audience shows that, in art, the audience plays a central element, and can change the vision of society towards art dramatically. Likewise in XAI, not placing the audience in a centric role risks AI losing its deserved trust.

<sup>118</sup> In order to renew the ways of thinking about art,

<sup>119</sup> Challenge 1. Could AI help deliver art, personalize or write new rules on <sup>120</sup> what is possible to do with cultural heritage?

Neural symbolic computation [19, 20] includes methods to embed symbolic and neural representations to learn and reason with different levels of abstraction [21].

<sup>124</sup> **RQ 1.** Does embedding of expert/domain knowledge into DL models [22] help <sup>125</sup> explain such models? Can XAI help encode such prior knowledge [22]?

<sup>126</sup> Use Case 1. Juan Jesus Pleguezuelos, History teacher and podcast author <sup>127</sup> of Art History for entrance exams to University<sup>2</sup>: The challenge I pose is to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.instagram.com/historiaarte.selectividad

make others see an historical image only through words. It is clear that this requires an exhaustive description of the masterpiece, but you should also try to make others feel the latent soul in it, and decipher the intention of the author. And if you could also convey the emotion that this work is able to cause, it can be that words may be more than enough to make a listener understand an artistic work that he is not seeing in that moment.

Challenge 2. Could XAI exhibit the level of detail and engagement required to effectively convey a style, or the spirit represented in the times of an artwork?

#### <sup>137</sup> 4. Explaining art through language

Unlike math, art may not always be understood, and may require extra
(objective and subjective) interpretations to be able to effectively convey its
message. We believe art and the story accompanying it could be made more
widely understood if they would be more easily accessible.

Hypothesis 1. If AI models can assist generation of content- and interpretationwise explanations, art can be more widely understood and accessible.

One difficulty to convey the style of art eras consists of the ability to 144 express what that era meant. E.g., Renascence's works show people's joy, 145 elegance, etc. AI not only should recognize the style but also the spirit 146 present in the era. For instance, given Venus Birth, how is to be understood 147 the Renaissance period? How to understand the ideas and spirit of the time? 148 What was the intention of the author? XAI may be a well-fitting candidate 140 tool to help this objective, being a catalyst for on-demand interfaces to truly 150 adapt to every active audience. 151

Producing textual explanations through NLP is a way of explaining AI models [2]. Image captioning, visual question answering (VQA) and textual advisable explanations are different ML tasks considered. An example of advisable explanations is on computer vision scene understanding for autonomous driving learning models [23].

#### 157 4.1. Image captioning models

<sup>158</sup> Image captioning models produce a text describing the scene given an <sup>159</sup> input image. With the aim of producing clarifying explanations on why a particular image caption model fails or succeeds, since a deep neural network (DNN) is considered a black box model hard to inspect, recent strategies make sure that the objects the captions talk about are indeed detected in the images [24, 25]. Textual explanations can also contribute to make vision and language models more robust, in the sense of being more semantically grounded [26].

Since image captioning models pretrained on datasets outside the art do-166 main fail completely at describing out of distribution inputs (e.g., pictorial 167 compositions not found in natural images), some metrics evaluating the se-168 mantic fidelity of the model have been devised [24]. These call for models 169 more semantically faithful to the input information, in order to reduce the 170 bias that image caption models suffer [27], as well as object hallucination. 171 The latter is a well-known phenomenon where image captioning models cap-172 tion an image with objects not present in the image [28]. 173

<sup>174</sup> Captioning models including sentiment have also been developed [29], <sup>175</sup> either using the viewer's attitude and emotions towards the image [30], or <sup>176</sup> including emotional content inherent to the artwork image [31].

177 Hypothesis 2. (X)AI can explain art.

#### 178 Content vs Form

RQ 2. Could (X)AI distinguish among a) content vs b) form explanations?
 Could (X)AI produce a) content and b) form explanations?

The above RQs highlight the challenge of synthesizing figurative sense (interpretation) vs literary sense (content) explanations of an artwork.

#### 183 4.2. Visual Question Answering models

Another NLP model to produce explanations about an image is tackled by the problem of visual question answering [32], specially useful for the blind<sup>3</sup> or image captioning projects<sup>456</sup>. Generating questions that can be answered

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://vizwiz.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>lens.google.com Google Lens is an *image recognition technology designed to bring up* relevant information related to objects it identifies using visual analysis based on a neural network.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Google Goggles was an image recognition mobile app used for searches based on pictures taken by handheld devices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://lazarilloproject.github.io/

<sup>187</sup> by a DNN's output caption can improve explainability and quality of image
<sup>188</sup> captioning models [33].

RQ 3. Could art explanations be generated on request, i.e., using visual question answering (VQA)?

Advisable text explanations have shown to be useful when teaching models to drive autonomously [23].

193 RQ 4. Could advisable explanations increase the engagement and interest in 194 artwork?

To enrich the experience of a user when observing art, an advisable interactive introspection explanation could be: *Pay attention to where the light is set in this painting. What is the center of focus the author is highlighting as such? Why?* 

<sup>199</sup> **RQ 5.** Should only objective or also subjective information be part of an artistic explanation?

# <sup>201</sup> 5. Explaining visual art through generative and multimodal models

Generative adversarial networks (GANs) are considered a form of artifi-202 cial curiosity [34]. Generative models have been successfully used for image 203 inpainting [35, 36] or image reconstruction. A potential application of in-204 painting, i.e., filling the gaps in a given image, could be 2D or 3D restora-205 tion [37]. For instance, DAFNE (Digital Anastylosis of Frescoes challeNgE) 206  $dataset^{7}[38]$  allows to design methods to aid conservators and restorers per-207 form fresco reconstruction when pieces are missing, spurious or suffer erosion. 208 Another application of generative models is performing style transfer. 209 Style transfer models successfully disentangle the data generating factors [39] 210 such as content and style when synthesizing paintings [40]. Similarly, music 211 instruments can be extracted from videos [41] using multimodal CNNs. 212

**RQ 6.** Can XAI disentangle the underlying data generating (historical, stylistic, spiritual) factors behind a generative model output?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>It considered inclusion of autism users https://vision.unipv.it/DAFchallenge/ DAFNE\_dataset/.

*Edmond Bellamy* (Fig. 2) was the first piece of AI (GAN)-generated art to come to auction at Christie's, demonstrating that algorithms are able to emulate creativity<sup>8</sup>.



Figure 2: Edmond de Belamy. Credit: ©Obvious, 2018 (instagram: @obvious\_art)

Explainable AI techniques could assist explaining what artists and styles influenced themodel training the most, in order to apply feature attribution methods to rate most prominent influence, helping perhaps understanding what elements made it succeed.

**Challenge 3.** Can XAI explain a given artwork' success in terms of the underlying influencing artistic styles?

For instance, what makes disruptional and interesting Trina Mery artistic body painting compositions<sup>9</sup>, Stefan Draschan's photography, or Prof. Pleguezuelos's History podcasts<sup>10</sup>, or *Edmond Belamy*?

Dreaming machines using multimodal data fusion and information retrieval are an example of neural-symbolic cognitive agent that can hallucinate visual input when it is completely or partially blanked (mimicking loss of vision) [43].

RQ 7. Could models learn to hallucinate a missing data modality given a
lack of the privileged information [44]?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Sold at \$432,500 [42] https://www.christies.com/features/ A-collaboration-between-two-artists-one-human-one-a-machine-9332-1.aspx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>https://www.trinamerry.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>https://www.instagram.com/elprofesorinquieto

Biologically plausible models such as Deep Boltzman Machines' sensory hallucinations could be generalized to potentially validate the understanding of a deep neural network (DNN) and verify whether its output is faithful to the original content of the artwork. Perhaps in the same manner a machine can learn to explain non regular input modalities, e.g. touch-based artwork, through words or sounds.

#### 239 6. Art and Robotics

Creativity is consider a driver for research in robotics in open ended learning environments [45], because performance is not the only criteria to be assessed on robots when they must learn to deal with new situations. In these cases, creativity can quantitatively measure progress, define diversitydriven behaviours, or deal with unforeseen damages [46].

In terms of accessibility, technological advancements have brought "telep-245 resence" or mobile remote presence (MRP) systems as another opportunity 246 for bridging social and spatial barriers for people with mobility constrains. 247 MRPs are designed to be teleoperated and are used to improve communica-248 tion between individuals. They were found to have the potential to assist 249 challenged individuals in instrumental activities of daily living as well as 250 to foster social interaction between people. A number of qualitative stud-251 ies where people with mobility constrains used an MRP system identified 252 benefits for the participants such as being able to see and to be seen, reduc-253 ing costs and hassles associated with traveling, and reducing social isolation 254 [47]. Experiences with an interactive museum tour-guide robot have been 255 described in previous literature [48]. Questions on how to provide the same 256 user experience, while users teleoperate a robot to make the experience as 257 close as possible as if they were there physically are still to be solved. 258

Learning joint representation models from vision and language is useful for navigation of embodied robotics [49]. On the other hand, robotics can be thought of as delivery means for art explanations. For instance, a robot can sense when the group he is leading in Seville's Alcazaba tour is getting bored, and change, e.g., the length of its explanations based on the movement of the visitors [50, 51]. In this context, it is worth investigating the utility of such robots in terms of:

RQ 8. Do remotely operated mobile robots increase virtual visits to a cultural
 site, with respect to static browser-based virtual tours?

**RQ 9.** Do robot guides [50] improve the visitors rating when no human guide is available? Is their user experience rated better than walkytalky guides?

**RQ 10.** Can AI provide guide explanations that reduce the boredom of the visitors?

There could be a value in having a AI-empowered robots visiting together the cultural heritage site with the humans as well. One potential application and advantage of using robots and AI in cultural heritage is with respect to language: e.g., a robot like C-3PO that speaks all languages can make the tour anytime in any language, including sign language. This has a value with respect to a human tour guide and can be seen as a next step in innovation in the field of guide systems, as the incarnation of audio guides.

Other types of robots have created art on their own. A Russian research group developed a robot which incorporates a novel colour-mixing device that can, in principle, create any shade or hue. The researchers used both off-theshelf components and 3D-printed parts to build their robot. It includes an algorithm that transforms a photographic image into a set of vectors that programs the robot's brush to imitate human brushstrokes [52].

# <sup>285</sup> 7. A call for a multidisciplinar collaboration

The presented challenges aim at stimulating a call for collaborators in a joint effort to mutually learn from other domains, and form an interdisciplinary research consortium aggregating a diverse set of collective and symbiotic needs:

- Art historians: can gain visibility by making art accessible, building
   a portfolio, e.g. as gallery guides, art podcast content generators, etc.
   Humanities students could better learn by teaching their lessons outside
   humanities and generating AI-consumable data.
- Artists and story tellers could earn an audience willing to learn about a niche passion.
- Disabled and minorities: The blind could get access to art explanations through audio or text resources, the deaf through the latter's transcriptions.

• Computer scientists would use the generated data to build robust machine learning models that (1) explain art, and (2), are explainable.

The ultimate aim is that all content would facilitate anyone to understand any art with the right context.

#### 303 7.1. Impact of AI on Technological Domains

299

300

We envision a set of domain areas where the symbiosis among art and (X)AI could be further exploited. In order to guarantee Responsible AI guidelines [2], provenance specification of XAI training and generated resources should be a requirement.

Recommendation systems and personalization services may optimize matching art-tellers and art-listeners, and suggest new artworks likely to be appreciated by a given public.

Educators and developmental psychologists could find in XAI a support tool to convey humanities, social sciences and history in terms of the alignment of explanation facts with the mental model and cultural background of the learner.

After all these technologies are put into place, and human in the loop machine learning systems have gathered enough data, a new wave of creative AI algorithms will emerge. All byside data generated through Human-Machine collaborations involving the stakeholders above could train deep models to capture the underlying generating factors that make humans interpret art the way they do.

However, language could perhaps transfer art across domains, adapting accordingly to the requested format and medium at each time.

Because language cannot express art, but is the closest mean for universal communication, we expect art expression through deep and word-based representations to be one form of universal intermediate language allowing to sing a painting, or to draw a song.

# <sup>327</sup> Challenge 4. Tackling the lack of personal touch in technology

During quarantine/crises, diverse cultural agendas are made available for free (operas, museums, virtual tours, circus, libraries, etc.). At-home vs onsite experiences can degrade the experience of culture, perhaps due to lacking the social touch involved in the original experience. Human computation, art-history and humanities expertise on the approach to such cultural offer

12

could not only serve the purpose of bringing art home, but also set the basis for future ML models that could generate personalized explanations about a given artwork. A hypothesis is that museum experiences require of a personalized, social or physical involvement experience in order to maximize the inherent pleasure of enjoying cultural heritage sites, with everything that it conveys.

ML algorithms generate sketches [53], steerable playlists [54], music [55], and incite creativity through editing tools [56]. Since machine discriminators outperform humans in detecting generated text [57],

<sup>342</sup> **RQ 11.** Could AI recognize XAI generated explanations better than humans?

RQ 12. Can human testimony personalized art explanations stimulate engagement and discovery of art by society?

RQ 13. Could artist voice note explanations uplift the lack of social touch in traditional virtual/ audio guides?

<sup>347</sup> We hypothesize:

Hypothesis 3. Digitized artwork personal reviews can enrich access to cultural heritage based on artists audio/transcriptions, making it available to
any art consumer, including the deaf and the blind.

<sup>351</sup> Challenge 5. Evaluating AI-generated art explanations

RQ 14. Is XAI being evaluated in the right tasks and with the right audience?

**RQ 15.** Can we evaluate AI generated text explanations' quality in a quantitative manner that is both user questionnaire-free and audience-specific?

In order to assess story quality, word embeddings can be used to estimate cognitive interest [58, 59, 60]. Fashion styles and its social media tags can be used to predict subjective influence and novelty [61]. Could such influence and novelty metrics correlate with actionable or useful explanations?

**RQ 16.** Could AI explain what makes an artwork appreciated or liked? Could
 we quantify the amount of surprise or originality it conveys?

#### <sup>362</sup> Challenge 6. Defining explanation standards

RQ 17. Can we define standards for XAI explanations, including those subject to subjectivity?

General XAI techniques usually evaluate XAI techniques on their ability to generate visual or textual explanations [2]. However, the requirements to evaluate an explanation positively by a blind or deaf person are likely to require very different criteria.

RQ 18. Can we always provide automatic satisfying answers when the observer is unable to see/ visually impaired?

371 Challenge 7. Explaining concepts hard to visually grasp

A single format may not fit to convey all art modalities. At times, some modalities, e.g., sound, may be a better format to translate into. However, visual-textual semantic embedding [62] and retrieval [63] is possible. In the latter case, without labelled cultural heritage data thanks to transfer learning.

If what is essential is invisible to the eyes<sup>11</sup>, symbols such as words or knowledge graphs could act as intermediate proxy representation to verbalize complex abstract concepts.

**RQ 19.** Can multimodal deep representations be an intermediate language to universally convey art? Could these generate text explanations for tech and non technical audiences?

<sup>383</sup> 7.2. XAI as a medium, rather than a menace to human creativity

Historians can argue that humanities education can currently abuse the use of images to teach. This is demonstrated by the success of an influencing teacher's podcast that prepares for History university entrance exams. *While the use of words stimulates the imagination and keeps the mind working, providing an image to explain the same concept keeps the mind static and inactive.* This is why teacher Pleguezuelos points to the images corresponding to the podcast explanations in *Instagram*<sup>12</sup> only after students had to

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$ It is only with the heart that one can see rightly; what is essential is invisible to the eye. -Antoine de Saint-Exupéry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>https://www.instagram.com/historiaarte.selectividad

imagine the described period, era, or artwork, exclusively with words. Could
a machine learn the same way? Could it reinforce the knowledge through
later confirmation with a different learning modality?

<sup>394</sup> Challenge 8. What is the key role that AI can play in bringing heritage <sup>395</sup> closer to the viewer?

An artwork can inspire our mind if we are taught in what epoch it was represented, and in what context it was created. If AI models could ever be powerful enough to make us re-live that era, the inspiration they transmitted, and even imagine the spirit of the age,

Challenge 9. Could AI destroy the creativity of the viewer, that part that
 inspires the audience?

We argue that since AI can learn from a multimode of inputs, it can provide 402 interesting analogies or links to other artworks that a human could not do. 403 XAI techniques should explore ways in which AI could be not a threat to 404 the development of creativity that the artwork itself implies, but rather a 405 facilitation medium that suggests questions, allows exploring unknowns, and 406 further stimulates scientific curiosity and hunger for knowledge. In this con-407 text, artificial models of computational curiosity [64] could align with those 408 of humans, to guide the latter to improve its mental model, trust, and cu-409 riosity [65]. Curiosity increase could act as metric of positive understanding 410 of art and its whole context. 411

# 412 8. Discussion and Conclusions

Panels discussing the abilities of computational creativity involving scientists and humanities can results in fiery discussion<sup>13</sup>. Research labs in Digital Humanities investigate perceptual and cognitive tasks related to human creativity. This shows that, as in developmental robotics where robot models are trained for open-ended learning [45], having to perform life-long learning [66] continually, both humans and machines can learn from each other, better inform hypotheses and experiments, and allow synergistic research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Computational Creativity: Art through the Eyes of Computation (panel arranged by N Díaz-Rodríguez & S Tomkins, Data Science Santa Cruz initiative, including art historians, computer scientists, musicians and humanists): http://ihr.ucsc.edu/event/quantifying-creativity-art-through-the-eyes-of-computation/ Video: http://travellingscholar.com/qcreativity/

Challenge	Dimensions	Concerns
Augmenting accessibility to minorities or users	Interface and content personalisation,	Inclusion,
with physical & cognitive disabilities	Generative and Multimodal AI	AI Ethics
Making AI explainable	Explainable & Interpretable AI	FAT ML,
		Responsible AI
Explaining art with AI	Human computation, Human in the loop,	Trust,
	[Multimodal, Generative] AI	Responsible AI
Creativity as research engine,	Engagement, Curiosity, Computational creativity Trust,	Trust,
AI for content synthesis		Subjectivity Metrics

Table 1: (X)AI for Cultural Heritage Challenges.

We summarized hypothesis and RQs into challenges, discipline dimensions affected and concerns to address such challenges in Table 8. We presented some disruptive art settings as motivating examples where AI and XAI could have novel research playgrounds to validate models. Since concerns involve fairness, accountability, transparency (FAT) in ML, we gave a first step listing questions that need to be addressed to obtain insights on how AI can best help accessibility to audiovisuals.

Despite having presented here challenges and opportunities focused on 427 how AI (and robotics) can help access cultural heritage and the digital hu-428 manities, this is just an application domain where the limits of current AI 429 models can be stress-tested. The existing challenges to attain explainable 430 AI in any real-life problem are equally relevant and should be explored, es-431 pecially in practical applications of AI safety and AI for social good (from 432 elderly telepresence robots [67] to epidemic and hospital crisis management 433 [68]). 434

#### 435 9. Acknowledgements

We thank Juan Jesús Pleguezuelos for the motivating testimonies, Stefan
Draschan and OBVIOUS collective for borrowing illustrating images, and
Pranav Agarwal, Siham Tabik, Francisco Herrera, Alberto Castillo Lamas,
Mario Romero, Serena Ivaldi and Paris Cité Universitaire friends for inspiring
brainstormings.

#### 441 References

[1] High Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence, Ethics Guidelines
 for Trustworthy AI, Technical Report, European Commission, 2019.

[2] A. B. Arrieta, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, J. D. Ser, A. Bennetot, S. Tabik, 444 A. Barbado, S. Garcia, S. Gil-Lopez, D. Molina, R. Benjamins, 445 R. Chatila, F. Herrera, Explainable artificial intelligence (xai): 446 Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward re-447 Information Fusion (2019). URL: http://www. sponsible ai, 448 sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1566253519308103. 449 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012. 450

[3] R. Guidotti, A. Monreale, S. Ruggieri, F. Turini, F. Giannotti, D. Pedreschi, A survey of methods for explaining black box models, ACM
computing surveys (CSUR) 51 (2018) 1–42.

- [4] D. Gunning, Explainable artificial intelligence (xAI), Technical Report,
   Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), 2017.
- [5] S. T. Mueller, R. R. Hoffman, W. J. Clancey, A. Emrey, G. Klein,
  Explanation in human-ai systems: A literature meta-review, synopsis of key ideas and publications, and bibliography for explainable
  AI, CoRR abs/1902.01876 (2019). URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.
  01876. arXiv:1902.01876.
- [6] F. Herrera, A. Martinez-Sevilla, S. Tabik, R. Montes, A. Castillo, T. C.
  Sánchez, J. P. Cruz, Competicion caepia-app: Monumai, una app para incrementar el valor social del patrimonio-arquitectonico andaluz (2018).
- [7] F. Fernández Morales, J. Valderrama Ramos, S. Luque López,
  A. Mart/'inez Sevilla, J. Policarpo Cruz Cabrera, P. Alvito, Paseos
  Matemáticos por Granada: Un estudio entre arte, ciencia e historia, Editorial Universidad de Granada, 2017. URL: https://
  dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/libro?codigo=701550. doi:10.1007/
  978-3-030-22327-4\_13.
- [8] Y. Zhao, S. Wu, L. Reynolds, S. Azenkot, The effect of computergenerated descriptions on photo-sharing experiences of people with visual impairments, CoRR abs/1805.01515 (2018). URL: http://arxiv. org/abs/1805.01515. arXiv:1805.01515.
- 474 [9] C. Rocchi, O. Stock, M. Zancanaro, M. Kruppa, A. Krüger, The mu475 seum visit: generating seamless personalized presentations on multiple
  476 devices, in: Proceedings of the 9th international conference on Intelli477 gent user interfaces, 2004, pp. 316–318.
- [10] O. Stock, M. Zancanaro, PEACH-Intelligent interfaces for museum vis its, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [11] T. Kuflik, O. Stock, M. Zancanaro, A. Gorfinkel, S. Jbara, S. Kats,
  J. Sheidin, N. Kashtan, A visitor's guide in an active museum: Presentations, communications, and reflection, Journal on Computing and
  Cultural Heritage (JOCCH) 3 (2011) 11.
- [12] P. M. Aoki, R. E. Grinter, A. Hurst, M. H. Szymanski, J. D. Thornton,
   A. Woodruff, Sotto voce: exploring the interplay of conversation and

- mobile audio spaces, in: Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on
  Human factors in computing systems, ACM, 2002, pp. 431–438.
- [13] G. Kostoska, D. Fezzi, B. Valeri, M. Baez, F. Casati, S. Caliari, S. Tarter,
  Collecting memories of the museum experience, in: CHI'13 Extended
  Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2013, pp. 247–252.
- [14] C. Callaway, O. Stock, E. Dekoven, Experiments with mobile drama in an instrumented museum for inducing conversation in small groups, ACM Trans. Interact. Intell. Syst. 4 (2014). URL: https://doi.org/ 10.1145/2584250. doi:10.1145/2584250.
- [15] G. Pisoni, F. Daniel, F. Casati, C. Callaway, O. Stock, Interactive remote museum visits for older adults: an evaluation of feelings of presence, social closeness, engagement, and enjoyment in an social visit, in: 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia (ISM), IEEE, 2019, pp. 99–993.
- [16] G. Kostoska, M. Baez, F. Daniel, F. Casati, Virtual, remote participation in museum visits by older adults: a feasibility study, in: 8th International Workshop on Personalized Access to Cultural Heritage (PATCH 2015), ACM IUI 2015, 2015, pp. 1–4.
- [17] G. Kostoska, A. P. Vermeeren, J. Kort, C. Gullström, Video-mediated
  participation in virtual museum tours for older adults, in: 10th International Conference on Design & Emotion, 27-30 September 2016,
  Amsterdam, The Design & Emotion Society, 2016.
- [18] M. Gea, X. Alaman, P. Rodriguez, V. Rodriguez, Towards smart & inclusive society: building 3d immersive museum by children with cognitive disabilities, in: Proceedings of the EDULEARN16: 8th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies, Barcelona, Spain, 2016, pp. 4–6.
- [19] T. R. Besold, A. d'Avila Garcez, S. Bader, H. Bowman, P. Domingos, P. Hitzler, K.-U. Kuehnberger, L. C. Lamb, D. Lowd, P. Machado
  Vieira Lima, L. de Penning, G. Pinkas, H. Poon, G. Zaverucha, Neural-Symbolic Learning and Reasoning: A Survey and Interpretation, 2017.
  arXiv:1711.03902.

- <sup>518</sup> [20] G. Marra, F. Giannini, M. Diligenti, M. Gori, Integrating learning and reasoning with deep logic models, 2019. arXiv:1901.04195.
- [21] A. Bennetot, J.-L. Laurent, R. Chatila, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, Towards
   explainable neural-symbolic visual reasoning, in: NeSy Workshop IJCAI
   2019, Macau, China, 2019.
- [22] M. Diligenti, S. Roychowdhury, M. Gori, Integrating prior knowledge
  into deep learning, in: 2017 16th IEEE International Conference on
  Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA), IEEE, 2017, pp. 920–
  923.
- <sup>527</sup> [23] J. Kim, A. Rohrbach, T. Darrell, J. Canny, Z. Akata, Textual explanations for self-driving vehicles, in: Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV), 2018, pp. 563–578.
- P. Agarwal, A. Betancourt, V. Panagiotou, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, Egoshots, an ego-vision life-logging dataset and semantic fidelity metric to evaluate diversity in image captioning models, in: Machine Learning in Real Life (ML-IRL) Workshop at the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2020. URL: https://arxiv.org/ abs/2003.11743.
- [25] J. Lu, J. Yang, D. Batra, D. Parikh, Neural Baby Talk, 2018 IEEE/CVF
   Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (2018) 7219–
   7228.
- [26] R. R. Selvaraju, S. Lee, Y. Shen, H. Jin, D. Batra, D. Parikh, Taking a HINT: Leveraging Explanations to Make Vision and Language
  Models More Grounded, 2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) (2019) 2591–2600.
- L. A. Hendricks, K. Burns, K. Saenko, T. Darrell, A. Rohrbach, Women also snowboard: Overcoming bias in captioning models, in: European Conference on Computer Vision, Springer, 2018, pp. 793–811.
- [28] A. Rohrbach, L. A. Hendricks, K. Burns, T. Darrell, K. Saenko, Object
  Hallucination in Image Captioning, CoRR abs/1809.02156 (2018). URL:
  http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.02156. arXiv:1809.02156.

- <sup>549</sup> [29] I. Hrga, M. Ivašić-Kos, Deep image captioning: An overview, in:
  <sup>550</sup> 2019 42nd International Convention on Information and Communication
  <sup>551</sup> Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO), IEEE, 2019, pp.
  <sup>552</sup> 995–1000.
- [30] A. P. Mathews, L. Xie, X. He, Senticap: Generating image descriptions
  with sentiments, in: Thirtieth AAAI conference on artificial intelligence,
  2016.
- [31] O. M. Nezami, M. Dras, P. Anderson, L. Hamey, Face-cap: Image captioning using facial expression analysis, in: Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases, Springer, 2018, pp. 226–240.
- [32] D. Gurari, Q. Li, A. J. Stangl, A. Guo, C. Lin, K. Grauman, J. Luo,
  J. P. Bigham, VizWiz Grand Challenge: Answering Visual Questions
  from Blind People, 2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision
  and Pattern Recognition (2018) 3608–3617. URL: https://arxiv.org/
  abs/1802.08218.
- <sup>565</sup> [33] J. Wu, Z. Hu, R. J. Mooney, Generating question relevant captions to <sup>566</sup> aid visual question answering, arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00513 (2019).
- <sup>567</sup> [34] J. Schmidhuber, Generative adversarial networks are special cases of
   <sup>568</sup> artificial curiosity (1990) and also closely related to predictability mini <sup>569</sup> mization (1991), Neural Networks (2020).
- [35] D. Pathak, P. Krahenbuhl, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, A. A. Efros, Context
  encoders: Feature learning by inpainting, in: Proceedings of the IEEE
  conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2016, pp. 2536–2544.
- <sup>574</sup> [36] O. Elharrouss, N. Almaadeed, S. Al-Maadeed, Y. Akbari, Image inpainting: A review, Neural Processing Letters (????) 1–22.
- [37] A. F. Abate, S. Barra, G. Galeotafiore, C. Díaz, E. Aura, M. Sánchez,
  X. Mas, E. Vendrell, An augmented reality mobile app for museums:
  Virtual restoration of a plate of glass, in: Euro-Mediterranean Conference, Springer, 2018, pp. 539–547.

- [38] V. Cantoni, L. Lombardi, G. Mastrotisi, A. Setti, The DAFNE Project:
  Human and Machine Involvement, volume 99, Electronic Imaging & the
  Visual Arts: EVA 2019, Florence. Firenze University Press, 2019.
- [39] A. Achille, S. Soatto, Emergence of invariance and disentanglement in
   deep representations, The Journal of Machine Learning Research 19
   (2018) 1947–1980.
- <sup>586</sup> [40] L. A. Gatys, A. S. Ecker, M. Bethge, A neural algorithm of artistic <sup>587</sup> style, arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.06576 (2015).
- [41] O. Slizovskaia, E. Gómez, G. Haro, Musical instrument recognition in user-generated videos using a multimodal convolutional neural network architecture, in: Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval, 2017, pp. 226–232.
- [42] G. Vernier, H. Caselles-Dupré, P. Fautrel, Electric dreams of ukiyo: A series of japanese artworks created by an artificial intelligence, Patterns 1 (2020) 100026.
- [43] L. de Penning, A. D. Garcez, J.-J. C. Meyer, Dreaming Ma-595 chines: On multimodal fusion and information retrieval using neural-596 A. V. Jones, N. Ng (Eds.), symbolic cognitive agents, in: 597 2013 Imperial College Computing Student Workshop, volume 35 598 of OpenAccess Series in Informatics (OASIcs), Schloss Dagstuhl-599 Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2013, pp. 89– 600 94. URL: http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2013/4276. 601 doi:10.4230/OASIcs.ICCSW.2013.89. 602
- <sup>603</sup> [44] D. Lopez-Paz, L. Bottou, B. Schölkopf, V. Vapnik, Unifying distillation <sup>604</sup> and privileged information, arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.03643 (2015).
- [45] S. Doncieux, D. Filliat, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, T. Hospedales, R. Duro,
  A. Coninx, D. M. Roijers, B. Girard, N. Perrin, O. Sigaud, Openended learning: A conceptual framework based on representational redescription, Frontiers in Neurorobotics 12 (2018) 59. URL: https://
  www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnbot.2018.00059. doi:10.
  3389/fnbot.2018.00059.
- <sup>611</sup> [46] S. Doncieux, Creativity: A driver for research on robotics in open envi-<sup>612</sup> ronments, Intellectica 65 (2016) 205–219.

- <sup>613</sup> [47] J. M. Beer, L. Takayama, Mobile remote presence systems for older <sup>614</sup> adults: acceptance, benefits, and concerns, in: Proceedings of the 6th <sup>615</sup> international conference on Human-robot interaction, 2011, pp. 19–26.
- [48] M. K. Ng, S. Primatesta, L. Giuliano, M. L. Lupetti, L. O. Russo, G. A.
  Farulla, M. Indaco, S. Rosa, C. Germak, B. Bona, A cloud robotics system for telepresence enabling mobility impaired people to enjoy the
  whole museum experience, in: 2015 10th International Conference on Design & Technology of Integrated Systems in Nanoscale Era (DTIS),
  IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [49] F. Landi, L. Baraldi, M. Corsini, R. Cucchiara, Embodied visionand-language navigation with dynamic convolutional filters, CoRR
  abs/1907.02985 (2019). URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.02985.
  arXiv:1907.02985.
- [50] V. Evers, N. Menezes, L. Merino, D. Gavrila, F. Nabais, M. Pantic,
  P. Alvito, D. Karreman, The development and real-world deployment
  of frog, the fun robotic outdoor guide, in: Proceedings of the 2014
  ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction, 2014,
  pp. 100–100.
- [51] V. Evers, N. Menezes, L. Merino, D. Gavrila, F. Nabais, M. Pantic,
  P. Alvito, The development and real-world application of frog, the fun
  robotic outdoor guide, in: Proceedings of the Companion Publication of
  the 17th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work &
  Social Computing, CSCW Companion '14, Association for Computing
  Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2014, p. 281–284. URL: https://doi.
  org/10.1145/2556420.2557638. doi:10.1145/2556420.2557638.
- <sup>638</sup> [52] A. I. Karimov, E. E. Kopets, V. G. Rybin, S. V. Leonov, A. I.
  <sup>639</sup> Voroshilova, D. N. Butusov, Advanced tone rendition technique for
  <sup>640</sup> a painting robot, Robotics and Autonomous Systems 115 (2019) 17–27.
- [53] J. F. J. Mellor, E. Park, Y. Ganin, I. Babuschkin, T. Kulkarni, D. Rosen baum, A. Ballard, T. Weber, O. Vinyals, S. M. A. Eslami, Unsupervised
   doodling and painting with improved spiral, 2019. arXiv:1910.01007.
- <sup>644</sup> [54] F. Maillet, D. Eck, G. Desjardins, P. Lamere, et al., Steerable playlist
  <sup>645</sup> generation by learning song similarity from radio station playlists., in:
  <sup>646</sup> ISMIR, 2009, pp. 345–350.

- <sup>647</sup> [55] C.-Z. A. Huang, A. Vaswani, J. Uszkoreit, N. Shazeer, C. Hawthorne,
  <sup>648</sup> A. M. Dai, M. D. Hoffman, D. Eck, An improved relative self-attention
  <sup>649</sup> mechanism for transformer with application to music generation, ArXiv
  <sup>650</sup> abs/1809.04281 (2018).
- [56] A. Roberts, J. Engel, Y. Mann, J. Gillick, C. Kayacik, S. Nørly,
  M. Dinculescu, C. Radebaugh, C. Hawthorne, D. Eck, Magenta studio: Augmenting creativity with deep learning in ableton
  live, in: Proceedings of the International Workshop on Musical
  Metacreation (MUME), 2019. URL: http://musicalmetacreation.
  org/buddydrive/file/mume\_2019\_paper\_2/.
- [57] D. Ippolito, D. Duckworth, C. Callison-Burch, D. Eck, Human and
  automatic detection of generated text, arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.00650 (2019).
- [58] M. Behrooz, J. Robertson, A. Jhala, Story quality as a matter of perception: Using word embeddings to estimate cognitive interest, in: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment, volume 15, 2019, pp. 3–9.
- [59] M. Behrooz, A. Jhala, Modeling social interestingness in conversational
   stories, in: Proceedings of the Australasian Computer Science Week
   Multiconference, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [60] M. Behrooz, Curating Interest in Open Story Generation, Ph.D. thesis,
   UC Santa Cruz, 2019.
- [61] K. Bollacker, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, X. Li, Extending Knowledge
  Graphs with Subjective Influence Networks for Personalized Fashion, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019, pp. 203–
  233. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00317-3\_9. doi:10.
  1007/978-3-030-00317-3\_9.
- [62] T. Ramalho, T. Kociský, F. Besse, S. M. A. Eslami, G. Melis, F. Viola,
  P. Blunsom, K. M. Hermann, Encoding spatial relations from natural language, CoRR abs/1807.01670 (2018). URL: http://arxiv.org/
  abs/1807.01670. arXiv:1807.01670.

- [63] M. Cornia, М. Stefanini. L. Baraldi, М. Corsini, R. Cuc-678 chiara, Explaining digital humanities by aligning images and tex-679 Pattern Recognition Letters 129 (2020) 166 – tual descriptions, 680 172. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 681 S0167865519303381. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2019. 682 11.018. 683
- [64] P.-Y. Oudeyer, Computational theories of curiosity-driven learning,
   arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.10546 (2018).
- [65] R. R. Hoffman, S. T. Mueller, G. Klein, J. Litman, Metrics for explainable ai: Challenges and prospects, arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.04608
  (2018).
- [66] T. Lesort, V. Lomonaco, A. Stoian, D. Maltoni, D. Filliat, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, Continual learning for robotics: Definition, framework, learning strategies, opportunities and challenges, Information Fusion 58 (2020) 52 - 68. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S1566253519307377. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. inffus.2019.12.004.
- [67] N. Pérez-Higueras, R. Ramón-Vigo, I. P. Hurtado, J. Capitán, F. Ca ballero, A social navigation system in telepresence robots for elderly,
   2016.
- [68] G.-Z. Yang, B. J. Nelson, R. R. Murphy, H. Choset, H. Christensen,
  S. H. Collins, P. Dario, K. Goldberg, K. Ikuta, N. Jacobstein, D. Kragic,
  R. H. Taylor, M. McNutt, Combating covid-19—the role of robotics
  in managing public health and infectious diseases, Science Robotics
  5 (2020). URL: https://robotics.sciencemag.org/content/5/40/
  eabb5589. doi:10.1126/scirobotics.abb5589.